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Aim

Topographic complexity is widely accepted as a key driver of biodiversity, but at the patch-scale, complexity-biodiversity relationships may vary spatially and temporally according to the environmental stressors complexity mitigates, and the species richness and identity of potential colonists. Using a manipulative experiment, we assessed spatial variation in patch-scale effects of complexity on intertidal biodiversity.

Location

27 sites within 14 estuaries/bays distributed globally

Time period

2015-2017

Major taxa studied

Functional groups of algae, sessile and mobile invertebrates

Methods

Concrete tiles of differing complexity (flat; 2.5 cm or 5 cm complex) were affixed at low-high intertidal elevation on coastal defence structures, and the richness and abundance of the colonising taxa were quantified after 12 months.

Results

The patch-scale effects of complexity varied spatially and among functional groups.

Complexity had neutral to positive effects on total, invertebrate and algal taxa richness, and invertebrate abundances. However, effects on the abundance of algae ranged from positive to negative, depending on location and functional group. The tidal elevation at which tiles were placed accounted for some variation. The total and invertebrate richness were greater at low or mid than at high intertidal elevations. Latitude was also an important source of spatial variation, with the effects of complexity on total richness and mobile mollusc abundance
greatest at lower latitudes, whilst the cover of sessile invertebrates and sessile molluscs responded most strongly to complexity at higher latitudes.

Conclusions

After 12 months, patch-scale relationships between biodiversity and habitat complexity were not universally positive. Instead, the relationship varied among functional groups and according to local abiotic and biotic conditions. This result challenges the assumption that effects of complexity on biodiversity are universally positive. The variable effect of complexity has ramifications for community and applied ecology, including eco-engineering and restoration that seek to bolster biodiversity through the addition of complexity.

Introduction:

Habitat complexity the physical structure of environments, is a key driver of variability in the distribution of biodiversity (Huston, 1979; Kovalenko, Thomaz, & Warfe, 2012). In general, more complex habitats, with a greater density of spatial elements, support greater species richness and abundance, across a range of functional groups, than less complex habitats (McCoy & Bell, 1991; Stein, Gerstner, & Kreft, 2014). Habitat complexity may be derived from both topographic (e.g. undulations, depressions, and protrusions) or biogenic (e.g., trees, grasses, seaweeds, ants, corals and bivalves) structures. Complex habitats can influence the colonisation and subsequent survival of species by determining the area available for organisms to occupy (Connor & McCoy, 1979), which in turn can influence biotic interactions (Hixon & Beets, 1993; Holt, 1987). Complex habitats can also have area-independent effects on niche diversity (Johnson, Frost, Mosley, Roberts, & Hawkins, 2003), and consequently the availability of refuges from environmental stressors and predators (Strain, Cumbo, Morris, Steinberg, & Bishop, 2020). At land- and sea- scape scales complexity enhances biodiversity by increasing habitat heterogeneity and niche space.
(Kovalenko, Thomaz, & Warfe, 2012). However, at smaller scales, biodiversity and habitat complexity relationships may vary depending on the type of complexity provided and how it interacts with the environmental and biological setting (Loke & Todd, 2016).

The environmental variation among sites at local and biogeographic scales may influence patch-scale habitat complexity (hereafter complexity) - biodiversity relationships by determining resource availability, environmental conditions, as well as the species pool on which complexity can act (Johnson et al., 2003; Bracewell et al., 2018). The stress gradient hypothesis (Bertness & Callaway, 1994) proposes that positive interactions among species (e.g. between habitat-forming and dependent taxa) will be most prevalent in environmentally stressful environments, where local habitat amelioration is critical to organismal survival (Bracewell, Clark, & Johnston, 2018; McAfee, Cole, & Bishop, 2016). Hence, microhabitats that ameliorate extreme temperatures and/or desiccation stressors could increase in importance with increasing tidal elevation (Bateman & Bishop, 2016) and decreasing latitude (Bracewell et al., 2018). Conversely, the patch-scale effects of complexity may be consistent across latitude if the local species are adapted to their local conditions or could have a greater influence in locations where there is a greater difference between the air and sea temperatures.

Additionally, complexity may be expected to have greatest patch-scale effects on biodiversity in environments where there is a diverse species pool on which it can act. Whereby, the effects of complexity may vary across latitudinal gradients in species richness (Bracewell et al., 2018). At local scales, anthropogenic stressors such as contaminants may over-ride the effects of complexity where they create conditions that are inhibitory to the survival of most
species (Mayer-Pinto, Matias, & Coleman, 2016). How species abundance and, hence, richness responds to complexity may also vary according to the dominant functional groups present at a given location (Strain, Olabarria, et al., 2018). Functional groups, defined here as groups of organisms displaying distinct life-forms, that differ in their niche requirements, tolerance to environmental stressors, and susceptibility to predation. While, overall, increasing complexity is expected to enhance microhabitat diversity and niche space, the availability of some microhabitat types will decline and others will increase with different types of complexity (Kelaher, 2003). The taxa whose niche requirements are favoured by increasing complexity will benefit at the expense of other taxa whose niches match microhabitats that decline in abundance or area (Malumbres-Olarte, Vink, Ross, Cruickshank, & Paterson, 2013).

For example, on intertidal rocky shores, algae can be among the dominant space occupants of well-lit yet moist microhabitats (e.g. rockpools), that prevent desiccation, and allow adequate light for photosynthesis (Wilson, James, Newman, & Myers, 1992). In contrast, invertebrates, particularly sessile invertebrates benefit from microhabitats (e.g. crevices) that provide protection from predators, but are also sufficiently shaded that their algal competitors cannot survive (Glasby, 1999; Miller & Etter, 2008). Stress-sensitive taxa may benefit more than stress-tolerant taxa from microhabitats that ameliorate environmental stressors (Darling et al., 2017). Similarly, taxa that are more susceptible to predation (i.e. lack morphological or behavioural defences) or have body sizes that most closely match the size of the microhabitats may benefit most from complexity-mediated predator amelioration (Strain, Morris, et al., 2018). Experimental research on the effects of increasing complexity on different functional groups (i.e. algae, sessile invertebrates, and mobile invertebrates) is lacking (but see Strain et al. 2020).
Few studies have examined the effects of complexity at large spatial scales, across functional groups and the influence of varying environmental contexts, to test the generality of patch-scale complexity-biodiversity relationships. Understanding how complexity underpins richness and abundance of different taxa and functional groups across a range of environmental conditions is of particular importance, given accelerating habitat loss and homogenisation (Kovalenko et al., 2012). In urban marine environments, natural habitats are being replaced by artificial structures (e.g. seawalls, groynes, breakwaters and wharves) with reduced complexity (Airoldi, Connell, & Beck, 2009; Bulleri & Chapman, 2010). Such habitat homogenisation often occurs simultaneously with other anthropogenically-derived environmental changes, such as pollution and/or species invasions (McKinney, 2008). The smooth, relatively homogenous, surfaces of artificial structures typically support fewer native species and individuals (Chapman, 2003), but more non-native species (Airoldi & Bulleri, 2011) compared to the more complex natural habitats they replace.

There has been increasing interest in how complexity might be incorporated into the design of marine urban structures so as to enhance their ecological value (O’Shaughnessy et al., 2020). The addition of complexity to topographically homogenous marine urban structures has been proposed as a mechanism by which the overall richness and abundances of key functional groups might be enhanced (Strain et al. 2018). However, the manner in which complexity acts will be context dependent and researchers have recommended that latitudinal and biogeographic considerations are taken into account prior to design or construction (Mayer-Pinto, Dafforn, & Johnston, 2019).
Using standardised experiments on a global scale, we investigated how manipulating one form of complexity (crevices/ridges) on tiles affected the richness and abundance of colonising taxa at fourteen urban estuaries or bays spread across nine biogeographic realms. We predicted that patch-scale complexity would have a positive influence on the taxa richness and abundances of all sessile and mobile invertebrates functional groups but not algae, which have higher light requirements, because of greater shading in the crevices (Strain et al., 2020). Furthermore, we expected that the positive effects of increased complexity on richness and abundances of sessile and mobile invertebrates would increase with tidal elevation and with decreasing latitude, as desiccation stress and extreme high temperatures increase, respectively. Finally, we hypothesised that complexity would have a reduced effect on the richness and abundances of sessile and mobile invertebrates in highly polluted environments such as those located near marinas or ports, where the effects of pollution can over-ride the effects of complexity (Mayer-Pinto et al. 2018).

Materials and methods

Study sites

Experimental manipulations were conducted at 27 sites, distributed across 14 locations globally (Fig. 1). There were two sites at each location, except for Herzliya Marina, Israel, which hosted a single site. The locations were all in estuaries or bays situated along urbanised coastlines, and were partners in the World Harbour Project (www.worldharbourproject.com). Each had a semi-diurnal tidal regime and well mixed marine waters. Within locations, each site comprised a vertical seawall or breakwater that extended from the shallow subtidal or the low intertidal to the high intertidal zone. Sites at least 0.1 km apart, were of variable proximity to port facilities or marinas, and varied in tidal height, tidal range, temperature
(average, minimum and maximum) and concentration of heavy metals (see Supplementary S1).

![Map showing the experimental locations. Locations are ordered by biogeographic realm.](image)

**Fig 1:** Map showing the experimental locations. Locations are ordered by biogeographic realm.

**Experimental design**

At each site, 0.25×0.25 m concrete tiles were affixed to the coastal defence structures (i.e. seawalls, or breakwaters). The tiles allowed manipulation of intertidal habitat complexity by provisioning crevices and ridges as well as associated increase in surface area. The tiles, designed and manufactured by Reef Design Lab (Melbourne, Australia), were flat (surface area = 0.0625 m²), had 0.025 m high ridges separated by 0.015 to 0.05 m wide crevices (hereafter ‘2.5 cm complex’; surface area = 0.090 m²) or had 0.05 m high ridges, each separated by 0.015 to 0.05 m wide crevices (hereafter ‘5 cm complex’; surface area = 0.136 m²; Fig. 2). At each site, five tiles of each design were either directly attached to the structures, in the centre of 0.3×0.3 m patches cleared of pre-existing flora and fauna, or attached to wood backing boards that were suspended off the top of the structures using rope or nails. Tiles were attached to the structures, backing boards or steel frames using bolts that...
were placed through a drilled hole in two to four corners of the tiles. At each site, the tiles were deployed in a single horizontal row, from a low to high intertidal elevation, depending on the location. Tiles were deployed in random order with respect to the experimental treatments, with the complex tiles positioned so that the crevices and ridges were orientated vertically. In temperate locations, the tiles were deployed between early spring to late autumn during the period of greatest species recruitment and growth (Table S1).

![Fig 2: The three experimental treatments: a) flat, b) 2.5 cm complex, c) 5 cm complex.](image)

**Colonising taxa**

After 12 months, all tiles were removed from the field, individually bagged and frozen until analysis. On each tile, we recorded the identity and percentage cover (pooling across primary and secondary growth) of all sessile algae and invertebrate taxa and removed all mobile invertebrates (> 500 µm), using tweezers and by carefully rinsing the tile area with seawater over a 500 µm sieve from the whole tile or two subsamples, depending on location (Supplementary S1). At locations where subsampling was conducted, these were from one pre-determined crevice (0.016 m²) and one ridge (0.013 m²) of each complex tile, that were not adjacent to each other, but were pooled for the purposes of the analyses. On flat tiles, two
areas of similar size were subsampled and pooled. A pilot study conducted using Sydney data revealed similar treatment effects on the richness and abundance of colonising taxa, irrespective of whether a subsample or the full tile was sampled (Supplementary S2). All taxa were identified to species or morphospecies using dissecting microscopes and then classified into three coarser-level functional groups (hereafter ‘functional groups’) including algae, sessile invertebrates and mobile invertebrates as well as nineteen finer-level functional groups (Supplementary S2) based on the CATAMI classification guide (Althaus et al., 2015); hereafter ‘CATAMI groups.

Environmental parameters

To test hypotheses about potential sources of variability in complexity effects, we estimated the tidal elevation, temperature, and proximity to boating facilities of tiles at each study site. For tidal elevation we recorded the inundation period (proportion of time underwater) of the tiles using a pressure logger. At each site, one pressure logger was attached to the top of a flat tile and programmed to record water depth every 20 min for a period of one-month. Measurements were made using either a Sensus Ultra (Reefnet Pty Ltd; +/- 0.03 m accuracy), a Hobo Onset (Onsetcomp; +/- 0.02 m accuracy) or EasyTREK SP-300 (NIVELCO; +/- 0.05% of the measured range accuracy). Based on these measurements, the tidal elevation was categorised as either high (inundated for <33% of the tidal cycle), mid (inundated for >34 to 65% of the tidal cycle) or low (inundated for >66% of the tidal cycle; Supplementary S1).

Throughout the 12-month experiment, we took measurements of temperature at 21 sites (Supplementary S1). At each site, we deployed three DS1921G Themochron iButton data
loggers (Thermodata Pty. Ltd. Warrnambool, Australia) haphazardly on flat tiles. The iButtons were waterproofed with Plastidip rubber coating (Plasti Dip International, Blaine, Minnesota, USA). The iButtons were programmed to record temperatures at 20 min intervals, across a one-month period, with 0.5°C accuracy. The iButtons were attached to the tiles using cable ties so that they could easily be removed, downloaded, and replaced each month. Mean (both aerial and in water), maximum (aerial) and minimum (aerial) temperature were negatively correlated with absolute latitude at the 21 sites (Supplementary S4). Hence, to avoid issues with collinearity between these two predictor variables, subsequent analyses were run only on latitude of study sites.

At the end of the experiment, we measured the distance from the centre of each site to the nearest boating facility (port or marina) using satellite images in Google Earth. For 17 sites, we also obtained information on the concentration of copper from sediment sampling (Supplementary S1). Increasing distance of study sites to the nearest boating facility was negatively correlated (but not significantly) with the amount of copper (historically used as an antifouling agent; Dafforn et al. 2011) in sediment at the 17 sites for which both sets of data were available (Supplementary S4). Hence, distance to the nearest boating facility, which could be measured for all 27 sites, was used as a proxy for contamination.

Analyses

We used multivariate generalised linear modelling to test the effects of complexity (fixed, 3 levels: flat, 2.5 cm or 5 cm), location (fixed, 14 levels) and site nested within location (fixed 1-2 levels) on the abundances of each of the 19 CATAMI groups. These data were modelled using a negative binomial distribution due to overdispersion from the Poisson distribution.
Where multivariate analyses indicated a significant main effect of treatment, or an interaction of treatment with location or site(location) univariate post hoc test statistics and p-values were calculated for each group separately adjusting for multiple comparisons. For those groups found to have significant effects of treatment (either occurring independently of or interacting with spatial factors), pairwise differences between treatment levels, were assessed using univariate linear models (LMs). Where both the treatment × location and treatment × site (location) were significant, only the treatment × location interaction was interpreted as its significance demonstrates effects of location that are apparent over smaller site-scale variability. Similarly, we used LMs or generalised linear models (GLMs) with the factors complexity, location and site nested within location to compare the richness and abundances (cover or counts) of total taxa, algae, sessile invertebrates and mobile invertebrates across treatments, at 12 months.

To test hypotheses about whether the effects of complexity on the richness and abundances of the key functional groups on the tiles, varied by tidal elevations, latitude and distance from the nearest marina or port, we used analyses on the standard mean difference (SMD) between the 5 cm and flat tile. The Hedge’s G SMD was calculated at the scale of site, using the average and standard deviation of the five tiles sampled within each site, for each treatment. We chose the SMD effect size rather than the log response ratio because these data contained many zeros (i.e. no species observed and/or no variance observed between replicates within the same treatment) (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins, & Rothstein, 2010). We tested the effects of tidal zone, latitude and distance to the nearest marina or port using the Hedges random effects estimator (Hedges, 1981) with the package metafor (Viechtbauer, 2010). For the analyses testing the effects of tidal zone, we adjusted for the effects of location, by adding location as a moderator in a multilevel random effects model.
All statistical analyses were undertaken in R 3.5.0 (R Core Team, 2016). For all models we offset the sample area (m²), to separate the effects of complexity from surface area. Generalised linear models were undertaken in the package MASS and figures were produced using the package ggplot 2 (Wickham, 2016). The multivariate analyses were undertaken with the packages mvabund and boral (Hui, 2016). All models were checked for over-dispersion and spatial and temporal autocorrelation with plots, and the residuals were visually inspected for heteroscedasticity. Where appropriate, post hoc comparisons were undertaken using the package emmeans (Lenth, Singmann, & Love, 2018) to identify sources of treatment effects.

Results

Effect of complexity on richness

The effect of complexity on total taxa richness and the richness of each of the three coarse-level functional groups (algae, sessile invertebrates, and mobile invertebrates) varied among locations (Fig. 3, Table 1, Supplementary S5). Where significant effects were seen, the 2.5 cm and/or the 5 cm complex tiles (i.e. with cervices/ridges) supported greater taxa richness than the flat tiles (Table 1). Total taxa richness was greater on the 5 cm complex tiles than the flat tiles (by 0.8 – 2.7 times) at 10 of the 14 locations and on the 2.5 cm complex relative to the flat tiles at eight locations, with no effect of complexity on total richness at four locations (Fig. 3, Table 1, Supplementary S5). Algal richness was greater on 5 cm complex tiles (by 1.1-2.4 times) than on the 2.5 cm complex tiles or the flat tiles at two locations, but displayed no significant effect of complexity at the other 12 locations (Table 1, Supplementary S5). Sessile invertebrates were more speciose on the 5 cm complex tiles than on flat tiles at nine
locations (by 1.0-1.8 times), and more speciose on the 2.5 cm complex than flat tiles at seven locations, but did not differ among treatments at the other five locations (Table 1, Supplementary S5). There were more mobile species on the 5 cm complex tiles compared with the flat tiles at eight locations (1.0-2.4 times), and on the 2.5 cm complex tiles relative to flat tiles at five locations, with no significant differences for the other nine locations (Table 1, Supplementary S5).
**Fig 3:** Effect of complexity (flat and 2.5 cm or 5 cm complex tiles) on the mean (+/-SE) total taxa richness at each of fourteen locations by realm (n = 1 or 2 sites per location). Significant differences (at α = 0.05) between flat (F), and 2.5 cm (2.5) or 5 cm (5) complex tiles are indicated by ‘>’ or ‘<’, with ‘ns’ or ‘=’ denoting treatments that did not significantly differ.
**Table 1:** Overview of the posthoc tests for significant complexity by location interactions in the total richness and the richness and abundance of functional groups. Significant differences (at α = 0.05) between flat (F), and 2.5 cm (2.5) or 5 cm (5) complex tiles are indicated by ‘>’ or ‘<’, with ‘ns’ or ‘=’ denoting treatments that did not significantly differ. Locations are ordered by realm. Details of these analyses are given in Appendices S4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Richness</th>
<th>Abundances (percentage cover or counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>Sessile invertebrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sydney</td>
<td>F=2.5&lt;5</td>
<td>F=2.5&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Auckland</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hobart</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F=2.5&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. East London</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Penang</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hong Kong</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Keelung</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Herzliya</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ravenna</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Plymouth</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Chesapeake Bay</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. San Francisco</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Arraial do Cabo</td>
<td>F=2.5&lt;5</td>
<td>F&lt;2.5=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Coquimbo</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Effect of complexity on abundances**

The effects of complexity varied among functional groups (algae, sessile and mobile invertebrates) and the 19 CATAMI groups, and within these groupings, according to location and/or site (Table 1, Table 2, Supplementary S5-S6). The abundances (i.e. percentage cover or counts) of algae, sessile and mobile invertebrates (Table 1, Supplementary S5) as well as that of encrusting macroalgae, bryozoans, sessile and mobile crustaceans, sessile and mobile molluscs and sessile worms each displayed significant positive effects of the 2.5 cm and/or the 5 cm complex tiles relative to the flat tiles, at one or more locations, with non-significant effects at the remaining (Table 2, Supplementary S5).

The abundances of mobile crustaceans and mobile molluscs showed significant positive effects of either the 2.5 cm and/or 5 cm tiles compared with the flat tiles, at some sites, but these differences were not consistent between sites within locations (Tables 2, Supplementary S6). The effects of complexity were, among locations, spatially variable in both occurrence and direction for filamentous/filiform macroalgal cover and mobile worm abundances and between sites for foliose macroalgal cover (Table 2, Supplementary S6). Although present on tiles, globose saccate macroalgae, articulated calcareous macroalgae, ascidians, cnidarians, sponges, hexapods, arthropods and echinoderms displayed patterns in abundance that did not respond to complexity, at any of the sites or locations (Table 2, Supplementary S6).
**Table 2**: Overview of the posthoc tests for significant complexity by location or complexity by site/location interactions in the abundance of CATAMI groups. Significant differences (at $\alpha = 0.05$) between flat (F), and 2.5 cm (2.5) or 5 cm (5) complex tiles are denoted with ‘$>$’ or ‘$<$’, with ‘ns’ or ‘$=$’ denoting treatments that did not differ. Locations are ordered by realm. Details of these analyses are given in supplementary S5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>Abundances (percentage cover or counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filamentous filiform algae (%)</td>
<td>Foliose algae (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sydney</td>
<td>F=2.5 &lt;5</td>
<td>Site 1 F=2.5 &lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Auckland</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Site 1 F=2.5 &gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hobart</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Site 1 F=5 &lt;2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. East London</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Site 1 F=2.5 &gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Penang</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hong Kong</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Keelung</td>
<td>F&gt;2.5 &gt;5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Herzliya</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ravenna</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plymouth</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Chesapeake Bay</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. San Francisco</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Arraial do Cabo</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Coquimbo</td>
<td>F&gt;2.5&gt;5</td>
<td>Site 1 F&gt;2.5&gt;5 Site 2 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlates of spatial variation in effects of complexity

The standard mean difference (SMD) of total, sessile invertebrate and mobile invertebrate richness, the percentage cover of filamentous/filiform macroalgae, encrusting algae, sessile bivalves, sessile crustaceans, sessile worms and the abundances of mobile worms on the 5 cm compared to the flat tiles varied significantly among tidal zones (Fig. 4, Supplementary S7). Significant differences in the SMDs were found in the mid and low tidal zone for each of total and sessile and mobile invertebrate richness and in the high, mid and low tidal zone for the abundances of mobile molluscs (Fig. 4, Supplementary S7). In contrast, the difference in the SMD was only significant in the high tidal zone for the percentage cover of encrusting algae and in the mid and high tidal zones for the percentage cover of sessile worms and the abundances of mobile crustaceans. The percentage cover of sessile bivalves and sessile crustaceans and the abundances of mobile worms displayed differences in the SMDs that were only significant in the mid-tidal zone and in the low tidal zone for the percentage cover of filamentous algae (Fig. 4, Supplementary S7).

The SMD in the richness of sessile invertebrate species between the 5 cm complex and flat tiles increased with distance from the nearest marina or port. However, the SMD for other groups was unaffected by this variable (Supplementary S7). The SMD of total taxa richness significantly decreased with latitude (Fig. 5), as did abundance of molluscs, while conversely, SMD of percentage cover of sessile bivalves increased with latitude (Supplementary S7). All other groups were unaffected by latitude (Supplementary S7).
**Fig. 4:** Effects of tidal zones on the standard mean difference SMD (+/-CI) in a) richness of total taxa, algae, sessile invertebrates and mobile invertebrates and b) abundances (percentage cover or abundance) of key CATAMI groups between 5 cm complex and flat tiles (high n = 5 sites, mid n = 18 sites, and low n = 4 sites). Effects are significant if the confidence intervals do not overlap zero (dashed line). Significant differences (at α = 0.05) between high (H), and mid (M) or low (L) tidal zones are indicated by ‘>’ or ‘<’.
**Fig. 5:** Effects of absolute latitude on the standard mean differences SMD in total taxa between 5 cm complex and flat tiles (n = 27 sites), where the size of the circle varies according to the variance.

**Discussion**

The incorporation of complexity into artificial structures is increasingly being advocated as a mechanism to maintain or enhance native biodiversity, but most studies to date have examined effects of complexity on marine built structures over a relatively narrow range of environmental conditions (reviewed by Strain et al. 2018). Our study, spanning 27 sites from 14 locations across the globe, provided the first experimental test of how effects of patch-scale complexity on artificial structures vary across very large spatial scales. After 12
months, complexity had positive effects on the richness and abundance of the colonising taxa at most (10 out of 14) of the locations tested. Nevertheless, the effects of complexity on the colonisation of individual functional groups, varied spatially according to tidal elevation and latitude. These results challenge the paradigm that environmental complexity has universally positive effects on biodiversity (Huston, 1979) and instead support the growing assertion (e.g. Beck, 1998) that at the patch-scale effects of complexity on biodiversity can vary in magnitude and direction according to local abiotic and biotic stressors, niche requirements of the dominant taxa and the scale of complexity provided.

The study, which manipulated a single type of habitat complexity (crevices/ridges), was not designed to disentangle complexity effects arising from enhancement of surface area and microhabitat diversity. The complex tiles not only had greater surface area but, in providing crevices and ridges, provided greater microhabitat diversity than the flat tiles that had only a single microhabitat type. These crevices and ridges have previously been demonstrated to differ in light, humidity, temperature, and predator access (Strain et al. 2018; 2020), supporting distinct communities of algae and invertebrates (Strain et al. 2020). The spatially variable effects of crevices and ridges on biodiversity suggest that differences between complex and flat treatments did not simply reflect the greater surface area of the former, but also modification of environmental conditions and biological interactions by the microhabitats. Further, whereas differences were consistently found between complex and flat tiles, differences between the two complex treatments, with 5 cm or 2.5 cm deep cervices, were often absent, suggesting a greater role of microhabitat identity and diversity than surface area in driving the patterns.
Whereas effects of the complex tiles on the richness and abundance of invertebrate groups were, where present, positive, effects of the complex tiles on the richness and abundance of algae were highly variable, not only in occurrence, but also direction. The sessile invertebrate groups that responded most positively to the cervices and ridges provided by this study were taxa that are limited to shaded and moist low intertidal and subtidal shore (such as bryozoans) (Miller & Etter, 2008), and taxa commonly targeted by benthic predators (e.g. molluscs, crustaceans, worms) (Janssen, Sabelis, Magalhães, Montserrat, & Van der Hammen, 2007; Strain, Morris, et al., 2018). In contrast, the mobile invertebrates that responded positively were taxa that could rapidly colonise by migration from nearby habitats (e.g. mobile molluscs and crustaceans), (Martins, Thompson, Neto, Hawkins, & Jenkins, 2010). These taxa were predominantly found in the protective crevices of the complex tiles, suggesting that the provision of refugia could have played an important role (Strain et al., 2020). Filamentous and foliose macroalgae were negatively affected by complexity at some sites, despite the overall greater surface area of complex tiles. This may be because light in the crevices was insufficient to meet the needs of these taxa that have high light requirements (Markager & Sand-Jensen, 1992), or alternatively because of enhanced top-down control by the abundant grazer communities in the crevices. Encrusting algae, which have low light requirements (Markager & Sand-Jensen, 1992) and a tough thallus that deters grazers (Bertness, Yund, & Brown, 1983) were the only algal group to consistently respond positively to complexity.

Thermal and desiccation stress have long been implicated in setting the upper distributional limits of organisms intertidally (Harley, 2003; Wolcott, 1973) while classically, the lower distributional limits are thought to be set by biological interactions such as competition and predation (Connell, 1961). Consistent with this thinking and previous within-site comparisons of complexity-biodiversity relationships among elevations (Cordell et al. 2017), we found the
effects of added complexity on taxa richness and abundance of colonising organisms differed among tidal elevations, as well as among functional groups. Total taxa richness and the richness of sessile and mobile invertebrates responded most strongly to complexity in the low intertidal zone, but the richness and abundances of algae, and abundances of sessile invertebrates responded more strongly in the mid and high intertidal zones. In the low intertidal, the crevices on complex tiles may provide refuge to invertebrate taxa from large-bodied marine predators, such as fish, which can exert considerable top-down control on the communities of coastal structures (Connell & Anderson, 1999) and/or from wave exposure that can challenge the attachment strength of organisms and interfere with feeding behaviour (Bulleri & Chapman, 2010; Moschella et al., 2005). In the high and mid intertidal, on artificial coastal defences as on natural rocky shores, cool and shaded crevices could influence the richness and abundances of algae and the abundances of invertebrates by providing refuge from extreme temperatures and desiccation at low tide (Chapman & Blockley, 2009; Strain et al., 2020).

Additionally, we found evidence for latitudinal variation in the effects of complexity on total taxa richness and the abundance of some invertebrate groups. Complexity had the greatest effects on the total richness of taxa and the abundances of mobile molluscs at low latitudes, where average temperatures, primary productivity as well as taxa richness and abundance are generally highest (Hillebrand, 2004). However, the cover of sessile molluscs displayed the reverse pattern of greater effects of complexity at higher latitudes, where average temperatures and the percentage cover of sessile invertebrates were lower. These results are consistent with other studies that have demonstrated positive effects of complexity on the richness or diversity of invertebrates at tropical latitudes in intertidal systems (Freestone & Osman, 2007; Menge & Lubchenco, 1981). Latitudinal variation in the effects of complexity
likely reflects spatial variation in the local species pool, functional group identity and species recruitment, predation, and growth rates.

Despite our hypothesis that pollutants would override the effects of complexity, proximity of sites to marinas and port facilities, which are commonly highly contaminated (Adamo et al., 2005; Rivero, Dafforn, Coleman, & Johnston, 2013), explained little of the variation in effects of complexity for most groups of algae and invertebrates. There was, however, a positive effect of the distance to the nearest port or marina on the relationship between complexity and richness of sessile invertebrates. Although our study did not document spatial variation in the size of the species pool of available colonists, the positive relationship between distance from boating facilities and effects of complexity on sessile invertebrates is consistent with the contaminants associated with boating facilities adversely impacting the native species pool on which complexity can act. Heavy metals, such as copper, either historically or presently used in antifouling paints, can negatively impact native biodiversity (Dafforn, Lewis, & Johnston, 2011; Kinsella & Crowe, 2016). Previous studies have demonstrated these contaminants can also enhance the richness and abundances of invasive species (Marraffini, Ashton, Brown, Chang, & Ruiz, 2017; Piola, Dafforn, & Johnston, 2009); thus complexity could facilitate the increase of the non-endemic species pool. Studies directly manipulating contamination inside and outside harbours would be required to establish the importance of this factor as a moderator of complexity effects.

Our results support previous suggestions that the addition of complexity to the homogenous, flat surfaces of coastal defence structures has the potential to improve ecological outcomes (O’Shaughnessy et al., 2020). As compared to the natural habitats they replace, topographically simple artificial structures commonly support reduced native biodiversity
(Airoldi, Turon, Perkol-Finkel, & Rius, 2015). Eco-engineering complexity and missing microhabitats on these artificial structures to enhance the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning of their communities, is increasingly common. However, scientific studies providing the evidence base for this rapidly-growing field are often poorly replicated and carried out over small spatial and temporal scales (Chapman, Underwood, & Browne, 2018; Firth, Airoldi et al. 2020). Global integration of small-scale ecological experiments such as those conducted here can be useful in identifying appropriate eco-engineering approaches before they are scaled up. Our study provides the most geographically comprehensive test of the effects of complexity on the biodiversity of coastal defence structures across the globe.

We clearly demonstrate that complexity can affect the richness and abundances of colonising taxa, and despite large biogeographic variation in the identity of taxa present, these effects are largely of a consistent and positive direction for particular functional groups, across the globe.

Despite the generally positive effects of complexity, we found that the magnitude of these varied spatially from negligible to strongly positive (or in the case of some algae, negative). This is an important result as it suggests that economically costly eco-engineering interventions may have negligible benefit at some locations and may even negatively influence some functional groups if applied blindly. Effective eco-engineering requires understanding of the key environmental stressors that may be mitigated and the functional traits of taxa that are being targeted for enhancement (see also Morris et al. 2018). By designing microhabitats with the niches of target functional groups in mind, the benefits of complexity additions to structures may be maximised. Critically, the finding that the effect of complexity varied among locations, tidal zones and with latitude, highlights the importance of understanding how the effects of complexity are shaped by the local abiotic and biotic
environments before implementing eco-engineering solutions – one size will not necessarily fit all. Manipulative experiments are now needed to confirm how specific environmental and biological factors mediate complexity-biodiversity relationships, within urbanised marine settings and whether the effects of complexity identified over a 12-month period here persist over longer time scales. Moreover, to fully assess the biodiversity benefits of eco-engineering interventions that add complexity, we would also need to compare the complex tiles to the surface of the coastal defence structure and adjacent natural rocky shores.

Eco-engineering, like ecological restoration (Ewel, 1987) provides the ultimate test of ecological theory (Mitsch 1996), by reassembling ecosystems from first principles. A cornerstone of community ecology has been the positive relationship between complexity and diversity (Dean & Connell, 1987; Kovalenko et al., 2012). Our global study challenges this paradigm in demonstrating that at patch-scales complexity effects can range from positive to neutral to negative, depending upon location and functional group. General guidelines to enhance biodiversity in coastal constructions will benefit from a grounding in ecological theory that can help developers predict the influence of local environmental and biotic contexts (Mayer-Pinto et al 2019).
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