



Peninsula Dental School Faculty of Health

2022-06-08

# Isolation of Clostridioides difficile PCR Ribotype 027 from singleuse hospital gown ties

Shannon Waterfield

Humaira Ahmed

Imogen Anne Jones

**Robert Burky** 

Lovleen Tina Joshi Peninsula Dental School

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

#### General rights

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author. **Take down policy** 

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact the library providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Follow this and additional works at: https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/pds-research

#### **Recommended Citation**

Waterfield, S., Ahmed, H., Jones, I., Burky, R., & Joshi, L. (2022) 'Isolation of Clostridioides difficile PCR Ribotype 027 from single-use hospital gown ties', *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 71(6). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.001550

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty of Health at PEARL. It has been accepted for inclusion in Peninsula Dental School by an authorized administrator of PEARL. For more information, please contact openresearch@plymouth.ac.uk.



1	Isolation of Clostridioides difficile PCR Ribotype 027 from
2	single-use hospital gown ties
3	
4	1.1 Author names
5	Shannon Waterfield <sup>1</sup> , Humaira Ahmed <sup>1</sup> , Imogen Anne Jones <sup>1</sup> , Robert Burky <sup>2</sup> , Lovleen Tina Joshi <sup>1*</sup>
6	
7	Lovleen Tina Joshi ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5965-4055
8	
9	1.2 Affiliation
10 11	<sup>1</sup> School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA, UK
12	<sup>2</sup> Adventist Health Hospital, Yuba City, California, USA
13	
14	1.3 Corresponding author
15	*Corresponding Author: tina.joshi@plymouth.ac.uk
16	
17	1.4 Keyword
18	Clostridioides difficile; transmission; spores; gowns; flooring; infection control.
19	
20	2. Abstract
21	Background Clostridioides difficile is a spore-forming pathogen responsible for antibiotic-associated
22	diarrhoea. In the USA high incidence of <i>C. difficile</i> infection (CDI) in clinical environments has led to
23	interest in C. difficile spore transmission. Hypothesis Single use hospital surgical gown ties act as a
24	reservoir for C. difficile spores. Aim This study sought to examine whether single-use hospital surgical
25	gown ties used in surgery, from an acute healthcare facility, harboured C. difficile spores.
26	Methodology Used surgical gowns ties worn by clinicians in the healthcare facility were examined for

*C. difficile* spore presence via spread plate and anaerobic culture. The colonies isolated from each

Company Limited by Guarantee I Registered in England No. 1039582 I Registered Office Charles Darwin House, 12 Roger Street, WC1N 2JU, UK Registered as a Charity: 264017 (England & Wales); SC039250 (Scotland)

gown tie were subcultured on C. difficile selective agar for phenotypic confirmation. Presumptive C. 28 29 difficile colonies were examined using C. difficile Quik Check Complete, 16-23S PCR Ribotyping and 30 MALDI-TOF analysis. Results In total 17 suspected C. difficile colonies were isolated from 15 gown ties 31 via culture. C. difficile Quik Check Complete found two isolates as possible C. difficile. MALDI-TOF and 32 PCR Ribotyping confirmed one isolate as C. difficile PCR ribotype 027 associated with clinical 33 outbreaks. Discussion Our study revealed the presence of hypervirulent C. difficile ribotype 027 spores 34 on single-use gown ties. This highlights the potential of gown ties as a vector of spore transmission 35 across clinical environments, especially when gowns are not worn appropriately. Conclusions 36 Appropriate compliance to infection control procedures by healthcare workers is essential to prevent 37 spore dissemination across clinical facilities and reduce CDI rates.

38

#### 39 **3. Introduction**

*Clostridioides difficile* is a Gram positive, spore forming enteric pathogen and the causative agent of 40 C. difficile associated diarrhoea. C. difficile is the primary cause of healthcare associated infections 41 42 (HCAIs) in the USA, with an incidence of 306, 500 cases in 2011 and 235, 700 cases in 2017, and  $\sim$ 15000 deaths per year, with an approximately economic burden \$1.5 - 3.2 billion annually <sup>1,2</sup>. This 43 44 incidence has been attributed to an epidemic strain of C. difficile: BI/NAP1/027 that has caused 45 outbreaks in the USA and Europe<sup>3</sup>. This strain is hypervirulent due to fluoroquinolone resistance, 46 production of binary toxin, increased toxin production, and higher sporulation rates <sup>4</sup>. The organism produces spores that are able to resist biocides <sup>5</sup> and persist on a range of clinical surfaces including 47 48 wheelchairs, flooring, surgical gowns and bedrails <sup>6,7,8</sup>. These surfaces are implicated in spore 49 transmission and may contribute to increasing incidence of C. difficile infection (CDI)<sup>9</sup>.

Healthcare textiles, such as surgical gowns, are recognised as potential sources of HCAIs; however
 their exact role has yet to be elucidated due to limited epidemiological studies in the area <sup>10</sup>. These

porous surfaces act as a reservoir for microorganisms, including spores, which can attach and survive within the fibres <sup>7-12</sup>. Isolation gowns are the protective garments worn by health care workers (HCWs) as a physical barrier to primarily prevent the transmission of pathogens between HCWs and patients <sup>12</sup>. HCWs may also self-contaminate when removing personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves or gowns. Upon contact with biological fluids, gowns must be discarded or laundered appropriately for decontamination so that the gowns themselves do not serve as vectors of transmission <sup>13</sup>.

59 Two types of gown are available and possess varying properties: (a) single-use made from non-woven, 60 synthetic fibres such as polyethylene or (b) multi-use commonly made from woven fabric such as 61 cotton or polyester <sup>12</sup>. These fibres may also be used in combination with plastic films to offer an 62 enhanced liquid barrier. Contaminated gowns act as fomites, as do gloves or drapes, and can 63 propagate the transmission of microorganisms to further patients, HCPs, or the environment, 64 especially if used inappropriately. In the current study we sought to determine whether used single-65 use hospital surgical isolation gown ties, worn inappropriately during surgery from a US healthcare 66 facility, act as fomites and harbour *C. difficile* spores.

67

## 68 4. Methods

Adventist Health Hospital was noted as being a statistical outlier for hospital acquired *C. difficile* in the region of California. The Californian Department of Health revealed that the Standardised Infection Ratios (SIR) for CDI between 2013- 2016 (SIR 2.13- 1.11) were higher than other hospitals in the USA. In October 2015, Consumer Reports (national US publication) noted that out of 3000 facilities in the USA, Adventist Health Hospital was in the bottom 12 for infection prevention based on incidence rates of CDI and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (Dr R. Burky, Infection Control Consultant, Adventist Health Hospital; *personal communication*). Infection Control staff at Adventist 76 Health Hospital surmised the cause of these hospital-wide CDI cases might be inappropriate use of 77 PPE. This manifested as a problem with staff compliance in securing gown ties and wearing 78 polypropylene isolation gowns inappropriately, which was hospital-wide (Dr R Burky; personal 79 communication). Moreover, in the affected wards, surgery staff were routinely noted as wearing 80 single-use surgical gowns without the tiebacks being tied, and walking in and out of the operating 81 theatre without disposing the gowns. This resulted in the gown ties dragging around the floor during 82 surgery, potentially picking up infectious material. Thus, to ascertain whether these gown tiebacks were involved in spore transmission and picked up C. difficile spores, culture analysis of the tiebacks 83 84 was conducted between 2016-2018.

85 Gown Sampling: Single- use surgical gowns were immediately obtained from (a) the Intensive Care 86 Unit and (b) Medical Surgery units after removal by clinicians in the surgical theatre. Gown ties were 87 selected for sampling after observation of incorrect staff compliance to securing the gowns. The gown 88 ties were then aseptically removed from the gowns (via cutting) and individually packaged at source 89 within Adventist Health and Rideout Hospital, USA. The ties were not handled or used after contact 90 but were instead placed into sterile containers for transport. The gown ties were sent via secure post 91 to the United Kingdom for immediate analysis in late 2016. They were sent to the United Kingdom as 92 researchers had a prior published collaboration and experience of C. difficile spore isolation in clinical settings <sup>7,14</sup>. In total, 15 surgical gown ties were received for analysis. The hospital surgical gowns were 93 produced by MediChoice, as described previously by Dyer et al (2019)<sup>7</sup> and made from fluid-resistant 94 95 spunbond-meltdown-spunbond (SMS) polypropylene laminate at American National Standards 96 Institute (AAMI) PB70:2012 level 2. No ethical approvals at Adventist Health were required as only the 97 discarded used gowns were taken for sampling.

98 *C. difficile* culture:

To examine whether *C. difficile* was present on the gown ties, which had touched the operating theatre floor, the 15 full samples of gown (20 cm strips) were aseptically placed into 20 ml sterile deionised water (SDW) and vortex mixed to dislodge any possible spores. Each sample was then centrifuged at 5,000 × g and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml of SDW, heated to 80°C for 10 min to inactivate any remaining vegetative cells, and subsequently stored at 4°C. Each sample was then enumerated for spores in reduced brain heart infusion (BHIS) broth (Oxoid Ltd, UK) supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) sodium taurocholate (Sigma Aldrich, UK), and plated on BHIS agar as described previously <sup>14</sup>.

106 D-cycloserine (500µg/mL) and cefoxitin (16µg/mL) fructose agar (CCFA; Oxoid Ltd, UK) was used for 107 selective culture of *C. difficile* from the BHIS agar. All suspected cultures were incubated anaerobically 108 at 37 °C for 48 hours in a Don Whitley Scientific anaerobic workstation using an 85% nitrogen, 10% 109 carbon dioxide and 5% hydrogen gas mix. Clinical toxigenic and a non- toxigenic isolates of C. difficile 110 were used as growth and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) controls (Table 1), and were obtained from 111 the Anaerobic Reference Unit (ARU), University Hospital Wales, Cardiff, UK. Unless otherwise stated, all isolated organisms were stored on ProtectBeads<sup>™</sup> at 4 °C. All experiments described were 112 113 conducted in triplicate (n=3).

#### 114 *C. difficile* phenotypic confirmation:

All spores isolated from the gown ties were streaked to purity on CCFA, and colonies were examined for typical *C. difficile* morphology. Colonies were then checked for anaerobic growth, gamma haemolysis, odour, *chartreuse* fluorescence under Ultra Violet light, and Gram stain (Positive) <sup>16,17</sup>.

118 *C. difficile* Quik Chek Immunoassay:

The C. Diff Quik Chek Complete dual-antigen enzyme immunoassay (EIA) was performed according to
 manufacturer's instructions (TechLab, UK) and as described previously <sup>18</sup>. Briefly, instead of using stool
 samples, 25 μl of suspected *C. difficile* mixed with sterilized deionised water (diH2O) was added to the

assay alongside diluent and conjugates. Results were read 10 minutes after the assay was completed.

123 Samples were determined to be *C. difficile* positive if bands were visible for Glutamate dehydrogenase

124 (GDH) and/or toxins. This test was performed twice for each sample (n=2).

#### 125 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM was used to examine the morphology of presumptive *C. difficile* spores before and after NaOCl exposure. Samples were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and were transferred onto Nuclepore membranes (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) which were sputter coated with gold palladium (60% Au and 40% Pd from Testbourne Ltd) and argon was used as the sputtering gas. An accelerating voltage of 15kV was used to view 10 spores per sample at magnifications of x 8,500-20,000 (JEOL JSM-6610 Series SEM).

131 **DNA Extraction from** *C. difficile* using Chelex 100 Genomic DNA was extracted from suspected *C*.

132 *difficile* as described previously <sup>19,20</sup>. DNA was quantified in µg/ml using the Qubit<sup>™</sup> dsDNA BR Assay

133 Kit and read using the Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Fisher Scientific, UK), following the manufacturers

134 protocol.

#### 135 Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

136 A multiplex PCR targeting topoisomerase (*tpi*), toxin A (*tcdA*) and toxin B (*tcdB*) genes as described by 137 Lemee et al  $(2004)^{21}$  was used for *C. difficile* identification and toxin characterisation (n = 2) using a 138 Taq PCR Kit (New England BioLabs, UK). The tpi generates 230-bp amplified fragments, tcdA generates 139 369-bp amplified fragments (Toxin A+ B+) or 110-bp fragments (Toxin A- B+) and tcdB generates 160bp fragments. PCR was performed on a T100<sup>™</sup> thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, UK) using 25µl volumes. 140 141 Cycling parameters were: 95°C initial denaturation for 3 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 142 30 seconds, 54°C annealing for 30 seconds, 72°C extension for 1 minute and 72°C final elongation for 143 5 minutes. PCR products were analysed via gel electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel at 80 V for 60 minutes (n = 2). Quick-Load<sup>®</sup> 1kb Plus DNA Ladder (New England BioLabs, UK) was used and GelRed<sup>®</sup>
 Loading Buffer (Biotium Inc, USA) was used for gel fluorescent staining.

#### 146 Molecular Confirmation of *C. difficile*

The final presumptive *C. difficile* isolates were sent for MALDI-TOF<sup>22</sup> analysis and 16S- 23S rRNA PCR
 Ribotyping <sup>20</sup> confirmation at the ARU, University Hospital Wales, UK.

## 149 **5. Results**

In total 15 samples of contaminated, single-use hospital gown ties were examined for the presence of
 *C. difficile*. Of the 15 samples, 23 presumptive colonies were grown on CCFA media, and subsequent
 phenotypic analysis revealed 8 isolates that exhibited phenotypic characteristics (e.g. Gram positive,
 growth on CCFA, odour, *chartreuse* fluorescence under UV light) similar to those of *C. difficile* colonies
 <sup>16</sup> (Table 2). Four samples including 2B were taken for further analysis based on positive phenotypic
 characteristics. The *C. difficile* identification process used in this study is shown in Figure 1.

The C. DIFF Quik Chek Complete <sup>18</sup> assay only found one out of the four presumptive samples to be *C*.
 *difficile* positive, producing visible bands for GDH and toxins A and B <sup>18</sup>. All controls produced positive
 results, except for strain DS1684 which was toxin negative.

Molecular testing via multiplex PCR<sup>21</sup> confirmed this result with this sample (designated 2B) showing a species specific fragment at approximately 200 base pairs correlating to the presence of the *C*. *difficile tpi* gene (Figure 2). This fragment was also detected for the positive controls. Non-deleted *tcdA* fragments (A+B+) were detected for *C. difficile* DS1813, R20291 and CD630 at approximately 400 base pairs. The *tcdB* gene was detected for *C. difficile* R20291 and CD630 at approximately 150 base pairs. No fragments for *tpi, tcdA* or *tcdB* genes were produced for other samples tested. 165 Further identification of these 4 isolates was undertaken through MALDI-TOF <sup>22</sup> analysis at the ARU, 166 Wales, UK (Table 3). The spore forming capacity of isolates 2B and 7 was confirmed via scanning 167 electron microscopy where typical spore morphology can be seen in Figure 3A and 3C. This was not 168 evident in isolates 5 and 8 (Figure 3B and 3C). Sample 7 was confirmed to be presumptive Bacillus 169 cereus via MALDI TOF, sample 5 to be a Propionibacterium species and sample 8 to be Clostridium 170 tertium <sup>23,24</sup>. Importantly, sample 2B was confirmed to be *C. difficile* and subsequent PCR Ribotyping confirmed this isolate to be an epidemic PCR Ribotype 027<sup>3</sup>. This sample was isolated from gown ties 171 172 sampled within the Medical Surgery department at Adventist Health & Rideout Hospital, USA.

173

174

#### 175 **6. Discussion**

176 This study examined the ability of single-use surgical gown ties to harbour C. difficile spores through inappropriate use of PPE. While an algorithm of phenotypic and molecular methods were used to 177 178 specifically isolate C. difficile spores, such as CCFA, we isolated other Gram positive spore formers 179 which exhibited similar growth characteristics to C. difficile, demonstrating the limitations of these isolation methods <sup>25</sup>. Isolated species included foodborne pathogen *Bacillus cereus* which can cause 180 severe nosocomial infections <sup>26</sup> and the little-studied *Clostridium tertium* which primarily infects 181 neutropenic patients, causing bacteraemia <sup>27</sup>. C. tertium is not a common healthcare associated 182 183 pathogen; however, its isolation from the gown ties can be attributed to its presence on the hospital 184 floor. This is a concern due to C. tertium's ability to cause bacteraemia in patients with recent abdominal surgery <sup>277</sup>. 185

From SEM analysis (Figure 2) the spores formed by these Gram positive Bacilli appear to have similar features including raised bumps across the spore coat <sup>7, 14, 28, 29</sup>. CDI outbreaks are becoming more frequent so "one-step" molecular identification methods for patient diagnosis are becoming increasingly valuable tools <sup>18,19</sup>. Thus, we used the C DIFF Quick Chek Complete <sup>18</sup> to determine toxin production and GDH antigen presence from each isolate. We combined this with a multiplex PCR assay to determine whether our suspected *C. difficile* isolates possessed any specific *C. difficile* genes. The PCR detected *tpi* genes amongst sample 2B only (Figure 2) and the positive controls demonstrating that this sample was likely to be a *C. difficile* strain. Subsequent MALDI TOF analysis and *C. difficile*specific 16-23S rRNA PCR Ribotyping effectively confirmed the identity of the suspected *C. difficile* species as a 027 hypervirulent ribotype, implicated in severe CDI outbreaks <sup>1,3</sup>.

196 Clinical environments comprise of a variety of surfaces that can harbour pathogens able to cause HCAIs <sup>30</sup>. These contaminated surfaces range from flooring to bedpans, hospital equipment and the 197 198 hands of HCWs, often leading to increased infection case rates and implementation of infection control practices to prevent pathogen transmission <sup>7, 31</sup>. Hospital floors are an important pathogen 199 reservoir lending to the easy spread of disinfection-resilient pathogens such as *C. difficile*<sup>32,33</sup>. The role 200 201 of flooring in aiding the spread of pathogens through vectors such as shoes, socks and even wheelchairs has become a subject of interest in recent years with pathogens including methicillin 202 203 resistant Staphylococcus aureus, C. difficile spores and common viruses being studied <sup>6,7,32-35</sup>. Indeed a 204 clear limitation of this study is the lack of environmental sampling, meaning that while the presence 205 of *C. difficile* was confirmed on the gown ties, the nosocomial source of *C. difficile* was not identified.

Improper and inappropriate use of PPE, including re-using single-use hospital gowns, can also contribute to pathogen spread and increasing patient HCAI case rates <sup>8,10-13</sup>. Single-use gowns have yet to be recognised as important sources of pathogen transmission in clinical environments, likely due to the instructions for "single-use" and immediate disposal <sup>12,13</sup>. However, with pressures on clinical resources, time and economics, especially during the COVID19 pandemic, HCW compliance to "single-use" may decline <sup>36</sup>. This compliance may also be compounded by the fact that society is currently attempting to reduce plastic waste in an effort to combat climate change and protect the
 environment <sup>37</sup>.

214 In the current study we found that single-use gowns harboured spores of healthcare associated pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. This demonstrates the potential of healthcare textiles as fomites and 215 216 a medium for pathogen transmission. Single-use gown fabrics are designed to repel fluids and are more commonly employed in the USA (80%) than in Europe <sup>11,12</sup>. Differences in the physiochemical 217 218 properties of gowns have been shown to play a critical role in the dissemination of microorganisms throughout clinical environments <sup>7,8,10,14</sup>. In this study, *C. difficile* spores not only adhere to the single-219 220 use, repellent fabrics but the fabric fibres did not demonstrate the ability to trap spores effectively as the hospital in question had increasing CDI rates <sup>7,11</sup>. Moreover the HCWs were letting the gown ties 221 222 trail on hospital floors without disposing immediately after use (Dr. R Burky: personal communication). 223 A direct link has been found between bacterial colonisation of hospital uniforms and patient 224 morbidity; an example is *C. difficile* spore dissemination in intensive care units and geriatric wards 225 where immunocompromised patients are at a higher risk. This strengthens the need to ensure HCW 226 education and compliance in using PPE appropriately<sup>38,39</sup>.

227 In conclusions, our findings demonstrate that single-use hospital gowns can act as fomites and in 228 pathogen transmission, especially when not used appropriately. Interventions such as increasing 229 compliance and appropriate use by ensuring gown ties do not drag upon the floor, changing single-230 use gowns between patients and disposing of them immediately after use may aid in reducing 231 pathogen dissemination. Further research is required to elucidate the chain of transmission between 232 floors, HCWs and gowns and the correlation between patient CDI case rates. This study suggests that 233 current infection control procedures should be audited to ensure compliance and effective 234 disinfection of clinical surfaces.

# 235 7. Author statements

## 236 7.1 Authors and contributors

- SW: Formal analysis, Data Curation, Investigation, Writing original draft, Writing review &
   editing.
- 239 HA: Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing review & editing.
- 240 IAJ: Writing original draft, Writing review & editing
- 241 RB: Conceptualization, Resources
- 242 LTJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project
- administration, Supervision, Resources, Writing original draft, Writing review & editing.
- 244

## 245 7.2 Conflicts of interest

- 246 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
- 247

## 248 7.3 Funding information

- This work was supported by Robert Burky and the University of Plymouth. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.
- 251

## 252 7.4 Ethical Statement

- 253 No Ethical Approvals at Adventist Health & Rideout Hospital were required as only the discarded
- used gowns were taken for sampling at source. No human work was carried out.
- 255

## 256 7.5 Acknowledgements

- 257 Authors wish to thank Trefor Morris and team at the Anaerobic Reference Unit, University Hospital
- 258 Wales, Cardiff, UK for assisting with PCR Ribotyping and MALDI-TOF of the presumptive C. difficile
- 259 samples. We also thank Plymouth Electron Microscopy Centre for their support with Scanning Electron
- 260 Microscopy studies.

261

# 262 **8. References**

Guh AY, Mu Y, Winston LG, Johnston H, Olson D, Farley MM, Wilson LE, Holzbauer SM, Phipps
 EC, Dumyati GK, Beldavs ZG. Trends in US burden of Clostridioides difficile infection and outcomes.
 New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Apr 2;382(14):1320-30. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910215

Louh IK, Greendyke WG, Hermann EA, Davidson KW, Falzon L, Vawdrey DK, Shaffer JA, Calfee
 DP, Furuya EY, Ting HH. Clostridium difficile infection in acute care hospitals: systematic review and
 best practices for prevention. Infection control & hospital epidemiology. 2017 Apr;38(4):476-82.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.324

McDonald, L.C., Killgore, G.E., Thompson, A., Owens Jr, R.C., Kazakova, S.V., Sambol, S.P.,
 Johnson, S. and Gerding, D.N., 2005. An epidemic, toxin gene–variant strain of Clostridium difficile.
 New England Journal of Medicine, 353(23), pp.2433-2441. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa051590

Stabler RA, Dawson LF, Phua LT, Wren BW. Comparative analysis of BI/NAP1/027
 hypervirulent strains reveals novel toxin B-encoding gene (tcdB) sequences. Journal of medical
 microbiology. 2008 Jun 1;57(6):771-5. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.47743-0

5. Jones IA, Joshi LT. Biocide Use in the Antimicrobial Era: A Review. Molecules. 2021
Jan;26(8):2276. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26082276

Jencson AL, Cadnum JL, Wilson BM, Donskey CJ. Spores on wheels: Wheelchairs are a potential
 vector for dissemination of pathogens in healthcare facilities. American journal of infection control.
 2019 Apr 1;47(4):459-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.09.030

Dyer C, Hutt LP, Burky R, Joshi LT. Biocide resistance and transmission of Clostridium difficile
 spores spiked onto clinical surfaces from an American health care facility. Applied and environmental
 microbiology. 2019 Jul 12;85(17):e01090-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01090-19

Tarrant, J., Jenkins, R., & Laird, K. (2018), From Ward to Washer: The Survival of Clostridium
 difficile spores on Hospital Bedsheets through a Commercial UK NHS Healthcare Laundry Process.
 Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 0, 1-6 doi:10.1017/ice.2018.255

287 9. Reveles KR, Pugh MJ, Lawson KA, Mortensen EM, Koeller JM, Argamany JR, Frei CR. Shift to 288 community-onset Clostridium difficile infection in the national Veterans Health Administration, 2003-289 2014. American journal of infection control. 2018 Apr 1;46(4):431-5. 290 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2017.09.020

29110.Owen L, Laird K. The role of textiles as fomites in the healthcare environment: a review of the292infection control risk. PeerJ. 2020 Aug 25;8:e9790. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9790

11. Reddy SC, Valderrama AL, Kuhar DT. Improving the use of personal protective equipment:
applying lessons learned. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2019 Sep 13;69(Supplement\_3):S165-70.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz619

12. Kilinc FS. A review of isolation gowns in healthcare: fabric and gown properties. Journal of
engineered fibers and fabrics. 2015 Sep; 10 (3): 155892501501000313.
https://doi.org/10.1177%2F155892501501000313

Balci FS. Isolation gowns in health care settings: Laboratory studies, regulations and standards,
and potential barriers of gown selection and use. American journal of infection control. 2016 Jan
1;44(1):104-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.07.042

302 14. Joshi LT, Phillips DS, Williams CF, Alyousef A, Baillie L. Contribution of spores to the ability of
303 Clostridium difficile to adhere to surfaces. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2012 Nov
304 1;78(21):7671-9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01862-12

Mitchell A, Spencer M, Edmiston Jr C. Role of healthcare apparel and other healthcare textiles
in the transmission of pathogens: a review of the literature. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2015 Aug
1;90(4):285-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.02.017

308 16. Weese JS, Reid-Smith RJ, Avery BP, Rousseau J. Detection and characterization of Clostridium
309 difficile in retail chicken. Letters in applied microbiology. 2010 Apr;50(4):362-5.
310 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2010.02802.x

17. Edwards AN, Karim ST, Pascual RA, Jowhar LM, Anderson SE, McBride SM. Chemical and stress
 resistances of Clostridium difficile spores and vegetative cells. Frontiers in microbiology. 2016 Oct
 26;7:1698. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01698

18. Quinn CD, Sefers SE, Babiker W, He Y, Alcabasa R, Stratton CW, Carroll KC, Tang YW. C. Diff
Quik Chek complete enzyme immunoassay provides a reliable first-line method for detection of
Clostridium difficile in stool specimens. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2010 Feb;48(2):603-5.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01614-09

31819.Joshi LT, Mali BL, Geddes CD, Baillie L. Extraction and sensitive detection of toxins A and B319from the human pathogen Clostridium difficile in 40 seconds using microwave-accelerated metal-320enhancedfluorescence.PLoSOne.2014Aug27;9(8):e104334.321https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104334

322 20. Stubbs SL, Brazier JS, O'Neill GL, Duerden BI. PCR targeted to the 16S-23S rRNA gene intergenic 323 spacer region of Clostridium difficile and construction of a library consisting of 116 different PCR clinical 324 Journal of microbiology. 1999 Feb 1;37(2):461-3. ribotypes. 325 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.37.2.461-463.1999

Lemee L, Dhalluin A, Testelin S, Mattrat MA, Maillard K, Lemeland JF, Pons JL. Multiplex PCR
targeting tpi (triose phosphate isomerase), tcdA (Toxin A), and tcdB (Toxin B) genes for toxigenic
culture of Clostridium difficile. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2004 Dec;42(12):5710-4.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.12.5710-5714.2004

Veloo AC, Jean-Pierre H, Justesen US, Morris T, Urban E, Wybo I, Kostrzewa M, Friedrich AW.
An overview of the data obtained during the validation of an optimized MALDI-TOF MS Biotyper
database for the identification of anaerobic bacteria. Data in brief. 2018 Jun 1;18:1484-96.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.04.070

334 23. Glasset B, Herbin S, Granier SA, Cavalié L, Lafeuille E, Guérin C, Ruimy R, Casagrande-Magne 335 F, Levast M, Chautemps N, Decousser JW. Bacillus cereus, a serious cause of nosocomial infections: epidemiologic 23;13(5):e0194346. 336 and genetic survey. PLoS One. 2018 May 337 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194346

Wazir M, Jain AG, Nadeem M, Rahman AU, Everett G. Clostridium tertium bacteremia in a
non-neutropenic patient with liver cirrhosis. Cureus. 2019 Apr;11(4).
https://dx.doi.org/10.7759%2Fcureus.4432

Tyrrell KL, Citron DM, Leoncio ES, Merriam CV, Goldstein EJ. Evaluation of cycloserine-cefoxitin
fructose agar (CCFA), CCFA with horse blood and taurocholate, and cycloserine-cefoxitin mannitol
broth with taurocholate and lysozyme for recovery of Clostridium difficile isolates from fecal samples.
Journal of clinical microbiology. 2013 Sep;51(9):3094-6. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00879-13

Barrie D, Hoffman PN, Wilson JA, Kramer JM. Contamination of hospital linen by Bacillus
cereus. Epidemiology & Infection. 1994 Oct;113(2):297-306.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800051724

Vongsavath T, Salvo R. S2657 Clostridium tertium Liver Abscess: A Case Report on Bacteremia
Following Prolonged Common Bile Stent. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology ACG. 2021 Oct 1;116:S1114-5. doi: 10.14309/01.ajg.0000784160.34589.a1

351 28. Bulla LA, Julian GS, Rhodes RA, Hesseltine CW. Scanning electron and phase-contrast
352 microscopy of bacterial spores. Applied microbiology. 1969 Sep;18(3):490-5.
353 https://doi.org/10.1128/am.18.3.490-495.1969

Panessa-Warren BJ, Tortora GT, Warren JB. High resolution FESEM and TEM reveal bacterial
spore attachment. Microscopy and Microanalysis. 2007 Aug;13(4):251-66.
doi:10.1017/S1431927607070651

30. Weinstein RA, Hota B. Contamination, disinfection, and cross-colonization: are hospital
surfaces reservoirs for nosocomial infection? Clinical infectious diseases. 2004 Oct 15;39(8):1182-9.
https://doi.org/10.1086/424667

360 31. Wilson GM, Jackson VB, Boyken LD, Schweizer ML, Diekema DJ, Petersen CA, Breheny PJ,
361 Nonnenmann MW, Perencevich EN, CDC Prevention Epicenter Program. Bioaerosols generated from
362 toilet flushing in rooms of patients with Clostridioides difficile infection. Infection Control & Hospital
363 Epidemiology. 2020 May;41(5):517-21. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.11

364 32. Redmond SN, Pearlmutter BS, Ng-Wong YK, Alhmidi H, Cadnum JL, Silva SY, Wilson BM, 365 Donskey CJ. Timing and route of contamination of hospitalized patient rooms with healthcare-366 associated pathogens. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2021 Jan 12:1-6. 367 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1367

368 33. Deshpande A, Cadnum JL, Fertelli D, et al. Are hospital floors an underappreciated reservoir
369 for transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens? American Journal of Infection Control
370 2017;45:336–338 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.11.005

34. Koganti S, Alhmidi H, Tomas ME, Cadnum JL, Jencson A, Donskey CJ. Evaluation of hospital
floors as a potential source of pathogen dissemination using a nonpathogenic virus as a surrogate
marker. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37:1374–1377 https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.181

374 35. Katoh I, Tanabe F, Kasai H, Moriishi K, Shimasaki N, Shinohara K, Uchida Y, Koshiba T, Arakawa
375 S, Morimoto M. Potential risk of virus carryover by fabrics of personal protective gowns. Frontiers in
376 public health. 2019 May 22;7:121. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00121

36. Hicks A, Temizel-Sekeryan S, Kontar W, Ghamkhar R, Morris MR. Personal respiratory
protection and resiliency in a pandemic, the evolving disposable versus reusable debate and its effect
on waste generation. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 2020 Nov 20:105262.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105262

37. Singh N, Tang Y, Ogunseitan OA. Environmentally sustainable management of used personal
protective equipment. Environmental science & technology. 2020 Jun 29;54(14):8500-2.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c03022

38. Prechter F, Katzer K, Bauer M, Stallmach A. Sleeping with the enemy: Clostridium difficile
infection in the intensive care unit. Critical care. 2017 Dec;21(1):1-0. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054017-1819-6

387 39. Crobach MJ, Vernon JJ, Loo VG, Kong LY, Péchiné S, Wilcox MH, Kuijper EJ. Understanding
388 Clostridium difficile colonization. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2018 Mar 14;31(2):e00021-17.
389 https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00021-17

- 390
- 391
- 392
- 393
- 394
- 395
- 396
- 397
- 398

# 399 9. Figures and tables

400 **TABLES** 

## 401 Table 1 *Clostridioides difficile* strains used in this study

402	C. difficile strain	PCR Ribotype	Source				
403	R20291	027	Stoke- Mandeville, UK				
404	DS1813	027	Hinchingbrooke, UK				
-	CD630	012	NCTC				
405	DS1684	010	Brighton, UK				
406							
407	*NCTC -National Collection of Type Cultures						

Page 15 of 17

		<i>,</i> ,	•								
	Sample	CCFA	Odor	Fluorescence UV Light	under	Gamma Hemolysis	C. DIFF Quik Chek				
	Number	Growth									
							GDH	Toxin A/B			
	1, 3, 4,	+	-	-		+	-	-			
	2B	+	+	+		+	+	+			
	5, 7,8	+	-	+		+	-	-			
410											
411	*CCFA- Cycloserine and cefoxitin fructose agar										
412											
413	Table 3 Confirmation of Sample Identity										
414	Sa	mple Number	Result								
415											
416	2B   C. difficile Ribotype 027										
417	5 Propionibacterium spp										
418	7 Presumptive <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (MALDI-TOF)										
419	8		Clostridiu	m tertium							
420											
421											
422	FIGURES										
423											
424	-	: Workflow of <i>C. di</i>									
425	The process of isolation of presumptive <i>C. difficile</i> from the gown ties is described. The phenotypic										
426	testing on Cycloserine-Cefoxitin Fructose Agar (CCFA), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM),										
427	Multiplex PCR, Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry										
428	(MALDI-TOF MS) and 16S-23S rRNA PCR ribotyping was used to fully analyse suspected C. difficile										
429	isolates and identify species.										

# 409 **Table 2 Phenotypic characterisation of presumptive** *C. difficile* colonies.

Page 16 of 17

# 408

430

431

#### 432 Figure 2: Multiplex PCR of suspected *C. difficile* isolates

Agarose gel electrophoresis of a multiplex PCR used for identification and toxigenic type 433 characterisation of presumptive C. difficile isolates, previously isolated from used hospital gowns. 434 435 M represents Quick-Load<sup>®</sup> 1kb plus ladder. Lane 1 represents sample 2B, lane 2 represents sample 19, lane 3 represents sample 17, lane 4 represents sample 12. Positive controls for the tpi and 436 tcdA and tcdB genes were in lane 5 -C. difficile DS1813, lane 6 - C. difficile R20291, and lane 8 - C. 437 438 difficile CD630. Negative control for tcdA and tcdB genes was lane 7 - C. difficile DS1684 and nuclease free-water and an E. coli K12 was used in Lane 9 as a non-clostridial strain control. 439 Arrows indicate number of base pairs correlated to the DNA bands detected. 440

441

442

#### 443 Figure 3: Scanning Electron microscopy of suspected *C. difficile* isolates

444 Scanning electron microscopy of presumptive *C. difficile* isolated from contaminated single-use 445 hospital gowns. Spores were produced and harvested from each purified sample after selective 446 culture. (A) represents sample 2B- *C. difficile* 027 spore, (B) represents sample 5 a 447 Propionibacterium *spp* (C) represents sample 7a Presumptive *Bacillus cereus* spore and (D) 448 represents sample 8 a *Clostridium tertium* spore. Scale bar is 100 nm.

449

450