



More Faculty of Health Research Faculty of Health

2018-01-01

Response to Serrao and Goodchild

J. R. Sneyd Faculty of Health

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

General rights

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author. **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact the library providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Follow this and additional works at: https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/more-foh-research

Recommended Citation

Sneyd, J. (2018) 'Response to Serrao and Goodchild', *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 120(4), pp. 878-879. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2018.01.007

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty of Health at PEARL. It has been accepted for inclusion in More Faculty of Health Research by an authorized administrator of PEARL. For more information, please contact openresearch@plymouth.ac.uk.



PEARL

Response to Serrao and Goodchild

Sneyd, J. R.

Published in: British Journal of Anaesthesia

DOI: 10.1016/j.bja.2018.01.007

Publication date: 2018

Link: Link to publication in PEARL

Citation for published version (APA):

Sneyd, J. R. (2018). Response to Serrao and Goodchild. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, *120*(4), 878-879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2018.01.007

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Wherever possible please cite the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content

should be sought from the publisher or author.

Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

Peninsula Medical School

2018-04

Response to Serrao and Goodchild

Sneyd, JR

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/11376

10.1016/j.bja.2018.01.007 British Journal of Anaesthesia Elsevier

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

CORRESPONDENCE

Response to Serrao and Goodchild

J. Robert Sneyd

Plymouth University Peninsula Schools of Medicine and Dentistry, The John Bull Building, Research Way,

Plymouth Science Park, Plymouth PL6 8BU, UK

E-mail: robert.sneyd@pms.ac.uk.

EditordSerrao and Goodchild1 have correctly identified an author's error2 for which I apologise. The lipid-free presentation of an i.v. anaesthetic is a sensible ambition, and their strategy of formulating alphaxalone in a 7-sulphobutyl ether b-cyclodextrin solution 13% is rational. Their preliminary publications confirm that, in this vehicle, alphaxalone maintains its characteristic of haemodynamic stability whilst being somewhat less lethal to rats than alphaxalone in Cremophor EL 20%.3

I am also hopeful for new i.v. anaesthetics, but enthusiasm has to be grounded against the universal availability of lowpriced generic propofol. To achieve commercial success will require satisfying regulators that the vehicle is safe and persuading anaesthetists that the haemodynamic improvements are important.

Sulphobutyl ether b-cyclodextrin is already used as a vehicle for injectables, but in very different volumes to those required for total i.v. anaesthesia and intensive care sedation. Clinicians often administer medicines outwith their licensed doses and indications, so considering the extremes is appropriate. When alphaxalone formulated as Althesin (alphaxalone 9 mg ml_1 and alphadolone 3 mg ml_1) was used for intensive care unit sedation, the average infusion rate for sedation was 0.079 ml_1 kg_1 h_1, and one patient weighing 55 kg received a total of 4367 ml (79.4 ml kg_1) infused at 0.2339 ml_1 kg h_1.4 At present, the relative potencies of alphaxalone in sulphobutyl ether b-cyclodextrin 13% and Althesin have not been reported. Nevertheless, sulphobutyl ether b-cyclodextrin 13%, 0.079 ml kg_1 h_1 would present a cyclodextrin dose of 246.5 mg kg_1 day_1. Sulphobutyl ether bcyclodextrin

13%, 79.4 ml kg_1 is a dose of 10 322 mg kg_1.

Renal and hepatic toxicities have been described in rats receiving sulphobutyl ether b-cyclodextrin 3000 mg kg_1 albeit over a shorter period.5

Haemodynamic stability with alphaxalone is certainly superior to propofol6; however, virtually any patient may be safely anaesthetised with propofol in judicious doses. Bell and Goodchild7 have described the safety of i.v. anaesthesia with propofol in a patient with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy and aortic obstruction.

Declaration of interest

J.R.S. has received honoraria for advice on pharmaceutical development projects from The Medicines Company, Maruishi Pharmaceutical, and Altus Formulation.

References

1. Serrao J, Goodchild C. Comments on: thiopental to desfluranedan anaesthetic journey. Where are we going next?

Br JAnaesth 2018. this issue [preceding letter; update citation] 2. Sneyd JR. Thiopental to desfluranedan anaesthetic journey.

Where are we going next? Br J Anaesth 2017; 119: i44e52

3. Goodchild CS, Serrao JM, Kolosov A, Boyd BJ. Alphaxalone reformulated: a water-soluble intravenous anesthetic preparation in sulfobutyl-ether-beta-cyclodextrin. Anesth Analg 2015; 120: 1025e31

4. Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R. Controlled sedation with alphaxalone-alphadolone. Br Med J 1974; 2: 656e9

5. Luke DR, Tomaszewski K, Damle B, Schlamm HT. Review of the basic and clinical pharmacology of sulfobutyletherbetacyclodextrin (SBECD). J Pharm Sci 2010; 99: 3291e301 Crown Copyright © 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Journal of Anaesthesia. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: permissions@elsevier.com 1 British Journal of Anaesthesia, • (•): 1e2 (2018)