



UNIVERSITY OF  
PLYMOUTH



School of Biomedical Sciences  
Faculty of Health

2017-06-21

## A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11 years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status

R Al-Kutbe

A Payne

Looy A de

GA Rees *School of Biomedical Sciences*

*Let us know how access to this document benefits you*

### General rights

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please [contact the library](#) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/bhs-research>

---

### Recommended Citation

Al-Kutbe, R., Payne, A., de, L., & Rees, G. (2017) 'A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11 years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status', *BMC Public Health*, . Retrieved from <https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/bhs-research/67>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty of Health at PEARL. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Biomedical Sciences by an authorized administrator of PEARL. For more information, please contact [openresearch@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@plymouth.ac.uk).



PEARL

**A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11 years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status**

Al-Kutbe, R; Payne, A; de, Looy A; Rees, GA

**Published in:**  
BMC Public Health

**Publication date:**  
2017

**Document version:**  
Peer reviewed version

**Link:**  
[Link to publication in PEARL](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**  
Al-Kutbe, R., Payne, A., de, L. A., & Rees, GA. (2017). A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11 years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status. *BMC Public Health*, 0(0).

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Wherever possible please cite the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Download date: 31. Oct. 2024

1 **A comparison of nutritional intake and daily physical activity of girls aged 8-11**  
2 **years old in Makkah, Saudi Arabia according to weight status.**

3 Rabab Al-Kutbe<sup>1</sup>, Anne Payne<sup>2</sup>, Anne de Looy<sup>2</sup> and Gail A Rees<sup>1\*</sup>

4 [Rabab.alkutbe@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:Rabab.alkutbe@plymouth.ac.uk)

5 [anne.payne@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:anne.payne@plymouth.ac.uk)

6 [adelooy@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:adelooy@plymouth.ac.uk)

7

8 <sup>1</sup>School of Biomedical and Healthcare Sciences, Plymouth University

9 <sup>2</sup> School of Health Professions, Plymouth University

10 \*Corresponding author: [gail.rees@plymouth.ac.uk](mailto:gail.rees@plymouth.ac.uk)

11 School of Biomedical and Healthcare Sciences

12 Plymouth University,

13 Drake Circus

14 Plymouth,

15 Devon, PL4 8AA, UK

16 Tel: 01752 584647

17

18

19

## 1 **Abstract**

## 2 **Background**

3 Obesity rates in Saudi Arabia are amongst the highest in the world. It is known that  
4 teenage girls are less active than teenage boys, but less is known about the diet and  
5 activity patterns in younger girls. Therefore this study sought to investigate dietary  
6 intake and daily physical activity in girls aged 8-11 years old in Saudi Arabia.

## 7 **Methods**

8 This was a cross- sectional observational study conducted in seven schools across  
9 the city of Makkah. A total of 266 girls had anthropometric measurements taken  
10 including height, weight, waist circumference and body fat estimations. Dietary  
11 assessment using a 4 day unweighed diet diary was undertaken in 136 of these  
12 participants, and 134 agreed to monitor their physical activity for the four days using  
13 an accelerometer. After exclusion for under-reporting, 109 remained in the dietary  
14 analysis and 78 in the physical activity analyses. Differences in means between BMI  
15 groups were determined using one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test.  
16 Multivariable linear regression analysis was performed to look at the effect of  
17 multiple variables on body weight.

## 18 **Results**

19 A total of 30% of participants were classified obese or overweight. There was a  
20 significant difference in the mean daily energy intake between the BMI groups with  
21 the obese group having the highest energy, fat, carbohydrate and protein intake  
22 (obese group:  $2677 \pm 804$  kcal/d; healthy weight group:  $1806 \pm 403$  kcal/d,  $p < 0.001$ ),  
23 but the percentage contribution of the macronutrients to energy intake remained the

1 same across the BMI groups. There were no differences in number of steps taken  
2 per day or time spent in moderate to vigorous intensity exercise according to BMI  
3 category. Most of the girls did not meet daily physical activity guidelines (5969 to  
4 6773 steps per day and 18.5 - 22.5 mins per day of moderate to vigorous activity).  
5 Multiple linear regression showed that energy intake positively predicted body weight  
6 (Beta = 0.279, p = .001), whereas, total energy expenditure per kg of body weight  
7 and family income had a significant negative influence on body weight (Beta= -0.661,  
8  $p < 0.001$ ; -0.131,  $p = 0.028$  respectively).

## 9 **Conclusions**

10 The results of this cross sectional analysis suggest that obesity in girls aged 8-11  
11 years is linked to excessive energy intake from all macronutrients and the majority of  
12 girls in all weight categories are inactive. Research should be conducted to further  
13 investigate causal relationships in longitudinal studies and develop interventions to  
14 promote dietary change and activity that is culturally acceptable for girls in Saudi  
15 Arabia.

16

17

18 **Keywords:** dietary habits, energy intake, girls, nutrient intake, physical activity,  
19 Saudi Arabia

20

21

22

23

24

## 1 **Background**

2 Obesity has become a widespread public health concern in most developed nations  
3 and the prevalence of obesity varies by region and according to sociodemographic  
4 variables [1]. According to the World Obesity Federation [2], Saudi Arabia has the  
5 highest rate of obesity among female adults in the Eastern Mediterranean region at  
6 50.4%, followed by Kuwait (47.9%) and Qatar (45.3%). This is higher than female  
7 obesity rates in England (27%) and the USA (35.8%). Saudi Arabia has changed  
8 very rapidly since the 1960s. The country has developed economically, and with it  
9 has come profound social and lifestyle changes [3]. For instance, economic  
10 transitions facilitate access to modern transportation, western imports as well as the  
11 availability of fast food [3]. In 2002 El-Hazmi *et al* [4] found higher rates of overweight  
12 (27.6%) and obesity (13.8%) in the eastern oil-field provinces and lower rates in the  
13 mountainous south-west (11.0% and 4.3% respectively), where lifestyles are more  
14 traditional.

15 The prevalence of overweight and obesity in children in Saudi Arabia has been  
16 estimated at 23.1% and 11.3% respectively [5]. Tackling obesity in children is  
17 important as it might reduce the risk of adulthood obesity [3]. Research has also  
18 shown that being overweight or obese in childhood is associated with mental and  
19 psychological health problems such as depression, anxiety and low self-esteem [6],  
20 and obesity adversely affects the physical health and development of the child.  
21 Increasing adiposity has been associated with higher inflammatory markers and with  
22 lower sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) levels between the ages of 5 and 15  
23 years [7]. Lower SHBG levels anticipated earlier puberty. In particular, excess body  
24 fat contributes to altered levels of sex hormones, and this, in turn, seems to be a

1 major contributor to the earlier onset of puberty, especially in girls [7]. Therefore,  
2 preventing obesity in pre-adolescents will benefit the child's health now and in the  
3 future.

4 Several factors have been identified as contributing to the high incidence of obesity  
5 amongst Saudi children. As in many other countries worldwide, a general lack of  
6 physical activity, sedentary behavior from prolonged use of computers and screen-  
7 based devices, and watching television while simultaneously eating energy-dense  
8 snacks have been found to be common [3, 8]. A previous study has suggested that  
9 60% of children in Saudi Arabia do not participate in sufficient physical activity [9]. A  
10 recent large-scale study in Saudi Arabia highlighted the problem of poor dietary  
11 habits and sedentary behaviour in teenagers aged 14- 19 years [10]. By this age,  
12 children are making their own lifestyle choices and some girls will enter into marriage  
13 after the age of 16 years.

14 There is less information available about the dietary and exercise habits of younger  
15 children, particularly girls of differing weight status. There are cultural restrictions for  
16 girls and women that make exercise less accepted and the climate restricts outdoor  
17 activity. Excessive body mass has been shown to have many adverse  
18 consequences for all phases and aspects of reproduction such as complications for  
19 the birth and obesity negatively affects the mother's health during pregnancy [11].  
20 Therefore it is particularly important to prevent obesity in girls prior to the adolescent  
21 period.

22 Previous studies have not undertaken anthropometric measurements and  
23 prospective assessment of activity and diet simultaneously in girls of this age group.

1 Therefore, with particular attention to robust methods of measurement, this study  
2 sought to determine how nutritional intake, and amounts of physical exercise differed  
3 according to weight status in primary-school girls aged 8-11 years old in the city of  
4 Makkah in western Saudi Arabia.

## 5 **Methods:**

6 Study sample:

7 This was a cross-sectional school-based study. Seven girls' schools (3 public and 4  
8 private) were nominated by the Makkah Education Department, different areas being  
9 selected so as to obtain a sample representative of the city's school population.  
10 Schools gave their consent for the research to take place and information and  
11 consent sheets were given to all 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade students (aged 8-11 years  
12 old). Parent and child gave written informed consent to participate in the study and  
13 children were allowed to withdraw themselves from the study any time. Approval was  
14 granted by the Faculty of Science and Technology Research Ethics Committee at  
15 Plymouth University and The School Health Affairs Committee in Saudi Arabia.  
16 Permission was also granted by the General Directorate of School Education in  
17 Makkah.

### 18 ***Anthropometric and body-fat measurements***

19 All measurements were conducted in the school laboratories by one researcher  
20 (January to May 2014). Using a portable stadiometer (Seca, UK), participants stood  
21 with bare feet and height was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimetre. Each  
22 participant's information (height, age and gender) were entered into the Tanita Body  
23 Composition Analyser (TBF-300M/TBF-300MA, Birmingham, UK) to calculate BMI



1 [ weight (kg) / height (m)<sup>2</sup>] and body-fat percentage. The BMI was plotted on the  
2 2000 CDC growth reference curve to classify the children into obese (95<sup>></sup> centile),  
3 overweight (86-94 centile), normal weight (5-85 centile), and underweight (<5 centile).  
4 The CDC reference scale was considered valid for use with Saudi children as it had  
5 been validated against the WHO growth reference curves [12]. Waist circumference  
6 was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimetre by means of a non-stretch tape-  
7 measure positioned 4 cm above the umbilicus. All measurements were taken once  
8 by one trained nutritionist.

### 9 ***Diet diaries***

10 Diet diaries, developed by the WAKEUP Study Group Peninsula Medical School [13],  
11 were adapted for children's diets in Saudi Arabia and piloted on children of the study  
12 age-range. In response to pilot feedback, adjustments were made to include  
13 pictures for estimating portion sizes for some meals and drinks, and the diary was  
14 translated into Arabic. Girls were asked to complete their diaries for four days using  
15 standard household measurements. Participants logged their food intake over two  
16 school days and then on the two days of the weekend (excluding special occasions  
17 e.g. parties). Each student was interviewed daily during the week by the nutritionist  
18 to ensure that they had logged the details correctly. After the weekend they were  
19 interviewed again to review their diary entries. The diets were recorded with  
20 accompanying explanations of the quantity and then dietary data were analysed  
21 using Arabic food-composition tables' software (Arab Food Analysis Programme, 1<sup>st</sup>  
22 version, 2007).

23

## 1 ***Physical activity methods***

2 To record physical activity, accelerometers (WGT3X-BT Actigraph, Fort Walton,  
3 Florida) were worn for the four days that diet was recorded. These monitors have  
4 previously been assessed for their validity in another epidemiological study on  
5 children [14]. Each participant wore a belt to which the accelerometer was attached  
6 on the right site. These devices were removed only when the girl was washing or in  
7 bed; otherwise, they recorded the child's total level of activity and number of steps  
8 taken throughout the day. The intensities of physical activity were measured  
9 according to Evenson cut points [15]. Data were excluded if there was less than 10  
10 hours per day wear time over 3 days [15, 16].

## 11 **Calculation of the total energy expenditure (TEE) via the METs**

12 The METs rate was calculated using the Freedson et al (2005) [17] equation  
13 obtained from the accelerometer data. The METs average was multiplied by the  
14 estimated BMR in order to estimate TEE.

## 15 ***Identification of under-reporters of dietary intake***

16 Participants who under-reported their diet were identified by applying the formula  
17 energy intake (EI) < basal metabolic rate (BMR) x1.2 which has been used by  
18 Schutz *et al* [18] to indicate a cut-off point. BMR was estimated using the Henry  
19 equations [19]. Therefore, using the food diaries, a calculation was made for the  
20 average energy intake over four days, and if EI was < BMR x1.2, the participant's  
21 food and nutrient intake data were excluded from the analysis.

22

## 1 **Data Analysis**

2 The data were coded and entered into SPSS analysis program (IBM SPSS Statistics  
3 version 20). The data are presented as means and standard deviations. Differences  
4 in means were determined using one-way ANOVA (for more than two groups with  
5 post hoc Tukey test). Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for evaluating  
6 the relationships between the factors. Differences in age and anthropometric  
7 measures between those undertaking just the body composition measurements and  
8 those also participating in the dietary analysis were assessed using an independent  
9 T-test. A multiple linear regression was calculated to predict the body weight in the  
10 girls based on the daily energy intake, age, family income, number of steps /d and  
11 TEE per kg body weight. A level of significance of  $p \leq 0.05$  was used.

## 12 **Results**

13 Four hundred and seven students were invited to participate, and of these 266  
14 (65.3 %) students agreed to be involved in the anthropometric measurements. A  
15 total of 109 of these participants completed the diet diary and 78 completed the  
16 physical activity components of the study (Figure 1).

## 17 **Body composition**

18 As shown in Table 1, there were highly statistically significant differences in all  
19 elements of body composition between BMI groups. Unsurprisingly, obese subjects  
20 had significantly greater waist circumferences, with a maximum 104 cm, and there  
21 was an overall increase in WC across the BMI groups. A total of 30 % of the study  
22 population was found to be overweight or obese.

1

2 **Table 1: Body composition and anthropometric measurements in underweight**  
3 **(UW), healthy-weight (HW), overweight (OW), and obese (OB) girls. n =266**

Body composition	UW N=43	HW N=143	OW N=34	OB N=46
Age (years)	9.5 ± 1.27	9.6 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 1.2	9.3 ± 1.2
Body Fat % ***	9.9 ± 4.4 <sup>a</sup>	18.1 ± 4.6 <sup>b</sup>	29.5 ± 3.4 <sup>c</sup>	36.7 ± 3.9 <sup>d</sup>
Weight (kg) ***	22.9±4.8 <sup>a</sup>	29.3 ± 6.4 <sup>b</sup>	41.4 ± 7.1 <sup>c</sup>	50.3 ± 11.4 <sup>d</sup>
WC (cm) ***	53.7 ± 4.0 <sup>a</sup>	59.2 ± 5.7 <sup>b</sup>	72.1 ± 5.3 <sup>c</sup>	79.8 ± 7.9 <sup>d</sup>
Height (cm) ***	130.1 ± 11.6 <sup>a</sup>	132.9 ±10.4 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	138.5 ±8.4 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	138.7 ± 10 <sup>c</sup>
BMI Percentile ***	1.6± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	39.5±23.8 <sup>b</sup>	90 ± 3.6 <sup>c</sup>	97.9 ±0.9 <sup>d</sup>
Height Percentile***	34 ± 32.1 <sup>a</sup>	46 ± 30.7 <sup>b</sup>	59.6 ± 27 <sup>c</sup>	72.5 ± 26.1 <sup>d</sup>

4

5 Data are means and standard deviations

6 One way ANOVA: \*\*\* significant differences  $p < 0.001$  between BMI groups

7 <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Tukey Post hoc test: means with the same letter indicate no significant  
8 difference. Any difference between two means carrying different letters is significant  
9 at 1%.

10

11

12 There was a positive correlation between the height and waist circumference  
13 (Pearson's  $r=0.487$ ,  $p <0.001$ ); that is, WC increased with height. Similarly, body fat  
14 percentage and height were positively correlated (Pearson's  $r=0.36$ ,  $p <0.01$ ).

15 An independent T-test was carried out on the age, weight, height and waist  
16 circumference between those only undertaking the body composition measurements  
17 ( $n=157$ ) and those who also agreed to record their diet ( $n=109$ ). There were no  
18 significant differences between the two groups in age (9.6 vs 9.5 years) and height  
19 (135.6 vs 133.8 cm). However, those girls who only took part in the anthropometric  
20 measures were significantly heavier (37.4 vs 32.4 kg,  $P<0.002$ ) and had a larger  
21 mean waist circumference (67.9 vs 62.7 cm,  $P<0.020$ ) than those who also agreed to  
22 record their diet.

23

1 ***Dietary intake***

2 The total number of subjects who completed the diet diary was 109, all with body-  
3 composition measurements completed. A total of 27 subjects were found to have EI  
4 lower than BMR x1.2 and were excluded from the analysis (Figure 1).

5 Table 2 displays the nutrient intake according to BMI categories. It also shows the  
6 contribution of different food types to energy intake, and the daily consumption of  
7 fruit and vegetables. Average energy intake and other macronutrient intake  
8 increased gradually according to weight category (Table 2).

9 The healthy-weight group showed the highest average fruit intake whereas the  
10 underweight group reported the lowest, although the difference was not statistically  
11 significant. With regard to vegetable consumption, the highest intake was recorded  
12 by the overweight group, and the underweight group recording the lowest. As can be  
13 seen in Table 2 there was a noticeable pattern in the consumption of sugary drinks,  
14 sweet, and savoury snacks, with the highest intake in the obese girls and the lowest  
15 in the underweight group.

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 **Table 2: Nutrient intake, and selected food consumption in underweight (UW),**  
 2 **healthy-weight (HW), overweight (OW), and obese (OB) participants. n =109**

Average for 4 Days	UW N=11	HW N=50	OW N=16	OB N=32
Age (years)	9.5 ± 1.27	9.6 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 1.2	9.3 ± 1.2
Energy ( kcal) ***	1412 ±291 <sup>a</sup> (1133-1909)	1806 ± 403 <sup>a</sup> (1207-2697)	2489 ±887 <sup>b</sup> (1438-5168)	2677 ± 804 <sup>b</sup> (1595-5168)
EI (Kcal/kg Body wt)*	66 ± 20.32 (47.1 -117.16)	62 ± 16.8 (37.17 - 98)	64 ± 26.6 (37.58 -127.62)	53 ± 14 (27.7 - 89.88)
EI Kcal/kg FFM)	68 ±10.2 (53.2-82.1)	75 ±19.3 (45.1- 123.6)	80± 25.6 (54.4- 112.12)	86 ± 20.5 (53.5- 143.9)
Protein (g/d) ***	52.9 ±19 <sup>a</sup> (36.2-86.8)	66.3 ±24 <sup>a</sup> (25.4-118.2)	79.1 ± 39 <sup>a,b</sup> (39.2-213.3)	87.8 ±35 <sup>b</sup> (37.7-213.3)
Fat (g/d) ***	50.5 ±11 <sup>a</sup> (35.8-68.7)	68.8 ±24.3 <sup>a</sup> (5.3-117.8)	104.5 ±39 <sup>b</sup> (52-209.2)	108.2 ±44 <sup>b</sup> (50.0-211.2)
CHO (g/d) ***	199.2 ±42.4 <sup>a</sup> (161.4-276)	236.5 ±62.3 <sup>a</sup> (53.6-435.5)	347.4 ±109.9 <sup>b</sup> (191.8 -642.2)	362 ±93 <sup>b</sup> (233.7-642.2)
Fruits (g/d)	28.9 ± 39.5 (0.0-105)	35.2 ± 43.5 (0.0-200.5)	29.5 ± 54.8 (0.0-200)	32.9 ± 72.7 (0.0-393.7)
Vegetables (g/d) **	18.3 ±22 <sup>a</sup> (.00-61.2)	52.3 ±44.4 <sup>a</sup> (.00-220)	105 ±114.7 <sup>b</sup> (.00-400)	84.3 ±73.8 <sup>a,b</sup> (.0-348.7)
Sweets (g/d) ***	59.2 ±37.5 <sup>a</sup> (.0-145)	98.5 ±53.4 <sup>a</sup> (.00-237.5)	129.9 ±67.4 <sup>a,b</sup> (12-253)	147.7 ±97.7 <sup>b</sup> (.0-361.7)
Sugary drink (ml/d) ***	64.4 ±40.6 <sup>a</sup> (.0-125)	84.6 ±51 <sup>a</sup> (.0-212)	104.4 ±70.4 <sup>a,b</sup> (.0-250)	124.2 ±52.2 <sup>b</sup> (42-283)
Savoury Snack (g/d) *	1.5 ± 3.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.0-8.7)	20 ± 24.5 <sup>a, b</sup> (0.0-120)	22.7 ± 3.6 <sup>a, b</sup> (0.0- 125)	37 ± 44 <sup>b</sup> (0.0-187)

3 \*\*\* Significant differences  $p < 0.001$ ; \*significant differences  $p < 0.05$  for the effect of  
 4 the BMI groups.

5 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Tukey Post hoc test: means with the same letter indicate no significant difference.  
 6 Any difference between two means carrying different letters is significant at 1%.

7 "Sweets" include: chocolate, sweets, biscuits, cakes, desserts and ice cream.

8 "Sugary drinks" include: carbonated drinks, fruit squash, and juice with added sugar.

9 "Savoury snacks" include: crisps and popcorn.

10

11 The differences between the energy intake (EI) for each BMI group were calculated  
 12 by comparing means using ANOVA and a post hoc (Tukey) test. There was a  
 13 significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between all groups, except between the overweight  
 14 and obese groups which showed no difference ( $p = 0.327$ ). There were no significant  
 15 differences between the groups for the EI per kg of FFM, although there was a

1 gradual increment across the BMI groups, but EI per kg BW was different between  
2 the groups (66kcal /kg BW in underweight to 53kcal/kg BW in obese group) (Table 2).  
3 In order to assess the energy intake from different sources, a breakdown of nutrient  
4 and selected foods are shown in Table 3. Energy provided by fat, protein and  
5 carbohydrate increased across the BMI groups, but once corrected for energy intake  
6 (% of total energy), there were no differences between groups. Likewise for savoury  
7 snacks and sugary drinks, overweight and obese groups consumed more than the  
8 healthy weight and underweight groups, but the percentage contribution to energy  
9 intake did not differ between the groups. The obese group obtained more than six  
10 percent of their total daily energy intake from savoury snacks alone but this was not  
11 significantly different to the other groups.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 **Table 3: The contribution of macronutrients and selected foods to energy**  
 2 **intake in underweight (UW), healthy-weight (HW), overweight (OW), and obese**  
 3 **(OB) participants. n =109.**

Average for 4 Days	UW N=11	HW N=50	OW N=16	OB N=32
Energy (kcal) ***	1412 ±291 <sup>a</sup> (1133-1909)	1806 ± 403 <sup>a</sup> (1207-2697)	2489 ±887 <sup>b</sup> (1438-5168)	2677 ± 804 <sup>b</sup> (1595-5168)
Energy from protein (kcal) ***	211±76 <sup>a</sup> (132-347)	264 ±100 <sup>a</sup> (102-473)	312 ±159 <sup>a,b</sup> (157-853)	359 ±137 <sup>b</sup> (202-853)
% energy from protein	14.2% ±3.2 (10.6-20.8)	14.5% ±3.9 (5.4-25.8)	12.2% ±1.9 (9-16.5)	13.5% ±2.9 (7.9-17.9)
Energy from Fat (kcal) **	454 ±99 <sup>a</sup> (618-322)	624 ±211 <sup>a</sup> (200-1061)	940 ±351 <sup>b</sup> (468-1883)	974 ±396 <sup>b</sup> (450-1901)
% energy from fat	32.2% ±4 (28.1-41.7)	34% ±6 (12.5-42.9)	38.2% ±9.1 (27.5-67.9)	35.6% ±5.8 (27.4-47.4)
Energy from CHO (kcal) **	796 ±169 <sup>a</sup> (646-1104)	946 ±249 <sup>a</sup> (214-1742)	1389 ±439 <sup>b</sup> (767-2569)	1442 ±370 <sup>b</sup> (935-2563)
% energy from CHO	56.4% ±3.5 (49.5-63)	52.6% ±9.2 (13.26-67.8)	57% ±12.5 (39.4-98.6)	54.7% ±6.1 (39.6-67.9)
Energy from Savoury Snacks (kcal) **	8 ±17.7 <sup>a</sup> (.00-44)	100 ±123 <sup>a,b</sup> (.00- 600)	117 ±167 <sup>a,b</sup> (.00- 625)	186 ±220 <sup>b</sup> (.00-937)
% energy from Savoury Snacks	0.6% ±1.4 (.00-3.60)	5.6% ±6.8 (.00-28.8)	4.8% ±7.1 (.00-27)	6.2% ±6 (.00-23)
Energy from sugary drinks (kcal) **	72 ±44 <sup>a</sup> (21- 121)	120 ±94 <sup>a</sup> (26-326)	158 ±112 <sup>a, b</sup> (42- 292)	144 ±49 <sup>b</sup> (68- 221)
% energy from sugary drinks	4.5% ±3 (.00-11)	4.6% ±2.9 (.00-13.1)	4.2% ±3.1 (.00-11.3)	4.7% ±2 (2-10.60)

4 \*\*\* Significant differences p< 0.001; \*\* significant differences p< 0.01 for the effect of  
 5 the BMI groups.

6 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Tukey Post hoc test: means with the same letter indicate no significant difference.  
 7 Any difference between two means carrying different letters is significant at 1%.

8

### 9 **Number of steps and total energy expenditure (TEE)**

10 As expected, there was a highly statistically significant increase in TEE as BMI  
 11 increased, but there was no significant difference in minutes spent in moderate to  
 12 vigorous intensity of physical activity between the BMI groups. The underweight  
 13 group recorded the highest average minutes per day in vigorous activity (4 mins/d),  
 14 whereas the overweight spent less time (1.5 mins/d). The highest percentage of time  
 15 in sedentary activity was spent by the obese group, but it was not significantly  
 16 different to the other groups (Table 4). There were no significant differences in the



1 average number of steps per day between the BMI groups. The mean value for the  
 2 whole group was 6757 steps/day and only 9.1 % of the girls took 10,000 steps or  
 3 more per day.

4

5 **Table 4: Physical activity in underweight (UW), healthy-weight (HW),**  
 6 **overweight (OW), and obese (OB) girls n=78**

	<b>UW n= 7</b>	<b>HW n=42</b>	<b>OW n=10</b>	<b>OB n=19</b>
<b>Total energy expenditure (kcal / day)***</b>	1501.8 <sup>a</sup> ±130.7 (1390-1768)	1602.9 <sup>a</sup> ±220.6 (1315-2421)	1725.4 <sup>a,b</sup> ±218.9 (1448-2157)	1908.7 <sup>b</sup> ±323.6 (1378-2779)
<b>TEE/ body weight/d (kcal/kg/d)***</b>	70.9 <sup>a</sup> ±8.3	56.4 <sup>b</sup> ±11.2	43.8 <sup>c</sup> ±7.27	37.8 <sup>c</sup> ±6.7
<b>Minutes in sedentary PA &gt;100 CPM</b>	426.07 ±138.99	442.33 ±173.15	513.55 ±186.37	466.44 ±156.90
<b>Minutes in light PA 101 - 2295 CPM*</b>	335.64 <sup>a</sup> ±95.15	366.87 <sup>b</sup> ±98.36	392.57 <sup>b</sup> ±115.91	302.18 <sup>a</sup> ±98.74
<b>Minutes in moderate PA 2296 - 4011 CPM</b>	18.10 ±11.21	17.26 ±8.83	14.90 ±7.91	16.22 ±6.89
<b>Minutes in vigorous PA 4012 - ∞ CPM</b>	4.42 ±6.31	3.65 ±2.97	1.5 ±1.2	2.26 ±1.5
<b>Minutes moderate to vigorous PA &lt;2296 CPM</b>	22.53 ±16.5 (4.5-53.5)	20.91 ±11.2 (5-51.25)	18.6 ±7.5 (6-28.50)	18.4 ±7.7 (4.50-33.75)
<b>Steps / day</b>	6406 ±2805.7 (3087-11479)	6773 ±1788 (3250-12480)	6097 ±2324.5 (2458-8726)	5969 ±1786.6 (2322-8512)

7 \*\*\* Significant difference ( $p < 0.001$ ); \* significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ )

8 <sup>a,b,c</sup> Tukey Post hoc test: means with the same letter indicate no significant difference.  
 9 Any difference between two means carrying different letters is significant at 5%.  
 10 For light activity, there was a significant difference; the results of the post-hoc test  
 11 showed the differences were between the obese group and healthy and underweight  
 12 groups.

13

14

15

1 **Table 5: Estimated energy balance in underweight (UW), healthy-weight (HW),**  
 2 **overweight (OW), and obese (OB) girls n=78**

	<b>UW n=7</b>	<b>HW n=42</b>	<b>OW n=10</b>	<b>OB n=19</b>
<b>Energy intake (kcal/d)***</b>	1299.14 ±204.65	1724.61 ±387.62	2239.30 ±608.51	2741.84 ±845.51
<b>Energy expenditure (kcal/d)***</b>	1501.8 ±130.7 (1390.9-1768.1)	1602.9 ±220.6 (1315.1-2421.6)	1725.4 ±218.9 (1448.6-2157)	1908.7 ±323.6 (1378-2779.5)
<b>Energy storage (kcal/d)***</b>	-202.73 ± 248.15	121.70 ± 445.73	513.84 ± 749.53	833.13 ± 860.52

3 \*\*\*significantly different ( $p < 0.001$ )

4 Table 5 shows a highly statistically significant difference in energy balance between  
 5 the groups with the underweight group showing negative energy balance, a small  
 6 positive energy balance in the healthy weight group, but increasingly large positive  
 7 energy balance in the overweight and obese groups.

8 Preliminary analyses were conducted to ensure no violation of the assumptions of  
 9 normality, multicollinearity and the outliers. A multiple linear regression was  
 10 calculated to predict body weight in the girls based on daily energy intake, number of  
 11 steps /d, daily TEE per kg body weight, age and family income. It was found that  
 12 these variables explain a significant amount of the variance in the weight ( $F(4, 73) =$   
 13  $48.07, p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.770$ ). The analysis showed that daily number of steps and  
 14 age did not significantly predict weight (Beta = 0.034, 0.058),  $p=0.575, 0.368$   
 15 respectively). However, EI positively predicted body weight (Beta = 0.279,  $p = .001$ ),  
 16 whereas, TEE/BW (kg) and income had a significant negative influence on body  
 17 weight (Beta= -0.661, -0.131,  $p<0.001, p=0.028$  respectively).

18

## 19 **Discussion**

20 To the best of the authors' knowledge this is the first study measuring physical  
 21 activity in girls objectively using accelerometers and recording diet prospectively in

1 Saudi Arabia. An important finding of this study is the very low levels of total and  
2 moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity in girls aged 8-11 years in all of the  
3 BMI groups. None of the girls reached the recommendation of 60 minutes of  
4 moderate to vigorous activity per day and there were no differences between the  
5 groups in amount of moderate to vigorous activity. The number of steps per day was  
6 not a significant predictor of weight, but importantly less than 10% of all girls met the  
7 recommended daily number of steps (10000- 12000 steps/ day). A study of Saudi  
8 boys aged 8-12 years reported that the mean number of daily steps per day for  
9 obese boys was 12682 [20]; double the number of steps found in the obese girls in  
10 our study. Research in western countries [21] report that the average number of daily  
11 steps of girls aged 6-12 years was 12000/d – again almost double that recorded here.

12

13 There are several possible explanations for this. One is that boys are more likely to  
14 play vigorous sports and games during their free time at school or at home [22]. Also,  
15 girls in Saudi Arabia are not able to play publically and are more confined at home  
16 and school. Public schools in Saudi do not have physical education programs and  
17 there are no public or private activity centres where young children can exercise. Any  
18 facilities would need to be separate for males and females.

19

20 Another consideration is that Makkah is regarded as a holy city, a place where  
21 citizens (including children) are expected to behave with decorum which negatively  
22 affects physical activity level. The climate is another consideration as it is too hot for  
23 long walks or more vigorous outdoor activity.

24

1 The implications of this study are that the inability of girls to exercise lead to  
2 increased risk of obesity and to the many forms of adult ill-health and morbidity that  
3 can follow. Several studies have observed a positive relationship between physical  
4 activity, physical fitness, and academic performance [23].

5 Another important finding from this study was the clear differences in dietary intake  
6 between the BMI groups, with obese girls consuming more total energy, sweet  
7 snacks and sugary drinks than healthy weight girls. It was estimated that overweight  
8 and obese girls were in positive energy balance by over 500 and 800kcal/d  
9 respectively. The average energy intake recorded by obese and overweight girls was  
10 25% higher than the UK energy recommendations for this age group [24].

11

12 Total intakes of fat, protein and carbohydrate increased significantly over the BMI  
13 groups but the percentage contribution to energy remained the same. The  
14 percentage contributions of macronutrients to energy are broadly in line with  
15 recommendations (> 50% of energy from carbohydrate; 35% energy from fat (UK,  
16 DRVs [24]). However, the UK Department of Health [24] and WHO recommended  
17 that energy intake from free sugars should not exceed five percent of the total daily  
18 energy, but in this study the average energy intake from sugary drinks alone was 4-  
19 5% in all groups.

20

21 High consumption of sugary drinks has been observed in studies of older girls in  
22 Saudi Arabia and this has been correlated to increases in waist circumference [25].  
23 Al-Hazzaa et al. (2011) [10] found one third of girls aged between 14-19 years  
24 consumed sugary drinks daily and 60% consumed them 3 to 6 times per week.

1 Similar findings were reported by Shaath et al. [26] who found that 74.5% of girls  
2 aged 12–18 years drank sweetened carbonated beverages daily. Our research  
3 shows that sugar sweetened beverage consumption is too high in younger girls as  
4 well and contributes substantially to energy intakes. Strategies need to be put in  
5 place to reduce consumption at school, to work with parents on restricting the  
6 consumption at home and to more widely promote healthier choices.

7

8 The Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia recommend 6 servings of fruit and vegetables  
9 per day for this age group, however the weight of a recommended portion is not  
10 specified [27]. For most girls the daily consumption of fruit and vegetables was  
11 markedly less than the daily portions recommended for UK children in the UK i.e.  
12 between 200-400g/d [24]. Teenage girls in Saudi are also known to have low intakes  
13 [10] with 15% not eating any on a daily basis [28].

14

15 The finding that income was negatively associated with weight in the regression  
16 analysis was not in agreement with other studies conducted in Saudi Arabia. Other  
17 studies have all found that high SES correlated positively with obesity levels [29-31].  
18 However this is similar to what have been found in the UK and USA [32]. There are  
19 different measures of socio-economic status and these require further investigation if  
20 comprehensive interventions are to be developed and targeted at those most in need.

21

22 The prevalence of overweight and obesity found in this study (30%) is in agreement  
23 with the findings of a study conducted in Riyadh city in Saudi Arabia in 2015 where  
24 31.7% of girls aged 8-15 years old were overweight and obese (16.8%, 14.9%  
25 respectively) [33]. Also the average waist circumferences for overweight (72cm) and

1 obese girls (79cm) are very similar to a study conducted Riyadh where overweight  
2 and obese girls (10-11 years) had an average waist measurement of 70 cm, and 78  
3 cm respectively [25]. Therefore, although our sample was relatively small and from  
4 one city, it can be considered representative of the expected range of body  
5 compositions of girls of this age in Saudi Arabia.

6

### 7 **Limitations to this research**

8 A disadvantage of the dietary-analysis programme used in this study was that it has  
9 no capability to distinguish between starch and sugars, or between non-milk extrinsic  
10 sugars (NMES) and intrinsic sugars, and so an overall estimation of NMES could not  
11 be made. However, it included many of the Arabic foods required for this research.

12 Many children who were invited to take part declined or did not record their diet and  
13 activity which reduced the sample size and representation of the population. Those  
14 girls who only took part in the anthropometric measures were significantly heavier  
15 and had a larger mean waist circumference than those who also agreed to record  
16 their diet. Therefore some selection bias was introduced, which is difficult to  
17 overcome. There was some under-reporting of dietary intake but obese and  
18 overweight children did not under-report their diet any more than healthy weight girls.

19 The wear time of the accelerometers might also have been insufficient for some  
20 individuals and therefore under-estimated some of the physical activity, although  
21 those obviously under-reporting were removed from the analysis. Also, as this was a  
22 cross sectional analysis, inference about causation of obesity should be made with  
23 caution.

24

## 1 **Conclusion**

2 The findings of this study have a number of important implications for prevention of  
3 childhood obesity in Saudi Arabia. Findings suggest that obesity in girls of this age is  
4 linked to excessive energy intake from all macronutrients. Sugary drinks and snack  
5 foods were consumed in high quantities and contribute to positive energy balance.  
6 Although there was no relationship found between weight and the number of steps or  
7 the time spent in moderate to vigorous activity, physical activity was exceptionally  
8 low in all weight categories.

9  
10 This work highlights the need for government policy to address the issues of  
11 inadequate activity and poor diet in girls of this age. Priority needs to be given to the  
12 provision of facilities and sports teachers to enable young girls to participate in  
13 games and sports. Attention needs to be given to providing healthy school meals  
14 and promotion of a healthier diet to parents and children. Further investigations are  
15 required to formulate and evaluate interventions targeted to address excess energy  
16 intake, low fruit and vegetable consumption and the low physical activity in girls in  
17 Saudi Arabia.

18

19

## 20 **Abbreviations**

21 CDC – Centres for Disease Control

22 BMI – Body Mass Index

23 WHO – World Health Organisation

24 BMR – Basal Metabolic Rate

25 EI – Energy Intake

26 TEE – Total Energy Expenditure

27 DRV – Dietary References Values

1 DH – Department of Health (UK)  
2 NMES- Non-Milk Extrinsic Sugars

3

#### 4 **Declarations**

##### 5 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

6 Ethical approval was granted by the Faculty of Science and Technology Human  
7 Ethics Committee, University of Plymouth. The School Health Affairs Committee in  
8 Saudi Arabia (which has authority over projects conducted in schools) also assessed  
9 the risks and procedures involved in the study and approved the project. Following  
10 this, the Projects Management Committee in the General Directorate of School  
11 Education in Makkah granted permission for the involvement of the schools. Parents  
12 and children were fully informed about the study aims, requirements and use of their  
13 data, and both parent and child gave written informed consent.

##### 14 **Consent for publication**

15 Not applicable

16

##### 17 **Availability of data and material**

18 The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly  
19 available due the impending examination of RA for a postgraduate degree but are  
20 available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

21

##### 22 **Competing interests**

23 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

24

##### 25 **Funding**

26 RA was supported by the Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The funder  
27 did not play a role in the design of the study, the writing of the manuscript or the  
28 decision to submit it for publication.

29

##### 30 **Authors' contributions**

31 RA carried out the initial piloting work and performed all the measurements,  
32 analysed data and wrote the paper. GR contributed to the study design, analysis and



1 co-wrote the paper. AdL contributed to the study design and analysis of the results.  
2 AP contributed to the data analysis and preparation of the paper. All authors  
3 contributed to the paper and agreed the final version.

## 5 **Acknowledgements**

6 The authors would like to thank the schools, parents and children for their  
7 participation and for making this study possible.

## 9 **Authors' information (optional)**

10 N/A

## 13 **References**

- 14 1. World Health Organization, *Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health: a*  
15 *framework to monitor and evaluate implementation*. 2006.
- 16 2. World Obesity Federation. *Current % Prevalence of Female Obesity (BMI  $\geq$  30 kg/m*  
17 *<sup>2</sup>) with Top 5 countries in each Region*. 2014 [cited 2016 Aug ]; Available from:  
18 [http://www.worldobesity.org/site\\_media/library/resource\\_images/Top\\_5\\_adults\\_by\\_g](http://www.worldobesity.org/site_media/library/resource_images/Top_5_adults_by_gender_November_2014.pdf)  
19 [ender\\_November\\_2014.pdf](http://www.worldobesity.org/site_media/library/resource_images/Top_5_adults_by_gender_November_2014.pdf).
- 20 3. Al-Hazzaa, H.M., et al., *Lifestyle factors associated with overweight and obesity*  
21 *among Saudi adolescents*. BMC Public Health, 2012. **12**(1): p. 1.
- 22 4. El - Hazmi, M.A. and A.S. Warsy, *A comparative study of prevalence of overweight*  
23 *and obesity in children in different provinces of Saudi Arabia*. Journal of Tropical  
24 Pediatrics, 2002. **48**(3): p. 172-177.
- 25 5. El Mouzan, M., et al., *Prevalence of overweight and obesity in Saudi children and*  
26 *adolescents*. Annals of Saudi Medicine, 2010. **30**(3): p. 203.
- 27 6. Todd, A.S., et al., *Overweight and obese adolescent girls: the importance of*  
28 *promoting sensible eating and activity behaviors from the start of the adolescent*  
29 *period*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2015.  
30 **12**(2): p. 2306-2329.
- 31 7. Pinkney, J., et al., *Adiposity, chronic inflammation, and the prepubertal decline of sex*  
32 *hormone binding globulin in children: evidence for associations with the timing of*  
33 *puberty (Earlybird 58)*. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2014.  
34 **99**(9): p. 3224-3232.
- 35 8. Khalid, M.E.-H., *Is high-altitude environment a risk factor for childhood overweight*  
36 *and obesity in Saudi Arabia?* Wilderness & Environmental Medicine, 2008. **19**(3): p.  
37 157-163.
- 38 9. Al-Hazzaa, H.M., *Physical activity, fitness and fatness among Saudi children and*  
39 *adolescents: implications for cardiovascular health*. Saudi Medical Journal, 2002.  
40 **23**(2): p. 144-150.
- 41 10. Al-Hazzaa, H.M., et al., *Physical activity, sedentary behaviors and dietary habits*  
42 *among Saudi adolescents relative to age, gender and region*. International Journal of  
43 Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2011. **8**(1): p. 140.

- 1 11. Catalano, P.M. and K. Shankar, *Obesity and pregnancy: mechanisms of short term*  
2 *and long term adverse consequences for mother and child*. BMJ, 2017. **356**: p. j1.
- 3 12. Al Herbish, A.S., et al., *Body mass index in Saudi Arabian children and adolescents:*  
4 *a national reference and comparison with international standards*. Annals of Saudi  
5 Medicine, 2009. **29**(5): p. 342.
- 6 13. WAKEUP. *Ways to Address Knowledge, Education and Understanding in*  
7 *Prediabetes*, WAKEUP Study Group, Peninsula Medical School (Primary Care). 2005  
8 [cited 2016 31-12-2016]; Available from:  
9 [http://www.pcmd.ac.uk/research/downloads/wakeup/read/practitioners\\_read.pdf](http://www.pcmd.ac.uk/research/downloads/wakeup/read/practitioners_read.pdf).
- 10 14. Riddoch, C.J., et al., *Physical activity levels and patterns of 9-and 15-yr-old*  
11 *European children*. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2004. **36**(1): p. 86-  
12 92.
- 13 15. Evenson, K.R., et al., *Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for*  
14 *children*. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2008. **26**(14): p. 1557-1565.
- 15 16. Janz, K.F., et al., *Fatness, physical activity, and television viewing in children during*  
16 *the adiposity rebound period: the Iowa Bone Development Study*. Preventive  
17 Medicine, 2002. **35**(6): p. 563-571.
- 18 17. Freedson, P., D. Pober, and K.F. Janz, *Calibration of accelerometer output for*  
19 *children*. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2005. **37**(11): p. S523.
- 20 18. Schutz, Y., R.L. Weinsier, and G.R. Hunter, *Assessment of free - living physical*  
21 *activity in humans: an overview of currently available and proposed new measures*.  
22 Obesity Research, 2001. **9**(6): p. 368-379.
- 23 19. Henry, C., *Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development*  
24 *of new equations*. Public Health Nutrition, 2005. **8**(7a): p. 1133-1152.
- 25 20. Al-Hazzaa, H.M., *Pedometer-determined physical activity among obese and non-*  
26 *obese 8-to 12-year-old Saudi schoolboys*. Journal of physiological Anthropology,  
27 2007. **26**(4): p. 459-465.
- 28 21. Tudor-Locke, C., et al., *BMI-referenced standards for recommended pedometer-*  
29 *determined steps/day in children*. Preventive Medicine, 2004. **38**(6): p. 857-864.
- 30 22. Zimmo, L., et al., *School-time physical activity among Arab elementary school*  
31 *children in Qatar*. BMC Pediatrics, 2017. **17**(1): p. 76.
- 32 23. Grissom, J.B., *Physical fitness and academic achievement*. Journal of Exercise  
33 Physiology Online, 2005. **8**(1): p. 11-25.
- 34 24. Department-of-Health, *Dietary Reference Values for Energy*. 1st ed ed. 2011,  
35 London, United Kingdom: TSO.
- 36 25. Collison, K.S., et al., *Sugar-sweetened carbonated beverage consumption correlates*  
37 *with BMI, waist circumference, and poor dietary choices in school children*. BMC  
38 Public Health, 2010. **10**(1): p. 234.
- 39 26. Shaath, M., *Consumption of Fast Foods among Females' Adolescents in Jeddah City*.  
40 Arab Center of Nutrition, Manama-Bahrain, 2008.
- 41 27. Al- Dkheel, M.H., *Dietary Guidelines for Saudis*. 2012.
- 42 28. Al-Muammar, M., M. El-Shafie, and S. Feroze, *Association between dietary habits*  
43 *and body mass index of adolescent females in intermediate schools in Riyadh, Saudi*  
44 *Arabia/Association entre les habitudes alimentaires et l'indice de masse corporelle*  
45 *chez des collegiennes a Riyad (Arabie saoudite)*. Eastern Mediterranean Health  
46 Journal, 2014. **20**(1): p. 39.
- 47 29. Alam, A.A., *Obesity among female school children in North West Riyadh in relation to*  
48 *affluent lifestyle*. Saudi medical journal, 2008. **29**(8): p. 1139-1144.
- 49 30. Amin, T.T., A.I. Al-Sultan, and A. Ali, *Overweight and obesity and their relation to*  
50 *dietary habits and socio-demographic characteristics among male primary school*  
51 *children in Al-Hassa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*. European Journal of Nutrition, 2008.  
52 **47**(6): p. 310.

- 1 31. Al Alwan, I., A. Al Fattani, and N. Longford, *The effect of parental socioeconomic*  
2 *class on children's body mass indices*. Journal of Clinical Research in Pediatric  
3 Endocrinology, 2013. **5**(2): p. 110.
- 4 32. Ogden, C.L., et al., *Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States,*  
5 *2011-2012*. JAMA, 2014. **311**(8): p. 806-814.
- 6 33. Al-Asiri, Z.A. and A.A. Shaheen, *Body Mass Index and Health Related Physical*  
7 *Fitness in Saudi Girls and Adolescents Aged 8-15 Years*. Open Journal of Therapy  
8 and Rehabilitation, 2015. **3**(04): p. 116.

9

10

11

12 Figure 1: Number of subjects in each measurement