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Abstract:
Bast fibres are defined as those obtained from the outer cell layers of the stems of various plants. The fibres find use in textile applications and are increasingly being considered as reinforcements for polymer matrix composites as they are perceived to be "sustainable". The fibres are composed primarily of cellulose which potentially has a Young's modulus of \(140\) GPa (being a value comparable with man-made aramid [Kevlar/Twaron] fibres). The plants which are currently attracting most interest are flax and hemp (in temperate climates) or jute and kenaf (in tropical climates). Part 2 of this review will consider the prediction of the properties of natural fibre reinforced composites, manufacturing techniques and composite materials characterisation using microscopy, mechanical, chemical and thermal techniques. The review will close with a brief overview of the potential applications and the environmental considerations which might expedite or constrain the adoption of these composites.

Prediction of Mechanical Properties
The elastic modulus of a composite material can normally be predicted using the standard rule of mixtures (Equation 1) [1]:

\[
E_c = \eta_i \eta_n V_f E_f + V_m E_m \tag{1}
\]

where \(\eta_i\) is the fibre length distribution factor, \(\eta_n\) is the fibre orientation distribution factor, \(E_i\) is the elastic modulus of the fibre (Vincent [2] has estimated a modulus of up to 140 GPa for cellulose fibres), \(E_m\) is the elastic modulus of the matrix, \(V_f\) is the fibre volume fraction and \(V_m\) is the matrix volume fraction (assuming \(V_f + V_m = 1\), i.e. no voids or other inclusions). At this stage in the review, we have neglected the void which occurs within the fibre on the expectation that it will not influence the above. There is an interdependency within \(V_f E_f\) given that the fibre cross-section and modulus could be calculated on the gross area or the net area after taking the lumen into consideration. The previous assumption would then become \(V_f + V_m + V_v + V_i = 1\), where \(V_v\) is the volume fraction of voids in the matrix and at the interface and \(V_i\) is the volume fraction of lumen as a proportion of the whole composite.

Effect of voids
Madsen et al [3] have developed a model to predict the volumetric composition (volume fractions of fibres, matrix and porosity) and density of composites as a function of the fibre weight fraction. The model is particularly aimed at plant fibre composites, but is also valid for all other composites. The porosity is initially divided into three parts associated with the fibre, the interface and the matrix. Madsen et al [4] have presented a modified rule of mixtures to include the influence of porosity on the composite stiffness. The model (Equation 2) integrates the volumetric composition of the composites with their mechanical properties.

\[
E_c = (\eta_i \eta_n V_f E_f + V_m E_m)(1-V_p)^n \tag{2}
\]

where \(V_p\) is the volume fraction of porosity derived from weight fractions of the other components and \(n\) is a porosity efficiency exponent quantifying the effect of porosity which gives rise to stress concentrations in the composites. When \(n = 0\), the porosity in the composite has no effect beyond lowering the load bearing volume. The model was validated with experimental data for volumetric composition and stiffness for several (plant) fibre composites.

Effect of fibre diameter
Lamy and Baley [5] conducted tensile tests on flax fibres of different diameters, \(d_i\) and found that the Young’s modulus for each class, \(E_i\), decreased with increasing fibre diameter, where \(i\) is the class number (Table 1). They have proposed Equation 3 for the longitudinal elastic modulus, \(E_L\), of a unidirectional flax-fibre composite material:

\[
E_L = V_f \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n_i d_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i d_i^2} E_i + V_m E_m \tag{3}
\]

where \(n_i\) is the number of samples (in classes of width 2.5 μm for diameters between 5-35 μm) and \(E_i\) is the Young’s modulus of fibres in the range \(i\). For the sample of fibres tested, \(K_i\) is the contribution of \(n_i\) fibres of mean diameter \(d_i\) to an effective elastic modulus \(E_f\) of 59 GPa which in turn gave a reasonable prediction of the composite modulus.

Table 1: Dependence of properties of flax fibre on fibre diameter (Tables 1/2 of Lamy and Baley [5])
The dependence of the modulus of the composite, calculated using Equation 3, against fibre volume fraction correlated well with the experimental results. It was noted that selection of fibre diameters could be a route to improvement of the elastic properties of flax fibre reinforced epoxy resin composite materials. Baley [6] reported a decrease in the Young’s modulus with increasing fibre diameter for flax fibres (Fig. 1a). Bodros and Baley [7] found that the Young’s modulus and the stress at break of nettle fibres decreased when the fibre diameter increased (Fig. 1b).

**Figure 1: Young’s modulus as a function of fibre diameter for (left) flax and (right) nettle (reproduced from data, published in [5] and [7] respectively, kindly provided by C Baley with permission for use here)**

The authors of this review propose a modification of the rule of mixtures through the use of a fibre diameter distribution factor, $\eta_d$ (with values in the range 0-1) to produce Equation 4. This fibre diameter distribution factor will be related to the probability density function for the fibre diameter, which could be obtained from a comprehensive study of the chosen fibre and may well correlate to the factor given in Equation 3. Derivation of that parameter is beyond the scope of this review.

$$E_c = \eta_d \eta_f V_f E_f + V_m E_m$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 4}

This equation might be modified to incorporate the porosity efficiency exponent above.

**Composites Processing**

The techniques for the manufacture of fibre-reinforced polymer matrix composites have been reviewed by Åström, Gutowski, Davé and Loos and Campbell [8-11], albeit that their emphasis is very much on synthetic fibres and thermosetting resins. Thermoset processes have been considered in greater detail:

- vacuum bagging, including autoclave cure [12-14]
- Compression moulding [no key text]
- Liquid Moulding Technologies (LMT) or Liquid Composite Moulding (LCM), including Resin Transfer Moulding (RTM) [15-21].
- Resin Infusion under Flexible Tooling (RIFT) [22-25].
- Filament winding [26].
- Pultrusion [27, 28].

The latter two processes will require that the natural fibres be spun to form a continuous yarn.

For thermoplastic matrix composites, there are additional processes including extrusion (for constant cross section) and injection moulding. LMT and RIFT are possible only with a few thermoplastic systems supplied as low viscosity monomers and these are normally polymerised in-process. Vacuum bagging, filament winding and pultrusion are also possible.

Glass fibres are generally assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic, although Stockhorst and Brueker [29] have shown a very small preferred orientation through stress optical investigation. Bast fibres are generally heterogeneous and anisotropic and thus closer to the structure of carbon and especially aramid fibres. Pinzelli [30] reviewed the state-of-the-art in cutting and machining of composite materials based on aramid fibre reinforcements, and recommended that a band-saw with a fine tooth blade (14-22 raker-set or straight-set teeth/inch ~5-9 teeth/cm) operating at high surface speeds with the running blade teeth pointing upwards (reverse) should minimise the production of fuzz and keep the teeth from snagging fibres. Cullen [31] machined flax/jute epoxy composites using a band saw with 7 teeth/cm (18 teeth/inch) running in either the forward or the reverse direction. The reverse configuration cut the fibres much more cleanly than when running with the teeth facing forwards. The Pinzelli report considers other aspects of machining aramid composites which may be relevant to natural fibre composites.

**Materials characterisation**

The determination of the parameters required for the rule-of-mixtures can be achieved in a variety of ways, including the Grafil [32], Composite Research Advisory Group (CRAG) [33] or (inter-)national standard procedures. Optical or electron microscopical techniques with image analysis [34-36] may be used to determine $\eta_f$, $\eta_f$, $\eta_v$ and volume fractions of the components (fibre, matrix and voids including lumen) in the composite materials. For natural fibres in a resin matrix, it will normally be necessary to enhance the contrast between the components (by e.g. polarising filters, fluorescence or staining techniques - Dubot [37] used methylene-blue as a stain for linseed fibres). Grafil Test Method 102.13 uses microscopy with an image splitting eyepiece to determine individual fibre diameters.

Optical coherence microscopy (OCM, also known as optical coherence tomography (OCT)) is a novel imaging technique which permits the acquisition of tomographic images with high resolution (~15 μm in three dimensions) and a high dynamic range (>100 dB). Reeves et al. [38] have applied OCM to visualise the cellular and subcellular structures within intact Arabidopsis plants (including leaves, flowers, ovules and seeds).
A potential problem with natural fibre reinforced polymer matrix composites is the hydrophilic nature of the cellulose fibres and hence the moisture sensitivity of the resulting composites. Khalil et al [52] have studied the acetylation of plant fibres in the context of improvement of the mechanical properties of composites. Bast fibres from jute and flax were considered (along with coconut fibre (coir), oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) and oil palm frond (OPF)). The two bast fibres were found to be the least reactive of the five fibres studied. Costa and D’Almeida [53] studied the effect of water absorption on the flexural properties of jute or sisal fibre reinforced polyester or epoxy matrix composites. The diffusion behaviour in both composites could be described by the Fickian model. Of the four systems studied, the jute-epoxy composites showed the best mechanical properties and still had superior performance after exposure of the composites to distilled water (Figure 3). This behaviour was attributed to a better fibre-matrix interface and better moisture resistance of jute fibres.
Markets and Current Applications
The world market for composites was 7 million tonnes in 2000, and projected to reach 10 million tonnes in 2010 [54]:

- the North American market accounts for nearly half of world-wide composites (3.4 million tonnes – 47%),
- Europe follows at 2 million tonnes – 28%,
- Asia is the third major market at 1.6 million tonnes – 23%.

The principal European producers are Germany, Italy, France, UK and Spain. Thermoset composites account for roughly 70% of the composites processing industry in Europe.

There is a wide range of user industries for composites in all the international markets, including mechanical structures, chemical plant and electrical insulators. It should be noted that although automotive and aerospace applications account for over half the value, the volume production is only 26%. Whilst composites for these industries (and medical and sports applications) are often based on high cost carbon and aramid fibres, there is a mass market for low cost composites – the GRP industry, based predominantly on glass fibre reinforcement and polyester resins.

By Western standards, the Indian composites industry is relatively small at 17000 tonnes in 2001 compared to France at 295000 tonnes [55]. After a period of exceptional growth up to 1999, the Indian industry has failed to live up its growth potential in recent years, and is relatively stagnant. The industry suffers from fragmentation (over 1,700 processors), weak demand from client sectors, under-utilisation of capacity, and quality problems.

European production of natural fibre amounted to 59000–69000 tonnes of flax and 25000-30000 tonnes of hemp in 1999/2000 [56]. During the same year, world production of jute and kenaf was 2570000 tonnes, concentrated in two main producer countries, India and Bangladesh. Production of jute and kenaf declined by 49% and 19% respectively from a peak of 3860000 tonnes in 1997/8 [57].

The use of natural fibres as reinforcement for thermoplastic components is a relatively new phenomenon, dating back only to about 1995. The market has developed from pioneering work in the German automotive industry [58]. In this market, jute is in competition with the indigenous European fibres, flax and hemp, and despite being used at the outset, has consistently fluctuated in relative market share. Figure 4 shows the total consumption of natural fibres increasing to 17 thousand tonnes in 2002 [59]; estimated as flax at 9,000 tonnes and hemp at about 2,200 tonnes with the balance of 6,000 tonnes split between jute, kenaf and sisal.

Figure 4: Use of natural fibres in the German automotive industry 1996 – 2002 (tonnes) (after Kaup et al, 2003 [58]).

The Status Report [59] scaled down the forecast of future consumption of natural fibres. Previous optimistic estimates of up to 35000 tonnes/year were reduced to just over 25000 tonnes in 2005. An earlier report [60] indicated that Germany alone was responsible for over two-thirds of the European production of natural fibre composites, and estimated the market size to be somewhat larger than the Status study. In Germany, the natural fibre composites market has created a dedicated infrastructure of secondary suppliers (mainly non-woven producers) and is still growing strongly. The phased withdrawal of EU Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) subsidy on flax and hemp fibre crops may retard the growth of this new industry.

Ellison and McNaught [60] have identified established commercial uses in:

- automotive interior components (Germany 70%). Natural fibre panels are now in common use as door and boot liners and parcel shelves. Every Mercedes and BMW model now features such components, and the technology has been taken into the Fiat group, Ford and the volume French marques by Tier One suppliers. Current use amounts to about 10kg per car, with a potential for double this consumption.
- domestic insulation (Germany 30%) – tow or sliver bound lightly with polymers.

Established benefits for the automotive industry include good mechanical properties, fewer occupational health issues in handling and lack of splintering in accidents. A review of relevant properties demonstrated the potential for competition with GRP (Glass Reinforced Plastics) [59]. However, the principal drivers are the potential for weight reduction (10–30%) and the consequent cost advantage of natural fibre composites.

Further, they established that two processes were dominant:

- Compression moulding: Thermoplastic mouldings usually comprise natural fibre/polypropylene blended needle-felt substrates. Thermosets consist of 100% natural fibre needle-felts impregnated with resin by processes such as RTM and S-RIM. The market is dominated by fibre carded sliver or tow chopped to a staple length of 80–90 mm.
Co-extruded granulate for injection moulding is now under development on several fronts (Daimler Benz ASG in Germany, Collins & Aikmann Automotive Systems AB (formerly Perstorp) in Sweden, ATO-DLO Agrotechnological Research Institute in the Netherlands), and already has an estimated 4.7% share of automotive processing technology in Germany [59]. Short chopped sliver of 4–6 mm is now being used for co-injection.

Low fibre prices remain an obstacle to investment in the natural fibre supply chain. The Ellison and McNaught study in early 2000 [60] found benchmark prices for jute, flax or hemp to be in the range € 0.46-0.61/kg (converted from DM 0.90-1.20/kg using the irrevocably fixed conversion rate of € 1 = 1.95583 DM from 1 January 1999 [61]), and three years later (after the introduction of the Euro), wholesale prices remained in the € 0.55-0.62/kg range. Prices were set at a low level in the mid-1990s and the automotive industry remains a stringent taskmaster.

The major constraints on the application of natural fibres as reinforcements include:
- batch-to-batch inconsistency and other fibre quality considerations.
- performance limitations, notably tensile strength and impact strength.
- susceptibility to moisture absorption.
- odour and fogging.

**Disposal of natural fibre composites**

Conroy et al [62, 63] and Halliwell [64] have reviewed the end-of-life options for composites waste using the waste hierarchy:
- Waste reduction > re-use > recovery > disposal.

Rathje and Murphy [65] have divided recycling into four categories:
- Primary: reprocessing waste to obtain product comparable to the original version,
- Secondary: recovery of waste material with lower performance when compared to virgin materials,
- Tertiary: decomposition of materials to recover monomers, feedstock materials or fuels,
- Quaternary: recovery of the embedded energy in the materials.

An important consideration in the manufacture of any composites is the minimisation of waste associated with the manufacturing process. This waste has the advantage over post-consumer waste that it will normally be well characterised, whereas end-of-life waste is more likely to consist of a mixture of component materials. For thermosetting matrix composites, the only options for re-use or recovery would be in the second-hand spares market or as fillers respectively. For thermoplastic matrix materials, there is the additional option of granulation and reuse in, for example, the extrusion or injection moulding processes. However, this will expose the composite to a further heat-form-cool cycle and could impose additional thermal damage on the fibres.

The options for fibre and feedstock recovery for composites in general include:
- incineration [66]: this destroys the resin, but can leave usable carbon or glass fibres albeit with a reduction in the fibre mechanical properties.
- pyrolysis [67, 68]: heated to temperatures of typically 400-600°C in an oxygen-free atmosphere.
- catalytic transformation [69], acid digestion or solvolytic/solvothermal processes (including hydrolysis and glycolysis).
- sub-, near- and super-critical fluids: this normally includes water (at 300-500°C) or carbon dioxide. Piñero-Hernanz et al [70] recycled carbon fibre using a batch-reactor in the temperature range 250-400°C with pressures from 4 to 27 MPa and residence times up to 30 minutes. Iwaya et al [71] have depolymerised glassfibre/polyester composites to separate the fibre, filler and polymer using sub-critical diethyleneglycol monomethylether (DGMM) or benzyl alcohol (BZA) in a batch reactor at 190-350 °C for 1-8 hours. However, the cellulosic bast fibres will probably be consumed along with the resin in these processes.

There are two disposal methods especially suited to natural-fibre and bio-based resin composites:

**Composting**

A biodegradable material is expected to have a defined extent of degradation by biological activity under specific environmental conditions within a given time under standard test conditions [72]. Krzan et al [73] have recently reviewed the standards and certification appropriate to environmentally degradable plastics. The EU Directive on Packaging and Packaging Waste (94/62/EC) criteria for biodegradability are set out in BS EN 13432:2000 while the criteria in North America are set out in ASTM D6400-99. The requirements of the standard include:
- biodegradation: over 90% relative to the standard (cellulose) in 180 days under conditions of controlled composting using respirometric methods (ISO14855),
- disintegration: over 90% in 3 months (ISO FDIS 16929),
- ecotoxicity: test results for aquatic and terrestrial organisms (Daphnia magna, worm test, germination test) as for reference compost,
- absence of hazardous chemicals (included in a reference list).
The biodegradation of a polymeric materials under controlled composting conditions can be determined using standard methods including ASTM D 5338 [74] or ISO 14852 [75]. There are essentially two options (a) aerobic: carried out either in open air windrows or in enclosed vessels, or (b) anaerobic: required when animal by-products or catering wastes are included [76]. A demonstration-scale anaerobic digestion (AD) plant is operating at Dufferin (Toronto) solid waste transfer station with a mass balance (based on 100 metric tonnes/day) of 50% biogas and effluent, 25% digestate and 25% residue [77]. The biogas varies due to the batch operation but is typically 110 m³/tonne with an average of 56% methane (ranges from 45-73%) by volume. Jana et al [78] suggest that the biogas is typically 60-65% methane, 35% carbon dioxide and a small amount of other impurities”. Greenham and Walsh [79] state that “pure landfill gas” can contain up to 65% methane, 35% carbon dioxide and no oxygen. The Global Warming Potential (GWP) for methane is >20 times that of CO₂ (over a 100 year timescale), so composting should be carried out with the methane collected and burnt to produce energy. This will reduce the requirement for fossil fuels and hence limit the climate change effects.

Organisms that possess cellulase (the enzyme which cleaves sugar from the cellulose molecule) include bacteria, some flagellate and ciliate protozoa, and fungi [80]. Milner et al [81, 82] have reported a new strain of thermophytic bacteria that can break down cellulose waste to produce useful renewable fuels for the transport industry. The Geobacillus family normally synthesise sugars and produce lactic acid as a by-product when they break down biomass in a compost heap. The re-engineered TM242 strain is claimed to produce ethanol more efficiently (yields of 10 to 15%) and cheaply than in traditional yeast-based fermentation.

**Incineration with energy recovery** [83]

Considerable energy is used in the production of polymers (embodied energy of plastics in general is given as 90 MJ/kg [84]), but as in many other systems that energy is not lost and can be recovered at a later stage. Halliwell [64] quotes a figure of 36 MJ/kg as the energy value for ground composite containing man-made fibres. The cellulose in bast fibres will provide additional energy. During recovery of the energy content of the materials, it will be necessary to comply with the Waste Incineration Directive (WID, agreed by the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union on 4 December 2000). The Commission Directive 2000/76/EC aims to “prevent or limit, as far as practicable, negative effects on the environment, in particular pollution by emissions into air, soil, surface and groundwater, and the resulting risks to human health, from the incineration and co-incineration of waste”. It sets and seeks to maintain stringent operational conditions and emission limit values for (co-)incineration plants throughout the European Community [85].

**Environmental Considerations**

The End-of-Life Vehicle (ELV) Directive was enacted by the European Commission (EC) during 2002 to address pollution resulting from vehicles that have reached the end of their useful life. It aimed to significantly reduce the 8 million tonnes of waste generated each year by the 12 million cars that have reached their end of life. In phase one of the directive, car makers were responsible for the disposal of all new production that would eventually become ELV. In 2007, they became responsible for all the vehicles they had ever produced. The legislation also stipulates that car-makers must re-use or recover 85% of ELVs by weight. At least 80% of that weight must be re-used or recycled while up to 5% can be dealt with through other recovery operations such as incineration. In 2015, this target will rise to 95% of ELVs by weight, 85% of which must be re-used or recycled.

The new directives on landfill and ELV, encourage industry to move away from landfill and energy recovery towards mechanical recycling or reuse. Customers (especially in the automotive sector) are increasingly asking the composites industry to accept responsibility for recycling the end-of-life waste. In the context of the EC directives, it will be necessary to make a strong case for disposal by incineration or by composting. Composites, based on natural fibre reinforcements, could prove to be more beneficial in the environment than “recyclable” materials but there is a need for quantitative life cycle analysis to clearly demonstrate that this is indeed the case.

Joshi et al [86] reviewed comparative life cycle assessment studies to conclude that natural fibres would be environmentally superior to glass fibre reinforced composites. The key drivers in favour of natural fibres were:

- natural fibre production has lower environmental impacts compared to glass fibre production,
- natural fibre composites have higher fibre content for equivalent performance, reducing the more-polluting polymer content,
- the light-weight natural fibre composites improve fuel efficiency and reduce emissions in the use phase of the component (especially in automotive applications), and
- end-of-life incineration results in recovered energy and carbon credits.

However, the conclusions are tempered by two caveats:

- fertiliser use in natural fibre cultivation results in higher nitrate and phosphate emissions which can lead to increased eutrophication in local water bodies, and
- the environmental superiority of natural fibre composites may be negated if the operating lifetime is significantly reduced compared to the glass fibre composites.
Reed and Williams [87] have examined the potential for waste biomass (in the form of natural hemp, flax, jute, coir or abaca fibres) to produce activated carbon. After pyrolysis in a fixed bed reactor and steam activation, the yield of activated carbon was 20% by weight of the original biomass and surface areas were in the range 770-879 m²/g. The environmental impact of natural fibres in industrial applications has been reviewed by van Dam and Bos [88]. They include quantitative data and suggest that:

- natural fibre production requires < 10 percent of the energy used for production of PP fibres (around 90 GJ/tonne).
- the total energy input for jute fibre cultivation (excluding field labour, retting and decortication) was calculated at 3.8-8.0 GJ/tonne when grown by numerous small farmers utilising labour and animal power with limited use of agrochemicals and machinery.
- the energy input from inorganic fertilisers, based on the energy content of the substance and the energy required for production, transport, storage and application is 17 GJ/tonne for potassium (K), 26 GJ/tonne for phosphorous (P) and 128 GJ/tonne for nitrogen (N).
- the energy input from pesticides, based on the energy content of the substance and the energy required for production, transport, storage and application is 320-476 GJ/tonne for fungicides, 461-568 GJ/tonne for insecticides and 467-622 GJ/tonne for herbicides.

In an independent analysis, Khan [89] calculated that the total energy consumed, including the embodied energies of fertilisers and pesticides would be 18-20 GJ/tonne of jute fibre.

Dissanayake et al [90-92] have begun to undertake a Quantitative Life Cycle Assessment (QLCA) to compare flax fibres and E-glass fibres as the reinforcement for composites within an ISO 14040 framework. They are considering all eight environmental impact classification factors (EICF) identified by Azapagic [93, 94], ISO 14047 [95] and the European Environment Agency [96] (Table 3). The total energy required [97, 98] using low energy agricultural processes was found to be 54.2 GJ/tonne for flax sliver and 80.5 GJ/tonne for yarn (Table 4). Traditional mouldboard ploughing and bio retting was found to require 118 GJ/tonne for sliver and 146 GJ/tonne for yarn. Fibreglass (insulation) and fibreglass reinforcement mats are reported to have embodied energies of 30 GJ/tonne [99] and 54.7 GJ/tonne [88] respectively! The analysis for the full set of EICF is on-going.

Table 3: A correlation of the eight environmental impact classification factors

Table 4: Energy consumption (GJ/tonne of processed fibre) at the various stages of fibre production

SUMMARY

Part 1 of this review paper has considered the growth, harvesting and fibre separation techniques suitable to yield bast fibre of appropriate quality for use as the reinforcement of polymer-matrix composites. The text then addressed the characterisation of the fibre. Part 2 of this review considered the use of the basic rule-of-mixtures in the context of natural fibre reinforced composites and addressed the characterisation of composite materials using microscopical, mechanical, chemical and thermal techniques. The text closed with a brief overview of some potential applications and the environmental considerations which might expedite or constrain the adoption of these composites. There are a number of factors which could constrain the commercial adoption of these fibres as reinforcements for composites:

- Unlike man-made fibres, the fibre cross section is neither circular nor uniform along the length which leads to increased complexity in the calculation of fibre volume fraction and hence in the prediction of the mechanical properties.
- It may be necessary to determine a fibre diameter distribution factor and how that factor might be incorporated into the rule-of-mixtures.
- The interface between the hydrophilic fibre and a hydrophobic matrix may need special fibre surface treatments or compatibilisers in the matrix.
- The fibres degrade over time at 200°C of higher, so the choice of matrix system for the composite is limited.
- Cellulose fibres have similar characteristics to aramid fibres and hence specialised cutting and machining technologies may be needed.
- The “green” claim for natural fibre composites may only be appropriate when best practice is adopted in the growth, separation and processing of the fibres and where the durability of the composite component is comparable to that of glass fibre composites.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank (a) Christophe Baley (Université de Bretagne Sud) for providing the data and permission for its use as Figure 1, (b) Hom Nath Dhakal (University of Portsmouth) and Miggy Singh (University of Plymouth) for their respective comments on draft versions of this paper and (c) the referees who considered an earlier version of this paper and offered some very useful advice.
REFERENCES

31. RK Cullen, Private conversation, 4 March 2003.
32. Grafil Test Methods (reference 000.05), Courtaulds Limited, Coventry, March 1980.
46 K Oksm, M Skrifvars and JF Selin, Natural fibres as reinforcement in polylactic acid (PLA) composites, Compost Science and Technology, 2003, 63(9), 1317-1324.
52 HPS Abdul Khalil, HD Rozman, MN Ahmad and H Ismail, Acetylated plant-fiber-reinforced polyester composites: a study of mechanical, hygrothermal, and aging characteristics, Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering, August 2000, 39(4), 757-781.
55 S Sundaram, Indian GRP industry fails to meet expectations, Reinforced Plastics, July/August 2002, 46(7/8), 34-39.
58 AK Bledzki and G Gassan, Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres, Progress in Polymer Science, 1999, 24(2), 221-274.


S Halliwell, End of Life Options for Composite Waste – Recycle, Reuse or Dispose, National Composites Network report, 2006 [NB: it is necessary to register with NCN to access this report online].


ISO 14852 (1999); Determination of the ultimate aerobic biodegradability of plastic materials in an aqueous medium - Method by analysis of evolved carbon dioxide.

J Jacobs, The Composting Association, private communication (e-mail), Monday 26 June 2006 08:45.

N Goldstein, Source separated organics as feedstock for digesters, BioCycle, August 2005, 46(8), 42.


### Table 1: Dependence of properties of flax fibre on fibre diameter

*(Tables 1/2 of Lamy and Baley [5]*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>d</em> (μm)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E</em> (GPa)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K</em> (MPa)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td>5990</td>
<td>9670</td>
<td>10350</td>
<td>10520</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>5230</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Typical properties of natural fibre reinforced polymer matrix composites

*(nb: specific results* reported here are for the composite with highest elastic modulus reported in each paper)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibre</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>% fibre</th>
<th><em>E</em> (GPa)</th>
<th>σ' (MPa)</th>
<th>ε' (%)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Source (NB: this column is to ensure reference numbers are correct – it should not be published)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flax</td>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>unidirectional</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Van der Wegenberg et al (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flax</td>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>aligned roving</td>
<td>40 v/o</td>
<td>7.3±0.5</td>
<td>44.1±7.2</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>46*</td>
<td>Oksman et al (2003)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flax</td>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>random mat</td>
<td>30 v/o</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>47*</td>
<td>Bodros et al (2007)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp</td>
<td>UP resin</td>
<td>mat</td>
<td>44 w/o</td>
<td>6.2±0.6</td>
<td>53.0±6.0</td>
<td>1.39±0.26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Yuanjian &amp; Isaac (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>injection moulded</td>
<td>40 w/o</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Beckerman &amp; Pickering (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jute</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>injection moulded</td>
<td>50 w/o</td>
<td>5.5±0.3</td>
<td>32.0±0.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Karmaker &amp; Schneider (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jute</td>
<td>PP/MAPP</td>
<td>injection moulded</td>
<td>50 w/o</td>
<td>5.4±0.4</td>
<td>57.9±0.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Karmaker &amp; Schneider (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettle</td>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>unidirectional</td>
<td>24 v/o</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Merilä (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettle</td>
<td>Phenolic</td>
<td>unidirectional</td>
<td>23 v/o</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Merilä (2000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: A correlation of the eight environmental impact classification factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification Factor</th>
<th>Azapagic et al [69, 70]</th>
<th>ISO/TR 14047:2003(E) [71]</th>
<th>European Environment Agency [72]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acidification Potential (AP)</td>
<td>Acidification</td>
<td>Acidification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Toxicity Potential (ATP)</td>
<td>Ecotoxicity</td>
<td>Ecotoxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication Potential (EP)</td>
<td>Eutrophication/Nitrification</td>
<td>Eutrophication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Warming Potential (GWP)</td>
<td>Climate change</td>
<td>Climate change and global warming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Toxicity Potential (HTP)</td>
<td>Human toxicity</td>
<td>Human toxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Renewable/Abiotic Resource Depletion (NRADP)</td>
<td>Depletion of abiotic/biotic resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)</td>
<td>Stratospheric ozone depletion</td>
<td>Stratospheric ozone depletion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical Oxidants Creation Potential (POCP)</td>
<td>Photo-oxidant formation</td>
<td>Photochemical ozone formation (summer smog)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Energy consumption (GJ/tonne of processed fibre) at the various stages of fibre production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Sliver</th>
<th>Yarn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sliver</td>
<td>Cultivation</td>
<td>Agrochemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No till + water retting</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation tillage + stand/dew retting</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional tillage + bio-retting</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarn</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional tillage + bio-retting</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Young’s modulus as a function of fibre diameter for (left) flax and (right) nettle (reproduced from data, published in [5] and [7] respectively, kindly provided by C Baley with permission for use here).

Figure 2: Variation of Young’s modulus with fibre orientation plotted against fibre volume fraction (a constant fibre modulus of 50 GPa has been assumed for the trendlines).
Figure 3: The deterioration of flexural modulus and strength for jute fibre composites exposed to distilled water for 0, 220, 410 or 7500 hours (data from Costa and D’Almeida [52]).

Figure 4: Use of natural fibres in the German automotive industry 1996 – 2002 (tonnes) (after Kaup et al [58]).