

2017-08-01

# Morphodynamics, boundary conditions and pattern evolution within a vegetated linear dunefield

Telfer, Matt

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/9351>

---

10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.03.024

Geomorphology

Elsevier BV

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

## Manuscript Details

<b>Manuscript number</b>	GEOMOR_2016_457
<b>Title</b>	Morphodynamics, boundary conditions and pattern evolution within a vegetated linear dunefield
<b>Article type</b>	Research Paper

### Abstract

The controls on the evolution of linear dunefields are poorly understood, despite the potential for reactivation of dunefields currently stabilized by vegetation by 21st century climate change. The relative roles of local influences (i.e. boundary conditions) and morphodynamic influences (i.e. emergent properties) remain unclear. Chronostratigraphic and sedimentological analysis was conducted on two pairs of linear dunes exhibiting different spatial patterning in the Strzelecki Desert of central Australia. It was hypothesized that morphodynamic influences, via pattern-coarsening, would mean that dunes from the simpler pattern, defined in terms of the frequency of defects (i.e. junctions and terminations), would be more mature, older landforms. Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dating of full-depth, regularly-sampled profiles was used to establish accumulation histories for the four dunes, and supported by sedimentological analysis to investigate possible compositional differences and similarities between the dunes. Whilst three of the dunes (the two more simply-patterned dunes, and one of the more complex dunes) have accumulation histories beginning between ~100 ka and 150 ka, and document sporadic net accumulation throughout the last interglacial/glacial cycle to the late Holocene, one of the dunes (with relatively complex patterning) reveals that the majority of the dune accumulation (> 7 m) at that site occurred during a relatively short window at ~50 ka. There is no clear sedimentological reason for the different behaviour of the younger dune. The data suggest that small-scale and essentially stochastic nature of the aeolian depositional/erosional system can overprint any large-scale morphodynamic controls. The concept of dating landscape change by pattern analysis is thus not supported by this study, and would require very careful interpretation of the scales being considered. This further suggests caution when interpreting dune chronostratigraphies palaeoenvironmentally, as different dunes are able to respond very differently to the same external stimulus (e.g. climate). In the case studied here, a mechanism is proposed to account for the rapid accumulation of the anomalous dune by avulsion of the local aeolian accumulation from one dune ridge to another.

<b>Keywords</b>	Dune; Geomorphology; Self-organization; Pattern coarsening
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Matt Telfer
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution</b>	Plymouth University
<b>Order of Authors</b>	Matt Telfer, Paul Hesse, Marta Perez-Fernandez, Richard Bailey, Szilvia Bajkan, Nick Lancaster
<b>Suggested reviewers</b>	Joanna Bullard, Kathryn Fitzsimmons, Ryan Ewing

## Submission Files Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

Cover\_letter\_Strz\_paper.docx [Cover Letter]  
Response to reviewer's comments\_17-2-17\_final.docx [Response to Reviewers]  
Manuscript\_revised\_17-2-17\_final.docx [Manuscript File]  
Figure2\_site\_maps\_revised.jpg [Figure]  
Figure3\_OSL\_detail\_figure.jpg [Figure]  
Figure4\_dated\_profiles\_w\_particle\_size.jpg [Figure]  
Figure4\_dated\_profiles\_w\_particle\_size\_colour.jpg [Figure]  
Figure6\_LOI\_TiAl.jpg [Figure]  
Figure9\_aira\_detail.jpg [Figure]

## Submission Files Not Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

Figure\_1\_location\_map.eps [Figure]

Figure5\_age-depth.eps [Figure]

Figure7\_geochem.eps [Figure]

Figure8\_aira\_detail\_schematic.eps [Figure]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

13<sup>th</sup> February 2017

Re: GEOMOR\_2016\_457: Controls on the evolution of linear dunefields: A test of the pattern-coarsening hypothesis

Dear Editors,

This letter serves to cover the accompanying revised manuscript, renamed to reflect the contextual changes and conceptual frameworks suggested by the reviewers; "Morphodynamics, boundary conditions and pattern evolution within a vegetated linear dunefield".

We thank the reviewers and editorial team for an especially constructive set of comments, which we believe we have addressed in the attached manuscript. Please accept my apologies (MT) for the delay in getting this resubmission to you. Attached to this resubmission is a detailed commentary on how we have addressed the points raised by the reviewers which have, we believe, substantially improved this manuscript. In almost all cases we have acted upon the suggestions made, and in the process significantly reframed and tightened the manuscript.

We look forward to your response in due course.

Kind regards,

A handwritten signature in red ink that reads "Matt Telfer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke underneath the name.

Dr Matt Telfer, Dr Paul Hesse, Dr Marta Perez-Fernandez, Dr Richard Bailey, Dr Szilvia Bajkan and Dr Nick Lancaster.

*In their manuscript, “ Controls on the evolution of linear dunefields: A test of the pattern coarsening hypothesis” Telfer et al. used chronological measurements paired with dune morphometric analysis to test the hypothesis that poorly organized dune-field patterns represent younger patterns and simple, organized dune-field patterns represent older patterns. The authors have hit on an important and difficult geomorphological problem in the spatial analysis of dune fields. Indeed, robust theory and even observational data show that dune field patterns evolve through pattern coarsening where by dune-dune interactions give rise to more organized patterns through time. Testing this model in older dune fields, as this paper set out to do, is difficult for some of the reasons outlined in this paper.*

**The paper’s lengthy and sometimes indirect discussion of why the ages between the two patterns differ makes it somewhat difficult to draw firm conclusions from.**

*We have thoroughly restructured the discussion, along the lines proposed here, and we are grateful to the reviewer for this synthesis.*

*The two most important factors that I see and that were discussed in some depth in the paper are the morphodynamic influence on mixing OSL ages and boundary conditions of pattern evolution. The former is simply that dune-dune interactions as an autogenic process and variations in scour depth occur and inevitably make OSL ages difficult to compare.*

*The final model for the paper that offers some hypothesis related to morphodynamics is interesting and more discussion is needed around this idea. The latter is to say that the boundary conditions affecting pattern formation vary wildly between and within dune fields, and thus patterns are expected to be different.*

**In the examples given in the paper, one area is flanked by a large pan and another is not, yet there is little discussion of how the local surroundings might affect the pattern.**

*This is now included as a limitation in the introductory section on site selection, and is included in discussion of the idea of dune transport corridors switching (‘avulsing’; a term we defend in more detail in this revision).*

**While both topics are somewhat discussed in the text, I think the authors could make a better argument by focusing on a few targeted arguments in depth, rather than the diffuse approach here.**

*We hope that the restructuring here addresses the concerns about the diffuse nature of the discussion, and we thank the reviewers for suggestions as to how we can make this more focused.*

**For example, the topography discussion makes a point, but it doesn’t directly explain any differences in the ages. It could be lumped into ‘boundary conditions’, but as a standalone part of the paper, I thought this could be removed unless there is more discussion around it.**

*We have removed this section of the paper entirely, and concur that it is at best marginally relevant to the core of this paper.*

**The authors don’t mention the possibility of dune field un-coarsening or degradation of the dunefield that might reorganize one part of the pattern while leaving other areas untouched. A process that could be related to wholesale shift in climate conditions or local shifts in boundary conditions.**

*We mention briefly that prospect of dunefield degradation with reference to McFarlane et al (2005) (Original ms line 477-479), but whilst this is an interesting point, and we note it as a possibility –*

potentially for future studies – extensive discussion of this point is, we feel, beyond the scope of this paper.

**Lines 19-50: Abstract is lengthy, multi-paragraphed, and reads like an introduction. It would benefit the readership to shorten this.**

We have reduced the word count for the abstract from 430 to 320.

**Line 50: Avulsion is a weighty term to describe this behavior and easily confused with its fluvial meaning. Could the authors use deformation or interaction if another dune is involved? This is one of the most interesting aspects of this paper and more discussion of this process is needed.**

Avulsion is indeed a weighty term, and although it is not commonly used in this context, the parallels with fluvial systems are quite deliberate. The data appear consistent with a switch in preferred transport and deposition along the dune, although we note that more data are needed to properly confirm this hypothesis. We clarify the use of our term here to ensure there is no scope for confusion with fluvial geomorphology by making this analogy more explicit – after all, fluvial geomorphologists do not have exclusive rights to the words (which occurs commonly, for instance, in medicine).

**Line 61: What does “...linear dunes are formed laterally...” mean? Suggest rephrasing.**

We have rephrased this as “Whilst some linear dunes may be formed by sediment transport orthogonal to the dune trend by lateral deflation from local sands”

**Line 62: Suggest Lucas et al., 2015, Rubin et al., 2008**

References now added as suggested

**Line 83: Capitalize Earth**

Corrected, with thanks

**Line 90: Beveridge et al. (2006) uses pattern morphometrics and OSL to reconstruct the history of the Gran Desierto Sand Sea in Mexico. Suggest also referencing Ping et al. (2014) for a growth sequence of dunes and Ewing and Kocurek (2010) show a time-for-space substitution. More substantively, given that the paper centers on coarsening, a more thorough examination of previous work is warranted. The author may be correct that coarsening hasn't been tested directly with chronological methods, but OSL and other dating methods in aeolian systems are typically a coarse method itself. Would modern observation not be better? Moreover, very little is known about pattern coarsening in linear dunes. Included in this much needed examination of coarsening would be a discussion of the possible hypotheses beginning with Werner and Kocurek, 1999.**

I think the statement that ‘very little is known about pattern coarsening in linear dunes’ sums up the situation well. We are grateful for the suggestion that Kocurek and Werner (1999) ought to be brought in more explicitly at this stage. Beveridge et al.'s (2006) work was an inexcusable omission, and we have included this citation quite rightly now, although we do note that the dune morphologies here are very different, and also that there is marked evidence for changes in wind regime in the Gran Desierto, which is a somewhat different situation to that of that of the Strzelecki. This discussion overall now has its own section, including highlighting that the long timescales of vegetated dunefield development, modern observation is challenging, requiring either landscape-scale experimentation or space-time substitution, which has raised questions of its own (Telfer and Hesse, 2013).

**Line 100: As is stated and the point of selecting these sites, it is unlikely that a site so close and within the same field would have experienced a dramatically different pattern evolution unless one pattern is associated with a source area. Thus, while one pattern may be less organized than**

another within this specific area, it may well be within the distribution of a single population at the field-scale. Can the authors show how the defect densities of this area relate to the field scale pattern and that they fall within different populations?

We note that it may be the case that these populations may not be statistically distinct at the field scale in the discussion. However, we quantify the differences in pattern metrics in the section discussing the field site and they fall within different levels of organization by the classifications of Fitzsimmons (2008).

**Figure 2: Are these availability-limited dunes? If so, it is not clear how availability-limited patterns respond to pattern coarsening and this should be acknowledged. For example, the more organized pattern is adjacent to a large pan and no large playa exists in the other pattern. So it seems that it may be the boundary conditions may be playing a strong role in the organization of the pattern. The more complex pattern also appears to have clustering of crestlines at wavelengths similar to that of the older pattern. Though this is referenced on Line 190-194 and again on Line 395, it isn't strategically used to explain why the pattern could vary.**

These dunes are currently availability limited, but whether they were at the time of pattern emplacement is unclear. We have addressed this point in the introduction.

Could it be that local variations in the water table removed some of the smaller crest in the coarser pattern, but otherwise these began as similar patterns as suggested? That is just one hypothesis, but the point is that the authors should explore some alternative ideas to explain the pattern organization rather than inferring that these progressed through time along the self-organizing path in the absence of boundary condition controls on the pattern.

This is possible – as is the fact that the differing surface conditions (noted above, also). We raise this more explicitly in the section discussing boundary condition effects on dune geomorphology

**Line 156: See Werner and Kocurek, 1999 for a model that predicts how the y-junctions should be oriented during coarsening. Suggest modifying that figure and presenting it in this paper in the introduction. This would benefit the readership to know what models are out there for linear dune pattern coarsening.**

Whilst the conceptual ideas of Werner and Kocurek are excellent in this paper, the figure referred to here is not an easy one to interpret for a reader who might be unfamiliar with this model. Instead of incorporating this figure, we describe the process in the text, and refer the reader to this paper for further detail.

**Line 331-338/Figure 4: The grain size data re difficult to read. Typical cumulative frequency plots would give the reader a more robust reading of the grainsize distribution.**

I (MT) have thought long over how best to present grain size data within profiles such as these. It is true that cumulative frequency plots offer a potentially more detailed view of the data, but these must either be displayed as separate panels within a figure (in this case, 37 separate panels, which is unwieldy), or as stacked graphs on a single panel for individual profiles. In this case, up to ten individual cumulative profiles must still be stacked on a figure, and crucially, this figure must also retain stratigraphic information that is readily comparable (i.e. where, within the profile, each cumulative frequency line refers to). In my experience, such figures tend to be very hard to read. The method used here – essentially a variant on that used in traditional stratigraphic logs – retains all this information.

**Line 356: What are those differing explanations for mobility? e.g., Rubin and Hesp (2009) Titan?**

We have clarified and expanded upon this point, noting that not only is the degree of dune mobility likely to be affected, but, as Rubin and Hesp noted, potentially also the mechanism. The reader is directed to Rubin and Hesp's work for more detailed explanation of these mechanisms.

**Line 383: Topography Section** – Not clear what the point of the topography section is. Why is topography important in this analysis? The relationship of topography and coarsening was not mentioned earlier in the text and if there is one expected it should be discussed here. Is the idea that younger, more complex patterns should be smaller topographically along the coarsening trend.

We note that this section, whilst reporting some interesting findings, is not central to the aims of this paper, and thus have removed it.

**Line 437:** the authors don't make clear how the Bak model relates to pattern coarsening. Placing this discussion more directly in the context of dune-field pattern dynamics (i.e., interactions) would be more beneficial than the abstraction to Bak.

We have removed this analogy.

**Line 476:** The Werner and Kocurek, 1999 model explicitly suggests a model for coarsening in linear dunes; moreover, observations of coarsening in linear longitudinal ripple experiments (Rubin and Hunter, 1990) have been made. On top of those factors, bifurcations are a clear signature of interactions. Perhaps the best example of this is in the planetary literature Silvestro et al. 2016. The suggestion that linear dunes simply don't interact is incorrect, but the suggestion that we don't understand the mechanics of interactions for linear or any dunes is correct.

We concur that this was not worded well- we had used the phrase 'essentially independent existences', and yet, as the reviewer notes, dune bifurcations clearly indicate some interaction. We had meant that it might be that for the majority of the time, for the majority of their length, these dunes behave without synchronous response. This sentence has been reworded to remove the inference that dunes do not interact with their neighbours, and instead makes the point that compared to more mobile bedforms (e.g. barchans), opportunities for dune-dune interaction may occur less frequently. The references suggested have been cited, and discussion of the Werner and Kocurek model, and Rubin and Ikeda (I think this is the reference to which the reviewers is referring, and is certainly relevant; to the best of my knowledge, there is no 'Rubin and Hunter, 1990') observations, are now included in the extended introduction brought in response to the comment to line 156 above.

**Line 484:** I disagree. Some answer is needed. This is the first instance of the presentation of this data and thus a thorough exploration of its content should be presented.

I presume the disagreement lies with our statement: "It is a question to which the definitive answer is beyond the scope of this paper, but it is useful to consider some of the possibilities." I believe we do thoroughly explore this issue, and both reviewers note the interesting nature or sophistication of the discussion (which, we concur, could have been better organised and more tightly edited). We have removed this statement, though, as we concur it sets a somewhat negative tone at the outset of the discussion. We can, and do, propose hypotheses (noted by Reviewer ~2 as 'speculative but useful'), and offer a favoured hypothesis, but we cannot decisively prove it with the data here.

-Reviewer

2

*This is an interesting study that used OSL dating in an effort to test a hypothesis on dune field development that is based largely on modeling and theory. Luminescence dating has been applied in many dune fields in recent decades, with the goal of paleoenvironmental reconstruction, but using these methods to test hypotheses on dune geomorphology has been rare and limited in scope. The paper also makes a contribution to the long-term problem of understanding linear dune growth and migration, and to the growing literature on issues in relating OSL dating results to what we know of*



*dune growth and migration in general. It is certainly within the scope of the journal, and it could be important in encouraging more work along these lines.*

*I have one major issue with the paper, and a few comments on other points. It is clearly written for the most part, but could be more concise in a number of places. The paper could be acceptable for publication with moderate revision to address these issues.*

The major issue is with how the study is framed. The title and most of the abstract suggest a simple story, that the pattern coarsening hypothesis has been tested and not supported, which will likely be the message to any reader who looks at this quickly and uncritically. Lines 42-44 in the abstract do suggest a more realistic and complex situation, but this is not enough, since they are followed by another sentence stating that dating landscapes based on the pattern coarsening hypothesis is not supported. It is not until the discussion starting on line 428 that the true nature of the problem is really addressed.

We have changed the title of the submission – whilst our aim was to provide a rigorous, hypothesis-driven approach, we agree that the data suggest a more complex interpretation than a straightforward ‘yes/no’ answer. Concurrently, we have developed the discussion and reorganized it into a framework in the light of reviewers’ comments, and extensively reworked (and shortened) the abstract.

Four alternative interpretations are described, the first of which is stated as “the data do not support the idea of pattern coarsening.” For comparison with the other three interpretations it would be better, I think, to just state the possibility that the pattern coarsening hypothesis is invalid and that’s why the data aren’t consistent with it.

We have removed this statement, and included an extended discussion of pattern coarsening in the introduction.

The second interpretation is essentially that the hypothesis cannot be tested by investigation at the scale of individual dunes. This idea does not depend at all on the study results, it could have been suggested before the study was carried out, and it would be just as much a possibility if the study had shown that the coarser-patterned dunes were older! Surely this is something that belongs in the introduction and perhaps even in the abstract; that is, the authors should raise this possibility and then make some arguments as to why it may not be true in order to justify going ahead with the study.

We thank the reviewer for this point, and upon reflection, this point was closely related to our following point (sample size). With the refocusing of the text away from a more deductive approach, which, as this reviewer rightly notes, did not quite work, and merging this with the subsequent point, we believe we have addressed this point.

The third interpretation is that the sample size is too small to adequately test the hypothesis, since dune reorganization is influenced by stochastic processes. This seems likely to me, and it leads quite well into the speculative but useful discussion in the following section on Geomorphological Mechanisms. The possibility that the sample size is much too small given the variety of mechanisms that could locally affect the age-depth profile in a linear dune is really more sound and important as an interpretation of the results than just saying the hypothesis is not supported. It should therefore be given much more emphasis in the abstract.

We have now refocused the abstract.

The fourth alternative interpretation, that pattern coarsening over long timescales is not detectable by this kind of study, is also a useful contribution, though it isn’t developed in detail.

Further development of this idea, whilst we agree it is an interesting point, is, we feel beyond the core scope of this manuscript (we note that reviewer #1 already comments on the discussion as being 'lengthy'). We raise this as a point for further study.

The conclusion reiterates at least some of the useful points made in the discussion starting on line 428, but is a little vague. To summarize, the sophisticated interpretation of the discussion section is largely absent from the abstract, which will likely lead to misinterpretation of the study's outcome. The key points made in the discussion need to be highlighted better in both the abstract and the conclusions.

We have reworded both the abstract and parts of the conclusion.

Other issues:

While it is good to point out the contrast between the age-depth profiles at Airacobra and those at the other sites as a caution for paleoenvironmental studies, it is overdone in this paper. To say that just sampling the single dune at Airacobra would lead to misleading results is to set up a straw man: I can't recall any study that has sampled a single dune and drawn far-reaching conclusions from it. In many dune fields and semi-arid regions there are data from dozens of sites and it's not hard to find a few sections that seem pretty anomalous compared to the others nearby. The issue today is not that researchers are mistakenly focused on those few anomalous sites, it's more subtle issues such as sampling bias toward certain types of dunes or certain parts of larger ones, lack of deep samples, etc. I think you should note that this study adds one more example of the need to sample as many sites as is practically possible and leave it at that.

We (MT and PH) are afraid that given the frequency with which we are still getting sent manuscripts (as reviewer - including, in one case, a submission to Nature Geoscience - and/or editor) that draw wide-reaching palaeoenvironmental and/or palaeoclimatic conclusions from a minimal number of dune sections (and yes, in some cases, just one), we must disagree slightly with this comment. Perhaps - rightly - these studies are rarely making it into the published literature (or at least the more discerning journals) but there is still a lot of work *trying* to do exactly that. Whilst the points about sampling strategies are absolutely true, we would argue that this point is still valid - the 'straw men' are most definitely out there.

2. The discussion in lines 511-517 of higher silt, clay, and organic matter and more coarse sand in deeper samples (most obvious in Tarwonga II and Caroowinnie, but also evident to some extent in the other two cores), seems to miss some obvious possibilities. Addition of silt and clay to near-surface horizons of fully or partially vegetation-stabilized dunes through dustfall, or less likely, weathering. OM accumulation would occur under the same conditions. Why can't the deeper samples simply represent sands with longer residence time in the near-surface zone? This would be consistent with the low accumulation rates for the same deeper parts of the cores, especially at Tarwonga and Caroowinnie. I think this explanation works even if the low accumulation rates in part reflect more erosional truncation. I see hints of this idea in the section on paleoenvironmental reconstruction, but not earlier. As to the coarse sand content, does it have to do with greater transport of the coarser grains over a low-relief dune (or over a lower part of the dune, if the crest has shifted)?

We thank the reviewer for these observations, which might well be possibilities. We have included these within the brief discussion on paleoenvironmental implications; though it to be noted that this is not the main focus of this paper.

**3. The observation that linear dunes near Caroowinnie and Airacobra are superimposed on larger topographic swells is interesting, but nothing is really done with it later. Is it necessary or appropriate to include this information, especially at such length?**

We have removed this section

**4. The methods section described estimation of organic matter content by loss on ignition. Later, results are reported in terms of % organic carbon. %LOI has to be multiplied by a factor around 0.6 to get %OC. Was this done, or are you actually presenting %LOI? Numbers like 6% would be much more realistic for dune sand if it's LOI; even well-developed, dark-colored soil A horizons rarely have more than 3% OC, and you would certainly have noticed a dark color in the field if your samples had the OC values reported.**

Many thanks for attention to detail and spotting this error. We are indeed presenting LOI, and given the complexities of converting LOI to organic carbon content, we retain it as LOI.

**5. Are any results from sieving reported, or are all the grain-size data shown from laser diffraction? If the latter, remove the reference to sieving, otherwise, note which data are based on that method.**

Samples were pre-sieved at 2 mm prior to laser diffraction, but as noted, no coarser material was identified. We agree that the way this was written was confusing, and we have removed reference to sieving as it only complicated matters.

**6. In regard to high Ca content interpreted as carbonates—it would be simple to test this with 10% HCl on remaining sample material, and better than speculating about it.**

This would be ideal, and a 'simple' test confirming the presence of carbonate was done in the field. More rigorous quantification of carbonate is not so trivial, and the quantities of sample material available are limited. Given the dominance over carbonates over other possible sources of Ca (mainly trace calcic feldspars), we defend this position.

**7. Much of the text could be made more concise with careful editing. A couple of examples are noted below.**

We have extensively restructured and in places rewritten the text, with particular attention to the sections identified below.

*Editorial and other minor notes (by line number):*

**98-99 Later, the two sampling areas are distinguished based on dune spacing as well as defect frequency.**

This is a good point, and we have amended the definition here to reflect the fact that whilst spacing does not directly influence dune topological relationships, it does affect the potential for defect frequency.

**99 Change "amount" to "number"**

Amended as suggested.

**173 State what the sampling bias is, more specifically (bias toward shallower samples/upper parts of the dune?)**

We have clarified this with the addition of the clause "namely that both deep and very shallow samples are often not collected".

**176 Delete "can"**

Typo corrected.

**212 Change to “no adjacent dune was present”**

Amended as suggested.

**214 State the approximate grid cell size of 1 arcsecond data, in meters (~30 m)**

This is now included in parentheses.

**220-226 This description of methods is an example of text that could be shortened and at the same time made more accurate. For example: “Light-contaminated sediment from the ends of the OSL sample tubes was used for sedimentological analyses. Water content was measured by drying at 105 C, and organic matter content was estimated from loss on ignition at 550 C. The ignited sediment was then used for particle size analysis by sieving and laser diffraction using a...” [It is not the sample tubes that were used, it was the sediment in them. It is not water loss you are after, it is gravimetric water content. All LOI methods involved drying at 105 C first to account for water, I believe.]**

We agree that this could be more concise and clearer. This section has been rewritten, reducing the word count by 30 within this paragraph:

“The light-contaminated ends of the OSL samples were dried overnight at 105 °C and weighed to determine water loss. The dried sample was then ignited in a muffle furnace for four hours at 550 °C, and once more weighed to derive the Loss On Ignition (LOI). The sediment remaining after the organic matter had been burnt off was then analysed for particle size using a Mastersizer 2000 (version 5.60) laser diffractometer over a range from 0.1-2000 µm. No coarser material was present. Samples were dispersed in tap water with the aid of sodium hexametaphosphate.”

**227 Rather than “fresh,” state whether it was DI or tap water**

Clarified – it was tap water, as I believe is common for most laser diffractometry set-ups.

**230 Shorten to “c) investigate the causes of variance...”**

Amended from its previous ungainly form!

**240 Mentioning repeat analyses here without any specifics is not very useful. Were they just duplicates or were larger numbers of replicates run for some samples? How did the range or sd compare to the +/- errors you used?**

We have now clarified this with a statement in the methods; samples were analysed in triplicate and the uncertainties we used were based on the standard deviation of repeat analyses.

**268-269 Shouldn't there be a space between Gy and ka?**

Yes. Many thanks for noting this. Now corrected throughout

**360-365 Give references for NMDS and cluster analysis.**

Citations to the R package used to provide these analyses, and thus the details of the methods employed are now included.

**384-398 This is another section I think could be shortened considerably. See also comment above on whether a lot of this needs to be included at all.**

We have removed this section entirely

**498 Delete “of” before “common”**

Typo corrected with thanks for the attention to detail

**518-531. See above. I don't think this adds much.**

As noted, the section on topography is removed as peripheral to this story.



1  
2  
3 **1 Morphodynamics, boundary conditions and pattern evolution within a vegetated linear dunefield**

4  
5  
6 **2 Telfer, M.W.<sup>1\*</sup>, Hesse, P.P.<sup>2</sup>, Perez-Fernandez, M.<sup>3</sup>, Bailey, R.M.<sup>4</sup>, Bajkan, S.<sup>4</sup>, and Lancaster, N.<sup>5</sup>**

7  
8  
9 3 1. School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Science, Plymouth University, Drake Circus,  
10 4 Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA, UK.

11 5 2. Department of Environmental Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW, 2109, Australia.

12 6 3. Department of Geography, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK.

13 7 4. School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1  
14 8 3QY, UK.

15 9 5. Division of Earth and Ecosystem Sciences, Desert Research Institute, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno,  
16 10 NV 89512, USA.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 **12 Highlights**

- 31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42
- 13 • Adjacent linear dunes can preserve radically different records of accumulation.
  - 14 • Boundary condition variability is more significant than morphodynamic pattern coarsening.
  - 15 • The concept of ‘pattern dating’ requires further validation.
  - 16 • Avulsion of sand transport pathways accounts for rapid accumulation in one dune.

43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59 **18 Abstract**

45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

The controls on the evolution of linear dunefields are poorly understood, despite the potential for reactivation of dunefields currently stabilized by vegetation by 21<sup>st</sup> century climate change. The relative roles of local influences (i.e. boundary conditions) and morphodynamic influences (i.e. emergent properties) remain unclear. Chronostratigraphic and sedimentological analysis was conducted on two pairs of linear dunes exhibiting different spatial patterning in the Strzelecki Desert of central Australia. It was hypothesized that morphodynamic influences, via pattern-coarsening,

60  
61  
62 25 would mean that dunes from the simpler pattern, defined in terms of the frequency of defects (i.e.  
63  
64 26 junctions and terminations), would be more mature, older landforms. Optically Stimulated  
65  
66 27 Luminescence (OSL) dating of full-depth, regularly-sampled profiles was used to establish  
67  
68 28 accumulation histories for the four dunes, and supported by sedimentological analysis to investigate  
69  
70 29 possible compositional differences and similarities between the dunes. Whilst three of the dunes  
71  
72 30 (the two more simply-patterned dunes, and one of the more complex dunes) have accumulation  
73  
74 31 histories beginning between ~100 ka and 150 ka, and document sporadic net accumulation  
75  
76 32 throughout the last interglacial/glacial cycle to the late Holocene, one of the dunes (with relatively  
77  
78 33 complex patterning) reveals that the majority of the dune accumulation (> 7 m) at that site occurred  
79  
80 34 during a relatively short window at ~50 ka. There is no clear sedimentological reason for the  
81  
82 35 different behaviour of the younger dune. The data suggest that small-scale and essentially stochastic  
83  
84 36 nature of the aeolian depositional/erosional system can overprint any large-scale morphodynamic  
85  
86 37 controls. The concept of dating landscape change by pattern analysis is thus not supported by this  
87  
88 38 study, and would require very careful interpretation of the scales being considered. This further  
89  
90 39 suggests caution when interpreting dune chronostratigraphies palaeoenvironmentally, as different  
91  
92 40 dunes are able to respond very differently to the same external stimulus (e.g. climate). In the case  
93  
94 41 studied here, a mechanism is proposed to account for the rapid accumulation of the anomalous  
95  
96 42 dune by avulsion of the local aeolian accumulation from one dune ridge to another.  
97  
98  
99

## 100 43 **1. Introduction**

101  
102  
103 44 Linear dunes, when viewed from above in planform, are one of the world's most strikingly organised  
104  
105 45 landscapes, yet the controls on patterning within dunefields in general and, in this case, linear  
106  
107 46 dunefields are still poorly understood. In addition to being found in some of the world's hyper-arid  
108  
109 47 deserts (e.g. Sahara), linear dunes are a widespread component of the landscape across many semi-  
110  
111 48 arid and arid lands, such as central Australia and central southern Africa, in less-active forms. Yet  
112  
113 49 understanding of the formation and evolution of linear dunes at the landform and landscape scale is  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118

119  
120  
121 50 still far from complete. Absolute dating with luminescence methods has revealed that these  
122  
123 51 landforms often maintain their position on the landscape over  $>10^4$  years, yet may still experience  
124  
125 52 substantial aeolian activity and reworking at decadal to centennial timescales (Roskin et al., 2014;  
126  
127 53 Telfer, 2011). Whilst some linear dunes may be formed by sediment transport orthogonal to the  
128  
129 54 dune trend by lateral deflation from local sands (Fitzsimmons et al., 2009; Hollands et al., 2006,  
130  
131 55 Rubin et al, 2008), it is also evident that they can grow by downwind extension (Telfer, 2011; Lucas  
132  
133 56 et al., 2015); and can also migrate laterally (Bristow et al., 2000; Nanson et al., 1992a). Some of these  
134  
135 57 apparent contradictions may be resolved by consideration of the concept that linear dunes are self-  
136  
137 58 organising phenomena, operating within the complex morphodynamic system formed by  
138  
139 59 interactions within and between the turbulent atmospheric boundary layer and an erodible loose-  
140  
141 60 sand substrate. As such, it is not to be expected that such landforms necessarily have singular and  
142  
143 61 unique formational routes, but that the observed planform patterns are likely to correspond to one  
144  
145 62 of a number of equifinal formational processes; part of the non-equilibrium worldview of Phillips'  
146  
147 63 'perfect landscape' concept (Phillips, 2007). The concept of dune morphologies as attractor states  
148  
149 64 within the phase-space of this system was proposed by Werner (1995), and has since been  
150  
151 65 developed by numerous others (e.g. Eastwood et al., 2011; Ewing et al., 2006; Kocurek and Ewing,  
152  
153 66 2005). Different dunefield patterns may thus represent the outcomes of different trajectories, or  
154  
155 67 different stages along a trajectory, through the phase-space of the complex aeolian system. The  
156  
157 68 concept of attractor states can be extended not just to differences between gross dune  
158  
159 69 morphologies (e.g. between transverse, barchans and linear forms), but also between different  
160  
161 70 patterns within linear dunefields.

162  
163  
164  
165  
166 71 Understanding the development of linear dunefields is important for a number of reasons. Firstly,  
167  
168 72 there have been suggestions that some of the world's linear dunefields (e.g. the Kalahari), which are  
169  
170 73 currently largely inactive and host to extensive rural pastoralism, could be subject to intense aeolian  
171  
172 74 reactivation by the end of the current century under the influence of anthropogenic climate change  
173  
174 75 (Thomas et al., 2005), and yet the processes of dune remobilisation are poorly understood.



178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236

76 Secondly, attempts to use linear dunes as archives of palaeoenvironmental change have been  
77 hindered by poor understanding of the geomorphology of these landforms (Chase, 2009; Hesse,  
78 2010; Telfer and Hesse, 2013; Thomas and Burrough, 2012; Zarate and Tripaldi, 2012). If there is to  
79 be hope of exploiting linear dunes as geoproxies of useful past environmental information, improved  
80 understanding of their landscape-scale behaviour is needed.

81 *1.1 Morphodynamic effects: Pattern coarsening within linear/longitudinal bedforms*

82 Modelling and experimentation suggests that the concept of pattern coarsening, whereby highly  
83 disorganised patterns become simpler over time, is likely to be a dominant control (Andreotti et al.,  
84 2009; Ewing and Kocurek, 2010; Ewing et al., 2006; Fourriere et al., 2010). Rubin and Ikeda (1990)  
85 observed pattern-coarsening in linear ripples in flume experiments. Studies from other components  
86 of the Earth system which demonstrate self-organizing characteristics, ranging from semi-arid  
87 vegetation (Barbier et al., 2006; Kefi et al., 2007; Scheffer et al., 2009), to fluvial geomorphology and  
88 channel form (Hooke, 2007) also suggest that pattern coarsening can affect systems as diverse as  
89 vegetation patchiness and fluvial sedimentation (Seminara, 2009). In linear dunefields, pattern  
90 evolution results from the formation, migration and annihilation of defects, and typically results in  
91 upwind-branching (or downwind-converging) networks of junctions, although the evolution of  
92 pattern is complex due to the highly inter-related nature of defect location and movement (Werner  
93 and Kocurek, 1999). Using a probabilistic numerical model, these authors were able to demonstrate  
94 that defects migrate through the dunefield (upwind-facing defects migrating downwind, and vice-  
95 versa), and that in their simulation, 50 ka was sufficient to eliminate all defects. Such rates, however,  
96 are likely to be highly dependent on additional factors stabilizing the dunes, such as vegetation.

97 Although there is sound theoretical justification for expecting pattern coarsening to be observed in  
98 the remarkable spatial patterning of desert dunes, and yet there is little field validation of the  
99 phenomenon in comparison to the wealth of observations from models. Beveridge et al. (2006) is a  
100 notable exception and demonstrated changing wind regimes during the late Pleistocene and

237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295

101 Holocene have resulted in multiple generations of dunes of different morphologies. In part, this is  
102 due to the timescales involved in pattern reorganisation within dunefields. Whilst landscape-scale  
103 experimentation of unvegetated dunefield has revealed that they may form and organise on  
104 timescales of months-years (Ping et al., 2014), vegetated linear dunefields are known to have  
105 formed over Late Quaternary timescales (e.g. Telfer and Hesse, 2013). As landscape-scale  
106 experimental plots such as that of Ping et al. (2014) have substantial logistical challenges, space-time  
107 substitutions offer another solution to the challenge of investigating such slow-forming landscapes  
108 (Ewing and Kocurek, 2010). Qualitatively, pattern coarsening has been observed in some linear  
109 dunefields; the widely spaced, rarely-branching dunes of the northern Kalahari are known to be in  
110 general older, more mature landforms (McFarlane et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2003; Thomas et al.,  
111 2000) compared to those of the intricately-patterned southern Kalahari (Stone and Thomas, 2008;  
112 Telfer and Thomas, 2007). Indeed, this raises the further question as to whether such landscapes are  
113 prone to de-coarsening, or pattern degradation, either locally or at a regional scale. However,  
114 comparisons of globally available data on dune pattern morphometric analysis with  
115 geochronological data from the published literature suggest that pattern coarsening alone does not  
116 appear to explain the diversity of morphologies observed (Telfer and Hesse, 2013).

117 *1.2 Boundary controls: Local spatial variability within the dunefield*

118 Whilst models such as Werner and Kocurek's (1999) are able to entirely control boundary conditions,  
119 in reality the process of pattern evolution is likely to be influenced by a wide range of boundary  
120 conditions. Beveridge et al. (2006) note the role of heterogeneity of sediment supply, which has long  
121 been recognised as a control on dune morphology. Sediment supply, along with topography has also  
122 been recognised as being implicit in linear dunefield pattern evolution in the vicinity of dry valleys in  
123 the southwestern Kalahari (Bullard and Nash, 1998; 2000). The southwestern Kalahari also  
124 demonstrates localized variability which has been attributed to vegetation, and the role of both  
125 grazing and fire on the landscape (Bullard et al., 1995). Throughout the Strzelecki dunefield, there is

296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354

126 also more localized variability related to topographic and hydrological obstructions, as well as the  
127 nature of the substrate (Fitzsimmons, 2007). Whilst we have attempted to minimize such variability  
128 in our chosen study sites in order to explore the relative roles of autogenic and allogenic influences,  
129 it is not possible to entirely control such factors in reality.

### 130 *1.3 Aims and rationale*

131 This study therefore aims to investigate the relative roles that internal (morphodynamic) and  
132 external (boundary condition) factors play in process of pattern development over time in linear  
133 dunefields. It is hypothesized that morphodynamic pattern-coarsening might exert a first-order  
134 control on the age and nature of linear dune accumulation. Two nearby (~15 km) pairs of linear  
135 dunes from the Strzelecki desert, central Australia, each pair from an area with markedly different  
136 planform patterning, were therefore sampled for geochronological (using Optically Stimulated  
137 Luminescence, OSL) and sedimentological analysis. Pattern complexity is defined here in terms of  
138 the number of defects to pattern (i.e. junctions and terminations) per unit area (and is thus also  
139 influenced by dune spacing). Sites were selected in close proximity to each other in order to  
140 minimize the possible effects of other controlling variables, such as past variations in climate; it can  
141 be assumed here that such changes are synchronous between the pairs of sites.

142 [Approximate location of Figure 1]

143 [Approximate location of Figure 2]

### 144 **1.3 Study area**

145 The Strzelecki desert is characterized by vegetated linear dunes and occasionally other dune forms,  
146 at the eastern edge of the Lake Eyre basin (Figure1) (Fitzsimmons, 2007). Interdunes are sometimes  
147 sandy, sometimes have clay soils and pans (both of which are seen at the study sites; Figure 2), and  
148 some are composed of stony (gibber) pavement (Fitzsimmons, 2007). The main Strzelecki dunefield  
149 is centred around the tri-state boundary of New South Wales, South Australia and Queensland, to

355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413

150 the south and west of the town of Innamincka. As part of the whorl of continental dunes that  
151 characterize the continental interior of Australia, it consists primarily of linear dunes with net annual  
152 sand-transporting wind from the south and southwest (Hesse, 2010; Jennings, 1968). Ash and  
153 Wasson (1983) noted the asymmetry of the dunes and Rubin (1990), interpreted this as evidence of  
154 lateral (eastward) migration under present-day wind regimes different from those when the dune  
155 trends were initially emplaced, although Fitzsimmons et al. (2007) concluded that, at present, it was  
156 not possible to recreate past wind fields from currently available data. Luminescence dating has  
157 shown that many Strzelecki dunes have basal or near basal ages in excess of 100 ka, so that lateral  
158 migration, if it occurs, can only have progressed at a very slow pace (Cohen et al., 2010; Fitzsimmons  
159 et al., 2007b; Hesse, 2016). The dunes towards the northern (i.e. downwind) margin, however, are  
160 more disorganized (that is, have more complex patterning) and are more closely spaced. Dominant  
161 crest orientations swing from ENE in the far south of the Strzelecki dunefield to NE and NNE further  
162 north and, eventually due north and NNW in the far north. The linear dunes are low and indistinct in  
163 the south but over much of the eastern Strzelecki they average around 10 m in height, with distinct  
164 crests, many junctions and tend towards an oriented network, or reticulate, pattern (Hesse, 2011).  
165 In the north and west they form much simpler, longer dunes with relatively few junctions. Another  
166 characteristic of the Strzelecki Desert is the dominance of source-bordering dunes on the fan of  
167 Cooper Creek, west of Innamincka (Cohen, 2010), where the dunes can be considered transport-  
168 limited, but elsewhere in the dunefield, including in the study area, the dunes are availability-limited  
169 due to the vegetation cover. The extent to which this has been true during the late Quaternary is  
170 unclear, but it is apparent that much of the Australian interior maintained a largely vegetated  
171 surface throughout most of the last glacial cycle (Hesse, 2016).

172 The dunes of the Strzelecki have previously been dated by a number of studies with primarily  
173 palaeoenvironmental foci (e.g. Cohen et al., 2010; Fitzsimmons et al., 2007b; Fitzsimmons and Telfer,  
174 2008; Lomax et al., 2003). Both Lomax et al. (2003) and Fitzsimmons et al. (2007) reported ages of  
175 160-210 ka (Marine Isotope Stage, MIS 6-7) for the fluvio-lacustrine or alluvial substrate of the

414  
415  
416 176 dunefield, and both also support a record of sporadic dune accumulation throughout the last 75 ka.  
417  
418 177 Telfer and Hesse (2013), reanalysing the data of Fitzsimmons et al (2007) in an attempt to account  
419  
420 178 for the stochastic nature of the preservation of dune sands, suggested enhanced periods of  
421  
422 179 accumulation at 10-14 ka and 28-32 ka, with a hiatus in accumulation at 36-32 ka, as being  
423  
424 180 particularly significant. Fitzsimmons et al. (2007) also sampled aeolian sands dating from around the  
425  
426 181 MIS7 termination at ~190 ka, and note that there is no evidence to suggest that the dunefield was  
427  
428 182 initiated at ~75 ka; older sediment is simply not preserved, or has not been sampled. The meta-  
429  
430 183 analysis of Hesse (2016) showed there is a distinct sampling bias in the Strzelecki dune age data set,  
431  
432 184 as there is for the entire Australian dunefield; namely that both deep and very shallow samples are  
433  
434 185 often not collected. In addition, while the luminescence ages tend to show distinct clusters, or  
435  
436 186 peaks, in time series, most peaks cannot be distinguished from that expected by random processes  
437  
438 187 and those which may be in part due to the depth bias in the data set. This finding reinforces the  
439  
440 188 need for strategic sampling, at regular intervals over the full height of dunes, to answer questions  
441  
442 189 concerning the formation and history of dune construction.  
443  
444  
445

446 190 Four sites were selected for analysis in the northern sector of the eastern Strzelecki dunefield, and  
447  
448 191 paired sites were chosen to represent two different degrees of dunefield patterning and  
449  
450 192 organisation (Figure 2). All sites were named after the nearest oil/gas wells, which are part of the  
451  
452 193 Moomba oil/gas field lying beneath the Strzelecki, and have been classified using the metrics of  
453  
454 194 Fitzsimmons (2007). Representing more disorganized dunefield patterning, Tarwonga I (28° 21.084'S  
455  
456 195 140° 42.578'E) and Tarwonga II (28° 21.617'S 140° 42.629'E) are characteristic of closely-spaced  
457  
458 196 (mean spacing ~360 m), disorganised (1.50 - 1.62 defects km<sup>-2</sup>, or ~7-8 defects 10 km<sup>-1</sup> dune length)  
459  
460 197 dunes. Located around 15 km south, Caroowinnie (28° 29.850'S 140° 42.097'E) and Airacobra (28°  
461  
462 198 29.098'S 140° 42.049'E) are typified by widely-spaced (mean spacing ~630 m) and more highly  
463  
464 199 organized (0.83-0.86 defects km<sup>-2</sup>, or ~4 defects 10 km<sup>-1</sup> dunes). The dunes at Caroowinnie and  
465  
466 200 Airacobra are also more spatially variable in that the linear dunes tend to occur in patterns that have  
467  
468 201 been varyingly referred to as 'clustered' (Goudie, 1970), 'coalesced' (Thomas, 1986) or 'stringers'  
469  
470  
471  
472

473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531

202 (Breed et al., 1979), and which have been considered to form a type of compound linear dune  
203 (Lancaster, 1988). The location of the sites was selected to provide dunes away from the immediate  
204 margins of the dunefield, and close enough to each other to ensure that the pairs of sites have as  
205 similar boundary conditions as possible to each other. Although all will have experienced the same  
206 climatic forcings over late Quaternary timescales, it was not possible to entirely control for boundary  
207 conditions due to the nature of the sites being investigated. For instance, at the more organised and  
208 well-spaced dunes at Airacobra and Caroowinnie, the underlying substrate is more widely exposed  
209 (Figure 2). All of the dunes and interdunes are usually vegetated, although the Tarwonga dunes had  
210 been burnt by a rare wildfire in 2011, following fuel build-up after the 2010/11 La Niña wet period.

211 **2. Methods**

212 **2.1 Field sampling**

213 At each site, at the dune crest, a Dormer Engineering sand auger was used to reach substrate  
214 (Munyikwa et al., 2011), or until clasts – presumably bedrock-derived – made further drilling  
215 impossible. After an initial sample at ~50 cm to capture the most recent activity as is practicable,  
216 samples were taken for OSL dating every metre where possible. Dry, unconsolidated sand made  
217 collection of one sample (at 5 m depth at Tarwonga II) impossible, and uncertainty about the degree  
218 of contamination from down-borehole collapse meant that another sample was not collected until 6  
219 m depth. Samples for OSL and sedimentological analyses were collected via a steel tube hammered  
220 downwards into the sand, or via direct extraction from the intact inner portion of the augering head  
221 in cases where the steel tube would not hold dry sand. The base of the dune was reached at  
222 Tarwonga II (abundant calcium carbonate-cemented sand), Caroowinnie (an unidentified sudden  
223 hard layer) and Airacobra (a hard yellow clay), but not at Tarwonga I, where hole collapse prevented  
224 further drilling. A total station survey of each dune was performed orthogonally from the dune crest  
225 from the sample site to the crest of each adjacent dune, to enable completeness of penetration to

532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590

226 be assessed. If, as at Carooinnie, no adjacent dune was present, the base of the interdune was  
227 used as an endpoint.

228 **2.2 Sedimentology**

229 The light-contaminated ends of the OSL samples were dried overnight at 105 °C and weighed to  
230 determine water loss. The dried sample was then ignited in a muffle furnace for four hours at 550 °C,  
231 and once more weighed to derive the Loss On Ignition (LOI). The sediment remaining after the  
232 organic matter had been burnt off was then analysed for particle size using a Mastersizer 2000  
233 (version 5.60) laser diffractometer over a range from 0.1-2000 µm. No coarser material was present.  
234 Samples were dispersed in tap water with the aid of sodium hexametaphosphate.

235 Geochemical analysis of the samples was conducted to a) provide dosimetric information for the OSL  
236 dating, b) assess similarities and differences between the dunes using a wider suite of elemental  
237 concentrations and c) investigate the causes of variance in elemental concentrations within the dune  
238 (e.g. sediment sources, illuviation, etc). Geochemical analysis was conducted using Inductively-  
239 Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and Optical Emissions Spectrometry (ICP-OES) at the  
240 ISO 9001-accredited Analytical Research Facility (ARF) at Plymouth University, to provide a suite of  
241 fifteen elemental concentrations. Samples were prepared using a modification of Haswell (1991), by  
242 lithium metaborate fusion at 950 °C and subsequent nitric acid dissolution. ICP-OES was conducted  
243 with a Varian 725-ES, and ICP-MS with a ThermoFisher X Series 2. Appropriate geological standards  
244 and blanks were used routinely through the analysis programme. Uncertainties on the derived  
245 elemental concentrations used for dosimetry were estimated conservatively on the basis of the  
246 standard deviation of triplicate repeat analyses at 5% for K, and 10% for U and Th, as precisions  
247 reported from the ICP analysis (typically 1-2%) are not supported by the repeat analyses.

248 **2.3 OSL dating**

591  
592  
593 249 All OSL age determinations were conducted at the Oxford Luminescence Dating (OLD) laboratory at  
594  
595 250 the University of Oxford. Sample preparation was conventional, using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to remove organics and  
596  
597 251 HCl to remove carbonates. Samples were sieved to isolate the 90-180 μm fraction, and sodium  
598  
599 252 polytungstate at 2.72 g cm<sup>-3</sup> was used to isolate any heavy minerals. A 45 minute etch in 30% HF was  
600  
601 253 used to remove the alpha-irradiated rind, as well as any feldspars, prior to a final HCl treatment and  
602  
603 254 resieving at 90 μm.

604  
605  
606 255 For analysis, samples were mounted on either steel or aluminium discs (with dose rate corrected  
607  
608 256 accordingly) with silicone oil using a 2 mm mask. All analyses were conducted on Risø TL-DA-15  
609  
610 257 automated luminescence readers, using modified single aliquot regenerative (SAR) protocols  
611  
612 258 (Murray and Wintle, 2000, 2003) incorporating standard quality checks, including recycling,  
613  
614 259 recuperation and post-IR feldspar purity checks. OSL characteristics were favourable, as has  
615  
616 260 frequently been reported from Australian dune sands (e.g. Fitzsimmons et al., 2010), with a bright  
617  
618 261 quartz OSL signal showing rapid decay (Figure 3a). The very few aliquots which failed the quality  
619  
620 262 tests were excluded from further analysis, and at least twelve acceptable aliquots were used for  
621  
622 263 each sample. Individual equivalent dose (D<sub>e</sub>) estimates were derived using the Central Age Model  
623  
624 264 (CAM) of Galbraith et al. (1999), using an assumed time-averaged moisture content of 2 ± 1 %  
625  
626 265 (Hesse, 2014). Dosimetry was derived from ICP-MS/-OES, using the conversion factors of Guerin et  
627  
628 266 al. (2011). Disequilibrium in the U and Th series has rarely been reported for the Strzelecki  
629  
630 267 (Fitzsimmons et al., 2007b; Lomax et al., 2003), and where present, is estimated to account for  
631  
632 268 maximum error of ~10 % error on reported ages, although factoring this uncertainty into ages  
633  
634 269 remains problematic due to the difficulty in establishing the onset of disequilibrium; for this study,  
635  
636 270 thus, we assume samples are in equilibrium.

### 637 638 639 640 271 **3. Results**

#### 641 642 643 272 **3.1 OSL dating**

644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649



650  
651  
652 273 As has commonly been reported for Australian dune sands, the OSL characteristics of the sands  
653  
654 274 studied were, on the whole, highly favourable. Luminescence was typically very bright and decay  
655  
656 275 very rapid, and dose-response curves typically demonstrated growth well-fitted with single  
657  
658 276 saturating exponentials (Figure 3a and 3b). Dose rates were highly variable, and ranged from very  
659  
660 277 low ( $\sim 0.4 \text{ Gy ka}^{-1}$ ) to moderately high ( $\sim 2.5 \text{ Gy ka}^{-1}$ ); this is in accordance with similar variability  
661  
662 278 reported by Fitzsimmons et al. (2007) and to a lesser extent by Lomax et al. (2003). The dose rate  
663  
664 279 correlated strongly ( $r^2 = 0.79$ ) with the proportional fine fraction ( $< 63 \mu\text{m}$ ) of the samples [Figure  
665  
666 280 3d]. Dose rates are also correlated with the increased coarse fraction evident at the base of most of  
667  
668 281 the dunes, though the correlation is weaker ( $r^2 = 0.38$ ) and it seems more likely that the increase in  
669  
670 282 dose rate is due to the finer fraction.

671  
672  
673  
674 283 [Approximate location of Figure 3]

675  
676 284 Thirty-six new ages are reported here, and they range from  $50 \pm 5$  years to  $145 \pm 20$  ka (Table 1 and  
677  
678 285 Figure 4). In general, despite the highly variable dose rate, ages increase stratigraphically (within  
679  
680 286 uncertainties), with one marked exception. The base of the core at Caroowinnie shows age inversion  
681  
682 287 for the lowest two samples, with the basal sample, at 8 m yielding an age of  $1.25 \pm 0.30$  ka, despite  
683  
684 288 overlying sand attaining a maximum age of  $116 \pm 18$  ka. This is accompanied by an increase in scatter  
685  
686 289 within aliquots for the basal samples of the core, with overdispersion reaching 79 % and 92 % for the  
687  
688 290 inverted samples. Two possibilities exist for this anomaly. Firstly, it may be due to borehole collapse,  
689  
690 291 and internal contamination of the base of the core; indeed the basal age is within uncertainties of  
691  
692 292 the age for 0.5 m within this core ( $1.46 \pm 0.22$  ka). Although much care was taken during augering  
693  
694 293 and sample removal to avoid debris falling down the (unlined) hole, it remains a risk during this type  
695  
696 294 of sampling (Munyikwa et al., 2011). Conversely, the subsamples used for grain size analyses, LOI  
697  
698 295 and elemental concentrations for the basal samples shows greater similarity with sands immediately  
699  
700 296 overlying the anomalous ages - and very little similarity to the uppermost samples - and this might  
701  
702 297 suggest the samples are indeed in-situ. In this case, the young ages are more credibly attributed to  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708

709  
710  
711 298 daylight exposure during removal from the auger head, despite the precautions taken. As the latter  
712  
713 299 case is considered more likely, the lowest two ages from Caroowinnie (Strz12-3-8 and Strz12-3-9)  
714  
715 300 must be excluded from further discussion due to their untenable chronostratigraphic position;  
716  
717 301 however, they are retained in discussion of the physical and chemical properties of the sand  
718  
719  
720 302 Despite their proximity, and overall similarity in dune morphology, there exists considerable  
721  
722 303 variability between profiles. Moreover, this variability is neither readily explained by, nor limited to,  
723  
724 304 differences between the degree of pattern complexity of the less organized (Tarwonga I and II) and  
725  
726 305 more organized (Caroowinnie and Airacobra) dunes. Tarwonga I and II, and Caroowinnie, reveal  
727  
728 306 similar accumulation patterns (Figure 5); all reveal that the dune has not migrated substantially in at  
729  
730 307 least the past ~100 ka, and has been subject to accumulation - and presumably reworking -  
731  
732 308 throughout the late Pleistocene and Holocene. Airacobra, conversely, reveals an accumulation  
733  
734 309 history initiated by rapid accumulation between ~59 - ~42 ka, with net Holocene accumulation  
735  
736 310 accounting for just the top 1-2 m of sand. There is more subtlety in the dune records preserved here,  
737  
738 311 however. For instance, the deepest age of Tarwonga I ( $99 \pm 7$  ka) is substantially younger than that  
739  
740 312 of Tarwonga II ( $145 \pm 20$  ka), the latter being the oldest age yet reported for non-source bordering  
741  
742 313 dunes of the Strzelecki. As hole collapse prevented the retrieval of further samples at Tarwonga I  
743  
744 314 (see Figure 5a for the maximum drilling depth attained in proportion to the height of the dune), an  
745  
746 315 older basal age is likely. Previous studies of non-source bordering dunes in the Strzelecki have not  
747  
748 316 reached the dune base (see Hesse, 2016) and it is likely that they also will have older bases. There is  
749  
750 317 also much variation in the degree of recent dune net accumulation. At least the top 2 m at Tarwonga  
751  
752 318 I have been deposited or reworked in the past 2 ka, whereas at Caroowinnie, sands just 1 m below  
753  
754 319 the surface were emplaced at ~ 16 ka.

755  
756  
757  
758 320 [Approximate location of Table 1]

759  
760  
761 321 [Approximate location of Figure 4]

768  
769  
770 **322 Sedimentology**  
771

772  
773 323 There is considerable sedimentological variation between the cores, but as with the net  
774  
775 324 accumulation profiles, this is not apparently a function of the spatial complexity of the dune  
776  
777 325 planform patterning. In all profiles (Figure 4), the dunes are dominated by medium and fine sands  
778  
779 326 (125-500  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and, to varying degrees, both the fine and coarse fractions increase with depth. This is  
780  
781 327 especially marked at Tarwonga II and Caroowinnie, whereas variability down-core is less apparent at  
782  
783 328 Tarwonga I and Airacobra. At 6 m depth at Caroowinnie (the last sample which is certainly in-situ),  
784  
785 329 the dune is composed of ~14% silt with trace amounts of clay, as well as ~8% very coarse sand (1-2  
786  
787 330 mm). The basal sample for Tarwonga II is composed of 10% silt, whereas the adjacent Tarwonga I is  
788  
789 331 <1.5% silt.

791  
792 332 Geochemically, too, there is substantial variance within the dunes, and similarly it is not clearly a  
793  
794 333 function of the spatial patterning of the dunes. Organic matter increases with depth at all sites  
795  
796 334 (Figure 6a), with the lower part of the Caroowinnie core showing especially high values for a dune  
797  
798 335 sand (up to 5%). The adjacent Airacobra dune, by contrast, did not exceed 1% organic matter until  
800  
801 336 the basal sample (2.3%). Comparison with Figure 4 suggests that organic matter concentrations in  
802  
803 337 the dunes closely mirror the fine (silt + clay) fraction. A similar depth-dependency - and lack of clear  
804  
805 338 differentiation between the dunes - is shown with the Ti/Al ratio (Figure 6b), which shows a  
806  
807 339 significant negative correlation with depth ( $r^2$  of all samples is 0.32; significant at >99.9% confidence  
808  
809 340 with  $n=36$ ). This ratio is likely to reflect the proportion of detrital Ti-enriched heavy minerals  
810  
811 341 (predominantly rutile and ilmenite (Pell et al., 2000)), and Al-bearing weathered feldspars and clays.  
812  
813 342 This interpretation is supported by Fitzsimmons et al. (2009), who note the presence of heavy  
814  
815 343 minerals in the dune sands, but not the interdunes, of the Strzelecki.

816  
817  
818 344 Ca content provides an indicative measure of the amount of calcium carbonate content of the sands.  
819  
820 345 It must be noted that Ca might also be present in feldspars and clays, but is likely to be present in  
821  
822 346 only small quantities, whereas on inspection of the cores in the field, carbonate precipitates, mostly  
823

827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885

347 powdery, were near ubiquitous. Carbonate contents are of interest as some Australian dunes are  
348 noted to be highly cohesive (Hesse, 2011), and thus differing levels of carbonate could provide an  
349 alternative explanation for differing mobilities of dunes. The presence of cohesive agents within  
350 sands has been suggested to not just alter the overall susceptibility of dunes to deflation, but also to  
351 alter the mechanisms of growth (Rubin and Hesp, 2009). However, as with the particle size and  
352 organic matter data, whilst Ca levels vary by an order of magnitude between samples, there is no  
353 clear differentiation between the dunes (Figure 6c); the overall trend is one of enrichment towards  
354 the base of the profiles. This is most marked at Caroowinnie and Tarwonga II.

355 To investigate the wider suite of elements, multivariate analysis was used. Non-metric  
356 Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) (Oksanen et al., 2016) of the elemental data (Figure 7a) shows the  
357 dominant axis (NMDS1) of variance in the data is dominated by position within the cores (younger  
358 samples from high in the profiles tend to low NMDS1 scores and thus plot to the left of the figure,  
359 whilst older, deeper samples in general have higher scores). There is no clear compositional  
360 difference between the samples from Tarwonga and Caroowinnie/Airacobra. This is supported by  
361 cluster analysis, using a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix to construct the dendrogram (Figure 7b)  
362 (Oksanen et al., 2016). Of the four high-level clusters identified by the method, three are dominated  
363 by samples from lower in the profiles, whilst the other is predominantly comprised of near-surface  
364 samples. However, aside from one small cluster of three deep Caroowinnie samples, all of the other  
365 clusters contain a mixture of samples from Tarwonga and Caroowinnie or Airacobra.

366 [Approximate location of Figure 6]

367 [Approximate location of Figure 7]

368 **Discussion**

369 **Morphodynamic behaviour of vegetated linear dunes**

886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944

370 Pattern coarsening, whereby initially highly disorganised and complex spatial patterns become more  
371 organised and simpler over time, has been frequently proposed as a control on the dynamic  
372 evolution of dune and dunefield scale aeolian landscapes (e.g. Andreotti et al., 2009; Ewing et al.,  
373 2006; Gao et al., 2015; Kocurek et al., 2010; Werner and Kocurek, 1997, 1999; Zhang et al., 2012).  
374 The field expression of this concept might be that the simpler patterning of Caroowinnie and  
375 Airacobra would be expected to be older than the dunes at Tarwonga. However, not only does  
376 Tarwonga II yield the oldest basal date ( $145 \pm 20$  ka), Airacobra has the youngest ( $52 \pm 3.5$  ka). The  
377 difference in dune pattern development is not related to the date of initial dune emplacement;  
378 whilst Caroowinnie and the Tarwonga sites are characterized by steady net accumulation which  
379 accelerates towards the top of the cores (Figure 4), Airacobra is constructed almost entirely at  
380 around 50 ka. If a single constructional period to account for the deposition at 2 – 8.5 m is posited,  
381 then the CAM would suggest a likely interval of  $51 \pm 4$  ka (with an overdispersion of 22%) for this  
382 period of massive accumulation. The accumulation rate thus implied is likely a record for Australian  
383 desert dunes (Hesse, 2016). By contrast, Caroowinnie and the Tarwonga sites record the net  
384 accumulation of small sediment packages mostly less than 1 m in thickness throughout their profiles.  
385 Towards the top of the dunes, these packages are thicker, and the simplest explanation is that  
386 reworking has not yet erased parts of these packages (Bailey and Thomas, 2014; Telfer et al., 2010).

387 A number of reasons can be suggested for the lack of evidence for pattern coarsening evident from  
388 these data. Most simply, it might be suggested that these data do not support the idea of pattern  
389 coarsening as a major control of dunefield evolution. The wealth of modelled and theoretical data in  
390 support of this concept, however, merit a more nuanced consideration of the data presented.

391 Firstly, issues of spatial scale may be considered. Self-organising dunefield reorganisation over time  
392 is constrained by factors such as the defect density, with defects providing the means by which  
393 pattern changes are able to propagate (Werner and Kocurek, 1997, 1999). However, whilst  
394 predicting the behaviour of pattern evolution at a dunefield scale may be feasible, examining how

945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003

395 such patterns will develop may be very difficult at a local scale. Since the process of reorganisation is  
396 strongly influenced by stochastic processes, it is possible that a sample of just four dunes may have  
397 simply missed the overall trend toward simplicity with time. These random factors, which may  
398 exhibit both quenched (i.e. stationary with regard to time) and annealed (i.e. time-dependent on the  
399 pattern evolution) disorder, stem from non-linear behaviour in the small-scale erosion and  
400 depositional potential within the turbulent boundary layer and the particulate bed. Indeed,  
401 quenched disorder has been suggested to promote pattern stability (Yizhaq and Bel, 2016). This  
402 stochastic behaviour in terms of preservation has been widely noted in both field (Leighton et al.,  
403 2013; Stone and Thomas, 2008; Telfer and Thomas, 2007) and theoretical (Bailey and Thomas, 2014;  
404 Telfer et al., 2010) studies; the net record of dune accumulation is highly spatially variable due to the  
405 repeated reworking of the upper dune sands. As well as surficial reworking, it has been suggested  
406 that defect development within linear dunes might be assumed to propagate at random locations  
407 within the dunefield (Werner and Kocurek, 1999). If this is the case, it may be that the small sample  
408 size simply selected dunes that exhibited, in one case, an unusually thorough degree of reworking  
409 and/or an evolutionary trajectory not typical of the surrounding dunes. This idea is developed  
410 further in the next section.

411 Lastly, it might be that pattern coarsening does not occur at this spatial or temporal scale. For  
412 instance, it may be dune types selected for this study were simply not dissimilar enough in terms of  
413 spatial patterning to readily identify pattern coarsening. Alternatively, although this study has  
414 described dune evolution over the past ~100-145 ka, cosmogenic and OSL dating has suggested that  
415 the central Australian dunefields began to form at least 500 ka ago (Fujioka et al., 2009). It is  
416 conceivable, therefore, that the timescales investigated in this study are not sufficient for pattern  
417 coarsening to be evident. When compared to crescentic (migrating) dune systems, pattern evolution  
418 in vegetated linear dune areas may take much longer. In dunefields such as those in Australia and  
419 the Kalahari, where vegetation and soil stabilization plays a major role, successive periods of dune

1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062

420 activation and reworking are likely to complicate the evolutionary trajectories, compared to systems  
421 which are unvegetated and evolve in a more uniform manner.

422 The data presented here suggest that a reconsideration of what “pattern coarsening” might mean in  
423 terms of linear dune systems is due. It is unclear, for instance, to what extent local instabilities in the  
424 dunefield pattern might emerge due to localized differences in boundary conditions (e.g.  
425 Fitzsimmons, 2007), and thus overprint regional pattern development. It is certainly evident that  
426 different patterns can currently co-exist. Pattern coarsening takes place as dunes interact and  
427 merge, which seems to be intuitive for dunes that migrate at different rates according to their size  
428 (e.g. barchans). However, for linear dunes, dominated by extension and/or vertical accretion, the  
429 scope for such interactions may be more limited (Werner and Kocurek, 1999). Alternatively, pattern  
430 coarsening could occur as detail to the pattern is lost to erosion following landform stabilization  
431 (McFarlane et al., 2005). In either case, further work is required.

432 **Boundary conditions and geomorphological mechanisms of linear dunefield evolution**

433 This study raises more questions than it answers concerning the long-term geomorphological  
434 evolution of linear dunefields. What mechanism accounts for the radical difference in accumulation  
435 at Airacobra, in comparison to the adjacent dune at Caroowinnie, and the paired cores at Tarwonga I  
436 and II? Here, we consider just the case of Airacobra and the almost-adjacent Caroowinnie dunes. The  
437 mechanisms by which linear dunes form are still poorly understood (Telfer and Hesse, 2013), and  
438 numerous, non-mutually exclusive models have been proposed. These include field studies  
439 demonstrating linear dunes growing by extension of foreset bedding downwind (Telfer, 2011);  
440 remote sensing, geophysical and chronometric studies demonstrating the capacity for lateral  
441 migration of linear dunes (Bristow et al., 2000; Bristow et al., 2007a; Hesp et al., 1989; Rubin et al.,  
442 2008; Rubin, 1990); field studies demonstrating extensional growth under unimodal wind regimes  
443 due to cohesive sediments (Hesse, 2011; Rubin and Hesp, 2009); field monitoring and chronometric  
444 work showing predominantly vertical accretion within linear dunes (Craddock et al., 2015; Hollands

1063  
1064  
1065 445 et al., 2006); and field experimentation and numerical modelling revealing a dependence on  
1066  
1067 446 sediment supply for dune orientation, with net extension sometimes parallel, and sometimes  
1068  
1069 447 oblique to the net sand-transporting wind regime (du Pont et al., 2014; Ping et al., 2014).  
1070  
1071 448 Compounding this is the recognition that despite some superficial morphological similarities, the  
1072  
1073 449 sinuous seif dunes common in hyperarid deserts devoid of significant vegetation and the vegetated  
1074  
1075 450 linear dunes of the semi-arid regions may be fundamentally different landforms (Hesse, 2016; Tsoar,  
1076  
1077 451 1989; Tsoar et al., 2004). Many (e.g. Bristow et al., 2007b; du Pont et al., 2014; Rubin and Hesp,  
1078  
1079 452 2009; Telfer, 2011) have noted that linear dune formation is likely to include multiple processes,  
1080  
1081 453 operating and possibly co-existing at different temporal and spatial scales (Ewing et al., 2015). In  
1082  
1083 454 short, when considering the possibilities for explaining the accumulation at Airacobra whilst the  
1084  
1085 455 neighbouring dune records no net accumulation at ~50 ka, it is not possible to rule out extensional  
1086  
1087 456 growth parallel or oblique to the wind, vertical accretion or lateral migration.  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091 457 There is no clear evidence from the sedimentological or geochemical analysis to suggest that  
1092  
1093 458 differences in sediment composition account for the differing behaviour of the Airacobra dune. It is  
1094  
1095 459 not markedly coarser (Figure 4), nor contains higher amounts of potential cementing agents (Figures  
1096  
1097 460 6-7), which might account for its immobility. Indeed, it is not possible to separate, compositionally,  
1098  
1099 461 the Airacobra dune from the others studied on the basis of the geochemistry of the sands (Figure 7).  
1100  
1101 462 The only trend which is clear from both the physical and chemical characteristics of all the dunes is a  
1102  
1103 463 change with depth (and thus time). Samples higher in the profiles (i.e. younger) are more well-  
1104  
1105 464 sorted, geochemically less varied, and poorer in organic matter than their deeper counterparts. This  
1106  
1107 465 may be a function of either eluviation of mobile elements and fine particles through the profile in-  
1108  
1109 466 situ (Fitzsimmons et al., 2009), or may reflect sediment source variability over time, or, most likely,  
1110  
1111 467 both. In short, despite their different temporal evolutionary pathways, the four dunes studied are all  
1112  
1113 468 made of broadly the same material.  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1120  
1121



1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129  
1130  
1131  
1132  
1133  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148  
1149  
1150  
1151  
1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180

469 It is worth considering some of the possible scenarios which might explain the record of dune  
470 accumulation and dunefield evolution observed in this study. Figure 8 shows schematically some  
471 possibilities to account for the observed pattern of dune evolution at Airacobra and Carooinnie.  
472 The modern pattern of these dunes was established around 50 ka, and has remained essentially  
473 unchanged since (Figure 8a). In considering these scenarios, it must be noted that strictly all that is  
474 known is the presence or absence of the dune at Carooinnie and Airacobra before and after ~50  
475 ka; other dune positions are shown for context, but must be regarded as speculative, especially in  
476 the light of the findings from this study. If a purely extensional mode for linear dune formation is  
477 adopted (cf. du Pont et al., 2014; Ping et al., 2014), the logical conclusion is that whilst Carooinnie  
478 was in place prior to 50 ka, the dune at Airacobra had not formed downwind of the sampling site  
479 (Figure 8b). As this dune extends a further 5.1 km downwind before merging with the Carooinnie  
480 dune (and terminating at 5.5 km), and assuming a typical dune width of 100 m, height of 9 m, and an  
481 isosceles triangular profile, this represents an accumulation of  $\sim 2.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of sand in the past 50  
482 ka. Figure 8c depicts an alternative, in which a section of the Airacobra dune has either not yet  
483 formed, or has suffered a blow-out which has reworked the sand to the full depth of the dune.  
484 Similar reworking to half the depth of a similar dune in the Kalahari has been observed (Telfer,  
485 2011), and shallow blowouts can be observed currently on the crest of the dunes at the field site,  
486 and have been reported elsewhere in the Strzelecki (Hesse and Simpson, 2006). Under the lateral  
487 accretion model favoured by some (e.g. Cohen et al., 2010; Hollands et al., 2006) for formation of  
488 Australia's linear dunes, the dune would then be rebuilt by accretion from sediment derived from  
489 adjacent swales at around 50 ka. The gap illustrated on Figure 8c is  $\sim 1.5$  km long, but it is  
490 conceivable that such a blowout could be much shorter; perhaps just  $\sim 500$  m. This would require an  
491 order of magnitude less sand accumulation ( $\sim 2.3 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ) than a purely extensional model. Another  
492 possibility (Figure 8d), which could remain consistent with the pattern-coarsening hypothesis, is that  
493 the Airacobra dune has moved laterally into place. With a width of  $\sim 100$  m, lateral movement of  
494 half this distance would be sufficient to account for the observed chronostratigraphy at the crest,

1181  
1182  
1183 495 and net sand movement would be on the same order as the blowout model. Perturbations in the  
1184  
1185 496 planform of the linear dune crests of the area are commonplace (note the kink in the dune to the  
1186  
1187 497 east of Caroowinnie in Figure 8), and most models of vegetated linear dune formation would suggest  
1188  
1189 498 that such deviations will tend to straighten out over time. Indeed, close inspection of the high-  
1190  
1191 499 resolution CNES/SPOT imagery provided by Google Earth for the site (Figure 9), suggests that a low-  
1192  
1193 500 relief (~ 3 m) dune immediately to the west is connected to the Airacobra dune to the north and  
1194  
1195 501 south of the site. Speculatively, this may represent a relic of the former sand transport pathway  
1196  
1197 502 through this part of the dunefield, before the preferred net accumulation switched to its present  
1198  
1199 503 location. This might be thought of as being analogous to avulsion within an anabranching river  
1200  
1201 504 channel; in effect, aeolian sediment transport and depositional pathways could be thought of as  
1202  
1203 505 having avulsed to a new route through the dunefield. Although the sites were selected as far as  
1204  
1205 506 possible to minimize differences in boundary conditions, the more sparsely-patterned dunes at  
1206  
1207 507 Caroowinnie and Airacobra may have influenced the dune's morphodynamic behaviour by  
1208  
1209 508 facilitating a switch in transport pathways across bare interdunal corridors; there is more space here  
1210  
1211 509 for dune migration to occur. Alternatively, localized variations in boundary conditions such as  
1212  
1213 510 surface moisture might influence the stability of sediment transport pathways. Additional fieldwork  
1214  
1215 511 is necessary to test these hypotheses.

1218  
1219 512 [Approximate location of Figure 8]

1220  
1221  
1222 513 [Approximate location of Figure 9]

#### 1223 1224 1225 514 **Implications for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions**

1226  
1227  
1228 515 It is not the primary aim of this study to investigate the palaeoenvironmental, nor palaeoclimatic,  
1229  
1230 516 signal recorded within the archives of dune sediment accumulation at these sites. Nonetheless,  
1231  
1232 517 comment is required on how the ages presented here relate to existing studies, and the implications  
1233  
1234 518 of the findings of this study.

1240  
1241  
1242 519 The ages are, in the broadest sense, in agreement with those presented for dunes of the Strzelecki  
1243  
1244 520 by Lomax et al. (2003), Fitzsimmons et al. (2007a; 2007b), Nanson et al. (2008), Cohen et al. (2010),  
1245  
1246 521 and summarized in Hesse (2016), in that they reveal sporadic net accumulation throughout the last  
1247  
1248 522 glacial cycle. Few ages preceding the last interglacial have previously been reported for Strzelecki  
1249  
1250 523 dunes (Gardner et al., 1987), and yet at least one of the dunes (Tarwonga II) studied here was  
1251  
1252 524 emplaced during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 6. The age-depth profile suggests that it is likely that  
1253  
1254 525 the base of Tarwonga may have a similar age. The most frequently occurring ages in the suite are  
1255  
1256 526 those representing the late Holocene (n=9) surficial activity, and the period of rapid accumulation at  
1257  
1258 527 Airacobra at  $51 \pm 4$  ka (n=8). However, whilst the late Holocene (in many cases, essentially modern)  
1259  
1260 528 activity is observed at all sites, no net accumulation at around 50 ka was sampled at two of the sites,  
1261  
1262 529 and only a single sample close to this range at the other site ( $57 \pm 3.9$  ka at Tarwonga II, although it  
1263  
1264 530 must be noted that the missing sample at 5 m from this site is bracketed by ages at  $57 \pm 3.9$  and  $22 \pm$   
1265  
1266 531  $1.9$  ka). Of course, it is entirely possible that deposition from this interval occurred at all the sites,  
1267  
1268 532 but if so, it has been entirely removed from the record at the other three sites. Although three of the  
1269  
1270 533 dunes have broadly similar age-depth structures, they do not show simultaneous episodes of  
1271  
1272 534 accumulation. While reworking could account for loss of some events at some sites, it would take a  
1273  
1274 535 remarkable coincidence to remove all evidence of a simultaneous event at three out of four sites,  
1275  
1276 536 whilst leaving it entirely intact at the other. There is no clear evidence of enhanced activity during  
1277  
1278 537 the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), with only two ages falling within this range ( $17 \pm 2.4$  ka at  
1279  
1280 538 Carooinnie, and  $22 \pm 1.9$  ka at Tarwonga II). Hesse (2016) discusses the mounting evidence  
1281  
1282 539 challenging the long-standing paradigm of the LGM in Australia as being a time of continent-wide  
1283  
1284 540 aridity. Accumulation since the LGM is diversely recorded in the different dunes, but all show some  
1285  
1286 541 signs of activity in the late Holocene, and two record accumulation within the past century at 50 cm  
1287  
1288 542 depth.

1291  
1292 543 The basal deposits of three of the sites date from MIS 5 or 6, and those samples deposited near the  
1293  
1294 544 height of the last interglacial (especially at Tarwonga II and Carooinnie, and considering that the  
1295  
1296  
1297  
1298

1299  
1300  
1301 545 true age of the two basal samples is not known, but is greater than 116 ka) do show some distinct  
1302  
1303 546 sedimentological variations which may have palaeoenvironmental significance. Whilst the enhanced  
1304  
1305 547 fine fraction (and accompanying geochemical diversity) may well be a post-depositional  
1306  
1307  
1308 548 phenomenon, or might result from longer residence times in the near-surface zone due to lower  
1309  
1310 549 accumulation rates, it is harder to rationalize a mechanism by which the fraction of very coarse sand  
1311  
1312 550 could be due to eluviation within the dune body. Likewise, the organic matter fraction is markedly  
1313  
1314 551 higher in samples deposited during this interval. Whilst the presence of a peak in organic C content  
1315  
1316 552 at the base of Airacobra clearly cannot be attributed to an interglacial origin, and must result from  
1317  
1318 553 illuviation, both Carowinnie and Tarwonga II record the highest organic C levels at around ~108-  
1319  
1320 554 ~116 ka. In both cases, this is higher than deeper samples (of unknown age at Carowinnie, and  
1321  
1322 555 dating from MIS 6 at Tarwonga II). If this organic matter is indeed in-situ, as this might suggest, this  
1323  
1324 556 would corroborate arguments for enhanced vegetation cover, and, by inference, wetter conditions  
1325  
1326 557 around MIS 5 (Hesse et al., 2004, and references therein; Nanson et al., 1992b). The increased  
1327  
1328 558 coarse fraction may be attributable to a change in sediment supply, potentially via enhanced  
1329  
1330 559 sediment supply due to increased regional fluvial activity (Nanson et al., 1992b), or may simply  
1331  
1332 560 reflect accumulation of coarser grains over low-relief bedforms early in the dune's development..  
1333  
1334  
1335 561 The most obvious, and concerning, implication for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions from linear  
1336  
1337 562 dunes, however, is the very substantial spatial variability in preservation evident. Whilst this is not a  
1338  
1339 563 new observation (e.g. Fitzsimmons and Telfer, 2008; Leighton et al., 2014; Stone and Thomas, 2008;  
1340  
1341 564 Telfer and Thomas, 2007), the data presented here represent perhaps the most dramatic example of  
1342  
1343 565 the problem yet presented. If only the dune at Airacobra had been sampled, even using best-  
1344  
1345 566 practice full-depth systematic sampling to avoid depth bias, the conclusion - with obvious  
1346  
1347  
1348 567 palaeoenvironmental implications - would be that linear dunes of the Strzelecki had been emplaced  
1349  
1350 568 in a single, rapid accretion period sometime around 50 ka, with minimal reworking of the crests  
1351  
1352 569 since that date. Any of the other sampled dunes would, by contrast, suggest emplacement beginning  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357

1358  
1359  
1360 570 prior to 100 ka, and net accumulation since that date occurring frequently throughout the whole of  
1361  
1362 571 the last glacial/interglacial cycle.  
1363  
1364  
1365 572 Moreover, these data suggest that the concept of providing relative dating by assessing pattern  
1366  
1367 573 complexity, proposed by Ewing et al. (2006) and applied in various forms by others needs to be  
1368  
1369 574 treated cautiously. Whilst theory suggests that the broad concept ought to be applicable, and that  
1370  
1371 575 very simple patterns such as those of Titan, might indicate maturity (Savage et al., 2014), using the  
1372  
1373 576 technique to derive absolute age estimates (e.g. Wen and Dong, 2016; Wu and Guo, 2012) without  
1374  
1375 577 corroborating absolute age estimates (e.g. from OSL) may be prone to error due to the stochastic  
1376  
1377  
1378 578 nature of accumulation demonstrated here.

## 1380 579 **Conclusions**

1382  
1383 580 This study has not found evidence to support morphodynamic pattern-coarsening as being a first-  
1384  
1385 581 order control of linear dune pattern evolution, at least for sites investigated here. Instead, small-  
1386  
1387 582 scale, presumably stochastic local variability between dunes dominates the accumulation histories of  
1388  
1389 583 the four dunes studied. Whilst three of the four dunes record broadly similar accumulation histories,  
1390  
1391 584 the fourth (Airacobra) demonstrates a radically different age-depth profile. It is not clear exactly  
1392  
1393 585 what aspect of the boundary conditions control this variability; sedimentological differences do not  
1394  
1395 586 account for the distinct behaviour, and all the sampled dunes demonstrate down-core variability in  
1396  
1397 587 chemical and physical sedimentary characteristics that likely reflect both changes in sediment source  
1398  
1399 588 and in-situ modification of the dune sands. For linear dune systems, the degree of pattern  
1400  
1401 589 organization may be more reflective of the spatial and temporal variability of boundary conditions  
1402  
1403 590 relative to rates of sand transport, rather than the age of the system. Whilst the timing of dune  
1404  
1405 591 emplacement, and physical and chemical composition, of the dunes does not vary with the  
1406  
1407 592 complexity of the patterning, a mechanism is proposed in this instance, in which one dune crestline  
1408  
1409 593 is abandoned during a sudden avulsion in a sand transport corridor and a new adjacent dune created.  
1410  
1411  
1412 594

1417  
1418  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475

595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605

These findings imply that caution should be exerted with the concept of 'pattern dating' (Ewing et al. 2006). Whilst working at larger scales (i.e. considering the dunefield as a whole, rather than individual dunes), might negate the issue of individual dunes being unrepresentative of the whole dunefield, the data from this study suggest that further field validation is necessary if pattern analysis is used to extract geochronological information. There are further implications for the derivation of palaeoenvironmental information from dune accumulation records, and highlight the folly of trying to recreate past environmental conditions from small numbers of samples. Although this observation is not new, the data presented here are perhaps the most dramatic example of how the stochastic nature of dune accumulation and reworking can overprint any evidence driven by external forcing.

**Acknowledgments**

Will Farebrother (Macquarie University) is thanked for his hard work in the field. Santos Ltd. are thanked for allowing access to the sites. Eliza Eakin (Plymouth University) is thanked for preliminary work exploring the dune profiles. Plymouth University are also thanked for funding this project through the International Research Networking and Collaboration scheme.

1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514  
1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534

611 **References**

- 612 Andreotti, B., Fourriere, A., Ould-Kaddour, F., Murray, B., Claudin, P., 2009. Giant aeolian dune size  
613 determined by the average depth of the atmospheric boundary layer. *Nature* 457, 1120-1123.
- 614 Ash, J.E., Wasson, R.J., 1983. Vegetation and sand mobility in the Australian desert dunefield.  
615 *Zeitschrift Fur Geomorphologie Supplementband* 45, 7-25.
- 616 Bailey, R.M., Thomas, D.S.G., 2014. A quantitative approach to understanding dated dune  
617 stratigraphies. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 39, 614-631.
- 618 Barbier, N., Couteron, P., Lejoly, J., Deblauwe, V., Lejeune, O., 2006. Self-organized vegetation  
619 patterning as a fingerprint of climate and human impact on semi-arid ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*  
620 94, 537-547.
- 621 Breed, C.S., Fryberger, S.G., Andrews, S., McCauley, C., Lennartz, F., Geber, D., Horstman, K., 1979.  
622 Regional studies of sand seas using Landsat (ERTS) imagery, In: McKee, E.D. (Ed.), *A study of global  
623 sand seas*. United States Geological Survey, pp. 305-398.
- 624 Bristow, C.S., Bailey, S.D., Lancaster, N., 2000. The sedimentary structure of linear sand dunes.  
625 *Nature* 406, 56-59.
- 626 Bristow, C.S., Duller, G.A.T., Lancaster, N., 2007a. Age and dynamics of linear dunes in the Namib  
627 Desert. *Geology* 35, 555-558.
- 628 Bristow, C.S., Jones, B.G., Nanson, G.C., Hollands, C., Coleman, M., Price, D.M., 2007b. GPR surveys  
629 of vegetated linear dune stratigraphy in central Australia: Evidence for linear dune extension with  
630 vertical and lateral accretion. *Geological Society of America Special Paper* 432, 19-33.
- 631 Bullard, J.E., Nash, D.J., 1998. Linear dune pattern variability in the vicinity of dry valleys in the  
632 southwest Kalahari. *Geomorphology* 23, 35-54.
- 633 Bullard, J.E., Nash, D.J., 2000. Valley-marginal sand dunes in the south-west Kalahari: their nature,  
634 classification and possible origins. *Journal of Arid Environments* 45, 369-383.
- 635 Bullard, J.E., Thomas, D.S.G., Livingstone, I., Wiggs, G.F.S., 1995. Analysis of linear sand dune  
636 morphological variability, southwestern Kalahari Desert. *Geomorphology* 11, 189-203.
- 637 Chase, B., 2009. Evaluating the use of dune sediments as a proxy for palaeo-aridity: A southern  
638 African case study. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 93, 31-45.
- 639 Cohen, T.J., Nanson, G.C., Larsen, J.R., Jones, B.G., Price, D.M., Coleman, M., Pietsch, T.J., 2010. Late  
640 Quaternary aeolian and fluvial interactions on the Cooper Creek Fan and the association between  
641 linear and source-bordering dunes, Strzelecki Desert, Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 455-  
642 471.
- 643 Craddock, R.A., Tooth, S., Zimbelman, J.R., Wilson, S.A., Maxwell, T.A., Kling, C., 2015. Temporal  
644 observations of a linear sand dune in the Simpson Desert, central Australia: Testing models for dune  
645 formation on planetary surfaces. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Planets* 120, 1736-1750.
- 646 du Pont, S.C., Narteau, C., Gao, X., 2014. Two modes for dune orientation. *Geology* 42, 743-746.
- 647 Eastwood, E., Nield, J., Baas, A., Kocurek, G., 2011. Modelling controls on aeolian dune-field pattern  
648 evolution. *Sedimentology* 58, 1391-1406.
- 649 Ewing, R.C., Kocurek, G., 2010. Aeolian dune-field pattern boundary conditions. *Geomorphology* 114,  
650 175-187.
- 651 Ewing, R.C., Kocurek, G., Lake, L.W., 2006. Pattern analysis of dune-field parameters. *Earth Surface  
652 Processes and Landforms* 31, 1176-1191.
- 653 Ewing, R.C., McDonald, G.D., Hayes, A.G., 2015. Multi-spatial analysis of aeolian dune-field patterns.  
654 *Geomorphology* 240, 44-53.
- 655 Fitzsimmons, K.E., 2007. Morphological variability in the linear dunefields of the Strzelecki and Tirari  
656 Deserts, Australia. *Geomorphology* 91, 146-160.
- 657 Fitzsimmons, K.E., Bowler, J.M., Rhodes, E.J., Magee, J.M., 2007a. Relationships between desert  
658 dunes during the late Quaternary in the Lake Frome region, Strzelecki Desert, Australia. *Journal of  
659 Quaternary Science* 22, 549-558.

1535  
1536  
1537 660 Fitzsimmons, K.E., Magee, J.W., Amos, K.J., 2009. Characterisation of aeolian sediments from the  
1538 661 Strzelecki and Tirari Deserts, Australia: Implications for reconstructing palaeoenvironmental  
1539 662 conditions. *Sedimentary Geology* 218, 61-73.  
1540 663 Fitzsimmons, K.E., Rhodes, E.J., Magee, J.W., Barrows, T.T., 2007b. The timing of linear dune activity  
1541 664 in the Strzelecki and Tirari Deserts, Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 2598-2616.  
1542 665 Fitzsimmons, K.E., Telfer, M.W., 2008. Sedimentary history and the interpretation of late Quaternary  
1543 666 dune records: examples from the Tirari desert, Australia and the Kalahari, South Africa. *Chungara-*  
1544 667 *Revista De Antropologia Chilena* 40, 295-308.  
1545 668 Fourriere, A., Claudin, P., Andreotti, B., 2010. Bedforms in a turbulent stream: formation of ripples  
1546 669 by primary linear instability and of dunes by nonlinear pattern coarsening. *Journal of Fluid*  
1547 670 *Mechanics* 649, 287-328.  
1548 671 Fujioka, T., Chappell, J., Fifield, L.K., Rhodes, E.J., 2009. Australian desert dune fields initiated with  
1550 672 Pliocene-Pleistocene global climatic shift. *Geology* 37, 51-54.  
1551 673 Gao, X., Narteau, C., Rozier, O., du Pont, S.C., 2015. Phase diagrams of dune shape and orientation  
1552 674 depending on sand availability. *Scientific Reports* 5, 14677.  
1553 675 Gardner, G.J., Mortlock, A.J., Price, D.M., Readhead, M.L., Wasson, R.J., 1987. Thermoluminescence  
1554 676 and radiocarbon dating of Australian desert dunes. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 34, 343-357.  
1555 677 Goudie, A.S., 1970. Notes on some major dune types in southern Africa. *South African Geographical*  
1556 678 *Journal* 52, 93-101.  
1557 679 Guerin, G., Mercier, N., Adamiec, G., 2011. Dose-rate conversion factors: update. *Ancient TL* 29.  
1558 680 Haswell, S.J., 1991. *Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. Theory, Design and Applications.* Elsevier,  
1559 681 Oxford.  
1560 682 Hesp, P., Hyde, R., Hesp, V., Zhengyu, Q., 1989. Longitudinal dunes can move sideways. *Earth Surface*  
1561 683 *Processes And Landforms* 14, 447-451.  
1562 684 Hesse, P.P., 2010. The Australian desert dunefields: formation and evolution in an old, flat, dry  
1563 685 continent. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 346, 141-164.  
1564 686 Hesse, P.P., 2011. Sticky dunes in a wet desert: Formation, stabilisation and modification of the  
1565 687 Australian desert dunefields. *Geomorphology* 134, 309-325.  
1566 688 Hesse, P.P., 2016. How do longitudinal dunes respond to climate forcing? Insights from 25 years of  
1567 689 luminescence dating of the Australian desert dunefields. *Quat. Internat.* 410, 11-29.  
1568 690 Hesse, P.P., Simpson, R.L., 2006. Variable vegetation cover and episodic sand movement on  
1569 691 longitudinal desert sand dunes. *Geomorphology* 81, 276-291.  
1570 692 Hesse, P.P., Magee, J.W., van der Kaars, S., 2004. Late Quaternary climates of the Australian arid  
1571 693 zone: a review. *Quaternary International* 118-119, 87-102.  
1572 694 Hollands, C.B., Nanson, G.C., Jones, B.G., Bristow, C.S., Price, D.M., Pietsch, T.J., 2006. Aeolian-fluvial  
1573 695 interaction: evidence for Late Quaternary channel change and wind-rift linear dune formation in the  
1574 696 northwestern Simpson Desert, Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 142-162.  
1575 697 Hooke, J.M., 2007. Complexity, self-organisation and variation in behaviour in meandering rivers.  
1576 698 *Geomorphology* 91, 236-258.  
1577 699 Jennings, J.N., 1968. A revised map of the desert dunes of Australia. *Australian Geographer* 10, 408-  
1578 700 409.  
1579 701 Kefi, S., Rietkerk, M., Alados, C.L., Pueyo, Y., Papanastasis, V.P., ElAich, A., de Ruiters, P.C., 2007.  
1580 702 Spatial vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in Mediterranean arid ecosystems. *Nature*  
1581 703 449, 213-U215.  
1582 703  
1583 704 Kocurek, G., Ewing, R.C., 2005. Aeolian dune field self-organization - implications for the formation  
1584 705 of simple versus complex dune-field patterns. *Geomorphology* 72, 94-105.  
1585 706 Kocurek, G., Ewing, R.C., Mohrig, D., 2010. How do bedform patterns arise? New views on the role of  
1586 707 bedform interactions within a set of boundary conditions. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*  
1587 708 35, 51-63.  
1588 709 Lancaster, N., 1988. Development of Linear Dunes in the Southwestern Kalahari, Southern-Africa.  
1589 710 *Journal of Arid Environments* 14, 233-244.  
1590  
1591  
1592  
1593



1594  
1595  
1596 711 Leighton, C.L., Bailey, R.M., Thomas, D.S.G., 2014. Interpreting and modelling late Quaternary dune  
1597 712 accumulation in the southern Arabian Peninsula. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 102, 1-13.  
1598 713 Leighton, C.L., Thomas, D.S.G., Bailey, R.M., 2013. Allostratigraphy and Quaternary dune sediments:  
1599 714 Not all bounding surfaces are the same. *Aeolian Research* 11, 55-60.  
1600 715 Lomax, J., Hilgers, A., Wopfner, H., Grun, R., Twidale, C.R., Radtke, U., 2003. The onset of dune  
1601 716 formation in the Strzelecki Desert, South Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 1067-1076.  
1602 717 Lucas, A., Narteau, C., Rodriguez, S., Rozier, O., Callot, Y., Garcia, A., du Pont, S.C., 2015. Sediment  
1603 718 flux from the morphodynamics of elongating linear dunes. *Geology* 43, 1027-1030.  
1604 719 McFarlane, M.J., Eckardt, F.D., Ringrose, S., Coetzee, S.H., Kuhn, J.R., 2005. Degradation of linear  
1605 720 dunes in Northwest Ngamiland, Botswana and the implications for luminescence dating of periods of  
1606 721 aridity. *Quaternary International* 135, 83-90.  
1607 722 Munyikwa, K., Telfer, M.W., Baker, I., Knight, C., 2011. Core drilling of Quaternary sediments for  
1608 723 luminescence dating using the Dormer Drillmite™. *Ancient TL* 29, 15-23.  
1609 724 Murray, A.S., Wintle, A.G., 2000. Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot  
1610 725 regenerative-dose protocol. *Radiation Measurements* 32, 57-73.  
1611 726 Murray, A.S., Wintle, A.G., 2003. The single aliquot regenerative dose protocol: potential for  
1612 727 improvements in reliability. *Radiation Measurements* 37, 377-381.  
1613 728 Nanson, G.C., Chen, X.Y., Price, D.M., 1992a. Lateral migration, thermoluminescence chronology and  
1614 729 color variation of longitudinal dunes near Birdsville in the Simpson Desert, Central Australia. *Earth  
1615 730 Surface Processes and Landforms* 17, 807-819.  
1616 731 Nanson, G.C., Price, D.M., Jones, B.G., Maroulis, J.C., Coleman, M., Bowman, H., Cohen, T.J., Pietsch,  
1617 732 T.J., Larsen, J.R., 2008. Alluvial evidence for major climate and flow regime changes during the  
1618 733 middle and late Quaternary in eastern central Australia. *Geomorphology* 101, 109-129.  
1619 734 Nanson, G.C., Price, D.M., Short, S.A., 1992b. Wetting and drying of Australia over the past 300 Ka.  
1620 735 *Geology* 20, 791-794.  
1621 736 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlenn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara,  
1622 737 R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Henry, M., Stevens, Szoecs, H.E. and Wagner, H. 2016. vegan:  
1623 738 Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>  
1624 739 Pell, S.D., Chivas, A.R., Williams, I.S., 2000. The Simpson, Strzelecki and Tirari Deserts: development  
1625 740 and sand provenance. *Sedimentary Geology* 130, 107-130.  
1626 741 Phillips, J.D., 2007. The perfect landscape. *Geomorphology* 84, 159-169.  
1627 742 Ping, L., Narteau, C., Dong, Z., Zhang, Z., du Pont, S.C., 2014. Emergence of oblique dunes in a  
1628 743 landscape-scale experiment. *Nature Geoscience* 7, 99-103.  
1629 744 Roskin, J., Blumberg, D.G., Kutra, I., 2014. Last millennium development and dynamics of vegetated  
1630 745 linear dunes inferred from ground-penetrating radar and optically stimulated luminescence ages.  
1631 746 *Sedimentology* 61, 1240-1260.  
1632 747 Rubin, D.M., Hesp, P.A., 2009. Multiple origins of linear dunes on Earth and Titan. *Nature Geoscience*  
1633 748 3.  
1634 749 Rubin, D.M., Tsoar, H., Blumberg, D.G., 2008. A second look at western Sinai seif dunes and their  
1635 750 lateral migration. *Geomorphology* 93, 335-342.  
1636 751 Rubin, D.R., 1990. Lateral migration of linear dunes in the Strzelecki desert, Australia. *Earth Surface  
1637 752 Processes and Landforms* 15, 1-14.  
1638 753 Savage, C.J., Radebaugh, J., Christiansen, E.H., Lorenz, R.D., 2014. Implications of dune pattern  
1639 754 analysis for Titan's surface history. *Icarus* 230, 180-190.  
1640 755 Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W.A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S.R., Dakos, V., Held, H., van Nes,  
1641 756 E.H., Rietkerk, M., Sugihara, G., 2009. Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature* 461, 53-59.  
1642 757 Seminara, G., 2009. Fluvial Sedimentary Patterns. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 42, 43-66.  
1643 758 Stone, A.E.C., Thomas, D.S.G., 2008. Linear dune accumulation chronologies from the southwest  
1644 759 Kalahari, Namibia: challenges of reconstructing late Quaternary palaeoenvironments from aeolian  
1645 760 landforms. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 1667-1681.

1653  
1654  
1655 761 Telfer, M.W., 2011. Growth by extension, and reworking, of a south-western Kalahari linear dune.  
1656 762 Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 36, 1125-1135.  
1657 763 Telfer, M.W., Bailey, R.M., Burrough, S.L., Stone, A.E.S., Thomas, D.S.G., Wiggs, G.S.F., 2010.  
1658 764 Understanding linear dune chronologies: Insights from a simple accumulation model.  
1659 765 Geomorphology 120, 195-208.  
1660 766 Telfer, M.W., Hesse, P.P., 2013. Palaeoenvironmental reconstructions from linear dunefields: recent  
1661 767 progress, current challenges and future directions. Quaternary Science Reviews 78, 1-21.  
1662 768 Telfer, M.W., Thomas, D.S.G., 2007. Late Quaternary linear dune accumulation and  
1663 769 chronostratigraphy of the southwestern Kalahari: implications for aeolian palaeoclimatic  
1664 770 reconstructions and predictions of future dynamics. Quaternary Science Reviews 26, 2617-2630.  
1665 771 Thomas, D.S.G., 1986. Dune pattern statistics applied to the Kalahari Dune Desert, southern Africa.  
1666 772 Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie 30, 231-242.  
1667 773 Thomas, D.S.G., Brook, G., Shaw, P., Bateman, M., Haberyan, K., Appleton, C., Nash, D., McLaren, S.,  
1668 774 Davies, F., 2003. Late Pleistocene wetting and drying in the NW Kalahari: an integrated study from  
1669 775 the Tsodilo Hills, Botswana. Quaternary International 104, 53-67.  
1670 776 Thomas, D.S.G., Burrough, S.L., 2012. Interpreting geoproxies of late Quaternary climate change in  
1671 777 African drylands: Implications for understanding environmental change and early human behaviour.  
1672 778 Quaternary International 253, 5-17.  
1673 779 Thomas, D.S.G., Knight, M., Wiggs, G.F.S., 2005. Remobilization of southern African desert dune  
1674 780 systems by twenty-first century global warming. Nature 435, 1218.  
1675 781 Thomas, D.S.G., O'Connor, P.W., Bateman, M.D., Shaw, P.A., Stokes, S., Nash, D.J., 2000. Dune  
1676 782 activity as a record of late Quaternary aridity in the Northern Kalahari: new evidence from northern  
1677 783 Namibia interpreted in the context of regional arid and humid chronologies. Palaeogeography,  
1678 784 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 156, 243-259.  
1679 785 Tsoar, H., 1989. Linear dunes - forms and formations. Progress in Physical Geography 13, 507-528.  
1680 786 Tsoar, H., Blumberg, D.G., Stoler, Y., 2004. Elongation and migration of sand dunes. Geomorphology  
1681 787 57, 293-302.  
1682 788 U.S.G.S., 2004. Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, In: Facility, G.L.C. (Ed.), University of Maryland.  
1683 789 Wen, Q., Dong, Z.B., 2016. Geomorphologic patterns of dune networks in the Tengger Desert, China.  
1684 790 J. Arid Land 8, 660-669.  
1685 791 Werner, B.T., 1995. Eolian dunes: Computer simulations and attractor interpretations. Geology 23,  
1686 792 1107-1110.  
1687 793 Werner, B.T., Kocurek, G., 1997. Bed-form dynamics: Does the tail wag the dog? Geology 25, 771-  
1688 794 774.  
1689 795 Werner, B.T., Kocurek, G., 1999. Bedform spacing from defect dynamics. Geology 27, 727-730.  
1690 796 Wu, J., Guo, F., 2012. Geomorphological patterns in a linear dune field and ages of the linear dunes  
1691 797 in the northern Kumtagh Desert, northwest China. Environmental Earth Sciences 66, 2449-2457.  
1692 798 Yizhaq, H., Bel, G., 2016. Effects of quenched disorder on critical transitions in pattern-forming  
1693 799 systems. New Journal of Physics 18.  
1694 800 Zarate, M.A., Tripaldi, A., 2012. The aeolian system of central Argentina. Aeolian Research 3, 401-  
1695 801 417.  
1696 802 Zhang, D.G., Narteau, C., Rozier, O., du Pont, S.C., 2012. Morphology and dynamics of star dunes  
1697 803 from numerical modelling. Nature Geoscience 5, 463-467.  
1700 804  
1701  
1702 805  
1703  
1704 806  
1705  
1706 807  
1707  
1708  
1709  
1710  
1711

1712  
1713  
1714  
1715  
1716  
1717  
1718  
1719  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1770

808

1771  
1772  
1773 809 Figure captions  
1774  
1775 810 *Figure 1. a) The study area set within the context of Australia and its dunefield (highlighted in*  
1776  
1777 811 *orange), and b) the regional setting for the selected sites, in the northern Strzelecki Desert. The*  
1778  
1779 812 *extent of the dunes is highlighted in orange, along with major hydrological features in the area.*  
1780  
1781  
1782 813 *Figure 2. Detail of the site locations from a) CNES/SPOT imagery courtesy of Google Earth, with b)*  
1783  
1784 814 *manually digitized dune crests. Note that Tarwonga I and II are located in a region characterized by*  
1785  
1786 815 *more disorganized patterning, with higher defect densities, than Caroowinnie or Airacobra, which lie*  
1787  
1788 816 *~15 km to the south in the northern part of the main Strzelecki dunefield. The outlined area in the*  
1789  
1790 817 *lower schematic is the area of focus in Figure 8. c) Topographic data from the SRTM global 1-*  
1791  
1792 818 *arcsecond dataset (NASA, 2004), whilst at the limits of resolution for the elevation expression of the*  
1793  
1794 819 *dunes, highlights the dune trends.*  
1795  
1796  
1797 820 *Figure 3. OSL characteristics of the samples. a) All samples had a signal dominated by the quartz fast*  
1798  
1799 821 *component, with very rapid decay, and b) growth was well-fitted with single exponentials. c)*  
1800  
1801 822 *Overdispersion of samples ranged from 8 – 62%, with the majority of samples under 25% (see Table*  
1802  
1803 823 *1). d) The large variation in dose rates apparent in these samples ( $0.4 - 2.5 \text{ Gy ka}^{-1}$ ) is attributable to*  
1804  
1805 824 *variation in the abundance of fines within the sediment.*  
1806  
1807  
1808 825 *Figure 4. OSL ages (in thousands of years, ka) and grain size data for each site.*  
1809  
1810  
1811 826 *Figure 5. a-d) Age-depth profiles of the four sample sites, with surveyed transects of the dunes, with*  
1812  
1813 827 *the depth of the cores indicated, shown inset. Note that the sampling at Tarwonga I does not reach*  
1814  
1815 828 *the base of the dune as indicated by integration of the adjacent interdunes; basal ages here are thus*  
1816  
1817 829 *likely to be a minimum for dune emplacement at this location. The flat plinth at Tarwonga II to the*  
1818  
1819 830 *east of the dune is a road. e) Age-depth profiles overlain, highlighting the distinctly different profile*  
1820  
1821 831 *of Airacobra.*  
1822  
1823  
1824 832  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829

1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888

833 *Figure 6. a) Loss on Ignition, b) Ti/Al ratios and c) Ca content for all samples.*

834 *Figure 7. a) Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling of the elemental suite analysed. Samples are*  
835 *described with a prefix indicating site (T = Tarwonga, T2 = Tarwonga II, C = Caroowinnie, A =*  
836 *Airacobra), and a suffix indicating position downcore (thus the uppermost sample = 1, and the basal*  
837 *samples are 8 to 10). Note the tendency for the x axis (NMDS1) to separate samples based on their*  
838 *depth/maturity in the profile; uppermost samples tend to low NMDS1 scores, and older samples tend*  
839 *to high values. b) Cluster analysis confirms that samples are not grouped according to individual*  
840 *dunes, but grouping is more strongly controlled by depth (or age) within the dunes.*

841 *Figure 8. a) The current spatial arrangement of the dunes at the sampled sites at Airacobra and*  
842 *Caroowinnie was essentially established at around 50 ka. b) If a purely extensional mode of*  
843 *development were assumed, it would have to be assumed that the downwind dune formed*  
844 *subsequently. c) A brief interruption to patterning, such as a full-depth blow-out of the dune, could*  
845 *have subsequently been infilled by accumulation at around 50 ka. d) Lateral migration of a small*  
846 *section of the dune by more than half the dunes' width. Note that in all panels, the only points which*  
847 *can be confidently located at either of the timeslices presented (here simplified to just pre- and post-*  
848 *50 ka, though the uncertainties surrounding this age should be noted), are the sampled sites*  
849 *themselves. Other dunes are shown in these schematics only indicatively and may, or may not, have*  
850 *been present at the times indicated. Where the dune is known to have been in place at this date, it is*  
851 *indicated with a black circle, and where it was not present, with a grey circle.*

852 *Figure 9. a) Detail of the high-resolution SPOT/CNRS imagery (courtesy of Google Earth™) suggests a*  
853 *possible explanation for the rapid accumulation at Airacobra; abandonment of a former transport*  
854 *pathway and the establishment of the present-day planform pattern at the site. b) An example of the*  
855 *inter-dune periodicity in the topographical profiles of the dunes at the clustered dunes of*  
856 *Caroowinnie and Airacobra. The relief of the dunes is superimposed on a longer wavelength relief,*  
857 *which is not obvious from the high-resolution imagery alone (courtesy of Google Earth™).*

1889  
1890  
1891 858 *Table captions*  
1892  
1893  
1894 859 *Table 1. OSL age data*  
1895  
1896  
1897 860  
1898  
1899  
1900 861 *Table 1*  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947

1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988

Site	Sample depth (m)	Sample ID	K (%)	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	Cosmic dose (Gy/ka)	Dose rate (Gy/ka)	De (Gy)	Overdispersion (sigma, %)	Age (ka)
Tarwonga I	0.55	STRZ12-	0.19 ±	1.2 ±	0.27 ±	0.18 ±	0.506 ±			
		1-1	0.01	0.12	0.03	0.035	0.04	0.03 ± 0	0.17	0.05 ± 0.005
	1	STRZ12-	0.28 ±	1.98 ±	0.51 ±	0.169 ±	0.691 ±	0.17 ±		
		1-2	0.01	0.2	0.05	0.021	0.036	0.02	0.29	0.24 ± 0.03
	2	STRZ12-	0.13 ±	1.07 ±	0.21 ±	0.147 ±				
		1-3	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.013	0.4 ± 0.02	0.7 ± 0.04	0.15	1.75 ± 0.13
	3	STRZ12-	0.21 ±	1.38 ±	0.21 ±	0.129 ±	0.477 ±	1.46 ±		
		1-4	0.01	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.023	0.09	0.3	3.06 ± 0.24
	4	STRZ12-	0.32 ±	1.42 ±	0.31 ±	0.114 ±	0.593 ±	18.69 ±		
		1-5	0.02	0.14	0.03	0.009	0.029	0.41	0.23	31.53 ± 1.69
	5	STRZ12-	0.47 ±	1.61 ±	0.39 ±	0.101 ±	0.754 ±	21.88 ±		
		1-6	0.02	0.16	0.04	0.007	0.039	0.42	0.23	29.02 ± 1.6
	6	STRZ12-	0.34 ±	1.28 ±	0.35 ±	0.09 ±	0.591 ±			
		1-7	0.02	0.13	0.04	0.007	0.03	43.2 ± 1.2	0.11	73.04 ± 4.2
7	STRZ12-	0.39 ±	1.99 ±	0.39 ±	0.08 ±	0.69 ±				
	1-8	0.02	0.2	0.04	0.006	0.035	68.3 ± 2.9	0.29	99.01 ± 6.57	
Tarwonga II	0.5	STRZ12-	0.11 ±	0.82 ±	0.14 ±	0.181 ±	0.373 ±	0.48 ±		
		2-1	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.038	0.04	0.02	0.13	1.29 ± 0.15
	1	STRZ12-	0.17 ±	0.9 ±	0.18 ±	0.169 ±	0.435 ±	0.86 ±		
		2-2	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.021	0.026	0.09	0.35	1.97 ± 0.24
	2	STRZ12-	0.22 ±	0.88 ±	0.18 ±	0.147 ±	0.458 ±	3.92 ±		
		2-3	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.013	0.023	0.14	0.12	8.57 ± 0.52
	3	STRZ12-	0.24 ±	1.5 ±	0.29 ±	0.129 ±	0.531 ±	5.27 ±		
		2-4	0.01	0.15	0.03	0.01	0.025	0.18	0.1	9.93 ± 0.58
	4	STRZ12-	0.33 ±	1.22 ±	0.25 ±	0.114 ±	0.578 ±	12.85 ±		
		2-5	0.02	0.12	0.03	0.009	0.029	0.9	0.24	22.22 ± 1.91
	6	STRZ12-	0.46 ±	2.26 ±	0.48 ±	0.09 ±	0.798 ±			
		2-6	0.02	0.23	0.05	0.007	0.04	45.7 ± 2.1	0.16	57.28 ± 3.92
	7	STRZ12-	0.56 ±	1.57 ±	0.32 ±	0.08 ±	0.799 ±	91.9 ± 6.3	0.21	114.96 ±

1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029

Caroowinnie







		2-7	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.006	0.044			10.09
		STRZ12-	0.78 ±	2.16 ±	0.58 ±	0.072 ±	1.106 ±			108.47 ±
	8	2-8	0.04	0.22	0.06	0.005	0.061	120 ± 15	0.4	14.84
		STRZ12-	0.8 ±	2.69 ±	0.58 ±	0.065 ±	1.159 ±			144.91 ±
	9	2-9	0.04	0.27	0.06	0.005	0.064	168 ± 21	0.43	19.81
		STRZ12-	0.24 ±	0.78 ±	0.19 ±	0.181 ±	0.507 ±	0.74 ±		
	0.5	3-1	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.038	0.043	0.09	0.21	1.46 ± 0.22
		STRZ12-	0.29 ±	1.27 ±	0.27 ±	0.169 ±	0.599 ±	9.53 ±		
	1	3-2	0.01	0.13	0.03	0.021	0.032	0.71	0.23	15.92 ± 1.47
		STRZ12-	0.33 ±	1.3 ±	0.42 ±	0.147 ±	0.65 ±	7.79 ±		
	2	3-3	0.02	0.13	0.04	0.013	0.031	0.33	0.15	11.99 ± 0.77
		STRZ12-	0.32 ±	1.35 ±	0.28 ±	0.129 ±	0.593 ±	6.59 ±		
	3	3-4	0.02	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.029	0.35	0.18	11.12 ± 0.8
		STRZ12-	0.28 ±	1.6 ±	0.37 ±	0.114 ±	0.576 ±			
	4	3-5	0.01	0.16	0.04	0.009	0.027	9.9 ± 1.3	0.45	17.19 ± 2.4
		STRZ12-	1.08 ±	3.89 ±	0.88 ±	0.101 ±	1.616 ±			72.38 ±
	5	3-6	0.05	0.39	0.09	0.007	0.088	117 ± 22	0.62	14.17
		STRZ12-	1.36 ±	6.19 ±	1.79 ±	0.09 ±	2.245 ±			116.26 ±
	6	3-7	0.07	0.62	0.18	0.007	0.119	261 ± 37	0.47	17.6
		STRZ12-	1.69 ±	4.21 ±	1.88 ±	0.08 ±	2.44 ±			84.43 ±
	7	3-8	0.08	0.42	0.19	0.006	0.136	206 ± 47	0.79	19.83
		STRZ12-	1.95 ±	3.07 ±	1.1 ±	0.072 ±	2.417 ±	3.01 ±		
	8	3-9	0.1	0.31	0.11	0.005	0.147	0.69	0.92	1.25 ± 0.3





Airacobra

		STRZ12-	0.23 ±	1.36 ±	0.28 ±	0.181 ±	0.563 ±	0.05 ±		
	0.5	4-1	0.01	0.14	0.03	0.038	0.044	0.02	0.36	0.08 ± 0.03
		STRZ12-	0.27 ±	1.08 ±	0.2 ±	0.169 ±	0.554 ±	2.08 ±		
	1	4-2	0.01	0.11	0.02	0.021	0.031	0.14	0.22	3.75 ± 0.32
		STRZ12-	0.34 ±	1.29 ±	0.26 ±	0.147 ±	0.626 ±			
	2	4-3	0.02	0.13	0.03	0.013	0.031	31 ± 0.76	0.085	49.5 ± 2.74
		STRZ12-	0.35 ±	1.44 ±	0.32 ±	0.129 ±	0.642 ±	26.96 ±		
	3	4-4	0.02	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.031	0.6	0.081	42.02 ± 2.26




2030										
2031										
2032		STRZ12-	0.5 ±	1.45 ±	0.31 ±	0.114 ±	0.768 ±			
2033	4	4-5	0.03	0.14	0.03	0.009	0.04	36.8 ± 1.8	0.16	47.94 ± 3.44
2034		STRZ12-	0.52 ±	1.69 ±	0.45 ±	0.101 ±	0.819 ±			
2035	5	4-6	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.007	0.042	38.4 ± 1.6	0.14	46.9 ± 3.12
2036		STRZ12-	0.57 ±	1.61 ±	0.38 ±	0.09 ±	0.842 ±			
2037	6	4-7	0.03	0.16	0.04	0.007	0.045	48.6 ± 2.9	0.2	57.74 ± 4.65
2038		STRZ12-	0.53 ±	1.51 ±	0.42 ±	0.08 ±	0.792 ±			
2039	7	4-8	0.03	0.15	0.04	0.006	0.042	47.4 ± 2.7	0.19	59.85 ± 4.68
2040		STRZ12-	0.61 ±	1.98 ±	0.5 ±	0.072 ±	0.916 ±			
2041	8	4-9	0.03	0.2	0.05	0.005	0.05	47.7 ± 1.9	0.13	52.07 ± 3.5
2042		1.19 ±	4.16 ±	0.84 ±	0.072 ±	1.698 ±				
2043	8.5	0.06	0.42	0.08	0.005	0.095	87.6 ± 3.3	0.12	51.59 ± 3.48	
2044										
2045	862									
2046										
2047										
2048	863									
2049										
2050	864									
2051										
2052										
2053										
2054										
2055										
2056										
2057										
2058										
2059										
2060										
2061										
2062										
2063										
2064										
2065										
2066										
2067										
2068										
2069										
2070										







Tarwonga I





Tarwonga II




Carrowinnie




Airacobra




Tarwonga I



Tarwonga II



Carrowinnie



Airacobra

