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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The goal of this thesis was to reassess the relationship between the moving body and technology, and more specifically, to focus on recent perspectives in the performing arts which inscribe new manifestations and dynamics of cross-pollination between the somatic and technology. According to Dr. Andrea Davidson, 'Such research has rarely been formally identified with the specialised field of somatics' (2013, p.3). The thesis thus proposes to reflect on the experience and conception of the performative body in the link it entertains with technology. Investigating this relationship, it defines a new paradigm, that of an 'interfaced intercorporeality'. This paradigm is constructed with special attention to a different relationship revealed between the interface and the notion of a corporal potentiality or 'interval'. In particular, the thesis focuses on the concept of a 'collective body' based on this relationship and on practical research conducted within the framework of my research, along with the methodology that supported it.

The research and creative work that are presented derive from experiments I conceived, conducted and participated in making. My analysis is thus based on direct experience. The relationship between the somatic and technology notably led me to focus on the notion of embodied cognition or 'bodily knowledge' and for this, to re-examine the work of Maurice Merleau-Ponty. As a consequence, this return to the experiential also required revisiting definitions given by the Greeks concerning the aesthetic as a reference to sensation and the ability to perceive. The thesis approaches the body as the ground and basis for creating work, as well as for testing the effect(s) that technology has on it. Experiments conducted sought to develop greater sensory and perceptual awareness in order to invest the relationship of somatics/technology in a dimension that could potentially constitute a transformation of self, of one's relationship to others and to the world.

Merleau-Ponty's phenomenological existentialism formed the basis for explorations made to forge links between the somatic and technology. However, it is important to clarify that my intention was not to make an analysis of phenomenology per se. It was rather referenced as a means to explain the framework of my research in relation to lived experience, sensation, and specifically, to my creative approach involving new technologies. Merleau-Ponty's methodology includes subjective, first-person accounts of 'lived experience'. Third-person accounts, or so-called 'objective' positions, are also included. These accounts are then shown to evolve towards an ecosystem of interaction and movement in order to experience and test the production of theory and practical experimentation involved in the methodology I adopted.

The thesis incorporates knowledge from several disciplines, but principally from the field of dance and technology. Highlighting sensorial and perceptual phenomena related to the transformation of the body through technology and subjective experience, it takes into account an interdisciplinary perspective that is linked to this problematic. The thesis begins with an introduction to phenomenology in which the concepts and positions of Merleau-Ponty are outlined, including those of anti-dualism, the lived body, the ontology of the body, corporeality, intercorporeality and the flesh. Chapter 1 looks
at the evolution of this philosophical movement throughout history and continues with a
history of the body in phenomenology, an analysis of certain applications of
phenomenology in the field of dance and subsequently, in the specific field of dance
related to technology.

Chapter 2 comprises a literature review. It also presents the bases of reductionist
thinking, the proposition of a return to integrative thinking and issues concerning
instrumentalisation, the double and the complexification of the self. It further examines
the history of ideas surrounding the relationship between the body and technology,
notions of the real-virtual-actual and a history and problematics of the interface. It
concludes with a presentation of theories on the notions of potentiality, the interval and
real-time.

Chapter 3 presents my artistic background, an historical overview of the trends
and principal ideas that have influenced my work, as well as an examination of the field
of dance and technology from the point of view of its history and more recent
developments.

Chapter 4 is dedicated to an analysis of the research methodologies employed in
the practical research for this thesis and identifies related issues. An analysis of
problems encountered with existing methodologies notably highlights a need to invest
in other methodological modes for practical research of an interdisciplinary nature. The
chapter continues with a presentation of some of the methodologies currently used in
the field of dance related to technology. The principles underpinning the specific
creative research methodology I experimented with are then presented, proposing an
adaptation of the aforementioned methodologies in order to respond to the dynamics of
collective research of an empathic nature that are specific to my approach and also in
order to invest in the link between the somatic and technology my project proposes.
This proposition modestly attempts to respond to the lack of methodologies observed in
the field of artistic practical research.

A discussion of the experimentation involved in the practical research for the
thesis is made in Chapter 5. Two creative experiments are analysed. Their aim was to
investigate and develop a collective physical body composed of five dancers in constant
contact, whose movement and relationships create what I call a 'collective sound body'.
This collective entity produces sound in real-time which is simultaneously spatialised.
The analysis takes into account the ways these two bodies are interdependent and
constantly interrelated.

Schematically, the first experiment served as a basis on which to found principles
related to the collective body, while the second experiment developed them. The
chapter further outlines creative strategies that were employed to test principles of self-
organisation linked to sensation and stemming from the somatic techniques employed.
It also returns to some of Merleau-Ponty's main concepts that were implemented and
tested in performative experience: intercorporeality, the lived body, the dynamic of
continual transformation and the principle of coexistence. Lastly, Merleau-Ponty's
investigation of sensation and perception and his concept of sensory chiasms are related
to the experiments' multisensory exploration and theme of intersubjectivity which are
then proposed as leading to the possibility of intercorporeality.

Chapter 6 forms the conclusion and seeks to identify new knowledge generated
in the thesis. Essentially articulating another vision of the performative body as
developed through its contact with technology, the findings, both practical and theoretical, bring to light a different understanding of the body rendered through a dissolution of psychophysical borders in the development of the performative model I called the 'collective body'. The thesis further proposes that the 'collective body' and its evolution as the 'collective sound body', open up the path to a new approach to interfaces and further, to what I propose as a theory of interfaced intercorporeality. This research aims to reintroduce the body and its specific intelligence in the understanding and building of relationships that can be renewed. The technology used in these experiments was considered as a physicality and the activator of a reconfiguration of sensory-perceptual processes that the thesis argues can lead to the final paradigm of 'interfaced intercorporeality' it proposes.

References to the documentation included on the DVD are found throughout the thesis but mainly in Chapter 5. Along with the theoretical research presented, the two artistic explorations created are documented on the DVD.
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INTRODUCTION

An overview of my training

My artistic training began in a non-professional setting at the age of four with classes in classical ballet in Montreal, Quebec, in the late 1960s. I then trained in modern dance and jazz until the end of my teenage years at which time I stopped dancing. During my adolescence, I read the works of many philosophers of whom Nietzsche, Sartre, de Beauvoir, Caillois, Serres and Thévoz were those who influenced me the most. It was also the time of my pre-university education at the C.E.G.E.P. (Collège d’enseignement général et professionnel) de Maisonneuve in Montreal, where I participated in an universal science training program that included advanced courses in biology, chemistry, physics and mathematics. This training lasted four years. It is due to this parallel training that my interdisciplinary approach emerged. Both my teachers in classical and modern dance, notably Nina Bédard at the school of Les Grands Ballets Canadiens, and my teachers in science, transmitted their passion to me. It was thus with experience as a dancer and a scholarly mind that I approached science.

Later, in early 1980, I returned to dance, taking a workshop with American postmodern choreographer Deborah Hay at Tangente, a venue in Montreal for the production, development and documentation of contemporary dance. It was a shock and a revelation for me because having trained in ballet, it was my first contact with ideas stemming from modern and post-modern dance. As I explain in Section 1.4. "An overview of the implementation of phenomenology in the field of dance", it was especially the principle of 'discovery' and the 'open (or free) appearance of method' referred to by Fraleigh (1987, p.xxxiii) that struck me. At last, I had encountered a dance form that placed emphasis on modes of discovery with corresponded more to my
artistic convictions and approach. It was at this time that I began training in dance in a professional manner. At the time, American choreographer Jo Lechay had opened a studio in downtown Montreal where she organised numerous workshops that she defined as alternative modes of training. For approximately three years, I took intensive workshops in contact improvisation, Bartenieff Fundamentals, the Alexander Technique, release techniques, floor barres, diverse approaches to yoga and meditation, hybrid methods focussing on breathing and Kundalini with choreographer Marie Chouinard, Butoh, Bharata Nayam, et caetera. This training became a mainstay for me.

Between 1985 and 1989, I undertook a full-time, four-year undergraduate degree program in the Fine Arts at Concordia University, Montreal, with a specialisation in choreography along with additional courses in anthropology. It was a very intense moment in my life. The program, founded and led by Professor Elizabeth Langley, was avant-garde for its time, with an interdisciplinary approach integrating theatre, the visual arts, technology, somatic techniques, contact dance, Vajrayana techniques based on Buddhist teachings developed in connection with dance at Naropa University in Colorado, United State¹, and international workshops in Balinese dance, Butoh, sessions with French, Belgian, German choreographers, et caetera. Elizabeth Langley was a passionate and dynamic woman who wanted to present new forms of creative process and emerging choreographic forms. She pushed us to dare, experiment, collaborate, question and draw inspiration from different sources when we needed it. She was a radical woman, a trailblazer in constant activity. In this sense, she had much in common with Roy Ascott, director of the Planetary Collegium and Ph.D. program to which I belong.

The pedagogical value of this highly interdisciplinary program was to open up different worldviews, which in turn, were to inform my approach to creative work. Just

¹ Viewed on 1st July 2014, online: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Naropa_University
as my dance training had fed my understanding of science during studies at the 
*C.E.G.E.P.*, this latter program nurtured my creative process and gave me, amongst 
other things, an understanding of the world at the microscopic level of molecules, 
atoms, *et caetera*, and an approach to time-space according to principles stemming from 
physics, chemistry and mathematics. With this dynamic, my university education was, a 
constant field of exploration.

**An ontological opposition**

With this dual and hybrid training, I did not experience an ontological 
opposition current at the time and in the particular environment I was working in, 
between the natural and the artificial, which corresponded to a struggle between the 
body and technology or between the field of performing arts and the field of science. 
With respect to this issue, Andrea Davidson², former dancer and co-founder of leading 
French research groups studying the relationship between dance and technology 
(*Anomos* and the *Médiadanse* laboratory affiliated with the *Université Paris 8*), 
currently teaching in the Dance Department of the University of Chichester and whom, 
along with Sarah Rubidge, co-organised the *Somatics and Technology Conference* at the 
University of Chichester in June 2012, notes, in an article entitled 'Somatics: An orchid 
in the land of technology'³ that I am one of the rare choreographers to have integrated 
technology from the outset of my choreographic practice. She also highlights the 
interdisciplinary and sensory aspect of my work:

> [o]ne of the rare choreographers to have worked exclusively with new technologies since the 
beginning of her career, [Choinière] remarks that in all her creations, the destabilisation 
produced by an external technological agency, on the contrary, presents a means of transforming 
and "reorganizing" the performer’s sensoriality and perception. Internal awareness becomes 
hybrid as the perception of what is mediated intertwines with the sensations of the organic body, 
provoking changes in sensoriality, greater awareness and a new mode of performative behavior. 
In this sense, Choinière views technology as a potential tool for self-transformation (Davidson 
2013, p.10).

² Dr. Davidson has been following my work since my first creation *Communion* 1994-1999. 
³ Article published in a special issue of *Journal of Dance and Somatic Practices - Somatics and Technology*, volume 
5, number 1, Intellect Press, United Kingdom, Dr. Davidson co-edited with Sarah Rubidge in 2013.
Art critic Andrée Martin, professor in the Dance Department of the Université du Québec à Montréal, a department that takes as its focus the somatic, also remarks the interdisciplinary and sensory aspect of my work. Writing in Le Devoir, she also refers to the historical and ontological break of which I speak:

[t]here is no real language or rhetoric to speak about Isabelle Choinière and her collaborators' work. Presented in the series "Le Corps électronique" at Tangente, this scenic poetry, worthy of the twenty-first century, puts us in touch with a world and imagery that are resolutely futuristic, a kind of fifth dimension where the body occupies the place of honour. Breaking the historical and ontological opposition between the human being and machine, this performance, at the very least multidisciplinary - a real fusion between dance, video, graphics and sound - offers a unique visual and sensory experience. Through a particularly successful use of technology, not as a mere complement to the dancing body, but as a direct extension thereof, the artists question current approaches to stage performance. Here, terms such as sensitive technology, the fluid body, "tactility", scarification, et caetera replace common terms such as narrative, dramaturgy, character, emotion, et caetera normally associated with works for the stage (Martin 1997, p. B9).

Over the course of the fourth year of my degree, I created two interdisciplinary works. One work was selected to represent Canada in the international festival Itérations which took place at the Fondation Danaé au Centre international de recherche et création transdisciplinaire in Pouilly, France in 1990. Living my first experience of an international festival, it opened up a world for me, because above all, these festivals are meeting places for encounters and enriching one's worldview, places where one can measure one's work in relation to global trends and places where one can experience audiences who communicate in different ways. As an artist-conceptor-dancer, it was a time of exploration and learning.

The interdisciplinary context of Montreal

Figure 1 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

Figure 1: Isabelle Choinière, Scanned body, 1994

4 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://www.erudit.org/culture/inter1068986/inter1109708/index.html
After the festival, a serious injury forced me to stop dancing for almost two years. But returning to dance in 1993, I was approached by a computer graphics artist who had access to motion capture studios. At the time, these systems were inaccessible for Quebec artists and only available to the corporate world or the film industry. I accepted to collaborate with artist Lucie Marchand and had my first experience of body scanning and motion capture. Our intention was to integrate these experiments in live performance. This experimentation led to the first version of Communion - the work-in-progress Le partage des Peaux - which was presented in September 1994 at the opening of Le Mois de la Performance at Galerie la Centrale, an artist-run center dedicated to the presentation of works by women. During this same period, Montreal was in a period of artistic effervescence. What is called the 'electronic arts' was in full swing. It was actually a term for an interdisciplinary approach that emphasized the integration of a form of technology (video, 3D, virtual and/or immersive environments, film, computer programming, interactive systems, installations, et caetera.). Artists opened their studios to other artists, the world of the performing arts collaborated with the media arts. A number of art centers, such as PRIM and Vidéographe, offered space and equipment to support this experimentation. It was also the height of raves or clandestine parties that integrated media immersive environments. Artists of the 'electronic arts' scene in Montreal organised these events and used them as a field of experimentation.

During this period, I reestablished contact with a former C.E.G.E.P student, Jimmy Lakatos, who had become videographer and was at the epicenter of this movement. We began a collaboration. I was, in some way, a reference for questions concerning the body. We worked together in a climate of openness, curiosity and creative experimentation where there was little hierarchy and instead, a sharing of expertise. Meanwhile, I had also met a philosopher who was part of this group, Bernard Schütze. I asked him to direct me in my readings in order to understand this field and
links I could make with it. It was in this process of cross-pollination and collaborative means that I had my first experience of integrating technology with the bodily techniques I had trained in. These periods profoundly influenced my artistic development and manner of working. They also shaped my experience as a student, practitioner, researcher and teacher in the sense that I would later train other dancers in the methods that I had first experimented on myself, and then with them.

The international interdisciplinary context

Along with the excitement we were experiencing locally, a similar dynamic was at work on the international scene. This spirit of openness was noted by American choreographer Yacov Sharir, one of the vanguards in the United States in the field of dance and technology, and who is also a member of the research group of the Planetary Collegium's doctoral program: 'the field of technology and art inherently and necessarily blurs the boundaries between disciplines and has also been the site of significant communal and collaborative work models' (2012, p.27). Philippe Baudelot, professor at the University of Nice and the Institut Supérieur des Techniques du Spectacle à Avignon- I.S.T.S., journalist and former director of the Monaco Danse Forum (2000-2006) in charge of digital dance and an important member of the international community of dance and technology, adopts a similar position to Sharir's. In an email communication on 27 May 2008, he explained what constitutes a European view of this interdisciplinary movement involving the performing arts and technology:

[first off, I would say that the digital performance is an age-old dream in the world of playwrights and choreographers, a dream to free the constraints of space, time and gravity and to enter fully into the imaginary and establish relationships with the audience that involve both an imagination made real and the theatrical ritual, and it is neigh impossible to understand what is going on today without taking this dream into account. That being said, the work of so-called digital artists, cannot be understood without taking the logos rupture, that we are currently experiencing, into account. A rupture that some link to the information society where the lived experience of individuation, time and space and the imagination cannot be placed in continuity with what I stated above in the first paragraph, but where (this is also one of the aspects of the hybridization of our world in the making) the historical articulations are (remain) by all accounts powerful.

27 Choinière
I don’t think that one can really speak of trailblazers, for the contents, forms and relationships to technology are extremely diverse and I find it very difficult to allow myself to distinguish what is representative and what is not.

It is very difficult with an art that I believe to be still in the making and which has not yet chosen a school, to objectively distinguish works that are representative. In fact, the wealth of this movement resides in its constant mobility, the artistic lags that are constantly at work, the artists’ uncertainties. We are living a moment of invention, so let us invent for the moment and refrain from choosing.

Somatic techniques: my body as ground of exploration and experimentation

The 1990s marked the beginning of my professional experimentation and personal research. They were also years of numerous research trips and travels to present my creative work around the world, especially in Europe and Latin America. Somatic practices had marked me because they allowed me to access and develop greater internal awareness of my body. Though somatic exercises, I gained new sensory references: for example, those related to deep muscles I had not felt before, an which can eventually make one move differently and further, allow for other types of sensations and use in performance when communicating with an audience (performative projection). This process was a true inner awakening: one that depends on developing sensory awareness.

Somatic practices had thus given me the tools and curiosity to find answers in my own body. It was through developing awareness of the inside of the body (my internal muscles, new sensations, et caetera) that I started to use this 'new space' (the one inside my body) to work and anchor my creative process. Knowledge of the internal body could now feed my artistic process. After five years of intensive training, mainly in Bartenieff Fundamentals and the Alexander technique, these practices gave me what I identify as a personal research methodology based on the development of sensation and perception. This methodology, mostly intuitive at the time, was to become the basis for research I would use with dancers. In research conducted for this thesis, I felt a need for

Quotation have approval to be used
a methodology that respected subjective experience but could possibly also create a dynamic for revealing broader cultural assumptions and practices. I needed a methodology that could operate on modes of resonance, empathy, in a collective and collaborative dynamic that were my own. Throughout the years, I have experimented with the foundations of this methodology. With this thesis, it became more structured and developed. These methodologies and their stages of development are analysed in Chapters 4 and 5.

With practice as an anchor for my research, this thesis proposes to question the relationship between dance and technology. As a result, it proposes to shift, in a crucial way, the discussion concerning the relationship of the body and technology, towards an analysis based on sensory perception and the evocation of complex relationships. These two themes come to light in the central focus of the thesis concerning the link between somatic practices and technology. As Andrea Davidson specifies, my current research stems 'from a dance practice firmly rooted in somatics' (2013, p. 11).

The question of duality

On the question of the body, dualistic thinking, as propagated by Western thought, arises in a context of relationships emerging between the somatic and technology. I share Davidson's conclusions on this point when she writes, '(...) for artists today, binary oppositions such as sôma/technê, body/machine, human/non-human, may now be outmoded or irrelevant' (Davidson 2013, p.3). Susan Kozel, dancer-choreographer and Professor of Dance at Malmo University, Sweden, offers an analysis in the context of new media which combines dance and philosophy that concurs with the above while also denouncing the evils of the infiltration of dualist thinking:

Next, in a broader discursive context that includes cultural practice and attitudes not confined to academia, it seems that there continues to be a sense that computers and virtual technologies of
all kinds engineer a duality between human and computer, material and immaterial, analogue and digital, organic and inorganic, and body and mind, with the body side of things coming out the worse for wear (Kozel 2007, p.xvii).

As a result, this thesis reflects on how dance can contribute to a broader understanding of technological evolution and to the aesthetic, cognitive and communicative paradigms emerging from this dynamic, considering the latter as being situated outside of dualistic thinking.

The subject of the thesis

This thesis proposes to reassess the relationship of the moving body with technology, and, more specifically, highlights recent perspectives in the performing arts that focus on new manifestations and dynamics of cross-pollination between the somatic and technology. As Andrea Davidson remarks: 'Constituting an area of enquiry that examines interconnections between perception, sensual experience, bodily knowledge and contemporary practices/processes/concepts integrating new media, such research has rarely been formally identified with the specialized field of somatics' (2013, p.3). This thesis thus proposes to reflect on the experience and the conception of the performative body in its relationship with technology.

Investigating this relationship, the thesis reveals a new paradigm, that of 'interfaced intercorporeality'. This paradigm has been developed with special attention to another relationship between the interface and the development of the notion of a bodily potentiality, or interval. In particular, it focuses on the concept of a 'collective body' based on this relationship and on the process of creative research conducted within the framework of the thesis, as well as on the methodology that supported it.
Issues raised

The thesis takes as its point of departure an identification of issues related to the moving body in relationship to technology, as well as of questions related to the performative body: those of phenomenology, the ontology of the body, empathy, and the performative attitude. Firstly, and as a basis for this reflection, the thesis outlines how each of these areas has been founded upon a Western analytic tradition that is based on fragmentation, dichotomy and duality. Several theorists have identified it within a scientific context (Koestler and Smythies [1969] 1971; Ho 1993), as well as within an aesthetic and philosophical context (Buci-Glucksmann 2001; Burnham [1968; 1969] 1973; Davidson 2013; Kozel 2007; Fraleigh 1987; Gromala 2007; Nobrega 2009). This analytical tradition, partially generates a series of problematics resulting from a reductionist dynamic, including materialism and the instrumentalisation that comes along with it. In my view, instrumentalisation is connected to, and stems from, a confusion and misunderstanding of the nature of technology and inherent relations between the moving body and technology. The thesis questions this legacy and rather proposes a new logic of interconnection, based on a type of integrative thinking in which the notion of the interval plays a major role.

Amongst other theorists, the notion of the interval was developed by Christine Buci-Glucksmann (2001) who relates it to Mâ - a conception of space developed by the Japanese - as well as to virtuality and potentiality. The relationship between the moving body and technology as developed in the context of the thesis is based on an interdisciplinary approach. Addressed through the lens of bodily practices, the research involved "reintroduces" the body and its specific intelligence in an understanding and construction of new relationships. Taking into account an international debate amongst critics and theorists surrounding the integration of technologies in live performance, the thesis also integrates fundamental aspects of the ontology of the body in the twentieth
century for its argumentation, demonstrating how an ontological shift of the body in contact with technology can lead to two emerging concepts: those of a 'physical collective body' and a 'sound body', or 'collective mediated body'. This ontological shift constitutes one of the major secondary hypotheses of the thesis.

**Hypotheses**

This thesis is based on the following emerging paradigms: a cognitive paradigm, an aesthetic paradigm and a communicative paradigm which can in turn be seen to lead to the need for a methodological process of an evolutionary type based on these paradigms (Burns 2009). This methodology is discussed in Chapters 4 and 5.

The scarcity of methodologies specific to practice as research as noted by several authors (Fraleigh 1987; Kozel 2007; Nelson 2013; Smith & Dean 2009; Barrat & Bolt 2010; Ascott [1966-67] 2003; Pavis 2005; Le Coguic 2009; Fortin 2009; Burns 2009; Gosselin 2009; Poissant 2009) points to a void in this area to be filled. For art practitioners, there is no other choice but to invest in an understanding and development of a methodology that reflects and specifically serves their practice.

A second hypothesis proposed in this thesis is the emergence of other types of performative behavior in the context of new contemporary performative stages. This last idea is anchored in the passage from an aesthetic oriented towards objects to behavioral processes that are grounded in cybernetic thinking. The transition between

---

5 The term cybernetics was popularised in 1948 by mathematician Norbert Wiener in his seminal work for the discipline, entitled *Cybernetics, or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. This field would later be referred to as 'the science of controlled analogies between organisms and machines' (Dupuy 1994, p.42). It is a science of self-regulating systems, which focuses not so much on components as on their interaction, and where, their overall behaviour is considered above all. It has its origins in the *Macy* conferences and is a movement that was widely interdisciplinary and had a major influence on fields as diverse as the cognitive sciences, information and communication sciences and the arts, to name but a few. The *Macy* conferences were organized by the *Macy Foundation* in New York between 1942 and 1953 and initiated by the neurologist Warren McCulloch, who brought together an interdisciplinary group of mathematicians, logicians, anthropologists, psychologists and economists who
these two aesthetics can be explained by what Christine Buci-Glucksmann calls 'the culture of flux' (Buci-Glucksmann 2001), a notion analysed in Chapters 1 et 2. This leads me to propose another paradigm, one of transition, that is exemplified in the collective physical and mediated bodies developed in my research and creative process. This approach is reflected in various complementary theories developed by Rolnik, Pitozzi and Buci-Glucksmann which address the invisible through their respective interpretation/conception of the virtual, the interval and Mâ. Enrico Pitozzi has referred to this concept as the physical potentiality of a latent intensity, or a dimension of the virtual that is already at work in the body itself (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a; Deleuze & Guattari 1980). These notions are referenced throughout the thesis.

This thesis argues that another type of mediated presence is activated by revaluing and reintegrating the body's specific intelligence and results in the activation of a new cognitive paradigm. This reintegration constitutes another one of my key hypotheses. To defend this position, Section 1.8 analyses how bodily sensation, through Merleau-Ponty's notion of sensory chiasms, can be a starting point and anchor for laying the foundations of discussions on the revaluation of bodily intelligence. I then present the theories of Howard Gardner concerning the diversity and complementarity of multiple intelligences, of which various forms of bodily intelligence are underscored: the kinaesthetic, intuition, empathy, intersubjectivity, and somatic intelligence including principles surrounding the body's self-organisation). Chapter 2 proposes how the dissolution of psychocorporal borders (Rolnik 2006, 2007) can contribute to this revaluation. The approach I experimented with for the project's aesthetic developments related to sound in real-time and the physical and mediated collective bodies participates in the activation of this cognitive paradigm and will be presented in Chapters 2 and 5.

had set a goal of edifying a general science of the mind's functioning. The term cybernetics comes from the Greek word κυβερνήσεις whose figurative meaning refers to the action of directing or governing.
Further, the intersubjectivity of communication which Rolnik terms as 'vibratory' (Rolnik 2006, n.d. c), activates a new expressive paradigm of communication. On a first level of expression, this relates to the form that emerges from the internal sensations of the performer and on a second level, it concerns these same sensations being externalised, communicated and shared with the spectator. Experimentation with this paradigm comprising two levels, resulted in the creation and testing of yet another aesthetic paradigm. The thesis thus proposes to consider how the development of this specific relationship of the body to technology can lead to the development and introduction, on the performative stage, of the concept and last paradigm of 'interfaced intercorporeality' when technology becomes the activator of a process of sensory-perceptual reconfiguration.

This dynamic is based on the development of the concept of a bodily potentiality — the interval — and introduces the notion of emergence, wherein the organisation of a new experiential form in the arts can eventually result. To illustrate this point, the thesis delivers a schematic description and analysis of the different stages of my work-in-progress that explores strategies of destabilisation I developed based on principles of somatics as well as on a consideration of technology as a physicality: a physicality that is understood and lived as a new environment, an exteroception through which a renewal of sensory and perceptual experience can occur by creating internal references. These references are not only created and embodied as a result of contact with devices that serve as forces of external mediation for/in a mediated experience, but also, they constitute adaptations which can be interesting for the choreographer as a creative potential for bodily expression and interaction with the surrounding environment. This awareness 'from the inside' – or of what is internal – was partly inspired by my readings of Merleau-Ponty and partly through my growing awareness of the body from within.
and a sixth sense: kinaesthesia. The principles underpinning these strategies are presented throughout the thesis but are analysed in depth in Chapter 5. Experimentation with destabilisation required a reorganisation of the mapping of sensory and perceptual relations. It will be seen how these various experiments led me to discover the emergence of other forms of performative behavior as well as of other performative presences emerging from the proposed methodology. But it goes further. The thesis proposes that they reshape the very nature of the relationship between audiences and a group of performers, to become a 'vibratory' intersubjectivity — an intercorporeality — of which the physical and mediated collective body, according to my research, may be one of its possible applications.

**Conclusion**

It is within this context that the research involved in this thesis concerning somatic exploration and technology, attempts to understand the complexity of an interdisciplinary and trans-cultural approach and corresponding methodology. For these reasons, the methodological model at the heart of my experimentation is that of Merleau-Ponty: a phenomenological existentialist approach that has also been adopted and developed by Sondra Horton Fraleigh and Susan Kozel amongst other researchers. This said, it is important to clarify that the intention here is not to make an analysis of phenomenology *per se*. It rather serves as a means of explaining the framework of my research in relation to lived experience, and especially, with respect to my approach involving new technologies. To paraphrase Fraleigh, Professor Emeritus at the State University of New York and Program Director in Graduate Studies in Dance at SUNY, Brockport, '[I] use the phenomenological method to describe the experience of dance as it is lived, necessarily through the body. [...] While it utilizes self-evidence, [phenomenology] seeks to describe what is basic to the phenomena being considered'
In the case of this thesis' research, the description of the experience of dance is linked to the effect of technology on the performing body. However, while trying to understand the issue of the lived body in relation to technology, and as Fraleigh notes, 'contrary to the spirit of phenomenology, I do employ previous aesthetic and philosophical theory where they apply' (Fraleigh 1987, p. xvi).

The research and creative works presented in this thesis all derive from experiments I conceived and participated in making; my analysis is based on direct experience. My performance projects, past and present, continue to explore the relationship between the somatic and technology. This relationship led me to attempt to articulate the notion of embodied cognition or 'bodily knowledge'. For this reason, the thesis re-examines the work of Merleau-Ponty. The return to the experiential also required revisiting definitions given by the Greeks regarding the aesthetic as a reference to sensation and the ability to perceive. I approach my body as the ground and basis for creating, as well as testing, the effect that technology has on it: the dancer's body is a first site of discovery. Experiments conducted sought to develop greater sensory and perceptual awareness in order to invest the relationship of somatics/technology in a dimension that could potentially constitute a transformation of self, of relationship to others and to the world. I am aware that this type of research reveals 'more questions than [it does] answers' as expressed by American dancer and choreographer Yacov Sharir (2012, p.33). Although Sharir's remarks were made in the late 1980s and early 1990s while he was working at the forefront of technological developments in dance, the situation today is similar, although issues and interests in the field of dance and technology are partially different and the technologies themselves have also advanced. Thus, these relationships and my experiments express concerns that are central to this
research: a questioning of the notion of interface and intercorporeality as well as of the paradigms these relationships generate.

**Organisation of the thesis**

This thesis incorporates knowledge from several disciplines, but principally from the field of dance and technology, that accounts for sensorial and perceptual phenomena related to the transformation of the body through technology and subjective experience. It begins with an introduction to phenomenology in which the concepts and positions of Merleau-Ponty are presented, including those of anti-dualism, the lived body, the ontology of the body, corporeality, intercorporeality and the flesh. Chapter 1 looks at the evolution of this philosophical movement throughout history and continues with a history of the body in phenomenology, an analysis of certain applications of phenomenology in the field of dance and subsequently, in the specific field of dance related to technology.

Chapter 2 comprises a literature review. It also presents the bases of reductionist thinking, the issue of a return to integrative thinking and notions of instrumentalisation, the double and the complexification of the self. It further examines the history of ideas surrounding the relationship between the body and technology, notions of the real-virtual-actual and a history and problematics of the interface, to conclude with a presentation of theories on the notions of potentiality, the interval and real-time.

Chapter 3 presents my artistic background, an historical context of the trends and principal ideas that have influenced my work and an examination of the field of dance and technology from the point of view of its history and contemporary developments.

Chapter 4 is dedicated to an analysis of the research methodologies employed in the practical research for this thesis and identifies related problems. An analysis of
problems encountered with existing methodologies points to a need to invest in other methodological modes for practical research of an interdisciplinary nature. The chapter continues with a presentation of the methodologies used in the field of dance related to technology. The principles underpinning the specific creative research methodology I experimented with are then presented. The thesis notably proposes an adaptation to the methodologies mentioned above in order to respond to the dynamics of collective research and research of an empathic nature that are specific to my approach and also in order to invest in the link between the somatic and technology proposed. This investment modestly attempts to respond to the lack of methodologies in the field of artistic practical research.

A discussion of the experimentation involved in the practical research is made in Chapter 5. This chapter refers back to some of Merleau-Ponty's main concepts embedded in the performative experience: intercorporeality, the lived body, the implementation of a dynamic of continual transformation, the principle of coexistence. In the same train of thought, he develops these ideas further with an investigation of the experience of sensation and perception; intersubjectivity which leads to intercorporeality; and his concept of sensory chiasms revealed through a structure of multisensory exploration. The thesis illustrates some of these ideas through analyses of two particular creative experiments in Chapter 5. The experimentation in question led to the development of a collective physical body composed by five dancers in constant contact and evolving through their relationships. This formation further led to the development of a collective sound body which is created by sound produced in real-time by the dancers and simultaneously spatialised. These two bodies maintain a constant interrelationship and are interdependent. Principles surrounding the body's self-organisation through sensation, deriving from somatic techniques, were amongst other creative strategies I experimented with. Schematically, the first experiment was based
on principles linked to the collective bodies, whereas the second experiment developed them.

Chapter 6 forms the conclusion and seeks to identify new knowledge generated in the thesis. References to the documentation included on the DVD are found throughout the thesis but mainly in Chapter 5. Note also that referenced texts presented are in their original translation, amongst others, those of Enrico Pitozzi.
1. CHAPTER I – PHENOMENOLOGY AND THE EXISTENTIAL CONTEXT

1.1 Introduction

The writings of Maurice Merleau-Ponty (1908-1961) were central to the development and research of this thesis. This chapter examines his interpretation of phenomenology and phenomenological method, while also serving to create the necessary theoretical anchors for the content of chapters to follow. The methodology adopted in the thesis mainly derives from principles of phenomenological existentialism as developed by Merleau-Ponty. This said, it does not take a strictly classical approach to existential phenomenology. Rather, it examines contemporary adaptations of Merleau-Ponty's thought as transformed by theorists including Susan Kozel (2007), Sondra Horton Fraleigh (1987), Isabelle Rieusset-Lemarié (2006) and Diane Gromala (2007). As Susan Kozel notes in her book *Closer*:

As a method, phenomenology involves a return to lived experience, a listening to the senses and insights that arrive obliquely, unbidden, in the midst of movement experiments or quite simply in the midst of life. Phenomenology, in short, allows me to respect these sensations and inner voices. […] Bodies are more than just meat; they are sources of intelligence, compassion, and extraordinary creativity (2007, p.xvi).

Kozel's methodology, as an example of a modified approach to phenomenology, involves subjective, first-person accounts of 'lived experience'. But first-person accounts are neither as personal nor as private, as one might assume. Rather, they are open and can be complemented and validated by what is intersubjective (Varela & Shear 1999, p.1). Further, third-person accounts, or so-called 'objective' positions, also form part of Kozel's analysis. My own methodology also adopts third-person accounts in the form of commentaries and critiques by theorists who were part of the research group called the Observatory. While remaining objective observers of my work, they were also
immersed as subjective observers in the media environment and positioned in extreme proximity to the performers: an experience which later allowed them to speak in the first-person because they had experienced first-hand the effect of sensory and perceptual destabilisation. They were thus both in the experience (they felt and experienced it) and also spectators, because they saw it happening.

In order to experience and test the production of theory and practical experimentation involved in the methodology presented within the framework of the thesis, these first and third-person accounts will be seen as evolving in an ecosystem of interaction and movement. Practice and theory were imbricated in the research process: experience and reflection revealed and influenced each other. This dynamic proposes a different academic approach for understanding the relationship of the performative body with technology. An analysis of how this methodology was approached and developed is included in Chapters 4 and 5.

This chapter focuses on elements and positions which, according to research for the thesis, can contribute to opening up the perceptual sphere of performers and spectators alike. Amongst other things, the two experiments created within the framework of the thesis experimented with and integrated some of Merleau-Ponty's more important areas of research. For example, echoing his theory of the invisible, one of my goals was to stimulate and develop awareness of certain aspects of performance that normally remain invisible, in order to bring them into the perceptual sphere of performers and spectators. One way of doing this was through the sense (or impression) of touch/ tactility produced through sound vibrations during the performance. Other elements resulted from investigating Merleau-Ponty's sensory chiasms. I also explored the complementarity of multiple forms of intelligence such as empathy, intuition, intersubjectivity and principles of the body's self-organisation.
1.2 An overview of the evolution of phenomenology

This thesis proposes to revisit the experiential by approaching aesthetics through the lenses of sensation and perception, and thereby question the relationship the perceiving body has to the world. In the experiments conducted, the body was the ground and basis for creative research. In particular, subject to tests with technology, with the latter being lived as a new environment, the body experienced the destabilising effect technology could have on it. These experiments sought to develop enhanced sensory and perceptual awareness in order to invest in a relationship between somatics and technology in a way that could potentially lead to a transformation of self, to others and with the world. A phenomenological approach was therefore adopted for these experiments.

Phenomenology is a philosophical movement that places individual existence, freedom and personal choice at the heart of its reflection. It constitutes a philosophical and existential approach to life, the world, the body and the senses that took root in European thought in the twentieth century. One of its major preoccupations is that of individual existence as determined by subjectivity. However, according to Kozel,

[from the 1980s to the 1990s it became unfashionable to study phenomenology, almost politically suspect in the era of textual deconstruction and psychoanalysis, the time of the fragmentation of the body, identity and subject. The state of being in or out of fashion reflects a serious zeitgeist (2007, p.4).

As of the 1990s, phenomenology began to show signs of aging:

[…] seeming to offer less rigor, insight, and inspiration than other philosophical lenses for examining the world. Still, the core of phenomenology survived: that it calls for a return […] to lived experience; that it takes as its starting point a position prior to, or beyond, the subject-object divide; that it shapes a reflective process that opens itself onto the richness of pre-reflective experience; […] that it provides scope for the many dimensions of what we are as human beings to contribute to the expansion of knowledge. […] Bodies, thought, imagination, memories, material conditions of life, and affect find a voice through phenomenology (Kozel 2007, p.5).

Adopting a philosophical approach is a way of understanding the world. We approach the world through our perceptions, we integrate our environment inside our
bodies. The revitalisation of phenomenology by certain dance artists such as Kozel (2007), East (2013), Lima (2013) or myself, or other artists who work with dancers such as Gromala (2007), contributes to a convergence of cultural, artistic and theoretical elements that can allow one to generate new embodiments of old or existing ideas.

1.3  A history of the body in phenomenology

The topic studied in this thesis concerns the body and specifically, an approach based on phenomenology. Without taking too much time to develop this point, but nevertheless to ground the analysis to follow, it is important to consider how a history of the body is inscribed in phenomenology. For Evelyne Buissière (2005), professor of Philosophy at the Academy of Grenoble, France, who reflects on the question of the body from an historical and philosophical perspective, phenomenology's project is to help us understand how the body moves through experience. For Michel Blay, editor of Dictionnaire des concepts philosophiques (2006), phenomenology aims 'to express reality through the relationships that let us approach it' (2006, p. 615).

In his book Histoire du corps 3. Les mutations du regard. Le XXe siècle (2006), Jean-Jacques Courtine6 identifies the material body from the point of view of cultural anthropology as: ' [...] the organic body of flesh and blood, the body as agent and instrument of social practices, the subjective body and finally, [...] the body as a material envelope of conscious forms and unconscious impulses'. According to Courtine, twentieth century theory re-invented the body in three major steps: firstly, through psychoanalysis, whereby Freud7 (1856-1939), Austrian physician, neurologist and founder of psychoanalysis, claimed that the unconscious speaks through the body.

---

6 Jean-Jacques Courtine is a professor of cultural anthropology at the University of Paris III- Sorbonne Nouvelle. He taught for fifteen years in the United States, including at the University of California, Santa Barbara.
7 Freud's main theories concern the unconscious, dreams and neurosis, repression, the Oedipal complex and castration anxiety.
Secondly, Husserl (1859-1938), Austrian philosopher, logician, mathematician and founder of phenomenology, introduced the idea of the body as an original "cradle" (Courtine 2006) and subject. This led, amongst other things, to the development of phenomenology and to Merleau-Ponty's\(^8\) (1908-1961) theory of the body as the 'the world's pivot' (1945, p.97). A third interpretation of the body derived from the field of anthropology under the influence of Marcel Mauss\(^9\) (1872-1950) whose research highlighted the importance and uniqueness of the body's techniques.

In the twentieth century, with Husserl, the phenomenology of the body gained a privileged place in the history of philosophy. Husserl's ideas considerably changed the image and importance of the body in Western philosophy as he broke with the positivist orientation of science and philosophy contesting scientific objectivity which mathematised the world\(^10\). Reacting to the corresponding lack of value for an understanding of the body, whose intelligence in part includes intuition\(^11\), he rather presented the body, for the first time, as a subject. Highlighting the idea that the world is given to us in its sensitive qualities such as colour, sound, noise, *et caetera* and believing that experience is the source of all knowledge, he introduced the idea of the body as flesh: the body as a primarily sensitive and feeling entity (Merleau-Ponty 1964b).

The path opened by Husserl was to be taken up in France by Merleau-Ponty. According to Buissière (2005), Merleau-Ponty (1945) sought to understand the meaning of what he called 'one’s own body' or 'the body itself'\(^12\) (*le corps propre*), thereby distinguishing 'my body' from 'other bodies' (*Körper*) as it is experienced in the flesh.

---

\(^8\) Merleau-Ponty's position on perception is central to his work. For him, perception is an active dimension as a primordial opening onto the lived world.

\(^9\) Marcel Mauss gives the example of British infantry who walked with a different gait than the French and who dug holes in different ways.

\(^10\) Husserl expresses this point of view in *La Crise des Sciences Européennes* (Buissières 2005, p.66).

\(^11\) An issue that will be developed at the end of this chapter.

\(^12\) These two translations of the term *le corps propre* have been employed alternately by different translators. In this thesis, the term 'the body itself' will be privileged.
(Leib) and linked to the idea of animated life (Leben\textsuperscript{13}). For Merleau-Ponty, 'my own body' is not an object in the world that one appropriates as one would a 'body-object'. It is not a body like others as it is 'my own body'. A 'body-object' that can be analysed and studied by science is 'the body I have' ('le corps que j’ai') while ‘one’s own body’ is both 'me and mine' ('moi et mien') and the body that 'I am' ('je suis') (Merleau-Ponty 1945). ‘One’s own body’ is my body in the sense that it implies the intimacy of the body I live and experience 'from within'. The notion of the body 'from within' is further developed in Section 2.5 and in Chapter 5. If I have a body, it is necessarily a body that is both object and subject. I am amalgamated with it. It is therefore difficult to establish a difference between the 'body-object' and 'one's own body' as the body is characterised by its uniqueness.

According to Buissière (2005), Merleau-Ponty (1964a) also adopts a non-dualistic position by extending it within a phenomenological framework. It is in this context that he develops the question of ‘one’s own body’ and intersubjectivity. By offering a phenomenology of ‘one’s own body’, that is to say revealing its role, Merleau-Ponty argues that the body is not a simple object but rather presents itself as an ambiguous reality as it manifests both as a sensitive (le sensible) and feeling body, a subject and object. Here, let us take a moment to introduce the problematic of dualism which is fundamental to understanding Merleau-Ponty's positioning.

**Dualism and the birth of the body**

Fragmentation and dichotomy present in Western thought developed, and can be seen in dualistic thinking that opposes object/subject (Brett 2004), object/process, form/behavior and body/mind. One consequence of this fragmentation is the

\textsuperscript{13} Buissière (2005) refers to the German word in order to highlight the origin of its meaning.
problematic relationship of the body and mind and their cleavage. According to Koestler ([1969] 1971), this theory dates back to Egyptian civilization and perhaps even earlier, but was clearly formulated and adopted in Western culture by René Descartes. For the Egyptians, human beings were considered as two parts, the body and spirit. The body was seen as an extended physical object in the material world and was in no way different in its essence to other objects in the world. The spirit, on the other hand, consisted of the ego, thoughts and emotions. This materialistic and dualistic vision of the body as an extension of a physical object in space, was later to influence a whole school of thought about relationships between the body and technology.\(^\text{14}\)

According to several authors coming from a context of Western science (Smythies & Koestler [1969] 1971); the Orient (Ho 1993); and somatics (Caraker 2001; Davidson 2013; Espenassier & Mayen 2001; Fraleigh 1987; Ginsburg 2006; Hackney 1998; Kuypers 2006; Kozel 2007; Loureiro & Challet-Haas 2008), this reductive trend of the last hundred years conflicts with and contradicts a more naturalistic counter-movement that moved towards principles of interconnection and coherency of which the best examples are living organic forms or principles of the body's self-organisation according to Bartenieff and Laban.

In his work *La naissance du corps* (2010), Jacques Derrida proposes an analysis of the genesis of the opposition body/soul as understood by the Neoplatonists. He presents his critique from a philosophical and historical point of view, explaining that, according to Plotin, 'man must be a reason other than the soul [...] because man is an act, not an essence' (Derrida 2010, p.70). Derrida considers 'reason' not in terms of *logos*, but in terms of production: the soul that produces man as a living body (Derrida 2010). Here, the soul is both detached and liberated from the body and moves towards the body in order to be able to choose and animate it: 'The soul is thus pure, isolated and

\(^{14}\)This notion is analysed more fully in Chapter 2.
abstracted from the bodily organism of which it is the principle of life [...]’ (Derrida 2010, p.9). On the other hand, the soul gives rise to bodily life and the body: ‘The soul is thus the movement from which the living body issues’ (Derrida 2010, p.10). It comes to live in the body in order to animate it, but retains its position of 'superiority' over the body, for it is the soul that goes towards the body and not the opposite. The hierarchy of the soul with respect to the body is deeply rooted in Western ways of thinking about and understanding the body, and thus explains, in part, misunderstandings of the relationship between the body and technology.

Another theorist who views the body and the world as objects is Galileo Galilei (1564-1642), Italian mathematician, surveyor, physicist and astronomer of the seventeenth century. The origins of objectivism can thus be situated in his work. Mathematics was born from the activity of surveying, that is to say, from an intuition about nature, a reference to the perception of a subject and related to a practice. In surveying, an object is not detached from the perceiving subject. There is no separation between the objective and the subjective. What one sees, for example, a field, has sensitive qualities and is not simply constituted by quantifiable aspects. It forms a single thing. Geometry was to go beyond surveys 'by developing abstract forms and by establishing operating rules separate from the real that allow for indefinite progress within a closed system' (Buissière 2005, p.257). Objects of the world were no longer 'available individually, incompletely and accidentally through experience but are understood through a rational, systematically unified method' (Krisis n.d., p.26, quoted by Buissière 2005). Applying geometry to nature, Galileo Galilei transformed nature as a mathematical multiplicity:

In mathematising nature, one obtains an objective world, characterised by exactitude. An objective world is created of what was the space and time of life. Everything that appears in the world must be able to translate mathematically. [...] Science thinks it is creating truth independently. Through mathematisation, science freed itself from philosophy: it no longer looked for an ultimate raison d'être of things via infinite questioning, but translated the world as mathematical relationships. It thus makes an abstraction of a conscience that thinks the world and develops knowledge of the world. [...] But as such, science misses out on the very world
whose mysteries it desires to reveal [...] pure mathematics, it can only relate to the body and the material world in simple abstraction (Buissière 2005, p. 257-258).

With this position, the sensitive and sentient body is lost. As Buissière suggests, Galileo Galilei’s vision of the body is rather mediated by a mathematical method, and considered as an object. As noted in Section 1.3 "The Pre-reflective", Husserl, on the contrary, raises the need to conceive a reference to the subjective. He proposes a pre-theoretical constitution for the definition and intellectual elaboration of meaning. Thus, it would not be until some three centuries later (1859-1938), with Husserl’s vision of the body’s sensitive qualities, that subjective experience would be revalued. Husserl’s perspective would be further developed by Merleau-Ponty's theorisation (1945) of the possibility of an intuitive and subjective experience stemming from an embodied perspective of the world.

Subjectivity

According to Merleau-Ponty (1945), subjectivity is an experience of the world that passes through the body and entails perception through one’s sensitivity. It thus presents a plastic and malleable dimension; one that is not fixed as with objectivity. Further, one perceives others' sensitivity and other human beings, other consciousnesses. By subjectivity, the body becomes an 'explorer'. It is through subjectivity that I am able to understand my relationship with others, with the world. It is a state that precedes a definition and intellectual elaboration of sense (meaning). It is thus our relationship and consciousness of the world that suffer from so-called objective thinking or objectivism. In addition, phenomenologists argue that consciousness is embodied, that the body is part of this consciousness, and that this is why we are in the world. It is through the body that one responds to knowledge. It is through perception that one participates in the experience of the world: 'Perception is not purely an intellectual act, it brings into
play an attitude of the body in the world' (Buissière 2005, p.260).

Perception is that through which we perceive the world and live a relationship with the world. It therefore has to do with the concept of 'the lived body' which I now introduce.

**The lived body**

Phenomenology strives to answer questions raised by the experience of the lived body as 'the body's experience of the nature of the body and the nature of my being in the world' (Buissière 2005). To have or to be a body is an experience we seek to grasp. My body is thus not an object like any other as science assumes:

My body is especially my being in the world: it is that through which perception is possible, that through which there is a world for me. It is from sensations that perceptions are elaborated. Sensations are sensitive inner states, they are references to external objects rendered through the coordination of the senses because all the senses belong to the same body. Perception is a synthesis that connects a variety of sensations to a single object. My body is truly that through which a world exists for me. Thus, it cannot be considered as an object in the world. It is somehow the transcendental condition of a world's presence for me. [...] But my body is always present with me, I cannot imagine its absence. It is with me and not in front of me as an object. When I touch it, I experience its sensitive properties, but the hand that touches is itself touched. Subject and object are continuously reversed in it (Buissière 2005, p. 253-254).

I grasp the world through my body: through sensations. I experience my relationship and understanding of the world through perception. These ideas are developed further in Section 1.4 which examines how the notion of the lived body has developed in the field of dance.

**Sensation**

According to Merleau-Ponty (1945), the body is discovered through sensation. Sensing is neither a material faculty or property, but rather a psychic fact through which deployment and propagation are essentially something other than extensions. That which is extended stems from the material thing, whereas feeling manifests as my body
According to Buissière, 'Every sensation is accompanied by kinesthesis (internal bodily movements) that make me feel my body as my own body and not as an external physical object' (2005, p.266). This is also why Merleau-Ponty's research is so strongly opposed to dualistic thinking as argued by Descartes. For Merleau-Ponty (1945), the body is not an object like other objects, and one does not find oneself 'facing' one's body: 'Merleau-Ponty rejects both intellectualism that makes of the body an object that represents consciousness and materialism that makes of the body a passive receptacle of mechanical laws' (Buissière 2005, pp.278-279). Merleau-Ponty's analysis of 'one's own body' shows that duality does not exist between the body and the soul because for him, humans are living totalities. They are therefore in the world. The body inhabits the world. It is at home in the world, it reflects it and transforms it:

> The body is my immanence in the world, that through which the world is immanent to me and objects are given to me in an interiority-exteriority, a kind of objective interiority that allows the riddle of knowledge to be resolved. It is through perception that immediate immanence is created. And perceiving from the inside my own body, I capture the world's presence (Buissière 2005).

**Perception**

For Merleau-Ponty, perception occurs through the body. The body is also the 'natural self' and equivalent of what Husserl called 'the soul' (Husserl 2011). According to Buissière (2005), philosophy, which had originally sought a principle of logic constituting an absolute, had to turn to 'the silent life of being's presence, towards the veritable "fundamental" that is not of a logical order, but of a sensitive one. Perception is that through which the world appears' (Buissière 2005, p.272). It is the main act/action of the body and that through which I grasp the world. In writing *The Phenomenology of Perception* (1945), Merleau-Ponty analysed the structures and meaning of perception in an attempt to situate our relationship with being. Meaning thus
exists and derives from the sensitive, a topic Merleau-Ponty was to elaborate with respect to his theory of three sensory chiasms analysed in Section 1.7.

**Merleau-Ponty's ontological questioning**

Merleau-Ponty invests in an ontological questioning (Merleau-Ponty 1964a) in which the body holds a central place, and where the body constitutes an opening to the world. If, for Merleau-Ponty, as well as for Husserl, the body is central, for Husserl, the soul is the nexis of the body and the world: the body itself is both psychic and material. As for Merleau-Ponty, 'he want(ed) to elaborate on immediate reflexivity in which the body itself is given to me as such and elaborated with its corporeality' (Buissière 2005, p. 272).

This shows that the question of an ontological shift of the body has its roots in the research of Merleau-Ponty. I return to this issue later when the dynamic of an ontological shift is considered with respect to the performative body affected by technology.

**Corporality and corporeality**

Corporeality is a key concept of this thesis. Following Husserl's idea of corporeality as revealed in the experience of touching and being touched, and as Buissière notes, 'Merleau-Ponty was to search for the corporeality of ‘one’s own body’ as the background of all experience and to consider the body and world as perfectly reciprocable' (Buissière 2005, p. 272). For Merleau-Ponty, the body is therefore not a thing, but a network of relations, open to the world and to others. The concept of ‘one’s own body’ was developed by Merleau-Ponty in order to oppose it with the body-object
that is opposite to consciousness (Merleau-Ponty 1945). ‘One’s own body’ consists of two layers: the first of which is the materiality that characterises all physical things, its corporality, and the second, is the sensitive body: 'My body has all the attributes of materiality but it is also that which I sense. I cannot sense an object without sensing myself at the same time. I cannot perceive the heat of an object without perceiving the heat of my hand as it touches it' (Buissière 2005, pp.265-266).

In the field of dance, two key concepts of corporality and corporeality were taken up and developed by Michel Bernard in De la création chorégraphique (2001). A philosopher, Michel Bernard is Professor Emeritus in the Aesthetics of Theatre and Choreography at Université Paris 8. Along with Hubert Godard, Bernard co-founded the Dance Department of the university in 1989. According to Bernard (2001), corporality is the quality of what is corporal: it relates to the physical body in its materiality. As for the concept of corporeality, Bernard (2001, p.21) explains it in the following way:

Thus, despite or beyond differences in approach, contemporary philosophers and aesthetic theorists agree to radically subvert the traditional category of 'body' and propose an original plural, dynamic and random vision as an unstable chiasmic game of intensive heterogeneous forces or vectors. A vision that is now appropriate to designate by a term with more plastic and spectral connotations: 'corporeality'.

For her part, Julie Perrin, Senior Lecturer in the Dance Department of Université Paris 8 with a doctorate in Aesthetics and Choreographic Studies, defines corporeality in the following terms:

It is the entire problematic of classical ontology concerning the body as 'being full' that needs to be reviewed. The body is no longer considered a closed and intimate reality, referencing an essence; neither can it be reduced to its biological reality. Corporeality can be thought of as an opening, as a crossroads of influences and relations; it is the reflection of our culture, our imagination, our practices and social and political organisation. The term 'corporeality' (corporéité), with a more plastic connotation, translates as a changing, mobile, unstable reality made of networks of intensities and forces. Referencing the thinking of artists (Cézanne,

More recent artists have also incorporated this concept in their research and creative work. In the field of dance and technology, examples include Carol Brown (Brown, Niemetz, Medlin, Scoones 2013) with her work Revolve (2011), Malaika Sarco-Thomas's Twig Dances (2010), as well as Susan Kozel's The Whisper[s] project (2007); or French choreographers Kitsous Dubois, who has worked with weightlessness since 1990 and Jean-Marc Matos with Escales Tactiles (2011). The concept of corporeality is central to the study of the carnal body, a concept that is introduced below and discussed in detail in Sections 1.3, 1.5 and 1.8.2, and later in Chapter 5.
The principle of reversibility

Merleau-Ponty's example of two hands touching demonstrates a form of reflexivity in which I experience the sensitivity of my body. As I touch an object, I also feel my body touching. I have a double experience which also demonstrates a principle of reversibility: firstly, because I discover an object with my body, and secondly, because I discover my body in discovering the object. With the example Merleau-Ponty (1945) gives of two hands touching each other, he lays the foundation for his concept of the fleshly or carnal body.

The Flesh

Merleau-Ponty advances the idea that the moment my hand is touched, it becomes flesh because it manifests sensitivity. At the same time, the touching hand is touched: 'There is an echo of the external object in my body, a doubling of what is sensitive and this is what shows (fait) that my body is not pure materiality. It is a carnal body that has the double aspect of material object and inner experience. My body is flesh' (Buissière 2005, pp.266-267). For Merleau-Ponty (1945), touch is essential, for touch is what allows us to understand the body directly: 'Only touch allows for the
double experience of my body as object and subject, as a thing and as something that feels. [...] The constitution of ‘one’s own body’ takes place on a tactile level' (Buissière, 2005, p.267). As such, Merleau-Ponty negates the opposition subject/object since his concept of flesh involves both a subject and object. It is thus sensation that introduces the concept of alterity. In the experience I have of the Other's body, I have a similar experience with my own body: 'In understanding that my body is a sensing thing, I put myself in the position of understanding that it is the same for the Other's body because in this experience, my body is open to the world. [...] It takes place through immediate apprehension, assimilation' (Buissière 2005, P.270). It is through my body that an opening to the world exists, that I understand my relationship with the Other's body and that there is in a fact a world. The world is thus the place where corporeality and alterity are bound together.

**Intercorporeality and the principle of coexistence**

According to Merleau-Ponty's research, the body is not a scientific object, but rather translates as a capacity for meaning and expression. He bases his thinking on the vital links that are woven between myself and others, the soul and the body, the body and the world, man and Being, in order to go beyond duality. For Merleau-Ponty, these links are forged in the 'flesh of the world' (Briginshaw 2003), whether they be in my relationship with things or in my relationships with others. There is a kind of circularity between my flesh and the flesh of the world, an embrace, a coexistence of the two. The world is not only mine, but it immediately confronts me with others. We find others in the world. As Merleau-Ponty explains, 'The flesh is the common matrix, the training ground of object and subject. [...] Flesh connects man to all other men and to the world' (1945, cited in Buissière 2005, p.287). This common world is the place where
relationships are interlaced with others. For Merleau-Ponty, a circularity exists between ‘one’s own body’ and the body of the Other that ensures these two bodies form a unicity. It is thus ‘one’s own body’ which is responsible for the field of my bodily existence being intertwined with that of the Other in a common world. The existence of the Other's physical being is not a problem for me, because as a physical being, and as soon as I am in the world, I am with the Other. The complementarity of my body and that of the Other reflects the fact that these two bodies form a circular entity together.

This idea leads Merleau-Ponty (1964a) to the question of ontology. Developing 'one's body itself' as a relationship in which I and the Other are always connected, we participate in the same source, the same ontological flesh, and it is here that the notion of intercorporeality comes into form in the sense of bodies communicating with other bodies. For Merleau-Ponty, being is being in a common world where relationships are developed and intertwine. Relationships with others make us aware of ‘one’s own body’ as they are implemented by an intercorporeality that is precisely created through this intertwining, this common flesh that is myself and the Other. In this sense, Merleau-Ponty's approach can be considered a philosophy of relations: between the body and soul, between the body and the Other, the body and the world. In its presence to the world, the body has a carnal relationship of complicity with the world, 'a kind of dialogue with the body' (Merleau-Ponty 1945). In my opinion, Merleau-Ponty's research brings an understanding of the Other through intercorporeality rather than intersubjectivity, as for Merleau-Ponty (1945), it is through my body that I understand the Other, or what he calls the pre-reflective, and this state precedes a definition and intellectual elaboration of meaning. It is thus a phenomenology of ‘one’s own body’ and intercorporeality that Merleau-Ponty proposes.
The Pre-reflective

Following Husserl, Merleau-Ponty stresses the need to conceive of a 'pre-theoretical constitution' (Buissière 2005). This idea is based on my body's presence to the world. The body experiences the world and 'my body also gets its power, its "I can" because it has a place from which it views the world. It is a thing in which I reside' (Buissière 2005, p.268). It is in his example of the hand that touches and is touched that Merleau-Ponty锚s this notion because in touching, my body is also touched. It experiences the world through sensation and consciousness. As Buissière notes, through this experience, 'my body operates a kind of reflection. [...] The perceived thing is grasped in person, in the flesh' (Buissière 2005 p.268-269). It is therefore not an understanding and relationship to the world that passes through language, but rather one that occurs through the body:

All knowledge, all objective thought lives through this initial moment of sensation, that I have with a colour, or whatever sensitivity involved, a singular existence that catches my eye and promises a series of undefined experiences, a concretion of possibilities that are already real in the hidden aspects of the thing (Buissière 2005, p.269).

According to Kozel, the notion of the pre-reflective is central to an understanding of the relationships established in the experience of bodily involvement in technological environments:

Setting aside the either/or of rationality or irrationality, Merleau-Ponty posits a chiasmic dynamic to thought and uses a spatial and gestural understanding of embodied perception circling across itself and its objects so that separating them makes no sense. [...] This notion of a pre-reflective experience located both outside and inside oneself, like a topographical weave, is a crucial starting point for any phenomenological process: this starting point involves a willingness to receive something that may seen adverse to existing conceptual structures and an acceptance that we do not quite know where it is or what it will look like. [...] The complexity of the construct of the the pre-reflective is further enhanced when it is questioned from the perspective of the body, or as Rajchman (2001) says, the "being of sensation" (2007, p.20-21).

As a consequence, Kozel remarks that, 'The pre-reflective does not negate reflection but indicates a dynamic ontological state of entwinement or entanglement between the two. It is not a steady state; it appears and vanishes, in a constant sliding exchange with
reflection' (Kozel 2007, p.19). In conclusion, she notes that the role of the pre-reflective
is that, 'it can open a way for understanding the deep entanglement between reflection
and experience, between thinking and making, which is so important to many arts and
sciences' (Kozel 2007, p.23).

1.4 An overview of the application of phenomenology in the field of dance

According to Sondra Horton Fraleigh, who makes her analysis from the point of
view of a dancer-choreographer working in somatic practices and Butoh20:

[…] dance is in essence an embodied art, the body is the lived (experiential) ground of the dance
aesthetic. Both dancer and audience experience dance through its lived attributes – its
kinaesthetic and existential character. Dance is the art that intentionally isolates and reveals the

For Fraleigh, dance is the ultimate existential art. She defends this position
through a central concept, namely, that of 'the lived body', which she relates to
existential phenomenology (Fraleigh 1987). Curiously, dance has rarely been examined
in connection to the question of phenomenology. Fraleigh therefore began to address the
issue and notes:

[…] existential phenomenologists place great emphasis on the problem of the human body in
philosophy and […] the holistic, or nondualistic, concept of the lived body […] developed out of
these concerns. But even phenomenologists writing on the problem of embodiment did not deal
with dance, although it seems a natural connection to make (1987, p. xxx).

It would not be until 1968 and the release of Maxime Sheets-Johnstone's The
Phenomenology of Dance that a general discussion on the subject was initiated.
However, it was also not until 1981 that Sheets-Johnstone began specifically focusing

20 In her book Dance and the Lived Body.
on the issue of the body in dance - and more particularly, on embodiment as related to phenomenology.

This said, it is interesting to note that dance, with its foundations in the body, Nietzsche can be said to have inspired the beginnings of modern dance. Through his Dionysian passion 'about being body, doing, risking, and creating [...] Nietzsche expresses the wholistic embodied truth of dance directly' (Fraleigh 1987, p.xxx). As Fraleigh further notes,

His ideas influenced early modern dance through Isadora Duncan, who was inspired by this writings, and postmodern dance through Kenneth King, who based a word on Nietzsche's philosophy. [...] While Nietzsche's work, which provides the early foundation of existentialism, is thus also directly linked with the origins of modern dance, it cannot be claimed that Sartre, [...] was so directly influential. [...] Modern dance has grown and changed through an age colored with not one but several Existentialisms. (Fraleigh 1987, p.xxxi).

Fraleigh (1987) also notes a dynamic convergence in the twentieth century between modern dance (she also includes American postmodern dance in this category) and existentialist literature, which was to create the existential context of modern dance. Phenomenological existentialism draws attention to the importance of 'things in themselves'; that is to say, to an understanding of phenomena and their manifestations at a most fundamental level. Fraleigh also signals another existential principle: that of existence preceding essence. This principle highlights the idea that as human beings, we are without a pre-determined essence or, as Sartre once said, we are condemned to be free (Fraleigh 1987). Modern dance was thus to link itself in a very intimate way with the principle of 'discovery', and also to what Fraleigh notes as 'this open (or free) aspect of method' (Fraleigh 1987 p.xxxiii):

All forms of modern dance emphasize discovery modalities – finding (or creating) value in dance through a questioning attitude and way of working, rather than assuming without question the already established models. Originality and inventiveness are at the heart of every period of modern dance; hence the invention of ever new ways of moving, of valuing dance, and of accounting for change. [...] For the modern dancer, the creative act involves origination of movement – a root invention of movement [...] (Fraleigh 1987, p.xxxiii).
It was moreover this principle which strongly influenced me in my early career encounter with American postmodern choreographer Deborah Hay. Freedom and individuality mark the most existentialist components, or context, of modern dance. Fraleigh establishes an interesting parallel between this philosophical tradition and the "dance for dance's sake" approach or pure dance aesthetic seen in the works of Merce Cunningham, Georges Balanchine, Viola Barber and others, which 'emphasize(s) the human body-of-motion as the inmost phenomenal essence of dance' (Fraleigh 1987, p. xxi). According to Fraleigh, it was nevertheless German dancer-choreographer Mary Wigman (1886-1973) who had placed the existentialist question Who am I? at the heart of dance. Further, the German school, of which Wigman was one of the main exponents, placed emphasis on the notion of 'becoming':

[t]hroughout the German school, dance was a means toward self-knowledge – not a disclosure of personality but a construction of it, not self-expression as self-indulgence but a creation of self in expressive action that moves one beyond the confines of self. I began to grasp the idea of "becoming" through dancing, which was later reinforced by my study of existential humanism and its view that human beings create who they are through their actions and choices (Fraleigh 1987, p.xxii).

Later, under the influence of French philosopher Jean-Paul Sartre and his essay *L’existentialisme est un humanisme* (1970), the existential principle highlighted individuality. The idea of 'becoming' was supported by the concept of 'nothingness' as existentialism's point of departure. Sartre's concept seeks to erase the world; he seeks a point where things can start again. According to Fraleigh, existentialism also marked 'a beginning point for modern art and dance' (1987, p.xxix). In *The Courage to Be* (1952), Paul Tillich sheds light on this point: 'The existential attitude is one of involvement in contrast to a merely theoretical or detached attitude. "Existential" in this case can be defined as participating in a situation… with the whole of one’s existence' (Tillich 1952, cited in Fraleigh 1987). In *Art and Existentialism*, Arturo Fallico notes that 'existentialism was born out of man’s "ontological insecurity", a "disturbance", a deep
"self-consciousness" (1962, cited in Fraleigh 1987). For Fraleigh, the philosophical positioning of existentialism in dance is a philosophy of becoming (Fraleigh 1987).

1.4.1 The lived body in dance

According to Fraleigh, the concept of the lived body has its roots in the writings of Nietzsche, Husserl and Henri Bergson. It evolved from the encounter of existentialism with phenomenology (Sartre, Husserl, Merleau-Ponty) 'through their concerns for explaining "bodily being" and their attendant attempts to elucidate "perception" (Fraleigh 1987). The concept was also born of a desire to break with dualistic thinking:

Dualism, which connotes the classic body-soul separation in Western philosophy (principally known as Cartesian dualism), views the body in a negative, mechanistic way and regards the soul as superior. On the other hand, the lived body as a concept is a positive view, because the philosophers who developed the concept view the body as meaningful (Merleau-Ponty, […] and to some extent, Sartre) and innately purposeful (Ricoeur, Hans Jonas and others). For this reason, and because it was formulated in the joining of phenomenology and existentialism, I associate the lived-body concept with what Colin Wilson identifies as the new (and positive) existentialism (Fraleigh 1987, p.4).

The concept of the lived body provides a foundation for countering the influence of dualistic thinking that has permeated the world of dance, particularly in the form of an instrumentalisation of the body21 or the idea that the mind, or soul, is what drives and essentially motivates dance. An example is classical ballet which developed under the influence of doctrines such as Plato's theories which 'posit(s) permanent forms (ideas) beyond, and superior to, sense knowledge' (Fraleigh 1987, p.10).

Theories of 'the lived-body' lead away from dualistic concepts that have infiltrated the field of dance:

Lived-body concepts hold that the body is lived as a body-of-action. Human movement is the actualization, the realization of embodiment. Movement cannot be considered as medium apart from understanding that movement IS body, not just something that the body accomplishes

---

21 A concept that is developed in Section 2.3.
instrumentally as it is moved by some distinct, inner, and separable agency. Embodiment is not passive; it is articulate. In other words, I live my body as a body-of-motion, just as I also live my self in motion (Fraleigh 1987, p.13, original emphasis).

It is interesting to note how Sondra Horton Fraleigh anchors her concept of the lived body and embodiment in the material physical body. In experiments involving embodiment in a technological environment conducted for this thesis, a development of this theory and an ontological shift occurred that led to an understanding of the body from the perspective of corporeality. In technological environments, a changing, dilated reality, made up of networks of intensities and forces is experienced in which the body becomes more complex through the activation of its corporeal and mediated potentialities.

1.5 A phenomenological view of dance and technology

1.5.1 The performative attitude as a principle of risk-taking

To initiate a reflection on the existential context of dance in relation to technology, it is also necessary to introduce the concept of a performative attitude, which is one of the main dynamics explored in the context of my research, as well as for other artists working in the field.

A first distinction can be made between the notions of performance and performative attitude. Within the space of this thesis, it is not possible to develop the term 'performance' from an historical, sociological or other point of view. As employed in this thesis, it rather designates the action of the artist in the context of the performing arts. The notion of a performative attitude seems more appropriate for evoking the personal risk factors involved in the elaboration of strategies for my practical research.
'A fundamental element of [artistic proposals called] 'performance art' is the willingness of artists to break with traditional conventions of art and to examine the relation with aesthetic codes' (Roux 2007, p.22). In her book *Danse(s) performatif(s)* (2007), art historian Céline Roux proposes to think of performance art not so much as a genre defined in a formal way, but rather as an attitude (Roux 2007). This is because it constantly renews itself and is circumscribed within a framework that it seeks to overcome. According to Roux, the aesthetic origins of performance art in particular for example, in the physicality of *action painting* or Viennese Actionism, describe an existential attitude: '[…] a position of the artist whom, at certain moment in his life, submerges his/her artistic practice to reach his/her own existence' (2007, p.16). Performance art as an engaged artistic attitude, is 'not limited to a genre, but can be in dialogue with the whole psychic field' (Roux 2007, p.16), including here, dance and the digital arts. Regardless of the socio-political context concerned or the place or period of his/her action, the artist-performer adopts an 'outsider's' ('décadrée') attitude of the person who questions and critiques the reality in which he/she evolves (Roux 2007, p.17). With this stance, (philosophical, aesthetic or otherwise), the performer is no longer simply in the action of representation, but rather engages fully in an act of risk involving his/her corporeality. It is his/her own sensations, and not those of a character, which are called into play. Beyond a question of character or a particular performer's persona, the performative attitude stages the individual who exhibits, organises and executes actions affecting his/her own body (Roux 2007, p.17).

The performative attitude is thus a performative principle that can lead to a state of transformation, a position defended in this thesis.
Towards an approach of transformation versus representation

According to Roux, the performative attitude would seem to be the opposite of representation (Roux 2007, p.30). This perception is consistent with the position adopted by Enrico Pitozzi (2009b), professor at the University of Bologna who studies new contemporary performative stages. Understanding live performance as presenting a dual world of stage and environment, he describes it as being an inter-subjective field of consciousnesses that reveals the experience of the performer as lived in interaction with others. For Hans-Thies Lehmann, renowned German theatre scholar working at the University of Kent and author of Postdramatic Theater (2006), the action of the performer, here called his/her 'performance', is not so much a representation and/or transformation of a reality outside him/herself, but rather constitutes an effort of self-transformation (2006, p.137). Artists influenced by postdramatic theatre such as the Wooster Group (New York), Japanese dancer-choreographer Saburo Teshigawara or Belgian choreographer Jan Fabre, all adopt these strategies.

Figure 6 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.  Figure 7 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions

Figure 6: Wooster Group, Hamlet, 2007  Figure 7: Jan Fabre, Inferno, 2013

For these artists, the performative attitude constitutes a potential place for reappropriating corporeality. The performer becomes an agent of the lived experience of

---

22 Enrico Pitozzi's theories are presented in greater detail in Section 2.8.
23 These theories are presented in greater detail in Section 2.8 and in Chapter 5.
24 The concept of self-transformation does not focus on the drama per se, but rather evolves as a performative aesthetic in which the text of the drama is put in a special relation with the material situation of the performance and the stage. Thus, postdramatic theatre strives to produce an effect for spectators rather than remaining true to the text. Viewed 4 October 2014, online: http://samples.sainsburysebooks.co.uk/9781134496839_sample_498751.pdf
26 See Section 3.2.1 on my influences.
27 Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://inferno-magazine.com/tag/jan-fabre/
performance and the potential of his/her own transformation. The work of artists adopting the performative attitude as suggested by Roux 'is no longer expressed as a spectacle offered, but proposes a situation of the body, a combinatorial proposition of possibilities' (Roux 2007, p.97). The performer 'exposes him/herself not [only] with a will to be contemplated, but in a need for the openness and understanding of the world in which he/she belongs' (Roux 2007, p.119).

Throughout the twentieth century, the field of dance began to accept a wider experimentation of corporal possibilities. According to Isabelle Rieusset-Lemarié, Maître de Conférences in Information and Communication studies at the Institut Universitaire de Formation des Maîtres, Versailles, who approaches the question from the perspective of aesthetic theory and cultural mediation, 'this 'meeting place' – that of dance and the digital arts – crosses the 'spectre of contamination agitated by the fears of each art form of losing its identity' (2006, p.87). Thus, dance and digital arts are now exploring other aesthetics based in part on the perception of the invisible: 'In dance, the body is not only solid, material, immediate. It also has holes, is split, is traversed by tensions, mediations, interactions. Through choreography, it is also able to make [...] the immaterial perceptible (2006, p.89). According to dancer Saburo Teshigawara, it makes one's own limits permeable to those of others (Vincenot 2000). Mary Wigman speaks of her 'invisible partner' when referring to the sensations and memory of multiple presences that are related in her performative act (Vincenot 2000). The intersection of dance and new technologies allows for further exploration of potential bodily schemas.

With this hybridisation, coupled with a redefinition of aesthetic codes of movement, the performative attitude of dance artists working with technology, 'approaches a status as a tester, a researcher, in the laboratory of bodily experience' (Roux 2007, p.121). The performing corporeality of dance involving technology is no longer intended as virtuoso or mystical, but is rather 'matter to be rediscovered in a
conscious thinking about being’ (Roux 2007, p.192). The active process and effects of
the performer's interaction with digital technologies does not act between bodies, but
rather with bodies, on the body, to the body. Through its transductive capacity, the
medium of the flesh is more than a material support. Digital technologies introduced by
artists in the performative space of potential self-transformation in their work with
technology, allow for a redefinition of the nature of the body, its contours and limits
(Michel, Ginot & Godard 1995; Godard 1995; Bernard 2001).

As examples one can cite the works of French choreographer Jean-Marc Matos
or of Portuguese choreographer Rui Horta who were present at the Danse au futur
festival held at Aix-en-Provence in 2002. Both choreographers incorporate images in
their work with an idea of increasing the material perception of dancers' bodies, for
example, the grain of their skin, by creating a kind of 'close-up' that complements more
traditional forms of discourse surrounding the body's presence and corporeality. These
types of findings are also reflected in more recent research by theorists and
choreographers investing in somatics and technology in an attempt to redefine the
nature of the body and its limits. These include Cecilia de Lima, dancer, choreographer
and researcher affiliated with the Instituto de Etnomusicologia - Centro de Estudos em
Música e Dança in Lisbon; Malaika Sarco-Thomas, artist and lecturer at the University
of Falmouth; or Ali East, artist and professor at Otago University, New Zealand. For
example, De Lima explores an intensification of proprioceptive awareness, which for
her, is fundamental to an embodied way of relating to and making sense of the world
(2013, p.18). She works with embodied knowledge in her explorations with technology
to open an alternative, experimental understanding of reality. As she notes, her approach
develops awareness through a process of transformation of a somatic nature. Her place
of experimentation is her own body and she puts herself at risk to experiment with a
different way of thinking linked to the power of transforming the moving body. It is an approach that aims to develop and use a practice of proprioceptive awareness.

In conclusion, the findings of Michel Bernard, as well as those of Marcelle Michel and Isabelle Ginot, authors of *La Danse au XXe siècle* (1995), can be cited as concording with the position defended in this thesis regarding a necessary ontological shift of the body in the context of the performing arts integrating technology. The development of a new performative attitude should also be seen as promoting a principle of risk-taking. This principle is at the heart of this thesis' argument as well as underpinning my strategies for practice as research. Lastly, it can be seen to be linked to definitions of self-organisation given by Laban (2007) and Bernard (2001) that are presented at the end of this chapter in Section 1.8.3.

### 1.6 Other contemporary approaches to phenomenology

Existentialist phenomenology influenced many disciplines in the humanities. The influence of Merleau-Ponty's theories is capital, particularly, his approach and understanding of the body. In the framework of this thesis, it is not possible to discuss the influence of his theories on each discipline. Rather, the following section is oriented towards an interpretation of his work that can lead to other ways of understanding and experiencing the body.

In his book *The Expressiveness of the Body and the Divergence of Greek and Chinese Medicine* (1999), Shigehisa Kuriyama makes an analysis from the perspective of the humanities which highlights aspects of the body in diverse medical traditions. According to Kuriyama, a European conception of the body had originally derived from dualistic and reductive views of the Hellenists and was notably influenced by autopsies.

---

28 *The Mastery of Movement on Stage* was written in 1950 by Rudolf von Laban and published by MacDonald and Evans, London. The French translation which I refer to was published in 2007 by Actes Sud with a translation by Jacqueline Challet-Haas and Marion Bastien.
conducted on bodies. Doctors understand the body as an inert and mechanistic entity. This perception of the body\textsuperscript{29} connected to immobility merits analysis. Up until recently, the typical way of studying the living was to kill and/or isolate living organisms or separate them in parts. It meant that nothing remained of the total organism to be studied, and concepts such as coherency or principles of self-organisation, for example, were difficult to conceive of for biologists and neuroscientists (Ho 1993; Ginsburg 2006). The problem of materialism and reductionism, which will be developed in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, underpins these methods. It was also one of the causes of delay in studying the body from the point of view of integrating principles of interconnection, of which somatic practices are examples. On this topic, this thesis shares Gromala's point of view in her thesis \textit{Towards a Phenomenological Theory of the Visceral in the Interactive Arts} (2007), which provides an artist's perspective of interactive art that focuses on visceral dimensions of the body/mind. Gromala's conclusions point to the fact that, "[t]hese distinct world views are not simply ideas, but are enacted on the level of the everyday and influence how one experiences one’s body" (2007, p.39).

Around the same time as the development of Greek medicine, the Chinese developed the notion of "chi", which can be defined as an active energy force that pervades the body and the world. According to Kuriyama:

\[\text{[w]e can read Confucius’s warnings anachronistically as a sort of crude psychophysiology, as primitive insights into the terrifying influence of hormones – if we bear in mind that blood and Q}i\text{ were know otherwise than by chemical analysis, that the heart of their reality lay in personal experience. [...] It was the intimate everyday familiarity of such sensations that made the traditional discourse of vital flux so compelling. The deepest certainties about Q}i\text{ were rooted in knowledge that people had of the body because they were, themselves, bodies (Kuriyama 1999, p.103).}\]

The notion of flow Kuriyama introduces is also important. It supports a theory developed by Christine Buci-Glucksmann on a contemporary culture of flux\textsuperscript{30} which underscores the aesthetic paradigm defended in this thesis. Glucksmann's theory of flux

\textsuperscript{29} Introduced in 1.3 in the section on dualism and the birth of the body.

\textsuperscript{30} Presented in Section 2.8.1.
highlights fluidity or flow as challenging old boundaries between the body and mind. Through her interpretation of a contemporary culture of flux, particularly with respect to the effect of technology in society today, I establish an important link with the concept of corporeality that is central to this thesis.

In the field of dance and technology, alternative approaches to the body have emerged. Susan Kozel, who began working with technology in the early 1990s, was confronted with the same preconceived ideas about the body as those I had encountered in my early career around the same time. Here is her account of the conceptions of body that were circulating at the time:

I plunged also into a set of pretty rigidly defined notions around the body and what computers could offer to society, notions that had value judgements embedded in them: that it was desirable to leave the meat behind (Gibson 1984); that an uploading of consciousness and the amazing worlds through which one could travel in virtual space awaited us with a few more years of technological advancement (Moran 1988); and, of course, that the body was obsolete (Stelarc 1984, 1995). The assertion that the body was "seduced and abandoned" was the ironic, but all-too-literal vision of the future at that time (Kozel 2007, p.64).

According to Emmanuel Alloa, a postdoctoral researcher at the National Centre of Competence in Research - Eikones – Iconic Criticism, University of Basel, post-phenomenological thought emerging in the early 1960s, has a 'topos of "eclipse", "erasure" and lastly, "death of mankind" ' (2010, p.80). Another definition of post-phenomenology is proposed by Sarco-Thomas (2013) who refers to Don Ihde's definition of post-phenomenology as a contemporary approach to phenomenology which 'recognizes and questions the technological mechanisms that influence and condition our experience of the world' (Ihde 1993, cited in Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.82).

Posthuman theorists, such as philosopher Katherine Hayles in her book How We Became Posthuman (1999), regard bodies as accessories or external objects and predict a future populated with increasingly brainy machines. Hayles argues that posthumanism does away with notion of a 'natural' self and that human intelligence can be conceptualised as being co-produced with intelligent machines. According to Hayles,
the posthuman view privileges information over materiality. It considers consciousness as an epiphenomenon and imagines the body as a prosthesis for the mind.

Donna Haraway is another posthuman theorist whom, in her book *A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late Twentieth Century*[^31] (2000), adopts the metaphor of the cyborg to deconstruct the binary relationship between nature and culture. Through this metaphor, she demonstrates that things that seem natural, like the human body, are not in fact. Rather, they are built on our ideas about them. Haraway's theories are developed from a feminist perspective. This position is useful to fight against a dominant discourse which, to some extent, reduces women as bodies. For Haraway, we are all cyborgs, 'making affinities through our inhabitation of objects and technology beyond our physical self' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.87).

It is interesting to note that, in 1995, while presenting my first work *Communion* at *ISEA 95 – International Symposium on Electronic Arts*, Montreal, whose theme was

that of "Emerging Senses"\(^{32}\), French critic Annick Bureaud, in *Leonardo Digital Reviews*, compared my work to that of Stelarc, noting our different philosophical and conceptual orientations:

[…] this piece [*Osmose* by Char Davies] achieves the fusion of a technology, a content and an aesthetic proposition. It by-passes and enhances the technology, it is a work of our time about our world, human and technology together.

These remarks can also apply to "Communion" by Isabelle Choinière. "Communion" is a multimedia ballet, where the body of the dancer triggers the images and the sounds to some extent. With a very minimal aesthetics (no big machinery seen on stage), Isabelle Choinière deals with the actual and the virtual body in an electronic life ritual. Choinière raises in a 30-minute performance the issues of the limits of the skin, of the self, of the internal and external. If Stelarc connects the body to the machine – organic and silicon parts trapped into cables, putting the internal body to the outside – Choinière frees the cyborg and proposes an expanded and extended body, hollow and dense, a feminine principle to the new world (Bureaud 1995, p.80).

Postphenomenology marked the collective imagination of a generation of artists in the world of dance and technology such as Klaus Obermaier\(^{33}\), Palindrome\(^{34}\), Yacov Sharir\(^{35}\), Jaime del Val\(^{36}\) and Johannes Birringer\(^{37}\). It must be emphasised that a postphenomenological view is not one defended in this thesis. As a dance practitioner, I rather felt the need to revisit Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology and, amongst other things, his concept of sensory chiasms. This research corresponded to a need to re-anchor a personal reflection on embodiment in an approach that could be related to my physical experience and understanding of technology. It was thus necessary to return to

---

\(^{32}\) Which was at that time one of the world's largest manifestations electronic art.

\(^{33}\) Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://www.exile.at/ko/

\(^{34}\) Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://www.palindrome.de/

\(^{35}\) Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://www.nouspace.net/dene/automatic_body.html

\(^{36}\) Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://elpredicador.org/ http://www.reverso.org/microsex.htm

\(^{37}\) Viewed 17 November 2014, online: http://people.brunel.ac.uk/dap/
the theories of Merleau-Ponty as a source, and, above all, to revisit his ideas before considering the various interpretations that have been made of his thinking, including those of Michel Bernard who is a reference in the matter in the field of dance. In this thesis, the sensory chiasms are related to the concept of bodily intelligence. The next section is dedicated to this topic.

1.7 Merleau-Ponty's three sensory chiasms

It is impossible to consider the theories of Merleau-Ponty without looking at his concept of sensory chiasms. For Merleau-Ponty, bodily sensation is the essential point of departure for his entire phenomenological approach. The concept of sensory chiasms will also serve here to lay the foundation for discussions to follow on a revaluation of bodily intelligence. Let us first look at the notion of chiasms.

The sensory chiasms

If, as we have seen in this chapter, Merleau-Ponty approaches perception as being inextricably linked to the notion of sensation, he subsequently develops a concept of sensory chiasms to illustrate the complexity of sensation. The etymological roots of the word chiasm stem from the Greek *khiasmos* (disposition in a cross shape, crossing, crossbreed) and the Greek letter *x* (*khi*). According to Michel Bernard, the chiasm 'designates, in the linguistic tradition, a rhetorical figure that highlights the intersections lying in an enunciation' (1993, p.57). But it also means that these intersections have the effect of giving rhythm to a sentence or establishing parallels. Attention can also be drawn to the fact that the chiasm can emphasise the union of two realities or strengthen an antithesis. With an aesthetic focus, Bernard (1993, 2001) appropriates Merleau-Ponty's concept and identifies three sensory chiasms in order to deepen the study of the
experience of corporeality. In this thesis, I examine them more specifically with respect to a relationship between the moving body and technology.

**The first chiasm: intrasensory**

The first chiasm reveals both the active and passive dimensions of sensation (Bernard 2001, p.118). Bernard refers to Merleau-Ponty's example of hands that touch that was referenced throughout Section 1.3 'A history of the body in phenomenology'. In the context of my research, an example might be when a dancer touches another dancer, for instance, in contact improvisation: he/she is also touched by the other person in his/her materiality. Each sensory event is an encounter experienced both actively and passively: with oneself, with the Other, or with the raw materiality of what surrounds one. This chiasm forms the basis of Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology and constitutes a principle of reversibility. It binds the individual to the world through his/her physicality that is both touching and touched. In this opening to the world and investment in sensation, corporeality is no longer expressed in terms of a subject/object relationship, one describing dualistic thinking, but rather becomes 'between the two and including the two' (Kalem n.d.). The functioning of this first chiasm is of interest for this thesis because, on a performative level, it resonates with one of the bases of exploration for work surrounding the notion of a collective body presented in Chapter 5.

**The second chiasm: intersensory**

In resonance with the first *intrasensory* chiasm, the second chiasm, called *intersensory*, consists of a correspondence of all the senses together. In the functioning of the sensory system, the second chiasm points to a crossing of the senses involved in perception. It can also be related to the question of perceptual coherence.
The third chiasm: \textit{parasensory}

The third chiasm, called \textit{parasensory}, designates the close relationship between the act of enunciation and sensation. Here, one must be careful because in his appropriation and interpretation of Merleau-Ponty's third chiasm, Bernard (1993, 2001) interprets the concept of enunciation and assimilates it with language. This thesis does not share this point of view and rather adheres to the original notion of \textit{parasensory} chiasm as developed by Merleau-Ponty. It is important to mention that in this thesis, the act of enunciation is not equated with language, but rather, in a first stage, to expression, and in a second stage, when there is a spectator, to communication.

Several modes of expression and communication exist; language and writing are not the only ones. Supported by Gardner's research on multiple intelligences ([1983] 2006), Berthoz's research on empathy (1997, Berthoz & Jorland 2004; Berthoz & Petit 2006; Corin 2001), Rizzolatti\footnote{Giacomo Rizzolatti is a physician and biologist of Italian nationality. He is also Director of the Department of Neuroscience of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Parma, Italy.} (2005) and his team of collaborators on mirror neurons (Gallese n.d., 2006, 2007; Jeffers 2009; Calvo-Merino 2005) — research which is presented in the next section — as well as Suely Rolnik's concepts of the 'vibrating body' and 'dissolution of psycho-corporal barriers' (2006, n.d. b, n.d. c) — developed in Chapter 2 —, and José Gil's research\footnote{José Gil is a Portuguese philosopher and essayist who teaches at the \textit{Collège International de Philosophie}, Paris. According to Gil, dance is not articulated in the manner of verbal language: it is a quasi-articulated body that stems from the 'trivial body' (in the sense of neutral) that makes possible the dancing body, a body that is not coded with absolute gesture. According to Gil, the dancing body has the ability to communicate 'between' different bodies. He introduces here the concept of bodily awareness. He also refers to Francis Sparshott (1995, p.253, cited in Gil 2000) who refuses to give dance a status of language for this main reason: 'It is impossible to break down the body into bodily movements, discrete units comparable to the phonemes of natural language'.} (2000) that reflects Merleau-Ponty's notion of the pre-reflective, this thesis proposes a different paradigm of expression and communication. This paradigm is based on a revaluation of bodily intelligence.

To better explain this paradigm, let us begin by analysing the issue of enunciation. For Merleau-Ponty, the act of enunciation involves the act of 'projecting
oneself' in sensation, thus generating a production of imaginatory sensory simulacra. It also corresponds to this thesis' interpretation of Bernard's (1993, 2001) 'act' or 'process of fiction' in which the performer imagines and projects his/her anatomy into space before acting in the physical world. However, he/she is anchored in sensation before making this projection. The body is seen onstage and occupies a specific space in physical space. Sensation, memory and imagination, for their part, are the elements that are projected outwards. Projection therefore occurs 'outside of here' (Pitozzi 2008a) – ie. outside the physical body. However, I insist on the fact that I distance myself from the relationship Bernard (1993, 2001) builds with language, and rather focus on the experiential aspect of this projection in sensation such as Merleau-Ponty understands it.

I identify this as the act or process of performative projection, because one of this thesis's contributions to this discussion is to anchor a reflection in artistic performative experience. The paradigm of communication this thesis defends thus comprises two levels: a first level as developed by Merleau Ponty (1945), which corresponds to the idea of sensation stemming from the body, which is lived and expressed in the body and results as 'a form'. The second level pertains to the expression produced inside the body as it is brought to the outside and is then communicated to the Other, to the spectator. This constitutes a communicational paradigm which, on a primary level, is expressive. A presentation will be made in Chapter 2, Section 2.8.4 of the development of different forms of performative or stage projection — demonstrating how this concept is expressed on a physical level in the context of performative arts integrating technology. For the performing artist, the union of these two realities as outlined in the notion of chiasms is interesting. I propose that these realities are understood through sensation.

40 The question of simulacra is complex. In the space of this thesis, it is not possible to examine this issue thoroughly. I propose a definition of simulacra that designates an appearance that does not refer to any underlying reality or claims of substituting for this reality. According to Jean Baudrillard, 'simulacra are true' (1981).
41 Chapter 2 looks at the question of reality and its relationship with the virtual and the actual.
1.8 The question of multiple forms of intelligence

1.8.1 Howard Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences

To understand the approach defended in this thesis, it seems important to draw attention to another essential point: that of multiple intelligences as presented by Howard Gardner in his book *Frames of Mind: The theory of Multiple Intelligences* (1983)\(^{42}\). A developmental psychologist at Harvard University, Howard Gardner advocates for the existence of several types of intelligence that work complementarily. His theory differentiates intelligence into specific 'modalities' (learning, sensory), rather than viewing intelligence as dominated by a single general ability. He thus makes a critique of standard theories of intelligence.

According to Gardner (1983), intelligence involves:

1- The ability to create an effective product or offer a service that is valued in a culture;

2- A set of skills that make it possible for a person to solve problems in life;

3- The potential for finding or creating solutions for problems, which involves gathering new knowledge.

Further, he believes his theory of multiple intelligences should 'empower learners', not restrict them to a single mode of learning. He thus proposes eight types of intelligence:

1- musical/rhythmic and harmonic;

2- visual/spatial;

3- verbal/linguistic;

4- logical/mathematical;

5- bodily/kinaesthetic;

6- interpersonal;

\(^{42}\) I also refer to 'Frames of mind' (Gardner 1983), quoted by Goleman (1995) and translated in French by Thierry Piélat in 1997.
7-intrapersonal;
8- nurturing and relating information to one’s natural surroundings.

Later, he was to add two other criteria:

9-existential;
10-pedagogical.

Even though kinaesthetic intelligence cannot be viewed in isolation, it is the form of intelligence that most concerns the present research. According to Gardner (1983), the core elements of bodily/kinaesthetic intelligence are:

1) control of one’s bodily motion and the capacity to handle objects skillfully;
2) the sense of timing;
3) the sense of a goal of physical action;
4) the ability to train responses.

Lastly, he stipulates that physical training is essential for this type of intelligence and that it cannot be attained through virtual simulation.

According to Fraleigh, 'dance must involve the kinaesthetic at vital and intelligent levels, [it is], however, necessarily […] founded in kinaesthetic sensibility and intelligence' (1987, p.46-47). Kinaesthetic intelligence does therefore exist. It has been named and is recognised by Gardner amongst other theorists in science43 and by Fraleigh in the field of dance.


Intuition

Other forms of intelligence related to the body also exist and are expressed in different ways. To begin, let us look at intuition. Intuition is a form of intelligence that Détienne and Vernant (1974, cited by Laflamme 2009) correlate to the concept of métis developed by the Greeks. Métis is a strategy of relating to others and nature that consists of putting oneself in the shoes of others, taking in their worldview for a moment to imagine what the other cannot see or escape. It is a form of intelligence that is based on intuition and on the relationship to the Other.

The etymological root of the word 'intuition' comes from the Latin intuitio: to look inside. Henri Bergson 44 45 ([1907] 1998; Manning 2009; Blassnigg 2008), all suggest that intuition is another modality of knowledge, another form of intelligence. It is different to logical-mathematical intelligence, which is widely recognised as the dominant type of intelligence in Western society because it is based on other ways of experiencing the world. It is expressed in terms of sensory experience, time and immediacy. Bergson argues that in the creative process, '[...] the aesthetic faculty is a constituent part of intuition in the production (and in the perception) of the art work' (cited in Blassnigg 2008). He also understood it as an effort to establish a deep interconnection between the artist and his/her work. As he explains:

[a]n effort of this kind is not impossible, is proved by the existence in man of an aesthetic faculty along with normal perception. Our eye perceives the features of the living being, merely as assembled, not as mutually organized. The intention of likeness, the simple movement that runs through the lines, that binds them together and gives them significance, escapes it. This intention is just what the artist tries to regain, in placing himself back within the object by a kind of

---

44 Bergson is a French philosopher (1859-1941).
45 It is not possible in the space of this thesis to explore different approaches to intuition. The main principles presented here schematically which are the most useful for this thesis include:
For Plato: intuition is the immediate understanding of the truth of an idea by the soul, independently from the body.
For Descartes: intuition is the immediate and certain knowledge of the truth of an idea through its intrinsic necessity.
For Spinoza: intuition is the immediate and certain knowledge of the essence of things through the necessary understanding of their cause(s) through reason.
For Bergson: intuition is the understanding of the mind by itself in the course of time (duration). He defines intuition as the spiritual or intellectual sympathy through which one is transported within a being to coincide with what is unique, and consequently, inexpressible.
For Sartre: there is no knowledge other than intuitive. Deduction and discourse - knowledge - are only instruments that lead to intuition.
sympathy, in breaking down, by an effort of intuition, the barrier that space puts up between him and his model (1998, pp.176-7).

As an artist, intuition and use of kinaesthetic intelligence, used in tandem with intelligences as musical and rhythmic intelligence, visual, spatial, interpersonal - all identified by Gardner - are an important part of the creative strategies present in my artistic process.

Thus, and to expand the discussion, the next section looks at how, within the particular context of new contemporary performance stages, kinaesthetic intelligence, amongst other forms of intelligence, when reintroduced and revalued in the cognitive process, questions and redefines the very nature of cognition.

1.8.2 Empathy and intersubjectivity: a strategy of learning and recognition

Empathy can also be considered a form of intelligence related to the body. According to Alain Berthoz, professor at the Collège de France where he directs the Laboratory of Physiology of Perception and Action, and Gérard Jorland, Director of Research at the C.N.R.S. - Centre national de la recherche scientifique and Director of Studies at l’E.H.E.S.S. – École des hautes études en sciences sociales, France, empathy constitutes a form of intersubjectivity: '[…] a nomadic concept, empathy responds whenever [it is a] question of knowledge, not of oneself nor of the physical world, but of others' (Berthoz & Jorland 2004, p.9). Berthoz and Jorland's understanding of empathy resembles the type of communication or space of recognition, a non-linguistic state, that is involved in the transfer of knowledge from one dancer's body to another. It also resembles Suely Rolnik's concept (2006, n.d. c) of a vibratory form of communication that can lead to new learning, to transformation. For his part, Italian neuroscientist Giacomo Rizzolatti explains the phenomenon of empathy in the following terms:
The present findings strongly suggest that coding the intention associated with the actions of others is based on the activation of a neuronal chain formed by mirror neurons coding the observed motor act and by "logically related" mirror neurons coding the motor acts that are most likely to follow the observed one, in a given context. To ascribe an intention is to infer a forthcoming new goal, and this is an operation that the motor system does automatically (Rizzolatti 2005).

Rizzolatti’s research on mirror neuron systems is of interest here because it presents an explanation of the ‘intelligence of the body’ and describes a non-linguistic state. These were also components of the practical research conducted for this thesis. Further, Rizzolatti confirms the idea that ‘the brain contains in its neuronal organisation, schemas that are true behavioural acts’ (Berthoz & Jorland 2004).

Figure 10 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

Figure 10: Activation of the area F5 mirror neuron during motor-act observation in Gallese, V. & Freedberg, D. (2007) *Cognitive Sciences*

Rizzolatti discovered neurons in the F5 area of the monkey's brain which discharge each time the monkey executes a particular act. These neurons were baptised
'mirror neurons'. But what is unique and useful for the argumentation of this thesis is that these neurons also discharge when the monkey *sees* the experimenter making the same gesture. Ever since, the hypothesis that neuronal networks, to which 'mirror neurons' belong, encode a schema of the monkey's behavioural repertory, is being studied. As Berthoz notes: 'This discovery argues for the existence of a repertory of pre-perceptions linked to a repertory of actions through which the brain can simulate actions in order to predict consequences and choose the most appropriate ones' (Berthoz 1997, p.27).

The message contained within the discharge of mirror neurons has the temporal and frequential characteristics of the discharge that contains relevant signals for functioning of the nervous system. Since the time Berthoz wrote his book in 1997, several experiments were conducted to verify the legitimacy of his hypotheses, even in humans (Rizzolatti 2005; Gallese n.d., 2006, 2007; Jeffers 2009; Calvo-Merino 2005). Even if the theory of mirror neurons still encounters opposition, mainly because of the rarity of these neurons, Henri Cohen 46 of the research group *Performativité et Effets de présence* at the University of Quebec in Montreal 47 is of the opinion that it has credibility in the field of international research. This thesis agrees with the conclusions of Rizzolatti and his researchers and, although they are not central to its argument, they complement other theories presented later on such as those of Berthoz (1997; Berthoz & Jorland 2004; Berthoz & Petit 2006), Rolnik (2006, n.d. a, n.b. b, n.d. c) or Pitozzi (2009b).

Henri Cohen conducts research on cognitive neuropsychology, primarily on the effects of brain damage on language and speech. His work aims to clarify the acquisition and development of language in young people with neurological disorders, the effects of cortical and subcortical damage on language and speech, and intermodal treatment. The processing of prosody and access to mental lexicon are studied in healthy subjects. He also collaborates in studies on motor imagery.

47 On April 1st, 2011, during his lecture *On Mirror Neurons.*
Phenomenology and corporeality

A phenomenological perspective and the notion of corporeality will now be analysed with respect to Bernard’s (2001, p.21) definition of corporeality as an 'opening' through which the individual deploys his/her experience in an encounter with the Other. With his notion of empathy, Husserl elaborated on the relation to the Other, thus making it possible to extend the phenomenology of egology to one of intersubjectivity. Overruling the egocentricity of the sensitive individual, empathy is the 'faculty of including in one's own environment that of the other, which has the effect of broadening the scope of one's experience to the dimensions of a world' (Berthoz & Jorland 2004, p.11). The double world of live performance as stage and environment, is also established through an intersubjective field of consciousnesses that reveals the experience of the performer as a process of interaction with the other (Pitozzi 2010a; Rolnik 2006). This point will be more thoroughly analysed in Chapter 5.

With the first intrasensorial chiasm, the experience of the performer consists of both the performer's perception of seeing and touching his partner and/or other performers, and the fact that he or she is seen, touched, perceived by other performer(s) and/or spectator(s). The empathic performer no longer simply perceives his/her own world, or the perception and kinesthesis of his/her own corporeality, but also includes others and the mutual contribution of their actions. The individual approaches others' being in a relation of coexistence within a shared world and as the basis of a common experience:

I engage with my body amongst things, they coexist with me as an embodied subject, and this life with things has nothing to do with the construction of scientific objects. Similarly, I do not understand the actions of others through an act of intellectual interpretation, the communication of consciousnesses is not established through the common sense of their experiences, but is what founds it (Merleau-Ponty 1945).

Experience thus forms the basis for the communication of consciousnesses amongst themselves. It is not only the result of individuals meeting or the effects of
interaction between two or more corporealities; it is interaction itself. In the context of practical research for this thesis, the intersubjective field became one of common interaction: between the performer, other performers and spectators in an act of reciprocal perception. Passing through the corporeality of the performer in the process of his/her interaction, sensation links, carries, the singular dimension of his/her corporeality and the specific resonances of other corporealities. It will be shown in Section 2.8.2 how the theories of Suely Rolnik complement this idea.

Through the second intersensory chiasm, not only does the performer experience corporeality in resonance with his/her own sensory impressions - amongst themselves and in their dual active and passive aspects - but also, in the hybrid configuration with others. Engaging corporeality in the process of interaction, the performer expands his/her experience of the Other, of the world, discovering the state of an immense intercorporeality. This is one of the principles underpinning the physical and mediated collective body presented in Chapter 5. It also participates in the possibility of a new communicational paradigm which is defended in this thesis and outlined at greater length in Chapters 2, 5 and 6.

The dynamic of intercorporeality also conditions a possible reconfiguration of the performer's process of projection, an idea which is analysed in Section 2.8.4. As previously noted, and according to the third chiasm, intercorporeality is involved in the process of performative projection. It also concerns the spectator's process of receiving a work.

The studies just referred to on empathy, intersubjectivity and mirror neurons provide answers for the exchanges occurring between performers and between performers and spectators. As explored in my research, evolutive psycho-physiological learning techniques already present in forms of dance training are involved and acquire
still greater intensity with the concept of a vibratory collective body and its development as a collective sound body. This research notably entails a phenomenon of transformation and 'contamination' between dancers and spectators and the learning aspect of such a process leads to another form of intersubjectivity.

To illustrate this point, one could say that the state of intersubjectivity propagates itself like a wave. The mass of dancers - the collective physical body - acts as an entity which activates this phenomenon. Contaminating all present, even spectators, it reveals another form of corporeality and leads them into a symbiotic experience. This is the strength and complementarity of the two phenomena of learning and contamination that are at play: as much on the level of corporality (or psychocorporality if one refers to Rolnik's theories) as on the level of its complementary aspect, corporeality. A full description and analysis of these points is given in Chapter 5.

### 1.8.3 Principles of the body's self-organisation

The body's self-organisation is another form of physical intelligence. Both Rudolf Laban, a dance teacher and one of the most influential dance theorists of the twentieth century (in *La Maîtrise du mouvement* (2007)) and Michel Bernard (in *De La création chorégraphique* (2001), speak of the body's intelligence and of dance as an organisation of movement through itself. Dance is based on a sensory and perceptual reorganisation of the body.

These definitions of dance and its qualities confirmed my own intuitions and oriented the approach I was to take with respect to creative research based on strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation. They recentered my interest in pure sensation and the senses and also formed the basis of experimentation with what I call a 'de-

---

48 Presented in Chapter 5.
hierarchisation of the senses. This research involved a distancing of codes; specifically, a de-structuring of corporeal codes through the development of a 'collective body' (corps collectif) in a state of self-organisation. With this principle, the body organises itself through sensation itself, and self-organisation, a means to achieve a trance-like state and loss of bearings. It is precisely the loss of bearings which was to lead to the creation of a moving physical and psychological space as a form of corporeal intersubjectivity or intercorporeality. If this aspect had already formed the basis of my earlier works, it became more complex with the collective body.

The collective body is thus linked to an application of principles of the body's self-organisation through sensation and rooted in my understanding of and training in somatic practices. The collective body was also experimented as an emergent performative form generated by the discovery and evolution of new sensations.

1.8.4 The somatic and its principles

Somatic intelligence can be considered as another form of bodily intelligence. According to Davidson, "for dance practitioners, somatic intelligence constitutes a mode of corporeal experience: a form of embodied knowledge that can also be described as a skill for sensing, relating and "revealing" (2013, p.6). Ali East, dance artist and Chair of the Dance Studies Program at University of Otago, New Zealand, shares this opinion of somatic intelligence which she notes, in the specific context of dance films, 'explain(s) the instantaneous affect of gestural exchange in non-literal dance film' (2013, p.67). She supports her argument citing Erin Brannigan and her book Dance Film: Choreography and the Moving Image which advances the idea that this form of intelligence 'provide(s) knowledge of the unnamed' (2011, p.184, cited in East 2013).

---

49 A principle outlined in Chapter 5.
50 Chapter 2 details my position on the ontology of the body that was a cornerstone in the development of the concept of a collective body. Chapter 1 details the position of Merleau-Ponty on the ontology of the body.
SOMATIC PRACTICES

Somatic practices are practices centered on consciousness of the body. They involve training in processes of synergetic interaction between consciousness, movement and the environment. They constitute an experiential study of corporeality. Glenna Batson, Professor Emeritus of Physiotherapy at Winston-Salem State University and member of the Education Committee of the International Association for Medicine and the Science of Dance (I.A.D.M.S.) presents an overview of these practices:

The origins of western somatic education are rooted in a philosophical revolt against Cartesian dualism. [...] In 1970, philosopher and Feldenkrais practitioner Thomas Hanna coined the term “somatics” from the Greek word “soma”, meaning “the body in its wholeness.”. [...] Advocates valued the unity of mind, body, and spirit as fundamental to the human organism and one’s inner, personal narrative and experience as a guide for living. [...] Western somatic practitioners who pioneered their work in the early part of the 20th century evolved their practice by closely observing their own body signals and movement behavior. [...] Movement awareness was the stimulus that spawned an array of somatic practices. [...] Two main avenues of physical practice evolved: one more ‘receptive’ (e.g., massage, craniosacral therapy, and somato-emotional release), and one more active, calling for the conscious cooperation of the person through movement awareness or imagination as catalysts for changing motor/movement behavior (e.g., Ideokinesis, Alexander Technique, and The Feldenkrais Method®) (Batson 2010).

At the time Thomas Hanna developed his theories on somatic education, he was aware of tapping into ancient philosophical contributions from the Far East. Moshe Feldenkrais and Bonnie Bainbridge Cohen also drew on Asian disciplines to develop their methods. This is interesting because Asian philosophy also underpins Christine Buci-Glucksmann's theory of an aesthetic of flux (to be further developed in Chapter 2), which in turn, constitutes one of the sources this thesis refers to in order to develop a new aesthetic paradigm.

A number of somatic practices have developed such as The Alexander Technique51, Bartenieff Fundamentals52, Body-Mind Centering53, Feldenkrais54 and 51 The Alexander technique is known as the most efficient method for correcting movement and postural habits. It focuses on the reflexes controlling interrelations between the head, spine and limbs. These postural reflexes are vital to movement, breathing and general health. Unfortunately, we often pick up habits that thwart these primary reflexes, causing a muscular destabilization which can cause pain, injuries and general malfunctioning. Viewed 18 June 2007, online: http://www.alexandertechnique-montreal.com/alexander_f.html
52 Bartenieff Fundamentals is the technique I had the most knowledge of as I studied it for five years. In a conversation on 28 July 2013 with Jacques Brochu, a teacher of somatic practices (particularly Feldenkrais), the fact of having studied one technique in depth prepares the body to integrate other techniques faster. I experienced other techniques in intensive seminars. What is Bartenieff Fundamentals (SM)?: A series of exercises, principles, and body practices developed by physiotherapist Irmgard Bartenieff during the second half of the 20th century, in the framework of Laban’s movement theories. This body movement approach is now called Bartenieff Fundamentals (BF) (SM).
Trager\textsuperscript{55}. Bartenieff Fundamentals and, to a lesser extent, the Alexander Technique, were techniques I became familiar with, having studied them for five years. I wish to clarify however, that as a practitioner, I never studied Body-Mind Centering but it is obvious that elements of this technique are integrated in other somatic techniques and that dancers, such as Marie Chouinard, with whom I studied in Montreal, incorporated notions of Body-Mind Centering in her classes such as awareness of breath, perception and touch.

Somatic education is based on a main idea of dismantling mind-body dualism. It seeks to awaken autonomy: 'Self-awareness, self-control and the active application of the will to the processes of growth and development are the major themes of this education' (Juhan 1998, cited in Batson 2010). Three key elements underlying the principles of somatic education are: determining a "Novel Learning Context", developing "Sensory Attunement" and "Augmented Rest" (Bernardi 2007, cited in Battisti 2007).

Rooted in the progression of human development, this subtle and concentrated practice, encourages the perception of the body's connections and of the individual numerous relationships with his/her internal/external environment. Basic concepts covered in BF classes include: Dynamic Alignment, Developmental Patterning, Breath Support, Core Support, Center of Weight and Weight Shift, Initiation and Sequencing, Spatial Intent, Effort Intent, and the Rotary Factors.

Viewed 18 June 2007, online: http://www.limsonline.org/lma_bf.html

Body-Mind Centering\textsuperscript{56} (BMC\textsuperscript{56}) is an integrated approach to transformative experience through movement re-education and hands-on repatterning. Developed by Bonnie Bainbridge Cohen, it is an experiential study based on the embodiment and application of anatomical, physiological, psychophysical and developmental principles, utilizing movement, touch, voice and mind. This study leads to an understanding of how the mind is expressed through the body and the body through the mind.

The study of Body-Mind Centering\textsuperscript{56} is a creative process in which we learn to meet and recognize ourselves and others through the exploration of embodiment. Each person is both the student and the subject matter. Principles and techniques are taught in the context of self-discovery and openness. We learn to engage ourselves and others non-judgementally starting at the place where we are and the place where others are. In this way we seek to find the ease that underlies transformation.

Body-Mind Centering\textsuperscript{56} has an almost unlimited number of areas of application. It is currently being used by people in movement, dance, yoga, bodywork, physical and occupational therapy, psychotherapy, child development, education, voice, music, art, meditation, athletics and other body-mind disciplines.

Viewed 18 June 2007, online: http://www.bodymincentering.com/About/AboutBMC/

\textsuperscript{54} The Feldenkrais method is an educational system that allows the body to move and function more efficiently and comfortably. Its goal is to re-educate the nervous system and improve motor ability. The system can accomplish much more, relieving pressure on joints and weak points, and allowing the body to heal repetitive strain injuries. Continued use of the method can relieve pain and lead to higher standards of achievement in sports, the martial arts, dancing and other physical disciplines.

Viewed 18 June 2007, online: http://www.enotes.com/alternative-medicine-encyclopedia/feldenkrais

\textsuperscript{55} Trager psychophysical integration therapy, also known as the Tragerwork system of physical integration, is a combination of hands-on tissue mobilization, relaxation, and movement reeducation called Mentastics. The underlying principle of psychophysical integration is that clients learn to be lighter, easier, and freer by experiencing lightness, ease, and freedom of movement in their bodies.

Batson 2010). I have integrated these elements in my process of creative research using the following tools: focusing attention on the sensory stimuli of the body such as breathing, muscular tension or bodily contact with the floor or another body part; developing a state of total presence and being receptive and sensitive to moments when movement takes place; creating intervals between feeling and movement so that dancers can explore their attention and sensory information resulting from either immobility or from movement they execute, or, in the context of the collective body, movement that is stimulated through contact with another person's body or the group as a whole. Sensory attunement is a very interesting tool because it places accent on:

[...] sensory awareness (paying attention to sensing) over motor action (doing). [...] Giving dancers the opportunity to explore - and make sense of - inner sensations (neural signals), fosters 'sensory authority', a baseline for self-guidance and control. Sensory authority promotes movement autonomy (the capacity to self-organize movement internally) differing from common external references used in learning dance [...] kinaesthetic awareness functions largely as a potent agent of change - a powerful means of altering habit (Batson 2010).

I also use the Augmented Rest as a creative means of integration. This third concept of somatic education offers alternating phases of rest and activity which are 'designed to allow the nervous system time for processing information and integration and physiological systems time to recover' (Batson 2010). Physical recovery allows for motor programming (or reprogramming): 'Rest periods help consolidate memory (you'll remember when you learned) and improve motor recall (you'll more readily call it forth when you need it)' (Batson 2010). Additionally, it serves to remember specific sensations or known sensations as references from which to recreate movement, a bodily state or establish an intercorporeal link. Lastly, it helps to improve outcomes so the dancer can improve and push his/her exploration of sensory perception further in order to discover, amongst other things, his/her potential for mobility.

The Bartenieff method is mainly referenced in this thesis. Its principle of bodily self-organisation is very much integrated in my practice. In the practical experimentation involved in this thesis, bodily self-organisation was approached
through sensation and in a completely intuitive way. It was through developing awareness of my own body, and then directing this development in/for dancers that experiments were conducted. By creating the conditions for concentrating on sensory feedback, firstly through small movements associated with the breath and then through developing larger movements related to the latter, the dancers could identify degrees of muscular contraction that allowed them to perform movement with greater awareness of sensation and often discovered through these exercises. These are what this thesis identifies as strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation. They are presented at greater length in Chapter 5, in addition to examples of the application of these strategies in the practical research.

Through such exercises of discovery, the dancer actually reveals different sensations associated with the exploration of his/her 'new' movement. The dancer thus constructs other references around sensations just discovered that can be used to further explore areas of movement that are yet unknown, or to reproduce movement by referring to recent sensations. As Batson argues:

> [t]he purpose of such a "playground" of movement is to disturb habitual movement patterns buried in the body schema. As the old habitual patterns begin to dissolve in an environment of ease and security, new options for coordination become possible (Batson 2010).

Hubert Godard, ex-dancer, cofounder of the Dance Department of Université Paris 8 where he teaches movement analysis, and a major dance authority on questions concerning the perception of the body "from within", calls these recurrent movement patterns a form of 'choreographic nevrosis' (Kuypers 2006). This term has been defined by other theorists as the difficulty of relinquishing habitual gesture (Quinz & Menicacci 2005, 2006; Pitozzi 2010a). According to Batson (2010), Pitozzi (2010a) and Quinz & Menicacci (2005, 2006), movement habits can however change, provided that an exploration of other sensations is permitted. In the practical research for this thesis,

---

56 This notion is taken up again in Chapter 5.
these strategies as forms of sensory and perceptual destabilisation, were intended to provoke the emergence of a new potential in the experience of movement, bodily states, stage projection and intercorporeality.

In conclusion, attention should be drawn to one of the goals of the practical research conducted for this thesis. This was to establish a series of conditions to broaden the scope of my action and consciousness, and also the dancers', in order to embrace the reality of technology and its physicality, and, by extension, to live a different and enriching experience of embodiment. If this process was not always conscious - and it was not my intention that it should be, in order to allow bodily intelligence of different types to guide me - this thesis attempts to contribute reflections on the performative relationship between the somatic and technology through the hypotheses it proposes. As Andrea Davidson notes, new orientations between the somatic and technology, '[f]rom the point of view of practical research, […] confirm the body’s role as privileged site in the construction of knowledge and the undeniable meshing of the senses with/in mediated experience' (2013, p.11).

1.9 Summary

If the principle of reversibility has attracted the attention of many artists who rely on phenomenology to feed their artistic practices, this thesis intends to push this reflection further. In the field of research concerning experimentation with/of integrative links between somatic principles and technology, it claims that it is not possible to consider sensory and perceptual dynamics without considering the interdependence of the three chiasms outlined in the previous sections. One chiasm necessarily affects the other in the multi-sensory and interdependent dynamic that lies at
the core of this research. In Chapter 5, which presents the phases of practical research involved, examples of these explorations are provided.

In introducing phenomenology and the positions and concepts of Maurice Merleau-Ponty concerning anti-dualism, the lived body, the ontology of the body, corporeality, the flesh and intercorporeality, this chapter looked at the evolution of this philosophical movement throughout history, focusing on a history of the body in phenomenology and looking at some of the applications of phenomenology in the field of dance in general and in the specific field of dance/somatics related to technology. It introduced Merleau-Ponty's theory of three chiasms as a way of understanding the complexity and complementarity of different types of sensations and also highlighted the issues of multiple intelligences and 'bodily intelligence'.

The chapter also provided elements for understanding the importance of Merleau-Ponty's existentialist approach and how it influenced modern and particularly postmodern dance through the concept of 'the lived body'. It also examined how the performative attitude - described as an act of personal risk-taking - can resonate with the principles of existentialism.

This brings attention to the body itself, to the self. Through analysing the dynamics of sensation, it was seen how sensation is tied to a specific intelligence of the body. The revaluation of this intelligence is highlighted in somatic principles: notably in the body's capacity for self-organisation through sensation, as well as through learning processes involving intersubjectivity, empathy or mirror neurons - principles I adopted in my approach to practical research. These approaches allowed me to embrace the concept of sensory autonomy which Batson (2010) outlines in her explanation of the key elements of somatic education, and to begin to look at how sensory autonomy could be an important tool for creative strategies involving the body and technology.
Following these analyses, Chapter 2 examines issues specifically related to understanding the relationship of the body with technology, firstly by presenting an overview of the history of this relationship. The concept of the virtual is then introduced, leading to an analysis of the concept of potentiality as a potential of 'becoming' and of the transformation of self - both strong elements in the relationship with the interface proposed in the thesis.

This alternative approach to the interface is presented in the light of the Greek root of the word "aesthetic" which places attention on sensation and the ability to perceive. In turn, attention will be drawn once again to the question of bodily intelligence and the activation of a bodily potentiality that is a component of this type of intelligence. I then look at the conditions of this activation, in part, through a concept developed by Suely Rolnik involving a dissolution of psycho-physical borders that will be consequently presented as one of the factors leading to intercorporeality. Again with reference to bodily intelligence, the chapter examines how the notion of real-time can serve as a tool for developing a complexification of corporality in the context of the performing arts integrating technology.
2. CHAPTER II – THE MEDIATED BODY IN THE ERA OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES

Literature review - Elements for a theoretical foundation and basis of practice as research

2.1 The problem of reorganising knowledge within the discipline

This chapter sets out to present the basic positions of the thesis as well as to analyse the logic underpinning the problem of instrumentalisation introduced in Chapter 1, Section 1.4.1 as being linked to dualistic Western thought. This issue is of capital importance for my research because it led me to reevaluate existing technological interfaces and their relationship to the performing body.

Chapter 1 identified a basic problem of reductive thinking when applied to the field of the performative body in relation to technology. It was notably shown how it leads to a diminishment of the impact of the body's specific intelligence as well as to a compartmentalisation of artistic disciplines that neglects their potential for integrative and transversal forms of knowledge. The consequence of this reductionism can be seen in the emergence of two main problems the thesis has identified so far: increased materialism and a disjunction of knowledge resulting from a lack of critical thought regarding the use of technology and its creative potential. Another problem that arises from these tendencies is an instrumentalisation of art and of the performer's body whereby technology and the body are used as tools, and a transition is marked from an object-oriented aesthetic towards an aesthetic of behavioural processes (process-oriented behaviourism) (Ascott [1966-67] (2003); Burnham [1968; 1969] 1973). In the case of performing arts that integrate technologies in their discourse, my research reveals that the performative body has also often been considered as an object.
Unfortunately, and through error, the focus of certain artistic practices has been to attribute behaviors to objects and to address the performative body as these objects, rather than to question the nature of behavior itself and the relationship between the moving body and technology.

2.2 A few historical passages to frame the issue

One of the first problems associated with reductive thinking is that of disciplinary boundaries. The segmentation of knowledge poses the problem of looking at information in a partial way and not in its entirety. Complementary elements are not taken into consideration either. Studies do, however, exist such as those conducted by Jack Burnham57, a professor at Northwestern University and the University of Maryland, which look at relationships between art and technology and the influence of science and technology on sculpture of this century ([1968; 1969] 1973). Anthropological studies exist in dance, notably, studies on aboriginal cultures (Highwater [1981] 1985; Stoller 1997), as well as research on somatics (Batson 2010) that all remind us of the transversal and integrative nature of relationships which constitute the foundation of humanity. The point this thesis advances is that knowledge functions as a whole. As a consequence, life must be approached as a process of being and not as a thing, material object or structure.

Concerning the moving body, this argument is a starting point and theoretical node for analysing performative work. From this point of view, reductive thinking, as a problem related to the status quo, must be examined58. Materialism is supported and maintained by science and technology. They dictate what we know of the world and condition the relationships we have with ourselves and our surroundings. Scientific

57 In his book Beyond Modern Sculpture.
58 Introduced in Sections 1.3 and 1.6.
paradigms are based on rationalism and materialism and find support, as Burnham notes, in the fact that science rejects everything that is not materialistic or that cannot be seen. Merleau-Ponty (1964a) and Kozel (2007) have also analysed these issues from the point of view of phenomenology. The problem of what is true remains and it was shown in Section 1.6, and it will also be shown in Sections 2.5 and 2.6, how other theorists such as Buci-Glucksmann (2003) or Kuriyama (1999) deal with the issue of what is considered invisible in society.

**An integrative position**

Within this context, the thesis positions itself regarding a need to develop a different mode of thinking in order to escape reductive, disciplinary and materialistic traps inherited from the segmentation of knowledge. It proposes a form of integrative thinking that was referred to in Section 1.8 on the different forms of corporeal intelligence.

The etymology of the verb "to integrate" stems from the Latin root *integrare* which takes on its full meaning in the medieval Latin interpretation of the word as 'making complete' (Robert 1990) or 'to make whole' (*Centre national de Ressources Textuelles et lexicales, 2012*). According to Ho (1993); Ascott ([1966-67] 2003); Koestler ([1969] 1971); Burnham ([1968; 1969] 1973); Nobrega 2009; Batson [2010] integrative thinking constitutes another modality of thought. These authors all agree that it is essential to reappropriate the full participation of a 'knowing being: intellect and feeling, mind and body, spirit and intuition' (Ho 1993, p.13). Ho (1993), Ascott ([1966-67] 2003), Koestler ([1969] 1971), Burnham ([1968; 1969] 1973), and Nobrega (2009) push this logic further, stating that, for them, artists and scientists have the same goal: to

59 Viewed 2 October 2012, online: http://www.cnrtl.fr/etymologie/intégrer
build 'models of reality', regardless of their medium. Reality, as referred to in this thesis, integrates the virtual and the potentiality it carries. Although Ascott positions himself from the perspective of developing new educational methods in the arts, the context in which he develops his analysis is that of the cybernetic arts as principally applied to painting and sculpture and to their behavioural extensions. In the case of Burham, the reference is mainly to sculpture. Their arguments are nevertheless of interest because they fit into a context that is increasingly transdisciplinary and transversal.

This thesis thus embraces a more integrative position to practice as research and I am in agreement with Davidson's view (2013) that the development of trends in dance and technology should be approached from an interdisciplinary, transversal and experimental perspective. To accomplish this, the thesis firstly bases its reasoning on a definition of the experimental offered by Roy Ascott: 'I use the word experimental to mean making an action the outcome of which is not foreseen' ([1966-67] 2003, p.123).

2.3 The question of instrumentalisation

The problem of instrumentalisation previously identified as stemming from a fundamental misunderstanding of the relationship between body movement and technology, should now be addressed in greater detail.

---

60 For example, the process of performative projection or 'presence' is a 'model of reality'. The experience of a modification of interiority, presented later in Section 2.8.4 and in Chapter 5 are, both for the performer and the viewer, is also a 'model of reality'.

61 Precisions on this point are made in Section 2.6, on the use of terms 'real', 'virtual' and 'actual'.

62 This thesis thus proposes incorporating this trend in what are called the new contemporary performative stages (seminar given in the context of doctoral studies in Doctorat en Études et pratiques des arts by Enrico Pitozzi and Emanuele Quinz at the Université du Québec à Montréal in January and April 2010. 'Seminar EPA903E-10, Thematic Seminar III. Arts: Languages, materials and technologies. The sound body. The body as presence in installations and onstage').
Instrumentalisation is a problem tied to the development of materialism and a phenomenon of separation. It is a relatively new terminology. Compared to analyses in the fields of dance (Fraleigh 1987; Rousier & Sebillotte 2004), music (Péijus 2008), cinema and computer-based animation (Calver, Chaptman & Patla 1980; Paradiso & Hu 1997) and more recently, in the context of video games, the theories of Ascott ([1966-67] 2003) and Burnham ([1968; 1969] 1973) on the instrumentalisation of art seem the most pertinent in the context of this thesis. Both authors have raised this issue with respect to technology being used as a tool to pass from a former object-oriented aesthetic to a process-oriented behavioural aesthetic. According to their findings, this transition occurred in all spheres of art and has had a major influence. Unfortunately, and as this thesis has underscored, the focus of certain artistic practices has been to designate behaviours to objects rather than to question the nature of behaviour itself. The basis of this instrumentalisation is a fascination with, and staging of the power of technology.

On a physical level, there are also consequences. According to observations made by my research, the transition to an aesthetic of behaviour also traversed the field of 'dance and technology' and this phenomenon can be seen in the early works of such artists as Troika Ranch, Jean-Marc Matos or Palindrome in ways where technology is staged with the moving body in order to provoke technological effects. The performative body is mainly involved in triggering images or pre-recorded video sequences which are projected on screens at the back of the stage with performers positioned in front of them. Sensors constitute "zones" within the performance space that are triggered when the dancer comes in contact with them (with the hands or other

63 In an email sent by the NT2 group affiliated with the University of Quebec in Montreal in October 2010, NT2 announced the conference The online video game: A new space for socialising by Homo Ludens, which took place from 28-30 October 2011. In the announcement, the group suggests the need to question 'the modes of socialisation created by networked video games and to question the various forms of instrumentalisation (false 'friends'), domination, exclusion and dependency that this kind of community can encourage'.

64 Behaviorism is a branch of psychology that focuses on observable behavior determined by the environment and the history of interactions between the individual and his/her environment.
body parts). For example, Mark Coniglio of Troika Ranch created a tool called called Isadora, 'a graphic programming environment that provides human control over digital media, with special emphasis on real-time manipulation of digital video' \(^{65}\) (2004, cited in Kuypers & Corin 2004).

As for *Palindrome*, the group uses the same type of interactive system, although the group's focus is more on music. Musical sequences or independent sounds are triggered when the dancer makes a gesture producing a first degree type of interaction. The principle of design involved can be described as one of addition and/or of superposition of gestural and scenic elements - here, technological in nature - and that are often linked by the theme the artist has chosen. An overview of the evolution of the interface - a question related to the notion of interactivity - and the presentation of the main issues concerning traditional as well as more recent approaches to interface are presented in Sections 2.7 and 2.8 of this chapter.

65 Viewed on 28 October 2014, online: http://www.troikaranch.org/technology.html
66 Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://www.troikaranch.org/gallery16Rev/g-16rev.html
67 Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://www.thereminvox.com/ezimagecatalogue/catalogue/php3US5Hw.gif
68 Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://media-cache-ec0.pinimg.com/236x/2b/00/03/2b0003424ac60d90cb9ae179e46f3d6b.jpg
69 Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://yagodequay.files.wordpress.com/2010/05/capture1.jpg
This complementary problem of instrumentalisation of which I was amongst the first researchers to identify (Choinière 1993) owing to my experience and work as a dancer, developed under the influence of materialism and the theory of extension as mentioned in Section 1.3 on dualism and the birth of the body. The performative body was approached as an object or screen, as for example, in the works of Paul St-Jean70 of l’Écran humain, Klaus Obermaier71 or Alwin Nikolais72. Nikolais had been influenced by Quantum Theory and the Theory of Relativity. He created a form of total spectacle in which dancers, lighting, set design and music were given equal importance. The dancer was a part of this environment. According to Anne Livet (1978), director of Performing Arts and curator at the Forth Worth Art Museum, and Sondra Fraleigh (1987), Nikolais developed an abstract relationship to movement in which the dancer is no longer at the centre. Dancers' bodies could, for example, serve as surfaces for projecting colours of light prisms. Here is how Nikolais presents his work:

[I] became interested in exploring new dimensions of time and new dimensions of space. In my period of study we were still concerned with the Aristotelian principles of the unities of time and space, and one of my first actions was to destroy the unities and look upon them as relative. My point of view then became more Einsteinian. The whole structure in my works changed, the whole outlook of the dynamics of the human body changed, because the dancer no longer had to assume its previous role of actor (cited in Livet 1978, p.191).

Figure 15 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.
Figure 16 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

Figure 15: Alwin Nikolais, Echo, 196973
Figure 16: Alwin Nikolais, Scenario, 1971 in Livet, Anne (1978) Contemporary Dance

71 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: http://www.exile.at/ko/
73 Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://perlbal.hu-pi.com/blog-images/584126/gd/128670620286/OP-ART-12-LE-BALLET.jpg
The performative body can also be approached as part of a machine, as in the work of Australian artist Stelarc and Catalan artist Marcel Li Antunez Roca. Here, the body of the performer becomes a tool in the service of technology and is used as a trigger to activate media (sound, lighting, robotic movement, video, \textit{et caetera}). This double instrumentalisation is interconnected and, according to my research, stems from a confusion and misunderstanding of the nature of technology and of the inherent relationships between the moving body and technology that are briefly analysed in the next Section.

Figure 19 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

Figure 19: Stelarc, \textit{Amplified Body, Laser Eyes & Third Hand}, 1986\textsuperscript{76}

\textsuperscript{74} Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://www.ohio.edu/ohiotoday/images/dance_2.gif
\textsuperscript{75} Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://www.exile.at/apparition/photos/apparition_text.jpg
\textsuperscript{76} Viewed 17 December 2013, online: http://www.exile.at/apparition/photos/apparition_text.jpg
2.4 On the relationship of the body and technology: a look at the history of ideas

The relationship of the body and technology (Leroi-Gourhan [1943] 1971; [1945] 1973; Simondon [1958, 1969, 1989] 2001) cannot be reduced to a mere question of instrumentalisation. Both this thesis and my research over a period of twenty years in the field of dance and technology point to the fact that this issue is based on an incorrect understanding of the nature of the technology, its role in the world and the relations humans entertain with it.

In his essay The Question Concerning Technology, Martin Heidegger ([1954] (1958) draws attention to the essence of technique based on the thought of the Greeks. In 1954, he elaborated a critique of modern technology. In his essay, Heidegger primarily asserts that the essence of technology ' [...] is by no means anything technological' (Heidegger [1954] 1958, p.9). His main concern is with human existence and the relationship technology has to it. To understand the stakes of such a relationship, Heidegger suggests that ' [...] the questioning about technology must be put in terms of not what it is but of how technology refers to the ontological way things reveal themselves' (Heidegger [1954] 1958, cited in Nóbrega 2009, p.25).

For his part, prehistorian André Leroi-Gourhan provides an understanding of the evolution of technology in close relation to the evolution of the body, and thus of gesture. He continues in the tradition of Heidegger, but from the point of view of the body and not of the object or particular discipline (painting, sculpture, et caetera). Here, it is important to note that for Leroi-Gourhan, technology is directly related to tools:

[...] regarding technology and by extension ethnology, my position remains categorical: there is no break other than verbal below and beyond the mysterious border of civilisation. Technology, a precise word of modern industrial vocabulary, is progressively extending to encompass both the television set and the ancient flint (Leroi-Gourhan [1945] 1973, p. 315-316).
The author presents the phenomena of technological and human evolution as an absolute combinatory: that of the interdependence of the body’s evolution to respond to the need of adapting to its environment, and the evolution of the environment to meet the needs of the body. According to Leroi-Gourhan ([1945] 1973, p.332), ‘[...] the human group assimilates its environment through a curtain of objects (instruments, tools)’. He calls the study of this superficial envelope, technology, and associates technical development with gesture. This is a very important notion for this thesis, and it is also essential for understanding my hypothesis concerning a corporeal potentiality based on the interval which is presented in Section 2.8.

Leroi-Gourhan thus studies technology through the gestures associated with it. He does not present technique as a single element, but rather analyses it through a concept of continuity that he designates as a ‘technical ensemble’, for example, the wheel which leads to the chariot. With this logic of continuity, he also notes (Leroi-Gourhan [1945] 1973, p.112-113) that, ‘[...] a tool becomes a machine according to a certain level of mechanical complication. The machine appears as a device that frequently incorporates not only the common tool, but before all, one or more gestures’. Leroi-Gourhan builds his argument from the point of view of the body and experience. It is a vision that comes from consciousness of the body from within, and not from an external point of view, as an observer. In Section 2.5, an analysis of the history of the body and technology also looks at the question of the ontology of the body. It will be seen how inner awareness of the body, a notion developed in the twentieth century, is an essential focal point for developing a new relationship between the body and technology.
**The problematic of the double: introduction**

The specific perspective Leroi-Gourhan adopts allowed me to build the concept of a sound body\(^{77}\) that is in fact, a vibrating body and complexification of the physical body or corporality. This concept proposes that the body is modified phenomenologically, sensory-perceptually and not *lived* as a double. The idea of the double first derived from theories of extension dating back to the Egyptians, and later taken up by Descartes and Simondon ([1958, 1969] 1989), theories that were introduced in Section 1.3. Simondon speaks from an external point of view and understands technology, in part, as an extension of the body, rather than considering the relationship body-technology from an internal, integrative and interdependent perspective as Leroi-Gourhan proposes. The phenomenological modification of the body of a sensory-perceptual nature which I propose challenges the notion of the double and supports one of the secondary hypotheses of the thesis concerning the emergence of new types of performativ behaviour as discussed in Section 2.8.4\(^{78}\).

Leroi-Gourhan bases his understanding of the evolution of technology on the experience of the body: a physicality that is experienced when one uses tools. For Leroi-Gourhan, and also the position defended in this thesis, technology must firstly be lived to be understood. His research methodology is based on an experimental study of technology, an idea of methodology that I, too, experimented with intuitively and share a same interest for. Right from the start, he integrates the development of the body and its evolution with the evolution of technology, which he identifies as the environment of human beings.

---

\(^{77}\) Analysed in Chapter 5.

\(^{78}\) A more specific analysis of the notion of the double is proposed in Section 2.8.4 as well as in the presentation of my methodology with performers in Section 4.3.1.
This position supports the hypotheses, research methodology and strategies underpinning the practical research proposed in this thesis in order to escape from an instrumental vision of the relationship between the body and technology. They include the notions I developed concerning sensory-perceptual destabilisation, the 'de-heirarchisation' of the body and the senses, the self-organisation of the body, the possibility of an internal reorganisation of the physical body, along with the concepts of a physical and collective sound body. At the same time, this position opens onto the development of an integrative vision of the relationship between the body and technology that is of capital importance in this thesis.

2.5 Historical precedents: the body and technology

Let us now turn our attention to historical precedents concerning the relations between the body and technology.

In Chapter 1, Section 1.3, a presentation was made of the three main stages of the history of the body and technology as identified by Jean-Jacques Courtine, stages in/through which the twentieth century conceptually 'invented' the body. They included the following actors/theories: 1- Freud who claimed that the unconscious spoke through the body; 2- Husserl who initiated the idea of the body as an original source, hence a subject; 3- Mauss who spoke of the importance and singularity of the body's techniques. Later, through this process of change, the body also became inscribed socially and culturally through medical visualisations, genetic manipulation, ethical and legal debates over the ownership of reproductive cells, the standardisation of the body subject to a triple control of cosmetics, dietary regimes and plastic surgery, the body on Internet, notions of sexuality as the right to pleasure, et caetera. Also, with the protest
movements of the 1960s and the feminist movement demanding women's ownership and right to their own bodies, an obsession with linguistic structuralism began to wane. The twentieth century was a veritable tidal wave concerning the question of the body and this thesis shares Courtine's conclusions as to the fact that the history of the body is just beginning. The next section intends to make a humble contribution to these questions and explorations, while indicating areas of research I explored for this thesis.

Through their analyses, Courtine and his team of collaborators offer some definitions that help to understand different concepts of the body from a Western perspective as a complement to Merleau-Ponty's idea of 'the body itself' that was presented in Section 1.3. A few of these definitions will be examined in order to situate the framework for my analysis.

Pascal Ory (2006), professor of contemporary history at the Sorbonne Paris I proposes a contemporary historian's view of the concept of the 'ordinary body', as defined by and subject to the influence of general movements in society. Meanwhile, Georges Vigarello (2006), member of the Institut Universitaire de France, professor of history at Université Paris V and director of studies at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales, in his analysis from the point of view of the social sciences, proposes the technical body that is a body that can be measured. Subject to physical training (often involving machines), the technical body is dependent on a calculation of efficiency of its progress. Vigarello also cites the work of Etienne-Jules Marey as having inaugurated a wave of fashionable devices for evaluating human movement (2006, p.178).

---

79 This issue is further discussed in Section 1.7 in the analysis of Merleau-Ponty's third sensory chiasm from Bernard's perspective (2001) and also in Gil's analysis (2000) in a footnote in this section.

80 Pascal Ory is the author of some thirty books on the political and cultural history of contemporary Western societies.
The body from within

Vigarello additionally brings up another point of interest concerning the technical body. Following the First World War, athletic modes were to create the development of an internal awareness of the body — of sensations and internal bearings — which is revealed through the control of muscles and movement. It is "the body from within", a concept which can be related to the theories of Leroi-Gourhan, as well as to theories of the somatic (Batson 2010), and to Hubert Godard's research which were evoked in Section 1.8.4.

If, according to Vigarello, the body from within is the starting point for developing a working awareness of perception and feeling, according to Annie Suquet (2006), who adopts a historian's perspective of dance, this new awareness of the body occurred well before with the work of American dancer Loïe Fuller in 1892 with *la Danse Serpentine* and *Danses* and continued with the work of Étienne-Jules Marey, pioneer of photography and precursor of cinema. According to Erin Manning (2009), and also as discussed in conversations for my research with Enrico Pitozzi in 2010, Marey was a great investigator of these changes as he renewed understandings of the body through developing awareness of sensation and perception (as had Fuller).

Fuller and Marey both worked to make the trajectory of movement visible in their

---

81 First World War: 1914-1918.
82 Of which Laban and Bernard are major influences with their work on the self-organisation of the body and their approach to dance as based on a sensory and perceptual reorganisation of the body as presented in Section 1.8 on the different forms of kinaesthetic intelligence.
83 Annie Suquet is a dance historian. She was as resident researcher at the Merce Cunningham Dance Foundation in New York for three years while working for the *Revue RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics* (Harvard University).
84 Fuller (1862-1928).
85 Erin Manning holds a University Research Chair in the Faculty of Fine Arts at Concordia University, Montreal.
86 Manning makes an historical analysis from the perspective of a branch of philosophy called Radical Empiricism. What William James (1996, cited in Manning 2009), calls Radical Empiricism is 'a pragmatic investment in the relation between objects and worlds'. He defines it as 'an empiricism [that] must neither admit into its constructions any element that is not directly experienced, nor exclude form them any element that is directly experienced' (1912, p.42 cited in Manning 2009). Radical empiricism is radical because it begins with the actually imperceptible – relation – and asks how relation produces events of knowledge. In radical empiricism, there is nothing prior to direct experience, and no way to work outside of experience’s incipient relationality. 'For such a philosophy, the relations that connect experiences must themselves be experienced relations, and any kind of relation experienced must be accounted as "real as anything else in the system"' (James 1912, p.42 cited in Manning 2009). Berthoz (1997, p.26) criticises this empirical approach at a time (1970-1980) when the domination of research on perception which was isolated from action also came from a philosophical subordination of action to perception.
87 If Suquet and Manning/Pitozzi disagree as to which artist was initially interested in perceptual changes related to the issue of movement, this thesis takes Suquet's side.
respective work. In so doing, they also played with the perception of time, because the
metamorphic unfolding of form in their work arises from the annihilation of existing
forms preceding them. The trace of movement, usually invisible, became visible thanks
to technological intervention. These two artists thus opened up another space of
perception.

An introduction to the question of the invisible

The question of making visible what is normally invisible through technology is
important for this thesis because it invests in another perceptual space. Other artists
from different disciplines were also interested in the question of renewing perception of
the body through the use of technology. For example, Walter Benjamin (1892-1940),
offers a perspective concerned with 'the ways in which technologies affect(ed) the
corporeal' (cited in Gromala 2007, p.39). Developing a concept of the "optical
unconscious" in A Small History of Photography ([1931] 1979), Benjamin's analysis of
modernity contextualises film and photography as technologies, and he argues that
certain technologies can reveal the world in ways that were previously impossible to
perceive. He takes as an example the cinematic effect of slow motion:

Whereas it is commonplace that, for example, we have some idea what is involved in the act of
walking, if only in general terms, we have no idea at all what happens during the fraction of a
second when a person steps out. Photography, with its devices of slow motion and enlargement,
reveals the secret (Benjamin 1931, cited in Gromala 2007, p.39).

Noting similar perceptual changes in the context of dance and somatics in
conjunction with technology, Andrea Davidson reiterates Benjamin's views,
emphasising the effect technology has on the relationship between 'reality' and its
perception:

[i]n 1936, Walter Benjamin noted how recently discovered technologies of mechanical
reproduction had begun to penetrate the new medium of film so deeply that the representation of
reality had changed. Provoking new conditions and habits in the reception of art, reproduction –
technology – had robbed "the original" of its "aura" and art, of its anchoring in tradition. But
more importantly, it had given rise to new art forms and changes in perceptual patterns
(Benjamin [1935] 1999, cited in Davidson 2013, pp.5-6).
According to Michael Taussing, a contemporary Australian anthropologist and professor at Columbia University and the European Graduate School, who critiques Western and specifically, capitalist culture\textsuperscript{88} in *Mimesis and Alterity: A Particular History of the Senses*, an understanding of movement through technological intervention, 'reshap(es) in a bodily mode, the habits of perception' (1993, cited in Gromala 2007, p.39). What is interesting about this evolution is that artists' work on perception (through lighting, veils or smoke in the case of Fuller; through chronophotography for Marey; or through film and photography according to Benjamin), laid the foundation for profound changes in the perception of the moving body via technology. These changes announced the influence technology was to have for the renewal of art, its organisation and manifestations. In the context of my own research, it opened the path for experimentation that linked the moving body and technology of which my concepts of the collective body and the sound body were notably made possible through strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation.

The sixth sense of movement: the moving body and perception

To pursue the question of changes of perception associated with the moving body, around 1906, a conceptual bridge was made that was to allow for the emergence of a sixth sense, that of movement, or the kinaesthetic, which intimately links the body, movement and perception. It was at that time that Charles Scott, a British neurophysiologist, invented the concept of proprioception. If kinesthesis refers to the sense of movement, proprioception\textsuperscript{89} is more concerned with questions of posture, pressure and the internal organs. Alain Berthoz defines the kinaesthetic as the 'special

\textsuperscript{88} The polemic he raises shifts the anthropologist’s object of study from that of other cultures to that of their own, and repositions the former objects of anthropological study (such as indigenous peoples) as valued critical thinkers.

\textsuperscript{89} According to Cole, Dupraz and Gallagher (2000, cited by Quinz & Mencacci 2006), proprioception is the meeting place of the main considerations that are found in literature today on the subject: mechanical proprioceptive information (which is subpersonal and not conscious), proprioceptive awareness (recessive awareness of the body) and visual proprioception (Gibson), or ecological structure of perception and movement.
sense of its simultaneous handling of input from several varied sensors (of the physical body)' (Berthoz 1997). The sense of movement is constituted by the cooperation of muscular and joint proprioceptive activity, of vestibular sensors (the sense of balance) along with vision, as well as with the tactile sensors of the skin. The importance of tactile sensors in my work is highlighted in the presentation of the collective physical body and the sound body and principles of contact improvisation in Chapter 5.

Figure 20 has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

---

Figure 20: Alain Berthoz, Body sensors, in Berthoz, Alain (1997) Le sens du mouvement

---

**Interior/exterior: introduction**

Kinesthesis therefore includes proprioception. An important point this thesis wants to make here is that interest in the inner workings of the body or the 'body from within', supported by studies in the fields of somatics and neuroscience and particularly, in Godard's research, can lead to what Suquet calls a significant change in the domain of
the performing arts: 'It is this conscious and unconscious territory of mobility of the human body that is opened up for the explorations of dancers at the threshold of the twentieth century' (2006, p.412). Further, consciousness of an invisible level of organisation of expression, for example, through perception of physiological impulses, links to breath, *et caetera*, becomes the basis for a number of developments in the performing arts of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries.

Thus, sensoriality has become one of the elements that motivates the research of many choreographers. According to Aurore Després, lecturer in the aesthetics of dance at the *Université* de Franche-Comté, France, whose thesis was entitled *Travail des sensations dans la pratique de la danse contemporaine* Working on Sensation in *Contemporary Dance Practice*, many creative and pedagogical practices 'place "sensation" at the heart of the dancing gesture' (1998, p.6). Florence Figols⁹⁰, professor at Concordia University, Montreal, also cites the work of choreographers such as Jackie Taffanel⁹¹, Trisha Brown⁹², Odile Duboc¹³ and improvisers Steve Paxton⁹⁴, Lisa Nelson⁹⁵ and Dana Reitz⁹⁶ amongst others, as 'us[ing] work on sensation in their artistic research' (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.4). I share Figols' findings when she remarks that these choreographers focus their work on 'multiple intersensorial paths that act directly on the quality of movement and perception of the body (2000, Chapter II, p.4) [...]. It is once again a question of silencing part of the visible in order to bring out a whole other part of the sensitive' (2000, Chapter III, p.4). French dance critic Laurence Louppe, in her book *Poétique de la danse contemporaine* (2000), advances the opinion

---

⁹⁰ Figols has a Masters degree in dance from the University of Quebec in Montreal entitled *Parcours sensible d’un processus de composition chorégraphique: sensation, improvisation, interprétation* (Navigating the Sensitive Process of Choreographic Composition: Sensation, improvisation, interpretation).

⁹¹ Viewed 21 November 2014, online: http://www.compagnietaffanel.com/

⁹² Viewed 21 November 2014, online: http://www.trishabrowncompany.org/

⁹³ Viewed 21 November 2014, online: http://www.numeridanse.tv/fr/video/1713_odile-duboc-centre-choregraphique-national-de-franche-comte-extrait


⁹⁵ Viewed 21 November 2014, online: http://the-live-legacy-project.com/guests/lisa-nelson/

⁹⁶ Viewed 21 November 2014, online: http://arttasknowing.umn.edu/danaReitz.html
that 'in fact, contemporary dance composition depends on the emergence of dynamics from matter. And not from a particular mold determined from the outside' (cited in Figols 2000, Chapter III, p.4-5). It is work that invests in the invisible. Specific attention to Brown's and Paxton's work will be given in Chapter 5 because they were an inspiration for the research presented in this thesis.

Walter Benjamin offers another perspective on the relationship between the internal and external and also on the question of sensoriality. This perspective is articulated through his concept of 'mimetic faculty' through which Benjamin establishes a perception of similarity in the world and the individual which is activated by what he calls a 'sensuous correspondence' (Benjamin [1931]1979, p.160). Here is how Gunter Gebauer and Christoph Wulf analyse this concept:

External and internal worlds are continually approximating each other and are comprehensible only in terms of this reciprocal movement, in which similarities and correspondences between inner and outer are formed. And there we arrive again at the mimetic relation: individuals make themselves similar to the outer world, changing themselves in the process; in this transformation, their perception of both outer world and self change. The result is a mimetic developmental spiral (1992, cited in Gromala 2007, p.40).

This idea is of interest for this thesis because it returns to and reinforces Merleau-Ponty's notion of a carnal body while also underscoring the importance of complementarity in the sensory chiasms. Lastly, it also can be related to the bodily transformations that technology can enable.

**Flesh, corporality and corporeality in the light of technology**

In the context of performative arts integrating technology, the concept of the carnal body, as conceived by Merleau-Ponty (1964a) is particularly helpful. To continue on in the same vein, it is important to return to two key concepts in the study of the carnal body: corporality and corporeality (Bernard 2001). These two concepts were also
intimately linked to the development of the collective physical body and the sound body in my practical research.

The term corporality, referring to the physical body in its materiality, is a concept that is dependent on this thesis' research on perception because it is through the destabilisation of perception that the link to corporeality was established.

Corporeality is rather a product of my approach: it stemmed from the path and process of exploring and defining the body through my practical research. Supporting the historical analysis just made, it is more specifically related to the definition given by Perrin which was presented in Section 1.3, according to which corporeality is conceived of as an opening and reflects a changing, mobile and unstable reality composed of networks of intensities and forces.

As Rieusset-Lemarié points out, 'the dancer's body is not fenced in by its immediacy. It is open. Its real presence is traversed by alterity, by virtuality' (2006, p.90). Continuing her analysis, she cites French choreographer Jean-Marc Matos who asks the question:

Is dance not precisely, and has it not always been, the very space of bodily encounter with the virtual in the sense of corporeality? [...] In dance, the body is staged, and therefore is a projection of the imagination and an expressive "communication" through a certain degree of "virtuality". This virtuality is both of an immaterial and unreal nature, but also of the sensitive world (Matos 1999, cited in Rieusset-Lemarié 2006, p.90).

The ideas of Rieusset-Lemarié and Matos on the presence of the virtual in the physical body of the dancer are important for my analysis. This question is further developed in Section 2.6 with respect to their dynamic interrelation. Thus, the concept of corporeality is central to the thesis. It articulates links between: 1- another type of relationship of the body with technology this thesis proposes; 2- my hypothesis of the necessary shift of the body's ontology when it is in contact with technology, an idea which is referred to in Section 2.8.5; 3- an idea I propose in Chapters 4 and 5 concerning the need to develop a methodology for practical research based on paradigms that are specific to the
performing arts; and 4- theories of the invisible and flux developed by Buci-
Glucksmann, Rolnik and Pitozzi which are presented in Section 2.8.

**Activation of the carnal body through the experience of technology**

Returning to Merleau-Ponty's concept of the carnal body, it can be noted that in continuing Husserl's project, Merleau-Ponty emphasised the inherence of consciousness and of the body in the analysis of perception. He affirms that consciousness is embedded in the ambiguity of corporeality which is intentionally directed towards a world of which it is part. Merleau-Ponty thus "existentialises" the phenomenological project by considering the body not as the passive characteristic of a subject (the perceiving individual) in a relationship with an object (the perceived world), but rather as the fleshly medium which provides access to the world. He thus departs from a dualist dynamic between subject and object\(^{97}\) and rather proposes this relationship in an integrative mode that allows for other models of reality\(^{98}\).

With his concept of a phenomenology of perception, Merleau-Ponty instead places corporeality at the centre of the study of experience inasmuch as corporeality constitutes an opening and an investment in the world, to others, to the self and to the incarnated individual through perception. Consisting of the reception and interpretation of information captured from the environment or from the body itself through the exteroceptive\(^{99}\) senses and proprioception, in other words, through corporeality, perception is inextricably linked to the notion of sensation. For Merleau-Ponty, sensation informs the living relationship of the perceiver to his body and to the world. The body is a permanent condition of experience as it is through the medium of embodied perception that the individual discovers the world, others and him/herself through sensation.

\(^{97}\) Presented in Section 1.3.  
\(^{98}\) An issue raised in Section 2.2.  
\(^{99}\) Perception of stimuli which come from the outside.
In the context of performative arts, the performer's perceptual experience inevitably implies his/her physicality (corporality) as well as his/her corporeality, through which he/she discovers and appropriates his/her inner space and the surrounding space, or environment of the performance. According to this thesis, the concept of the carnal body was tested, embodied and revealed through my experimentation with a sensory-perceptual reconfiguration of the physical body, thus of corporality, with the subsequent modifications to corporality generating corporeality. The carnal body is the meeting place that weaves a co-belonging to the same world as Rieusset-Lemarié (2006) and Bouchard (2011) argue. The dancer's constituent flesh should thus not be considered as an obstacle, but rather as a meeting place, because, according to Merleau-Ponty (1945), 'the flesh' is the element that allows for a transduction between bodies, and it founds the very dimension of the sensitive.

In conclusion, I propose to think of the carnal body as the meeting place of the physical body and a potentiality which generates the dynamic of transformation defended in this thesis. Corporeality is a state of fusion, an alteration of forces present, and, in my artistic process, it is produced through strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation that concern both the collective physical body and the mediated body. These strategies provoke a reorganisation of the body's interiority, a modified interiority, which, in turn, allows for the development of the carnal body. It is from this state of instability, fragility and movement that corporeality can emerge. This idea supports my hypothesis surrounding potentiality as a new physiological interface, a notion which is presented in Section 2.8 and complemented by theories of Rolnik, Buci-Glucksmann and Pitozzi.
2.6 The real, the virtual, the actual

It has just been shown how the virtual is an integral part of the physical body. But before continuing, it is essential to clarify the use of the terms 'virtual', 'real' and 'actual'.

The concept of the 'virtual' is an important element in the relationship between the moving body and technology. The word comes from the medieval Latin *virtualis*, itself derived from *virtus*, strength, power (Lévy 1998). Pierre Lévy (1998), philosopher, and professor of Sociology and Information Sciences and Communication at the University of Ottawa in Canada, bases his analysis of the virtual from the point of view of philosophy and hypermedia. He proposes the example of the seed to explain the strength and power of the virtual. The tree is virtually present in the seed. Suely Rolnik (n.d. c) and Gilles Deleuze (1980) approach Lévy's analogy in a similar way by giving the example of the egg and its potential for becoming a hen or a chicken. Levy goes on to argue (1998, p.13) that 'in philosophical rigour, the virtual is not opposed to the real, but to the actual: virtuality and actuality are two different ways of being'.

Let us now look at the term 'actual'. Actualisation is the response to a problem that is not contained in advance of what is enunciated. Actualisation is thus 'a creation, it is an invention of a form from a dynamic configuration of forces and finalities' (Levy 1998).
If the 'virtual' is an element of power, 'a potentiality', the 'actual' is its reply, because the actual takes a form that is the response of the transformation of the invisible forces of the 'virtual'. The 'real', or 'reality', on the other hand, is 'a material effectuation, a tangible presence' (Levy 1998, p.13).

In this thesis, the conception of the 'real' does not oppose the 'virtual' (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a; Lévy 1998; Massumi 2002). The 'virtual' is already part of the 'real'; it is inscribed as a 'dimension of reality'. It is an active principle which is already at work in the real (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a). Far from being an element of dematerialisation, the 'virtual' stands as an element of transformation that can make the body evolve, even in its composition. According to Levy, the 'virtual' is a way of being productive and powerful. As in the case of my practical research, it is a tool to propel one towards different 'processes of transformation from one being to another' (Lévy 1998, p.10). This idea was important for the development of my research, because it led me to consider what Lévy identifies as 'a shift in the ontological centre of gravity of the object under consideration' (Lévy 1998, p.15). It also supports my hypothesis of an ontological shift of the body which occurs when the physical body (corporality), becoming more complex, expresses corporeality. Lastly, it led me to reexamine existing relationships and visions of the performative body and interfaces.

2.7 The notion of interface: a schematic history of traditional interfaces

Attention can now be drawn to how Western thought has shaped and influenced the concept of the interface in technological devices. To introduce the subject, a brief historical overview follows.
The supremacy of the interface is proposed in the dominant discourse of media art. It has also been adopted in the field of contemporary performative stages that integrate technology. This discourse is based on a dualist and materialistic Western perspective, or a 'culture of objects' as identified by Christine Buci-Glucksmann (2003). This approach to interfaces, largely adopted in the visual arts, is today the main one adopted in the field of the performing arts.

Traditional views of the interface, situated at the intersection of technology and the human, formalise pragmatic goals of communication destined to translate and transform the language of the human body and the algorithmic language lying at the heart of computer technology (Quinz 2003a, 2003b). According to Emanuele Quinz, a theorist teaching in the Theatre Department of Université Paris 8 and founder of Anomos, a French-Italian cultural association devoted to digital art, the changing concept of the interface has evolved in four major stages: 1- a first stage in which interfaces pretended to communicate but did not actually do so, rather imposing a form of opacity between the body/language and the computing machine; 2 - an evolution of the concept wherein the interface takes the form of a membrane of contact, a sensitive object that allows for a type of dialogue between objects in the physical world and the digital information system; 3- a subsequent development in which the interface becomes transparent, permitting interactivity, reversible or mutual exchange, and types of transformation; 4- and further, where the interface is defined as a skin that connects people to an environment.

I nevertheless wish to qualify Quinz's third designation because if an entire evolution in the concept of the interface was to take place afterwards, the fact remains that, even if the concept of the artificial interface is refined, it still operates according to
principles of selection and reduction that run counterpoint to the body, which can rather be compared to a complex physiological interface. At this point in its history, the interface is more of a function: one of uniting, defining and separating. It is still a system of borders (Quinz 2003a, 2003b). With Quinz's last definition, the interface retains the logic of a membrane, of a surface, allowing for a limited type of contact.

If the question of the interface is examined from the perspective of the performing arts, this thesis argues that it can only be seen as an imported concept. I rather share Quinz's conclusions about the interface as constituting a system of borders. This topic was developed previously in Section 1.6 with respect to recent examples of artists who take a post-phenomenological approach when working with the body as an interface. For my part, I could no longer use the interfaces proposed to me, but rather needed to move towards more organic solutions in the choice of an interface capable of producing the relational complexity I sought\textsuperscript{103}.

2.7.1 Development of an aesthetic approach to the interface

To initiate this section, a schematic history of the evolution of my approach to interfaces in the different works I have created to date is presented. Following this, the topic of aesthetics of the interface is broached that also integrates my views on the theoretical debate concerning interfaces.

In my first performance Communion (1995 — 2000), I developed the idea of a ritualised transition from the real body to a "synthetic" body. This transition was

\textsuperscript{103} Parallel to these understandings, and under the influence of Roy Ascott and his research group at the 'Planetary Collegium' to which we belong, we have been in touch with different fields of study on technology. Different approaches such as nanotechnology, biotechnology, neurotechnology, plant technology, Ascott's theories on the 'moist' and the 'dry', Bio-art, et caetera have also nourished our thinking. Even if they are not directly applicable to our research, they have radically changed our approach to technology. They notably led us to redefine the relationship of technology in our work.
expressed through a process of ritualisation of real skin towards an electronic skin (what I called an electronic scarification). Thus, *Communion* was presented as a kind of prayer, mantra, or invocation. The work speaks of the fusion of real and electronic fleshes. Interactive devices to produce sound in real-time and control lighting were placed on the body of the performer (my own).

My body was equipped with a micro-controller on my lower back and a wireless transmission device placed on my right hip connected to the microcontroller. Flex sensors were applied under my armpits for continuous control of certain Sections of lighting changes and vocal transformations, and on my inner elbows and knees to trigger sound sequences. A wireless transmitting device was connected to my left hip and linked to a microphone installed on a helmet I wore. These devices created significant constraints on the gestural discourse because they reduced the possibility of movement. For example, I had to create sharp movements that were related to the joints because I had to 'bend' the
flex sensors so they would send data and MIDI\textsuperscript{104} signals. Moreover, the microcontroller was on my back, so I could not go to the floor or lie down.

In my second creation, *La Démence des Anges* (1999 — 2005), I wanted to explore the concepts of alteration or a mutation of the body when it is projected inside digital networks. The performance involved a telematic duo that encompassed two spaces that might potentially be thousands of kilometres away from each other. Devices allowing for interactivity (sound in real-time but in a more complex way than in *Communion*) were placed on the arms of the performers (myself and another dancer), with two wireless transmitters on the right and one on the left arm.

Figure 22: Isabelle Choinière, *La démence des anges*, 1999-2005

The sensors were developed by the technical team and consisted of two microphones that were worn on the fingertips of each hand, with a microphone for the voice. The

\textsuperscript{104} MIDI (abbreviation for Musical Instrument Digital Interface) is a technical standard that describes a protocol, digital interface and connectors and allows a wide variety of electronic musical instruments, computers and other related devices to connect and communicate with one another. A single MIDI link can carry up to sixteen channels of information, each of which can be routed to a separate device. MIDI carries event messages that specify notation, pitch and velocity, control signals for parameters such as volume, vibrato, audio panning, cues, and clock signals that set and synchronize tempo between multiple devices.
microphones on the fingers sent data related to gesture (the amount of air passing through the microphones was interpreted by the Max software. For more technical details, refer to the technical description in Appendix 8.3.1). This interface created fewer constraints than in my first work, because it allowed us to create subtler gestures and actions with different dynamics. Also, the microphones were used, amongst other things, to generate sound feedback, with our movement controlling notes dependent on our position in space. The live image was captured by a video conferencing system and broadcast in each space as images of a virtual partner of each performer. However, the lighting and video design were extremely restrictive and confined to the performers to very reduced spaces or even requiring them to stay on the spot at times in order to create the desired visual effects or for the organization of the encounter of the body of the performer with her virtual partner retransmitted on a screen system in her space (and vice versa, because the same process was broadcast simultaneously in each place with different audiences).

In the third creation entitled *Meat Paradoxe* (Studies # 2 and # 3 in this thesis), the performers are almost naked. They wear a wireless sound transmission system and a microphone hidden under turbans. In the last phase of exploration — Study #3 — analog sound or signals are routed to an octophonic sound system projected through speakers placed around the outside of the stage space. Sound is processed and spatialised in real-time. This staging gives the dancers almost total freedom of movement within a stage space six meters wide.
These works were all determined by a common imperative: that of working with an interface — or a concept for an interface — that would allow me to invest in a particular aesthetic dimension. For my doctoral research, the aesthetic dimension, is based on original definitions of the aesthetic outlined by the Greeks, prioritising a form of sensory and perceptual understanding and introducing another way of sensing and perceiving the world and thus, of perceiving reality. The aesthetic development involved was thus one that was produced by sensation and our ability to perceive. This dynamic was to affect my approach to the work and way of thinking about it and would ultimately affect its organisation and the final shape that would emerge. As such, the aesthetic dimension was the motor for this creation. It was also what was to lead me to working with a real-time interface. This understanding emerged as a consequence of research concerning the modification of corporeality which I had personally been able to experience and work on with different dancers.
The need to develop a different relationship to an interface that could enrich corporeality and experiential awareness came from this experimentation. I wanted to avoid the kind of instrumentalisation to which performers are so often submitted with technology. Creative work reflecting complexity, in my third research-creation involving five bodies in constant contact — the collective body — also demanded that I avoid a causal relationship with technology. The relationship to which I refer is the relation of cause and effect that is found mainly in the relationship between moving body and the generation of sound in real-time: a one-to-one relationship between the performer and sound or between the performer and image. This happens when the performer moves and triggers a short sound, a prerecorded (triggered) sequence or acts upon one component of the sound (for example, he/she raises an arm and produces a sound which may vary in volume, pitch, et caetera). He/she provokes a type of technological action. There is no feedback involved that might influence the performer or allow him/her to evolve in his/her corporality and corporeality.

I was therefore looking for an interface that could act upon sensory-perceptual organisation, or the kinaesthetic and internal organisation of the body. This approach to the interface was useful in my process because it gave audio feedback to the body, and was thus perceived physiologically by the performers. Thanks to feedback in real-time, perception becomes dilated, more complex and transforms itself. This relationship was interesting, because it allowed me to deepen the aesthetic dimension of my work. When aligned with the aims of my research, this approach allowed me to develop multiple variations of sensory understanding as well as variations in states of perception. The relationship with technology, as I develop it, redefines modes of perception through the phenomenological approach I take in conjunction with strategies of destabilisation that...
incorporate sound production and return (feedback). As noted above, technology influences the ways we sense the body because the inner body becomes mediated. By changing the body's internal bearings, I thereby changed my way of thinking about and organising the work. In working in this way, I introduced a new way of sensing and perceiving the world, of understanding and influencing, or even creating, a new model of reality.

If I chose to work with an interface that generates sound rather than images — as the majority of artists in the field do — it is because working with sound allowed me to build a more direct and clearer relationship to the interiority of the body. Sound has a direct influence on the dancer's physicality. It can be linked to breath, to all dynamics of the kinaesthetic, to the production of gesture, weight management, et caetera. As mentioned in Section 1.8.4 "The somatic and its principle", I used breath in my exploratory process to create awareness (for the dancer) of the interiority of his/her body. Firstly, the dancer hears the sound of his/her breath resonating inside the body. I then used this element to develop the dancer's concentration as a form of introspection. I further associated the development of small movements with the dancers' breathing and after, with bigger movements related to the latter, so they could perform movement with a greater awareness of sensation. In this phase of exploration, breath was entirely joined to the physical gesture being explored. Later on in the creative process, when mediation was added, breath and gesture were extended into space-time in the form of sounds and vibrations of the collective sound body. Details of this process are analysed later in the thesis in Section 5.3 "Analysis of the Performance: introduction" and in Section 5.3.3.2. "The mediated collective body: definition and principle of construction of the sound body as part of the collective body". Thus, sound, through its vibration, penetrates the dancer's body, facilitating changes in his/her range of sensations and perceptions. These
changes can influence and destabilise the dancer. For me, sound became a strong aesthetic strategy because it continually changes sensory understanding of the internal and external world with which the dancer (and audience) is in contact. The dancer can integrate this new information in multiple ways and manipulate it directly. It also constitutes a physiological strategy because perceptual and sensory feedback is in constant fluctuation.

One of the goals of my practical research was to reveal a different dimension of sensoriality and introduce new artistic perspectives. Through strategies that destabilise perception, I desired to go deeper and deeper into the flesh in order to explore what Rieusset-Lemarié has called 'changes of bodily states' (Rieusset-Lemarié 2006) and also, to propose risk-taking strategies that can lead to new sensorial information. Risk-taking strategies are elements of my exploratory process that also characterise my artistic process. In Chapter 1, I describe these strategies in Section 1.8.2 "Empathy and intersubjectivity: a strategy of learning and recognition" and in Chapter 5, especially Section 5.3.3 "Sensorial and perceptual destabilisation as a learning process". The destabilisation of sensory and perceptual references is the main feature of these risk-taking strategies.

When I use the word 'risk' or 'to put at risk', I refer to the definition and meaning given by Celine Roux (2007) introduced in Section 1.5 in a sub-section entitled "The performative attitude as a principle of risk-taking". That is to say, when experimentation (in an artistic practice or engaged action) submerges and involves the very existence of the performer (and here, the audience as well). The performer (and spectator, in the case of my research) is not simply involved in an act of representation, 'but rather engages fully in an act of risk involving his/her corporeality. It is his/her own sensations, not
those of a character that are involved. [This process] stages the individual who exhibits, organises and executes actions affecting [and destabilising] his/her own body (Roux 2007, p.17). This strategy was applied to deepen work on corporality as well as to create the state of fragility and dissolution of psycho-corporal borders of which Suely Rolnik has spoken and which was introduced in Section 2.8 in a sub-section entitled "Suely Rolnik: the notion of a dissolution of psycho-corporal borders'. It affects both the performer and spectator but at different levels of intensity.

In Section 5.3.3, I identify the main strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation - or risk-taking strategies - such as "Proximity as a strategy of dehierachisation" (in Section 5.3.3.1). In Section 5.3.3.2 "The team, kinesthetic and choreographic choices", I present the development of bodily spasms as a strategy of empathy and intercorporality, and in Section 5.3.3.4 on sound, I present points about "Intrasensorial strategy for the dancer - sensorial and perceptual reorganisation and concept of presence" and "The issue of breath stemming from collective movements as an immersive empathic strategy". These points describe factors of destabilisation that cause and constitute elements of risk, of which I speak as being primarily connected with the performer's body, and as a direct result of his/her being immersed in an environment that is mediated. In addition, I used complementary and other non-technological elements to organize the collective body (e.g., 'deheirachisation', the proximity of performers amongst themselves and as a group with the audience, and the dynamic of body spasms). These points are described in Chapter 5 in the sections and sub-sections mentioned above. The audience, meanwhile, is also subject to these strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation because it is also immersed in an environment that is mediated, and in parallel, experiences the effect of empathy with the dancers. These last strategies are presented in Chapter 5 in Section 5.3.3.1 "Proximity as
a strategy of dehierachisation", Section 5.3.3.2 "The strategy of bodily spasms – toward a strategy of empathy and intercorporeality" and Section 5.3.3.4 "Intercorporeality: evidence and a brief theoretical analysis on the results of the integration process". If my work is not political, I was aware that its interdisciplinary nature and positioning facing established codes, trespasses and breaks away from existing codes, thus constituting a certain form of "protest".

The interface is often been spoken about in terms of technological tools. My research however, initiates the idea that the interface can extend to the physical body and become a part of it. The potentiality present in the concept of the virtual (and thus of the real body) is, in my process, part of the concept of the interface. Let us now look at different theories on this subject that can be integrated within this conceptual framework.

2.8 A presentation of various theories on the interface and potentiality

The approach to the interface as a surface allowing for communication is extremely problematic. On the other hand, the notion of corporeality as an opening to a world of 'vibratory intersubjectivity' or intercorporeality, which allows for a type of physiological communication, is a concept that requires a 'dissolution of surface'. As the traditional approach to the interface was no longer sufficient for me, it was imperative, in the framework of this thesis, to find a concept of the interface capable of opening onto the world in the complexity of its many relationships.

To explain the process involved, it is necessary to look at the central notion of potentiality introduced in Section 2.6 on the 'real', the 'virtual' and the 'actual'. This will be the starting point and theoretical node to be developed in this section. Through the
concept of potentiality, let us examine the development of the concept of the interface to which it is related.

2.8.1 The interval

A more recent approach to the notion of the interface is presented by Christine Christine Buci-Glucksmann (2001; 2003). Buci-Glucksmann is a French philosopher and Professor Emeritus at Université Paris 8. Her work focuses on the aesthetics of the Baroque, Japan, and computer art. In her analysis of the interface, she positions herself from the point of view of a theorist who focuses principally on architecture, along with other references made to the Japanese performing arts, for example, to actors’ bodies in Nôh, the traditional theatre of Japan, which she explains as '[...] transitory media on which ephemeral encounters take place' (2001, p.175) She advances the idea of a current transition from a 'culture of objects' to a 'culture of flux', basing her idea on the concept of 'vital flux' as developed in Eastern thought and also remarking that flux challenges old boundaries between the body and mind. If the idea of flux is not new to media art, the interest of Buci-Glucksmann's proposition lies in the fact that she associates it with the Japanese concept of Mâ and the interval. Mâ is:

[...] both the interval, the void and spacing, "between" in the strongest sense of the word. It separates, connects, and installs breath, fluctuation and incompleteness, that engenders a relationship of time to infinity so typical in Japan [....] Mâ is the very form of time. Time that is uncontrolled and uncontrollable (2001, p. 36-37).

According to Buci-Glucksmann, the interval is the equivalent of Mâ in the Japanese sense of the term (2003, p.89). It expresses the latent potentiality already present within the virtual, with the virtual being part of the real and the body. She further defines the concepts of Mâ and the interval as constituting an unstable space, a space in which boundaries are broken down: '[...] in opposition to any form of thought in the West, it is fullness, purpose and what must be achieved'. It was in her travels to China and Japan that she encountered,
[...] the idea that the virtual was the actualisation of a force and therefore that the virtual lay in the power of form and that it was necessary to put an end to a kind of blindness with respect to the transitional, the microscopic, the transition in the arts (Buci-Glucksmann 2003).105

Buci-Glucksmann goes on to say that the concept of the interface is principally a technological concept and that a broader conception of the interface should include the virtual (2003). The notions of flux and of the transitional echo my strategies of destabilising components of the somatic106 that led me to consider and create, what Hubert Godard calls 'an ever-fluctuating real' (Kuypers 2006, p.62). The idea of a 'fluctuating real' also reflects Ascott's definition of change as, '[...] a vital part of the total aesthetic experience of the participant' (2003, p.150).

**An aesthetic model based on the approach of aesthesis**

As my creative process is based on tactile, kinaesthetic and experiential references, and an interest in the transformation of the body, it is possible to conclude that the results of this experimentation, as well as my way of approaching the interface, had significant impact on its aesthetic component. The aesthetic model I developed, as well as the performatve form that emerged, were based on an approach of aesthesis, (from the Greek *aisthētikos* as '[...] the ability to perceive or understand' and *aisthēsis* as 'sensation' (Darriulat 2006; Buci-Glucksmann 2003). Working on sensation and perception, led me, as an artist in the performing arts, to the same conclusions as Buci-Glucksmann with respect to architecture as to how sensation and perception might lead to aesthetic enhancement. Concretely, my research led to the conception and testing of a new aesthetic paradigm through the proposition and creation of a physical and mediated collective body. This other ontological model of the body is also rooted in the development of an open approach to the notion of time and space.

105 This last idea is also expressed by Lévy (1998) and Kuriyama (1999).
106 Tested in this research study that were introduced in Section 1.8 and presented in greater detail in Chapter 5.
2.8.2 Suely Rolnik: the notion of a dissolution of psycho-corporal borders

As a complement to the theories of Buci-Glucksmann, my doctoral research took into consideration another perspective proposed by Suely Rolnik, a close collaborator of Deleuze and Guattari, who is particularly interested in the question of surface in the performative context. In a lecture Rolnik gave in São Paulo, Brazil, in 2006, where I was invited as co-guest speaker, she spoke of technology as a catalyst of a sensory renewal through the acceleration electronic media operate and the permanent destabilisation they create due to the fact that through these media, several universes have come closer together (Rolnik 'Figures nouvelles du chaos' n.d. b). What ensues is a constant reorganisation of the senses amongst themselves – our sensorial mappings – in which we find ourselves in an exacerbated state of being, of life, of 'presence'; a state of openness and sensory and perceptual awareness that Rolnik calls ‘the state of fragility’ — a state that results from these reorganisations — and which becomes the condition of the experiential.

This state of fragility is essential in creating a form of communication Rolnik defines as 'vibratory' or 'resonating' (Rolnik 'L’hybride de Lygia Clark' n.d. c). This form of communication resembles the Japanese concept of Mâ as a moving time-space, an empty space to be inhabited, a possibility. For the Japanese, all potentiality resides in emptiness, that of becoming. For Suely Rolnik, potentiality resides in a state of fragility, one of reciprocal psycho-corporal resonance. In the performing arts, this state of fragility, concerning both the bodies of performers and spectators, provokes a 'dissolution of the psycho-corporal border' or a dissolution of surface. It is of note that while Rolnik speaks of the psycho-corporal, in my approach, the psycho-corporal is...
integrated with the notion of the bodily. I use the term psycho-corporal to speak of this idea when not making specific reference to Rolnik’s theories as a psychoanalyst.

In turn, the dissolution of surface creates intersubjectivity thanks to the development of psycho-corporal links which Rolnik calls 'vibratory'. This process involves an inter-communication and the organisation of a collective vibratory body that can lead to another type of communicational paradigm which, in my project, led to intercorporeality of an interfaced kind. It also resonates with this thesis' proposal of the interface in its relation to the transitional and the interval and as a key activator of a latent potentiality already present within the virtual: a physicality in transformation.

Further, it leads to the possibility of a new and expanded concept of the body (what Bernard calls corporeality and my research extends to a concept of intercorporeality) which is activated through a revaluation and reintegration of the body's specific intelligence, a topic broached in Section 1.8. All these approaches were tested and revealed in my practical research involving the production of sound in real-time and the creation of a collective physical and mediated body.

**Conclusions on the question of the dissolution of surface**

The space between performers, and between performers and an audience, is thus not empty, but rather filled with a vibration of communication. To enter this vibratory communication, performers and spectators must accept being in a state of fragility, which also constitutes a state of psycho-physical openness and personal risk echoing that of the performative attitude\(^\text{108}\). This state of fragility, effectuating a form of 'recognition' between bodies, is a condition of the dissolution of the psycho-corporal barrier that, can be explained, in part, by the phenomenon of 'mirror neurons' proposed

\(^{108}\) Presented in Section 1.5.1.

If this thesis focuses on Rolnik's theories, it is because the neuroscientific explanations advanced by Berthoz and Rizzolatti seem inadequate for explaining the phenomena of learning\textsuperscript{109} and communication. The resulting state, of recognition (amongst performers and also between performers and spectators), is of an empathic nature and generates intercorporeality. My research proposes that concept of the carnal mediated collective body corresponds to an ontological model which reflects this intercorporeality. For my research, Rolnik brought the missing link, that of the psychophysical state which encompasses both performer and audience, allowing for intersubjectivity. Rolnik neither speaks of the interface nor of the interval, but rather of the dissolution of psycho-corporal borders and the state of destabilisation. For Rolnik, the concept of the interval might translate as a space of psycho-corporeal communication. My research links a staging of intersubjectivity of a physiological and vibratory nature with an ontological shift of the body through the concept of corporeality. In other words, this type of intersubjectivity, based on a state of fragility, can, according to my research, generate intercorporeality, supported also by the neurophysiological evidence presented earlier in this chapter. The definition of intercorporeality is further analysed in the presentation of experiments for my practical research in Chapter 5.

While Rolnik and Buci-Glucksmann do not speak of the same things, nor from the same point of view, their ideas are nevertheless complementary in the support of the

\textsuperscript{109} The phenomenon of learning is discussed in detail in Sections 1.8.2 "Empathy and intersubjectivity: a strategy of learning and recognition"; 1.8.3 "Principle of the body's self-organisation"; 1.8.4 "Somatic and its principles"; and in Chapter 4, Section 4.3.1 "The approach with performers" and in Chapter 5, Section 5.3.3.2 "The team and kinaesthetic and choreographic choices".
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hypotheses presented in this thesis. Their common ground lies in a conception of space, as an unstable space where borders can break down. This quality of instability is required to entertain a relationship with a potentiality that is already present in the body and that can be stimulated in different ways. Their theories interested me in their complementarity, as this thesis sought to identify the relationship and understand the influence and incidence on learning that exists between the process involved of the performer's transmission and an audience's reception of such work.

2.8.3 Corporeal potentiality as proposed in the relationship with technology

On the topic of potentiality, Enrico Pitozzi\textsuperscript{110} has developed a particular idea of a corporal potentiality. He notably speaks of a latent, present, corporal potentiality that is manifested with different levels of intensity or, in his words, gradations (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a). This potentiality is ready to be stimulated and for Pitozzi, also constitutes the basis of the interval in the sense that Buci-Glucksmann understands the term. Technologies employed in the performing arts are perceived by the performing body as a physicality, such as a vibration running through the body. They oblige the body (the performers) to constantly reorganise itself on a sensory and perceptual level. For Pitozzi, this reorganisation is of prime interest for the renewal and creation of gesture in the sense that Bernard (2001) or Quinz and Menicacci (2006) understand it.

For my research, and beyond a question of inventing gesture, these reflections led to the possibility of alternative performative behaviours. This subject is specifically addressed in Section 2.8.4. Additionally, owing to the constant sensory-perceptual reorganisation observed in my experiments with the dancers, the performer (and the spectator in the context of the destabilisation mentioned previously in this chapter),

\textsuperscript{110}Pitozzi is a theorist currently teaching forms of the multimodal stage in the Department of Music and Performance at the University of Bologna, Italy.
develops new kinaesthetic links that he/she must appropriate, develop, and learn to reproduce while being ready to constantly change reference points in a universe that is constantly evolving. This environment acts on the reactivity of the body and requires qualities of attention, integration, appropriation and transformation characteristic of the dynamic transformation introduced in Section 1.5.1. On the question of corporal reorganisation, and even if Pitozzi approaches it from a different angle, both Rolnik and Pitozzi essentially share the same conclusions.

Pitozzi speaks of technologies that directly engage the body of the performer, renewing his/her perceptual organisation and thus generating new gestural scores. Here, technology is lived as exteroception (coming from the outside as in an environment) while being registered by the body in a physiological way (proprioception). As previously mentioned in Section 2.8.2, for Rolnik, technology is a catalyst of a sensory renewal through the way it, and particularly electronic media, accelerate a permanent destabilisation in contemporary societies through bringing different universes together. For Rolnik, potentiality lies in the state of fragility, one of mutual psycho-corporal resonance. Enabling this potentiality creates intercommunication and the organisation of a vibratory collective body. This thesis defines this state as an intercorporeality of an interfaced nature.

2.8.4 Real-time

Before going further, the issue of real-time must be addressed because it was a crucial factor in elaborating the relationship my practical research sought to develop between the physical and mediated body, as well as for developing other types of performative presence.
The complexification of self

If I speak in terms of my practice on a physical level, real-time, here, linked to audio feedback I experimented with, it was a central element in the elaboration of different performative behaviours I tested, including presence or the process of performative projection. Real-time produces a complexity of the physical body, or corporeality, as the interiority of the body becomes mediated (sound which is emitted and perceived in real-time). The physical body no longer does one single thing at a time; it does things simultaneously. It adds and integrates other physical capacities affecting corporality, which thereby changes, and thus develops, different types of corporeality. The consequence of the development of corporeality was, the development of other aesthetic, cognitive and communicational paradigms, and experimentation with other types of presences tested in my practical research. These types of presence reflect this evolution of corporeality that is in part due to the effect of technological environments. I call them 'molecularisation' and 'diffraction'. Concrete examples of the process and forms or structures these developments of corporeality generate will be presented later on in this section and in Chapter 5.

Theoretical debates on real-time and time-space

To connect my perspective with current theoretical debates on real-time, the views of Pierre Levy and Anne Cauquelin can be considered. According to Lévy (1998), the notion of time-space must be placed in a new context: one which technology has brought. Lévy sheds light on the plurality of times and spaces that various means of transportation or telecommunications can bring. A variety of types of spatialisation and duration are created because, as he explains, these means are such that we have the impression that spaces which were once far away are closer now. People who do not use these means still have the impression that these spaces are distant. He thus argues that
this creates 'a situation where different systems of proximity, several spaces coexist' (Lévy 1998, p.20). Through the use of different systems of communication (voice, recordings, digital networks, *et caetera*) different rhythms and speeds are constructed.

We live in time-spaces that are mutant. According to Lévy:

> [e]ach new arrangement, each technosocial 'machine' adds a time-space, a special mapping a singular music with an elastic and complicated imbrication where spaces overlap, get deformed and connect, where durations are opposed, interfere and respond to one another. The contemporary proliferation of spaces makes us nomads of a new style: instead of following wandering lines and migration within a given range, we jump from one network to another, from one system of proximity to another. Spaces are transformed and split under our feet, forcing us to become heterogeneous. (Lévy 1998, p.20)

Levy also points out that this phenomenon is not new in itself. It was not initiated by humans, but is already present in unicellular beings, mammals, *et caetera*.

Every form of life invents its world and with this world, a space and time of its own. Means of transportation and telecommunications are an example of this evolution and show us that the possibilities of living beings are multiplying.

My research also took into account perspectives offered by Anne Cauquelin (2006) who presents real-time as 'the presence of the object *bric et nunc* for the perceiving subject' (2006, p.98). As a consequence, she notes that, 'real-time thus reflects the instantaneous connection of a transmission and reception'. In my view, Cauquelin's analysis poses a problem because she bases it on the reaction of a technological device to actions a user makes, as in the case of certain interactive installations. As my research points to a modification of the physical body and sensory-perceptual reorganisation that technology as exteroception brings, I can only note that my analytical bases are incompatible with hers.

On the contrary, Levy offers a perspective that is broader, placing the human being within a more comprehensive system in which technologies change the relationship of time-space within social and techno-urban environments. I share this
point of view, but apply it in the context of the performative body. In Chapter 5, examples of destabilisation strategies based on the awareness and manipulation of other approaches to time-space are presented with respect to practical research for this thesis.

The evolution of different types of stage presence

If the issue of real-time was raised in the last sections, it is because it was fundamental in the development of other types of performative presence that I experimented with in my practical research for this thesis. I firstly conducted these experiments on my own body as a basis and reference for directing and training dancers with whom I worked in Studies # 2 and # 3. This research is presented in Chapter 5.

The question of stage presence, or performative projection, is far too vast a topic to be discussed in detail in this thesis although it was briefly introduced in Section 1.7. My involvement, from 2005 until the present, in the research group Performativité et Effets de présence at the Université du Québec à Montréal directed by Louise Poissant, Doctor of Philosophy and Dean of the Faculty of Arts at Université du Québec à Montréal and Josette Féral, professor at Université du Québec à Montréal specialising in theatre performance, led me to understand the complexity and expansiveness of the subject. I have therefore chosen to present but a few of the more important elements and positions developed in the seminar with respect to the field of new performative contemporary stages integrating technology. They are useful in the development and defense of the hypotheses this thesis proposes. To begin, let me briefly recall in summary, the positions of Merleau-Ponty, Bernard and Berthoz.

For Merleau-Ponty, the question of presence is anchored in the third parasensorial chiasm. Transposing his theory to the realm of performative action, the
performer imagines and projects his/her anatomy in space before acting in the physical world, but he/she is engaged with sensation before operating this projection.

Michel Bernard (1993, 2001) interprets the third chiasm's notion of enunciation and assimilates it with language. This thesis does not share this point of view and rather takes into account Merleau-Ponty's interpretation of the third parasensory chiasm. I distance myself from the relationship Bernard (1993, 2001) constructs with language and focus on the experiential aspect of this projection through sensation such as Merleau-Ponty understands it.

Berthoz, who worked with the concept of empathy, also proposed the idea of anticipation as a form of simulation and an essential characteristic of the active functioning of the senses, even allowing for a simulation of movement\textsuperscript{111}. Anticipation can also be considered a basis for the process of performative projection. Berthoz goes further, noting that because the brain predicts action, it simulates sensations. Unlike Bernard's (2001) sensory simulacra, or the production of new sensations, which he also calls 'fiction' emerging from the individual's imagination, Berthoz's simulation, which he calls 'prediction' corresponds to simulation by anticipation of a known sensation. Berthoz also goes on to say that at the same time the brain produces a mental simulation of movement, it predicts the state of sensory receptors. This would explain, at least in part, the effect on spectators of stage action and projection that are produced by performers. Berthoz thus confirms Merleau-Ponty's intuitions. I agree with their findings and apply them in the specific context of the performing arts.

\textsuperscript{111} I introduce his theories here in a succinct way, principally as a complement to those of Merleau-Ponty.
Let us now look at the views of two research groups studying these issues: the *Performativité et effets de présence*\(^{112}\) at the *Université du Québec à Montréal* and the *Presence Project*\(^{113}\) at the *Centre for Intermedia*, Exeter University, in the United Kingdom.

The position of the research group *Performativité et Effets de présence* directed by Josette Feral (2007), is mainly based on arguments of an anthropomorphic nature that define presence as the physical body in immediate space and 'the effect of presence' as being linked to the absence of the physical body. The 'effect of presence' as defined by Feral (2007) is a 'feeling of the presence of a body — generally, the living body, but can also concern objects — through which one has the impression of being there although fully and rationally knowing one is not there'\(^{114}\). Feral thus defines 'presence' in connection with the notion of absence.

*The Presence Project* at the *Centre for Intermedia*, University of Exeter, led by Gabriella Giannachi (Exeter University), Nick Kaye (Exeter University), Mel Slater (University College, London) and Michael Shanks (Stanford University) has explored the notion of presence across a wide-range of practices and technologies of presence through interdisciplinary investigations. If this research group mainly reflects on works in media arts, they have nevertheless contributed to reflection on presence in the field of the performing arts, exploring, amongst other things, the relationship between the body and technology in order to understand the multiple levels of presence a real body can have. One of their positions is to approach the phenomenon of presence with respect to relations that emerge from contact with mediatised forms and signs. Another investigation explores the form of presence that emerges from the psychological and

---

\(^{112}\) Viewed 17 August 2013, online: http://www.effetsdepresence.uqam.ca/Page/mandat.aspx  
\(^{113}\) Viewed 8 August 2013, online: http://presence.stanford.edu:3455/Collaboratory/9  
\(^{114}\) Conference presented at *Intermédialité, théâtralité, (re)-présentation et nouveaux médias/Intermediality, Theatricality, Performance, (Re)presentation and the New Media*, 9th International Symposium of Centre de recherche sur l’intermédialité et du LANTISS, University of Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 26 May 2007.
perceptual reactions of the individual who is subject to these mediatisations (Kaye & Giannachi 2011). Presence, for them, is linked to a notion of altered perception.

This thesis also takes into consideration the research of Audrey-Anne Bouchard (2011), who notably studied my creation *La Démence des anges* and two other works of performative art incorporating technology in the context of her Masters 2, an *Erasmus Mundus* Study of the Performing Arts program. Analysing *La Démence des anges*, Bouchard advances the idea that the projected body (which, in this work, is transmitted in real-time via a videoconferencing system), as it reflects and transforms the sensory experience of the performer, becomes tangible for him/her. Thus, the projected body is inscribed in the reality of the performer's experience. While Bouchard speaks of the video body projected in real-time in *La Démence des anges*, in a telephone interview following a presentation of Study #3 of my practical doctoral research, she expressed the fact that in her view, the phenomenon is the same concerning the mediated sound body. On this point, I share her view and additional evidence provided in Chapter 5 confirms her findings. Reinforcing this point, Bouchard cites Rieusset-Lemarié who proposes that, '[...] in the performative dialogue woven by the dancing body and digital art, the contributions of the body to technology greatly exceed those of the flesh' (Rieusset-Lemarié 2006, cited in Bouchard 2011). Isabelle Rieusset-Lemarié also notes that, 'To claim dance can be reduced to (the) weight of the body in order to make up for the absence of flesh in digital arts can be taken as a misunderstanding'. The findings of this thesis on this topic echo those of Rieusset-Lemarié and Bouchard.

---

115 After completing basic training in dance, Bouchard studied in the Department of Theatre at Concordia University, Montreal, where she specialised in lighting design for the performing arts.

116 It should be reminded that the conception of the 'real' in this thesis is not opposed with the 'virtual' (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a; Levy 1998; Massumi 2002).

117 A misunderstanding which is caused in part through the dichotomy material / immaterial.
In the practical work for this thesis, technology is lived as an environment. It produces the sensation of a dilated body. In its quality of openness, it resonates with Perrin's (2006) definition of corporeality, as challenging classical approaches to the body embued with a classical ontology. In my project, the body is no longer a closed reality, but is rather a crossroad of influences and relationships. It is a moving reality made up of networks of intensities and forces whose potentiality is also one of its expressions. This interpretation of technology as an environment was initiated by work on what I call 'molecularisation' in my first work Communion, a performance exploring new modes of performative projection.

The form of presence I call molecularisation constitutes an evolution of the process of projection. It is equivalent to the sensation of the body in a state of dilation. The performer imagines and feels the molecules of his/her body as being dispersed in space and forming a body which I identify as expanded or dilated. This impression corresponds to Taoist theories mentioned previously in this thesis and to Buci-Glucksmann's theory of the interval based on the Japanese concept of Má. The

---

118 First version created in 1994 and last modified in 1999.
119 In training during my pre-university and university education, the mode of performative projection I was taught was a projection towards the audience, which involved mainly the head and the torso.
120 Communion – molecularisation. Performed by Isabelle Choinière, viewed 18 December 2013, online: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mpoM-oYZYGg&feature=em-upload_owner](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mpoM-oYZYGg&feature=em-upload_owner)
visual image that best describes this feeling is one of an immersive video environment composed of electronic pixels. It corresponds to a state of presence I experienced and developed as a choreographer-dancer while immersed in an environment of video pixels for months while creating my first work *Communion*.

When referring to the notion of molecularisation, I would like to clarify that it is totally different to Deleuze and Guattari's (1980) concept of molecularisation. The phenomenon of molecularisation I developed is based on the experiential. This concept is rooted in a cognitive process related to practices of the body, a form of cognition this thesis defends. In this sense, the principle of molecularisation conceived by Deleuze is fundamentally different because it is based on a more intellectual understanding of the world. According to Sasso and Villani (2003, p.354-355), 'Deleuze's revolution in philosophy is based on the molecularisation of all subjects and all objects, who become singularities of emission and fog'.

**Diffraction**

![Figure 24: Diffraction](http://www.aucoeurdelaplanete.com/Termes-Astronomie/Diffraction.html)

The second type of performative projection this thesis proposes is one of the body in a state of diffraction. Following the exploration of real-time projections of the body in a remote site for *La Démence des anges*, the question of mediated presence was

---

further pursued in my research for this thesis in terms of developing a sound body in real-time. This research led to another modification of the process of projection, that of the sensation of the body in a state of diffraction. To explain this phenomenon, it could be said that the physical body becomes more complex, and a mediated body emanates from it as a result. A change in the state of presence occurs as an evolution of performative projection thanks to the network technologies used in *La Démence des anges*, and in my current research, digital sound processing and spatialisation in real-time.

The idea of a complexification of the experiential resembles what Brazilian artist Lygia Clark describes as 'the body [that] is both singular and plural' (Luz 1975; Rolnik & Diserens 2005). What is referred to is not a loss of self, but indeed, a complexification of self as well as the development of other types of performative presence or performative projection. As a consequence of working with technology in real-time, I was both confronted with the possibility of a new performative behaviour and a consideration of the mediated body as an entity belonging to the physical body — deriving from its evolution and complexification — and not as a separate, superimposed or hierarchical form of the physical body, as suggested by authors like Deleuze and Guattari (1980) or Pitozzi (2008, 2009a, 2009b, 2010a, 2010b, 2012). These notions are further developed in Chapters 4 and 5 with respect to the methodology I developed with the performers, along with examples and testimonials of their application in my practical research. The question of real-time is pivotal for the hypotheses defended in
this thesis. It was also particularly important for articulating the concept of potentiality presented in this chapter and its specific link to my approach to the interface.

2.8.5 The ontological shift of the body in contact with technology: introduction

This chapter has analysed how the idea of a 'dilated' body is characterised and activated by a virtuality or openness inscribed in corporeality, as well as by what is transitional (Buci-Glucksmann 2001, 2003; Pitozzi 2008, 2009a, 2009b, 2010a, 2010b) and vibrational (Rolnik 2006). These observations were further related to the concept of time (Lévy 1998) and to real-time. It was also shown how this ontological shift of the body is made possible through corporeality and a dynamic of destabilisation provoked by technology (Lévy 1998; Rolnik n.d. b) as well as through the revaluation and reintegration of the body’s specific intelligence defended in this thesis, which has its source in an awareness of the inner body (Godard 1993; Kuypers 2006; Batson 2010; Suquet 2006). This interiority becomes mediatised (Pitozzi 2009a, 2009b) when it is subjected to the influence of an immersive, real-time audio feedback and lived as a new environment. The experiential mode it entails leads both to a recognition of corporal intelligence and to the revaluation of a cognitive paradigm based on the intelligence of the body. This redefinition of the relationship between the moving body and technology engenders another paradigm which will be developed later in this thesis: that of an interfaced intercorporeality.

Interior / Exterior: a return to the notion of the interface

Within the particular context of new contemporary performance stages, this thesis considers how kinaesthetic intelligence, amongst other forms of intelligence, when reintroduced and revalued in the cognitive process, questions and redefines the very nature of cognition. According to my hypothesis, such reintegration implies
learning processes that can have an impact as emerging and evolutive principles for human beings. It is also necessary for developing an integrative way of thinking that can in turn lead to the emergence of other cognitive paradigms such as the one this thesis defends and that is supported by theories presented in Chapters 1 and 2 on the ontology of the body and empathy.

As referenced earlier, Ascott advances an interpretation of change that is of particular interest to me ([1966-67] 2003, p.150): 'the act of changing becomes a vital part of the total aesthetic experience of the participant'. In the historical overview of the interface presented earlier in this chapter, the analysis considered how this idea has direct resonance for the physical body in the context of the performative body and how it can lead to the creation of another aesthetic model that reflects the integrative dynamics of the living world. According to my research, this aesthetic paradigm emerges through an approach of destabilising the body, investing in risk-taking multisensorial activity and enriching the creative process with a paradigm of communication that has much in common with the theories of Suely Rolnik.

While highlighting these positions, my latest research as part of this thesis reveals that more and more artists are interested in a change of perspective and approach. They seek to understand interiority rather than exteriority or the spectacular. This perspective comes from the body and the experiential. These artists are more interested in the interoceptive, or of changes produced within the body, rather than in the exteroceptive, or external events caused by an environment, typical of many artistic productions involving technology. On this point, the research of Diana Gromala and notably her thesis *Towards a Phenomenological Theory of the Visceral in the Interactive Arts* (2007), concurs with the conclusions presented in my doctoral research.
Speaking of her interactive installation *The Meat Book* (2007), she raises the question of the visceral from a phenomenological point of view, firstly noting that the term refers to 'the cardiovascular, respiratory, uro-genital and excretory systems that affect mind and body on a continuum of awareness'. Gromala then remarks that:

> the visceral is mentioned in the field of interactive arts, but it remains systematically unexplored and undefined. Further, interactive artworks predominantly focus on the exteroceptive (stimuli from outside) rather than on the interoceptive (stimuli arising within the body, especially the viscera) senses (2007, p.03).

The perspective she underscores concerns 'the ways in which certain forms of interactive art may and do elicit visceral responses' (2007, p.03). Another perspective of this issue is offered by French dancer and choreographer Myriam Gourfink123 whose work with micro-movement, choreographs trajectories of thought within the body. According to Pitozzi (2008), Gourfink works on a subtle dimension of presence involving a process of inner visualisation leading her to perceive every part of the body. This process implies and activates modulations in which the internal line of tension in movement is deprived of rhythmic interruption. It does not entail variations, only modulations. Gourfink speaks of her work in these terms:

> It is necessary to activate a widespread perception to focus attention on the thumbnail […]. Or still, find a way into the body to start and stop on the right heel on the skin and then listen to the weight recovered in a stream inside of the leg, and go in the flesh […]. These trips on which I focused in this passage are completely supported by the very important breathing and only this aspect of the movement involves the issue of presence as a means of global perception of the process of composition and sequence of movements in space (cited in Pitozzi 2008).

A shift of perspective has thus taken place for certain choreographers that was also central to my practical research. However, I insist on the fact that developing a specific relationship between the body and technology can create other experiential forms in the performing arts. In the sections on the ontology of the body and the interface, I began analysing how this change of perspective can lead to the hypothesis of a physiological interface. This alternative approach to the interface notably led me to

---

123 Viewed 24 June 2012, online: http://www.myriam-gourfink.com/
propose an aesthetic model, as mentioned, that was based on the notions of *aesthesis* as 'the ability to perceive or understand' and *aisthēsis* as sensation. As my field of research concerns the performative body, it was precisely through asking myself "What is the body for us?" and by exploring the question both practically and theoretically, that the principal hypotheses underpinning the thesis emerged with respect to a redefinition of relations between the body and technology. These include: an ontological shift of the body, a revaluation and reintegration of the body's specific intelligence, the dissolution of the psycho-corporal border, the notion of a complexification of self and the development of a 'bodily or corporal potentiality'.

### 2.9 Summary

With my practical research, I sought to develop an interface that could act on sensory-perceptual organisation, or the internal organisation of the individual performer/spectator. The relationship with technology redefined my mode of perception and influenced my way of thinking about and sensing the body, and thus, of organising the project. With this thesis, I propose a shift in the notion of the interface, suggesting that technology is no longer one of two poles of communication between the language of the human body and the algorithmic language lying at the heart of computer technology, but rather, wherein technology becomes a tool for a change of corporeality, for the development of intersubjectivity and thus, for intercorporeality.

Recalling that the carnal body is a meeting place of corporality and corporeality, thus a 'place of coexistence' (Merleau-Ponty 1945; Rieusset-Lemarié 2006), the mediated body is a resonator of different sensory dimensions that can become performative expressions. The mediated body is the expression of a
recomposition of the physical body subject to a transformation of its interiority through the effect(s) of technology. An example is the influence of sound feedback in real-time in a technological environment, in which sound is registered physically as a vibration and traverses the body. From the meeting of the physical body modified by a technological environment with the sound body, a 'vibratory intersubjectivity', an intercorporeality, is constructed in the performing body, from which a different paradigm of communication emerges. The condition of possibility for this new paradigm is the activation of a corporal potentiality (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a), the virtual element present in the body.

**Intercorporeality as developed with respect to technology**

In this chapter, it was shown that the question of intersubjectivity - which becomes intercorporeality when speaking of the performative body - entertains a symbiotic relationship with the senses and perception. The views of Kozel (2007) and Buissière (2005) correlate with the findings of this research, also confirming Merleau-Ponty's theories describing ontology as a bodily intersubjectivity: 'where ontology is understood in phenomenological terms as not just what beings are, but as ways or modes of being; ontology considers how we come to be in a dynamic sense' (Moran 2000, cited in Kozel 2007, p. 240).

This statement resonates with the notion of intensified sensoriality as developed with technology, a concept reiterated by Cecilia de Lima124 (2013, p.19) and André Lepecki, professor in the Department of *Performances Studies* at New York University:

> [t]he technique of an artistic practice, being driven by a creative desire and grounded on the artist’s embodied relation with the medium(s) of his work (as light, sound, movement, materials and so on), becomes a shift or an "act of deep sensorial intensification" (Lepecki 1998, cited in De Lima 2013, p.19).

---

124 A post-doctoral artist-researcher affiliated with *Universidade Técnica de Lisboa* and *Instituto de Etnomusicologia*, Lisbon.
The artist’s sensorial systems increase their plasticity in tuning with the world, and this intensified sensorial relationship constitutes an improved means of perception (De Lima 2013, p.19).

The notion of sensory intensification has resonance with the concept of the carnal body as Merleau-Ponty presents it, but also figures in the analyses of Rieusset-Lemarié (2006) that were examined earlier in this chapter. James B. Steeves brings a complementary vision of this question in philosophy by emphasising the learning dimension in which our body places us, and its relationship to perception. Speaking of the visual artist, he argues that he 'plays on habitual ways in which we perceive different situations with our bodies so that we are able to see the world in new ways' (2004, p.4). He pursues this reflection with references to Merleau-Ponty's theories:

[t]he mediation of Being in the form of flesh precedes any real/virtual distinction, as well as any actuality/possibility distinction. The reversibility of the real and the virtual can be seen in the fact that the flesh, while being immersed in the real experience of the sensible, contains the possibility of the sentient as the sensible’s inner lining. Flesh, in other words, is the medium for the possible without which possibilities could never be taken up by the sensing body (Steeves 2001, cited in Gromala 2007, p.23).

Building on this argument, he advances the idea that art puts us in contact with 'an entire perceptual structure that the body understands as a world and possible modes of embodiment' (Steeves 2001, cited in Gromala 2007, p.23). Art is a battle that 'reinvents the very way we perceive the world' (Steeves 2004, p.51). And what is our greatest journey if not ultimately the movement of the perception? ...

The next chapter provides an overview of the field of dance and technology, presenting its main tenets and actors, as well as artists who influenced the practical research for this thesis.
3. CHAPTER III – DESCRIPTION OF THE FIELD OF DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND INFLUENCES

3.1 The bases of my work and artistic approach

As this thesis has highlighted in the previous pages, my approach to creative research is phenomenological. It is also interdisciplinary, transversal and integrative. My practical creative research focuses on how the infiltration of technological thinking in dance and performance can find applications in the development of new performative models. Rooted in a syncretic mode which blends different cultural elements, my artistic process is based on a strategy of renewing sensory experience and perception with twentieth and twenty-first century technology, thereby opening the path to a new perceptual synaesthesia formed by the kinaesthesia of the physical body in a relationship with the interoception and exteroception of the mediated body.

My working method is intended as being evolutive. It is based on sensory-perceptual strategies that I experimented with that explore somatic components. As seen in Section 1.8.4 "The somatic and its principles", Batson (2010) identifies three key elements underlying the principles of somatic education: the 'Novel Learning Context', 'Sensory Attunement' and 'Augmented Rest'. I integrated these three elements in my research process that involved integrating a technological environment as a new environment for the performative body. This technological environment constituted and involved a form of Novel Learning Context I worked with. Sensory Attunement was also a very useful tool for me because it focuses on sensory awareness (paying attention to sensing) rather than focusing on motor actions (doing). This process gives the dancer the opportunity to explore - and to interpret - internal sensations phenomenologically.
With these references, the dancer, but also the body, gains in autonomy as he/she acquires the ability to self-organise through sensation (sensory authority). According to Batson (2010), this type of kinesthetic awareness can be an agent of change and lead to generating other ways of moving and organising the body. *Augmented Rest* was then employed in order for the body to integrate all this new information because, 'rest periods help consolidate memory and improve motor recall' (Batson 2010). Through these experiments, which also provided new opportunities for the dancers, new references could be constructed from what were newly discovered sensations. Their 'new' movement could be further used to explore hitherto unknown forms of movement or to reproduce movement by referring to recent sensations. A more detailed explanation of how these elements were introduced can be found in Section 1.8.4.

So through this research-creation, one of my goals was to explore the body's potential for self-organisation when under the influence of technology, and specifically, when technology is lived as a new environment and the body experiences the destabilising effects technology can have on it. Another aim was that these experiments lead to developing enhanced sensory and perceptual awareness in order to invest in a relationship between somatics and technology that could potentially lead to a transformation of self - the performer -, and to other - the audience. These strategies are presented throughout the thesis, and specifically, in Chapter 5. They are based on somatic principles as well as on a consideration of technology experimented as a physicality: a physicality that is understood and lived as a new environment, an exteroception.

The practical research for the thesis also considers somatic practices via the exteroception created by technologies such as I employ them, that is to say, when
exteroception can renew sensory and perceptual experience by creating a mediated internal reference. This awareness 'from the inside' or of what is internal was partly inspired by my readings of Merleau-Ponty and partly through my growing awareness of the body from within and through the sixth sense: kinaesthesia.

My artistic process also aims to achieve a transformation of the body through references that are tactile, kinaesthetic and experiential. It is founded on the experiential as raw material. The thesis notably argues that this process can open up alternative gestural codes, performative modes and behaviours. As a result, it proposes that it is possible to generate a relationship between somatics and technology that is capable of engendering new aesthetic, cognitive and communicational paradigms and where technology can activate a process that reconfigures sensory-perceptual activity. These changes to corporality (corporalité) in turn create corporeality (corporéité). The practical research for the thesis also questions how this dynamic of transformation can find applications in the development of new performative models.

The experimentation under study here distinguishes itself from my two other works Communion125 (1994-1999) and La Démence des anges126 (1999-2005) as I was

125 Video of Communion, performed by Isabelle Choinière. Viewed 18 December 2013, online: http://youtu.be/2uitSkHM2NWk
interested in achieving a greater relational complexity. The interfaces I had been offered to date were no longer sufficient. I needed to move towards more organic principles and modes of functioning of which phenomenology and the experiential are a part, in order to generate this complexity\textsuperscript{127}. It is partly for these reasons that, with the present practical research I desired to re-invest in the relationship between the somatic and technology and and move towards what media art pioneer Roy Ascott ([1966-67] 2003, p.150) intimates when he suggests that: '[t]he act of changing becomes a vital part of the total aesthetic experience of the participant'.

### 3.2 An historical context of the field

Due to the interdisciplinary and collaborative nature of both the present research and the field under study, it is important to examine the historical context of the field itself. Certain underlying concepts are firstly addressed, followed by issues specific to the field, a description of its leading figures (influential artists and collectives) and then, to conclude the Section, a look at the artists who have influenced my work.

#### 3.2.1 Trends and concepts in the field of dance and technology\textsuperscript{128}

**An international community**

From the outset, and with respect to the development of my practical and theoretical research, it is relevant to stress the importance of the presence and work of a broad community of international artists who are dedicated to exploring the relationship between the moving body and technology. They have contributed in a major way to the

---

\textsuperscript{126} Video of *La démence des anges*, performed by Isabelle Choinière and Alyson Wishnovsky. Viewed 18 December 2013, online: \url{http://youtu.be/eaeJgRgBYm4}

\textsuperscript{127} Analyses of this experimentation are presented in Chapter 5.

\textsuperscript{128} This Section was presented in my RDC2 written exam at Plymouth University. For the purpose of continuity, I include it here in an expanded and updated form.
development and experimentation of various technologies and have also initiated discussions surrounding the mediated body and different degrees of expression of embodiment. This experimentation was conducted in the context of their practice, as well as in connection with the general discourse of contemporary art, especially that of dance and the moving body, including for some, with somatic practices.

According to Sharir, this climate of international, transdisciplinary collaboration was particularly active from the late 1980s to 1990s:

[...] dance, visual art, electronic music, engineering, computer science, video art, and sophisticated projection systems were blurred in a sea of creative, fluid, and fruitful exploration. Participants learned from each other how – over time – to contribute in order to achieve a common goal (2012, p.55).

Predominantly in the 1990s, the international dance community worked together in a collaborative manner to conceive, develop and create new types of performative propositions that took the shape of variations within the scope of the performing arts (including public art, installations, all types of performances, et caetera) that integrated interactive devices, robotics, motion capture, virtual reality, digital animation, elements of bio art (implants, the ingestion of substances generating physical reactions in performance, et caetera) or wearable computers/prosthetics.

A discipline to be created

Referring to activity in the field that took place from the early 1980s to the 1990s, Yacov Sharir notes that, '[a]ssumptions related to the use of these technologies were challenged during performance' (2012, p.53). Further, and as previously mentioned (Sharir 2012), even today, one must speak of an environment in transformation in which more questions emerge than answers. Concording with Sharir's opinion, Philippe Baudelot\(^{129}\) (2008) argues that it is neither wise nor possible to

\(^{129}\) In an email communication on 27 May 2008.
identify a single artist or specific technology that has singly contributed to the
development of the discipline. As noted by these authors and also confirmed by
research conducted for this thesis, the discipline is still being created; it is fluid and
must be considered as a whole.

It is interesting to observe the huge collaborative effort that exists within the
field, comprising a dynamic of constant exchanges: of groups and research projects,
meetings, conferences, personal contacts, collaborations, social networks such as the
dance-tech.net lead by Marlon Barrios Solano130 or the first Dance-Tech List initiated
by Scott deLahunta and publications.

There are still signs of strong activity in the field today, especially on a
theoretical level, with publications by Intellect Journals, for example, or conferences
held on the subject in 2012 and 2013 such as the Somatics and Technology Conference
2012131 or METABODY132, that clearly demonstrate that these exchanges have
contributed to and reflect a common interest in understanding and assessing the stakes
of artistic processes and evolving research on the performative body and technology.

The dynamic reflection nourished by these interdisciplinary exchanges on an
international scale has created a platform for understanding emerging issues related to
artistic processes involving the performative body and technology. More recently,

---

130 Marlon Barrios Solano is the creator/producer of dance-tech.net, a social networking site devoted to dance and
technology. He is also an artist, researcher, consultant and educator on movement arts and new media, networked
cultures, social media, collaborative technologies and open knowledge.
Viewed 7 October 2014, online: http://www.dance-tech.net/profile/network_producer
131 The Somatics and Technology Conference 2012 was organised by Andrea Davidson and Sarah Rubidge,
professors at the University of Chichester in the United Kingdom. It was held in June 2012.
132 METABODY is a five-year European Commission research project. One of its first official events was the festival
Metahuman/Metaformance Studies 2013 which included conferences, performances, workshops, et caetara. Another
event took place at the international CynetArt festival in Dresden from November 14-18, 2013. I participated in it in
giving a conference on November 15th and presenting my work on November 14th, 15th and 16th 2013.
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creative research has taken distance from the more conventional uses of technology in the arts wherein the body simply serves to produce technological events (sound, images, *et caetera*). New practical and theoretical approaches are rather integrating and analysing the implications of developing different types of mediation and processes of embodiment.

**Questions**

According to Sharir, questions lying at the core of artistic exploration in the 1980s in artists' effort to integrate technology in their creative work provoked the emergence of shared concerns:

> [...] how could we best understand and employ interactive systems? How could we electronically charge a performance space for the purpose of freeing the performers' bodies from interacting/wearing the crudeness of electronic devices? How could we maximize the effectiveness of projected cyber images so that they could co-exist in the space with live bodies? And finally, how could we better understand issues of identity and representation in cyberspace? (2012, p.54)

Gavin Carver, professor at the University of Kent and Colin Beardon, professor at the University of Waikato, New Zealand, analyse the integration of digital technologies in theatrical performance from the perspective of practitioners reflecting on their discipline in their book *New Visions in Performance* (2004). Referring to the concerns above, they outline the characteristics of new technologies in performance as:

> [...] the virtuality and fluidity of space and time, and the potential for alternatives realities, spaces and narratives; interactivity and the active audience / participant; the role of the body (and its double) in technology; enhanced or mediated performance and the ensuing questions around [...] presence; the ability of performance to extend himself beyond the circumscribed moment and place of its enunciation; and the 'problem' of liveness in multimedia work (2004, p.2).

Having participated in the initial period of the dance and technology movement in the second half of the 1990s, I am sensitive to these authors' focus on the dimensions and issues raised by the integration of technology. It is true that at the time, just getting technological devices to function with the means available was a huge challenge. This meant that artists spent most of their time, energy, resources, and attention on the
development and operation of tools that were often in a state of experimental
development. Unfortunately, the body and the question of embodiment often occupied a
secondary role. To some extent and over time, technology has become more available
and accessible. Since then, the issue of embodiment has also become a priority for
certain artists in the movement, notably for my own research, as well as for a third wave
of artists working with technology.\footnote{The second period lasted roughly from 1980 to 1999, largely focused on new forms of embodiment, the roles
different types of technology were playing in bodily and perceptual awareness, what was becoming mediated and
what changes were brought in with mediation, \textit{et cetera}. The third period began in the year 2000 to the present.}

Parallel to questions concerning the performative body and technology, the
mediated body and the development of alternative relationships to embodiment, the
issue of the perception of reality should be raised. Steve Dixon, ex Pro Vice Chancellor
of Brunel University and currently President of Lasalle College of the Arts, Singapore,
makes a thorough analysis of historical developments in the field in his book \textit{Digital
performance: A history of New Media in Theater, Dance, Performance Art, and
Installations} (2007). In one passage, he brings up the question of the perception of the
real:

\begin{quote}
[\ldots] the simple point so many critics seem to miss or not wish to acknowledge that the real has
changed, as it has always done. The real, and our consciousness of what is real, is subject to
time, and for several hundred years it has had a conjoined twin: technological "progress". In the
1950s and the 60s, people were at first amazed to see little people in their homes on a thing
called television, and the real changed then. But we have since got over how spooky television
is, just as we have quickly become used to and have assimilated the capabilities of the computer
and the Web – it is just part and parcel of what today is real (2007, p. 144).
\end{quote}

As mentioned earlier, this thesis notes a shift of thinking that reorients the
question of technology in dance towards one of embodiment, by artists who prioritise
the integration of somatic techniques in order to revisit the relationship with technology.
But as my research shows, it can be argued that we are still at the beginning of this type
of experimentation. Much work remains to be done. According to Davidson, dance and
somatics can make a special contribution to the understanding of this relationship
because they expose us to 'a broader understanding of technological evolution and the aesthetic, philosophical and scientific paradigms that surround it' (2013, p.3). This line of thinking is particularly helpful in support of this thesis' hypotheses as are the views of Leroi-Gourhan which were presented in Section 2.4. Leroi-Gourhan notably argues that an understanding of the evolution of technology is closely related to the evolution of the body and hence, to gesture. This interdependence corresponds to the body's need to adapt to its environment, and to the evolution of the environment to meet the needs of the body by extension, he qualifies technology as the environment of human beings.

Davidson continues her analysis of recent debates on the question of somatics and technology, arguing that:

[…] within the world of new media art, or what was once termed "electronic art", there has been growing interest in the last decades in the role of perception, sensoriality and cognition in the design, reception and analysis of artworks and performances involving interactive and sensorial scenographies, have notably prompted a reconsideration of these questions amongst scholars. Quite obviously, the body is key to any such discussion.

While the term somatics gained widespread recognition in the 1990s, coinciding with a resurgence of philosophical and scientific interest in the role that the somatic plays in human thought and experience (Bernard 1993; Varela et al. 1993; Damasio 1994), media art theorists and digital artists began expounding matters of proprioception, embodiment and perception from an informed perspective of somatics. For choreographers participating in digital festivals and exhibitions, it seemed redundant to speak of the body without inferring some form of somatic practices (2013, p.4).

As Davidson further notes and as this thesis also proposes, a rechanneling of thought concerning the performative body shows how 'the somatic, cross-modal, relational and creative characteristics of dance can be of significance for any such debate. And for dance, these same technologies can serve to enhance choreographic discourse and enlist audience participation in new ways' (Davidson 2013, p.12). These latest reflections on the topic which integrate the somatic, can possibly open the path for deeper engagement with experimentation of mediatisation and embodiment in research that may eventually lead to an enrichment of the experiential, and in which technology plays a role in promoting this enrichment.
Overview: significant artists and collectives

In this section, the methodology for communicating information about the discipline's development and history derives from four main sources: 1- Personal knowledge of the field from 1995 onwards, through participation in the main art and technology events of the time (see Appendix 8.1.5) where I was in direct contact with the main players in the field of 'Dance and Technology'; 2- the Dance-Tech List on the website dance-tech@freelists.org, the most important forum for exchange and information for practitioners in the field; 3- online correspondence and/or personal encounters with the main players in the field, both with regards to research and the organisation and setting up of its networks; 4- references to specialised books, art journals and magazines devoted to information about the evolution of the field of Dance and Technology.

To complete the list of the field’s main players, and in addition to these four sources of information, other criteria for selection stipulated that the persons declare themselves as part of the dance milieu and confirm that their main training had been in dance, regardless of whether their form of expression had a specifically embodied focus or not. Further, they had to have elected to work in the stage arts, whether in a conventional or experimental manner. One exception to this last rule is performance artist Stelarc, owing to the widespread influence his work on the body in relation to technology has had on theory in the field and also aesthetic expression.

Two main practitioner-academics of the first period of the 'Dance and Technology' movement were Johannes Birringer and Scott DeLahunta. DeLahunta started the Dance and Tech List in 1996. The list was active until 2003, but has now taken a new form since that time. The archives of all exchanges made amongst members
are available online and, as of 2005, can be accessed, at: http://www.freelists.org/archives/dance-tech/. Between 1996 and 2006, DeLahunta was extremely active and wrote four books and numerous articles on the subject of dance and technology. He is currently working in the United Kingdom as a Senior Research Fellow at Coventry University, as a consultant for Random Dance and is the Project Leader for Motion Bank, a four-year project (2010-2014) of The Forsythe Company.

Johannes Birringer is certainly still one of most influential actors in the field. He started the first M.F.A. Dance Technology programme in the United States at Ohio State University and is currently director of the DAP Lab and chairman of the M.A. programme in Drama and Performance Technologies at the School of Arts of Brunel University, London.

John Mitchell, of the Department of Dance at Arizona State University, also deserves a mention for having organised the International Dance and Technology 99 conference (I.D.A.T.)\textsuperscript{134} which took place in Arizona in 1999, an event that was to sum up the entire second period of the movement\textsuperscript{135} \textsuperscript{136}. All these researcher-practitioners are, or have been, affiliated with universities in the United States and some are now active in universities in the United Kingdom. The affiliation with universities proved to be a means to support research in the field and have access to equipment, teams of researchers, et caetera (Sharir 2012).

\textsuperscript{134} Viewed 27 may 2008, online: http://www.ephemeral-efforts.com/idatindia_hinduserver.pdf
\textsuperscript{135} The first International conference of Dance and Technology (I.D.A.T.) held in February 1999 at Arizona State University (A.S.U.) in Tempe, Arizona brought together over 300 dancers, technical experts, educators and scholars from 20 countries on five continents to share their expertise on dance and technology in experimental and provocative ways (1999).
\textsuperscript{136} Viewed 27 May 2008, online: http://www.ephemeral-efforts.com/idatindia_hinduserver.pdf
\textsuperscript{136} http://www.ephemeral-efforts.com/IDAT99.html
Another group of major actors, working in France, joined the movement from 1995 onwards. Armando Menicacci, Emanuele Quinz and Andrea Davidson, all three affiliated with the *Université Paris 8*, created the laboratory *Médiadanse* in the Dance Department of the university in 1999, offering the first curriculum of courses in dance and technology in France, organising conferences, seminars and performances as well as publishing works in conjunction with *Anomos*, a cultural association devoted to the digital arts they also created.

Philippe Baudelot is another major European actor through having organised and been responsible for, from 2001 to 2007, the Digital Dance Section of the international festival *Monaco Dance Forum*, a festival which also integrated public access to workshops and lectures. The festival was the most important event in the field since *I.D.A.T.*, and became a very significant meeting hub for the members of the field of dance and technology.

From conversations held in 2010 in Paris with Philippe Baudelot and Andrea Davidson, as well as from my own observations, it emerged that right from the start, the dance and technology movement was marked by a split of approaches. One approach, proposed mainly by American adherents, focused on technological tools and their evolution. The other approach, primarily based on the senses and the elaboration of narrative and meaning was defended by Europeans, whom, moreover, developed a theoretical discourse that took into account dance history with references stretching from the early modern dancer Loïe Fuller's work to the postmodern period of contemporary dance. Their analyses also placed emphasis on the development of scenography. In passing, it can be noted that even today, practicing artists do not always make these links with dance history, or worse, are not even aware of the evolution of
technology in dance. According to Andrea Davidson, in a conversation in Paris in 2011, and more recently in a conversation with Florence Figols from the Department of Dance (Interdisciplinary stream) at Concordia University, Montreal, in June 2014, most traces of dance and technology's history have been lost in the sense that work done previously is not always known or fully accounted for.

The European analyses testify to the fact that the history of dance and technology is still young and in need of documentation. In 2008, Johannes Birringer wrote a book on the history of dance and technology entitled *Performance, Technology and Science*. In the book, he explores the convergences of performance and science that drive computer-mediated, interactive art. He examines the shifts that have occurred in the aesthetic understanding of performance within computer-augmented, virtual and networked environments. Steve Dixon's *Digital Performance: A History of New Media in Theater, Dance, Performance* (2007) examines these issues from a different perspective. Some of the views expressed resonate with different aspects of the thesis' research, and those that seem most relevant will be examined.

**Principal philosophical approaches**

During the professional seminar *Moviment i Digits* in Celri, Spain, in October 2004, to which ten choreographers including myself had been invited, and more recently, at the research event METABODY in Dresden in October 2013, I was able to identify two main philosophical approaches motivating the discourse of the body in dance and technology:

---

137 Published by *Paj Publications* (New York).
1. a theory of extension and its accompanying problematics of duality and materialism\textsuperscript{138} through which the body is essentially positioned as an object in relation to technology (Laruelle 1991), which seems to correspond to the propositions of philosophers such as René Descartes and much later, Marshall McLuhan (McLuhan 1972);

2. a non-positional relation of oneself via technology, which seems to correspond to the proposition of Oriental philosophy (a theory of interconnectivity). This is a position defended in this thesis concerning research on the complexification of self.

Many dancers and body-based performers can be situated within the first category. It is the principal and most common approach. Here, the body is understood and proposed as a component and extension (artificial interface) of the machine, an approach wherein the body is often called 'obsolete' or must be 'augmented'. This approach has another expression inspired by the theory of rhizomes as developed by Deleuze and Guattari (1980). Deleuze and Guattari's theory presents a variation on the idea of the body being an extension of the material world (and thus an object). For Deleuze and Guattari (1980), the rhizome presents a model in which organisation does not follow a conventional line of subordination (as in with a root and an arborescence based on the model of the Tree of Porphyry). Rhizomes demonstrate a linear structure that follows the structure of many plants (for example, a root system in which an underground stem extends and grows horizontally). Comparing Deleuze and Guattari’s concept of the rhizome to the body and technological prostheses and other interfaces, the body can be considered an extension of the machine, a prolongation. However, as an extension of the machine, the body can potentially be considered as an object amongst others in the material world. Artists who adopt this philosophical approach will, for

\textsuperscript{138} Introduced earlier in Sections 2.2 and 2.3.
example, work with movement capture systems, and, in most cases, with technology that does not influence or change their physicality, but acts simply as a 'supplement' coming from outside their body. If these approaches are presented at this point in the thesis, it is to clearly demonstrate the impact that the relationship between the body and technology has for the aesthetic dimension of works and for the choice of technologies to be used.

**Three periods in the history of dance and technology**

In this section, an overview of the leading figures in the field of 'Dance and Technology' is presented with respect to three main historical periods this thesis identifies. The first period corresponds to the time of the pioneers, starting from the early 1900s to around 1979. The second period was between 1980 and 1999. The third period is the current period from the early 2000s until today.

**The precursors**

![Figure 28: Loïe Fuller, Serpentine Dance, 1892](image)

![Figure 29: Oscar Schlemmer, Triadic Ballet, 1922](image)

Figures 28 and 29 have approval to be used

---


140 Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://library.calvin.edu/hda/node/2320
According to European analyses, the initial figures or precursors who marked and crafted this movement, were Loïe Fuller (1862-1928) and Oskar Schlemmer (1888-1943). For Sally Jane Norman, professor of Performance Technologies and director of the *Attenborough Center for the Creative Arts* at the University of Sussex, electric lighting constitutes the foundation of the modern stage. According to Norman, electric lighting opened up the entire stage in contradistinction to the dim lighting of the past. Loïe Fuller, an American choreographer and first dance artist to integrate electric lighting, also wanted to integrate all components of choreographic space and action within a single coherent aesthetic. Oskar Schlemmer, was a German painter and scenographer whose innovations in dance and theatre gave him a reputation as a pioneer of interdisciplinarity. He was one of the first artists to reflect on relations between art, new materials and technical innovations.

![Figure 30: Marice Béjart and Nicolas Schoffer, CYSP I, 1956](image)

---

141 Triadisch Ballet. Viewed 16 August 2008, online: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=akRMaH9O7Cs&feature=related

142 Triadic Ballet (1927) – Schlemmer. Viewed 16 August 2008, online: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xMDtwC76HjA&NR=1

*Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://artplastoc.blogspot.ca/2012/11/137-le-mouvement-en-sculpture-3-seconde.html*
Other seminal works of this first period include *CYSP 1* produced by Maurice Béjart and sculptor Nicolas Schöffer\(^{143}\). Schöffer was one of the first artists to be inspired by the new science of cybernetics, desiring to work artistically with early definitions of the 'electronic brain'. His animated spatiodynamic sculpture *CYSP 1* marked the first interactive component of dance history\(^{144}\). The sculpture was integrated in Béjart's choreography and presented at the first Festival d’Avant-Garde de Marseille in June 1956: 'The sculpture reacted spatially to dancers approaching and moving away from it. Its colorful plates, turning and presenting their differently colored faces, also participated in the aesthetic and dynamics of the ballet'\(^{145}\).

Another work, *Variations V* (1965) by Merce Cunningham\(^{146}\), was a multimedia performance created in collaboration with composer-musicians John Cage and David Tudor, and images by Nam June Paik and Stan Van der Beek. Although the technologies employed were not digital but rather electronic, engineer Billy Klüver perfected a photoelectric system and microphones capable of detecting and reacting to movement that in turn, generated music;

http://www.olats.org/schoffer/

\(^{144}\) Here are a few elements that explain the sculpture's functioning:

*CYSP 1* is affected by sound intensity, light intensity and heat (and interpretation of colors). Sound is detected by microphone input, and *CYSP 1* reacts by being excited by silence and is calmed by noise. Photoelectric cells detecting colour are excited by the color blue, which means that it moves forward, retreats or makes a quick turn, and makes its plates turn fast; it becomes calm with red. It is also excited in the dark and becomes calm in intense light. *CYSP 1* has total autonomy of movement [...] as well as axial and eccentric rotation, and the setting in motion of its 16 pivoting polychromed plates.


\(^{145}\) Viewed 28 October 2014, online: http://www.olats.org/schoffer/archives/cysppubl.htm

\(^{146}\) Viewed 16 August 2008, online: http://www.medienkunstnetz.de/works/variations-v/video/1/
American choreographer Mimi Garrard\textsuperscript{148} created \textit{Cortly} (1970), a performance involving composing and generating lighting information in real-time. The work's first version was designed and built in 1969 and integrated onstage for the first time at the \textit{Henry Street Playhouse} in New York City. It was the first time that a computer was employed onstage to create a real-time relationship between scenographic elements, in this case, lighting and music.

After Cunningham, Alwin Nikolais was modern dance’s second major pioneer of multimedia. His principle works include \textit{Masks, Props, and Mobiles} (1953), \textit{Totem} (1960), and \textit{Count Down} (1979)\textsuperscript{149}. Amongst other things, Nikolais proposed a theory of 'decentralisation' that depersonalised dancers through costuming and elements of stage design. His theory cut through to the very heart of dance. Using sound collage and changing images projected onto the stage and the dancers' bodies, Nikolais shifted focus away from individual dancers in order to favour an aesthetic synthesis of elements in his productions.

\textsuperscript{147} Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://blogs.dharma.art.br/2011/11/john-cage-merce-cunningham-
%2680%94-variations-v/

\textsuperscript{148} Viewed 16 August 2008, online: http://www.mimigarrarddance.com/

\textsuperscript{149} Viewed 16 August 2008, online: http://www.pbs.org/wnet/americannmasters/database/nikolais_a.html
A second period in the history of dance and technology, from 1980 to 1999. One main event, the International Dance and Technology Conference 99\textsuperscript{150}(I.D.A.T. 99), held in 1999 at Arizona State University (A.S.U.) in Tempe, Arizona, encapsulated the type of works that were produced in this period, with many leading artists presenting their work and giving papers at the conference. Numerous round tables with artist-researchers, contemporary dance critics, producers, teachers and researchers also took place. Amongst those present were Susan Kozel, Yacov Sharir, Scott deLahunta, Johannes Birringer, Robert Wechsler, Troika Ranch, John Mitchell (one of the organisers along with Rob Lovell) and myself.

The third period, from 1999 until the present, is characterised by an increasingly dense integration of technology in the performing arts with devices that involve the senses to create meaning. However, at the beginning of this period, integration of research was less of a priority and less frequent. Technological integration largely took place on the level of scenography that often had little to do with fundamental inquiry. For the most part, technology was used, but its potentialities not explored in depth. Aside from a few exceptions, it corresponded to a dynamic of producing live performance with little renewal of meaning. In recent years however, interest in more creative research has revived, and the nature of works has changed correspondingly. The second and third periods are evoked in greater detail below.

The second period (1980-1999)

If the second period of dance and technology's history was mainly dedicated to experimental research, it was also closely linked to the concerns of technological developments at the time. Its key players were mainly proponents of the augmented

\textsuperscript{150} Viewed 7 November 2014, online: http://www.uv.es/~fores/programa/birringer.html
body position and the theory of extension. Artists included Jean Marc Matos from France\textsuperscript{151}, Yacov Sharir from the United States, Scott deLahunta from the United States, Johannes Birringer working in the United States, the group \textit{Palindrome} directed by American choreographer Robert Wechsler who moved to Germany in 1988\textsuperscript{152}, Troika Ranch, directed by Mark Coniglio and Dawn Stopiello from the United States\textsuperscript{153}, John Mitchell from the United States, Thecla Schiphorst from Canada and Stelarc from Australia.

Let us look in more detail at the work of two of these artists, beginning with the work of Yacov Sharir. His work, largely influenced by Stelarc (Sharir 2012), presents the example of a hybrid approach combining the augmented body and the theory of extension with a rhizomatic approach. The connection his work makes between the body and technology is based on a practice of somatic techniques. A yoga teacher at the University of Texas, Austin, in the Department of Theatre and Dance, he also teaches courses on dance and technology. Thus, even if he considers the body as an extension of technology (the machine), Sharir uses proprioceptive and kinaesthetic knowledge to invest in the development of virtual environments.

His collaborative work in virtual reality in \textit{Dancing with the Dervish} (1993) with artist Diane Gromala\textsuperscript{154} is an example. Sharir relies on sensory capacities and perceptual knowledge as a basis for navigation in the work's virtual environment and for creating imagery in which the user is immersed. So even if he remains caught up with a device and instrumentation that is cumbersome and limits gesture almost entirely, Sharir manages to create a work that invests in the mediated body and the notion of

\textsuperscript{151} Viewed 16 August 2008, online: \url{http://www.k-danse.net/}
\textsuperscript{152} Viewed 16 August 2008, online: \url{http://www.palindrome.de/}
\textsuperscript{153} Viewed 16 August 2008, online: \url{http://www.troikaranch.org/technology.html}
\textsuperscript{154} Referenced earlier with respect to her interactive installation \textit{The Meat Book}. 
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embodiment in an original way within an artificial environment. Although he does not explicitly reflect upon the nature of such changes to the mediated body and the question of embodiment, in experimenting with technology, he nevertheless shares his own experience as a dancer from the point of view of sensation. This said, with respect to this thesis' research, it should be pointed out that his approach focuses mainly on the development of technology. This comment comes as an observer through the critical distance that time and hindsight gives one. It is not a judgement, because I recognize the importance of such pioneer artists' work which laid the foundations for research and reflection on the practices I am reinvesting.

The work of Stelarc\textsuperscript{155} has also had an important influence on the field. An Australian-based performance artist, who teaches at Brunel University, his work explores and extends the concept of the body and its relationship with technology through human-machine interfaces that incorporate medical imagery, prosthetics, robotics, virtual reality systems and the Internet. Stelarc's discourse and basic position is that the human body cannot evolve as quickly as the machine. He thus approaches the body as a component of the machine. He stages performative installations in which the body is connected to different devices through different technologies. He uses his body, for example, as a circuit, passing electrical current through the body in such a manner that it produces reflexes that can be transmitted to various robots. The artist pushes the theory of the disappearance of the body to a maximal point of obsolescence and in this way, builds on the previously mentioned theories of William Gibson (1984, cited in Kozel 2007, p.64).

\textsuperscript{155} Work from 1996 to the present.
In the light of research conducted for this thesis, attention must be drawn to the fact that during his early career, Stelarc conducted experiments that were related to an extreme practice of yoga. Inserting hooks into his skin, he suspended himself over the sea or in performance spaces. He reiterated this practice in his experiments with robotics, where he treated his body like a piece of butcher's meat and manipulated it with a remote-control, while again suspending himself by hooks. When questioned in the past, Stelarc always refused to link his experience of yoga with these practices. However, through practicing yoga, he developed an ability to resist intense pain. According to my own experience as a performer, it seems plausible to hypothesise that a form of proprioceptive learning was also adopted in his approach later on to works involving technology.

During my participation at *Future Moves*, an international festival of electronic art and the performing arts, that took place in Rotterdam, in 1996, I saw one of his performances where he presented himself as part of a device/machine. During the performance, entitled *Fractal Flesh* (1996), he almost lost his life because of the intensity of the electric current passing through his body. If Stelarc integrates an aspect of proprioception in his work, it is nevertheless oriented by the violence he commits on his body. He promotes the ultimately mediated body: by its destruction and

157 Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://stelarc.org/?catID=20290
158 Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://stelarc.org/video/?videoID=20294
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OKEfJRe4uys
disappearance, as well as with a disappearance of the act of embodiment. In my opinion, the act of embodiment is a creative act.

Figure 34: Stelarc, *The third Hand*, 1980

Figure 35: Stelarc, *Fractal Flesh*, 1996

---

159 Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://people.ucsc.edu/~joahanse/onlineexhibit/thirdhand/
160 Viewed 23 November 2014, online: http://transalchemy.files.wordpress.com/2009/06/splitbody2.jpg
The third period—contemporaries

The third period in the history of dance and technology marks a recent return to an interest in research and a revaluation of sensory information in performative experience with technology. Research for this thesis has revealed a plurality of approaches, although I am far from agreeing with or being able to answer all the questions raised by the various expressions of the mediated body and levels of embodiment concerned. I view them more as a series of experiments that can possibly lead to new paths of research. My own research is situated within this context and I agree with Kozel when she notes:

‘[…] when collaborating on artistic projects with both low and high technologies: we needed to figure out what we were doing while we were doing it. We were short of precedents. […] I was less concerned with formal, historical, or critical accounts of what previous artists did than I was with the implications for corporeality, intersubjectivity, […] the transformation of time, space, and motion as understood through the flesh of the experience’ (2007, p.15).

Several events took place from the early 2000s on which focused on the relationship of the performative body, or on one of its aspects, such as the senses and perception, in conjunction with technology. These events were mainly organised by the dance community. They include: RESPOND interchange, Future Physical at the University of Cambridge, United Kingdom, in April 2003; the seminar Moviment i Digits, L’animal a l’esquena at the Center for Performing Arts in Celri, Spain, in October 2004; Corps réels, corps virtuels – les rencontres internationales danse et art numérique – Bains numériques #1 at the Centre des arts d’Enghien-les-bains, France, in October 2005; Forum F.A.Q. – Perfuntas Sobre Arte, Consciencia e tecnologia at SESC / Premio Sergio Motta de Arte e tecnologia, São Paulo, in November 2006, where I was on the same round table as Suely Rolnik.

Then, in 2007 and 2008, the following events can be mentioned: Reviewing the Future organised by HEXAGRAM Centre for Research-creation in Media Arts and Technology and the Centre interuniversitaire des arts médiatiques à Montréal in April
2007; Te-Dance Festival 2007 – Technologically Expanded Dance Project at the Universidade Técnica Lisbon, in November 2007; Ricerca e Futuro, arte, tecnologia e coscienza. Scenari dell’arte technoetica at the Center for Contemporary art Luigi Pecci, Prato, Italy in December 2007; New Realities: Being Syncretic, a conference held at the University of the Applied Arts Vienna, Austria, in July 2008, where I was able to meet and speak with Barbara Maria Stafford, author of Body Criticism (1993).

More recently, there was: Performativité et effets de présence, a conference held at Concordia University in Montreal in May 2010; The Somatics and Technology Conference 2012, at the University of Chichester in June 2012; the conference (corpo)realities at Indiana State University in March 2013; METABODY, a European Union research project that had one of its meetings in Dresden in November 2013; and lastly, the XIII Image International Festival in Manizales, Colombia, organised by the doctoral programme in Design and Creation at Caldas University in May 2014.

I was fortunate to be able to attend all these events, presenting papers on my research, often as keynote speaker, and also, in many of them, presenting performances and thus my practical research. I also gave training seminars for dancers and other artists in the performing arts on the integration of technology in relation to the performative body. These events also gave me the opportunity to attend performances and lectures and thus to keep in touch with recent practical and theoretical research on the subject of dance and technology.

The third period can actually be said to encompass several tendencies: 1- those present in the second period and thus demonstrating the same type of artistic expression;
2- works prioritising the integration of technology in scenography; 3- and those who pay particular attention to the somatic.

Recently, while participating in the opening festivities of METABODY, where I was invited to present my practical and theoretical research\textsuperscript{162}, I was able to attend a number of performances by some of the current figures in the field of dance and technology, namely, Jaime del Val, with his work \textit{Microsexes} (2011), Robert Wechsler of Palindrome, with his new interactive design tool \textit{MotionComposer}, Marco Donnarumma with \textit{Hypo Chrysos} (2011), Johanna Roggan with \textit{Emotican}\textsuperscript{163} (2013) or Marije Baalman from STEIM, Amsterdam. I was surprised to find that, apart from improving the efficiency of the technology, little or no change had taken place in the aesthetic propositions of live performance since the second period. If the general discourse was moving towards an interest in the potentiality of the performative body through exploring various degrees of mediation and embodiment, thus apparently conforming to METABODY’s research mandate, it was difficult to see the artistic development of these theories in practice.

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure36.png}
  \caption{Figure 36: Merce Cunningham, \textit{Biped}, \textit{Biped}, 2000\textsuperscript{164} \hfill Figure 37: Bill T. Jones, Paul Kaiser and Shelley Eshkar, \textit{Ghostcatching / process}, 1999\textsuperscript{165}}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{162} On four occasions.
\textsuperscript{163} Viewed 28 October 2014, online: http://thegutscompany.net/project/1
\textsuperscript{164} Video of \textit{Emotican}, Viewed 28 October 2014, online: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KznrgpOdWBko&index=7&list=PLzDntePWaer4S0Q1Pqg_qEG3qgbezi4
\textsuperscript{165} Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://openendedgroup.com/artworks/gc.html
Generally speaking, the third period is marked by artists who prioritise the integration of technology in scenography rather than in their research. Their work is nevertheless important, as, according to Sharir, 'in the last three decades, clearly, the dance art field has experienced tremendous growth which has produced a number of memorable and ground-breaking works worth mentioning: 'Merce Cunningham', Gavin Bryars, Paul Kaiser, and Shelley Eshkar’s *Biped* (U.S.A. 2000); […] Bill T. Jones, Paul Kaiser and Shelley Eshkar’s *Ghostcatching* (U.S.A. 1999)' (Sharir 2012, p.56). In Sharir's opinion,

> [t]hese fine works contributed to the renewed interest in the field of dance and technological mediation. They also answered some questions related to the physical body, which historically resisted the restrictive aspects and the crudeness qualities of the technology as well as the embodiment as machines. They flirted with the subversive qualities of suggested magnified performance […]. No matter how deeply involved these artists were in the work process, the major question answered was related to the dramaturgy of performance content, internal and external time, and intuitiveness and consciousness (2012, pp.56-57).

These works are important examples. Working in the same vein, other artists of the period can be cited: Gideon Obarzanek (Chunky Move), Troika Ranch, Cie Mulleras, Chris Ziegler, Kirk Woolford, Yushihido Yoshida, Sophia Lycouris, Isabel Valverde, Jean-Marc Matos, Jayachandran Palahazy, Helen Sky, Wolf Ka and Klaus Obermaiyer.

On the other hand, through events such as the *Somatics and Technology Conference 2012* or the international festival *Bains numériques #1*, I came in contact

---

166 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [http://www.merce.org/thecompany_r-biped.html](http://www.merce.org/thecompany_r-biped.html)

167 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [http://www.chunkymove.com/AU01.html](http://www.chunkymove.com/AU01.html)

168 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [troikaranch.org](http://troikaranch.org)


170 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [http://chrisziegler.de](http://chrisziegler.de)


174 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [http://www.digitalcultures.org/Symp/Isabel.htm](http://www.digitalcultures.org/Symp/Isabel.htm) (personnal web site actually unavailable)


178 Viewed 19 September 2008, online: [http://www.exile.at/ko/](http://www.exile.at/ko/)

179 *Bains numériques #1*, presented by the *Centre des arts d’Enghien-les-Bains*, France, in 2007, was conceived of a showcase of national and international digital stages. This first version of the Biennale concentrated on emerging forms the digital in dance. As its director Dominique Roland notes:
with people who were reflecting on and exploring new avenues of research with a focus on the experiential. French choreographer Myriam Gourfik\textsuperscript{180} whose work on perception and micromovement was analysed in Section 2.8.5 can be cited or Kitsous Dubois\textsuperscript{181} who experiments with the performative body in states of weightlessness. With her work,

\ldots

she seizes the phenomenon of weightlessness to discover movement, perception of the environment, the feeling of time, the relationship to the material and to others in new ways, as the poetics of an environment in which points of reference are disturbed (Dubois n.d.).

With these last projects, an investment in proprioceptive knowledge and awareness of the inner body serve as the basis for exploring the mediated body and different forms of embodiment. It can thus be assumed that dancers use their innate somatic knowledge to approach these questions, just as former dancer Yacov Sharir had begun to do in the second period of dance and technology. In a similar way, and even if it is a question of an installation involving wearables, one can cite the collaborative work of Susan Kozel with Thecla Schiphorst for \textit{Whisper[s] research project in wearable computing} (2002-2005). Here, through her phenomenological approach and experience as a dancer, Kozel was to 'witness, receive, and interpret the experiences of others in the Whisper[s] garments embedded with wearable computers and filter these through [her] experiences of collaborating on the design of the garments and of performing the role of guide in the installation' (Kozel 2007, p.273).

\textit{Bains numériques #1} was built around an unusual concept: alternating between an intimate presentation of new artistic forms and distanced reflection. The event's goal was to identify, assess and decrypt these emerging forms. Indeed, the introduction of digital arts and new technologies in live performance has led to a modification of the time-space of the stage and audience and therefore to a certain scrambling of codes, perceptions and references for the viewer. \ldots

\textsuperscript{180} Viewed 19 September 2008, online: http://www.myriam-gourfink.com/
\textsuperscript{181} Viewed 29 July 2014, online: http://www.kitsoudubois.com/wordpress/?page_id=2
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Kozel's approach is pertinent for the present discussion because it is hybrid. It includes a phenomenological approach; research integrating the somatic; the theories of Deleuze; and technology addressed in terms of extension. In general, Kozel describes her research as being about, 'what can be discovered and created as we become closer to our computers and closer to others through them, when they become extensions of our way of thinking, moving, and touching' (Kozel 2007, p.xiv). Speaking of Whisper[s] in particular, she advances the idea that 'metaphor and physical reality of human connective tissue is expanded to account for "data choreography" across bodies and mobile wireless networked devices. With wearables we can connect with ourselves, with another, or with a wider group of people' (2007, p.xix). A certain resonance can be noted between the approaches of Kozel and Sharir in the sense that they both integrate personal proprioceptive awareness and knowledge gleaned from the somatic.

While attending the Somatics and Technology Conference 2012, I was in contact with a number of scholars and artists whose main theme of research establishes links between somatic practices and technology. As Andrea Davidson, organiser of the conference and co-editor\textsuperscript{182} for the Journal of Dance and Somatic Practices notes, this new area of study reflects 'recent perspectives in art, performance […] that shed light on new manifestations and imbrications of somatics and technology' (2013, p.3). Davidson further remarks that '[o]ver the past 50 years, it has become common practice amongst academics writing on dance (Sheets-Johnstone 1966, 1980; Levin 1983; Fraleigh 1987, 1998; Kozel 1998, 2007; Lepecki 1998) to reference phenomenology in their description of the dancing body (2013, p.7). Recent research in the choreographic and performative arts investigates various forms of embodied transmission and reception. Even if it is difficult to compare research that is based on foundations so different to my

\textsuperscript{182} Of a special issue on somatics and technology. 
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own research, I would like to take the time to examine two perspectives on these issues in order to stimulate reflection.

Cecilia Lima, approaches dance itself as a technology of proprioception. For Lima, 'as an experiential practice of somatic perception, dance digs into the living matter of what is scientifically currently recognized as the source of self-consciousness and of the thinking process, producing what is commonly referred to as "embodied knowledge" or "embodied thinking" ' (de Lima 2013, p.17). Lima describes embodied knowledge as 'sensorial awareness of such know-how. This intensified awareness opens up an experiential understanding of reality as an embodied motion condition' (de Lima 2013, p.17). She attempts to determine what modes of expression such knowledge might take. For Lima, media intensify the sensory experience she is investigating through a 'motional understanding of the world' (de Lima 2013, p.27). This leads to a heightened degree of consciousness due to intensification because,

[through somatic practices the dancer achieves an intensified access to his or her proprioceptive system wherein he or she vividly perceives the body as in a state of transformation. Such access reveals that the homeostatic condition of the living body does not envisage a stable nature, but it presents the living process as a movement condition resulting from the body’s paradoxical need of affect. This embodied awareness proposes a different mode of perceiving (de Lima 2013, p.27).

Lima's research lies at the intersection of these perceptual responses and she questions how they affect our thinking and ways of articulating thought. Her methodology takes into account 'the tacit knowledge of the dancer to develop a deeper perceptive awareness of how the somatic dynamic quality of meaning can be built into our understanding of verbal expression' (de Lima 2013, p.25).

Artist Malaika Sarco Thomas, Senior Lecturer at Falmouth University, presents another interesting angle of research which considers improvisation in dance as 'a technological interface with one’s environment' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.81). Drawing parallels between performances of her work *Twig Dances* (2010) and life sciences
imaging technologies that map living matter onto still frames, she adopts the equivalent of a postphenomenological approach by relating improvisation scores with image-making technologies. She qualifies these scores as 'invit(ing) corporeal responses to the non-human, and kinaesthetic responses to organic matter' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.81).

For Sarco-Thomas, they translate as an expression of the mediatisation of our experience in the world. She thus uses somatic practices to reveal potential meaning hidden within 'excitable' tissues enlivened through improvisational practices' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.81). She references the theories of Erin Manning on 'the discussion of performances involving technologies that "extend" the body' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.82) as well as on 'altered ways of experiencing one’s extended environment' (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.83). For Sarco-Thomas,

 perception-enhancing technologies extend the reach of the body and generate new models of relating. Improvisation-based technologies that encourage movers to take perception seriously and explore affinities for moving "like" another thing can develop the usefulness of our "fleshy antennae" and provoke questions of how far these sensory motilities can be tools for research and communication. Engaging with such technologies through performance has the potential to provoke further examination of the kinaesthetically and affectively charged knowledges produced by one body moving, with curiosity, in relation to another (Sarco-Thomas 2013, p.91).

Andrea Davidson, for her part, provides a few trains of thought that explain and situate the basis of such research:

A return to the Greek word sōma (body) and to the root meaning of the word 'aesthetics' – as aisthēta (perceptible things) and aisthesthai (to perceive, feel, sense, discern) – can be instructive in this context, as are Thomas Hanna’s thoughts on soma: "The soma has a dual talent: it can sense its own individual functions via first-person perception, and it can sense external structures and objective situations via third-person perception" (Hanna 1995, cited in Davidson 2013, p.6). Hanna also remarks, "We cannot sense without acting and we cannot act without sensing" (Hanna 1995, cited in Davidson 2013, p.6). These unique qualities condition all human perception and creation (Davidson 2013, p.6).

Research integrating somatic knowledge with technology is still young and even if few artists elect to look at these questions in depth, it is interesting to note how many different directions their research takes. One of the features that distinguishes my research from others' is that it neither adopts the philosophical position of the extension, nor Deleuze and Guattari's theory of rhizomes (a variation on the idea of extension). Nor does it take a postphenomenological perspective or an interest in language and the
possibility of the body, as for Lima, as being "readable". My approach does not take a third person perspective of what is external, or the environment. It understands it from an internal, sensory point of view; from a first person perspective. This also contributes to investing in intercorporeality because what is "outside" is part of our sensory and perceptual interiority. It also leads to an approach to the mediated body that contributes to the enrichment of the phenomenological experience of the performative body. In turn, as another form of embodiment, it is part of this enrichment.

**Influences**

To contextualise and situate my practice, it is firstly important to state that my main influences do not stem from the field of 'Dance and Technology'. Rather, they come from new dance, **Butoh**, video art, the work of the Brazilian artist Lygia Clark and my readings. They also come from various trips that put me in touch with multisensory environments with an intensity that I had not encountered previously in Canada.

Figure 38: Marie Chouinard, *S.T.A.B.*, 1986  
Figure 39: Marie Chouinard, *L'Après-midi d'un faune*, 1987

During the period I studied at Concordia University, I was most influenced by new dance and the performative work of certain solo dancers. When I attended these artists' performances, I was deeply impressed by the way you could see their
relationship to lived experience, their way of investing the 'body from within' in an act of embodiment. These dancers and the works include: Montreal choreographer Marie Chouinard\textsuperscript{183} with her solos \textit{S.T.A.B. (Space, Time and Beyond)} (1986) and \textit{L’Après-midi d’un faune} (1987); German soloist Susan Linke; and contemporary \textit{Butoh} artists, and especially the extreme performances of Tatsumi Hijikata, Kazuo Ono and Saburo Teshigawara (Cie Karas). One performance by Teshigawara, \textit{Ishi-no-Hana} (1988), shown at the International Festival of New Dance (F.I.N.D.) in 1989 particularly marked me, in which Teshigawara furiously stomped on broken glass before plunging to his knees as if the glass was rising into his body. \textit{Noh} theatre was also an influence for me owing to its relationship with time that, in my opinion, operates as if the actors were moving, and could move spectators with them, from one time-space to another through their extremely slow movement.

\textbf{Figure 40} has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

\textbf{Figure 41} has been removed due to Copyright restrictions.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure40}
\caption{Gary Hill, \textit{Inasmuch As It is Always Already Taking Place}, 1990\textsuperscript{185}}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure41}
\caption{Nam June Paik, \textit{TV Buddha}, 1974\textsuperscript{184}}
\end{figure}

In parallel, Bill Viola's video installation \textit{Migration} (1976), which highlights the act of perception or 'the world within the self, and the self reflected in nature'\textsuperscript{186} and Gary Hill's \textit{Inasmuch As It is Always Already Taking Place} (1990) (to image) and \textit{Tall Ships} (1992), had a huge influence on me because of their work on presence, amongst

\textsuperscript{184} Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://people.ucsc.edu/~rdomezio/naim.jpg
\textsuperscript{185} Viewed 24 November 2014, online: \url{http://www.paikstudios.com/#top}
\textsuperscript{186} Viewed 29 July 2014, online: \url{http://www.donshewey.com/arts_articles/bill_viola.html}
other things, through the medium of video. The analyses of Montreal art critic Christine Ross, professor and James McGill Chair of Contemporary Art History at McGill University, were also instrumental for understanding these works because Ross (1993) describes the phenomenological transfer they operate between the spectator's experience and the video screen, which she describes as an electronic membrane or other kind of skin. The seminal works of video artist Nam June Paik, such as *TV Buddha* (1974), were also important because, for me, they reveal and critique a displacement of the sacred with new technologies.

Montreal choreographer Jean-Pierre Perrault was also an influence for me through his work on choreographic structures for masses as exemplified by his creation

187 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://ratsdeville.typepad.com/ratsdeville/colloque_symposium/
188 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://www.artsalive.ca/fr/dan/meet/bios/artistDetail.asp?artistID=75
189 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://surlatracedejeanpierreperreault.blogspot.ca/
http://jeanpierreperreault.com/oeuvres/stella
190 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://jeanpierreperreault.com/oeuvres/stella
Joe (1984) and especially Stella (1985), a work employing a cast of some thirty female dancers. More recently, the work of Brazilian artist Lygia Clark and her experiments with a 'collective body' (during the period she combined activities as an artist and art therapist), deeply impressed me. More detail on specific aspects of Clark's collective body is provided in Chapter 5.

Several American postmodern choreographers also had a great influence on my work through their various approaches and artistic propositions, namely: strategies of chance in Merce Cunningham's collaborations with John Cage; hypnosis and trance in Lucinda Childs' works created in collaboration with composer Philip Glass, which I saw in 1987; and more importantly, the work of Trisha Brown and Steve Paxton, which, for me, are working examples of intersensoriality and Merleau-Ponty's second chiasm.

In a different measure, one that nevertheless underpins my receptivity of more recent practices, I must also cite the works of Picasso, sculptures of Rodin and writings of Michel Trévoz and particularly, The Painted Body (1984), where he addresses the issue of the skin as being the first support for creative expression. To complete this list, I should also mention a trip I took to Brazil in 1997, where I experienced the carnival of Salvador da Bahia. There are no words to express the extreme and full multisensory immersion I experienced at the carnaval. It forever changed my relationship to dance and the performative body and gave me the chance to dance in a place where the act of

192 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://www.panoramacritico.com/007/ensaios_05.php
193 Viewed 24 November 2014, online: http://raposasasul.blogspot.ca/2012/03/carta-de-lygia-clark-para-o-seu-filho.html
embodiment is still a sacred act, and in which the interconnectivity of the dancing crowd induces a state of trance that envelops and transports one as part of an enormous collective body. It also gave me the chance to experience what Roger Caillois discusses as a sacred festive event of transgression in *Man and the Sacred* (1950). In Brazil, I also attended *Candomblé* ceremonies of the goddess *Yemanja*, as well as regional ceremonies involving *Capoeira*\(^{194}\), a three-tridimensional\(^{195}\) dance if ever there was one, all of which constituted experimentations with transgression.

### 3.3 Summary

To sum up and complete this portrait of the field of dance and technology, the following comments by Yacov Sharir can be firstly cited: ‘[t]he magnitude of technologically mediated artwork that has been created in the last forty years is indeed impressive. What is most significant, however, is the increased interest in how the use of new technologies impacts traditional dance’ (2012, p.56) and, may I add, performance art. In the introduction to *Somatics and Technology*, Andrea Davidson analyses the breadth of the journal's contributions which were drawn from current research on the theme of somatics and technology:

> [...] each in their own way, identify and explore possible interconnections between embodied knowledge and conceptual processes involving new media. They notably demonstrate how artistic and therapeutic practices today are challenging philosophical, aesthetic and technical paradigms of the past and “revealing” new modes of perception in dance practices, highlighting dance’s understanding of the body and particularly, the role of somatics can play in any conceptualization or construction of knowledge in a technological society (2013, p.7).

The research presented in this thesis follows these tendencies, and also participates in the research efforts of an entire community of dancers whom, each in various ways, investigate the relationship of the performative body and technology. It was on the basis


\(^{195}\) The notion of tridimensionality is picked up again in Chapter 5.
of my recent research that I was invited to participate in a communal reflection on the subject at the *Somatics and Technology Conference 2012*, and again, in the publication *Somatics and Technology*. The findings of this thesis resonate with the lines of research presented in these two events that were summarised in the following way by Davidson:

If, for most humans, the proprioceptive sense is largely ignored, for the dancer and choreographer, heightened sensori-perceptual awareness constitutes a pertinent means of penetrating, extracting and communicating what might otherwise remain unnoticed [...].

[...] somatic practices, as technologies of presence and conscious sensorial awareness can enrich the practical research process and its outcome [...].

[...] the vibratory [...] nature of the body and the dancer’s ability to sense internal, micro levels of energy/movement which usher from a mutually embodied and dynamic interoceptive/exteroceptive activity that ‘affords’ heightened interaction with the environment; again be it mediated or not.

[Choiniere’s project] demonstrate how the integration of new media, far from destabilizing or detracting from dance’s inherent features enables new multisensorial and embodied dimensions in performance. Further, they [Brown’s, East’s Spies/Emslie’s and Choinière’s] point to how technological interfaces allow for a ‘multipleness’ of presence in contemporary creation and new forms of presence that can be shared with spectators (Davidson 2013, p.11).

My doctoral research participates in this dynamic by revisiting the relationship between dance/somatics, and more broadly speaking, the performative body, and technology. The next chapter presents an analysis of the methodology adopted for the thesis and highlights certain problems with existing methodologies in Practice as Research, that point to a need for investing in new methodological modes of an interdisciplinary nature. Chapter 5 then presents an adaptation of these methods I made in order to meet the dynamics of the collective and empathic mode of enquiry specific to my research project and which describes the relationship between somatics and technology proposed in this thesis.
4. CHAPTER IV – A METHODOLOGY FOR PRACTICE AS RESEARCH IN THE FIELD OF DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY

4.1. Towards a methodology of transformation: presentation of the problematics and methodological foundations of my practice as research

Introduction

In the previous chapter, the motivations and main aspects of my artistic approach were outlined. In this chapter, consideration is given to how this artistic approach both conditioned and is thus intimately linked to the methodology adopted for the research conducted within the framework of the thesis. So doing, it proposes an alternative evolutionary type of methodology involving a process of collaborative research based on empathy. This chapter looks at the principles and foundations on which this methodology was built. But before beginning, I will address what I consider as a central issue: that of linking paradigms and methodology. This constitutes one of the theoretical nodes developed in this first section.

In the course of researching for a methodology for my creative work, I was able to identify four main problems, all interconnected, that existed with models that were proposed to me, of which the first, points to an important lack of references regarding methodologies for creative research in general, and specifically, in terms of Practice as Research (PaR). According to Leonore Easton, professor at La Manufacture – Haute...
The terms most frequently used to describe artistic research are: 1- Practice-based research (PBR) is a broad term which covers all forms of practice-oriented research; 2- Practice-led research (PLR) is the term that now seems to have replaced that of PBR in artistic research. It denominates research that focuses on the artistic process; 3- Practice as Research (PaR) is more explicit because this term expresses the notion of a direct link between research and practice. Here, practice is an integral part of the research process and its results (Easton 2011, p.3).

The term that best corresponds to my process is that of Practice as Research (PaR).

**A first problem: the lack of methodologies for creative research**

The scarcity of methodologies for creative research points to a gap to be filled in this area, a problem that has been noted by several key English-speaking authors. In the field of dance, authors such as Sondra Horton Fraleigh (1987, 1998) and Susan Kozel (2007) can be cited. Other authors from a broader anglophone context in the United Kingdom and Australia include: Robin Nelson, Director of Research at the Royal Central, School of Speech & Drama, University of London, and author of *PaR in the Arts: Principles, Protocols, Pedagogies, Resistances* (2013); Hazel Smith of the Writing and Society Research Group at the University of Western Sydney, and Roger Dean, Research Professor at MARCS Auditory Laboratories, University of Western Sydney, authors of *Practice-led-Research, Research-lead Practice in the Creative Arts* (2009); Estelle Barrat, Associate Professor and Honours Convenor at the School of Communications and Creative Arts, Deakin University, and Barbara Bolt, Senior Lecturer at the Victorian College of Arts Graduate School, University of Melbourne, and authors of *PaR: Approaches to Creative Arts Enquiry* (2010); and Roy Ascott (2006).

196 Viewed 18 may 2014, online: [http://www.hetsr.ch/upload/file/Rapport%20me%CC%81thodologie%20recherche%20artistique%20Leonore%20Easton.pdf](http://www.hetsr.ch/upload/file/Rapport%20me%CC%81thodologie%20recherche%20artistique%20Leonore%20Easton.pdf)
[http://www.sharenetwork.eu/home](http://www.sharenetwork.eu/home)
In France, Patrice Pavis, professor of Theatre Studies at Université Paris 8 and specialist in research methodologies for performance/theatre in France and author of *L’analyse des spectacles: théâtre, mime, danse-théâtre, cinéma* (2005) can be referenced. In Quebec, the following scholars can be cited: Pierre Gosselin, professor and researcher of pedagogy and methodology in the arts at Université du Québec à Montréal where, amongst other works, he co-edited the book *La recherche création; pour une compréhension de la recherche en pratique artistique* (2009) along with Eric Le Coguiec, a scholar investigating research methodologies for art at Université du Québec à Montréal; Louise Poissant, Dean of the Faculty of Arts at Université du Québec à Montréal and director of the Centre interuniversitaire des arts médiatiques (2009); Sylvie Fortin, a certified Feldenkrais practitioner and professor in the Dance Department of Université du Québec à Montréal where she created a diploma program of graduate studies in somatic education (2009); and Sophia L. Burns, a scholar investigating art research methodologies at Université du Québec à Montréal (2009).

These authors’ conclusions were also highlighted in recent conferences on methodologies for creative research including the conference *La recherche-création; territoire d’innovation méthodologique*\(^{197}\) that took place at Université du Québec à Montréal (19-21 March 2014), or the International Symposium on Dance Research *Re-thinking practice and theory*\(^{198}\): a joint conference (21-24 June 2007) sponsored by the Committee on Research in Dance (C.O.R.D.) affiliated with the State University of

\(^{197}\) Viewed 10 April 2014, online: http://www.methodologiesrecherchecreation.uqam.ca/

\(^{198}\) Viewed 13 August 2014, online: www.cnd.fr / www.cord.org / www.sdhs.org / http://www.cnd.fr/agenda/212171/#english
New York, Brockport, United States and the Society of Dance History Scholars (S.D.H.S.) in collaboration with the Centre National de la danse (C.N.D.) in Paris.

According to Leonore Easton, who makes an analysis from the point of view of an arts practitioner,

[w]hat emerges from the debate on questions of methodology in PaR projects to date is that artistic research has not yet elaborated or defined one or a set of methods that it can call its own, other practice itself. [...] PaR is currently still in the process of finding a methodology and to do so, it borrows "tinkers with" ("bricole") and experiments, [...] the goal of PaR, even if it develops new knowledge, is not necessarily to provide answers or evidence, but rather to consider new forms of understanding (2011, p.24).

I agree with these observations and definitions. The methodology I experimented with for this thesis was precisely oriented towards other forms of understanding and with the goal of opening up a new space for reflection. According to my research, the arts practitioner has no other choice but to invest in the understanding and development of a methodology that reflects and serves his/her practice. Susan Kozel delivers her vision of this issue, describing the position she found herself in as an artist-researcher investigating the relationship of dance and technology:

[i]n fact, the act of distancing myself from the academic world of philosophy, in order to work and teach as a dance artist collaborating across disciplines using a range of computer technologies, made me see the increasing relevance of a modified phenomenological approach, even the necessity of developing thoughtful and philosophically well-grounded first-person, or subjective, approaches to research. This seemed particularly true when collaborating on artistic projects with both low and high technologies: we needed to figure out what we were doing while we were doing it. We were short of precedents. […] What was lacking were methodological precedents (2007, pp.14-15).

I share Kozel's dismay because I, too, experienced the same lack of references and difficulty in understanding relationships that were both complex and new as they emerged with every step of my research.

Thus, the vast majority of authors (Kozel 2007; Easton 2011; Smith & Dean 2009; Ascott 2006; Pavis 2005; Gosselin 2009; Le Coguiec 2009; Fortin 2009; Burns 190 Choinière
2009; Poissant 2009) have come to the conclusion that it is absolutely necessary to innovate methodologies for creative research and that artists and researchers must invent and propose other types of methodologies consistent with their respective disciplines and artistic practices. According to Burns (2009), the first thesis to present and be presented as an artistic creation dates back to 1970. If several reasons (and myths) (Bruneau 2009, p.51-52) push practitioners to engage in doctoral research, accepting the specific work that methodologies demand (Fortin 2009), the type of methodology which most resembles my process is one motivated by a desire to identify as quickly as possible the key concepts of my creative process in order to improve practice (Laurier 2009).

**A second problem**

Referring to Burns (2009, p.60), who analyses these issues from the point of view of a practitioner in the visual arts, and then to reflections of a doctoral student at the Université du Québec à Montréal, on artistic practice as research, a second problem my research identifies is that methodologies for artistic creation are often neither based on, nor reflect, atavisms, affiliations, history or the rites of the artist work in terms of particular disciplines and their paradigms. It is therefore important to correct this situation. I agree with the conclusions Burns arrives at and they support one of the first arguments I employ here to defend the evolutionary methodology this thesis proposes.

**A third problem: the valorisation of objectivity in classical Western thought**

In the context of academic research, another basic problem can be linked to classical Western thought that values objectivity and the fact that all research which is
not based on this criteria is considered of little value for the advancement of knowledge (Laflamme 2009). According to Laflamme (2009), who founds her analysis as a practitioner participating in a collaborative study led by Pierre Gosselin\textsuperscript{201}, the break with the Greek notion of \textit{métis}\textsuperscript{202}, 'a type of intelligence occulted by classical Greek thought' (2009, p.74), created the problem of a devaluation of alternative forms of cognition such as those stemming from the body. This issue reinforces the pertinence of creating an appropriate methodology for artist-researchers in an academic environment (Laflamme 2009). Gardner's theories, emphasising the importance of recognising multiple intelligences, highlighted in Section 1.8, form a complement to this view.

The Greek concept of \textit{métis} is examined in the research of Détienne and Vernant (1974, cited by Laflamme 2009). \textit{Métis} is a form of intelligence and way of thinking produced by certain mental attitudes. Multiple and multifaceted in nature (for example: flair, wisdom, resourcefulness, \textit{et caetera}), these qualities of intelligence apply to 'changing realities that do not lend themselves to precise measurement nor to rigorous reasoning' (1974, p. 75). Anchored in becoming and action, this form of intelligence was eradicated from Greek philosophical thought from the fifth century onwards:

\[\text{[o]n behalf of a metaphysics of being and the immutable, the conjectural know-how and oblique knowledge of the skilled and cautious were rejected on the side of lack of knowledge [...]. The intellectual universe of the Greek philosopher, unlike the Chinese and Indian thinker, supposes a radical dichotomy of being and becoming, the intelligible and the sensitive (Détienne and Vernant 1974, p.11, cited in Laflamme 2009, p.75).}\]

Détienne and Vernant's propositions also support Christine Buci-Glucksmann's\textsuperscript{203} conclusions concerning what she calls the 'thought of form' (2003, p.88) which she denounces as being frozen and no longer representing the unstable and transitional spaces in which people live today. Détienne and Vernant's propositions can also be

\textsuperscript{201} Cited above.
\textsuperscript{202} A few examples of artists who entertain a \textit{métis} way of thinking as the basis for their practice include Isadora Duncan, Steve Paxton, Tatsynu Hijikata, the founder of \textit{Butoh} with his work \textit{The Revolt of the Flesh} (1968), Brazilian artists Hélio Oiticica and Lygia Clark and Italian choreographer Maria Donato D'Urso.
\textsuperscript{203} Presented in Section 2.8.1.
related to the concept of corporeality which integrates movement and openness as fundamental conditions integrated in the strategies underpinning my methodology.

The dichotomy, duality and fragmentation of the Western analytic tradition has been noted by scholars in the context of science (Koestler and Smythies [1969] 1971; Smythies, J.R. [1969] (1971); Ho 1993) as well as in the fields of aesthetics and philosophy (Buci-Glucksmann 2001; Burnham [1968; 1969] 1973; Davidson 2013; Kozel 2007; Fraleigh 1987; Gromala 2007; Nobrega 2009). Denouncing such dichotomy, their various theories also reflect Buci-Glucksmann's conclusions concerning flux and the transitional which were discussed in Section 2.8. Following the logic of integrative thought defended in this thesis, it seems appropriate to suggest that practitioners of art have no other choice than to invest in the understanding and development of a methodology that reflects and serves their individual practices.

A fourth problem: finding a methodology that reflects the artistic paradigms of its creator

The fourth problem identified by this thesis has to do with the fact that the methodology adopted should reflect the creative research paradigms proposed within the researcher's discipline and, as Laflamme notes, 'consequently, corresponding to artistic paradigms which his creative work refers to' (Laflamme 2009, p.60). Current methodological models used in the academic field for the study of artistic practices are largely those of phenomenological\textsuperscript{204}, heuristic\textsuperscript{205}, systemic\textsuperscript{206} or autobiographical\textsuperscript{207}

\textsuperscript{204} Classically, this implies a description for understanding the essence of a phenomenon from an external point of view and not from an internal one. In the performing arts (and especially in theater), a view from a distance, that of the spectator. However, I must emphasize that in the field of choreography, this method was subsequently used to describe the point of view of choreographic experience and that of dancers. This topic is discussed in this chapter at greater length.

\textsuperscript{205} The heuristic is understood here as a form of phenomenological research highlighting the subjectivity of the researcher. Essentially, the heuristic approach oscillates between the poles of experiential subjectivity (exploration) and conceptual objectivity (understanding).
approaches (Laurier 2009), which stem mainly from the humanities (not to name those related to scientific disciplines). These methods are rooted in paradigms that are completely different to those of the performing arts incorporating new technologies and which deal with, amongst other things, the carnal and mediated body. This thesis nevertheless references phenomenological approaches used to describe dance while also expanding on the modified phenomenological approach taken by Kozel (2007) with respect to the field of dance and technology.

The methodology I propose here attempts to solve the problems raised by traditional approaches to practice as research. Firstly, it is built on the foundations of a personal artistic approach. Part of the structuring of this thesis reflects the interconnectedness of the general framework of my research and its specific context. This structure also underpinned the process inscribed in my practical research. For example, the general context of the virtual as a concept can be situated in the theories of Lévy (1998) where the virtual is described as the potentiality present in the seed of a tree, a force that is becoming. The specific contextualisation of this idea in this thesis lies in the development of the concept of a corporal potentiality that can be activated by strategies destabilising sensory-perceptual which oblige the performer to reorganise, and thereby to develop his/her physicality in order for corporeality to emerge. Another example can be seen in the technique of Contact Improvisation which constitutes a general framework, with the development of techniques for transferring one's center of gravity, amongst other things, constituting the specific context that is developed and tested in my research.

206 This approach invites the researcher to represent or to create a model for the complexity he/she seeks to understand. Often in art, the complexity to be analysed is the practice in which he/she is engaged. It is a description for understanding of formal dynamic.

207 This approach constitutes a modality for the production of knowledge centered on the life story of the person writing. Its goal is to gain an understanding of phenomena studied through the prism of narrative production in the form of autobiographical material.
My methodology also reflects the three paradigms presented as hypotheses in the thesis: an aesthetic paradigm concerning flux and the transitional; a paradigm of communication based on intersubjectivity of a bodily nature; and a cognitive paradigm which is rooted in the revaluation and reintegration of the body's specific intelligence. This last paradigm in particular, was to have direct repercussions for both the creative work presented and the thesis' theoretical research. Hence, the methodology of revelation, or transformation, that I propose, emerged from and was intended as being transversal, evolutive and syncretic in nature.

4.2 An evolutive methodology: a process of collaborative research based on empathy as a creative tool

4.2.1 A methodological strategy inspired by phenomenology and an empathic dynamic

The phenomenological approach, with its double perspective of the world, one that is both perceived and lived, is considered by Stanton B. Garner Jr., professor in the Department of Theatre at the University of Tennessee, Distinguished Professor of the Humanities in his book *Bodied Spaces: Phenomenology and Performance in Contemporary Drama* (1994). Garner regards the phenomenological approach as being most appropriate for studying the experience of the performer in the context of live performance advancing the view that '[…] the performative space is the environment subjectified by the performer living this space of his corporeality' (1994, p.3).

Phenomenology, as presented by Husserl and later by Merleau-Ponty, takes as its starting point the experience of the individual in his/her environment. Focusing on the
study of experience from the "inside" rather than from an outside point of view, phenomenology is particularly relevant for the observation of the performer's experience which, first and foremost, involves the individual. For Husserl, perception puts us in a relation with the world, perception "gives" the world to us in a first person relationship: 'I am aware of a world, stretched into infinity, becoming space and time, which becomes endless. I discover it immediately, intuitively, I experience it through my vision, my touch, my hearing, *et caetera*’ (Husserl 1970, cited by Garner Jr. 1994, p.26). Perception is experienced from the inside.

Husserl thus defends a position of subjectivity in the study of the experience and the perception of 'lived experiences of consciousness' or phenomena. Subjective processes underpin the direct experience of the individual who coexists with a world that is 'becoming', who inhabits it. The place of lived experience thus becomes the internal and carnal body of the performer, his corporeality. Further, phenomenological perception is a conscious and therefore subjective perception. Phenomenology considers consciousness as intentional.

4.2.2 Phenomenological methodology in the field of dance

In the field of dance, the model of a phenomenological methodology was first presented by Sondra Horton Fraleigh in *Dance and the lived body* (1987) and in an article published in *The Routledge Dance Studies Reader* entitled 'A vulnerable glance: seeing dance through phenomenology' (1998). According to Fraleigh (1998), a phenomenological methodology consists of two levels, the first in which,

[p]henomenology develops unpredicatably, according to the contents of consciousness. [...] it is present-centred in its descriptive aims, accounts for temporal change and does not have appropriate and inappropriate topics. [...] Its second level develops philosophical perspectives from the seed of consciousness (1998, p.135).
For Fraleigh, one of the principles that guides all phenomenological approaches '[…] derives from Husserl’s repeated assertion that "consciousness is always consciousness of something. Consciousness he held, was the necessary condition for experience, or experience is presupposed by consciousness" (Husserl 1931, cited in Fraleigh 1998, pp.136-137). Thus, for Fraleigh, it is consciousness which unifies experience that is studied or accounted for by this methodology (1998, p.137).

The phenomenological approach as a methodology can be adopted in dance to, […] describ[e] a phenomenon (a dance or a dance experience for instance) as though seeing it fresh for the first time. […] Phenomenology is at best an effort to remove bias and preconception from consciousness. It aims to describe though some direct route, not to analyse and theorize (at least not in the beginning), but first to describe the immediate contents of consciousness. Phenomenology strives to capture pre-reflective experience, the immediacy of being-in-the-world. […] One of the major purposes of phenomenological description is to build towards meaning. Then others may be able to see what you see, or at least understand what you see. […] The truths of dance are not scientific or irrefutable. They are of another order, created by the choreographer, the dancer, the audience and the critic (1998, pp.138-139).

Fraleigh continues, explaining that the phenomenological method can be used, […] to describe the experience of dance as it is lived […] [Phenomenology] utilizes self-evidence [and this methodology] seeks to describe what is basic to the phenomena being considered (1987, p.xiv).

[…] I also understand, through Husserl and Merleau-Ponty that consciousness is intentional; it depends on one’s perceptual attention to phenomena. Phenomenology stresses that consciousness is an activity, not a passivity (1987, p.7).

The issue of active awareness is very important in the methodology proposed in this thesis. It is a fundamental principle that was applied with the performers and also with the academics involved in my research process. These methodological principles are presented later in the chapter.

As a phenomenological methodology seeks to get to the root of phenomena, it is nevertheless difficult to describe what is subjective without being influenced by habits of dualistic thinking so common in Western cultural heritage. Verbalising the experience of movement is also a problem in the field of dance, one that I have experienced in the studio when asking dancers to communicate what they are feeling.
The phenomenon of 'self-transformation' is particularly difficult to verbalise as it is experiential and reflective. Conscious of this difficulty, and having experienced it myself, I integrated awareness of these changes in my methodology with the dancers, also spending time helping them to verbalise this transformation so they could communicate it to the public and to the researchers involved in the process of the thesis.

Let us now turn to looking at how the phenomenological method can be adopted and modified to capture the performative experiential reality of dance and technology.

4.2.3 Phenomenological methodology in the field of dance and technology

In the context of this thesis, I looked for research that applied methodologies adopted in the performing arts, dance, and particularly somatics, and then integrated them in approaches to technology. To date, few authors have conducted such research. Although the work of those who have done so is very interesting, most have worked on bases or elements that have little to do with my own work. For example, Ali East bases her methodology on the relationship between the technology of film and the somatic. The intention behind her methodology is to understand, 'what sensual interactions with the physical landscape teach us about ourselves […] [and] how we might share this experience with others through the filmic medium' (2013, p.58). Malaika Sarco-Thomas examines dance improvisation as a technological interface, drawing comparisons between improvisation scores and image-making technologies while at the same time, adopting a postphenomenological perspective. Cecilia de Lima develops a choreographic methodology she calls 'Trans-meaning' (2013, p.18) which aims to understand 'how to coordinate the dynamic logic of the somatic ecosystem with the formal logic associated with verbal language' (2013, p.18). Although to date, her research is more theoretical than practical, she explores links between the dancer's phenomenological experience and contemporary theories of cognitive science.
A lack of clarity in the methodological legacy of Merleau-Ponty

One methodology for practice as research that has many points in common with my own is that of Susan Kozel. Her approach takes into account Merleau-Ponty's methodological legacy and also draws on Fraleigh's analysis of this methodology as applicable in the field of dance. She then adapts them to her own practice which involves a relationship with technology. This said, Kozel notes that the biggest obstacle in borrowing from Merleau-Ponty's phenomenological methodology 'is a lack of clarity concerning the conversion of theory into practice' (Kozel 2007, p.48).

My experience shows that this problem also arises in the conversion of practice to theory. Earlier in this section, it was noted how difficult the phenomenological experience of the dancer is to translate into words, and how I, too, had to deal with this problem. Language and the experiential are two different forms of expression and time is necessary to find words that reflect, in a small way, lived experience. Moreover, I question the validity of the term 'conversion' because is it really a question of conversion? Or rather one of a multisensory and multimodal experience that has different channels of understanding? I do not claim to be able to fully answer these questions, but the series of experiments that are described in Chapter 5 aimed, at least partially, to explore this situation.

A modified phenomenological methodology

To return to Kozel, her modified phenomenological methodology is very interesting and useful in the framework of this thesis. My approach shares many similarities with her method, while other elements manifest different characteristics and specificities. Kozel developed and tested her methodology throughout years of practice. She also invested in this process because it had become clear for her research needs,
that a compelling approach to the validity of the subjective position in research was needed; a respect for the lived experience of the scientist, researcher, artist, designer, and writer, and an acknowledgment of the sometimes anarchic results borne from the marriage of perception and imagination in the process of thinking and doing. It also became clear that the perspective of dance and philosophy provides an authentic stance from which to reflect upon the wider implications of human bodies using digital technologies, filling a gap in scholarship and in broader cultural discourse around digital technologies (2007, p.12).

She also highlights the problem of verbalising lived, or subjective, experience and its link to corresponding paradigms:

> when our existing conceptual paradigms no longer do justice to our range of experiences, there is the choice to abandon entirely the process of reflection, just TO BE, without the need to understand and reflect, or to attempt to find other paths of reflection. The viscerality of this sentiment, and its stubbornness, can be mapped onto an intellectual climate where there are few conceptual paradigms for translating lived, embodied experience into the professional discourse of the academy. Writing from lived experience often amounts to writing without a clear methodological mandate, or demands the courage to assert that the methods are fluid and subjective. Paradigms are scraped together (defiantly, guilefully, playfully, intuitively) from philosophy, literature, the social sciences, physics. This bricolage or hybridization is done in part to find a voice in the academy, but more important, to help the writer herself understand what it is that she is experiencing and to communicate these experiences (2007, pp.8-9, original emphasis).

What our 'embodied' methodologies have in common is that they were tested in order to understand, express and share what is experiential. According to Kozel, '[t]he extension of lived experience happens [...] by exploring the depths of our relationships with others [...] [and] also to share this knowledge with others (2007, p.16). Testing a methodology based on phenomenology required, both for myself and for Kozel, developing the ability to release,

> [...] our rationalist structures of meaning sufficiently to permit qualities that are associated with the pre-rational. [...] The pre-reflective does not negate reflection but indicates a dynamic ontological state of entwinement or entanglement between the two [pre-reflective and reflection]. It is not a steady state; it appears and vanishes, in a constant sliding exchange with reflection (Kozel 2007, p.19).

Having made these observations on the principles underlying Kozel's phenomenological methodology in the field of dance and technology, which also resonate with my own motivations, a presentation follows of principles that are more specific to my particular methodological approach. Later, at the end of this chapter, I return to our two processes, examining in detail their similarities and specificities with respect to an adaptive modification of the phenomenological method; an adaptive
process that searches to meet the specific needs of research linking the somatic with technology.

4.3 Presentation of the foundations of my methodology

Lived experience

At the centre of the phenomenological dimension of stage space, that is, as it is perceived and inhabited, Sondra Horton Fraleigh (1987) situates the performer's corporeality as signifying the locus of the lived experience of dance. I refer to this locus for perspectives that are appropriate for analysing the performer's experience, starting with observations stemming from "within" and not from a distance.

The notion of experience is established in the phenomenological approach. The lived experience of the performer corresponds to the conscious and active perception of his/her corporeal self and of an environment that is shared with others. This observation is useful for speaking about my work because if one looks at how I created the concept of a physical and mediated collective body, one finds that the lived experience of the performer is here modified because his/her reality encompasses both mediated and real, lived experience. Experience, also in the sense of experimentation, is 'a test that dynamises creation' (Roux 2007, p.122). The artist who works with the moving body in relation to technology 'puts him/herself in danger, challenges his/her expertise in order to increase self-knowledge and knowledge of the world in order to live them better' (Roux 2007, p.122).

In my work, the dancer who adopts a performative attitude of experimentation, intentionally engages his/her corporeality in the act of perception within a fluctuating
environment, that I, as a choreographer, have deliberately disrupted. Further, and to paraphrase Merleau-Ponty, sensations traversing the corporeality of the individual performer are not given as an event in the world, 'but as a re-creation or re-construction of the world at every moment' (Merleau-Ponty 1945, p.240). This world is that of his/her performance, of his/her own transformation, of an intersubjectivity which is also an intercorporeality that integrates the Other. From these observations, I propose that experimentation with the relationship between the moving body and technology is the starting point for a new sensory experience.

Audrey-Anne Bouchard (2011) observed this state of risk in my work and reports the following:

>[t]he transformation of sensory experience of the performer [Isabelle Choinière], occurring during her interaction with the projected virtual body, rises from the invisible before being seen in an unpredictable gesture [...]. In her research, Isabelle Choinière creates an encounter with the projected body in order to explore "the body's ability to give of itself, to construct and rebuild itself in an act of continual transformation" (Tourangeau 1994; Pitozzi 2010a, cited in Bouchard 2011). [...] In the interaction, Choinière transgresses her own perceptual memory and discovers, in the extension of her corporeality to that of an intercorporeality, the potentiality of her virtual state. Proprrioceptive adaptation to the projected body, and the sensory reconfiguration of the performer exist inside her corporeality as invisible dimensions of her virtuality. The virtual is a vibration, a potential transformation of reality that corporeality holds [...]. In her interaction with this virtual other, the performer, conscious of the process of altering her sensory and choreographic experience, appropriates the power of her own virtual state to express and reclaim a different and renewed image of a body. The projected body becomes an ally to the inner/outer, invisible/visible, self-transformation of the performer who, through her open and virtualized corporeality, accesses the potential of "becoming another" (Bouchard 2011, p.59-60).

Bouchard's conclusions about my work implying a process of self-transformation reflect those of Roux (2007), Lehmann (2006) or Rieusset-Lemarié (2005). Their observations support the results of my experimentation with the concept of a collective physical body and mediated sound body described in Section 2.8.5 and further analysed in Chapter 5.

---

208 Bouchard did a case study on my telematic performance La Démence des anges (2000-2005) and studied the last phase of my practical research. If these conclusions are based primarily on her study of La Démence des anges, during a meeting on July 29, 2013, she claimed that these same conclusions applied to the last phase of my current research.

209 A projection in real-time with a performer situated in another place.

210 This quotation is taken up by several authors. It was written by art critic Sylvie Tourangeau after the presentation of Partage des peaux (the first version of Communion, my first creation). It was then taken up by Enrico Pitozzi while studying La Démence des anges and the final stages of my research work-creation, only to be taken up by Audrey-Anne Bouchard in her study of La Démence des anges and the last two phases of my practical research.
which show that changes in perceptual modalities occur for both the performer and spectator. They also concern an ontological shift in the description of the body when it is subject to strategies involving technology. Earlier, it was shown how empathy and the performative attitude are founding dynamics of this shift and how the theories of Rolnik on the fragility and dissolution of psycho-physical borders, as well as Buci-Glucksmann's theories on the invisible and the interval reinforce my proposal of this ontological shift.

An introduction to the methodology underpinning my practice as research and a description of how it was created and tested during the period of my doctoral studies follows in the next section. This methodology is personal and notably concerns a process of collaborative research based on empathy and on the notion of personal risk-taking.

**Presentation of principles and strategies underpinning my methodology**

My research, both theoretical and practical, was in part conducted with a methodological tool I developed that I designated the *Observatory*. Involving collective research based on an experimental and dynamic process of critical research, it implied evolutive and adaptive analyses based on an interactive relationship between the production of theory and practical work. This even included members of the *Observatory* physically experiencing the mediated environment. The results of my research demonstrate that this methodology can be used as a tool to enhance the creative experience. Here, the creative process is guided by critical feedback which participates in an enriching collective collaborative experience. This approach is grounded in a trans-disciplinary and syncretic creative process, taking place in several locations around the world with teams of researchers from different universities and nationalities.
It is a process of creative exploration that is extremely rich, first developed intuitively and later, becoming more structured. My intention was to see how the creative strategies put into play in my practical research could include and transmit a logic of evolutive research that interested me.

To grasp this evolutive logic of research, it is necessary to develop an integrative approach, or a different way of approaching and thinking about the world. As introduced in Section 2.2 and according to references made to Koestler ([1969] 1971) and Ascott ([1966-67] 2003), in order to overcome the legacy of reductionist thinking, one must enter into a new logic of interconnection that is appropriate. This was my point of departure and also constitutes another theoretical node that will be developed in this section.

My practical research was conducted in a step-by-step process, (Study #1 in 2005, Study #2 in 2006, Study #3 from 2007 to 2008), with each stage of the creative process taking the form of a laboratory exploring the experience and modification of corporeality. When I speak of a modification of corporeality in this context, I refer to the corporeality of all those who participated in the laboratories: the performers, the conceptors and the researchers. With this approach, I invited researchers to participate in the artistic process, placing them in a situation of risk in the same way as the conceptors and performers.

The chosen researchers all had one point in common: they had contacted me to study my artistic work, either in the framework of their own academic activities or as cultural critics. They constituted a research group I initiated to examine questions of
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211 This thesis mainly analyses a third phase of creation which took place during a residency at the C.D.C - Centre de Développement Choreographique, Grenoble, France, in the spring of 2008. It should be noted that the composer Dominique Besson and her team only participated in this third phase of creation.
new contemporary performative practices involving technology. The participants issued from existing research groups such as the *Performativité et effets de présence* at *Université du Québec a Montréal, Presence Project*\(^{212}\) at the Centre for Intermedia, Exeter and *Groupe SCÈNES: Systémique, complexité et nouvelles écritures scéniques* at *Université Laval, Quebec*. They were all studying issues of contemporary performative scenes involving new technologies, and also all had had experience with some corporal practice (some as children of choreographers, some practicing the martial arts, yoga or meditation). The collaborative research I proposed created a bodily space of resonance, empathy, when they attended rehearsals or theoretical sessions I planned; notably in Montreal in March, May and November 2009, all of which have been documented\(^{213}\).

**Proprioceptive experience as a guideline for research on the body**

It is interesting to note that it is only recently, that the work of researchers and dancers in the field of somatics (Fortin\(^{214}\) 2009, p.111) has been considered as a valid source of knowledge in the academic field when combined with other theoretical approaches. One example is Hubert Godard’s methodology that adopts somatics as a complement to other forms of research and particularly, his research in medicine. It should also be pointed out that Hubert Godard\(^{215}\) was first a dancer and later co-founder of the Dance Department of *Université Paris 8* where he teaches movement analysis.

In an interview with P. Kuypers, Godard states that, 'anatomy is taken as something absolute, whereas, there is a phenomenological experience of movement that


\(^{213}\) These documents are provided in the Appendices in the form of transcriptions of discussions. With the strategy of methodology described, other, more spontaneous, encounters took place that were not documented but whose effects are captured in articles produced by members of the working group. These articles are both provided in the Appendices and throughout the thesis as evidence of my hypotheses.

\(^{214}\) Fortin is a professor in the Dance Department of *Université du Québec à Montréal* as of 1986. She is also a certified teacher of the Feldenkrais Method. Amongst other interests, her research concerns the contribution of somatic education for professional dance training and research methodologies in dance.

\(^{215}\) He has also conducted research in the fields of functional readaptation, biomechanics and on the role of the nervous system in motricity.
exists when you arrive at certain level of proprioception that can be considered in advance of scientific data' (Kuypers 2006, p.58). He continues, mentioning, for example, that today, 'new techniques of medical imagery have confirmed all these intuitions or forms of empirical knowledge'\(^\text{216}\). It is also interesting to note that Merleau-Ponty, too, collaborated with scientists to guide their research\(^\text{217}\). More recently, the same dynamic of collaborative research can be seen in Alain Berthoz's and Jorland Gerard's research (2004) which crosses fields such as phenomenology, cognitive psychology and neuroscience. While Belgium choreographer Florence notes the interest of these types of collaboration, she nevertheless remarks that ' [...] the scientist speaks of proprioceptive\(^\text{218}\) sensations from an exteroceptive perspective, which creates a schism, not only with the object of study, but also with the "dancer-researchers" who quite obviously operate from a proprioceptive perspective' (Després 1998, cited in Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.4)\(^\text{219}\).

In this same vein, and following interesting exchanges with Marcia Almeida, professor at the Federal Institute of Brasilia (I.F.B.), whose research is inspired by the theories of Claude Levi-Strauss in The Savage Mind (1962), it is not so much a question of wanting to initiate a war between the humanities and science, but rather of restoring the rightful authority and validity of corporeal knowledge. In twenty years of artistic

\(^216\) During the colloquium Performativité et effets de présence organized by l’Association canadienne de la recherche théâtrale / Congrès 2010 des sciences humaines, held at Concordia University in Montreal on May 30th, 2010, certain participants, in reaction to my position, disputed these methodological hybridisations, claiming that a methodological mode involving phenomenology cannot be complemented by a scientific mode because they are in a form of opposition. The relatively recent reports of Fortin (2009) and Godard (cited by Kuypers 2006) confirm that on a methodological level, this innovation is now recognised by academics and other researchers.

\(^217\) In La structure du comportement (1942) (The Structure of Behaviour), Merleau-Ponty cites collaborations in the fields of behaviorism, neurology and other experimental research of the day by Kurt Goldstein and Frederick J.J. Buytendijk.

\(^218\) The term proprioceptive (and not proprioceptive) denotes an exteroceptive sense that creates important feedback on/for the body itself, such as the role of touch seen in the principle of reversibility of Merleau-Ponty's first chiasm or what Figols calls the between (du milieu). On this topic, she notes the particular propensity of touch for connecting interiority and exteriority, passivity and activity, movement and perception (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.5).

\(^219\) Here, I would like to clarify that I did not adopt scientific methodologies for my research. This said, I share one of Fraleigh's methodological strategies by using 'previous aesthetic and philosophical theory when they apply' (1987 p.xiv). In the context of my research, I nevertheless refer to certain scientific theories when they resonate with elements observed in my experiments.
practice, often spent in collaboration with computer programmers and many types of media art designer-technicians, I can confirm that it was often difficult to make my voice heard and to have corporeal knowledge recognised and prioritised. Gradually, as my experience as a creator developed, from *La démence des anges* onwards, and especially during experiments for this thesis, I learned to take leadership and to reposition myself, in terms of time and energy, but also financially and conceptually, with respect to the importance and validity of the body's knowledge and rhythms. This prioritisation concerned both practice and theory. It was therefore a question of giving full attention and importance to the body and the dancers, precisely because of my awareness, as a dancer, of the existence of kinaesthetic bodily intelligence. As a result, the type of designers, and to a lesser extent, the theorists with whom I collaborated, changed. From that point on, I decided to work with people who had some experience of a physical practice, whatever the practice concerned, and who were sensitive to the question of corporeal intelligence.

### 4.3.1 The approach with performers

The methodology I employed with performers consisted of five stages and involved strategies of destabilisation developed with respect to somatic components I explored in order to reconstruct and recreate what Hubert Godard calls 'an ever fluctuating real' (Godard in Kuypers 2006, p.62). In my project, technology was employed as a destabilising factor provoking a new sensory organisation, in which interiority becomes mediated and as such, creates a new experiential reference. This state of reorganisation is in constant motion as explained in the previous chapters. The state of moving instability is also referred to in the theories of Godard (Kuypers 2006) and Merleau-Ponty (1945), as well as in those of Rolnik, Pitozzi and Buci-Glucksmann which were introduced in Chapters 1 and 2. It is also picked up again in observations on
my work made by Louise Boisclair (2007), a cultural critic and member of the Observatory, which are presented in Chapter 5.

**A surprising problem of training**

Before going further with my analysis, it is important to note that at the outset of my research, I encountered an unexpected problem with both groups of dancers with whom I worked in Paris and Montreal. This concerned the fact that they all had difficulty integrating an understanding of somatic practices in the experimentation involved in my practical research, whether it be in situations where they were in contact with technology or not. For example, in the section entitled 'Legs' (Section 5.3.3.2, second section), they were unable to use knowledge and sensations acquired through the 'Femoral flexion' exercise (Figure 68: Femoral flexion, in Hackney, Peggy (1998)). My goal was to create a very 'airy' quality of movement in the legs when they raised them and moved. This effect was possible if a more 'internal' approach to muscular involvement was adopted, as this exercise permitted. Instead, they used their 'external' muscles, creating heavier movement, that was less fluid, more muscular, giving the impression, watching the movement, that their legs were more 'material' than 'airy', as I had intended. Also, as these movements were very musically 'controlled', it was more difficult for the dancers to pay attention to the weight of another leg in contact with one of theirs which might influence its movement in space, for example. This set limits in terms of my objective of generating movement that could be self-organised, because they were less in contact with sensation and were moving in a more rational way doing (thinking about what they were doing and acting voluntarily). So I ended up doing the exercise with them, putting my legs in contact with theirs, in order for them to register this sensation. Even if they felt the difference, the rehearsal time available was not sufficient to develop this new sensory reference and even less, to integrate it in the
gestural exploration I was aiming for. In discussions with the two groups of dancers, they told me that their training in somatic techniques had been apart from technique classes, and therefore, they had not been given the opportunity to learn how to use these techniques for integrating them in movement other than somatic exercises.

Through my research and recent conversations with dance teachers at universities in Europe, I learned that this type of integration is now more common in France and England. However, in Quebec, somatic techniques are still often studied in an isolated manner. To date, these techniques are not always integrated in dance classes in Quebec (Fortin 1996). This information was confirmed with Jacques Brochu, a Feldenkrais practitioner teaching at Concordia University and later, by researcher Florence Figols, as well as by many dancers trained in major dance schools in Quebec.

According to my research, this situation can also possibly be linked to the previously mentioned problem of choreographic neurosis identified by Hubert Godard (Quinz & Menicacci 2005, 2006) as the difficulty dancers have to relinquish repetitive gesture. In the creative research I conducted, somatic principles were used and integrated as much in basic research for creating movement content, as for directing performative behaviour, stage projection, or what is commonly called 'presence' (Pitozzi 2008, 2009b, 2012).

The specificity of my practical approach: the importance of daily physical contact with technology during practical experiments

In Chapter 1, emphasis was placed on the importance of interrelationship in the three sensory chiasms defined by Merleau-Ponty. The development of this multisensory integrative interdependence in a dance and technology project has several

---

220 In a conversation on 25 July 2013.
conditions, one of the main ones being maintaining constant physical and immersive contact (almost daily) with technology. It was absolutely necessary in my experiments to allow for the kinaesthetic and bodily integration of technology. By maintaining regular contact, I refer to establishing intimate contact between the dancer's body and technology, a tight physiological link with what technology can generate. Here, technology becomes part of the body, or essential elements the dancer refers to sensorially and perceptually to dance and feel his/her body. One of the specificities of the methodology I experimented with was daily contact with technology and physical technological devices. During the exploratory phases, the dancers worked with audio feedback generated in real-time via wireless devices (used in Studies # 2 and # 3). The devices were placed on their heads and secured by a black elastic turban with a microphone next to their noses.

The devices transformed the dancers' perception of the body, firstly because they "expanded" the size of their heads and were a bit cumbersome, and also increased the weight of the head, thus feeling different. The devices were also to affect their kinaesthetic exploration, given their extreme physical proximity to one another, and also because the devices created additional tension in the dancers' necks, exacerbated by the length of rehearsals that lasted from four to six hours in a row. The dancers had to get used to wearing the devices and secondly, to hear and experience mediated sound feedback until it became a new corporal reference. Right from the start, and daily, the dancers thus experienced movement with the physical devices, with one another, and with technological audio feedback.

By integrating the sound feedback in the exploratory process as a means of discovering different sensory references for generating movement, I created a situation
in which the research process was complexified. It linked the creation of gesture with the relationship to others, to space and also to breathing and the voice, which were completely joined with the physical gesture being explored. Because sound was developed in an interdependent relationship with the creation of movement, when the dancer made a gesture, she necessarily produced a type of sound. To be more explicit, as the sound was completely joined with movement, when the dancer moved, a sound associated with her gesture was produced. This also meant that another dancer who was not next to her, ie. in direct contact, could nevertheless perceive her because of the sound her movement produced. The audio feedback in real-time was to allow the dancers to sense their entity as a group, creating another type of communication between them. This process is explained in greater detail in Chapter 5, particularly with respect to a strategy of bodily spasms and collective rhythms that I worked with.

With the imperative of maintaining constant contact with technology, my approach is fundamentally different to the approach of other artists whose work was examined as part of this research. For example, Susan Kozel, in Closer (2007), speaks of a need for close contact with technology, but she does not consider it an essential requirement for the research she has conducted. As she remarks: '[…] art pieces created in controlled environments afford the option of revisiting and, ideally, spending time in the environment' (Kozel 2007, p.54, emphasis added). I also had the opportunity to attend rehearsals, performances and lectures by artists working with technology, such as Kozel or Martin Kusch of the company Kondition pluriel221. At conferences such as the New Realities: being Syncretic held in Vienna in 2008 and the METABODY conference held in Dresden in 2013, artists reflected on the rarity of being able to have regular access to technology in financial and logistical terms. However, Kusch

221 Viewed 13 August 2014, online: http://www.konditionpluriel.org/
explained that regular access to technology has never been a priority because he is of the opinion that it adds nothing for performers in their proprioceptive learning process. In their creative approach, *Kondition pluriel* uses technology as an element that is external to the performer. The performer triggers media elements or is situated within a technological environment that is already established. His/her presence only serves to trigger media elements.

The issue of accessing technology on a regular basis is nevertheless central to my approach. Chapter 5 presents the phases of experimentation involved in my process, along with some examples of these explorations.

**The five stages of my methodology with the performers**

To return to the presentation of my methodology with performers, here are the five stages involved:

1. Becoming aware of an environment that is already known: exploration of the body moving alone in space and construction of phenomenological, kinaesthetic, perceptual and experiential bearings within this context.

2. Adding an element of destabilisation, for example, an abstraction of space or an addition of technological elements as extra layers of information adding another layer of complexity to be integrated.

3. Installing awareness of the loss of bearings that occur as a result of destabilisation and the difference this complexity entails.

4. Giving the dancers time to integrate this complexity until they feel it physically on perceptual and kinaesthetic levels: for example, when sound or immersive video elements are registered physically on a vibratory level, thus allowing time for sensorial and perceptual reorganisation. This process is accomplished through rehearsals and a long period of contact with the elements of change.
concerned (technological, scenographic, *et caetera*) as well as through increasing phenomenological and experiential awareness.

5. The acquisition and integration of new capacities. Observation of the changes occurring in performative behavior and instilling awareness of new performative elements and their integration one after another within the creative process.

According to my observations, the general practice of choreographers working with technology is to add technological elements to the choreographic work previously developed and then ask dancers to learn how to use and operate these device(s) for generating images, sounds, *et caetera*, as dictated by a project’s objectives. To date, I am not aware of creative processes that allow time for becoming conscious of, and implementing, sensorial and perceptual reorganisation that working with technologies implies. With the former approach, no ontological shift related to the body takes place. Rather, the performative body is considered as an object or support for the production of technology or technological effects. The dancer becomes divided, losing part of his or her performative qualities as a result of having to listen to, or to look at, what he/she is producing rather than using technology as a means of self-transformation and alternative way of performing.

Needless to say, the method I employ assumes that performers have had prior training in somatic practices and in the main dance techniques a particular project requires, for example, contact improvisation, as well as experience in a practice of introspection such as meditation. They also need to be comfortable with quasi nudity – the aesthetic chosen to highlight the poetry of physical changes that are experienced, as well as emerging gestures – and proximity to other bodies, as well as being willing to
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222 Chapter 5 outlines the main strategies of destabilisation involved, a description of my experiments and some applications of these principles.

223 See Sections 1.3, 2.8.5, as well as Lévy's theories in Section 2.6.
engage in a research process that entails risk, is transdisciplinary and implies technologies.

The point I am making, and which lies at the heart of my argument, is that the type of kinaesthetic change I am describing, its appropriation and integration, is essential for the performing arts that integrate new technologies. Implying a learning process, it engenders sensory and perceptual reorganisation and participates in the elaboration of the particular form of cognition I uphold. The destabilisation I create with the use of technology induces a new form of physicality for the performers and is experienced as new information and therefore, a complexification of their immediate environment. It forms an additional information layer of sensory and perceptual information which the performers must integrate phenomenologically. All these factors were also to lead to the development of new gestural codes and performative modes.

4.3.2 The Observatory: general approach and work with the researchers

To stimulate the implementation of integrative thinking I defend and developed with my methodology, I proposed a strategy of free association that has points in common with those of Roy Ascott ([1966-67] 2003). While the particular context referred to by Ascott is that of the cybernetic arts as principally applied to the fields of painting and sculpture and to their behavioural extensions, in my case, the context was the field of contemporary performative practices integrating technology.

I have been experimenting with this strategy intuitively since 1994, but deepened and adapted it for my doctoral research. Its main elements involve practical work that is enriched by theoretical reflection and vice versa. Points in common with Ascott's [1966-67] (2003) methodological strategies firstly include the creation of an
environment that is polemical; engendering questions rather than proposing absolute answers. Concretely, this aspect of my methodology came about with the creation of a dynamic group of researcher-observers who critiqued, learned and tested polemical elements. In uniting a dynamic group of creative minds coming from different fields (science, performance/dance, architecture, design, media arts, music, the visual arts, et caetera), the idea was, in a second stage, to be able to reflect upon, learn from and test elements of a debate on creative processes within an interdisciplinary context. This strategy also involved the creation of a syncretic environment, with places and people coming from different cultures, visions and references, and my desire to de-compartmentalise disciplinary hierarchy. This dynamic was also nourished by contact and exchange with major figures in the field and implied a consideration of different types of literature capable of generating new ideas (Bataille or Rolnik, for example) as well as analyses stemming from other points of view. For the methodology I experimented with, it was lastly necessary to have access to technical resources over a prolonged period of time with the necessary funding to ensure these operations.

According to Ascott ([1966-67] 2003, p.122), it is only recently that the integrative approach has come to involve a more organic interaction of diverse elements that concern the creative act and technological interfaces. This dynamic is capable of producing new ideas which will hopefully influence our field. It can further advance the development of apprenticeship and new vocational capacities, which can in turn be applied to the field of creative situations.
Presentation of the main strategies for working with the members of the Observatory

Let us now look at the different work strategies I developed with members of the research group I named the 'Observatory':

— Presentation of theoretical and practical research to each member of the Observatory;
— Delivery of a questionnaire detailing objectives and questions (indicating the concepts and links to be discussed) to each member at least two weeks before meeting;
— Periodic presentations of the practical research (work-in-progress) for observation by different types of audiences: the general public, researchers (whether or not members of the Observatory) and critics from different countries, in order to generate different points of view and means of analysis, as well as different modes of reception with respect to the empathic dynamic I was testing;
— Open discussions after presentations that were structured, and spontaneous exchanges of literature, articles, to help advance reflection for all concerned, exchange of written texts - finished or in progress - on the process;
— Periodical sharing of theoretical work in development in order to inform the observers of new trains of thought (and vice versa); exposing ideas for critique by the research group, with discussions and to summarise, critique and elaborate conclusions of the encounters in the form of critical reviews, changes to introduce, research avenues to explore;
— Discussions for publication strategies for my texts and those of other members of the Observatory; strategies for co-writing articles on the avenues of research discussed; conference presentations on new ideas that emerged.
— Exchanges of these texts amongst members of the Observatory and myself, reciprocal invitations to conferences, organisation of friendly meals with two or more members of the Observatory and open discussions.
This process of observation was documented in writing through interviews, *et caetera* during Studies #2 and 3. To conclude, each stage of the research was summarised in papers given at conferences or through one or more articles by one or several members of the Observatory. It should also be noted that the production of theory might either anticipate or follow the phases of practical production.

With this methodology, I applied the complementarity of intelligences advanced by Howard Gardner\(^{224}\). To understand and analyse this process of critical reflection and practical research based on critical feedback and sharing of an empathetic nature, I set up the Observatory composed of researchers of different nationalities in order to generate a critical review of my practical research for this thesis. This methodology thus purports to be a methodology of transformation based on a process of collaborative research, itself based on empathy. Here, and the practice can confirm it, theory informs practice and *vice versa*, but, more importantly, they influence each other empathically. The tasks of the Observatory were to generate critical feedback based on lived experience and sensory-perceptual reorganisation. The researcher-theoreticians acted in a complementary empathetic fashion towards the practice and *vice versa*. It also led us to question and think about other models concerning the phenomenology of the performer's mode of emission and the phenomenology of reception of the spectator (as well as the links they make with one another). The establishment of the Observatory was thus an essential step in my methodology.

What was most interesting in these steps of my methodology was not the results of the questionnaires (the questions or objectives that I had set out), but rather in what was created unconsciously: what arose spontaneously from this methodology and

\(^{224}\) Introduced previously in Section 1.8.
results that were unforeseen. What the members of the Observatory were able to perceive and what the artists created unconsciously. Practice and theory anticipated and influenced each other in an order that was impossible to predict. They interacted in a communion of diverse currents that 'contaminated' and influenced one another creatively. Here, the group took on a status of researchers in much the same way as the artists concerned. In this relation of mutual research, involving different and complementary tools, their participation became particularly relevant, drawing its inspiration from the very essence of the notion of the performative attitude and constituting a certain degree of mutual transformation.

A modified phenomenological methodology: meeting the needs of Practice as Research involving technology

This section examines some elements of my methodology that are in common with Susan Kozel's methodology and also points to areas where they differ. It is not my intention to make a point-by-point comparison of our two methodologies but rather, and in a succinct manner, to discern certain precedents and highlight particular aspects that were developed to meet the demands of my own research.

Kozel provides an idea of certain stages of her methodology, which she identifies as 'a fluid set of guidelines and starting points' (2007, p.48) that are based on acts of observation and selection. She thus creates a context determined by a series of questions and answers having to do with the ability to perceive, a process that is also present in my methodological approach. For example, she begins with some 'wide-angle questions' (2007, p.49) such as: 'How do we experience the world?' (2007, p.49). For me, this type of question is part of my overall approach to research and does not constitute a specific step in a new exploration, nor necessarily a starting point for research per se. She proceeds with answers such as: 'We experience the world through
our bodies' (2007, p.49). In the framework of my research, I would be more specific and say that we experience the world through sensations and with a dynamic that is multisensory and multimodal. Kozel continues, making what she calls 'fine-grained questions' such as 'What do you hear right now?' (2007, p.49). This is the type of question I posed to the dancers in the first steps of my methodology, but was rather used to highlight changes of perception occurring when an element of sensory or perceptual destabilisation was added.

Varela, Thompson and Rosch (1993, cited in Kozel 2007, p.50) raise an interesting point about the way people experience sensory-perceptual changes. The authors make an analogy with meditation: 'One has to learn how to use one’s reflective capacities in a different way to meditate. It is not a question of severing all cognition to enter into a stupefied state, it is about creating a new way for cognition to coexist with inner and outer experience' (1993, cited in Kozel 2007, pp.50-51). Kozel continues her analysis stating that 'a method for investigation has been characterized as having two dimensions; a procedure for accessing the phenomenal domain, and a means for expression and validation within a community (Varela and Shear 2002, p.6 cited in Kozel 2007, p.52). Kozel's and my methodology both share this goal. If our methodologies both concern an understanding of the performer's experience relative to contact with technology, our creative strategies and choice of the technologies involved are different.
4.4 Conclusion

One of the main goals of the methodology outlined in this chapter was to approach creative material as living matter. I desired to work with material that could be transformed, evolve and hopefully provoke emergent phenomena, and this, in order to better understand the world in the sense suggested by Merleau-Ponty. I sought to set in motion a dynamics of the living, wherein the notion of finality has little sense, but rather, where meaning is related to transformation, evolution and the experiential. Ascott and Burnham bring this argument to light in the context of cybernetic art. New authors, such as Pitozzi (2008, 2009a, 2009b, 2010a) and Quinz\textsuperscript{225}, speak of this topic with respect to the physical and performative body\textsuperscript{226}. I believe this methodology implies a consideration of "being" rather than "doing". Its ambition is to understand ontological elements, modify bodily experience and grasp its essence in an alchemy of the 'real'.

In this section, four issues were examined related to the development of methodologies within artistic practice in academia. I share the views of the authors cited that call for innovation in methodology. Principles and steps of the particular methodology I developed reflect my position on the need for developing another mode of integrative thinking in order to avoid the reductionist and materialistic disciplinary traps inherited from Descartes and others. To stimulate integrative thinking, I propose a reflective methodology that is evolutive and based on free association. With this logic in mind, and while considering a context of new contemporary performative stages, I advocate for a methodology that reintegrates the moving body in an understanding of

\textsuperscript{225} In the course EPA903E-10 Thematic Seminar III. Arts, languages, materials and technologies. The Sound Body, The Body as a Presence in Installations and Onstage, held at the University of Quebec in Montreal in January 2010.

\textsuperscript{226} Moreover, researchers such as Pitozzi who studied my methods and projects, used them to develop some of their own ideas.
the world and that includes multiple intelligences in order to generate other cognitive paradigms. This methodology supports what I advance as a reintegration of the moving body in understanding the world through learning processes which can have impact for the evolving and emerging aspects of the human being.

The methodology I propose is collective in nature and based on an empathetic dynamic. It is also based on a transversal and integrative dynamic that creates a context in which sensorial modalities are stimulated and heightened. Supporting two other hypotheses I defend in this thesis, the reintegration it proposes can lead to the emergence of other expressive, communicative and aesthetic paradigms. My research also shows that this intensification can enrich and transform perception.

To conclude, and as my latest research has shown, the exploration of new relations between somatics and technology can open up a new field of knowledge necessary for understanding the changes I outline in this thesis such as the phenomenon of empathy in which the physical body is inextricably involved. Following discussions that took place at the Somatics and Technology Conference 2012 at the University of Chichester, where I was invited as one of two keynote speakers along with American Postmodern dance pioneer Nancy Stark Smith, it became clear to me how much the work of American postmodern artists like Stark Smith had set the foundations for this research. The empathic kinaesthetic appropriation their work entails lies at the heart of my work dynamic with both performers and researchers.

Having described the methods developed for my practical research, the next chapter describes the experiments conducted and strategies of destabilisation employed in greater detail. It notably attempts to elucidate possible ways to deal with the complexity of relationships between the performative body and mediation. So doing, it
highlights self-organisation as a main strategy and describes the learning process involved in the generation of sound in real-time when it acts as a form of physical feedback for the performative body.
5. CHAPTER V – STUDIES #2 AND #3: CREATIVE RESEARCH IN DANCE AND NEW TECHNOLOGY

5.1 Introduction

This chapter outlines my key strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation which are, in fact, the strategies which underpinned the practical research for this thesis. Here, practice is considered an integral part of the research process and its findings. I thus present and explain my methodology as merging practice and theory, and detail how practice is linked to theory and vice versa. I also describe what was acquired and understood in this process of exploration; as much for myself as for the dancers, conceptors and theorists who were members of the Observatory. An analysis is also made of somatic principles applied in the practical research process. With this approach, I created an interaction between movement, the environment and awareness. I worked with the idea of awakening the individual dancer's sense of autonomy by applying the three key elements or principles of somatic education that are integrated into my research process: considering factors of a novel learning context, sensory attunement and augmented rest227 228 (Bernardi 2007, cited in Batson 2010).

I also examined how the notions of Mâ and a dissolution of psycho-corporal surfaces can impact performers and spectators alike. I notably implemented these

228 Augmented Rest is a key concept of somatic studies. According to Batson (2009):

Practice (repetition for reinforcement) is a powerful training tool in dance, but is rarely counterbalanced with rest. Somatic studies commonly embed resting intervals between phases to physical activity. This high rest-to-activity ratio is designed to allow the nervous system time for processing and integration and physiolodival systems time to recover.

[...] Somatic resting phases not only are employed as a strategy for physical recovery, however, but also for motor programming. Rest periods help consolidate memory (you’ll remember what you learned) and improve motor recall (you’ll more readily call it forth when you need it), as well as actual performance (you’ll do better next time). (Schmidt RA 2005, cited in Batson 2009, p.2)
concepts in the creation of a collective body based on the principle of intercorporeality, a principle itself based on Buci-Glucksmann's interpretation of flux as presented in Chapter 2, thus developing a transitional dynamic which produces an experience of the real in a perpetual state of motion. This evidence will be shown to support the conclusions of this thesis and its claim of the possibility of an ontological shift of the body in its relation to technology. Accounts by the dancers of their understanding and experience of the body as a place of coexistence comprising the physical body, the flesh, and the mediated body will also enhance my analysis. In parallel, the collective and collaborative research process involved is described, as well as the results that emerged from it.

From the outset, I would like to stipulate that the purpose of these experiments was not so much to provide answers or evidence, but rather to consider and attempt to grasp other forms of understanding. They were experiments that sought to understand the world with a different kind of knowledge and intelligence: that of the bodily intelligence referred to in Chapter 1. My aim was not to understand and explain all aspects of these explorations. I conducted this research to be able to deliver an experience of some of the phenomena that I myself have lived as a performer and/or the experience of the performers, designers and theorists who were part of these experiments. These answers were of course contingent on the possibilities and limits of each person involved and accordingly, on their ability to grasp and express the experience they had lived with respect to their roles, way of communicating and available time.

This research involves an experience that is highly subjective. Phenomenological methodology, which is the basis of the methodology I tested, takes sensory and perceptual experience seriously. The most important aspect of the method
bequeathed by Merleau-Ponty is the faithful description of a perceptual experience from a first-person point of view, and staying with that experience until as many of its facets possible are revealed. This said, arguments concerning perceptual experience need to be backed up. Objective third-person points of view are thus incorporated in the chapter's analysis when appropriate. In my methodology, these third-person points of view were taken into consideration in tandem with first-person points of view with the goal of informing practice and vice versa.

5.2 A schematic description of the practical research and phases of experimentation Studies #2 and #3

This chapter examines two experimental phases of my practical research which I refer to as Studies #2 and #3. Greater emphasis will be placed on the last study.

*Meat Paradoxe*, the title given to the last phase of experimentation #3, is a hybrid work which explores the relationship between the moving body and technology and, more specifically, between technology and principles of somatics which encompass the creation of a new learning environment, a self-organisation of the body based on sensory awareness, or 'sensory harmonization', and augmented rest.

This creation presents itself as a moving three-dimensional sculpture featuring five partially nude dancers who are in almost constant contact. Forming a collective body, it takes as a reference tactile, kinaesthetic and proprioceptive sensations as well as a creative dynamic rooted in the experiential, the evolutive and what is transversal. On the question of sensation in dance, Figols and Després advance the idea that:

> Working on sensation in dance brings in its wake a different perception of the body in motion, another corporeality and therefore a different aesthetic in which the body becomes the very model of a poetic (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.3).

> [...] modernity in dance is characterised by an exploration of the body, its matter, its vital forces, its inner desires, its sensations (Després 1998, p.5).
Thus, in my investigation of sensory-perceptual destabilisation, and to paraphrase Berthoz, '[e]ach sense de-composes sensitive reality into components that are then recomposed, related' (Berthoz 1997, p.288).

The collective body in question moves and takes form through strategies that I call 'transitory' which bear resemblance to Trisha Brown’s fluid body, a body that explores multidirectional movement based on a gesture play of what is unfinished (Fontaine 2004). At the same time, it radicalises Steve Paxton’s notion of the tactile body, a dance based on the exchange of weight between partners, which places the sense of touch at its core (Suquet 2006). The collective body, which was the focus of my explorations, was created as an evolving perceptual form with continual sensory-perceptual reconfigurations and uses tactility as one of its main research strategies. To paraphrase Aurore Després:

Placing tactility at the center of a theory or practice means disrupting the logic of sensation based on sensorimotor schemas and the separation of interior and exterior, passivity and activity (Després 1998, p.458).

Sound is produced in real-time and is closely related to the different dynamics of movement. It has the particularity of being created through a collective dynamic, thereby constituting a collective sound body and becoming a 'sixth dancer' with its particular dynamics, temporality and relationship to space.

229 This process is described in greater detail in Section 5.3.1.
To make the link between the physical body and the collective sound body, I used technological devices that were intended to remain invisible. They consisted firstly of a wireless microphone system worn by the dancers, hidden under black turbans and secondly, of an original software program that spatialises sound. The collective physical body was thus attributed with a sound dimension. Thanks to the technological devices designed for performance, the dancers were able to generate sound in real-time. Their gestural and sound expressions were captured by wireless microphones and transformed as audio signals and instantly transmitted (processed and spatialised) within the performance environment. Further, the sounds and gestures produced by each performer returned as echoes of a mediated manifestation of the body and also extended towards the other performers, thus amplifying and defining the five bodies as a single collective sound body.

These sensory crossovers, which make a direct reference to Merleau-Ponty's intersensory chiasms, and according to the research of Hubert Godard (Kuypers 2006; Godard 1993, cited in Suquet 2006) and Florence Figols, come 'well before the findings of science, sensory crossovers have always been worked in an intuitive way by artists and educators. Here, the real is primarily lived and felt by the body' (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.4). In my practical research, an ontological opening of the physical body is effectuated and operates through the concepts of corporeality and intercorporeality, finding resonance with Merleau-Ponty's notion of flesh which is lived through 'the body itself' and experienced through the kinaesthetic sense amongst other things. The sensations that inform us about the body and its movements are 'the proprioceptive sensations which are often associated with kinaesthetic sense (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.4). As Després also notes, 'The kinaesthetic sense refers to a sensation of body, its internal and external movements, the sensation of what is moving, what is living' (Després 1998, p.459).
In the last two studies of the project’s development, the sound sources were mainly treated collectively\textsuperscript{230}.

![Figure 50: Studies #2 - #3, Scenography, 2008, Isabelle Choinière](image)
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In terms of space, the scenography was designed to create three circular spaces becoming successively larger. The first circle is the performance space in which the dancers move. It is six feet in diameter and is centrally positioned. The audience is positioned around this circle in close proximity to the space of the dancers. The third and outer circle is formed of eight speakers that form part of the spatialised sound system and enclose the entire performance space. Located between the speakers and the dancers’ arena/space, the audience fully participates in the sensorial and perceptual destabilisation I am experimenting with. The proximity of the audience within these two spaces is required. The overall effect is the result of a conscious strategy of sensorial and perceptual destabilisation. With this hyperproximity, I aimed to provoke a hypnotic effect from being confronted with this complex form of flesh that has become audible: a chanting, speaking, moaning mass of flesh. The proximity of the dancers’ naked bodies

\textsuperscript{230} In a next phase, we plan to isolate the sources and spatialise in different spatio-temporal organisations to complexify the perceptual phenomena and physical performative/mediated organisation.
as a mass of moving flesh provokes a first loss of bearings, a 'de-heirarchisation', because the audience finds itself in the flesh. 'De-heirarchisation' is one of the strategies I employed whereby body parts do not play their normal or conventional role. For example, legs play the role of arms or are doubled as in the work of German painter and sculptor Hans Bellmer (1902-1975)

![Figure 51: Hans Bellmer, La poupée (1937) in Dourthe, Pierre (1999) Bellmer](image1)

![Figure 52: Hans Bellmer, Céphalopode (1940) in Dourthe, Pierre (1999) Bellmer](image2)
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or in the work Pezzo o (due)\(^{231}\), by Italian choreographer Maria Donata d'Urso, which were both sources of inspiration for Study #3.

\(^{231}\) Maria Donata d’Urso, video Pezzo O (due). 1999, viewed 18 December 2013, online: http://vimeo.com/20828755
At the same time, spectators hear this mass of flesh. A second loss of bearings occurs as a result of spectators' immersion in a living sound form that is moving and produced in real-time by the five dancers, and by proximity that strips spectators of their normal analytical references and distance. They find themselves in the form, they are confronted with something extremely intimate, and are simultaneously immersed in the sound emitted by this form that moves around them and penetrates their space. There are thus two forms (the flesh of five intertwined bodies and sound created in real-time) that spectators are not normally accustomed to, that blur codes and bearings and move around them.

The work was intended as a staging of the presence and flesh of this human mass in its material and interconnected dimension. It is an incarnated version of Merleau-Ponty's vision of the world experienced as/in the flesh (la chair du monde).
which integrates "the body itself" in a principle of coexistence. This Merleau-Pontian
dynamic of intercorporeality is central to this principle and to my practical research.
The principle of coexistence gives birth to 'the interlacing of being and the world, [...]'

A poetic trip inside the hyper-intimate and sculptural eroticism, this creation is a
hymn to life, to the feminine and to beauty. It is a reflection of Merleau-Ponty's research
on sensoriality and perception and the ability to communicate with the Other in an
intersubjective and empathic manner: [...] the sensation of the Other and the relationship
to the Other involves a contagion. Contagion is not imitation but [rather] an assembling
of elements which, while remaining different, impregnate each other with their
respective consistencies' (Després 1998, p.394). My practical research also involves a
reflection on the orgiastic in a mythological sense as being an experience of the body
through forms which result from a loss of bearings.

5.3 Analysis of the dance performance: introduction

This section looks at the most important stages of my experimentation and its
collective and collaborative form of research that aimed to reveal new knowledge and
insight from its particular dynamic. Two perspectives are adopted: that of myself as a
practitioner sharing a first-person point of view, and that of an academic employing a
third-person point of view. Members of the Observatory used the same strategy: having
been immersed in the experience, they were also subject to the sensory-perceptual
destabilisation they were observing and experiencing within the area surrounded by the
speakers and at a distance of a half meter from the dancers' performance space, so they
both speak from a first-person point of view and alternate their analysis from a third-
person point of view. This process applied to Dominique Besson, the composer and sound designer of Study #3. As for the dancers, they speak mainly from a first-person point of view which describes an experiential perspective. My analysis is primarily from an aesthetic and philosophical perspective and its context is that of the performative body and new performative scenes investing in technology.

I begin my analysis from a first-person point of view, describing part of the training process with the dancers, and giving an example of how the conversations and interventions of the Observatory came to be incorporated and form part of the process of understanding the practical research undertaken. Although the steps and content of my research were different, I partially adopt a descriptive structure elaborated by Susan Kozel (2007, p.48-55) to provide a description of how to create the equivalent of 'a methodology of living experience' (Kozel 2007, p.52).

An aesthetic and historical analysis of models of the body in the visual arts and dance that served as an inspiration for the work are then presented: Lygia Clark's collective body, Trisha Brown's fluid body, Butoh's introspective body and Steve Paxton's tactile body. It will create a theoretical base which I refer to during the commentaries and analyses in this section. It also provides the necessary grounding for presenting the models of a collective physical and mediated body I propose which, in their space of coexistence, create the possibility of the collective carnal body that was tested in the framework of this thesis.

A description of the experiments from first-person perspectives is presented while crossing and integrating theoretical analyses from third-person points of view. Choreographic notations and observations from my notebooks are integrated when appropriate. The theoretical analysis picks up on Merleau-Ponty's key concepts and
ideas: the flesh and his principle of coexistence; the relationship of interior and exterior; the principle of continual transformation; the relationship to sensation and perception and their dynamic of perpetual motion; the intersensory chiasms and their importance for elaborating other forms of knowledge based on new perceptions; and finally, corporal intersubjectivity (or empathy) which is, in fact, intercorporeality.

Practical information concerning the dates, locations and context for these experiments is provided later in this chapter in Section 5.3.2 as well as in Appendix 8.3. This information and its sources take the form of transcriptions and interviews, video documentation and excerpts from published articles. The videos are presented on the DVD included in the thesis and complete articles by members of the Observatory are available in Appendix 8.2.

**Towards a methodology based on a phenomenology of lived experience**

Herewith follows a description of the stages of my practical research and an explanation of how I worked with members of the Observatory. Also, for the sake of clarity, descriptions in the first person in the section 'A description of one of the first stages of exploration in this process' are presented in italics.

But firstly, let me say that the tools that I employed with the dancers were mainly touch and verbal instructions. This was in order not to impose anything, but rather to see where their work on sensory-perceptual self-organisation would lead them and also lead to observe, and let emerge what tactility contains. I demonstrated very little, again, in order not to influence what was being revealed to the dancers. I directed what I perceived and what could be shaped - the structural organisation and the various relationships to be established. I noted the stages the dancers had to go through when we arrived at a result that interested me, such as the example to follow that comes from
one of my choreographic notebooks. However, I did intervene at times to demonstrate complex shifts of weight within the structure of five dancers as a group (where managing gravity is more complex than what is learned in Contact Improvisation classes where people most often work in pairs), or to introduce an aspect of relationship between gesture and sound (something that was not familiar for, or a part of the training of the dancers with whom I worked).

Here is a description of one of the first stages of exploration in this process:

1- **Find a place on the floor, the most comfortable one for you, where all your limbs are in contact with the other dancers. It is important that your bellies (center of gravity) are in full contact and that there is no space between your belly and the bodies of others.**
Després offers an interesting analysis of what working with closed eyes brings to the dancer phenomenologically. Closing the eyes, tuning out a portion of the world around us for a moment, allows for an amplification of tactile and kinaesthetic sensations, whereas the sense of sight 'appears as the paradigm of an outward looking sensoriality' (Després 1998, p.44).

Després pursues this analysis noting that sight tends to pull us outwards, out of body, and away from a proprioceptive sensitivity:

The open eye is so tied to a fixed geometrisation of the material world, to a certain rationalisation and to a certain perception of surfaces that the closed eye, its "contrary", allows for the emergence of depth, of imagination and of movement, as much for the feeling body as for the sensitive body. The quality of becoming blind is precisely to exalt the modality of tactile-kinaesthetic sensitivity (Després 1998 p.466).

Figols makes further comments on the ways a dialogue is established between interiority and exteriority when working with closed eyes:

Working on sensation permits a continuous exchange between one medium and another, circulation between the interior and the exterior which is necessary for giving gesture (la matière corporelle) all its sensitive possibilities [...] This dialogue works with sensory amplification or reduction. When you close your eyes, the other senses take over. Kinaesthetic, auditory and tactile sensations are affirmed and refined (2000, Chapter II, p.5).
3- Open your mouths slightly. Release the lower jaw and breathe a little stronger, enough so the other dancers can hear you and you can also hear the breathing of the other dancers.

4- Focus on your breath resonating inside your body. Feel the sound completely filling the inside of your body. This creates a kind of meditation (a 'void'). That's what you're looking for.

5- Once this feeling is really clear, concentrate on feeling your breathing collectively. Adjust your rhythm of breathing to the others to form a single breathing. Feel that you are only one person. Wait until that feeling is really clear.

6- Now become aware of contact with one person only. Focus on contact with one person starting with one part of your body only. Take your time doing it and keep your own connection with yourself, your center, the relationship with your inner body.

7- Now make different rhythms with your breathing. Allow yourselves to explore these differences. You can return to breathing synchronously with another person. Be aware of the breathing rhythm you are all creating together. Pay attention to this as if you were playing an instrument in a band and you were creating a sound environment together, collectively.

8- Now send, extend, your breathing down your back, or to your shoulder blades\textsuperscript{232}. Focus on the micro-movement this creates. I'm going to put my hand on different parts of your body to help you send the breath to a place that will be in contact with another person. You are going to start communicating with the other person through this micro-movement.

This exercise marked the point of departure for a technique I developed for experiencing the dissolution of psycho-corporal boundaries which was to be further

\textsuperscript{232} I give a more detailed description of this exercise in the videos documenting this exercise based on somatic training (see DVD).
applied in the relationship with spectators through working with a sensation of the dilated body and work on stage projection or 'presence', to which it is connected.

9- Amplify this breathing and feel what part of your body is going to move: your rib cage, the tip of your shoulder blade, your shoulder, and so forth. Now, I'm going to go around and put my hand or finger on a part of your body to help you identify or discover a part of the body that can connect with movement related to your breathing.

10- Take the time to explore this movement, to get used to it. Remember this new sensation and the path you took to create it.

11- Explore this movement in all possible directions: left, right, diagonally, up, down, et caetera.

12- Keeping that connection with the inside of your body, begin responding to the breathing of the body of only one person. Be attentive to the movement it creates. Explore how this other micro-movement makes you move, how it affects the movement you are making. Don't do anything intentionally, don't make big movements. Stay focused on the micro-movement and keep it connected to the breath you are sending to a part of your body.

13- If you feel lost or you lose your focus (if thoughts begin to creep in and you lose the feeling of an empty mind), start the exercise again and concentrate only on the feeling of your breath resonating inside your body. This is the point where you need to go back to every time you lose your concentration or you're lost.

14- Be aware of the sensation of being in this collective breathing, this collective communication. Be aware of how you feel physically in this collective breathing. How does this sound 'feedback' naturally engulfs you, affects you, as if it was 'massaging' you.
15- When the sensation of feeling connected to movement with a person is clear, in another part of the body explore another point of contact with another person, or with the same person in a different part of your body. Keep communicating with your breathing while you send it to two areas of your body at the same time.

16- Then, when the relationship is clear, see where this contact (where you send your breath) will take you and what kind of movement it creates. If you get lost, go back to a single contact. When the sensation is clear, react to two breaths from two different parts of your body (given by one person in two places or by two persons). I'm going to put my hands on your body to help you explore these possibilities.

17- We're going to stop the exercise slowly. Come out of this state and leave it slowly.

This exercise was then completed by a collective sharing of what the dancers had experienced and I would also comment on what I had seen and would help them, when necessary or if they asked me, to verbalise their experience:

18- Describe what you experienced. Now you will share this experience and I will take notes or we'll film your account. (I would do this step after each exchange). How did you feel? What is new sensation did you experience? Did you have a collective experience? If so, on what level? What helped you? How did you feel about your relationship to sound? To touch? Touching other dancers? Mine? Did sound help you feel the other dancers? Did you feel connected with one or several other dancers? et caetera.

19- Take a break. Once the conversation is over, we'll pause for a variable length of time (between 20 and 60 minutes).
I took down notes such as these in order to record the steps leading to results that I considered interesting and that engaged the dancers in the state of introspective concentration and interconnection I was looking for.

Figure 56: Study #3, Morph (2008) choreographic notes

Next step: integrating technology

20- We returned to the same exercise in all its stages, but this time, with the microphones placed on the heads of the dancers and amplified sound. We only used reverb as a sound effect to begin with so that the dancers could feel the direct relationship between their breathing, the movement they were creating and, later on, awareness of their relationships with other dancers that the amplified breathing allowed them to build. The manipulation of sound in real-time was operated by technicians who had a certain sensitivity to the performative body (or whom themselves, had had some training in yoga or other bodily techniques).

After one of our sessions in 2008, I, as a choreographer and dancer, remarked the following in my notebook:

Technology (the sound feedback) makes them sense the collective body - the entity - and they also sense themselves as part of the environment (the immediate environment being the mass of five dancers, and the global environment, the total space of the theater or studio). Sound allows them to feel, perceive and interact with the dancers with whom they are not in direct contact.
This perception - nascent - occurred from the first day near the end of the session (Choinière 2008).

Next step: another exchange

21- At the end of the second exploratory session, we had another conversation that allowed them to become aware of the new elements experienced in this situation. During the conversation, we compared the different sensations and perceptions involved in explorations with or without microphones.

Next step: an exercise to do individually that evening

22- At the end of the exploration the first day, I asked the dancers to do an exercise in the evening at home: to take a bath, alone, in silence, and to immerse themselves completely in water for at least 30 minutes (or an hour) without being disturbed, and to leave nothing but their noses out of the water (head and ears being in the water). I asked them to concentrate on the fact that they were in a 'full environment', that water was touching them (to later on make an analogy with air and for them to feel they were in a form of matter in the studio). I also asked them to focus on the resonance of sound inside their bodies and to remember that sensation as a known and lived sensation when they came back to exercises in the studio the next day. I also asked them to focus on how sound travels through water, and how this 'vibration' of sound 'touched' and 'engulfed' them. And to remember this feeling to be able to use it in the studio. This marked the beginning stages of an awareness of sensation as being an active, not passive, act; a multi-directional tactility and a multi-sensory experience:

[s]ensing, feeling are here the foundations of a new virtuosity of the dancing body, the very basis of gesture that expresses the sensitive. All somatic techniques, more and more present in dancers' training, help refine the kinaesthetic sense by awakening, through micro-movements, the subtleties of a fall, of a breath or of a transfer of weight. Sensing is no longer considered as something passive in relation to movement which is active. In this logic of sensation, 'action is sensing' (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.3).

But touch [in this exercise, the touch of water and sound vibrations, amongst other things] is primarily movement that extends into a tactile contact and which, in addition to certain qualities,
includes spatial data. Touch can be unidirectional, bidirectional, or when it is more enveloping, multi-directional (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.7).

Next step: complexifying and incorporating elements creatively

23- The method continued by complexifying elements already in place: for example, complexifying movement but retaining its connection with breathing; complexifying and increasing awareness of the number of bodily contacts; increasing bodily responses; as well as making other gestural explorations related to these contacts, et caetera.

24- When I judged the dancers to have sufficient control over the elements in place, the exploratory time pursued until they started becoming creative with the material explored. This meant when they were sufficiently at ease with all the elements involved to begin playing with, inventing and investing in other relationships, gestural elements, rhythms, et caetera.

Next step: inviting members of the Observatory into the studio

25- At this point, I would invite members of the Observatory to come to the studio to see and to also experience (as they too were immersed in the sound environment) the exploratory studies of the project to date. I used their presence (and sometimes a select audience of a few people invited each time) so that the dancers might work on the projection of their stage presence and intercorporeality. Regarding this point, the Feldenkrais technique, with its emphasis on relations between the internal and external, was a source of inspiration for me. This technique had been part of my training and one of the motivations underpinning my desire to invest in a space of interconnection.
I asked the dancers to become aware of and feel the presence of these people in order to work on the connection between the interiority of the body and what was external to it, possibly through a certain sense of the 'tactile': the tactility of sound as vibration, and also the vibrating form of communication Suely Rolnik speaks of which can also be transposed as a form of communication between performers and audience. Intersubjectivity is constructed on and is rendered possible by:

[...] the ability of the dancer to feel his body, his movements, his own sensitivity in relation to others, the floor and the space surrounding him. [...] A body that lets itself be affected by the world as much as it too is able to affect it (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.5).
Without recourse to sight, the three-dimensionality of the skin allows me to sense the distance between myself and the wall, between myself and my partner, between myself and silent objects. Conjugated with hearing, it renders a type of spherical, rather than peripheral, "vision". The frontal fades, the dancer becomes capable of both transmitting the sensitive and of capturing it in 360 degrees (Figols 2000, Chapter II, p.11).

[...] 'Listening' is less about sounds strictly speaking, than about the musicality of the body, the resonance of its movement in space, its density, its kinesthesia, its aesthetic. 'Listening' [...] defines a sensory modality that carries in its wake the entire corporeality: eyes, skin, weight, movement. A multi-sensory concept [...] par excellence that demarcates the 'sensitive' in a block, in a complex arrangement of all the senses. 'Listening' binds the senses together, fluidifies them, and facilitates their communication in the same body and sensitive gesture (Després 1998, p.457).

The dancers would resume the exercise from the beginning, and when they felt they were well connected with themselves and the group of dancers, I would ask them to expand this awareness and 'open' their bodies up to the sensation of the presence of the spectators (Observatory):

Work on touch brought to light a series of intersensory variations which continually play on the relationship between proprioception and exteroception, in other words, a continually shifting play in which sensation is put in motion, thus creating a continuous flow between inside and outside. This exchange bears a corporeality that works on the way of "being the movement". It is no longer a question of the dancer executing a gesture, but rather of being this gesture in the same way that inside-outside, touch-touching, action-perception are continually calling out to one another (Figols 2000, Chapter II, pp.11-12).

I asked them to try to develop a sense of including the presence of others and to try to 'touch' them. I also asked the audience to maintain a high level of attention when they entered the studio and to keep total silence. It should be noted that the presence of an audience constituted another element with which the dancers had to contend. They had to work with this additional presence and with awareness of their bodies coming into 'tactile communication' with spectators. It was an additional element of sensory-perceptual destabilisation that required the dancers achieve 'an emptiness of mind' (which is akin to a soothing meditation) and be in a state of openness and vulnerability in order to dissolve their psycho-physical boundaries to a certain extent.

Through this work and the psycho-physical state they were to attain and be 'in', the

233 I would rather say "meaning".
234 I would also add in the collective body.
235 And for me, this state of interconnectivity.
dancers were to 'guide' and 'contaminate' the audience, in an empathic manner. This reflects what Figols notes as:

[a] perfect exchange that creates a reversability in which the basic elements are no longer recognisable, and no possible distinction between touch and movement, between the person that touches and the person who is touched [between the performer and the audience] exists. This aesthetic of encounter and coincidence plunges us as spectators into a world of continuous movement, both transporting us and escaping us (2000, Chapter II, p.9).

An exchange between the dancers, myself and members of the Observatory

26- These exchanges took place immediately after the explorations while sensation and perception were "fresh" in everyone's memory and in the dancer's body. The dancers' role was to provide information in as faithful a way as possible, so we adapted to their need to discuss their impressions directly following rehearsals or the next day at the latest. If we put off these discussions, the dancers had trouble speaking about their experience because they tended to forget these sensory references. Also, at first, discussions between myself and the dancers were held alone in order to put them at ease. They felt "safe" with me, and I helped them or directed them in the ways of expressing themselves if they felt the need. It was only later in the process that these exchanges extended to include the sound team and at the very end, members of the Observatory.

An exchange between myself and members of the Observatory

27- These meetings would include conceptual and theoretical elements. I and members of the Observatory prepared our ideas and discussed them in friendly encounters that were filmed. There was no imposed order to these exchanges. Anyone who wanted to speak first could, but generally, although not exclusively, one theorist began by presenting a concept that was connected to the experience he had observed and lived. Then, I would speak (or another member of the Observatory, but this time, from a more phenomenological point of view of the body that had lived this experience) about how
this concept is experienced in the body and what happens on the sensory and perceptual level. These exchanges intersected and mutually nourished each other, using complementary intelligences, different types of knowledge and awareness.

A process of discussion and written reflection

28- The method would extend to an exchange of references (of authors other than ourselves), conference papers, publications, or works in progress. Some of these texts were co-written, involving a mutual questioning and mix of reflections in the texts. These exchanges generated attention and respect. We also shared our texts once finalised. This process forced us to be precise about our observations of my practical work and the phenomenological process and experience it involved, its link with theory and how it influences theoretical elements. These exchanges also allowed us to revisit, repeat, and reiterate the process.

29- We also set up exchanges in the form of invitations to conferences we were giving, research group sessions we were participating in, or more informal gatherings over a drink or dinner, sometimes with other scholars, or invitations to university courses we were giving (where I sometimes was invited to speak).

Exchanges between the dancers, myself and one or more members of the Observatory

30- These sessions were held no later than one month after explorations in the studio.

As I logged in one of my choreographic notebooks in 2008, 'The dancers forget sensation and its references when the space between exchange sessions exceeds one month. They are no longer able to verbalise because the sensation is too far away' (Choinière 2008).
During these discussions, one of the members of the Observatory prepared questions with the goal of gaining other types of information, to see how their view of the world could lead to other forms of knowledge (the Observatory members were of different nationalities. This was an intentional part of the research strategy). I only intervened to add items or check details. The discussions were primarily between the dancers and myself, and then between myself and the member(s) of the Observatory. They constituted living testimonies from a phenomenological and not a theoretical point of view.

For my part, I have always been interested in incorporating my dancers' bodily intelligence in my research process because I believe this type of intelligence can work in tandem with other forms of intelligence: cognitive, spatial, mathematical, *et cetera* (see Section 1.8 'The question of multiple intelligences' and, more specifically, subsection 1.8.1 on "Howard Gardner’s theory of multiple intelligences"). I use bodily intelligence as a 'guide' in all my practical and theoretical research. Thus, physiological 'intelligence' was used to interact with and complement my theoretical research and, as further, as mentioned in Section 4.3 "Presentation of principles and strategies underpinning my methodology", the researchers involved in my doctoral project all had a background in some form of physical training or experience (yoga, art martial, *et cetera*). They all had, in some measure, the ability to 'understand' empathically what was going on in the body of a dancer and to 'understand' what was going on in their own bodies as well.

On the other hand, few dancers with whom I had occasion to work, wanted to, or felt comfortable with engaging in theoretical reflection. It is still a relatively new and uncommon phenomenon for Quebec choreographers to ask dancers to play the role of a
researcher (in the theoretical sense of the term) along with the rest of a team. I was aware that I was already asking a lot of them to become so heavily involved in my practical research process and out of respect for their lack of desire to participate in these discussions, I did not include them. Agnès Guy, who participated in the experimental Study # 3, was an exception and ventured into making links between what she had experienced and theoretical elements of her personal research.

32-These accounts were later integrated in written reflections of the same collaborative nature described above.

33- Speaking and sharing with myself and/or the dancers, allowed members of the Observatory to clarify and become more aware of their lived experience and to identify things that are of the order of sensation and multisensory perception. It was a real process of exchanging knowledge. As Susan Kozel notes:

[a] phenomenological document can range from the scholarly to the more poetic, and the document can be visual, physical, written, or spoken. It is up to you to select the most appropriate form for the context. A phenomenological account is evaluated less on formal style than on impact or effect. An effective phenomenology provides enough concrete detail to resonate with the embodied experience of the targeted group of recipients and combines this with a level of theory or conceptual engagement that lends the experience a wider relevance and potential for linking with wider thought. In other words, there is a way between abstract and concrete. Effective phenomenologies open paths between hitherto unprecedented combinations of practice and theory. They are therefore useful, or even essential, when ground breaking work is undertaken that has not yet generated its own associated discourses and languages. This is the case with many innovation occurring at the interface between bodies and computers, and with many interdisciplinary ventures. Preexisting categories do not apply. New categories need to be invented, and these can only be derived by careful attention to the lived experience of the project (2007, pp.54-55).

The forms these various documents took as evidence of this phenomenological experience were the following: the immersive 'live' performance itself, video recordings of the performances and the exchange sessions, as well as conferences and texts (articles, reviews, et caetera).
5.3.1 An Aesthetic and historical analysis of models of the performative body

Towards a definition of the collective body: an ontology of the body

My area of research concerns the body and in order to better understand the new model I propose, my research had to take into account the possibility of an ontological shift of the body when it is in contact with technology. This thesis thus sought to answer, amongst other things, the question: what is the body for us? This is the theoretical node and point of departure for the present section. It was in seeking to clarify this concept, by exploring it both practically and theoretically, that the principal hypotheses of the thesis emerged. These hypotheses, which are linked to the redefinition of the relationship between the body and technology I propose are: an ontological shift of the body; the revaluation and reintegration of the specific intelligence of the body; the development of a 'corporeal potentiality' — linked to the notion of the interval — which lastly lead to the organisation of a new experiential form in the performing arts of which the emergence of other types of performative behaviour belongs.

In the light of these findings and information, I wish to bring these two emerging concepts to the international debate surrounding the issue of the moving body in relation to technology: the physical collective body and the sound collective body, or the collective mediated body.

Overview: different aesthetics of the body

According to Crémézie (1997, cited in Boisclair 2007), different aesthetics of the body have emerged throughout different periods: '[…] the "rebel body" (Duncan)\(^{236}\),

\(^{236}\) Isadora Duncan (1877-1927) liberated dance from the fetters of classicism, in substance and in form, firstly through interpretation, by giving voice to the body's internal rhythms through improvisation and then by her rejection of traditional costumes, slippers and tutus confining the body, to rather adorn herself with airy veils that are part of her legend.
the "barbaric body" (Nijinsky)\textsuperscript{237}, the "mystic body" (St. Denis)\textsuperscript{238}, the "dynamic body" (Humphrey)\textsuperscript{239}, the "echtonian body" (Wigman)\textsuperscript{240}, the "pulsional body" (Graham)\textsuperscript{241}, the "articulated body" (Cunningham)\textsuperscript{242}, the "tactile body" (Paxton)\textsuperscript{243}, the "fluid body" (Brown)\textsuperscript{244}. My research on the collective body expresses the desire to find a performative form that reflects the interconnectedness, the complexity of our world and integrative thinking such as I have previously introduced it. This research reflects the work of Postmodern choreographers\textsuperscript{245} in their day and context: a redefinition of the structures and codes of performance/New Dance. Works like \textit{Roof Piece} (1973), \textit{Walking down the Side of a Building} (1970) by Trisha Brown, \textit{Victory 14} (1966) by Deborah Hay, \textit{Temple} (1974) and \textit{Scenario} (1971) by Alwin Nikolais or Steve Paxton's improvisations, to name but a few, reflect these important changes.

\textsuperscript{237} Vaslav Nijinsky (1889-1950) introduced modernity to the tradition of Russian ballet. Developing choreography with lateral displacements, broken bodies without references and unique movement, he dissected classical positions and asked dancers to turn their feet in and bend their knees. His work, \textit{The Afternoon of the Faun}, that evokes orgasm at the end of the choreography, caused a scandal.

\textsuperscript{238} Ruth St. Denis (1877-1973) developed her body dynamics around the idea that movement itself is expressed through its dynamics. She thus introduced a dynamic spiritualisation of the human being around three axes: the body, the arts and spirituality, drawing inspiration from François Delsarte (1811-1871).

\textsuperscript{239} Doris Humphrey (1895-1958) developed her mystical body around the use of ritual religious gesture and emotional gesture. Her works express mystical ecstasy. She envisaged the art of dance as a mystical mobility. Her mystic dances have magic and religious foundations.

\textsuperscript{240} Chthonic comes from the Greek word \textit{khthôn} 'earth'. Mythological. Qualifying infernal deities. For Mary Wigman (1886-1973), the 'echtonic body' is an absolute dance of forces coiled deep within the individual. Her famous \textit{Witch Dance} is an example of this: the body is traversed by a terrible tension and in close contact with the ground. This dance is meant to be chthonic, archaic and resonates with rhythmics to the point of going beyond music, seeking to facilitate the expression of primary drives.

\textsuperscript{241} Martha Graham (1894-1991) developed her 'instinctual body' around principles based on breath, and the contraction and release of the body.

\textsuperscript{242} The concept of the 'articulated body' stems from this principle:

Firstly, what is articulated in the body, are not units of movement, but entire zones of space. Moreover, these areas have no defined boundaries, encroaching on others or fitting into each other. The left area of the body impinges on the front and the back. The space of a hand movement fits into the space of possible movements of the arm, which are in turn covered by the movement space of the forearm. These zones are not really articulated because, from a certain point on, the articulation of movement from one zone brings with it a part of another zone. This is a quasi-articulation of the body (Gil 2000, p.6).

\textsuperscript{243} Born in 1939. A description of the tactile body is presented later in this section.

\textsuperscript{244} Born in 1936. A description of the fluid body is presented later in this section.

\textsuperscript{245} Artistic revolutions in dance, notably with American Postmodernism and Japanese \textit{Butoh} (an abbreviation of \textit{Ankoku Butoh} or 'dance of darkness'), rebelled against institutions such as national dance schools, academies, \textit{et caetera}. They constituted what was deemed a necessary questioning of fundamental choreographic referents, especially time-space relationships as well as phenomena such as 'presence', 'consciousness' and 'reality'.

\textsuperscript{249} Choinière
Counter-culture in the 1960s led dancers such as Paxton and Brown towards other types of training. The Alexander Technique, Bartenieff, Body-mind Centering, Feldenkrais, Trager somatic practices, as well as the martial arts, reveal a new consciousness of posture, movement and the body moving in relation to gravity and space (Boucher 2005). These techniques develop movement fluidity, bodily consciousness and elimination of stress. Integrated in my creative work, they bring into play the dynamic of the interval, a concept that was discussed in Chapter 2 with the theories of Buci-Glucksmann, Pitozzi and Rolnik.

**Trisha Brown's fluid body**

To better illustrate my idea, it is interesting to note how Trisha Brown constructed her *fluid body*. In fact, it concerns the manner Brown approaches the

---
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beginning and end of each danced movement\(^{248}\). Influenced by machines in the industrial period, her work reflects the notion of series and transitions existing between movements:

[...] temporal logic is disturbed: the beginning of a movement of a body part takes over from a former unfinished one [...]. This play on the unfinished, on relay and diversion creates an effect of surprise which constantly reinvigorates movement [...]. The choreographer creates a movement that she herself qualifies as multidirectional (Fontaine 2004).

This disruption of the relationship to time also reflects Buci-Glucksmann's positions on the culture of flux and the transitional which were introduced in Chapter 2. For Guy Scarpetta (1992, cited in Fontaine 2004), Brown’s movement '[...] installs another configuration' of dance and movement as it changes the rules of choreographic composition. It could also be said to reflect the relationship between changes of perception and the moving body which involve perceptual changes related to time.

The introspective body

Through the contemporary practice of \textit{Butoh}\(^{249}\) \(^{250}\) Hideyuki Yano’s \textit{introspective body}, develops another relationship with the notion of the interval. For Yano, '[p]ast, present and future being one, time flows in every direction [...]. Distance, as it is an interval, is both spatial and temporal: one can move it, project it into the past or the future' (Yano 1983, cited in Fontaine 2004). Thus, for Yano, memory is no longer a "necessity" as the past is always present. His working method creates new circuits between corporal and psychic memory, between experience and consciousness. His choreography surpasses a geometric and chronometric operation or, as he himself remarks:

[...] it reaches the point of a poetic soldering of time and space. It is like Japanese calligraphy which reveals, by the blackness of ink, the very paper on which it must reveal, in a single brushstroke, a thousand other possible strokes (Yano n.d., cited in Fontaine 2004).

\(^{248}\) Trisha Brown (1978) \textit{Watermotor}, viewed 18 December 2013, online: http://www.trishabrowncompany.org/?page=view&nr=1214

\(^{249}\) Viewed 18 June 2008, online: www.schenk.chore.art.free.fr/danse-buto-definition.htm

\(^{250}\) Example of a \textit{Butoh} dance, viewed 18 December 2013, online: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ms7MGs2Nh8
This way of producing creative gesture has much in common with the different levels of revelation that technology, as referred to in the present context, can bring.

**Steve Paxton's tactile body**

Let us now look at the work and strategies of Steve Paxton, inventor of contact improvisation and the concept of the tactile body. Steve Paxton is an improviser who 'takes as bearings tactile, kinaesthetic and proprioceptive sensations rather than repeated and memorized gestures or fixed points in space' (Boucher 2005).

Paxton developed a dance technique based on the exchange of weight between partners in what can be called, according to Suquet (2006, p.424), a sharing of gravity. 'Contact improvisation' or 'contact dance' was created as a perceptual form, because it places the sense of touch at its core. This recalls Merleau-Ponty's first sensory chiasm based on an inherent reciprocity: one cannot touch without also being touched. In this dance, a minimum of two people is required. All surfaces of the body, except the hands, can be used to touch the partner and mobilised as support for abandoning one's weight or receiving that of the other person. It is a strategy of movement based on gravity, that is to say, in which the centre of weight exchange is constantly changing and this centre can be placed in unexpected places.

---

251 Steve Paxton demonstration of contact dance, viewed 18 December 2013, online: http://artforum.com/video/id=38324&mode=large&page_id=3
252 Paxton was a member of the Merce Cunningham troupe in the early 1960s. He reacted to Cunningham technique in which the dancer always controls the center of gravity in his/her movement.
With its investment in touch, contact improvisation reflects a 'redistribution of spatial and social codes' (Suquet 2006), because it is based on democratic principles: each partner, male or female, is in turn either supporting or being supported in partner work. According to Suquet (2006), contact improvisation has introduced a veritable transformation of bodily experience. It figures amongst the twentieth century dance forms that have most profoundly reinvented the perceptual sphere:

In this dance of touch, the largest organ of the body, namely the skin, develops an extreme sensitivity that has nothing superficial about it. Not only do tactile sensors distributed under our skin inform the brain about the state of weight, mass, pressure and effort, but they can also, if necessary, act as an alternative vision. And this is part of what happens in the exchanges of contact dance, where visual references undergo changes that are too fast to serve as bearings. The dancer's movements are essentially guided by tactile information awakened by through the 'touch of weight'. No other dance form in this century has so radically dismissed the cultural precedence of the gaze (du regard). Only peripheral vision remains essential, allowing one to scan a horizon of moving shapes. Contact dance amplifies this modality of vision. A sensation of 'spherical' space results from its expansiveness (Suquet 2006, p.426).

This description can also be related to Merleau-Ponty's description of the second sensory chiasm as a quality of intersensoriality. Further, modulations in the transfer of weight partially define the rhythm of movement, the kinetic stamp of gesture, as well as the entire organisation of a choreographic structure. These elements are all interrelated and dependent. According to Suquet, '[b]ecause it works with weight, dancing is a potent activator of past states of the body. It indeed mobilises fundamental memory. We now know that it is inscribed, not in neuronal circuits, but in the plastic modelling of

253 Viewed 11 November 2014, online: http://article.wn.com/view/2014/06/02/Lygia_Clark_compliment_d_objets/
tissues that generate the body's tensional organisation' (Godard 1993, cited in Suquet 2006):

Fascia, that is to say, the connective tissue that surrounds and interconnects all other structures of the body (muscles, organs, et caetera), produces memory beneath all consciousness. It is literally sculpted by the hazards everyone encounters in the history of verticality. Fascia constitutes bodily recordings, shaping the postural characteristics of an individual (Suquet 2006).

Hence, the importance and benefits of using a strategy of destabilising weight and the centre of gravity with performers in order to counter the effects of "choreographic neurosis" referred to by Godard (Kuypers 2006) in Chapter 1, Section 1.8.4.

Contact dance: one starting point for new performative stages integrating technology

According to Suquet (2006, p. 427), contact dance, to this day, continues to exert influence in the field of choreography, and synthesises several issues surrounding contemporary dance. Suquet states that it nevertheless has limits because, amongst other things, its form and structure are radically subservient to its process. I do not agree with Suquet's point of view. From my basis of research, I rather argue that contact dance can be a starting point for the new contemporary performative scenes integrating technology through the extreme relationships it develops with its various strategies of perceptual disruption as well as its use of touch and the skin as main organs involved in propulsing the moving body. According to the findings of my thesis, a direct link of stimulation can be made with Merleau-Ponty's first and second sensory chiasms concerning awareness of the body from within as well as with Godard's (1993) theories, which both explain the role of touch sensors and their stimulatory effects on fundamental memory that I have just referred to.
**The collectif body: a first glance**

Within this framework, and as articulated by the perspectives introduced and developed according to these different practices, my practical research proposes an original contribution to the development of research on the body in the field of the performing arts through a new formulation of the body: the concept of the collective body.

My research identifies the origin and principles underpinning the collective body as stemming from a concept developed by Brazilian artist Lygia Clark (1920-1988). According to Guy Brett, a critic who has studied Clark's work, the concept can be related to the notion of cultural 'Canibalismo' (cannibalism) (Andrade 1928) that, for Clark, is '[…] like entering each other’s bodies […]' (Brett 2004, p.40). This process involves 'an experimental dissolution of the psycho-corporeal boundaries between people' (Brett 2004, p.41). Brett also states that, through her work, Clark,

> ‘…was able to dilute the notion of surface, resolve the subject/object dichotomy and propose the experience of communication in models of dialogue […] her work provides a point of clarity in the midst of this confusion and becomes ever more pertinent (2004, p.47).’

---

**Figure 62:** Cannibalistic Drool (1973) **Figure 63:** Lunettes (1968) Lygia Clark, relational object

---
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Brett thus introduces the idea of a 'dissolution of psycho-corporal boundaries' that was developed by Suely Rolnik (n.d. c), a concept which was introduced in Chapter 2 and which establishes a crucial link in determining the communicational paradigm this thesis proposes.

Also, in a section of the catalogue entitled 'The body is the house: Sexuality, invasion of individual "territory" ' (Rolnik & Diserens 2005), Lygia Clark describes the sensorial phase of her approach (which served as an inspiration for the development of my concept of the collective body), called Nostalgia do corpo:

[now, the body is the house. It is a communitary experience. There is no regression, because there is opening of Man to the world. He connects to others in a common body. He incorporates the creativity of the other in the collective invention of the proposition [...]. But every experience was individual and risked closing in on itself256, whereas now, it is both personal and collective, since it is constantly connected to that of others, in the same polynuclear structure (Luz 1975; Rolnik & Diserens 2005)257.

This openness to the world is the key concept underpinning the notion of corporeality as proposed by Bernard (2001) and Merleau-Ponty's theories. Working as a visual artist and then in the field of art therapy in the last phases of her life, Clark developed a particular method with, amongst other things, what she called 'relational objects' such as pieces of clothing people could wear which engaged them in contact with one another.

What I find particularly interesting about Brett's explanation which resolves the object/subject dichotomy characteristic of dualist thinking and also Merleau-Ponty's anti-dualist positioning and Clark's notion of of being one and many at the same time, is its link to Merleau-Ponty's three sensory chiasms and principle of intercorporeality as a principle of corporal communication. With my concept of the collective body that will

256 Lygia Clark refers to experiments on the collective body she conducted at the Sorbonne, Paris, with a group of students.
257 Viewed 11 November 2014, video online: http://article.wn.com/view/2014/06/02/Lygia_Clark_compliment_d_objets/
now be presented, and also in the description of my experimentations to follow, it will be seen how the chiasms are in direct resonance with it.

**The development of my collective body following this model**

To push the concept of the collective body that I experimented with further, I realised that using strategies of directed explorations to stimulate sensory and perceptual destabilisation would be helpful. In this way, I was able to counter bodily habits to awaken a state of receptivity that could lead the performer becoming more permeable to subtle sensory flux:

Laban stated that in effect, perception was *halfway to possession*. If (the dancer) suspends interpretation to let all sensory correspondence resonate and emerge, he does not [however] refuse it: moments of enunciation, interpretation, narrative and the passage to the imagination come in a second stage. It is thus that the work of dancer resides in his capacity of suspending thought into words, and then coming and going between sensation and perception (Launay 1996, p.96, cited in Figols 2000, Chapter III, p.8, original emphasis).

This can, for example, take the form of applying a strategy of self-organisation of movement through sensation and of gravity displacement with five dancers in constant and full contact; of eliminating spatial references, and thus the peripheral vision of performers; of constructing internal space as a central and prioritised reference; or of integrating a dynamic creation of sound in real-time. These states involve risk and a loss of bearings that leads to building other types of bearings. These strategies therefore open up a perceptual disorder that was used as a strategy of creation. They reflect what Desprès notes as:

[... placing 'sensation of movement' at the heart of the practice of contemporary dance, [where] the dancer’s body becomes, not the one he knows from the outside by analogy with the body of the other, but rather the one he feels from the inside and that is one with the sensation he has of himself: rather than being oriented towards the world of having, the dancer *is* a body (Desprès 1998, p.114, original emphasis).

[...] the logic of sensation which presides danced movement is opposed to the logic of reproduction, imitation and molding. Danced gesture cannot simply be copied gesture. Sensation is called upon to bring forth highly evolving and creative movement (Desprès 1998, p.16).

In this kinaesthetic drunkenness, bearings are lost in order to awaken slumbering motor and experiential mechanisms.
According to cultural critic Louise Boisclair (2007), a member of the Observatory, who came to document the first stages of experimentation of the work in Study #2 on October 6, 2006 in temporary studios at HEXAGRAM-Centre for Research-Creation in Media Arts and Technologie, Montreal, my research seems to develop another kind of dance aesthetic: a ‘trans(e)dance’, comprised of the contraction of the words: trance, trans (recalling the transdisciplinarity of my research and corporal work), dance, and (e) for energy, electronic, elasticity and electricity (2007, p.56).

Louise Boisclair\textsuperscript{258} qualifies the collective body – the corporal and sound bodies – as a 'larval body'. This body is a form of 'collective resonance' (here I refer to Rolnik's theories that were analysed in Chapter 2). Adopting strategies from somatic practices to allow for both the renewal of kinaesthetic and exteroceptive elements, the potentiality present in the interval of the collective body was inspired by some of the strategies of Paxton's tactile body, Brown's fluid body and the introspective body of Butoh, of which I spoke of earlier. According to Louise Boisclair (2007), one must understand this:

\textbf{[I]larval body [corps larvaire]} in terms of an "embryonic body". This qualification does not refer to individual dancing bodies that are more energetic and malleable; but rather defines an extended sound body, in a newborn state, inchoate, unfinished, always seeking to develop and balance itself. This embryonic body, created from an extended sound body, represents a state of fluid gestation in perpetual movement [...] (2007, p.55).

For Boisclair, '[t]he spectator internalises the work, with a full loss of bearings, in a mental and corporal space enlarged by this experience' (2007, p.56). Technologies, used in this way, facilitate:

\textbf{[…]} the apparition of both a physical and digital creature, visual and aural, a collective body composed of individual bodies connected as an extended sound body, as if it was the mirror of invisible exchanges between human beings in the intimacy of physical, energetic and kinetic bodies on the one hand, and on the other, of digital and sonic ones (Boisclair 2007, p.56).

\textsuperscript{258} Louise Boisclair is a cultural critic and member of the international research group I created for my doctoral studies. Her reflections and conclusions derive from the integrative and transdisciplinary methodology that the group is exploring based on the evolutive intersection of practice and theory. She was present during most of the transitional phases and presentations of my work. This working group has written extensively on the methodology I invited them to witness and participate in.
Here, Boisclair argues that the collective bodies I am developing correspond to the principle of flux or motion, of corporeality, echoing the idea of intercorporeality expressed in the theories of Rolnik and Merleau-Ponty in particular.\(^\text{259}\)

5.3.2 The collective body: an experience of the body moving towards a loss of bearings

Let us now look at the experiments that were conducted on key strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation, as well as at concepts with which they resonate. To begin, the following information will help to situate and contextualise these experiments.

**Practical information concerning the background and contextual elements involved in the practical research**

**General Notes**

**Video documentation**

All the videos documenting this thesis were made within the same context. Given the cost and logistics involved in video production, I took full advantage of every opportunity that organisations or individuals provided for documenting my work. These videos document work in Studies # 2 and # 3 of the thesis.

No lighting concept was developed during these phases of work and therefore, lighting was rather functional by nature, that is, mainly to light bodies so they could be filmed. The only aesthetic concern taken into consideration for the lighting in these videos was that of giving the impression, in the first scene of Study # 3 at the Centre de

\(^{259}\) This article is provided in Appendix 8.2.1 in its original language, French.
développement chorégraphique, Grenoble, in May 2008, that the bodies were floating in space, as if being above the ground. The lighting comes mainly, or only, from the ceiling.

**Technical information**

All pertinent technical information is provided in this document. Certain information that I judge of secondary importance, is not available for reasons of personal, artistic or commercial confidentiality.

**Agreements relative to the collaboration**

It was agreed that all designers who participated in the research process could use the ideas and concepts they themselves had developed for the project. No other agreements for contractual, legal, commercial, financial or other purposes exist. Similarly, I (Isabelle Choiniere) can use all the material developed in these explorations. The concepts of the physical and mediated collective bodies (sound) stem from my own research. These concepts cannot be used by anyone but myself. I was responsible for the direction of the research and its artistic dimension in this collaborative process (that is to say, I had the last word on any direction if we could not jointly agree about it).

**Notes on the Appendices and videos on DVD**

Translation in English is made of certain passages only of interviews and/or articles by different researchers and art critics who studied and observed Studies # 2 and # 3 of the project. Only passages that serve as evidence to support my theories are translated and incorporated into the texts of the thesis itself. Full articles are provided in the Appendix in their language of publication, and interviews are provided on the DVD also in their original versions.
General information regarding Studies # 2 and # 3

Study #2

Study # 2 was conducted at the Centre for the Arts of Enghien-les-Bains, in the city of Enghien-les-Bains, a northern suburb of Paris, France. It took place during a residency of five weeks from October 16th to November 19th, 2006. The residency terminated with two performances on the 18th and 19th of November, 2006. This phase of work was called Phase # 2 or Study # 1 for the Electric Body - Phase 2. In this thesis, it is called Study # 2.

In preparation for this residency in the summer and fall of 2006, I conducted research on devices that might be able to interact with a collective body involving a group of five dancers in constant physical contact. This particular research was done in collaboration with students (under the supervision of their teachers) at the Department of Interactive String Instruments at McGill University, Montreal.

Study #3

This study was conducted in two stages.

The first stage took place in France from April 4th to May 3rd, 2008.

It began with auditions to select new dancers at the Micadanses studio in the fourth arrondissement of Paris on April 4th, 2008.

It then resumed in the studios of French choreographer Philippe Decouflé - Company D.C.A. - in the Parisian suburb of St-Denis from April 7th to 11th, 2008.

Thereafter, rehearsals were held at the Choreographic Development Centre (C.D.C), Le Pacific, in Grenoble in southern France. This phase took place during a residency of three weeks from April 14th to May 3rd, 2008. It was mainly conducted at

The second stage of this phase of research took place in Montreal in a theatre called *Tangente* from May 27th to June 5th, 2008. The residency terminated with three performances, on the 3rd, 4th, and 5th of May, 2008.

These stages of work are grouped under the name Study # 3.

I developed these phases in places that were offered to me as residencies. Rental costs of equipped performance spaces were very high.

**Time line of the meetings and publications concerning the Observatory**

What follows is a chart of the various meetings that took place with members of the Observatory and myself, and an outline of the various actions that took place. It should be noted that the influence of these events was not experienced in a linear fashion, but rather in the actual moment as well as in a mnemonic (memory-related) space that developed in interaction with practice. These temporal crossings uncovered moments of inspiration, creation, perception and understanding of the dynamics of research that was set up in the framework of my doctoral studies. Information on the members of the Observatory is included as well as a list of articles produced by its members and Dominique Besson, the composer for Study #2, during the doctoral period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study presentation date</th>
<th>First meeting dates with I. Choinière, informal meetings and e-mail exchanges.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact with texts produced by <em>the Observatory</em>. An Observatory documented meetings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: after each meeting in person with any members of *the Observatory* (Isabelle Choinière, Enrico Pitozzi, Louise Boisclair, Elysabeth Plourde), the exchange of e-mails rate was on average 6
times a month for the two first weeks. After, they fluctuated. It was between 4 to 1 exchanges a month.

February 2003 - I. Choinière meets Elysabeth Plourde in a Quebec festival during the presentation of la Démence des anges. E. Plourde studies the work of I. Choinière in the context of her doctoral studies at Laval University. After, regular informal meetings and e-mail exchanges of sources, texts, between I. Choinière and E. Plourde.

October 14, 2005 - I. Choinière meets Enrico Pitozzi in Enghien-les-Bains, France. E. Pitozzi studies the works of I. Choinière in the context of his doctoral studies at the University of Bologna in Italy. After, regular informal meetings and e-mail exchanges of sources, texts, between I. Choinière and E. Pitozzi.

October 6, 2006 - I. Choinière meets Louise Boisclair in Montreal. She studies her work in the context of personal research as an art critic. After, regular informal meetings and e-mail exchanges of sources, texts, between I. Choinière and L. Boisclair.

October 6, 2006 - Presentation pre-Study #2 // Hexagram. Louise Boisclair is present.

November 16-17, 2006 - Presentation Study #2 // Centre des arts d’Enghien-les-Bains, France. Enrico Pitozzi is present.

November 2006 - Isabelle Choinière is interviewed by Enrico Pitozzi.

July 2007 - I. Choinière reads the first draft of the INTER article written by Louise Boisclair (2007).
August 2007 - I. Choinière reads the final text of the *INTER* article written by Louise Boisclair (2007).

September 19, 2007 - Presentation pre-Study #3 // Rehearsal studio (*Studio danse Montréal*) in Montreal. Louise Boisclair is present.

May 3, 2008 - Presentation Study #3 // *CDC Grenoble*, France.

Juin 3-5, 2008 - Presentation Study #3 // *Tangente*, Montréal, Canada.

Enrico Pitozzi and Elysabeth Plourde are present.

June 6, 2008 - I. Choinière and Louise Boisclair read the text *Sismographie de la présence* by Enrico Pitozzi (2008).

June 6, 2008 - L. Boisclair meets E. Pitozzi. After, regular informal meetings and e-mail exchanges of sources, texts, between I. Choinière, E. Pitozzi and L.Boisclair

June 2008 – Informal meetings (dinner) between I. Choinière, E. Pitozzi and L.Boisclair


April 24, 2009 - I. Choinière (in press) sends her text for Cambridge Scholar Publishing to Enrico Pitozzi (concerning the notion of the interval).

April 24 and 27, 2009 - I. Choinière sends the text of Dominique Besson (2009) to the three members of 'the Observatory'.

Spring 2009 – I. Choinière becomes involved in the research group ‘Effets de présence et Performativité’ at U.Q.A.M. L. Boisclair and E. Pitozzi are also members.

May 4, 2009 – first official meeting of the Observatory – documented.

Week of April 24, 2009 – exchange between I. Choinière and Enrico Pitozzi (2009a, 2009b) who co-write the article published in *Art’O* and *Archée*.

May 20, 2009 - Interview with Dominique Besson, composer, in Paris, France.

June 17, 2009 - I. Choinière sends the text of her RDC2 to E. Pitozzi.

September 2009 - I. Choinière sends the structure of her doctoral thesis to E. Pitozzi.

September 4, 2009 - I. Choinière sends the text of her RDC2 and her text for the Cambridge Scholar Publishing (concerning the notion of the interval) – Choinière (in press) to L. Boisclair.

September 21, 2009 - I. Choinière sends the text of her RDC2 and her text for the Cambridge Scholar Publishing (concerning the notion of the interval) – Choinière (in press) to E. Plourde.

November 4, 2009 - I. Choinière attends the conference of E. Pitozzi at *U.Q.A.M.* November 6-7, 2009 at *Conférence sur les personages virtuels*.

November 2009 – Informal meetings (dinner) between I. Choinière, E. Pitozzi and L. Boisclair.


November 10, 2009 – series of exchange between the members and I. Choinière.

5.3.3 Sensorial and perceptual destabilisation as a learning process

In this section, I continue the presentation of my main strategies of destabilisation, which are in fact my creative strategies, and that were central to my practical research process.

5.3.3.1 Proximity as a strategy of deheirachisation

Space - the placement of spectators

Study #2

The space in which we worked at the Centre for the Arts of Enghien-les-Bains, was called the Arts Space - Studio 19. It is a space without bleachers: a black box with a lighting grid. The technical plan of the space is available in Appendix 8.3.2.

The dimension of this space was 191.80 square metres. It was thus a square space. We placed the technical booth in one corner of the room. We had access to four
speakers that were placed in the four corners of the room, hung from the ceiling. The space could accommodate an audience of forty people. Spectators were placed in a circle around a central space and close to the walls. The performance area was in the centre and consisted of a circle whose diameter was six metres wide.

Spectators were at a distance of two metres from the performance space. Instructions were not given to the public as to where to sit: they placed themselves against the walls of their own choice or not.

**Study #3**

The portion of the residency that was held in the studio of choreographer Philippe Decouflé from the 7th to 11th April, 2008, only served to teach the dancers choreographic material that had already been developed (Study #1 which is not analysed in this thesis and served as a basis for the work). This study is not analysed here. In the Decouflé studio, I worked alone with the dancers and without technology.

At the *C.D.C. Grenoble*, we took up a residency in the theatre space which was called the North Pacific (a large plateau) which is actually a multi-functional room: a black box equipped with a lighting grid, whose dimensions were 15 metres by 18.75 metres (part of the space was occupied by bleachers which we did not use). The technical sheet and plan of the space are available in Appendix 8.3.3.

We had access to eight speakers that were installed on tripods (belonging to the composer Dominique Besson). The performance space was the same as in Study # 2: a circle in the centre of the room whose dimension was six metres wide.

Spectators were located at a distance of one metre from the performance space. We asked them to walk around the performance space in the dark. Spectators were
willing to follow these directions, but shortly after the start of the performance, went to sit on the bleachers that were approximately four to six metres away from the performance space. Audience members told us afterwards that walking in the dark demanded too much concentration and the fact that other spectators momentarily crossed their paths, thus blocking their view, was distracting. According to their accounts, the complexity of the human and sound form demanded too much attention while moving in the dark. Also, according to spectators' accounts, the performance demanded complete immobility to be able to focus on the performative forms. I was also of this opinion, also because I was concerned by questions of the necessary distance to experience the performance. There are no recordings of interviews with the audience members that documents their account of the performance, but a discussion session was held immediately after the presentation. It was during this time that I collected their comments. The spectators were very disturbed by the performance. Even if they were given the chance to speak, it took them several minutes of silence before speaking. They seemed to be under the influence of some kind of shock.

In Montreal, we took up residency in a theatre called Tangente. The hall was 21.7 metres by 8.9 metres. It was therefore rectangular. The technical sheet and plan of the space are available in Appendix 8.3.4.

The performance space was the same as in Study # 2: a circle in the centre of the room whose dimension was six metres wide.

However, given the narrowness of the hall, we were obliged to place the speakers in an oval shape and not in a circle.

Given the size and shape of the hall, which seemed problematic, we prepared for the audience to sit a quarter metre away from the performance space. Spectators were
positioned at the extremities of the space that was ovular. The technical booth was at one of these extremities, immediately behind the audience. The audience sat on chairs. Spectators made the same comments as the audience in Grenoble i.e. that this research required a high level of concentration in order to experience the work and that spectatorial immobility was necessary.

**Development and progression from Study # 2 to Study # 3**

Changes in distance to the position of spectators happened by chance and was experienced due to the narrowness of the *Tangente* hall. This constraint was significant in the sense that it made me consider the principle of proximity as an important sensory-perceptual destabilising factor for the dancers, but more importantly, for the spectators.

**Evidence - comments by members of the Observatory**

In Study # 2, I had begun to explore a strategy of spectatorial circulation, as well as proximity. Here is the account of Louise Boisclair, member of the Observatory, on these notions, in which she explains that the effect of the collective body is much more important and interesting than the notion of spectatorial circulation which, as a consequence, was to become secondary. The issue of proximity and its complement, dehierarchisation, are introduced in Chapter 5 in Section 5.2.

**Video: File 08-DVC C2 Observatory 01**

**Video 1: 09:17-11:14 - Louise Boisclair - Phase # 2**

I remember very well standing up and going for a walk around the performance space. Aside from feeling that I had just moved, I did not feel this displacement changed much. It didn't bring me anything else at the time. When you spoke to us before the start of the performance, I also remember your telling us about how you were inspired by the works of Picasso and Bellmer. For me, it sparked a visual representation, even before the performance began. It really shaped my reading of the performance. And actually, I found that the five dancers who were in constant physical contact - even if one was more or less on the outside, or on the periphery, or because she was lying down longer - for me, I kept the impression that there was still contact between the five dancers, even if this contact was not direct, not an embrace. The impression that stayed with me from the beginning, was that it quickly became a mass, it quickly became a collective body... and there was also the nakedness that was important... There was the link with Picasso and his completely fragmented, disproportionate figures, as if there were body parts that were getting
lost. For example, at times, it was the legs of one person, the legs of another. So at some point, all this was moving. There was not a lot of verticality in this performance (this phase of research), although perhaps there were legs that went upwards at certain points. So the fact of walking, to move around, was a bit secondary in this performance.

Figure 64: Study #3, *Morph*, choreographic notes- floorwork, 2008

Figure 65: Study #3, *Morph* (2008) choreographic notes- floorwork and work with legs

Figures 64 and 65 have approval to be used

**Video 2:** 22:25 – 22:54  Louise Boisclair– Phase #2

Also, in my opinion, the more you can contemplate a work unfolding, the more deeply you enter into the visual capturing you must do as a spectator. So for me, from the moment it is necessary to move, that I have to move, I start thinking about where I'm going to put my feet because it is dark, and I am no longer watching. And indeed, it is a distraction.

**Video : 13 - DVC Observatory C2 /**

**Video 3:** 00:55 – 02:23  Elysabeth Plourde – Phase #3

On this question of the reception of the spectator, I would say that concentration at *Tangente* (Study # 3) was optimal because we didn't have to worry about our bodies in space. It was the
environment that did it for us, the device did it for us. So you don't hear things in the same way, because when you move, you create your own spatialisation. It is not the speakers that move.

Here, Elysabeth Plourde reacts to Louise Boisclair's opinion that it would be good that spectators have the choice to either move around or to sit. It should be noted that Louise Boisclair had not been present in the Study # 3 experimentation when I integrated spatialisation for the first time, whereas Elysabeth Plourde had experienced it in Study # 3:

**Video : 13 - DVC Observatory C2 /**

**Video 4: 05:59 – 06:33  Elysabeth Plourde – Phase #3**

Yes, but at the same time, if you get up, and I decide not to get up and stay seated, then your decision to walk in front or behind me, will completely change my own perception. So if you pass in front of me, it becomes a problem, it disturbs me. And if you go behind me, as the sound is behind me, you are going to interfere with the sound and reduce my reception as a spectator.

**Video : Fichier 08-DVC C2 Observatory 01**

**Video 5: 23:13 – 25:37 – Enrico Pitozzi– Phases #2 and #3**

In this regard, for me there is one thing that is very interesting in relation to Study # 2 at Enghien-les-Bains compared to Study # 3 in Montreal. At Enghien-les-Bains, we, as spectators, had the opportunity to move around, but for me, being able to move was not very interesting. It does not work, because I believe you have to be still, stable. It must be understood that there are two things that are moving: the body (the spectator's) and then, sound. So for me, the third phase is much better because you are stable and you are very close to the dancers, so you have a second opportunity to see the details of movement. You find yourself in an immersion that is not only in sound, but also an immersion of sight, because through this immersion, you can see precise details of movement and this detail is three-dimensional (3D). So you have the opportunity to see before you the movement of the collective body, up close, and then behind you, you have the movement of sound (spatialisation). And so, it is not necessary to move around. You have the spatialisation of sound (which moves behind the viewer), in the centre, you have the spatialisation of the body (the physical movement of the dancers in the performance space). The spectator is stable and you find yourself in what I would call a form of visual flow for the body in motion, and a form of sound flow for spatialisation. So there is no need to move around.

Quotation have approval to be used

**Video 6: 29:49 – 30:40 – Enrico Pitozzi– Phase #3**

I would like to continue by expanding on the issue of proximity. I can say that this strategy gives me the ability to see details. On the one hand, it allows me to have a much stronger relationship from a visual standpoint with Bellmer's work that was an inspiration for Isabelle. So when I am up close, I completely lose the shape of the body and am therefore in a real transformation of the body because there is no direct relationship between anatomy (Pitozzi speaks of anatomy in the sense of the Greek root of the word: to open the body, the interior of the body), the shape of the body and its movement. So if I have the opportunity to watch the dancers' bodies very close up (0.25 metres), I completely lose the shape of the body.
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Here, Enrico Pitozzi begins to introduce the impression of an empathic dimension. The group continues discussing this matter throughout this section. I analyse the question of empathy in Chapter 1 in Section 1.8.2.

**Video : Fichier 08-DVC C2 Observatory 01**

**Video 7: 31:30 – 32:31 – Enrico Pitozzi – Phase #3**

Proximity could also be an answer for understanding the relationship between movement and sound. From my point of view as a spectator, proximity gives me the opportunity to really feel engaged in the movement of the body and also to be able to perceive and thus to hear, the relationship between the movement I am viewing and the production of sound that comes to me through sound spatialisation. So I am able to understand this relationship, because I have the opportunity to try out directly in my body the movement I am viewing and I am thus able to recognize the relationship between the movement that I am viewing and the sound that comes through spatialisation. It is thus my perception and proprioception that change completely, so this relationship which I am speaking about is activated in my own body.

Here, Enrico Pitozzi links the question of cannibalism (a Brazilian concept that can be compared to the effect of contamination) with the question of empathy.

**Video : Fichier 09-DVC C3 Observatory 01**

**Video 8: 08:12 – 08:58 – Enrico Pitozzi – Phase #3**

So through this phenomenon, you find yourself being really active, but on a level that does not allow you to move. That is the whole issue with cannibalistic gesture, it is exactly the same. You have the ability to recognize what they are doing on stage, but where do you have the opportunity to recognize it? The answer is in your body, in physicality. And so, you do not move, but your muscles and your nerves have the ability to completely recognize what you are looking at.

Enrico Pitozzi and I began to question the choice of technology for implementing strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation and thus to change the relationship with the interface and therefore with technology. These points were discussed in Chapter 1: the question of changing the relationship with technology throughout Section 2, and strategies for sensory-perceptual destabilisation in Section 4.

**Video : Fichier 08-DVC C2 Observatory 01**

**Video 9: 34:44 – 35:52 – Enrico Pitozzi – Phase #3**
Also, I would like to pursue the question of proximity and therefore of distance. For me, I find that I need distance when I have a form of communication. But for me, the question of proximity is a question of strategy. Proximity is a compositional strategy, so this gives me the opportunity to work on the same level as Bellmer's images. When I speak of the same level, I refer to the decomposition of form (the aspect of dehierarchisation in this research). So proximity is one of possible strategies to obtain a decomposition of form. If I can give an example, it is exactly like using microphones for the voice. This leads to two options: using microphones to enable spectators who are over there to hear (the text of Hamlet, for example), or it gives us the opportunity to allow the spectator out there to not understand the text, but to listen to the production of vocals, such as the granularity of a voice. So this is a way of getting closer to something technologically.

Video 10: 35:53 – 36:27 – Isabelle Choinière– Phase #3

And I'll continue, clarifying that it gives us the opportunity to listen to the source of sound production. So it's almost from the dancer's point of view. When the dancer is in the space, she hears herself produce sound, but there seems to be confusion between what she does and the technological production of sound. In this research, with the collective body, the confusion is even greater, because the dancer is required to take everything into account in the phenomenon of perception. So it distorts perception. This double element is always present, you always have a bit of both.

Video 11: 38:06 – 38:38 – Enrico Pitozzi – Phase #3

Also, proximity is a way of multiplying things. Because if I'm far away, I can divide what I am looking at, because I can understand that the two arms I see are those of a single person and other ones, those of another person. But if I'm very close, I am not able to make this division.

Louise Boisclair makes a link between the notion of distance between the dancers in the collective body and the notion of spectatorial proximity as a strategy of empathic contamination.

Video : 14 - DVC Observatory C3

Video 12: 13:06 – 15:34 - Phase # 2 and pre-Phase # 3

For me, the notion of distance is important. It is mentioned very little and little attention is paid to it and you either approach someone or you move away from them without recognizing much what is happening. Me, if I move back at some point, it is because I have less focus on what I wanted to look at because proximity may be too great (here, Boisclair specifically refers to a general point of view and not to the study of work under question) or because something is more intrusive. (From here on, she speaks about the phase of research) The distance between the dancers, when it has been 10 minutes, 15 minutes, 20 minutes, 30 minutes that you have been looking at them, is no longer just a phenomenon of something that happens in front of you, even if it is frontal. It is something that you are incorporating in your memory despite yourself, in your body, by empathy, in your visual memory, in your sound memory. The question of distance, I believe there is real research to do with it. I really suggest you look at the chapter on distance in Edward T. Hall's book The Hidden Dimension. He studied how distances are defined, using control groups. In intimate distances, mating takes place where only 10cm exist between bodies and where everything becomes dehierarchised in your vision. Skin, with respect to the notion of detail we spoke about earlier, if you are very close to me (she shows me her face with her finger), then you see my blackheads, you see other details, but if you're over there (at 1 metre), already you find yourself with a wider angle of vision, so you see things differently. Things
become fuzzy, blurred, peripheral and if you walk away even further, the further you move away, at a certain point, you cannot see the three-dimensionality of the body. You no longer have outlines, you see the body as flat. So in this research, the proximity of which you speak, is very important.

Proximity is also a way of sensing space, because your relationship to space is different. But I think that the question of proximity is a very important issue for the spectator as is the phenomenology of reception. Because if you have the possibility of completely breaking the power of vision, you obliged him/her to appeal to the other senses. Vision cannot be comprehensive, so it completely loses its function. So you are therefore able to rearrange your perception of the event through other channels. So this is a way of reorganising the spectator's body.

There is also be a mirroring effect (mise en abyme) that occurs between them - the dancers - because they are so connected. So if you are very far away (speaking of the spectator) you're out of the action, but if you're close up, you're in a mimetic effect, in the mirroring effect.

Louise Boisclair continues here on the notion of the collective body (presented in Chapter 5, Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2), its complexity, the concept of larval, the inchoate (presented in Chapter 5, Section 5.3.1) and introduces the concept of perpetual transformation (and not that of representation) (presented in Chapter 1, Section 1.5.1 and Section 5.3.1) - Study # 2 and pre-Study # 3.

And what I could add is this, yes, the complexity of the collective body in movement was very present. Because you have the bodies of five dancers, normally you would look at them from a distance. Here, they are always in contact with one another and it creates a kind of body, a sort of unidentifiable mass. I thought the dimension of the larval was very present. I stipulate that the concept of the larval ensures that you always have the impression of something that is inchoate, becoming, going towards something, but failing to find a stable, final form. So for me, this phase of research has to do with the larval, with transformation. I also add that five bodies together, constitutes a lot more than five bodies being added, it creates another form.

To complete and conclude on this point, I present an excerpt by Louise Boisclair (2007, p.56) who continues the discussion about the complexity of the collective body (physical, sound and carnal) and about the inchoate or larval dimension of this
performative form. This excerpt complements her views on the notion of *Trans(e)dance*, one of her expressions, that was inspired by the work of Study #2 presented at Hexagram. This article is provided in the Appendix 8.2.1 in the original language, French.

*Trans* evokes the transdisciplinarity that is as essential to her corporal work as it is for her research. Energy is carried by the movement of bodies in contact and through the mediatisation of their breathing as an expanded sound body. *Trans(e)dance* refers as much to the secondary state achieved by members of the expanded body, a kind of trance experienced by each dancer, as to the two-way flow of internal and external stimuli.

This extended sound body composes a collective and connective form of images-sounds in movement in three dimensions. The elasticity of particles in contact and the electricity of waves circulating within a moving collective body, *Trans(e)dance* evokes the intersection of bodies with the sound-image of an augmented body and its deheirarchicalized representations. *Trans(e)dance* is also the transdisciplinarity of Cubist painting, of an amplified sound body and the movement of the fundamental energy of the pelvis. It is the secondary state open to telluric and ethereal dimensions in harmony with nature and spirit. All representations of the body the spectator draws in while wandering around the stage, floating between image, presence and experience.

Watching this mass deploy, deform and inform itself, deploying its energy with a pendular speed sustaining the amplification of breath in sound, is an experiential event. This extended sound body becomes the matter of the work's body that we watch, receive, construct and interpret. The performance of the expanded sound body becomes a true *Trans(e)dance*, a dance which passes through the line of sound to the pace of expiration.

Proximity, a modality for reformulating the perception of shapes and choreographic structure

The collective body or mass of bodies of which I have been speaking, and which I worked with, comprises a particular way of reformulating the body’s form. Spatial proximity between spectators and performers helped to de-compose the body’s form, along with the flux of collective movement. I was thus looking for different modes of reception (for the audience) with this sensorial material.

During this phase of the work’s creation, spectators were positioned extremely close to the dancers’ bodies, at less than half a meter. Eight speakers comprising the system that spatialises sound were placed around the audience, defining the total

---

260 Presented in Section 5.3.1, Chapter 5.
performance space. Situated between the speakers and the performance arena/space of the dancers, the extreme proximity of the audience with these two spaces was intentional as part of the strategy of sensory and perceptual destabilisation I was experimenting with.

With this extreme closeness, I was seeking to provoke a hypnotic effect produced by a complex form that becomes sound: a mass of flesh that sings, talks, groans. The proximity of the dancers' naked bodies, like flesh in motion, causes a first loss of bearings, a deheirachisation, as spectators find themselves in the flesh, in the carnal collective body. This had an effect of deterring spectators’ analytical capacities. They could no longer analyse and understand the body in a normal way (as when the body is seen alone or standing). This deheirachisation is firstly expressed by body parts which do not play their conventional role. The collective body was here inspired by deheirachisation in the representation of the body in the work of Hans Bellmer261 as well as by Italian choreographer Maria Donata D'Urso's Pezzo O (due). Spectators' comments revealed that they felt themselves in this body, arrested and 'hypnotised' by its complex entity. The dancers who were almost entirely nude, along with their proximity and intertwined action, also played an important role in establishing this destabilisation because in Quebec and France, proximity and nudity are generally considered disturbing and were also difficult for some of the dancers.

At the same time, spectators hear this mass of flesh. A second loss of bearings occurs as a result of immersion in a living sound form (that is moving and produced in real-time by the five dancers) and by proximity that strips them of their normal analytical references. The spectator finds himself/herself in the form; he/she is in hyper

261 I was only inspired by the aspect of the dehierarchisation of the work of Hans Bellmer and not by his other aspects such as his approach to sexual violence or perversion.
intimacy and simultaneously immersed in the sound emitted by this form that moves all around and penetrates his/her space. There are thus two forms (the flesh of five intermingled bodies and sound that is created in real-time) that spectators are not normally accustomed to; that blur codes and bearings and move around them. The sense of immersion and empathy, thus creating the dissolution of psycho-physical borders I desired, was produced for most of them.

5.3.3.2 The team, kinaesthetic and choreographic choices

Before continuing, let me clarify that in my research process, the choice of dancers - which in Study # 3 took place in a studio in Paris where they were able to show their physical abilities (physical, musical and creative) as well as choreographic and kinaesthetic choices, were made taking into account the close and interdependent relationship of all the elements having to do with the production of sound in real-time - sound that is generated and linked to their movement. Also, the choice of the sound designer, the team of technicians and the technology to be developed, was also made taking into account this interdependence. I also must specify that the sound produced in real-time constitutes, in these experiments, the entire sound environment.

In writing this thesis, I describe these elements one after the other in the text, but in reality, they were constantly interacting with one another in a state of interdependence. I conducted the experiments as a form of integrative process that is described in Section 5.3 entitled "A methodology based on a phenomenology of lived experience". The principle of destabilisation underpinning the collective sound body also determined the choice of sound designer, dancers, technicians and technological development because not everyone is able to put themselves in a state of fusion with
others. Further, the project implied technology that would allow for this kind of interconnection, not forms of technology that create distancing.

The team and choice of dancers

Study #2
Dancers: Isabelle Choinière, Marie-Josée Boulanger, Naomi Jason, Nancy Rivest, Soraïda Caron
Sound designer and sound technician: Nelly-Eve Rajotte
Technician and sound engineer: Michel-Antoine Castonguay
Origins of the team: Montreal-Quebec-Canada

The choice of a team of designers and sound technicians

Requirements for the choice of a sound designer were not clear at this time. I had not yet identified my specific needs. I did however choose sound technicians who were able to experiment with different devices for the production of sound in real-time, with different types of microphones (for the voice, piezo floor microphones installed on the floor). Significant financial constraints framed and determined my choices.

Study #3
Dancers: Kimiko Otaka (Japon/France), Charlotte Lafaure, Agnès Guy, Nancy Moreau, Aychele Szot (Brazil/Montréal)
Sound designer, composer and performer-technician: Dominique Besson (France)
Origins of the team: All the team members were from Paris with the exception of Aychele Szot who lives in Montreal.
The choice of the team of conceptors

Requirements for the choice of a sound designer were much clearer by this time. I had partially identified my specific needs. I chose to work with Dominique Besson because she was a specialist in sound spatialisation. This was essential for achieving my idea of a sound body. Dominique Besson had also developed a tool, the *Ring*, which allowed for the spatialisation of sound sources in real-time: specifically, 'the direction of an open system for real-time spatialisation with functions for a gradual memorisation of signals and trajectories' (Besson 2009). Her work had been presented to me by Philippe Baudelot, former artistic director of the section Dance and Technology of *Monaco Dance Forum*.

The software for the *Ring* had nevertheless been developed on Director (a computer program used for interactive CD-Roms) and no existing model at the time was yet capable of processing the sound sources from the dancers' microphones in real-time. I was looking for a tool that was already available and demanding little investment in terms of technical development and therefore, financially. This choice was motivated by my desire to focus on the artistic relationship between technology and the body in movement rather than to invest all resources (time and money) on technological development.

Evolution and progression from Study #2 to Study #3

Above all, the choice of working with Dominique Besson led me to test my idea of the sound body in a spatial dimension of time delay and partial real-time. It also allowed me to explore the type of vocal training that Besson gave the dancers, which will be discussed in the section on sound. Her sensitivity, as a woman and artist, led her
to embrace and experience a certain level of intercorporeality with the dancers and spectators and was very interesting for the development of my research.\textsuperscript{262}

However, as the project evolved, it became clear that a structure in real-time based on a performative temporality, that is, determined by the performers' actions in real-time – their rhythm and sense of timing throughout the performance – was necessary. As their gestures were related to a structure of self-organisation, dependant on new sensory references created by the entire collective process (as in both physical and mediated), the unfolding and evolution of the choreography was thus based on/in the present moment and on the experiential element lived by the performers at every moment. This gave the dancers considerable latitude with respect to several aspects of the performance including the time they took to execute each type of action (sound, trajectory, body rhythm, movement). In the phase of development Besson was involved in, the structure in real-time could only be explored in the first section of the work for lack of time and means. Concretely, this meant that I had to assume the work of integration which involved working on the links between the performing body and the technology in a transversal manner, and, that although the concept of the sound body evolved somewhat during this stage of development, it would not be until a few years later in 2013, when I had the occasion to work with other sound designers, that the full scope of sound in real-time could be exploited. However, it is important to note that research conducted in 2013 was not part of this thesis and will not be analysed here.

**Evidence – comments by Dominique Besson**

Here is composer Dominique Besson's account of her work of spatialisation and composition. It was developed through a common gestural awareness and link to the

\textsuperscript{262} The issue of intercoporeality was discussed in Chapter 1.
Besson's account comes from an article she wrote in 2009\textsuperscript{264}. This is how she explains the introduction of gesture in her approach to spatialisation:

Today it is possible to observe the material aspect of sound, to project it in physical space, to manipulate its components, in a word, to organize it. This new flexibility reintroduces gesture in compositional practice and allows for the birth of new forms, that are intelligible but not always predictable. Gestures made while producing a sound object, or when it is placed in physical space, are part of writing, of a score. Gesture produces, as Edgar Morin notes in 'Method' (2003), "a phenomenon of learning that puts knowledge in motion\textsuperscript{265}" and through which an inner consciousness of movement is connected to the generation of sound.

Composers (also) dance in their own way in sound space. As Chuang Tzu (2002) remarks, "Do not listen through your mind, but through your breath\textsuperscript{266}. It is precisely through these notions of \textit{time} and \textit{breath} that I wish to share my experience as a composer. Isabelle Choinière's process, that consists of addressing movement as a vector of introspection, a way of accessing a secondary state in which gesture frees itself from habitual codes that are established, then self-organized according to what is revealed, of what it loosens inside of the supra sensitive, finds deep resonance within my musical practice.

To enable this experience, she wanted to use new technologies of sound spatialisation in her choreography to mediate outwards, that is to say externalise, something that is intimate: the sound body. For dancers, managing breathing allows them to control choreographic figures, to find the rhythm of oxygenization necessary to complete movements. The same process of muscular identification with objects to be represented occurs for the performing musician for whom breathing affects the quality of instrumental gesture. With respect to the sound object, this sharing allows one to witness the birth of unusual forms, constantly renewed, but circumstantial.

From a musical or sound point of view, this mode of production is very specific, as it introduces the unexpected into a set of criteria that constitute the sound object such as its starting time, duration, end time, dynamic profile, timbre, register, attack and grain. These criteria are the guarantors of its formal identity. Introducing randomness in the attacks, duration and registers of the constituent sounds of sound body, while leaving dancers to explore their feelings according to predetermined registers, leads to the concept of the open form. For example, in \textit{Morph}, one section of the choreography, sound objects developed specifically for the voice, are sounds produced by short inspirations or expirations. The collective body must explore an opening of the diaphragm, the larynx, the oral cavity, and build relationships between the movements and sounds produced: opening and register, velocity and dynamics, looking for patterns, reference points. In this way, a polymorphical and changing sound object is obtained that has a certain elasticity and which is self-regulating like the body. Its projection in physical space, its bursting to the surface within the circle of eight speakers then acts on the dancers' proprioception implying awareness of what is being woven together.

The sound objects emitted by the dancers, although they differ in their typology (continuous, iterative, smooth, grainy, melodic...), are similar in their spatial image, as they are all captured at equal distance from their source of emission (the distance between the dancer's mouth and the

\textsuperscript{263} This notion of intercorporeality is discussed in numerous places throughout Chapter 1, amongst which Section 2.9.
\textsuperscript{264} This article is provided in Appendix 8.2.3 in the original language, French.
microphone placed on the top of her forehead). They all convey actually the same image of space. The spatialization of these sounds, which consists of managing the spatio-temporal behavior of sound sources in physical space, allows for the introduction of concepts of relief, distance and trajectory, in order to make the passage of time perceptible by movement itself, and to introduce a dramaturgy of movement.

Here, she describes our meeting and how she saw her tool serving my concept.

This text resonates with points made in Chapter 2, Section 2.7.1, which deal with the need to create new tools for performative works involving technology:

When I met her, Isabelle Choiniere had already conducted a first experience with sound synesthesia by equipping her dancers with microphones to study the quality of sound of their breathing and she was looking to give substance to this breathing through pluriphonic projection devices. Very soon, we began to collaborate as we found ourselves in phase with one another in our approach and my tool of spatial composition could constitute the necessary mediation device for the implementation of the experiment.

With my system, sound production currently operates by controlling the amplitude and phase of contributions coming from each source in each of the speakers, which can be considered like microphones in virtual space and sources in real space. This model of spatialisation, for the moment, only takes into account the direct waves between each source and each speaker. The graphical interface of composition is mainly spatial (and not temporal as in editing tools). The system allows for the animation of a certain number of mono or stereo sources, according to paths that can be programmed (to and fro, circular ...) or drawn freely by hand on a map of virtual space. Temporal sequencing is structured in scenes (presets) composed of sound objects, programmable in their temporal and spatial iteration. What drives the sequencing is the notion of autonomous objects, with programmed temporal behavior (with digital parameters of duration, which may involve degrees of randomness). Interfaced as real-time entries in the Ring, the five sound sources generated by the dancers thus become controllable in space and time.

The stage device which surrounds the listener here introduces a unique listening situation where he or she cannot locate all the sources present visually. The listener finds him/herself surrounded, immersed in the heart of the sound body and the movement that is deployed introduces a surplus of "sensitive materiality" ...

The question of the sound body is addressed in Chapter 2, Sections 2.4. and 2.8.4. The question of real-time is analysed in Section 2.8.4 and that of space as a component of the collective sound body in Section 5.3.3.1. Here, Dominique Besson explains how the collective body is in a symbiotic relationship of intercorporeality with the dancers and their actions. Through this relationship, the composer works in real-time with sounds generated by the dancers, who then respond to Besson's interpretation. What follows is an excerpt from her article.

The collective body interacts with the sound score

*Morph* - Homothetic projection
The dancers direct the composer-performer's gesture whose job it is, during performance, to mediate the sounds of the collective body according to its movement and displacements in order to create, in whole or in part, the sound body. The composer operates a homothetic translation, of projecting the position and movement of sound sources generated by the dancers into the space defined by the ring of eight speakers positioned around the audience, controlling the output levels of sound on each of the eight speakers. A kind of animated moving form is produced, endowed with a life of its own, self-organizing in an organic sense: a sound body.

From the composer's point of view, the specificity of this mode of interaction lies in the fact of having to simultaneously track the evolution of five points of the collective body (in reality five distinct spatiotemporal behaviors) whereas, naturally, one can only isolate one at a time.

In this situation, one has to learn to read movement by going around the limits of perceiving simultaneous events, orienting oneself within the space of the choreographic figure in order to make it legible in turn, all the while sculpting it in acoustic space, giving it a projection, enlarging it...

Mediation thus occurs through and by the composer-performer. This task could have been assigned to a system which would operate through motion sensors, but it was deliberately not the case here, because what interested us was to explore a new relationship between the individual and the collective and to what is individual. The collective body is very sensitive, very responsive, to its sound body. It is a bit as if it lived there, continued there, discovering its scope, its infinity. There is something that suggests flux, the flow of sap that rises, spreads or encounters obstacles. One must be able to decompose each choreographic figure, to become familiar with every movement, every gesture, thinking, visualizing them, living them from the inside to be able to identify muscularly with the sought object to optimize its movement in performance. The composer here becomes the performer of the collective body.

The relationship established with the dancers is intimate, almost emotional. This responsibility connects us with a form of kinaesthetic feeling of movement by the five members of the collective body and guides the movement of spatial composition. The peculiarity of this form of writing is that it involves time that is shared, that is dictated by the body and that things never happen again in an identical way. In the course of each performance, the unpredictability of movement requires us to live the experience of gesture, of the collective body and this experience replenishes our imagination through opening new horizons.

The mediated collective body: definition and principle of construction of the sound body\textsuperscript{267} as part of the collective body

This section outlines the second concept of the body I propose: the sound body which was just introduced in the texts of Louise Boisclair and Dominique Besson. The sound body is one of my personal strategies of destabilisation and does not reflect a more general approach to sound evidenced in field of contemporary performing arts today. When speaking of a sound body, I firstly refer to a dimension of the body that is generated in real-time by dancers that corresponds to an enlarged choreographic...

\textsuperscript{267} Written in part in collaboration with Enrico Pitozzi in an interview made by mail (2009a, 2009b).
concept and a state of openness characterising corporeality, again referencing Merleau-Ponty's theories on this subject. It is a concept that I have been developing in my more recent projects.

To put this idea into play, dancers had to develop an expanded consciousness of themselves as much with their movement as in the production of sound in real-time and further, in the relationship these two entities closely interweave. This expanded sense of self is possible thanks to the dissolution of the psychocorporal boundaries referred to in Chapter 2.

The sound body I developed is an emanation, a dilation of the physical body, and constituting a vibration serving as a sensorial reference for the dancers with which to compose. I insist on the fact that the sound body is not a double, but a new manifestation of the physical body issuing from a kinaesthetic learning process brought about under the influence of technology as an element of exteroceptive destabilisation. This 'transformation' of the very nature of the body requires a time of apprenticeship and assimilation. It is the product of a real integration of the process of modification that can lead to a transformation of self.

What is interesting about this perspective is that while working on the sound body, I could also intervene on the dancers' sensoriality and perception. On one hand, this led me to abandon modalities of composition that have already been tried and tested; on the other, it enabled a deeper construction of movement in a constant state of transformation. With this perspective, sensoriality reorganises itself, interiority changes

---

268 See references to Oriental philosophies that inspired me.
and evolves. Interiority becomes mediated and thus transformed through the destabilisation created by an external technological agency.

This form of mediatised interiority that reorganises itself echoes Berthoz's (1997) theories on the de-composition and re-combination of the senses just evoked. It also creates a 'new reality' for the performer (and the spectator). This modification of interiority through its mediatisation allows for a renewal of the perception of gesture and of the body moving in space. It is one of the elements that enables one to go beyond the sclerosis that characterises much choreography (Bernard 2001; Quinz & Menicacci 2005, 2006; Pitozzi 2010a, 2010b) and constitutes, in my opinion, one of the most important aspects of research on technologies: the renewal of perceptual organisation in order to create new movement scores.

But that is not all. This same dynamic brings changes, and thus the creation of different types of performative behaviour, such as modifications of performative stage projection that I tested according to principles of diffraction and molecularisation I have developed. My research shows that technologies should renew the experience of corporeality. So, by working on the dimension of the sound body, one intervenes on a process of sensorial renewal that must be accompanied by working on movement and on the process of performative projection. Further, this type of sensorial renewal can only be achieved through constant practice over a period of time.

In addition, working on the collective body and the sound body, the effects of destabilisation are combined, which has the effect of accentuating them. Performative forms that emerged were complex and unpredictable because they arose from interaction itself: from the five bodies exploring variations in pressure and pulse and from the creation of sound in real-time that acts on the rhythmic dimension of the
performance and the choreographic structure and gesture which compose it. Subjected
to this unusual creative tension of a gravitational and rhythmic nature, performers
develop new adaptive methods. For example, Suquet (2006) notes that contact
improvisation places dancers in a situation of being 'thrown into spatial configurations
where verticality is no longer dependent on movement. In these situations of rapid
disorientation, a blackout of vigilant consciousness occurs, and reflexes take over'
(2006, p.425). This is a strategy where a loss of bearings is produced.

Inspired by concepts underpinning Paxton's contact improvisation and his tactile
body, exteroceptors also played an important role in the elaboration of strategies applied
to the physical and mediated collective body. Exteroceptors are neuronal structures in
the skin (récepteurs cutanés) (see Fig. 20) or mucous membranes. Their role is to
capture stimuli from the external environment. They are stimulated and in turn cause
changes in physicality. It should be recalled that in my research, technology is
conceived as an environment that is in constant flux. Berthoz explains that the skin
'contains numerous receptors sensitive to different aspects of contact with the outside
world' (Berthoz 1997). While certain receivers are only sensitive to caresses, others
detect signs of pressure, intensity (light, sound, et caetera) and heat. They can also be
sensitive to the manifestation of a mediated body in the form of sound (sensed as
vibration, or eventually as particles of light). This topic is broached in accounts by
Enrico Pitozzi to follow\textsuperscript{269}.

Pitozzi (2010b) was notably able to observe, during the last phase of the work,
the process of integrative organisation between these different bodies — flesh and
sound — and the effect of sound on the body of the performer:

\textsuperscript{269} This article is provided in Appendix 8.2.6 in the original language, English.
around the capturing of the sound of five different dancers that – composing their movement in the space of action like a real sound mass – produced what we could define a collective resounding body, inside which the dancers could feel a shared sensorial experience, on the level of movement and on the level of sound production in real time. The elaboration of a soundscape responds to the mass-movement of the performer on stage, of an intense graininess that seems like a cloud of sound, a dense and articulated atmosphere of sound particles. […] The 'resounding body' is, in other words, an emanation, a dilation of the real body into the shape of sound; it is a vibration. The body becomes an eardrum, a resonator of sensorial dimensions that becomes scenic sonority. Therefore the body is almost molecularised, subdivised into elementary particles and recomposed in the shape of sound. Once again we are outside a purely logical formality of gazing at the body: the intensities – its articulation in movement –particles – are at the same time part of the choreographic and research work on sound thanks to the alteration and modification of different frequencies (original translation).

The strategies of destabilisation that I experimented with through the simultaneous composition of a collective physical body and collective sound body, led to conscious and unconscious levels of organisation that determined not only the emergence of other types of movement, but also of other types of performative behaviour. I developed 'strategies of coexistence' outlined in Sections 1.8.2 on empathy and also referred to Merleau-Ponty's theories concerning different modalities of sensory reception in the final stages of my practical research. I present here other observations by Pitozzi (2010b), on the effects he was able to observe during the final phase of research being studied in this thesis.

Pitozzi defends the idea of 'tactile vision' which also synthesises my conclusions on the tactile aspect of the physiological learning process involved in my research. During the last phase of the work, the audience was situated a half metre away from the carnal collective body. According to Pitozzi, this proximity induced, in the body of the spectator, a 'tactile vision' for the spectator. The spectator is immersed in a living form, he/she is in the form - in the collective body - and in contact with the intensities that animate and underpin it. The spectator is also in this flesh because of sound sensed as vibratory particles that move around him/her, that traverse his/her body and space, with a destabilising effect. According to Pitozzi, combining the effect of the collective physical body and the collective sound body creates a form of audio-tactile perceptual
reception for spectators. This effect corroborates Merleau-Ponty's intersensory chiasm and Berthoz's (1997) theories on the skin's receptors or those of Suquet and Berthoz on the complementarity of vision with touch:

We can speak therefore of a tactile aspect of the working of sound. The sound elaborated according to the strategy of molecularisation and spatial disposition operates with very high and very low frequencies and tends to articulate itself through a continuous wavelength that installs a constant relationship with the bodies that are listening. This proximity between the body of the performer (visibly and auditorily) does not however take the audience into a situation of extreme sound: the communication passes because of the different chromatic levels of sound, different levels of vibration. The spectator is therefore immersed in the continuous vibration, of a segment of sound as a gesture, and it is on this scale of variations that the attention must fall. What is being affirmed is that the parameters that the spectator must put into action are not simply optical-visual or auditory-sound, but the process of composition of the choreographer Isabelle Choinière requires a synaesthetic glance and mode of listening, an active and contemporary relationship of the senses. It's necessary to configure the bodies in another way in order to receive these signals. It isn’t enough to have eyes to see the invisible or to have ears to hear the inaudible (Pitozzi 2010b, original translation).

In observing the influence between performer and spectator, Pitozzi accounts for the synaesthetic effect produced which resembles the effect of Merleau-Ponty's second intersensory chiasm and that also reflects the third parasensorial chiasm and the phenomenon of intersubjectivity of which Merleau-Ponty speaks. According to Fraleigh, '[d]ance is not completed as art until it enters an intersubjective field' (1987, p.23). She further notes, 'The dance begins and ends in lived time and immediate perception' (1987, p.48). Let us now look at the concept of empathy, which is partly responsible for this influence as a strategy of communication, and also characterises the collective physical and sound bodies.

**Study #2**

**The choice of dancers**

The choice of dancers became clearer for Study #2 as I had noted the limitations of the former group of dancers with respect to being involved in such a process of research. I chose dancers with:

---

270 When Pitozzi (2010b) speaks of molecularisation, he refers to the sound body I have created, therefore of the carnal body that is molecularised and recomposed as vibratory particles of an auditive nature.
- a good ability to execute floorwork (traditional workouts - ballet and contemporary - mostly using the vertical axis of the body in movement);
- training in the technique of contact improvisation;
- ease in dancing naked (with only a g-string);
- an interest in investing in research involving technology.

**Study #3**

**The choice of dancers**

The choice of dancers became still clearer following Study #2. I began to think about incorporating more of the somatic techniques I had learned as well as the kinaesthetic and proprioceptive tools that I had developed during my training and career. I was looking for dancers who had developed what I had acquired. I also realised there were more prerequisites for this work. I chose dancers who:

- had a good ability to execute floorwork as well as those who learned the contact improvisation technique;
- who were willing to dance naked (with only a G-string);
- and who had an interest in investing in research involving technology.

But I also added the following conditions:

- the capacity to work in extreme physical proximity;
- the capacity to invest in a research process that required reflection and a conceptual form of participation of the dancer;
- a desire to invest in research involving gestural and performative codes other than those which are transmitted and learned in current training (schools);
- training in somatic practices (alternative forms of training);
- training in introspective techniques;
- experience with vocal techniques or with the production of sound in real-time (with or without technology);
- creative abilities developed within a connective and integrative context (ie. in all subject areas).

The audition took into account all these registers and an interview finalised my choices. As a prerequisite for the audition, I asked all candidates to look at a videoclip on Internet of the first section of the choreography.

**Evolution and progression from Study #2 to Study #3**

The dancers in France were able to become involved on a conceptual level and this gave me the tools to invest in more performative forms such as in the first section, as these dancers lent themselves to these experiments. The french dancers all had the necessary references to anchor themselves in such work (Deleuze, Bacon, references to the nude in the history of art and other references). This was important for my approach and of great value. It also highlights a key characteristic of strong dancers. The dancer becomes part of the research team. I work with this capacity to develop a conceptual level with dancers. As a result, a dancer can invest his/her body in an evolutionary process following my instructions and also be able to understand and to think about changes occurring in their bodies.

I was also able to push vocal work in real-time further in relation to the creation of gesture with this group of dancers because they all had had a certain level of experience in vocal techniques and interdisciplinary work.

This said, the problem I encountered with the dancers hired in Paris was that they had little training in contact improvisation. According to European sources, this
technique is mainly taught in North America and Italy. It limited their ability to manage
gravity displacement (weight) in relation to other dancers, so it thus limited exploration
in the first section. Also, these dancers had a difficult relationship with proximity and
intercorporeality. These two problems blocked the development of the second part of
the performative study (the working title of this section was *Legs*), even if this section
was to evolve owing to the time that we had to devote to it and due to a better
understanding of the principles of deheirarchisation and self-organisation. An evolution
of the second section between Studies #2 and #3 occurred, but it was not major
compared to that of the first section.

**Evidence – comments by the dancers**

Here is dancer Agnès Guy's account of the process, where she makes reference
to personal readings and research before engaging in the project:

**Video : File Sans titre6-2.mov : Agnès Guy interview**

**Video 16: 04 :44 – 05 :08 – Agnès Guy**

Before starting this work, I had discovered Deleuze's *Logic of Sensation* in relation to Bacon.
And therefore on Bacon, there is the whole idea of the body's deheirarchisation and mass of
flesh. So in his paintings, one really finds what you can feel in this creation, truly...

**Video 17: 06 :07 – 07 :17 – Agnès Guy**

Also, at the very beginning when we start, we really feel like, and it's not pretentious to say, a
work of art. It feels like being a sculpture, something in three dimensions, but this impression of
being in 3D, it also comes from below because we are part of the floor. Regarding the fact that
the public is seated all around the performance space, you live, as a performer, a three-
dimensional projection of the collective body. And there is also the *Ring* - the spatialisation
system - which on the level of the music is all around us, so we really project in 3D. This
performative situation is very different to any other work that I or the other dancers have
experienced before, where things don't happen like that - laterally - and where attention is only
given in one direction. You know that it is only in that direction you present yourself. Here, you
live a kind of emergence of the body, it goes in all directions from the bottom upwards, also
because it really comes from the floor. You belong to the floor in this work. And there is also the
*Ring*, which echoes our sounds, our breaths, other sounds that go through there, which return
there, and it is always in 3D.

---

Quotation have approval to be used

___________________________
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Study #2

My involvement

Having past experience as a soloist, I have personally experienced sensory-perceptual changes in my body. I thus see myself as an important source of information for other dancers. Personal experimentation with these new situations of sensory and perceptual destabilisation allowed me to build new references that I could quickly share with the four other dancers who did not have my experience with somatic practices and contact improvisation and of conditions where the moving body is in constant contact with a technological environment. I had the capacity to quickly adapt to and identify these changes given the experience I had gained since 1994. I thus made the choice to be part of the group of five dancers.

There was nevertheless a problem involved with this choice. In the past, as a soloist or as part of a telematic duo, it was mainly I who directed the creation from the outstart and from the point of view of the experience of the moving body immersed in technology: from inside the stage device. It constitutes an experiential point of view and is thus connected to a phenomenological perspective. I did not often position myself from outside. An outside point of view (in the form of video recordings) was adopted for the overall composition, but in fact, it was not very efficient. I have always considered this information as inadequate because video recordings lack the phenomenological and physiological information one can live with sensory-perceptual destabilisation related to technology when it is approached as an environment. So this information was used sparingly and only as a minor complement to experiential and physiological information.
In this phase of creation, given that the choreographic approach dealt with five dancers considered as a three-dimensional sculpture and the approach to space with sound was being processed as a 'sixth dancer', directing from an inside point of view was no longer possible: I also had to direct from the outside. Additionally, with choreographic space including the collective physical body and mediated body in real-time and also the rapport with the audience with spectators situated around the performance space and not in a frontal rapport, the complexity of the composition was too great.

Further, as I wanted to expand my relationship with technology in the new synaesthetic way I was experimenting with, I had to position myself outside the work in order to understand the link between the dancers' production of sound in real-time and the performative composition. In other words, I had to learn how to use the information lived and felt from the inside as a main reference, while remaining outside the group of dancers and on the edge of the stage installation. This became a way of reinvesting in the phenomenological experience otherwise and of finding in what I was perceiving and feeling from outside, the interior space of resonance.

As I had to position myself mainly on the outside, I composed this phase of the project with only four dancers because I often had to remove myself from the group. This created another problem when I had to reintegrate the performative structure as the fifth dancer.... I only understood this dynamic at the end of the residency. It was extremely problematic and slowed down the work process somewhat.
Study #3

My involvement

I was aware of the importance of personally living the sensory-perceptual changes that come with strategies of destabilisation. So I chose one of the dancers (Charlotte Lafaure), telling her I would replace her from time to time. This choice was interesting, but the lack of resources (rehearsal time and money) was a problem. Another problem I encountered was that when I reintegrated in the carnal collective body, no one could play my role as director and thus direct me as well. I lacked an outside eye, someone with the same perceptual capacities to direct me in these explorations. So I sometimes stepped in for the dancer, but was forced, for the most part, to direct from the outside. Even if I became involved in the process of kinaesthetic and proprioceptive change, I had to work by memory and past experience. This solution was useful although it was not sufficient to glean all the necessary information.

Evolution and progression from Study #2 to Study #3

Having five dancers, and also a replacement in Study #3 advanced my understanding of the research regarding its needs on both experiential and conceptual levels. The need to compensate for not being able to experiment things with my own body on a regular basis was resolved by having regular conversations or interviews with the dancers. This process began to be implemented in Study #3 during the residency in Grenoble when we all lived together in a flat. We thus had the time and opportunity to discuss, often in the evening when dining. These discussions were spontaneous and were not planned, but they were very interesting and guided me in parts of my research. Being spontaneous, these conversations were not documented. Only a few interviews were conducted at the end of the residency and are integrated as evidence in this thesis.
Kinaesthetic and choreographic choices

The choreographic sections that were worked on and developed in Studies # 2 and # 3 constitute the first section (working title *Morph*) and one of the last sections (working title *Legs*) which I call the second section. The other sections will not be discussed as they were not worked on in these phases of research.

Study #2

Introduction

I began with material from Study # 1 to work on Study # 2. It served as my choreographic base. I will not discuss Study # 1 in this thesis. However, I want to emphasise the fact that Study # 1 was developed around the theme of eroticism. A report on this topic was made in April 2007 and included in Section 3.5 of RDC2. It is presented here in Appendix 8.4.2. The theme of eroticism was completely abandoned in Studies # 2 and # 3 in favour of an approach highlighting the self-organisation of the moving body, deheirarchisation and strategies of sensory and perceptual destabilisation. Exploration of three-dimensionality explored during Study # 1, helped me to develop research on performative spatial organisation, as much for the physical collective body as for the mediated body.

First section

Video: File Meat Paradoxe-Phase 2 Enghien – Nov. 2007

Video 18: First section 0:00 – 2:40 / beginning of the transition 2:41 - 3:39

*This first section is key to the entire research.*
I began with the spatial arrangement of the first section of Study #1 where the performers were arranged in a circle, with their heads lying on the abdomens of other dancers to form a circle with an open space in the centre. This section was still underdeveloped in this phase, but it marked a radical change of direction in my research. This change came about following a meeting with two artistic advisors, Armando Menicacci and Emanuele Quinz, who came to a rehearsal of Study #2 near the end of the residency at Enghien-les-Bains in November 2006. Following a discussion where I outlined the goals of the research, they introduced me to the principle of self-organisation of the moving body based on the theories of Laban and Bernard. If these elements were already present in my practice in an intuitive way through somatic techniques I had learned, especially through Bartenieff Fundamentals, the fact remains that this meeting made me aware of this principle and as a result, I was better able to integrate it in the project from that point on. Pertinent elements of Laban's and Bernard's theories as well as the somatic aspects involved in the project were presented in Chapter 1, Sections 1.3, 1.8.3, 1.8.4, 2.5, 5.3.1. These elements were in line with the development of the collective body and with interconnected principles in the performative structure (see Appendix 8.4.1 for previous research concerning somatic practices).
Description

The five dancers' bodies are seen lying on the ground. They are interlocked. Two groups of two dancers are arranged close to one another. These groups are touching. A quartet is formed and another dancer stays at a distance but is in contact with the quartet with her legs and arms. This dancer is the first to move. She initiates movement. Movement is very slow. This slowness is intentional.

Movement principles / the principle of physical space

I then changed the spatial arrangement of the dancers to place them in more contact with one another. Four of the dancers were, for the most part, one on top of the other. Arms, hands, pelvis and legs were mostly used. The displacement of the weight of one person entailed a partial or total displacement of the other. The rule was to not let space form between the body parts in contact (for example, the arm of one person in contact with the legs of another, et caetera).

Another important rule concerned the management of hand contact with different surfaces: the hand was never to leave the surface of contact, so it had to move from one surface of contact to another (such as a body part of the dancer, the bodies of others, or the floor). An exploration of different types of touch was made (full-hand touch like a massage, barely touching surfaces, touching the floor, the floor being approached as another person, another skin surface, et caetera). A hand could lead the rest of the arm, causing the shoulder to move and thus initiating movement, et caetera. In this section, the legs and arms were the main body parts moving and, in this early exploration, involved gesture interconnected with others.
The structure of movement was based on a principle of self-organisation (with the dancer therefore never completely knowing or being able to predict the path of movement, because it was always in relation to the movement of others). However, at the time, this principle had just been discovered. It was explored through directed improvisations and was only applied at the beginning of the section. But already, looking at this section, the impression was given of bodies that were flowing like lava one through the other.

Another rule was to hide one's head as much as possible in order to eclipse the dancers' identity. Their heads were already covered with black turbans to support and hide the wireless transmitters. This choice was a good one because it allowed for their heads to remain hidden as they melted into the black stage environment. This strategy brought attention to the performative body and not to the individual dancers. I was trying to create a mass in which one could not distinguish who was who.

A principle of dehierarchisation also began to be explored. As in the work of Hans Bellmer or Maria Donata D'Urso, this meant that moving parts of the body became confused and did not play their conventional roles (refer to pictures in Section 5.2). For example, movement in space was directed by the elbow, or by the knee, or by the head. In this study, hands were used extensively to initiate and lead movement. This had the effect of initiating movement differently and demanded of the dancers a greater level of bodily awareness, as gestural chains and references began to change.

**Study #2**

**Specific techniques used for the development of this section**
No specific technique other than those already mentioned (contact improvisation, *et caetera*) were used. These principles were developed in the last two days of the residency and were still in an experimental form. Principles of contact improvisation are presented in Chapter 5, Section 5.3.1.

**Study #3**

**Video: File sans titre3-son 1.mov**

Video 19: **First section 0:42 –/ beginning of the transition 7:47 - 8:08**

**Video : File sans titre6-son 3.mov**

Video 20: **First section 0:37 –/ beginning of the transition 7:52 - 8:10**

**Description**

The five dancers' bodies are seen lying on the ground. They are interlocked. The five bodies are nested as tightly as possible one in the other. They constitute a single group of bodies. Movement is very slow. This slowness is intentional. Slowness as a strategy of sensory-perceptual destabilisation is developed at the end of this section.

**Principles of movement / Principle of physical space**

I again changed the spatial arrangement of the dancers to put them in more contact with one another and in a situation of absolutely having to move one with respect to the others. A specific somatic technique was used for this that is described in the following sub-section. The five dancers were, for the most part, one on top of another. In addition to arms, hands, pelvises and legs, torsos were exploited. The breathing of one person initiated the movement of another, but more importantly, it provoked bodily communication with the other bodies and therefore intercorporeality,
again referencing the theories of Merleau-Ponty. The displacement of weight of one person produced a partial or total displacement of the others. The rule was to avoid creating space between the body parts in contact (for example: a torso in contact with the torso or arms of another, *et caetera*), but this rule was enforced much more later on in this study than in Study # 2. The entire body was involved rather than the arms and legs alone.

The rule concerning hand contact with different surfaces was maintained, but was taken further, because we were able to spend more time on it. Exploration of different types of touch was ongoing (full-hand touch like a massage, lightly touching surfaces, touching the floor, the floor approached as another person, another skin surface, *et caetera*), but it was also taken further as we had more time to work on it. The hand could lead the rest of the arm, causing the shoulder to move, involving movement of the elbow in space, and thus initiating movement, *et caetera*. In this phase of exploration, the torso, legs and arms moved, involving gesture that was even more interconnected with others. Gesture was worked in such a way that it was dependent on and in interaction with the gesture of the other dancers as much as possible.

The structure of movement was still based on a principle of self-organisation, but in this study, was carried further. We worked with greater awareness of the body from within, through sensation, and explored the principle of sensory autonomy described at the beginning of this chapter. This fine-tuned awareness then guided the gestural exploration that also depended on the interrelationship of weight distribution amongst five bodies. It was further linked to their 'collective' body spasms and to the interconnection of movement between one body part and others. Much time was spent in rehearsal on this process. I directed the structuring of weight displacement through
sensory improvisations and principles of self-organisation. However, as these dancers all had basic training in contact improvisation, we were further able to explore the principle of gravitational movement with the whole body and also, with variations in space. Their relationship to the floor and to the bodies of other dancers – as a surface of stable contact or when moving – was researched and took, as one of its bases, an exercise from Bartenieff Fundamentals I had learned. It was applied here through verbal instructions to direct the dancers in their awareness of this support and its implications for investing in movement.

The rule concerning hiding the head was maintained and now constituted the basis for one of the expressions of performative gesture.

The principle of dehierarchisation continued to be explored, but was developed much further as we could spend more time in rehearsal on it. For example, movement of the breath directed down the back could produce movement in the shoulder blades and then in the shoulder. Alternatively, the shoulder might lead to the elbow shifting in space and then lead to a hand movement. Or else the movement of the knee in space could push the hand, or cause the torso of another dancer to move. Or further, the elbow...
could push a part of another body by infiltrating one of the hollow spaces of the collective body, provoking a chain of movement in different parts of the collective body. By the same token, we found that the principle of dehierarchisation led to another strategy of rhythmic change. The shifts and physical intertwinings I have just mentioned led to a principle of constant gestural and bodily recomposition.

**Study #3**

**Specific techniques used for the development of this section**

A technique from somatic practices was also used in the first steps of training the dancers. This exercise formed the basis of the technique of bodily communication I was working with. It was what led me to invest in intercorporeality, parallel to my research on Merleau-Ponty. It consists of a principle of sending the movement of one's breath into a part of the body other than the chest or abdomen, such as the neck, the tailbone, the shoulder, the top of the back. This creates the sensation of 'breathing' into another part of the body, of investing another part of the body through breath. Movement linked to breath and responding to the breath of other dancers was what facilitated bodily communication amongst them. It was also the means of building sensation as a basis for collective movement, thus the basic method of the collective body. It was also this technique that enabled the dissolution of surface leading to psycho-intercorporeality that I was experimenting with.

Two videos document this technique:

**Step 1 – Sending the movement of one's breath into a part of the body**

**Video: File Ex.Somatique 1.0**

Video 21: 00:49 – 1:20 – Dancers

---

271 The notion of a 'dissolution of the psychocorporal surface' is discussed in Sections 2.8.2., 5.3. and 5.3.1.
Video 22: 2:50 – 3:01 – Dancers
Video 23: 3:15 – 3:36 – Dancers
Video 25: 4:35 – 5:27 – Dancers

**Video: File Ex.Somatique 1.1**

Video 26: 00:27 – 1:04 – Dancers
Video 27: 2:30 – 2:47 – Dancers
Video 28: 3:24 – 3:35 – Dancers
Video 29: 5:46 – 6:03 – Dancers

**Step 2** – Responding to the breath of other dancers enabled bodily communication amongst them.

**Video: File Ex.Somatique 1.2**

Video 30: 00:10 – 00:48 – Dancers
Video 31: 01:03 – 01:35 – Dancers
Video 32: 02:04 – 02:38 – Dancers
Video 33: 02:53 – 03:32 – Dancers
Video 34: 03:38 – 04:43 – Dancers
Video 35: 05:59 – 06:23 – Dancers

Application in the collective carnal body as a principle of corporal communication

**Video: File sans titre 3-1-son 2.mov**

Video 36: First section (breath and the principle of dehierarchisation) 1:50 – 5:20

**Video: File sans titre 5-1-son 3.mov**

Video 37: First section (breath and the principle of dehierarchisation) 2:21 – 5:15

**Evolution and progression from Study #2 to Study #3**

Gravitational displacement was one of the major advances in this phase of the project.
An example of gravitational displacement by three dancers in three-dimensional space.

**Video:** File Sans titre 6-son 3.mov

**Video 38:** First section 4:54 – 6:16

In Study # 3, apart from the requirement of dancers having to master the technique of contact improvisation (although this problem was also experienced by dancers in Study # 2, but to lesser degree), a problem of concentration also arose. In the technique that I developed, focused concentration on bodily changes (one has to reach a state of 'emptiness' close to the state of mediation), thus on changes of sensation and bodily perception was necessary. In Study # 3, dancers had a better capacity to concentrate than in Study # 2 in which the dancers' concentration and level of proprioceptive and kinaesthetic appropriation were more limited. As I became more aware of the importance of mastering a technique of introspection during Study # 3, I realised that my demands on this point would only increase.

**Evidence - comments by the dancers**

In support of this experimentation, here is Agnès Guy's account in which she speaks of her phenomenological experience of the collective body from a physical point of view. The principle of risk-taking I developed is presented in Sections 1.5.1., 2.8 and 4.3. It is linked to the notion of emptiness and the dissolution of psycho-corporal borders, two states that were necessary for achieving intercorporeality. My strategy of connectivity (following the concept of the interval) as an activator of intercorporeality is presented in Chapter 2, Sections 2.8 and 2.9. I would also like to clarify that if I have mainly included Agnès Guy's accounts, it is because they are clear and articulate.

---

272 Dancer in Study # 3.
Difficulty in verbalising sensory and perceptual changes is a common problem for dancers. It was a real obstacle that I encountered:

**Video: File Sans titre6-2.mov: interview with Agnès Guy**

**Video 39: 01:25 – 02:06 – Agnès Guy**

In fact, I especially want to speak about bodies such as we experienced them, as a pile of flesh. There is a moment when there is really a unique body that appears. There is all this mass, all these folds and all this skin and all this flesh. Which is pretty impressive at the beginning of the choreography, in this mass and in this pile of flesh to which I belong, which is what we become, where we actually become a real collective body. We no longer think of everything in relation to individual members, in relation to a hierarchy that can exist in our body or in everyday life. We are really a heap like this, breathing together.

**Video 40: 02:10 – 02:17 – Agnès Guy**

In addition, the *Ring* - the software used for spatialisation - allows us to feel every breath we emit. And so the breath of others becomes our own breath.

**Video 41: 02:59 – 03:59 – Agnès Guy**

So what I'm saying is that there really is an impression that is being created: my hand is no longer my hand, my foot is no longer my foot, my breath is no longer my breath. We are five individuals of course, but that feeling really exists within us. That is to say, when I fill in a space there may be between us, I touch my skin as if it were someone else's, as if it is another dancer's. We try, as soon as something moves, to be in a movement together. I don't know how to say it, but there is a unicity that is created, a true unicity. And it is a bit difficult to explain in words...

**Video 42: 05:09 – 05:54 – Agnès Guy**

Also, when another body touches mine, which goes into my flesh, or another breath than mine comes to my ears, it becomes mine, it belongs to me. So we have the feeling we are one, we are not five, but we are really one. It is as if a kind of membrane surrounded us. We feel like a cell, as if a membrane surrounds us, even when we are going to separate, we always feel there is this membrane that is there. So as soon as one person moves, she influences the others. It really is like one body: if I move here, it will pull on a shoulder over, it is is the same thing.

In this section, two strategies were specifically cited, namely, those of slowness and the plurality of time-space. They in fact derive from a multitude of insights regarding diverse spatialisations and durations in the body and between bodies which were applied as performative strategies in the context of collective physical, mediated and carnal body. An analysis of these strategies follows.
Slowness as an appropriation of proprioceptive changes in time-space

Another strategy of destabilisation linked to my strategies for appropriating kinaesthetic changes consisted of exploring a new type of temporality, particularly with respect to movement. I was also looking for a certain slowness, to the point of immobility if necessary, to create the impression that time had stopped or was suspended. This process was part of my work within a broader strategy of 'de-heirarchisation' and the self-organisation of sensory and perceptual bearings. It offered another means to organise the body in movement, to include it within a flux of more precise and composite movement derived from contact and separation, but also in which individual bodies lost their specificity.

What I was interested in was installing another kind of temporality; a collective one. Because it is not linked to a single body and thus to the articulation of movement executed by a single dancer, but rather to the construction and relationship of movements and temporalities that are completely different from one another and that can either work in unison or be diversified through meeting and splitting, this form of temporality is related to a mode of bodily self-organisation inspired by Trisha Brown’s strategy of transitory movement and a radicalisation of Steve Paxton’s tactile body. However, for my work, I pushed Paxton’s techniques to a point of almost hypnotic obsession from the point of view of contact and gravitational changes. Briefly, I was placing different temporalities in resonance in the same process of moving.

This process can be acquired during, or because of, a certain experience with technology. It can also be described as allowing for a renewal of trajectories in the matter of the body, of sound, and of space: trajectories that I trace in these different

273 Written in collaboration with Enrico Pitozzi during an interview composed of emails (2009a, 2009b).
elements which are interrelated in my work. What I am evoking is a capacity to understand and listen to every corporal and sensory transition and transformation that technologies allow one to develop and this, at different stages of intervention. Once again, it can be summed up as a work of sensory organisation, thus of kinesthesis. After listening to and learning from movement from within the body, this information must additionally be exteriorised and transmitted to the spectator. Here enters the principle and pertinence of Merleau-Ponty's three sensory chiasms, in the expression and relationship of the body and the world (interior and exterior) as well as of intercorporeality. This work of listening and sensory learning also echoes the somatic principles I used as strategies in my research project.

The strategy of bodily spasms - towards a strategy of empathy and intercorporeality

Study #2

Body Rhythms

I also asked the dancers to explore different rhythms in their bodies. This led us to develop 'spasms': very short movements of contraction occurring in a part of the body. The underlying idea was to develop rhythms that could be linked to the structure of interconnection, thus rhythms constructed through the interrelationship of different bodies in movement. In the video documentation, this principle is shown in its infancy when it had just been discovered.

Video: File Meat Paradoxe-Phase 2 Enghien – Nov. 2007

Video 43: 0:24 – 0:29 (two spasms, one dancer reacting to that of another)

Video 44: 1:40 – 1:45 (arm spasm provoking a spasm in the knee of the other dancer)
**Study #3**

**Bodily Rhythms**

The exploratory process on 'spasms' was continued. Given that the principles of interconnection (intercorporeality) had become clearer (thanks to the breathing technique described above and a better understanding of the principles of interrelated and unhierarchical movement), we were able to work on a principle and rhythms of 'spasms' passing from one dancer's body to another.

**Video: File sans titre3-son 1.mov**

- **Video 45:** 2:11 – 2:17 (several spasms propagating in different dancers' bodies)
- **Video 46:** 2:30 – 2:33 (same phenomenon)
- **Video 47:** 2:56 – 2:58 (same phenomenon)
- **Video 48:** 4:27 – 4:31 (same phenomenon)
- **Video 49:** 5:20 – 5:24 (same phenomenon, but in a movement investing a slight verticality)
- **Video 50:** 5:55 – 5:58 (same phenomenon, but in a movement investing a slight verticality)

**Studies #2 and #3**

**Specific techniques used for the development of this section**

No specific technique other than those already mentioned (contact improvisation, development of sensorial autonomy, augmented rest, et caetera) was used.

Concerning Study #2, these principles were discovered in the last two days of the residency.
Development and progression from Study # 2 to Study # 3

The progression of work on body rhythms was significant between Studies # 2 and # 3.

Training for the transit of spasms with body parts in direct contact with other dancers constituted the first step in understanding this principle of 'collective rhythm' I had started in Study # 2 and that became more complex in Study # 3. In Study # 3, spasms could spread not only to another dancer's body, but could also occur in a body part that was not in direct contact with another dancer. With amplified sound (which was always linked to their movement), the dancers were able to perceive each others' rhythms even though they might not in direct physical contact. This result was interesting because it became a tool with which I was able to build awareness of intercorporeality.

Evidence - commentary by members of the Observatory

Louise Boisclair delivers an account of how spasms, as an empathic physiological strategy, participate in the construction of intercorporeality, and further, to her involvement in an empathetic dimension as spectator. Issues surrounding the strategy underpinning the 'collective spasm' were introduced in Chapter 5, Section 5.3.1. Boisclair's account only concerns choreographic research on the collective body at the beginning of Study # 3, so a pre-Study # 3 that was conducted without technology. Enrico Pitozzi's account of the empathic dimension follows.

Video: File 09-DVC C9 Observatory 01

Video 51: 33:07 – 35:40 - Louise Boisclair - pre-Phase # 3

In your methodology, you ask us if we can check and see if the work of dancers connects them together and spreads to include the spectator. In my view, the example I have is the pre-Study # 3 when I went to the dance studio. I attended this research phase in September 2007, in a small studio, with five dancers, Isabelle you were not dancing, you watched them. At that moment, I was sitting on a couch and watched the dancers, while thinking about another presentation that I had seen in the Hexagram studio (Study # 2). There was no sound this time, there was nothing. There were just bodies. And Isabelle you said: 'Let's start, and note that the dancers are not really
accustomed to the process'. What was extremely present and which corresponds to the cannibalization of gesture, was the fact that I was there, I was a spectator, and the dancers began. At a certain point, I felt bursts, dancers touching each other and there were bursts (collective spasms). There had been no bursts in what I had seen at Hexagram. The bursting, for me, corresponds to: me, I jump because they are jumping. So the way I saw it seemed to come from a bodily connection - a little like a pendulum - that the movement of one generates in the other, and at some point causes a burst. I explain it in my rudimentary language with respect to a choreographic explanation. But I found it interesting and I found it new. Because I said to myself, I feel what I see as a spectator. So they managed to communicate that feeling of bursting.

Quotation have approval to be used

Video 52: 35:41 – 38:10 - Enrico Pitozzi – phase #2 and phase #3

I have a question in relation to this, because the ability to recognize in our own physicality what we are viewing is, in my opinion, always present. So for me, this issue should be revisited or reconsidered. Because it is not a question of understanding whether or not there is a relationship with the spectator, because the relationship is always present, but rather to understand on what level we experience this relationship. We must also ask the question of on what level there is a change in the spectator. Because when one says: I was aware there was an empathetic relationship with the stage (the dancers), I specify that it was only at that particular moment that you were aware of it. Your physicality is always related. You can be aware of it or you may not be aware of it, but it is always there. You become aware of it because the degree of connection becomes very strong. A lot of energy passes. You're always in the process of recognizing the movement the other person is executing, but there is a level of intensity in this connection, so that is the key to everything. We must think according to the level, according to the degree, etc. So I suggest you ask the question differently, and not ask if there is a change in the spectator, because the question of change is already in place, but rather to ask on what level this intensity is situated, on what level change is situated. So what is the level of intensity the dancer must achieve in order to produce change in the spectator.

Quotation have approval to be used

Video 53: 38:11 – 38:14 - Isabelle Choinière

I think it is the level of connection that is necessary to reach in order for it to have an impact on the spectator.

Video 54: 38:15 – 38:17 - Enrico Pitozzi

And thus the question of what kind of impact there must be.

Video 55: 38:17 – 38:08 - Louise Boisclair

So yes, I think it was what Enrico said. But as a spectator, I don't really care in the end, because what is projected is what I capture. But what I think Enrico is speaking about is how the composition can work to produce these effects. It is thus a mode of organizing the spectator's attention. In my opinion, this is what gets interesting because I, I perceived it because I was present to what I was viewing, and something happened and I captured it. What Enrico is saying, is how we can consciously produce it, technically, in the composition, so that these effects, that I picked up on at a certain point, can be reproduced, or considered reproductible.

Here follows a more theoretical analysis of these experiments.
Multirythmics as a new process of movement

To understand the complexity of this strategy, the work of Lygia Clark can be recalled. According to Clark, the collective body is an experience that is 'both personal and collective, as it is constantly connected to that of others within the same polynuclear structure' (Luz 1975; Rolnik & Diserens 2005). In this collective body, and more specifically as I develop it, the individual has the impression of being one and several at the same time through the 'dissolution of the surface, of psycho-corporal boundaries' which is one of the possible applications of the principle of risk-taking. Lévy's theories, presented in Sections 2.6 and 2.8.4 on real-time, propose an alteration of existing notions of time-space made possible with the advent of technology.

Looking at how I experimented with this concept on a physical level, it can be seen that I developed plural strategies of time and space — which are in fact multiple moments of awareness about various spatialisations and durations within and between bodies — which were applied to performative strategies in the context of collective physical and mediated body, and embody Merleau-Ponty's principle of coexistence in their meeting in the carnal collective body. In fact, multiple spaces and durations are made to coexist:

a) — the internal space of the performer;
b) — the mediated internal space of the performer;
c) — the space of 'vibratory intersubjectivity' — intercorporeality — between performers, between performers and the audience, between these two entities and the designer-conceptors;
d) — the perceptual space of different stage projections that are experienced as pulses of presence (in each articulation of the dancers' movement, in the collective body, in the
collective mediated body, in the articulation of movement depending on gravity that creates multirythmics in the collective physical and mediated body).

I also experimented with different temporalities through a strategy of multirythmics. This can be as subtle as those created by articulations, spasms and tremors related to the emission of sound in real-time, or more comprehensive with gravitational shifts that affect the entire structure of the collective body and that feed the collective mediated body in real-time. It involves a strategy of performative experience wherein several proximities and several spaces can coexist.

It also leads me to propose strategies forming a new type of nomadism, a system of 'vibrational intersubjectivities' which influence themselves, which are fed by each other and finally form interfaced intercorporeality. This gives shape to what I call the 'metaformance': a system of empathic, heterogeneous, multiple and mutant 'coexistence' that has as its foundation, a personal risk-taking, an openness to the state of fragility as defined by Rolnik, and a state of perpetual transformation as defined by Merleau-Ponty and resonating with the culture of the flow and the transitional proposed by Buci-Glucksmann.

**Second section**

**Video:** File Sans titre3-son1.mov

**Video 56:** **Second section 23 :12 – 24 :20**

This second section is mainly based on principles of dehierarchisation I was experimenting with.

**Study #2**

**Video:** File Meat Paradoxe-Phase 2 Enghien – Nov. 2007
Overview

Key positions of the legs were developed in Study # 1. They were based on certain positions (the legs only) in the *Kama Sutra*. I used this postural base as a starting point for the gestural study. No erotic content was intentionally kept. Only formal and gestural exploration was retained.

Description

What was under consideration in this section was mainly a group of four dancers.

Four of the dancers are lying on their backs. Each one has her pelvis in contact with another dancer. The direction of the torso varies (they can be in opposition). The fifth dancer is just beside the group. The four dancers have their legs in the air and mingle legs and arms. The lone dancer continues this exploration of gesture, but is separate. The movement is relatively slow.

Principles of movement

The principle of movement applied here is one of self-organisation and deheirarchisation. The rule was to move the legs, and after involve the arms. The dancers were to use the weight of their elongated legs to push the legs of the other dancers or 'pull' on a part of someone else's legs using either their ankles, feet or knees, forming a kind of 'hook' for example. Arms mingled with legs, as if they belonged together. The dancers were also instructed to let their legs go into the body of another dancer, for example, between the legs of another dancer in order to move her leg over the torso of the other dancer. Another rule was to make others' body parts move, but not
just those of the next dancer, but also those of a second or third dancer away. They could also touch themselves.

Similar rules for arm gestures were also involved. The dancers were also instructed to slightly involve the torso in twists. But this last rule was rarely integrated. Guidance for exploring different types of touch was given here. Movement in this section had the qualities of flames in a fire or of algae moving. The principle of movement involved had a very aerial quality based on the sensation I had experienced, after nearly four years of training in Bartenieff Fundamentals, when I was able to feel deep muscles (the psoase amongst others) moving the whole leg as in the femoral flexion-extension exercise.

In Study # 2, the dancers had trouble understanding the principle of self-organisation. To help them, I joined the group, so they could learn from my sensation, and in an empathic way, the connection and sensation they needed as a reference. As they were not able to (these dancers lacked 'introspective and sensate concentration'), I continued this process in Study # 3. In Study # 2, I was not able to devote much time to it.
One of the main orientations was to reproduce, on the level of the pelvis, a quality of 'opening' (a term related to the question of performative presence). That is why the dancers explored various openings of their individual body parts (legs and arms). This work on opening the pelvis was conducted in order to stimulate a greater involvement of the body, not simply of the torso, but also, with the pelvis-legs-feet. It also involved working on the three-dimensionality of the body (as in the first section).

**Study #3**

**Video: File Sans titre3-son1.mov**

**Video 58:** Second section 23:12 – 24:20

**Description**

The description of this section is the same as for Study # 2. Only a complexity of kinaesthetic organisation was increased.

**Principles of movement**

The principle of movement applied here still involved sensory self-organisation, augmented rest and deheirarchisation. However, this principle was explored in a more satisfactory way, as I had more time to spend on it and also, because the dancers in Study # 3 had a better understanding of it, even if they were less proficient in contact improvisation and somatic practices. Rules concerning the pelvis were maintained and I also asked the dancers to continue working on opening the pelvis.
Development and progression from Study # 2 to Study # 3

Having more time to devote to the principles of self-organisation and deheirarchisation led to the possibility of developing greater complexity with the organisation of gesture. As the dancers of Study # 3 were capable of investing themselves as 'researchers' on a physical and conceptual level, they were better equipped to invest in these principles and therefore, in performative gesture and presence.

5.3.3.3 Technological choices

The need for new tools

With my practical research for this thesis, my intention was to develop a discourse on corporality and the experiential (and not one that would diminish it). The chosen technologies were conceived and developed in order to create a truly mutant and mixed form of writing, thus allowing me access to all the necessary sound and kinaesthetic registers to generate music and movement in a situation of total interdependence.

It was only during this third creation that real tools enabling me to enhance corporality, through the creation of the sound body, amongst other things, were developed. Through the various stages of my research, I had been motivated by a strong desire to go beyond the instrumentalisation which technology often imposes on performers. I also had the firm intention to enrich the experience of corporality. Part of my formal training, somatic techniques were a springboard for the method I created. I was therefore working on modifying corporality.
When Study #2 of the project began at the University of Quebec in Montreal (U.Q.A.M.) and at the Centre des Arts at Enghien-les-Bains, France, I began developing the idea of synaesthesia through sound. Synaesthesia\textsuperscript{274} stems from the ancient Greek *syn*, 'together', and *aesthesis*, 'sensation'. It describes the phenomenon of experiencing several sensations at the same time, implying that the neurological system, stimulated by sensation, moves in the direction of experimenting with other senses simultaneously. The aim was to discover possible relationships between a collective body of performers and the creation of sound in real-time that might effectively allow me to avoid this causality. I thus began to develop the idea of the collective sound body. This process also aimed to generate a performative structure and artistic dynamic expressing the complexity and interconnectedness the world plunges us in today.

**Introduction**

I was looking for a technological system that would allow dancers to have complete freedom within their space. An environment that could be unrestricted for movement and for the spatial organisation of the dancers was needed.

The system would have to capture the kinaesthetic activity of five moving dancers who were in almost total physical contact. I wanted a system that could provide uniform sensitivity, that is to say, in which there would be no zones called 'triggers'. The system I desired had to be able to recognise and capture the dynamics of interrelated gesture. I also did not want the technology to be worn or visible on the dancers' bodies so that they might benefit from a full range of gestural and kinaesthetic opportunities. The presentation of my arguments regarding technological devices is presented in

\textsuperscript{274} Viewed 8 November 2014, online: http://synesthesia.prometheus.kai.ru/sinestes_{e}.htm
Historical overview

1. Research on existing tools

Research in collaboration with the Department of Interactive Music at McGill University, Montreal, provided a laboratory for exploration and testing, over a period of six months in 2006, of different strategies and existing tools for motion capture. In the light of the project's objectives, tools such as capacitive sensing, video sensing, infra-red sensors, accelerometers, et caetera, proved to be inadequate. For example, interactive systems based on video analysis were too light-sensitive and unable to distinguish between a single body and a mass of bodies in contact. Bodies had to be completely isolated.

Capacitive systems provide information about the dancer's body part that is closest to the sensor. Therefore, these systems do not give information relative to the complexity of the moving body that I required. No relationship with real physical activity I wanted to analyse was possible (for example, where an immobile hand could be close to the sensor while the rest of the body moves).

Finally, other technologies which require that sensors be placed and attached to the body were undesirable in this context, because they reduced gestural possibilities with their bulk and were visually distracting. I continued this same kind of investigation with L.A.N.T.I.S.S. - The Laboratory of New Technologies of Image, Sound and Stage - in Quebec City, and came to the same conclusions.
We also tested a prototype for floor contact (based on a principle of corporal conductivity between two or more bodies), but several problems arose with respect to the goals I set out to achieve. Firstly, this system was based on a principle of contact between dancers and the floor. During these tests, we also found out that contact between the dancers was not the component that could allow for a clear and legible kinaesthetic relationship between the dancers and media environment to be registered.

The floor had be made of aluminum (or another type of metal) and was not suited to the dancers' needs (it was cold, rigid, thus conducive to injury) nor to the needs of the scenography (we could not paint it because covering the metal decreased its conductivity and also, the metal created the impression of a flying saucer that the dancers named *Démétane*!). The biggest constraint however was that corporal conductivity was only effective if two hands touched. The system was unable to read conductivity between two legs or between two torsos for example. The system was tested during Study # 1 and, due to its inefficiency, abandoned thereafter.

2. The conception and testing of a new tool: the interactive sensory floor

We then thought of creating a sensitive interactive surface capable of meeting all our needs and goals. To create this surface, we tested several types of *piezo* microphones placed at the perimeter and in the centre of the performance space and on a wood dance floor, a suspended floor. The results of this test produced an extremely sensitive surface that could distinguish between the density of bodies, the dynamics of movement and the position of dancers in an interconnected relationship.

The use of *piezo* pickups allowed for the creation of audio information from the dancers' movement. Thus, we noted that space could become a *sound body* that could be
"played" by the dancers. The *piezos* detected the smallest vibration of the dancers' movement. With this floor, space could become a complex, hyper sensor because vibrations were perceived by several *piezo* pickups at the same time.

The result was an organic hyper system because the zones of vibration acted like a spider's web. Individual movement affected the general state of the system. The resulting sound from this system was very complex, rich and organic (we were aiming to go beyond a relation of cause and effect). The dancer *played with* space. Sound creation was immediate, in real and continuous time, and did not act like a trigger system or series of interactive buttons. In addition, the dancers could perceive the system in a bodily manner and not cerebrally. They entered into a kinaesthetic group relationship, as if the five bodies were a collective body, a unique and complex body. Because the system relied on floor vibrations, its resonance and surface textures were basic components in the system's functioning. High quality piezoelectric sensors were necessary (and were being studied) to refine the capture of floor vibrations and thereby, of the sensitivity and refinement of the entire system.

**3. Technical description of the interactive sensory floor**

The sound processing system was elaborated as follows:

- Piezoelectric sensors (piezo) were integrated in the dance floor to capture vibrations;
- Piezos were connected via a box with two analog inputs of two digital audio interfaces (RME Fireface 800);
- The sound signals captured by the piezo pickups were routed via the interface to the audio processing software (Ableton Live\textsuperscript{275});

\textsuperscript{275} Ableton Live software is an advanced digital studio processing system for real-time processing (www.ableton.com).
- The processed signals were then sent to the spatialisation software (ViMiC) which assigns different output channels of the interface;
- Analog interface outputs were connected to a sound system consisting of eight speakers and three subwoofers.

This chain of sound signal processing had several advantages:
- instant and rich movement-based audio response;
- the possibility of processing audio signals in real-time;
- the processing and routing of each sound source completely independently;
- no requirement of a sound console (reducing equipment for research abroad or in different halls).

The software ViMiC was developed by the Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Music Media and Technology (C.I.R.M.M.T.), a research group based at McGill University. ViMiC also proposed an alternative approach based on a system of virtual microphones. This virtual environment allowed me to place the microphones where I wanted, and to choose their orientation. The architectural system supporting ViMiC was designed to offer great flexibility in creating sound images. Through its graphic interface in 3D, ViMiC could also help to organise the choreography of the sound body.
We had planned to program a series of MAX / MSP patches to allow the software to communicate and pass on audio information from LIVE to ViMiC. We were hoping the chain of signals would allow for a rich and immediate audio response when dancers moved. These software programs were designed to allow us to work on moving perception via a psychoacoustic approach.

**Study #2**

**Problems encountered**

The main problem encountered was that the system only worked with a suspended wood floor. We had conducted initial tests in an exploratory residency at the Dance Department at l’*Université du Québec à Montréal (U.Q.A.M.)* in the summer of 2006. The system worked fairly well. I then had access to the offices of HEXAGRAM in September 2006, which were located in loft with a concrete floor. For the safety of the dancers and so as not to damage to their joints, we installed a carpet of thick foam
on the concrete floor. These were the type of mats used in gyms. We were surprised to find that all the sound vibrations were absorbed by the foam padding.... Our system thus no longer functioned... During our residency in *Enghien-les-Bains* in October and November 2006, the same situation occurred as the permanent room flooring was made of another type of foam that was even more compact. The *piezo* pickup system was abandoned in the early days of the residency at *Enghien-les-Bains* in Study # 2 because it did not work.

We therefore only used the microphone system worn by each dancer (camouflaged and held in place by a black turban on their heads). These microphones were connected via a wireless transmission system, to a sound card and computer. The digital processing software used for audio output in real-time from data received from the dancers was Ableton Live. In Study # 2, following this situation and from the first week on, we worked primarily on the voice and breathing of the dancers to explore another principle of sound synaesthesia.

Also, despite the promises of the software spatialisation program ViMiC (which was taken to the residency in *Enghien* - Study # 2), it never worked as it was still in development. So we could not use it. Consequently, no further exploration of sound spatialisation in Study # 2 took place because the software was not functional.

**Description of the system used**

Wireless microphones were hidden on the heads of the dancers. It was thus five microphones that captured the voice and breath of each dancer individually. The microphones were placed on the forehead and the required wireless transmission system...
camouflaged by the turbans. The system consisted of wireless microphones, an audio/digital interface (RME Fireface 800), a computer that was installed with the audio processing software (Ableton Live), and four speakers suspended from the ceiling of the room.

**Study #3**

**Technical description of the Ring, a spatialised sound software and real-time instrument for spatial composition**

For Study #3, we used the Ring software.

The Ring is a real-time multiphonic spatial instrument. Simple and autonomous, it has been used in performance, interactive and generative installations and studio spatial composition. Originally designed in 2002 as an instrument of live sound projection, The Ring evolved to allow for writing complex spatio-temporal scenes. Its graphic interface is based on a spatial representation of animated sound objects. The program defines spatio-temporal behaviour from sound captured in real-time and/or integrated prerecorded samples with normal digital audio tools. Antoine Schmitt (France) created the software, Olivier Koechlin (France) the material implementation, and Dominique Besson (France) specified the functional interface, directed testing and wrote the accompanying documentation.

In the form we experimented with, the Ring was implemented with a ring of eight identical speakers uniformly distributed in a circle and oriented concentrically. The audio projection system therefore operated on a horizontal plane and does not take into account a vertical dimension. The system was designed as a real-time instrument for projecting moving sources in a non-oriented (or non-frontal) virtual sound space, virtually infinite and without reflections. Here, it should be noted that although
spatialisation was possible in real-time, it was only possible under certain conditions such as moving a single point in space at a time and not, for example, treating the sound sources themselves (as with Ableton Live for example).

From the perspective of a single listener in the centre of the room, the Ring behaves like 'octophonic headphones' on a large scale. In a collective listening situation, the audience is positioned in the centre of the circle, but can turn in any direction or move around. The sound processing functioned by controlling the amplitude and phase of each source's contributions in each of the speakers, with the latter, according to Dominique Besson (2009), acting as microphones in virtual space and sources in real space. At that point in time, the spatial model could only take into account direct waves between each source and each speaker.

As mentioned, the graphic composition interface was mainly spatial (and not temporal as in classic editing suites). The system allowed for the animation of a certain number of mono or stereo sources as programmed paths (to and fro, in a circle...) or that were drawn freely by hand on a map of virtual space. The temporal sequencing was structured in scenes (presets) composed of sound objects that were programmed in their temporal and spatial iteration. The sequencing engine was thus based on the notion of autonomous objects with programmed temporal behaviour (through digital parameters of duration, over which some degree of randomness could be attributed).

A tool for editing trajectories, a graphic tablet, was used to introduce the concept of a spatial envelope, wherein the amplitude of sources could be controlled by pressure on the stylus, much like a painter with a brush. It was thus possible to work instrumental gesture while observing its impact in acoustic space in real-time.
Developments made for working in Study # 3

In preparation for Study # 3, we implemented a specific development which consisted of using the sound card inputs (eight inputs in the case of the MOTU 828 - the composer's sound card), as sources that we could position and reposition in the performance space as live sampling captors. This possibility had many advantages: it opened the door to all kinds of existing sound tools and treatments (ProTools or the equivalent, various plug-ins, Max, *et caetera*). It also allowed us to extend the *Ring* for live use in which incoming sources could be derived from acoustic or electronic instruments (here, the dancers' wireless microphones) and played in real-time. Consistent with the work we were doing, the *Ring* acted as an open system for real-time spatialisation with a gradual memorisation of signals and trajectories. However, in Study # 3, real-time processing of sound sources emanating from the collective body (the five dancers) could not be tested because no software existed at the time that could be integrated in the *Ring* for handling real-time inputs. It thus remained a project for future development. So we rather used sound coming from the five sources together, meaning that it could only be treated with the sound console. The microphones used were small Lavallier air microphones.
Development and progression from Study # 2 to Study # 3

In Study # 2, the choice to isolate and highlight the voice as a real-time input without any other interface was not only dependant on the interactive sensory floor's ineffectiveness. I made this choice because I had begun research on sound synaesthesia, with the goal of establishing a clear relationship between five moving bodies and sound production. The work involved in creating a 'sound body' was complex in itself.

Choosing to work with both systems in parallel was not necessary. For me, complexity no longer fitted with a parallel use of several technological systems, but rather in another relationship to be established between the moving body and technology. This passage was important for my research. It marked a turning point in my approach to interfaces and constitutes the basis for a change in approach that I defend in Chapter 2.

In Study # 3, we used the voice as a real-time input and also a system of spatialised sound. This was the most important technological development in the transition between the two studies. Although the sound spatialisation was perceived in a general way by the dancers, it was more impressive for spectators. It was rather in Study # 3 that I started to experiment with the sensory-perceptual destabilisation of spectators, and from this, with the phenomenology of spectatorial reception. Simultaneously, I worked consciously (and not only intuitively) with the sensory-perceptual destabilisation of the dancers, and thus on the phenomenology of emission. I had already begun to think about and explore various modes of phenomenological emission with the dancers. Sound spatialisation enriched these processes. They constituted other steps undertaken to achieve the state of intercorporeality.
Evidence - commentary by members of the Observatory

On the issue of the technological device that allowed for the development of sound in real-time, an excerpt from Louise Boisclair (2007, p.56) bears witness to what the device brings on an aesthetic and experiential level. The point is that this type of technology helps make audible (or visible) what was previously invisible. This excerpt is in resonance with Section 2.8 in Chapter 2 where the question of links between the collective physical body and the collective sound body is addressed. Boisclair's article is provided in Appendix 8.2.1 in the original language, French:

What does the technosound device add to awareness and the sensoriality of the body in the work? In *Autour des Demoiselles d'Avignon* (a name Louise Boisclair gave to Study # 2), the technosound device served as a prosthetic simulation of the relationship of the dilated body between image and presence. This means making visible effects of an energetic and synaesthetic order, formerly exclusive to the martial arts - long unknown, kept secret and denied by the scientific community. These effects are now being explored by artists who can simulate or stimulate them, thanks to digital devices as extensions of the body, sight, hearing and touch.

Isabelle Choinière's *Autour des Demoiselles d'Avignon* revolves around notions of symbiosis and fusion in this play between proximity and distance. In the intimacy of bodies connected to one another, constituting a dilated body, a larval creature becomes a mirror of a digital universe still inchoate... If technique is an interactive mirror, as stated by theorist Isabelle Rieusset-Lemarié, the dilated larval sound body is a signifying mirror. The diffractions of this *trans(e)dance* are as numerous as the angles of visual and sound re-de-composition, particle and wave media for the energetic body. As numerous as the spectators to perceive them, dehierarchise them and rebuild them.

5.3.3.4 Sound

**Introduction**

Exploration of sound occurred mainly in the first section (*Morph*). No further exploration of producing sound in real-time could be made in the second session for lack of time. It will thus not be discussed in this section.

**Study #2**

In this study, I only worked with the five dancers' breathing. The five sound sources were processed together and could not, at this stage, be separated. The idea was
to produce a collective sound body with the breath of the five dancers. We worked mainly on dynamic registers of breath (inspirations and expirations) only. My intention was to work on creating a legible relationship between the moving body of each of the five dancers in their relationship to the collective body, thus to the group of five dancers. I sought to identify the type of action that could be linked to the production of their breath in order to establish a clear and consistent relationship for the spectator to perceive between dance movement and sound production, and between the physical collective body and the production of the sound body in real-time. We realised that it was not necessarily the biggest displacement or movement that was most effective, but rather gesture capable of marking an accent with respect to the entire group of moving bodies. So the relationship always had to be thought of in terms of the complexity of five moving bodies and not in relation to a single body.

The image I was trying to recreate was the complexity of the relationship of waves in a sea. How could each wave make a sound that participated in a collective sound - that of the sea?

Video: Meat Paradox File Phase 2 Enghien - November 2007

Video 59: 2:25 – 3:17

Video 60: 4:33 – 5:18 (following the transition, for explanation only)

Video 61: 5:25 - 6:10 (following the transition, for explanation only)

**Study #3**

In Study #3 and in the first section, composer Dominique Besson worked with diverse dynamics of the dancers' breaths - inspiration and expiration in the production of these sounds (on my request). But layer by layer, step by step, she gradually complexified it by giving specific instructions to the dancers: inspirations and
expiration with clear sound or other types of sounds (with the vowel a, â, s, or sounds: pfff, chhh, ça, sa, for example), asking them to make attacks at the beginning of the production of sound - shorter, more open, involving different positions of the tongue - or at the end of the production of sound, et caetera. She then added sounds of physical pain (at my request, because dance practice inevitably leads to experiencing pain in the body which is almost constant) such groans, growls, broken breaths (as a result of a body part being crushed, et caetera), starts (sharp inspirations), et caetera. She also explored various vocal techniques with the dancers to enrich their vocal vocabulary. She thus gave them instructions that they tried to follow; she also asked them to whisper.

Towards the end of the residency, she gave them vocal training, demonstrating and reproducing the techniques involved. For example, she gave examples of a recording by a clarinetist who worked directly on the mouthpiece of his instrument. She chose certain sounds and had them listen to them so she could reproduce them as closely as possible with their voices.

It is important to mention that all the vocal work was based on guided improvisations. Here, one cannot speak of a score, but rather of guidelines that were part of a progression based on temporality of a performative nature. Thus, it was the experiential nature of what the dancer was living in performance that shaped the length of an action, and thus of the production of sound. In the first section (Morph), this working method gave entire creative latitude to the dancers, with lived experience thus becoming, determining and defining the performative act.

A table of notes (Choinière 2008) follows that details the tests that were made. The notes also served as reminders of the tests' contents, and as a means to understand the relationships that had been constructed between the various elements in play (movement, choreographic structure, rhythmic structure, sounds and sound processing,
movements of spatialisation, *et cetera*). The dancers had freedom to invest creatively in what they were doing and to explore and develop the material. This table is by no means a score to follow. It rather situates the creativity and the existential performative moment of the dancers as having determined its transversal and evolutive structure.

Figure 71: Study #3, *Morph* (2008) choreographic notes on the relationship sound/movement

As stated in the introduction, I will not discuss Section 2 (*Legs*), as the composer used a prerecorded tape. The length of the residency was too short to invest in further exploration of the production of sound in real-time. Also, given that the tool did not allow the composer to include a digital processing software such as Adobe Live, she found herself, as she said, facing choices that were limited in terms of sound production. We disagreed on this point.

The dynamics for spatialising sound were diverse. The composer used a tool for reverberation at the beginning to create a feeling of space. Then she processed trajectories of sounds, employing different strategies of spatialisation (a circle with a
source, circles in opposite directions with two sources or different groups of sources, paths in different zigzags for each grouping of sound or for individual sounds, long arcs, *et caetera*).

**Progression from Study #2 to Study #3**

A significant source of improvement from Study #2 to Study #3 lay in the enrichment of sound sources. The dancers in Study #3 were able to produce more varied and precise sounds - at my request and with training from the composer, Dominique Besson.

What follows is an account by Besson who speaks about the evolution in the diversity of sound material and how she conducted her work in order to develop it. Her preoccupation with linking the production of sound to the question of kinesthesis was an important element in the success of this study.

**Evidence – comments by Dominique Besson**

*Video: Fichier_03-dvc_entrevue_Dominique.mpg: interview with Dominique Besson*

Video 62: 05:32 – 08:04 – Dominique Besson

To understand the space created in the section 'Morph', it is not enough to position points in space, but it is also necessary to have variety of sound materials that takes into account a complexity, of something that cannot be anticipated. That is to say, we cannot anticipate how a river flows, nor anticipate the way clouds spread, nor anticipate how a body will live and start moving in front us. For the dancers, it was necessary to have a collection of sounds sufficiently diverse that we could arrive at this impression of identity, of complexity. But dancers are not musicians and they do not have practice in a diversity of sound objects nor the imagination that goes along with it. So we had to come to work with the breath, but with an oriented breath. That is to say, you have to get their diaphragms working, abdominal movements, opening the larynx, the oral cavity, but without rushing them, without using language, a terminology that is not their vocabulary. So at the very beginning, to form their imagination, I used the sounds of a clarinet. These sounds were performed in the instrumental body, without a mouthpiece, and can be extremely varied, with a full range of textures, attacks, to give concrete examples.

Quotation have approval to be used

*Video 63: 14:07 – 14:51 – Dominique Besson*
If my memory is correct, the first work was on colouring the breath, shhh, aaa, eee, pha, et caetera. Lots of things that were quite natural and corresponded to all movements of opening an arm, lifting a leg. These are movements and sounds that had a certain amplitude and are fairly easy to spatialize. You can thus bring a breath 'pchiiiiiii' (arm movement that shows its spatialisation). You bring it into space. It immediately has flesh, communication and these are sounds that one can thus mix.

Video 64: 14:52 – 17:28 – Dominique Besson

Well, fairly quickly, it ends up only producing one type of sound. Above all, it becomes fairly predictable. It was absolutely necessary to achieve this notion of unpredictability of the material and that is when I told them: Well, it really is something to receive someone's entire body mass, to accept having a shoulder almost at the level of your breast, it's almost painful. I reflected on the fact that flesh could be hollowed. So the notion of hollows and solids, a bit like yin and yang. And I told them I wanted them to give me an account of it and leave a trace of it in their sound. Also, of their surprise, perhaps about weight, or about the ways someone else might go towards their body, if it was in a disturbing way or in a natural way, if they could anticipate or, on the contrary, if they were surprised. And I realized that in asking this, it allowed us to have a wealth of sounds, precisely in their attack, that is to say, diversity in the ways of emitting sound. That this sound could be acceptance 'aaa' or 'pfff' (another example) as if it was really a shock, 'aece'. So I ended up with exactly the sound material that could contribute to fabricating a real space.

Here are some of my notes taken down in the studio and included in my choreographic notebook (Choinière 2008), which show directions given to the dancers for ways of exploring "hollows and fullness" (sliding members = accents of speed / passing through, slowness almost to the point of immobility). I simultaneously worked on the issue of touch given that movements of the limbs (especially the arms, shoulders and hands, but not exclusively) might also include the pelvis, head, back and knees. Here are some of the ideas explored: the sensation of one's self and someone else's involvement in 'grabbing' (but also clutching, tensing, tearing, being more sensual, discovering, rubbing, skimming).
Dominique Besson's account continues:

Video 65: 17:28 – 18:13 – Dominique Besson

So I gave an orientation to this work on the body's sincerity, so as breath could become an intimate space, so as it could reveal an inner perception, very personal, of the body. Both the body as body, but also the collective body because in that way, I had a response from all the elements, from each dancer, that really gave me very intimate information about the collective body.

Another major advance in Study #3 was being able to isolate each sound source of dancers. In Study #2, we could only access and develop them as a group of five sources together. In Study #3, we could also group audio sources (in twos, threes, fours, and of course solo or all together). However, in the context of real-time control, we came up against the limitations of the graphic tablet and mouse. We could only treat one source, clustered or not, at a time because the mouse could only select one item at a time. To solve this problem with the tools we had, we had to pre-register combinations of sound sources. This caused problems in the temporal structure because we needed a
temporal structure based on experiential and 'performative time', rather than on a temporally predefined structure.

Another major progression in Study # 3 was to apply the process just described to spatialisation. The same constraints however remained. In her approach to spatialisation, Besson notes a link between with the dancers' moving bodies and the instrumental gesture involved in spatialising objects through her gestures with the mouse on the tablet. This element was also interesting for enhancing the relationship between the collective physical body and the collective sound body because it included Besson experientially in the collective body. The hyper-sensitive sensory and perceptual qualities she had to develop in order to get into a performative and kinaesthetic relationship with the group of dancers, created a performative and interdependent relationship that was very interesting. It will be discussed further on in this section in greater detail. This intersubjective bodily relationship became a relationship of intercorporeality, an empathic and truly mobile relationship and an experiential space of transition capable of generating a transformation of self.

**Evidence - comments by the dancers**

It can be seen in dancer Agnès Guy's testimony that she was able to think about the changes her body was experiencing in the production and spatialisation of sound in real-time for this performance.

**Video: File Sans titre6-2.mov : interview with Agnès Guy**

**Video 66: 07:47 – 08:21 – Agnès Guy**

It is really a luxury to work in the *Ring*. Because dancers, as a general rule, even if we work a lot in silence, when working with music, we perceive sound and try to feel it and interpret it in one way or another. Here, you only have to let sound traverse you because sound comes from all directions. It enters through all the pores: it enters your back, your front, it really goes everywhere. Here, we really have no effort to make and just have to let ourselves go. So, it's quite huge.
For me, the movement of sound is really a performance. Ultimately, it is a sensation you could find when performing in a public place. Because people move, because we try to follow them...

So regarding spatialized sound, there is this sensation that passes and in addition, it has a direction in the sound. So one only has to let oneself be carried, traversed.

Also, I want to talk about our own creation of sound. This is very important because there are others, too, who create sound, who create the collective body. My colleague beside me will create a sound, it's through it that I will move. And me, I will make mine. It is not only obviously created with my movement, but it also will make the dancer who is beside me move, and that's what created this unicity in addition, this molecule.

**Relative space, a part of the collective sound body**

Through performance and choreographic structures, I seek to build a relationship with space, but this relationship, rather than being formal, was here relative because it was closely linked to the experience of the body (in a similar manner to the way 'left' and 'right' are references for the moving body). As of 2008, I began developing a relative relationship to the movement of sound and its spatialisation (2008). Sound, in the third stage (2008) of the project, acted as a 'sixth dancer'. It was a part of the discourse on space and development of the idea of the collective body as a collective sound body.

I had thus been working on a kind of Cartesian abstraction of space. I prioritised a corporal notion of space, a relative relationship with it: relative for the dancers, but also for the organisation of movement in unison within the dancers’ space, thus of the collective body. This particular relationship with space required that the performer rely on information from the interiority of the body as his/her sole reference. In my concept of the collective body, even though the dancers only partially adopted these principles, they could not rely on spatial references in peripheral space, nor in the space they
perceived around them when moving because I provoked an abstraction of formal space by placing them in absolute darkness. They could only find a sense of orientation within their own relative space, their internal space, their bodily space. They lost all external spatial bearings.

In Study #2 (2006), the dancers continually redefined their reciprocal relationship with and in space, generating as a result, another performative structure and a different type of organisation of figures of performative space while also generating the soundscape of the performance in real-time (although it was not spatialised in this study). This approach to the organisation of relations in space is similar to (although not coming from) dynamics of the swarm. Thus, the rhythm and positioning of the dancers in space were determined in relation to one another and this relationship was constantly changing within a three-dimensional structure of gesture and interrelationships. This principle echoes theories of flux and the transitional outlined by Buci-Glucksmann.

In Study #3, with the participation of composer Dominique Besson276, this relationship was complexified through working on figures of choreographic space in a tight relationship with figures in the sound space. We integrated the spatialised sound body within this relative relationship.

**Intercorporeality: evidence and a brief theoretical analysis on the results of the integration process**

**Evidence - comments by the dancers and by members of the Observatory**

Continuing on with the idea of movement that is both structured and experiential, Agnès Guy makes comments about how she lived intercorporeality. In Study # 3, intercorporeality was produced by linking the collective physical body with

---

276 Biography: viewed 24 June 2009, online: http://tqp.free.fr/bio_Dominique_Besson/
the collective sound body, thus creating the carnal collective body, a concept of the body that, in its openness to the world (and as evoked in the research of Merleau-Ponty), can be said to exceed strict materiality.

**Video: File Sans titre6-2.mov : interview with Agnès Guy**

**Video 70: 09 :47 – 10 :27 – Agnès Guy**

It is like that, in a sort of sphere. It is paradoxical, that is to say, that we still find ourselves in a sphere where we are five individuals who create an individual, we are very together and our flesh is together. So it gives a real impression of a cocoon finally: surrounded by the Ring that immerses us and rebroadcasts our own sounds, our own breath, and, at the same time, there's this thing about being in a sort of circle, where we know we are a kind of artwork in 3D. The sensation of 3D that is projected outwards. So we are at the same time in the inside, the outside, the inside, the outside. We are always in that openness, and at the same time, are fed. There is really a balance that is created.

To complete Agnès Guy's account and its evocation of the symbiotic relationship and interpenetration of inside and outside, Enrico Pitozzi, member of the Observatory, in a presentation of Study # 2 of the project at Enghien-les-Bains, explains the importance of sound feedback as an intrasensorial strategy (the sound you create also "touches" you). Reflecting Merleau-Ponty's first sensory chiasm and image of the hand touching one's other hand, it firstly demonstrates the principle of reversibility. Secondly, referring to Merleau-Ponty's second sensory chiasm, it implies a complementarity of meaning in the perception of the world. Lastly, referencing Merleau-Ponty's third parasensory sensory chiasm, it is mainly responsible for underpinning a strategy of presence in stage projection. The issue of presence is analysed in Chapter 2, Section 2.8.4:

**Video : Fichier 08-DVC C2 Observatory 01**

**Video 71: 14 :50 – 15 :36 – Enrico Pitozzi**

For me, there is a question that must be dealt with separately: the importance of sound feedback for the dancer. For the dancer, it is very important. Because it brings about the ability to recognize the relationship between movement and sound that returns to the body. I think for the spectator, this is not as necessary, but it is perhaps another means for the spectator to enter the work (the research and creation). It is a lead for organizing the spectator's attention built on the relationship you put in place. So you have the possibility of making visible or making audible the direct relationship between movement and sound.
Also, there are different degrees of presence that emerge from this relationship. There is a first-degree one: the relationship with a single body and sound; a second degree, that of individual bodies amongst themselves; then another level, that of the collective body in relation to the sound that comes from movement on one side and the other in space. So with sensors and devices connected to the spatial dimension, you create another level of complexity. For me, in the work you did at Enghien-les-Bains (Study # 2), one must speak of two-dimensional presence. On the one hand, there is the presence of the body, which is made up of the individual body, and which is then made up of the organisation of the collective body. But on the other hand, there is space. There is a presence of space. When the mass of the collective body makes a movement, there is a presence of earth and there is a presence of the mass and there is a presence of space that is drawn by the movement of the mass.

The question of breath stemming from collective movement is presented here by Elysabeth Plourde (Study # 3) as an immersive empathic strategy.

Video : 14 - DVC Observatoire C3

To answer the question: Did I feel myself involved in any way or a part of the corporal, mediated and real proposition (of the Morph section) when I saw it as a spectator? So to answer the part of the question regarding the real (the physical collective body), for me, I adopted the rhythm of 'the object', that of the collective body.

Video 74: 30 :03 – 31 :36 – Elysabeth Plourde

That thing's rhythm, for me, who had just spent the weekend at a conference, and then went to see cousins, et caetera, I entered the Tangente space where the dancers were and there... I just changed gear. So in the beginning, it was perhaps a little more difficult, but very quickly, I realized that my own breath and body adjusted to the object in front of me (the collective body), the movement. I am convinced that I was probably experiencing them through empathy. On that score, there is no doubt. The breathing and everything else, all helped to my feeling integrated in the ensemble. The immersive effect was very effective. And immersion is a physicality. And for me, the question of the relative space the dancers were experiencing was quite different. It was something that was enveloping, but something that was closing in on me. I was part of that, but I did not lose my individuality. And I felt myself inside something. I was inside, and as in amniotic fluid, my heart started beating at the same rate as my mother's. You know what I mean? I became part of this matrix, in the immersive process, the matrix was very strong, and I was part of the matrix, and even if I was an element in itself, independent.

Both Elysabeth Plourde and Enrico Pitozzi (Study #3) describe the complexity of the collective body (the physical and mediated bodies that form a whole), its immersive nature, and what she perceived as the interconnection between the physical body and the collective body when sound is produced in real-time.

Video : 14 - DVC Observatoire C3
It is certain that one finds oneself inside 'this creature', that is certain. You could identify that it (sound) came from here or from there, it moves, and the fact is that it is very encompassing. It was not a diffuse sensation as if there was sound everywhere. One felt that the Ring was a ring, you felt the circularity of movement and it moved around fairly clearly, on that axis, which was quite circular. Where there was a distortion of perception was when you were deep inside the 'object' whereas usually, you are at a relative distance. Here, you are inside. And the notion of metavision, of metaperception, perhaps it might be useful in explaining a portion of this sensation. When you're in the object, in order to be able to approach it, you must necessarily adopt an encompassing way of looking, because there, you are subsumed by the world, so you have to extend yourself in order to get an idea of what that object is. Then metavision forces you to see yourself watching. So you must take distance, but will that be larger than the object? You have to immerse your object of immersion and it is here that things become dizzy.

Video : 14 - DVC Observatoire C3 - Enrico Pitozzi

Video 76: 41 :36 – 41 :58 – Enrico Pitozzi

At times, I had the impression of being inside something like a mass (Morph). But I'm thinking about a condition and for me, it is connected to the question the quality of the composition.

Video : 15 - DVC Observatoire C4 - Enrico Pitozzi/ cont'd

Video 77: 01 :36 – 04 :58 – Enrico Pitozzi

So I'll answer the question: if I, I had the sensation of becoming part of this mass (the collective body) on a visual level (collective physical body) and on a level of sound (the collective sound body), hence, physically and in terms of sound, it partakes of the same form. I must say that visually it worked, but not all the time, because one problem for me is when the mass forms, I can identify the uniqueness of a body. So when that happens, I jump straight into the individuality of my own body. It is a break for me. But in the section of Morph (first section), it worked and there was no interruption of that feeling. That was the section where it is felt most intensely visually. The level of sound, it always worked, because I didn't have the possibility of isolating a body. So I always a becoming "sound" of my body, I have an organisation of my body, my perception completely changes depending on sound. But for me, the organisation of my body was occurring so as to be like the sound, also like the rhythm of the sound. So I do not want to experience visual interruption, because if I see the composition of a mass and then I see a body that detaches itself from the mass, I plunge back directly and immediately into the individuality of my body. I no longer become the body which is being composed (the collective physical body). But there are also two levels of the sound body for me. For if we are truly in a dimension of connection (the issue of proximity), I have the possibility of hearing the collective body that is spatialized, and I also can hear the dimension that is produced, so breath, contact with the floor, et caetera. So concrete sound (he makes a gesture of hitting the ground with his hand) is another dimension. So for me, there are two levels of sound that I must consider. And this produces another texture of sound, because I have a sound that is directly related to what I'm looking at and there is also a movement of the same thing (through its spatialisation). So a tension of the form that is being constructed. And this participated in my process of recognizing the form. So it's a matter of organizing my perception in relation to this. On the visual level and also on the level of sound, on the first level (physical, concrete sound) and on the second level - that of sound spatialisation. So it was no longer one or the other, but the ensemble that participated in my process of recognition. And in the question of metaperception, I am able to identify the different sources.

Video 78: 05 :35 – 06 :06 – Enrico Pitozzi

So it takes a multimodal spectrum to be able to understand what I am see, what I hear and what I feel. This is why the question of the individual body (after the Morph section - not studied in the thesis) leads me to re-individualize myself. So for me it is a problem, because I am executing a process of becoming something and of becoming something other than myself and you stop me and you replunge me into myself. So this is why what follows the Morph doesn't work and why the form of the Morph works.
In order to create the necessary conditions for living an experience of intercorporeality (of which the accounts above partially touched upon), a series of strategies were put in place: a strategy of connectivity that I provisionally named the interval; a connective and integrative learning strategy; and an opening up of roles (and disciplines). I present them here in a more theoretical form.

The interval as a connective strategy

In this practical research, I asked myself why the interval was so important for the present day. How might the idea of the interval have been inscribed and developed in postmodern dance (early 1960s-70s) and new/actual dance (early 1980s to the present (Banes 2002))? What different concepts of the body and states of presence ensue from it?

The fundamental path which dance traces in regard to the notion of the interval lies in a profound syncretic process, thus nourished by several visions of the world. In my view, it is the product of a cannibalistic process in the positive and integrative meaning it is given by Brazilian artists, because the interval describes a level of potentiality already present within the body and can be activated in/as a process of self-transformation. The notion of the interval is also strongly inspired by the Japanese notion of Mū signifying time-space.

The technological times in which we live have made a break with the distancing that a vision-centred Renaissance period had kept us in (de Kerckhove 2014). We exist in multisensorial universes where we have instantaneous awareness of the whole (Weissberg 1988). Technology becomes the catalyst of a process of sensorial renewal by accelerating the embedding of a permanent destabilisation made possible by
electronic media due to the fact that several universes have become closer together (Rolnik 'Figures nouvelles du chaos' 2007b). What ensues is a constant reorganisation of our senses amongst themselves – our sensorial mappings – in which we find ourselves in a state of being, of life, of intensified presence; a state of openness and sensory-perceptual awareness which Suely Rolnik calls a 'state of fragility' – a state which results from these reorganisations – and which becomes a condition for the experiential.

This 'state of fragility' is essential in order to allow for a "vibratory" (Rolnik 'L’hybride de Lygia Clark' 2007c) or 'resonant' form of communication characterising the interval as it is understood by the Japanese. This 'state of fragility' is also a 'recognition' between bodies, a condition of the 'dissolution of psycho-corporeal barriers', and can, in part be explained by the phenomenon of mirror neurons developed by Italian neuroscientist Giacomo Rizzolatti (2005). The interval is a moving time-space, an empty space to be inhabited, a space of possibility. For the Japanese, all potentiality resides in the void, that of a becoming. For Suely Rolnik, potentiality resides in the 'state of fragility', of a reciprocal psycho-corporeal resonance. This creates an intercommunication, and the possibility of a collective vibratory body, from which a new, expanded corporal schema can issue, resembling Merleau-Ponty's concept of the flesh and his principles of coexistence and intercorporeality.

The state of psycho-corporeal recognition is enabled by the construction of a 'vibratory intersubjectivity' — an intercorporeality — instated by a common risk-taking, the 'state of fragility' and the state of emptiness to be achieved. This process is a learning process in constant motion, it is a principle of flux and the transitional. It takes place in a body-to-body relationship, but also between performers and audience,
although they are at a distance. This transformative relationship has the distinction of being empathic, integrative and evolutive.

**A strategy of connective and integrative learning**

To complete this analysis, the next two sections give examples of a modification of performative behaviour from the point of view of composer Dominique Besson as she acted on the modification and spatialisation of sound in real-time.

During the last phase of development in spring 2008, I experimented with a creative process that was even more decompartmentalised while creating the collective sound body. My goal was to create evolutive content that could lead to a reexamination of role-sharing. In broadening the concept of the collective sound body, the composer placed herself in a complementary role of 'manipulator-generator'. Two systems were deployed in tandem: the *Ring* that she was manipulating in real-time and the system of wireless sound captors worn by the dancers.

I observed that this 'symbiotic' sharing of the collective sound body in real-time led to an extension of the kinaesthetic as well as to an exteroceptive relationship which participated in an enhancement of experiential corporality and a form of intercorporeality. This result was possible because of the very nature of the composer’s artistic involvement in the work. This result was also possible thanks to the development of the notion of *hyper intimacy* – which is in fact a form of intercorporeality. Her experience as a musician (involving, amongst other things, breathing techniques and the complexity of her pianist’s touch), facilitated her involvement on a level of the supra-sensorial (a concept inspired by Brazilian artist Helio Oiticica) and the hyper-intimate. This involvement was to occur as much as on a
physical level as on a mediated level. Simone Osthoff (2004), artist, art historian and professor of Art and Critical Studies at the School of the Visual Arts, Pennsylvania State University, explains the notion of the supra-sensorial in these terms:

Brazilian artist Helio Oiticica speaks of this phenomenon in his practice and explains how samba practice has helped him to develop his ideas on the Supra-Sensorial: The Supra-Sensorial, promotes the expansion of the individual’s normal sensory capacities in order to discover his/her internal creative center. The Supra-Sensorial could be represented by hallucinogenic states (induced with or without the use of drugs), religious trance and other alternate states of consciousness such as ecstasy and delirium facilitated by samba dance. For Oiticica, the Supra-sensorial created a complete de-esthetization of art underscoring transformative processes [...]. For Oiticica, samba was a conduit for the flow of energy and desire. Samba was a relay, a connector [...] he was incorporating in this process the kinetic knowledge of the body (Osthoff 2004, p.8).

The decompartmentalisation of roles: towards new carnal and mediated relationships

I was thus testing new physical and mediated relational dynamics, precisely in order to bypass the type of instrumentalised relationship that, in my opinion, can hinder the development of a more pointedly experiential sense of performativity. With these new physical and mediated relational dynamics, a modification of the psycho-corporal state of the composer and the dancers was felt and exerted a mutual influence.

This symbiotic creative process also becomes a modality for deheirarchising the body. What happens between the dancers and technology is not simply an external relationship; it must blend with the performative process. In the context being described, this process was collective and fed by a type of ‘vibratory intersubjectivity’, intercorporeality. In the final phase of testing, we used Dominique Besson’s Ring software and a development with MAX/MSP, an application that allowed for real-time input of sounds produced by the dancers to be processed in real-time in the Ring.

By asking the dancers to work with their breathing and to take into account new relational parameters relative to kinesthesia, proprioception and sensory-perceptual
awareness affecting the dynamics of the collective body as a whole, I introduced '[…] a change of bearings giving body to something very intimate through sound: the inner perception of movement' (Besson 2009). The composer introduced gesture in her compositional practice through:

[...] forms and types of sound objects that, once animated with a life of their own in their spatiotemporal reiteration, form the sound space. In other words, organizing time that opens to the body and reveals it. By letting the collective body go towards creating the sound object with its own pace, a form is created. Regarding the sound object, this exchange enables one to observe the birth of unusual forms that are constantly renewed, but dependent on circumstances […] A polymorphic and changing sound object characterized by a certain elasticity and which regulates itself like a body is produced. Its projection in physical space, its bursting forth from the circle of eight speakers, then acts on the proprioception of the dancers, implying awareness of what is being created collectively (Besson 2009).

I believe this approach is a way of avoiding the effects of causality, such as those seen in one-to-one relationships between gesture and a device that produces or triggers a media event like a sound or an image. The composer expresses this idea in a touching way with the following words on the hyper-intimate and intercorporeality:

[...] in this fashion, you obtain a kind of moving form that is animated, with a life of its own, self-organized in an organic way: a sound body. [...] In such a situation, you must learn how to read movement, bypassing the limits of perception of simultaneous phenomena, finding your bearings within the space of the choreographic figure, in order to highlight it by sculpting it in acoustic space, by giving it a projection, enlarging it [...] The collective body is very sensitive, very reactive to its sound body. It's a little like it was inhabiting it, prolonging itself in it, discovering its expansiveness, its infinity. There is something here akin to flux, to sap that rises, spreads or collides (Besson 2009).

These kinaesthetic and proprioceptive changes take a long time to understand and integrate. Understanding the exteroceptive effect of technology on perceptual and sensorial modalities demands time for assimilation and, in my case, for a corporal and theoretical understanding of the transformation taking place. In my estimation, it is also important to review modes of production if one really aims to address questions of meaning, aesthetics, corporeality and intercorporeality. In my view, these modes of production demand an openness to collaboration for all those concerned; an understanding of a transversal type. They also require a methodology that attempts to

277 This article is provided in Appendix 8.2.3 in the original language, French.
appreciate other ways of understanding what is taking place, how it can be expressed in
terms of aesthetics, structure and other points. Further, these productions require time,
commitment, personal investment and money. As mentioned earlier, it is also
interesting to embark on such projects with researchers of various nationalities in order
to feed the process of understanding that takes its bearings from diverse cultural points
of view.

The sensations traversing the corporeality of the individual performer and the
intercorporeality of dancers and audience are not given simply as an event in the world,
but as Merleau-Ponty advances, as a space of re-creation and re-building of a world that
is in constant transition, in a relationship to an ever-changing real (Merleau-Ponty
1945). This world is one of performance, of transformation and of an intersubjectivity
or intercorporeality that integrates others. As a result of these findings, I can confirm
that experimentation with the relationship of the moving body to technology, when
considered from a somatic approach, can be the starting point for new sensory
experiences.

5.4 Summary

Study # 2 and especially Study # 3 constituted a form of creative
interdisciplinary research that integrated a dynamic of multi-sensory collaborative
exploration. This research was born of a desire to create a work that could embrace the
idea of interconnectedness through a strong relationship with the performative body. I
also wanted to find a technology that could support this concept. Having identified tools
for each stage of the research, I then went into the experimental process of assembling a
team of collaborators in order to conduct this new research. As my colleague Yacov Sharir notes, each new creative research project demands a different team with, 'a new set of skills with individuals demonstrating great enthusiasm about entering the collaborative process of preliminary research and discovery taking place in a digitally responsive dance studio and/or lab' (2012, p.178).

Based on the experiments and findings of this thesis, I am of the same opinion as Sharir when he remarks:

"[...] the paths taken between research and production are how we discover whether or not the experimentation process and the technology involved actually produce the desired outcomes. This is why the collaborative lab-like process is so important; it makes space for and attends to the main challenges where the "gaps between research and production" are blurred" (2012, p.178).

In *New Visions in Performance: The Impact of Digital Technologies* (2004), authors Jørgen Callesen, Marika Kajo and Katrine Nilsen describe their collaborative project in the following terms:

The main challenges were to bridge the gaps between research and production, and between theory and practice, involving professionals on all levels. It should not be theoretical studies supported by simple prototypes and it should not be full blown productions with very limited opportunities for experimentation – but something in between (2004, p.69).

They continue their description of interdisciplinary collaborations remarking that:

So far this field of artistic practice is new territory where the borders between technology, dramaturgy and aesthetics are blurred and highly dependent on each other. To us it is a world of unexplored artistic possibilities, new concepts for physical interaction and theatrical communication, but the field is still very immature in relation to stage arts (2004, p.70).

The possibility of exploring new artistic practices and blurring the boundaries between new technologies, dance (or the performing arts in general) and sound in real-time was a challenge that the team of collaborators and I fully embraced. My interest in creating a space of hybridisation between the performing arts and technology as an integrated physicality demanded our engaging in a process characterised by much uncertainty. But during the various stages of research, it became clear to me (and for the team) that such a risk was acceptable. I was confident that the stages of creative research would lead us
to an important learning space where we could develop new skills and invest in new concepts. An area of sensitive knowledge opened up, and we tried to grasp it with all our capacity to perceive, even if that capacity was only partial.

This chapter highlighted the understanding - not only of the dancers, but also of the spectators, designers, and sound technicians - of a place of coexistence that is created in the meeting of the collective physical body and the collective sound body, culminating in the collective carnal body. This coexistence was implemented by strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation anchored in somatic experience: where a new learning environment is created; where priority is given to an approach of self-organising sensory autonomy; and where time for assimilation and integration is given through augmented rest. This place of coexistence is also conditional upon the ability to reach a state of emptiness, placing oneself in a state of personal risk - a state of fragility and openness - and accepting a dissolution of psychophysical borders. These factors allowed us to reach the state of intercorporeality sought after in my experiments - as much for the dancer as for the spectator.

This phenomenon, characterised by transitional and perpetual movement, was shown to create a state of instability that nevertheless carried a creative and evolutive potentiality. It was to regenerate the phenomenology of the performer's utterance and the phenomenology of spectatorial reception. From these observations, my findings show that the concept of body is changing and an ontological shift of the body occurs when it comes in contact with technology. According to the various accounts/testimonies integrated in this thesis, performative models of the collective physical and mediated body, and therefore, of the carnal body, point to the possibility of a new aesthetic, cognitive and communicational paradigm. The valuation of bodily and sensual knowledge is at the heart of my approach. The interaction of theorists of
different nationalities who made themselves available for such an immersive and destabilising experience served to accelerate awareness of emerging elements in this experimentation: awareness of a new genre which blended elements from somatic practices and technology. On this topic, Fraleigh's words are particularly inspiring: '[o]ur subjectivity is lived rather than known' (1987, p.43) [and because of] 'the subjective field, dance constantly transcends its material structure' (1987, p.49).

On the question of intercorporeality, and also echoing Merleau-Ponty's theories on our relationship with the world and the concept of flesh I was able to test in my research, Fraleigh also notes that, '[i]n existential phenomenology, the lived body is considered the center of the universe. Affirmation of bodily being is a potential value for both the dancer and the audience, because they share the dance – as body' (1987, p.55).

The lived body is a body of feeling, an interrelated system of life; and when it lives toward the world, it seeks an expressive body aesthetic, it manifests and does not without its life. Underlying its aesthetic surface, dance is an aesthetic projection of life. Because dance is an aesthetic (affectively vital) expression of the lived body, it is life engendering. The expressive body lives toward the world and others. [...] The aesthetically affective arises (in any dance) when the lived ground, the full body consciousness, is vitalized (1987, pp.55-56).

The communal context is established through an intersubjective field of consciousness that disclose my body as lived in relation to others, the field of awareness wherein I experience myself as seen, touched, understood, loved, or dispised. The intersubjective field is the field of communal interaction – my consciousness of my own body-of-action, of others body-of-action, and my awareness of what passes between us [...] –, in [the] context of my coexistence with others (1987, pp.57-58).

As Fraleigh remarks, the intersubjective field of dance is qualified by communion (Fraleigh 1987, p.59). 

Following these observations and the conclusions presented, a final look at the aesthetic emerging from this research and what new knowledge it might offer will be identified and highlighted in the next chapter.
As I approach the end of this thesis, it is now necessary to draw conclusions from the intersection of research that was both practical and theoretical, while also taking into account the role of technological components that made this research possible. As I reflect on this journey's quest for a better understanding of the performative body in relation to technology, I hope that the work and research methodologies presented in this thesis will demonstrate the value of my work in the field. In the same spirit, I would like to stress the importance of my supervisor Roy Ascott in nurturing my process in many ways: through his mind as a researcher, his work, his pursuit of innovation, his pedagogy and his limitless creative spirit. His influence led me to invest in creative research as I had never done before by accepting me as a member of the unique and innovative program of the Planetary Collegium.

I present the findings of this thesis as an opening for further research in the performative arts through the bridges it establishes between somatic practices and technology, and also through the alternative vision of the performative body it proposes. My motivation and interest in exploring different ways of working served as the vital force and catalyser for organising all the project's elements. Experiments with and through bodily techniques in conjunction with technology would also not have been possible without the invaluable assistance of the team involved in the research process, along with investigations that have been conducted by other artists and researchers in the field of dance and technology and other disciplines.
The next sections present the aesthetic framework that emerged from this research. Constituting conclusions I came to, as well as in collaboration with the dancers, the members of the Observatory and the conceptron-technicians, they constitute elements of the research can be advanced as the new knowledge of this thesis. As these observations were made in a spirit of collaboration, I feel it appropriate to incorporate some elements of these conclusions in the form of accounts by Enrico Pitozzi who was particularly involved and influential throughout the entire research process.

6.1 Investment in the intelligence of the body

The predominant role and revaluation of the body's intelligence in the project was a fundamental element of my research. The state of re-creation and re-construction of 'reality' it facilitates and encompasses in the field of dance and technology, was referenced with respect to Merleau-Ponty's (1945) and Berthoz's (1997) theories describing the dynamics of sensory-perceptual de-construction, re-organisation and re-construction. Rolnik's research (n.d. b) and theory concerning the sensory maps of the inner body currently being reconstructed as a result of a contemporary acceleration of the 'real' by technology, along with somatic practices which underscore the notion of sensory attunement, also tend to support Merleau-Ponty's, Berthoz's and my own conclusions.

The performative world is a privileged environment for experimentation because it gives one the chance to work with individuals such as dancers/performers whose phenomenological, sensory and perceptual knowledge of the body is extremely developed. This intelligence and heightened consciousness of the body and its spaces (I also include here the notion of space as it is shared with other individuals and the
environment) guided me in the development of a different type of relationship with 'the body itself', with others, and the world.

6.2 The body as a new aesthetic potential of the interface: an alternative vision of the body

As a result of developing this type of relationship through sensory awareness and a consideration of technology as a new environment that is lived on a physical level, I propose a shift in the notion of the interface wherein technology is no longer one of two poles of communication constituted by the language of the human body on the one hand and the algorithmic language lying at the heart of computer technology on the other, but rather a tool for the development of intersubjectivity and a modification of corporeality leading to intercorporeality. Here, the interval, as a latent space of transformation already present in varying degrees in the physical body, presents an active force. The bodily potentiality that is thus activated can be considered an interface in its own right: one that can be defended as complementing technological instruments.

This hypothesis is possible through proposing an alternative relationship between the body and technology: by investing in somatic principles which develop a new vision and understanding of the body and by considering technology as a means to activate new potentialities such as the expanded body and dilated time. With this approach, and as my research has shown, the physical body becomes the meeting place of corporality and corporeality (a place of 'coexistence') and the sound body (the mediated body), becomes a resonator of different sensory dimensions shaped as performative expressions.
My practical research has shown that the mediated body emerges when the physical body comes in contact with technology. A transformation of the body's interiority occurs as it becomes mediated - for example, under the influence of sound feedback in real-time that is registered sensorially as a penetrating vibration—issuing from a technological environment. From the construction of 'vibratory intersubjectivity', or intercorporeality, a new communicational paradigm emerges. This new paradigm is grounded in the activation of a corporal potentiality (Pitozzi 2008, 2010a) or the element of virtuality present in the body. According to my hypotheses and as my experimentation has shown, this corporal potentiality - from which intercorporeality issues - could be a new model of the interface.

6.3 Towards a theory of interfaced intercorporeality

The paradigm of interfaced intercorporeality which follows on from these conclusions, refers to a powerful space of transformation. It is a concept that opens up dance research to the complexity of the body in a state of constant evolution and further, is a process that can be stimulated. For me, encouraging awareness and developing a methodology and tools for understanding these experiential processes are necessary for the evolution of new contemporary stages integrating technologies. I believe this paradigm, revealed in and by my experimentation, is dependent on a deeper aesthetic of transformation rooted in meaning and perception. Indeed, investment in the experiential, which is the very essence of movement itself, constitutes a form of transformation.
6.4 **Intercorporeality: the transition from representation to transformation**

This thesis outlined the steps taken in my research to arrive at such a state of transformation and analysed the elements by which it is conditioned. It notably argued that an alternative vision and experience of the body is nourished by a dissolution of the psychocorporal borders referred to by Suely Rolnik. Sondra Horton Fraleigh also remarks that the dancer has the ability to 'dissolve(s) its material objective nature as he makes it a part of his vital body' (1987, p.66). This modification involves a psycho-physical transformation observed by my collaborator Enrico Pitozzi (2009b) in the project's last study in particular. He calls this process a transition from a concept of representation to one of transformation. This transition resembles the passage from the culture of the object to the culture of flux and the transitional presented by Christine Buci-Glucksmann and also the strategies of sensory-perceptual destabilisation and coexistence I put in place that led to intersubjectivity.

According to my findings, this research charts new trajectories in the body by redesigning and recomposing internal sensory-perception. The methods I developed of listening to and learning from movement within the body also had to be externalised and transmitted to the spectator. According to Pitozzi (2009b), my work on the meeting of the collective physical and mediated body from which the carnal body emerges, provokes this exchange:

[i]n this context, one could say this process of working on the sound body doubles the empathic dimension between the performer and spectator. In other words, there is - on certain levels, a surprising empathic relationship between the performer and spectator. We are in a sort of "gestural cannibalism". The spectator watches and his perception finds a direct echo in his corporeality. The spatialised sound body in the hall immerses the spectator in the movement he is watching. This is the transformation that acts directly on a redefinition of his/her perceptual organisation (2009b).
Pitozzi notes the exacerbated and contaminating nature of intersubjectivity as the spatialised sound body immerses the spectator in the movement he/she is viewing. This staging initiates a phenomenon of transformation by acting directly on and redefining the perceptual organisation of the beholder. It is made possible by activating the 'dissolution of the psychocorporal border' (Rolnik 'L’hybride de Lygia Clark' n.d. c) as seen in the development of the collective body and intersubjectivity leading to intercorporeality.\textsuperscript{278}

The thesis also showed how a learning process which is performative, integrative and evolutive is implied in the transition from representation to transformation. This shift which is defended by Pitozzi and that I also share, is only possible, I believe, through the application of a strategy of risk-taking — what I have defined as the performative attitude, a state of fragility and emptiness (stillness) — experienced by both performers and spectators, and eventually by theorists and designers. I believe that the performative model proposed in this thesis - the collective physical body and collective sound body, which together form the collective carnal body - is a new performative form of interconnection and intercorporeality that reveals new aesthetic and communicational paradigms.

### 6.5 A multi-sensory and multimodal approach

The perceptual reorganisation just referred to is also conditional on the establishment of a multi-sensory and multi-modal strategy. In my practical research, the relationship of interdependence between the collective physical and sound bodies was central in defining a meeting point capable of generating a space of transformation,\textsuperscript{278} See Enrico Pitozzi's complete articles in Appendices 8.2.2, 8.2.4 8.2.5 and 8.2.6 in the original languages, French, English and Italian.
coexistence and discovery. It also enriched the multi-sensory aspect of my experimentation and thus, the multi-modal approach I engaged in to try to understand the levels of learning and perception required to discern what had been established.

I would like to share some of Pitozzi's thoughts on the multi-sensory dimension of this experimentation, as well as its multi-modal nature\(^{279}\). His comments and the findings of my research resonate with Merleau-Ponty's theories on the importance of the different sensory chiasms and their complementarity, both in the dynamics of discovering the world and also for my research:

It is here that the concept of the 'sonorous body' (...) takes on meaning as an audible expression and manifestation of the relationship that movement and perception create with sound. The sonorous body does not look to something that is simply anthropomorphic, the sound reconstruction of the shape of the body is not an issue: the investigation of the issue of the 'sonorous body' – a voluntarily ambiguous term – means to penetrate into the matter of sound and at the same time, of the body. It means to operate inside a fine limit where the shape of the body and sound are dissolved leaving a plot made up of different intensities that operate within it: we will therefore speak of a 'molecularised' form of the body and sound that resonate with each other. In other words, it involves working on a series of internal tensions that allow the shape of the body and of sound to be in constant mutation (original translation).

Pitozzi continues, noting that:

The sonorous body that transpires from this is something that comes from the real body, but is not a double of the body, but rather a manifestation of it, a new sensorial organisation of the perception developed from a technological integration. Technology becomes a way to interrogate the potential of the body in this work, to develop a kind of depth of perception of physical knowledge in order to act on it so as to modify the score of movement constantly (and it’s sound declination). It’s evident that, in this case, we are beyond the pure instrumental use of technology. Technology becomes a way to investigate the body and expand its potential. Thanks to this particular use of technology – where technology becomes a way of thinking about the body – the choreographer works by integrating the sound dimension (original translation).

He elaborates on the topic, highlighting aspects that were developed in Study # 3:

By pushing this process of work connected to sound, it is in the Meat Paradoxe (2008/10) project that Isabelle Choinière developed a concrete and radical vision of the concept of the sonorous body. Thanks to the collaboration with the French composer Dominique Besson – among the figures who generated software of sound specialisation of movement called Ring – the choreographer developed an organised system around the capturing of the sound of five different dancers that – composing their movement in the space of action like a real sound mass – produced what we could define a collective resounding body, inside which the dancers could feel a shared sensorial experience, on the level of movement and on the level of sound production in real time. The elaboration of a soundscape responds to the mass-movement of the performer on stage, of an intense graininess that seems like a cloud of sound, a dense and articulated atmosphere of sound particles.

---

\(^{279}\) These texts were published by DIGIMAG in Italy (Pitozzi 2010b). The article can also be found in Appendix 8.2.6 in its original language, English.
In this sense the 'sonorous body' recalls a dimension of the body (or of movement) that originates in real time starting from the movement of the dancers on the scene and it is inscribed in a context of broadened choreography that the choreographer has been developing for some years now. The 'resounding body' is, in other words, an emanation, a dilation of the real body into the shape of sound; it is a vibration. The body becomes an eardrum, a resonator of sensorial dimensions that becomes scenic sonority. Therefore the body is almost molecularised, subdivided into elementary particles and recomposed in the shape of sound. Once again we are outside a purely logical formality of gazing at the body; the intensities – its articulation in movement-particles – are at the same time part of the choreographic and research work on sound thanks to the alteration and modification of different frequencies.

[...] Thanks to the placement of sound obtained via a device consisting of 8 speakers in the hall, the spectator is projected inside the body of the performer, and at the same time, is induced into a radical rethinking of his or her sensorial arrangement. In other words the spectator is very close to the body of the performer, in a proximity that induces him or her to a 'tactile vision' of their bodies in movement. The spectator is immersed in this living form. He or she is inside the form, in contact with the intensities that animate and sustain it: inside the flesh thanks to the sound that moves around him or her, that crosses and ploughs through the space. Therefore there are two forms that his or her perception is not used to and that must be redefined (original translation).

Quotation have approval to be used

In the light of these findings, consideration can also be given to how the experimentation involved in this thesis might open up paths for research in the future.

6.6 Somatics and technology: an opening for the future

It is my hope that this thesis will have created a new space of reflection in the arena of research and debates surrounding new contemporary performative forms incorporating technologies. I believe that the integration of somatic knowledge in this field will help to generate new perceptual spaces and also provide a multicultural dynamic that goes beyond existing perceptual borders. Glenna Batson shares this vision. As she notes:

[...] the origin, growth, and development of somatics did not evolve from western philosophy alone. Although coining the term 'somatics', Thomas Hanna was well-aware of the philosophical contribution of the ancient Far East. Both Moshe Feldenkrais and Bonnie Bainbridge-Cohen drew heavily from Asian disciplines in developing their work Emilie Conrad D’Houd drew from Haitian and African cultures in developing her work in Continuum movement [...] This means, in other words, somatic studies must be viewed from the perspective of a multicultural world. [...] Second, we do not live by - and for ourselves alone, neither as personal histories independent of our relationships, nor in relationship to our physical geography - the earth where we live, where we come from, and all our interactions to the land. The whole body is "ecological", [...] the "whole body" is also "technological". We readily incorporate cell phones, iPods and other electronics into our body schema which impacts on the dancing body personally, somatically, socially, and aesthetically (Batson 2010).
How can somatic knowledge and experience expand the future scope of the body to embrace a reality that now integrates technology on a daily level? This thesis advances elements for understanding a future that will most certainly lead us to other modes of communication. These modes will be nourished by bodily knowledge and intercorporeality - between individuals of course, but also in a more comprehensive form that embraces all levels of reality the future is preparing: 'an interconnected world-body…with an energy created through the whole' (Fraleigh 1987, p.208). The performative world is a place of many revelations. It will be up to us to embrace it for all it has to teach us.

I can only hope that these findings will participate in bringing dance to participate in and serve as a tool for research in other domains in the goal of better understanding movement, cognitive processes, perception and changes to the physical body, given that dance is the ultimate artistic practice for developing 'an embodied access to systems of perception' (Lima 2013, p.27). The forms of the experiential, consciousness, intersensoriality and perception that emerge are not abstract concepts, but rather constitute an active practice of embodiment born of 'a continuous [and] recursive interaction with the environment' (Lima 2013, p.24). In this new relationship the moving body weaves with the technology its 'inherent capacity to move, perceive, act and express, the somatic, cross-modal, relational and creative characteristics of dance can be of significance for any such debate' (Davidson 2013, p.12). And for dance, the relationship with technology can enrich the performer's experience in many ways, as well as for spectators, through the creation of new performative, structural and relational forms and multi-sensory understandings of the body.
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8. APPENDICES

8.1 Appendix 1 – Author’s publications, conferences and seminar’s list

In this Appendix, a list of publications, conferences and seminars attended by the author is provided. These include a list of the Planetary Collegium’s ten-day composite sessions in which research updates were presented to fellow students and supervisors, whilst one-to-one tutorials were held each time with the main supervisory board comprisend of Professor Roy Ascott, Professor Mike Phillips and Professor Michael Punt.

8.1.1 Publications list

Main publications - Book Chapters & Essays


CHOINIÈRE, Isabelle (2013) ‘For a methodology of transformation : at the crossing of the somatic and the technology, to become other…’, In: Rubidge, Sarah & Davidson, Andréa (Eds.), Journal of Dance & Somatic Practices, Volume 5, Number 1, Bristol, U.K.: Intellect journals, pp. 95-112. ISSN: 1757-1871


Published Journal Papers: Printed and Electronic


Conferences Proceedings


8.1.2 Conferences and lectures

Conferences by Isabelle Choinière

To the modification of the corporality (corporalité) that generates corporeality (corporéité), a presentation fo the process based on strategies of destabilisation, METABODY research E.U. project, Dresden, Germany, November 15 2013 – Top speaker -. 

(corpo)realities, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, États-Unis, March 23 2013.

Somatic and technology, University of Chichester, Chichester, United Kingdom, June 23 2012 – Top speaker -. 

Syncretic Transcodings, Round table, C.I.A.M.-Centre interuniversitaire des arts médiatiques, U.Q.A.M.-Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, May 5th, 2010

Two Thousand + NINE Symposium : User Content and Digital Media, Sonic Arts Center (S.A.R.C.), Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, Ireland, May 16th, 2009.


Ricerca e Futuro, arte, tecnologia e coscienza. Scenari dell’arte technoetica 2007, Center for Contemporary Art Luigi Pecci (University NABA, Milan, Italy), Prato, Italy, December 9 to 12, 2007.


Forum F.A.Q. – Perguntas Sobre Arte, Consciência e tecnologia, SESC / Premio Sergio Motta de Arte e tecnologia, São Paulo, Brazil, November 30 to December 2, 2006.

10th anniversary of Studio XX, Montréal, Québec, October 6, 2006.

Consciousness Reframed 8: Art & consciousness in the post-biological era; Immateriality, Plymouth University, United Kingdom, July 21 to 23, 2006.

Digital Arts Symposium, University of Arizona College of Fine Arts, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America, April 7, 2006.

Corps réels, corps virtuels - Les rencontres internationales danse et art numérique – Bains numériques # 1, Enghien-les-Bains, France, October 14, 2005.

Consciousness Reframed 7: Altered States – Transformation of Perception, Place and Performance, Plymouth University, United Kingdom, July 22 to 24, 2005.

8.1.3 Research group attended

8.1.4 **Composite sessions attended and research updates**

- Research update # 9 : Vienna, Austria, July 2008
- Research update # 8 : Milan, Italy, December 2007
- Research update # 7 : Plymouth, United Kingdom, July 2007
- Research update # 6 : Montreal, Canada, April 2007
- Research update # 5 : São Paulo, Brasil, December 2006
- Research update # 4 : Plymouth, United Kingdom, July 2006
- Research update # 3 : Tucson, Arizona, United States of America, April 2006
- Research update # 2 : Plymouth, United Kingdom, July 2005
- Research update # 1 : Dallas, Texas, United States of America, April 2005
8.1.5 Participation of events and residencies list
Grants awarded and Partners for the practical works

Research-creation phase #2 and #3. 2005-2010

Academic context


Technological development. Real time interface for sonic treatment and spatialization for the RING software. Microvibration floor prototype interface. Contact carpet prototype interface.


Artistic context

Main residency

- Studio XX. Canada. Summer-Fall 2006.


Performances

- **OFF OFFICIEL du Festival TransAmériques, Tangente**, Montreal, Quebec, 3 to 5 June 2008 (phase #3)

- **Le Pacific Center for Choreographic Development**, Grenoble, France, May 3, 2008 (phase #3)

- **Centre des arts, Enghien-les-Bains**, France, November 16 -17, 2006 (phase #2)

- **Les rencontres internationales danse et arts numériques – Bains numériques # 1, Enghien-les-Bains**, France, October 14 2005 (phase # 1)
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Isabelle Choinière de Corps Indice
Autour des demoiselles d’Avignon

PAR LOUISE BOISCLAIR

Cet article explore la notion de corps étendu sonore, son rapport avec l’évolution de la danse, le dispositif technologique intégré, la notion pivot de l’orqine. L’appui sur la dérivation, la notion de jonction-éloignement, et son impact sur la perception. L’auteur vous invite à comprendre ce genre singulier après avoir appris les diverses esthétiques du corps auxquelles s’accolent corps danseur. Enfin, il questionne ce qui appuie le corps élargi, sonore et lanaire à sa compréhension de l’univers numérique.

Corps étendu sonore
Dans ce nouvel univers corporel et sonore, il ne faut pas chercher des coups d’œil de ballets émouvants sur leurs pontes ni des sauts invraisemblables dans la scène de la Scala. L’auteur observe des demoiselles d’Avignon et esturrément le prolongement de la danse des figures, bien que la technique corporelle s’entende dans le cas de la lanaire. Alors que la danse est cernée des angles du monde, par exemple dans les deux autres sections, Autour des demoiselles d’Avignon s’étant dans deux lieux distincts, les demoiselles de la danse sont dans un espace imaginaire, sans projection vidéo, mais avec une amplification sonore. Le corps élargi, sonore, corps théâtrisé, le corps projeté, corps sonore, corps théâtrié. Il passe à un corps élargi sonore, mixé par l’existencialité et l’électricité des corps connectés, en contact et en mouvements. On passe également à une chorégraphie où la technologie est beaucoup plus dominante, bien qu’elle ne soit pas un dispositif numérique explicite pour sauvegarder et relayer le son.

Les spectateurs assistent à la création d’un corps étendu sonore qui va décrire une nouvelle créature, un corps lanaire, comme l’a proposé Isabelle Choinière. De fait, la chorégraphie s’est tournée autour du concept de corps collectif originaire du Brésil et développé par l’artiste brésilienne Lygia Clark, danseuse et chorégraphe parmi autres. Spécialiste du travail de Lygia Clark, la critique culturelle et psychanalyste Suely Rolnik explore le corps collectif comme un « corps-vitroir », et ce Isabelle Choinière appelle à l’autorisation de « corps élargissant ». En portant la chorégraphie qui intègre la technologie à ses créations, il est intéressant de noter que cette idée de « corps résonnant » construit un espace hétérotopique où l’technologie des concepts de l’art sonore avec les idées de création de solidité collective et plane. 

Evolution de la danse
Cette chorégraphie d’un corps étendu sonore est constituée par une série de séquences d’images qui évoluent et donnent vie à l’évolution de la danse depuis plus d’un siècle où l’on retrouve des repères et des lieux de création. Elle est située dans le temps et l’espace, et c’est l’emplacement de l’espace qui est le temps. Elle est à portée d’un autre temps, dans le temps, elle est le temps. Les lieux des anciens sont dans le temps actuel.
Parallèlement à la diffusion de Le démeurer des corps et à ses conférences à travers le monde sur sa recherche créative, Isabelle Choisière, directrice de la compagnie de danse Corps indice, a entrepris le développement d'une nouvelle performance. Nous la nommons Autour des démeutés d'Avignon parce que le dispositif de chœurs est double.

La diffusion a débuté en phase 1, au Centre des arts d'Enghien-les-Bains en France en octobre 2005 et, en phase 2, à l'espace de production Hexagonale à octobre 2005 à Montréal.


**Autour des démeutés d'Avignon**

*Regroupées comme* Les démeutés d’Avignon, le célèbre tableau de Pablo Picasso, cinq danseuses s’animent sans jamais dévoiler du sol. Leurs corps s’arrêtent et se dévoilent, construisant et déconstruisant une forme tout en restant en contact les uns avec les autres durant toute la performance. Les danseuses sont muet s, chacune d’un mirage, à certains endroits sous le tapis, des silhouettes captent la vibration causée par leurs déplacements. Un dispositif numérique sert le mouvement des silhouettes, les transforme en sonorités et les diffuse dans l’immensité du spectacle. Ainsi les danseuses sont propulsées autant par leur contact mutuel que par le retour sonore. Pour sonner son point de vue, le spectateur est invité à participer autour de la scène.

> “Public Picasso, Le démeuré des corps, 2005.”

> “Autour des démeutés d’Avignon, 2005.”

> Isabelle Choisière, En faveur des corps, 2005.

> “Quant à Merce Cunningham, dès les années cinquante, il promettait à la théâtra lité et dans un espace traditionnel l’illusion de la danse à la musique.” Dans les années soixante, le travail des danseuses Yvonne Rainer et Trisha Brown ainsi que d’artistes visuels dont Robert Rauschenberg, ont conduit à la Justson Church, portage tout, non pas un style, mais une méthode, qui consiste à remettre en question les pratiques traditionnelles de la danse moderne et professionnelle. L’intérêt pour l’espace est repéré dans les nouvelles formes artistiques éclatées : Happening, Action Art, Body Art et Performance Art.

Au début des années soixante-dix, l’invention de la danse Contact Improvisation par Steve Paxton marque un tournant important. Il l’Improvisateur prend comme repère les sensations textiles, kinesthésiques et proprioceptives, plutôt que le geste volé et mémoire ou des points fixes dans l’espace. Le but est de jouer un espace inventé, de mouvement et du déplacement du corps en fonction de la gravité et de l’espace. Les chorégraphes privilégient les thèmes du corps tout en adoptant une approche interdisciplinaire en intégrant les nouvelles technologies. La chorégraphie est ainsi révélée un espace pour le bricolage sonore et visuel, un certain coût aussi pour le bricolage significatif, dit Michèle Febvre.

Le dispositif technosensoriel

Avec la performance Autour des démeutés d’Avignon, nous aérémonis dans un univers artistique, technologique et culturel qui croise l’ancien et le contemporain, l’art et le fonctionnement du corps. Ce dispositif technosensoriel, qui donne naissance
L’orgaigue
En plus des références les plus évidentes au cubisme, à la danse contact, à la performance à la synthèse aux arts maritimes et à l’apport du numérique, cette esthétique singulière de la danse apparaît à l’orgaigue, une notion clé de l’œuvre. A l’origine, les orgues sont des êtres solitaires en l’honneur de Dyonisos, à Athènes, de Bacchus à Rome. Les danseurs pratiquent nus, le corps bien huilé, se touchent, se caressent et se couvrent en prétextant des pouvoirs surnaturels. Bien entendu, cette notion de l’orgaigue porte à confusion. Certains questionnent son lien avec la sexualité, voire la pornographie. Toutes ces connotations sont possibles, mais pour la chorégraphie l’orgaigue n’est pas synonyme du sexuel. La dimension sexuelle n’est pas incluse dans son travail mais plutôt les dimensions de la sensualité et de tous les ressentis qui accompagnent le mouvement du corps et sa relation avec le corps vicié et le corps collectif en mouvement. La fonction sexuelle est donc inactive dans le sens de la fonction organique liée à l’orgaigue. Il faut davantage associer l’orgaigue à l’attissement d’un état second où les nouvelles sensations et les nouveaux échanges énergétiques prouvent une nouvelle plastique de la sensualité de chacune des danseuses et de son état d’être. Isabelle Choinière le précise : « L’orgaigue du corps relève en partie de la danse collective et par la proprioception, tandis que l’orgaigue est lié à l’orgaigue de l’orgaigue qui se touche, c’est-à-dire en quelque sorte, la representation et une expérience du corps collectif. Cette sculpture de multiples sensations internes proprioceptives dans l’exercice d’imagination de ce nouveau schéma corporel. De plus, l’apport du retour de son médiateur augmente les effets proprioceptifs essentiels dont la source est cette idée d’organisme, la perception du son extérieur, qui a son tour propulse le corps vicié et le corps collectif tout en modifiant le ressenti proprioceptif. »


Le déhiérarchisation
Graduellement se crée le mouvement du corps, la projection du souffle et leur capteur, transformation et reconfiguration mécanique, de l’intérieur à l’extérieur et vice versa. À l’issue du cubisme, les sous à se démultiplicer, mais apparaissent se semer également dans un réarrangement constamment. « Deux artistes investiguant l’état de l’art dans un qui, soit Picasso et Hans Belmer, car ces deux artistes ont créé la déhiérarchisation du corps. » Cette déhiérarchisation est à l’écoute de la création de la gestuelle et de cette nouvelle créativité qui ensable le corps organique et le corps organique qui travaille sur le bassin et le seint du mouvement a amorcé ce travail sur la déhiérarchisation du corps.

Selon Anzou agité, le thème de l’orgaigue, le corps en mouvement est un espace démiurge, des deux côtés de la danse. La danse réalise une brève des codes établis dans le sens du corps dans le corps même. Ce travail renvoie à une zone souple, pas encore le langage et le mouvement immémoré du souffle de vie. Cette énorme vitale propulse le corps et l’âme vers un état second. La théoricienne française Sylvia Célédion explique cette conception du sens profond du corps : « Pour Paget, en effet, l’infrastructure de la communication signifie l’acquisition d’abord avec l’écriture, au jeu de l’orgaigue et de l’écriture. Plus tard, c’est une découverte du langage dans l’innocence individuelle à un niveau post-linguistique. » Le sens métaphore dans un espace qui renvoie par une structure propre à l’langage part.

En amont du langage
Pour la chorégraphe l’orgaigue dite la déhiérarchisation du corps, des sens et des organes de même d’une autre organisation du corps et des sens. C’est un état d’auto-organisation : le corps à d’auto-organisation par la perception du corps, l’organisme et la proprioception évoluent hors des sentiers battus, hors des repères traditionnels. Et cette zone de risque amène
les danseuses à se dépouiller, à dépaser leurs limites, pour inventer un nouveau fonctionnement du corps en mouvement. À se connecter avec ce que chaque danseuse a de plus intime, instinctif, pour se retrouver tout en captant l'énergie du contact, l'autre Isabelle Choinière dit 
« vouloir créer une danse, un modèle chorégraphique qui réponde à notre cosmologie actuelle qui, elle, a rompu avec la perspective (rapport ligneaire) au temps et qui remanie, selon Weisberg, à une multiplicité des points de vue initie
entre autres par l’ouvrage de Picasso, pourriez encore par Hans Belliine et utilisée ensuite pour la visualisation d’objet 3D dans l’espace de l’ordinateur.”

ici nous sommes dans le boulevard centrale et mesure du temps de fleur et de Gallic, ici également de la verité en lieu avec le désinvolture et le modèle du ballet et qui l’été brûle par l’irrésistible de la contraculture des années soixante. Isabelle Choinière reconnaît l’apport du postmodernisme de la chorégraphie américaine Trisha Brown qui recopie l’horizontale sous l’influence des pratiques orientales. Elle salitie également à un phénomène dans bresilien, la copine régionale : « C’est un art traditionnel dans lequel le corps est complètement dans le paysage de la perspective. […] L’art est donc une voie du mouvement initié par le bassin pour distancer le travail des danseurs, des codes et de la perspective traditionnels et telle cette déformation modifie en profondeur notre perception et notre vision du monde.”

Modification de la perception
Dans la foule de Hubert Godard, spécialiste en analyse du mouvement, Isabelle Choinière explique comment ce changement de schèmes posturaux provoque un changement au plan de la perception : “Changer de schèmes posturaux changera la perception. La structure chorégraphique est dans le même temps que le travail gestuel lié au bassin – le corps complet en trois dimensions. La structure chorégraphique – le quartet au complet – tout se résume dans la relation globale, et elle suit les mêmes inversions-théorèmes que le corps seul a pu subir. En d’autres mots, la naissance de cinq danseurs se percute dans l’espace comme un ensemble qui se transforme en «nouvelles dimensions”. À un point également compréhensible pourquoi il prend environ trois mois aux nouveaux danseurs pour adapter leur corps à ce nouveau travail par un apprentissage qui demande de désapprendre les effets de danse traditionnelle aux règles étendus. Tout cela amène une nouvelle perception de la danse dans son regard et dans son regard chorégraphique, technologique et théorique.

Les diverses esthétiques du corps
Ce corps d’air, s’arrête, laisse, s’immisce aux différents couleurs esthétiques prises par les chorégraphes. Rappe- lon : le corps rebelle (Eumani, le corps sauvage (Hijinsky), le corps mystique (St-Gemi), le corps dynamique (Mumphy), le corps chorégraphique (Weisberg), le corps jazz (Brown, le corps articulé (Cornell), le corps sauvage (Poston), le corps fluidique (Brown). Cette tendance à utiliser le quotidien donnant un corps comme instrument de représentation se retrouve dans tous les arts, notamment l’art vidéogra-
photique. Comme l’écrit Christine Pálmiéni, « le corps sacré (Rühe, Rothen, Sophie Calle, Bill Viola), désarticulé (MacCarthy, Peter Lind, Gillian Wearing), instrumentalisé (Matthew Barney, Shilin WN, Christine Pálmiéni) devient un lieu intérieur de l’expression identitaire et se munit dans les strates d’une fable collective.”

Dans cet univers de l’image et de la représentation, le corps devient un médium actif ou passif de l’œuvre d’art qu’il enferme ou façonne. Le corps inspire, inspire, expire, il s’emprunte, s’imprime et déprime. Il saisit, bouge, fait-il s’effile, se contracte et s’élève. Corps physique, corps musical, corps social, corps de l’œuvre, rien ne s’échappe. Toutes les positions du corps, tous ses états, ses dynamiques et ses dimensions sont à l’œuvre. Du naturel au gigantesque, de la beauté à la monstruosité, de l’anthropisme au humanisme, de la métamorphose à l’art larvaire selon le point de vue d’où on regarde.

Le corps larvaire
Le qualificatif de larvaire retenu par Isabelle Choinière questionne. Corps d’air, s’arrête, laisse, s’immisce aux différents couleurs esthétiques prises par les chorégraphes. Rappe-
lon : le corps rebelle (Eumani, le corps sauvage (Hijinsky), le corps mystique (St-Gemi), le corps dynamique (Mumphy), le corps chorégraphique (Weisberg), le corps jazz (Brown, le corps articulé (Cornell), le corps sauvage (Poston), le corps fluidique (Brown). Cette tendance à utiliser le quotidien donnant un corps comme instrument de représentation se retrouve dans tous les arts, notamment l’art vidéogra-
photique. Comme l’écrit Christine Pálmiéni, « le corps sacré (Rühe, Rothen, Sophie Calle, Bill Viola), désarticulé (MacCarthy, Peter Lind, Gillian Wearing), instrumentalisé (Matthew Barney, Shilin WN, Christine Pálmiéni) devient un lieu intérieur de l’expression identitaire et se munit dans les strates d’une fable collective.”

Dans cet univers de l’image et de la représentation, le corps devient un médium actif ou passif de l’œuvre d’art qu’il enferme ou façonne. Le corps inspire, inspire, expire, il s’emprunte, s’imprime et déprime. Il saisit, bouge, fait-il s’effile, se contracte et s’élève. Corps physique, corps musical, corps social, corps de l’œuvre, rien ne s’échappe. Toutes les positions du corps, tous ses états, ses dynamiques et ses dimensions sont à l’œuvre. Du naturel au gigantesque, de la beauté à la monstruosité, de l’anthropisme au humanisme, de la métamorphose à l’art larvaire selon le point de vue d’où on regarde.
dans la matériolisation de son travail, là s'inscrivent les marqueurs de cette rencontre singulière avec le féminin tragique.**

Dans un autre ordre d'idée, Michèle Choinière propose que, dans la foulée de son analyse de l’univers Saturne, le corps binaire ne se soit qu’une métaphore, une image de notre esprit, par laquelle celui-ci peut envisager à quel point il est plongé à travers le corps un enfant qui n'est qu’un assonance de la spiritualité.

**La trans*/dance**

Pour inscrire cette performance dans un nouveau regard, je propose* l’appréciation et l’érudition de la construction de mots des essentiels dans l’esthétique du danseur Choinière : transe, trans*, danse et ils pour énergie, électronique, élastique et électronique. Trans évoque la transdirectivité aussi essentielle à son travail corporel que n'est recherche. L'énergie est perçue par le mouvement des corps en contact et par la médiation de leur souffle en un corps sonore élargi. La trans/danse referre autour à l'état second atteint par les membres du corps élargi, une échappée de trans/resonance par chaque danseuse, que par la circulation binominale des stimuli interne et externe.

Ce corps sonore élargi compose une forme collective et connectée d’images son en mouvement et en trois dimensions. Élaboration des particules en circuit et électriques des ondes dans une circulation dans un corps collectif en mouvement, la trans/danse évoque le croisement des corps à l’image son d’un corps augmenté et le représentations déterritorialisées. La trans/danse, c’est aussi la transdirectivité de la peinture cubiste, du corps sonore amplifié et le mouvement de l’énergie fondamentale du bassin. C’est un second ouvert à des dimensions telluriques et chinoises en harmonie avec nature et l’esprit. Toutes les représentations du corps que le spectateur dessine en dissemblant autour de la scène flottant entre image, présence et expérience.

Regarder cette maîtrise se déployer, se déformer et s’accomplir dévoile son énergie dans une vaste pendule soutenant l’amplification du souffle en son un événement expérimental. Ce corps élargi sonore devient le matériau du corps de l’œuvre, que nous regardons, recensons, construisons et interprétons. La performance du corps élargi sonore devient une véritable trans/danse, une danse où pas seulement un signe pour l’agencement de l’ensemble de l’orchestration, le regarder intense en ouvrant, que se pente de repères, dans un espace mental et corporel élargi par cette captation.

**Le corps de l’œuvre**

Ch’ajoute le dispositif technologique à la conscience à la sensibilisation du corps de l’œuvre ? Dans Autour des dimensions d’Avignon, le dispositif technologique servait de protège de simulation du rapport du corps élargi image et présence. Il s’agirait de prendre effectivement visibles les effets d’ondes énergétiques et substantielles avec les aspects des arts mortuaire - longtemps inconnus, gardés secrets étendus par le milieux scientifique. Ces effets sont maintenant explorés par les artistes qui peuvent les simuler, voir la stimulation, grâce à un dispositif numérique, extension du corps, de la vue, du toucher.

Autour des dimensions d’Avignon d’Isabelle Choinière tourne autour des notions de symbole et de fusion par le jeu de ce rapport et d’élévation. Dans l’infinité de corps relles (un à l’autre, constatation du corps élargi), la créature binaire devient le micro univers numérique encore locatif. Ce numérique-futuriste l’apprêt l’extension d’une créature à la fois physique et numérique, visuelle et sonore, corps collectif de corps individu connecté avec un corps élargi sonore, comme si d’aventait ainsi le miroir de l’univers invisible est inclus dans les limites humains dans l’ensemble des corps physique, énergétique, cinétique d’une part, numérique et sonore d’autre part. Si la technique est un miroir interactif, comme l’affirme théoricienne Isabelle Flausiaux, le corps élargi, sonore et l’homme est un miroir signifiant. Les diffusions de cette trans/danse sont aussi nombreux que les angles de ce dispositif visuel et sonore, supports particuliers et éventuels du corps énergétique. Aussi nombreux qu’à trouver de spectateurs pour les percevoir, les dévêtir d’arômes et les rencontrer.

**Notes**

1. Si les spectateurs de Copertina ne sont pas convaincus, c’est que chacun, par l’effet d’une recherche cités ci-dessous de transpositions visuelles, sonores et visuelles, imagine la nature des effets du corps élargi, tout se résume dans des dispositifs qui font à la plupart du temps inventer, émerger une image, qui est débâchée où le corps est en République tchèque en Amérique du Nord. Par exemple, LeBodébec, director, le spectateur présent en lanaire à la Maison de la culture du plateau Mont-Royal, à la fin du deuxième mois de sa présence dans. En 2000, le spectateur est un acteur du lieu (changement), qui est débâché où le corps est en République tchèque en Amérique du Nord. Par exemple, LeBodébec, director, le spectateur présent en lanaire à la Maison de la culture du plateau Mont-Royal, à la fin du deuxième mois de sa présence dans.

2. Cette expression présente les résultats du travail effectué par Isabelle Choinière en existence sur les cinq danses de l’œuvre. Le sujet d’exploration est celui de la danse du corps élargi.


« Effets de présence, effets du réel », Montréal, 06 juin 2008
Sismographies de la présence
par Enrico Patozzi

0. Prélude
Peut-être que nous sommes vraiment dans une rupture épistémologique – un véritable déplacement du point de vue sur les arts de la scène – qui investit le rôle du performeur en faveur d'autres, plus diverses, formes de présence. Cette rupture épistémologique passe par la relation que la scène instaure avec les technologies. Je voudrais commencer avec une affirmation : le concept de présence ne peut pas être réduit seulement au corps du performeur, mais, au contraire, il doit être chargé pour intégrer ce que j’appellerai les « présences objectives », comme la lumière et le son par exemple. Dans cette perspective, il est nécessaire d’ouvrir le champ de recherche pour décentrer l’attention sur le corps et la focaliser sur le dispositif qui permet aux présences de se manifester.
Je reviens, alors, sur la possibilité de penser la scène comme un néant photographique qui porte à la surface les éléments cachés et les conditions où les présences se définissent. Ces principes informent l’architecture de ce texte organisé selon un mouvement thématisé en cinq paragraphes concernant la présence dans le contexte des arts performatifs, mais aussi de l’installation visuelle, pour tracer, enfin, un cadre esthétique unitaire sous forme d’une théorie des graduations de la présence liées au processus de transformation. Clairement le concept de « gradation » n’indique pas une variation de qualité et quantité mais plutôt de consistance et d’intensité. Il n’y a pas une présence plus qualifiable qu’une autre, mais il y a des degrés de présence conçus selon diverses échelles d’intensité. Ce que je voudrais porter à notre réflexion, c’est que le point de convergence entre les arts vivants et les installations est un travail sur un matériau très particulier : le temps. Donc, pour moi, dans les œuvres que je traverserai, c’est une vibration, une tension entre les choses, qui fait surgir la présence du corps en mouvement ou de ses traces sonore et lumineuse ou des objets. Et le travail sur le temps, dans cette perspective, est très lié à la présence comme tension perceptible, processus de transformation que même du temps des formes aux formes du temps.1

I. premier mouvement : généalogie de la présence dans les arts vivants
La présence du corps est, en même temps, le point de départ et le noyau théorique à penser pour analyser un travail performatif. Le parti pris de l’étymologie : le terme « présence » dérive du latin præsens et renvoie, en premier lieu, à quelque chose qui fait saut dans son être matériel et qui s’inscrit dans une certaine dimension spatios-temporelle face à quelqu’un qui observe. Patrick Pavis parle de la présence, dans le Dictionnaire du Théâtre, comme de quelque chose qui provoque immédiatement l’identification du spectateur.1 Donc il parle d’une sorte d’aura, ailleurs qui entoure le geste du performeur. Ensuite, dans un contexte concernant le théâtre postdramatique, Hans-Thies Lehmann écrit que la présence renvoie à une fascination loin du sens, ou le corps devient le centre de gravité, non pas comme vecteur du sens, mais dans sa substance physique et dans son potentiel gotthalian.1

prémices, je peux, alors, formuler une première définition du concept de présence à laquelle je me référai, et autour de laquelle je travaillai.

La présence d'outremer par connaissance entre les tensions (cerveau, système nerveux et de locomotion) qui constituent la corporeité et les modalités d'organisation de l'espace avant l'intervention des technologies. Ces relations s'établissent à trois niveaux :
- Cinétique : il concerne la disposition des différentes parties ou sections du corps dans l'espace.
- Dynamique : il concerne les informations provenant de la qualité des différentes tensions musculaires employées pour l'exécution du mouvement.
- Esthétique : il concerne le niveau de tension global et le degré d'adhérence du performer à l'action en exécution.

Toutes les définitions qui ont été jusqu'ici articulées (Pavis, Lehmann et celle que j'ai introduites) attribuent la qualité de présence uniquement au corps physique et elles sont fondées sur la notion d'unité de lieu et de temps. Au plan physique, la présence est, alors, un corps qui s'expose aux spectateurs dans un espace-temps partagé. Jusqu'à ce point, tout semble marcher, apparemment, dans la direction tracée. Mais il y a deux questions à l'horizon auxquelles on doit répondre : la première concerne le formes de présence autres, leur consistance et leurs degrés de « matérialité », l'autre concerne le point où se vérifie le déplacement des signes virtuels par rapport à la dimension physique.

Je voudrais concentrer l'attention, pour le moment, sur le fonctionnement de la présence du corps. Donc, si d'un côté la présence du corps est définie par l'ici et maintenant, les espace-temps mentaux du performer en action ne sont pas unitaires mais multiples. Avec l'introduction de la dimension mentale dans le processus de composition du mouvement, il voudrait renvoyer à la fiction dont a parlé Michel Bernard, et qui concerne, en premier lieu, la projection de la corporeité à l'extérieur. Le performer doit, en d'autres termes, imaginer et projeter son anatomie dans l'espace avant d'agir matériellement. Le corps est sur la scène et il occupe une portion précise d'espace physique ; la mémoire et l'imagination sont, par contre, des vecteurs qui tendent à projeter à l'extérieur, selon des configurations diverses, la corporeité imaginée. Donc la projection se produit hors de l'ici. Dans ce passage, on peut reconnaître un premier - léger - signal d'éloignement que m'assuré à discuter la présence, dans ses formes multiples, en termes de gradation. Une première manifestation de gradation de la présence concerne le processus de travail sur le micromouvement, comme dans l'écriture chorégraphique - filtrée du logiciel LOL - de Myrann Gourfink. Travailer à ce niveau signifie chercher une dimension très subtile de présence, qui conduit à percevoir chaque partie du corps ; la ligne de tension interne aux mouvements est privée d'interférences rythmiques, elle n'a pas des variations mais seulement des modulations. Elle est radicale dans son développement linéaire. La figure qu'elle donne à voir est semblable au déplacement d'un corps à l'intérieur d'une toile d'aiguille.

Il est nécessaire activer une perception diffusée pour focaliser l'attention sur l'angle d'once, trouver un trajet dans les bras, se déplacer pour aller à un point au-dessus de la tête. On, ou encore, trouver un trajet dans le corps pour repérer et s'arrêter sur le tapis de droite, sur la paupière, puis écouter le poids remis dans un arc à l'intérieur de la jambe, et aller dans la chair, à l'intérieur du bureau et ensuite circuler vers le plateau, trouver un point très précis ou, à l'œil, une grande surface. Il faut chercher comment veiller la concentration sur des surfaces ou sur des points différents ; il est nécessaire


6. La partition pour ces travaux est composée avec le logiciel LOL, système informatique réalisé par Frederic Veitia de l'IRCAM à Paris en collaboration avec Myrann Gourfink et R. Jospeh. LOL est composé à partir de l'analyse des classes du mouvement tirées des thèses sur l'espace et le mouvement de R. Léon.
de sentir comment ça donne matière au corps à être soulevé, à bouger, à trouver un désir pour aller dans une direction donnée. Pour faire ceci, on doit sentir comment tous ces passages impliquent les fascias, les muscles. Ces déplacements sur lesquels je me suis concentré dans ce passage, sont complètement soutenus par la respiration et seulement cet aspect très important du mouvement met en jeu la question de la présence comme modalité de perception globale du processus de composition et de déroulement du mouvement dans l'espace.

Ce niveau de la présence implique un processus de visualisation intérieure, l'écoute de chaque infime modification du mouvement. C'est effectivement un travail qui prend en considération les tissus, la différence de qualité entre eux ou, encore, la modalité de respiration par rapport au poids, à la qualité des articulations jusqu'à la qualité des tendons ou, à l'envers, du relâchement des fascias musculaires. C'est un processus global, un dialogue constant entre tous ces aspects. Le mouvement s'établit dans cet état instable, il n'aspire pas à la forme stable, il ne cherche pas à définir et à préciser les contours du corps. Ceci est un travail fait sur les modulations plus que sur les formes. Le micromouvement détermine alors un plan temporal lent, sans lequel on ne pourrait pas donner la même précision dans les passages entre les différentes postures. La lenteur de l'exécution permet donc de multiplier les instants et de rallonger, en les rendant visibles, les passages qui ne pourraient pas être perçus. La qualité de cette présence est subtile, se révèle comme un passage éphémère; quelque chose que le spectateur doit capter dans le même instant où elle apparaît, mais la présence n'est pas dans l'instant, elle est expression d'un processus plongé dans le temps, une vibration qui maintient la perception du spectateur en tension.

II. Deuxième mouvement : la présence par rapport aux technologies sur la scène

Si j'ai parlé du travail de Myriam comme d'un premier niveau où la présence active son processus de dissolution en son-temps, on doit suivre les modalités avec lesquelles le mouvement est mis en relation avec divers systèmes technologiques (motion capture et autres) qui multiplient et réécrivent la présence du corps sur la scène.

Avant de discuter ces aspects, je voudrais préciser quelques caractéristiques des technologies dont je parle.

Les instruments de captation du mouvement employés sur scène sont organisés selon deux principes, à la fois techniques et théoriques. Ce sont l'interface — un filtre capable de sélectionner des informations et d'en repousser d'autres pour les élaborer dans un processus numérique de transformation — et le code numérique qui permet de transposer des sons, images et mouvements en informations pouvant être enregistrées et transformées par un ordinateur. Donc, à travers l'application de ces deux fonctionnalités, la technologie numérique comme la motion capture — instruments de différence nature appliquées directement au corps des performeuses — il est possible de percevoir des données du mouvement qui, en conditions normales, ne pourraient pas être perçues. Transformer le mouvement en données — ici le sens de ma réflexion — signifie le manipuler et donc faire surgir de sa matérialité une présence de deuxième niveau caractérisée par une matérialité autre qui est faite de lumière et de son. Mais le processus technique n'est pas suffisant pour comprendre la globalité de cette intervention. On doit comprendre que les technologies ne sont pas des moyens, mais des environnements qui véhiculent un processus de pensée. Seulement ainsi il est possible d'attribuer à chaque application technologique une fonction esthétique sous-jacente, qui la soustrait

à la seule dimension technocratique pour l’inclure, en même temps, dans un complexe principe de composition. Le seul, alors, attribuer aux néo-technologies des caractéristiques générales concernant leurs applications en scène 11:
1. La technologie n’est pas un « moyen », mais plutôt un environnement; 
2. La production et la jouissance des néo-technologies (ménon capture et autres) interviennent directement sur les processus de sensation; 
3. Elles contribuent à l’abolition de la séparation entre intérieur et extérieur. Les micromouvements ou les mouvements involontaires sont portés dehors. 
4. Les néo-technologies sont des instruments de connaissance, parce qu’elles permettent une nouvelle cartographie perceptive et sensorielle du corps; 
5. D’un point de vue esthétique et opérationnel, les néo-technologies contribuent à rendre visible l’invisible. 
7. Les néo-technologies ont un caractère d’« associé » donc d’autonomie et d’autonuance; ici se configure le passage, pour moi central, depuis une esthétique de l’action à une esthétique de la situation; 
8. Enfin, à la « forme » comme catégorie esthétique traditionnelle, on va en substituant le « flux technologique » et son esthétisation. 
Comment je le faisais remarquer auparavant, les technologies citées et leurs caractéristiques interviennent directement sur le corps du performeur en redéfinissant son organisation sensorielle. J’ai affirmé que les technologies ne sont pas des « moyens » mais plutôt des environnements; dans ce sens, en relation avec le corps, ni elles le substituent ni elles l’augmentent vraiment. Dans ce contexte, le corps ne stimule pas un potentiel technologique, mais il est stimulé par ce potentiel 12. Il y a un changement très important du point de vue analytique, parce que dans les expériences sur lesquelles je ferai référence on ne traite jamais de dépasser la limite du corps, mais plutôt d’explorer les possibilités du mouvement, donc ses potentialités d’action et de figuration 13. Ensuite, l’application de ces dispositifs a contribué à ouvrir un nouveau domaine d’enquêtes de caractère esthétique, qui concerne la relation entre la corporelité physique présente en scène et la disposition de ses multiples figures (re)produites. Les figurations (ou présences autres) qui ont comme matrice le corps et qui sont introduites en scène sur écran, naissent d’une relation serrée entre ce que je définis comme les technologies mentales – c’est-à-dire toutes les opérations qui renvoient aux activités perceptives/sensorielles et qui ont une relation avec le système de locomotion – et les technologies numériques, inhérentes aux dispositifs technologiques qui permettent la circulation des signes de la présence. L’application des technologies numériques sur les technologies mentales advient en deux opérations :
- Simulation : elle concerne la modélisation numérique, elle déplace une variation d’existence et de consistance. Depuis une réalité d’ordre primaire, emparque – la corporelité du performeur – on passe à une réalité d’ordre supérieur composée de règles de formalisation mathématique. Les interfaces informatiques qui sont employées dans ce processus sont

rien d'autre qu'un circuit qui rend possible le lien entre la réalité de premier ordre – le corps physique (matricée) – et les présences qui trouvent localisation sur les écrans en scène.

- De-locale, ce processus détermine le déplacement de la corporicité physique en signes sonores et visuels et implique, par conséquent, une opération de degré supérieur par rapport à la simulation. À ce niveau, les signes se rendent indépendants, ils se réorganisent en un nouveau degré de présence.

Donc, dans la relation entre la présence physique et ses signes, il n'est pas un problème de formes de présences distinguées, mais plutôt d'une même présence faite de dimensions complémentaires, qui se donne pour degré, ou mieux pour effets qui coexistent sur la scène. En ligne avec ce que j'ai dit, je peux affirmer – peut-être en forçant un peu la pensée – qu'on est présent exclusivement à l'intérieur d'une polarité qui préfigure un *apparaitre* – venir en présence – et un *désapparaitre* à la perception du spectateur. Ceux-ci sont les deux passages clés que je voudrais souligner. À mon avis, à partir de ce point, il n'est pas question de présence totale et accomplie ou, vice-versa, d'absence, mais de gradations infinitésimales, figures intermédiaires : la présence est *mesurée*, se produit entre la polarité tracée. À partir de ces prémisses, je peux avancer, sur la scène contemporaine, différentes figurations de la présence.

Je chercherai ici à tracer une phénoménologie de tendances qui semblent opérer selon deux directions possibles : la première concerne un caractère *intensif*, l'autre *extensif*. Toutefois, il doit le dire, ceux-ci ne sont pas en opposition, mais en relation continue. La qualité intensive de la présence tend à augmenter, à élever le degré de perception du corps – ou d'une de ses parties – auquel il est référé. L'intensité renvoie donc à une adhérence, ou mieux, à une proximité immédiate entre le corps et le signe qui l'intensifie, soit sur le plan visuel, ou à la limite, sonore. 

cette forme d’absorption, correspond une forme de soustraction progressive de l’image filmique, dans laquelle les personnages deviennent des véritables spectres.

b) - La seconde partie du diptyque qui forme Mé10 Marseille (2004), épisode de la Tragédie Endogène de Romeo Castellucci/Raffaello Sanzio17 est une scène organisée autour de flux de lumière où le spectateur assiste à une simphonie chromatique de l’espace. Les spectrographies – composées par Cristiano Carloni et Stefano Franceschetti – sont des figures fondées sur le contraste de lumière qui impriment sur la rétine des taches qui semblent flotter sur un fond liquide. Il s’agit d’une sorte d’intervention sur le grain de la matière, sur sa consistance, qui se fait image reconnaissable ou menace, radiographe en mesure de matérialiser des pensées en transit, comme sur la plaque photosensible d’un cerveau. Phénoménologie paradoxale de l’invisible, les matériaux chromatiques sont travaillés en vue de restituer des formes dynamiques des architectures de lumière, des présences subjectives complètement autonomes qui sont des taches de couleur, des ombres, des arcs de substances organiques qui s’effacent, se heurtent et explosent.

c) - Traces de mouvement : avec cette forme de gradation, je me réfère aux expériences qui tendent à produire un accroissement intensif d’un mouvement singulier. Dans cette direction, on peut signaler Apparition (2004) de l’artiste multimédia Klaus Obermaier18, dans lequel une trace lumineuse – produite par un calcul algorithmique de données extraites du mouvement du corps – parcourt et double le mouvement du performeur19. Et le double est en quelque sorte une façon d’échapper au concept de reproduction. A cette dernière, on préfère la résonance, l’écho, une continuité dans l’union d’un même mouvement, dans la trace qui n’est pas l’image totale (qui nous laisse croire qu’un être invisible marque encore l’espace-temps) mais un développement sans début ni fin produit d’architectures éphémères organisées par les mouvements.


Sur un autre plan, nous trouvons une série d’expériences qui concerne des gradations de la présence à caractère extensif. Emden, dans ce contexte, signifie séparé, portant les signes de la présence à se disjoindre du corps physique, chercher une localisation spatiale, son autonomie en tant que signe, en réécrit en mode radical la relation avec ce qui l’a engendré.

a) - Sur la limite entre la dimension intensive et celle extensive, on peut citer le film chorégraphique Captives Je me mouvant (1999) de N+N Cosmo10. Dans ce travail, en effet, la corporeité physique est ailleurs, hors de l’espace visible. Les figures sur l’écran sont complètement synthétiques. Le corps réel a disparu, il prie seulement hors scène son mouvement (capté par des

17 http://www.raffaellosanzio.org/
18 http://www.exile.ac.kr/
20 http://dumbtype.com/
21 http://www.merciurio.com
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systèmes de motion capture) Toutefois la corpéité en dehors de la scène informe, selon la reproduction de ses paramètres (gravité, le poids), le mouvement de l’avatar sur l’écran, en marquant une autonomie de la corporeité vidéo par rapport à la scène physique.

b) Figures de lumière : Ils remontent aux premières élaborations de motion capture conçues par Paul Kaiser avec Merce Cunningham pour la composition de Hand-Drawn Spaces (1998) et de Biped (1999). Ce qui est intéressant dans ces travaux, c’est la possibilité d’intervenir sur les données obtenues de la captation du mouvement pour les faire diverger du corps physique qui les a engendrés. Ce processus produit de véritables abstractions lumineuses, où les corps des danseurs semblent se déformer, se disloquer et la trace de la mémoire du corps physique (anatomique) elle semble s’effacer.

c) Présence à distance22 : Nous sommes ici face à une modalité particulière pour étendre la présence, dans laquelle ses signes sont discutés depuis l’emploi d’instruments technologiques, comme la télé-présence, dans des lieux distincts de la présence physique. C’est dans cette direction que s’inscrit le travail de la chorégraphe québécoise Isabelle Choinière - Corps Index23, La déance des arômes (2002). Dans ce travail le corps synthétique se construit au croisement entre les corps physiques, matériaux, et leurs projections réciproques. Sur scène, les signes des corps sont mêlés aux corps physiques, jusqu’à se confondre. Cet intervention se développe donc simultanément en deux lieux différents communiquant avec des interfaces de réseau. Dans chaque lieu, on trouve un interprète et les spectateurs. Les deux interprètes engendrent, en temps réel, par leur propre mouvement, le son et l’image qui sont projetés et perçus à l’intérieur de l’espace de l’autre. Ce processus invite à reconstruire, par conséquent, la perception du performeur en action et crée une superposition et une confusion de dimensions « réelles ».

d) Sonique : Il remonte à une modalité de gradation de présence qui se réduit au son. Nous pouvons citer 3e création, phase 2 (2006) de la chorégraphe Isabelle Choinière. Le dispositif de la scène vise, à travers l’utilisation de divers capteurs, à produire du son. Ceci a permis de développer un principe de proprioception ou de synchronie sonore qui participe à la complexité de la dynamique du corps collectif évoque par la partition chorégraphique. En autres termes, les données captées par l’ordinateur central, qui proviennent soit de capteurs spatiaux disposés sur la scène soit de capteurs magnétiques (ou d’autres types) disposés sur les corps des interprètes – avec une transformation caractéristique numérique – sont employés pour produire le son. Le bodyscape est donc le son, parce que le corps sonore est une émanation du corps réel, comme un double qui en constitue une vibration.

III. Seuil. Notes sur l’interprétation entre réel et virtuel.

Le concept de virtuel est une des composantes plus importantes pour la relation entre la scène et les technologies. Toutefois, on doit faire des précisions pour avoir la possibilité d’utiliser ce terme sans se méprendre. Le virtuel ne constitue pas son statut en opposition au réel, plutôt le virtuel est un stade, une dimension du réel24. Ce qui est virtuel est déjà dans le réel, mais son statut est beaucoup plus semblable aux forces qui sous-tendent les formes visibles, aux causes cachées ou potentielles. C’est le principe actif, ce qui relève de la puissance cachée du réel, ce qui est à l’œuvre dans le réel25. Donc, le virtuel n’indique pas une forme de déréalisation ou de dématérialisation du corps ou de la scène, mais – au contraire – la formalisation d’une dimension de changement de la matière dont le corps est composé. Ce que je veux dire est que le virtuel est une aura, quelque chose qui contourne le réel. Dans ce contexte, le processus de fiction que j’ai cité auparavant est exactement un niveau

23 http://www.corpusindexa.com/
de virtualité qu’uniforme le corps avant de se disposer dans l’espace. Sur cette ligne, alors, les signes numériques du corps ne sont pas des formes de dématérialisation, mais des stratégies pour mettre en ressource le corps même et faire circuler ses diverses formes de présence. Il n’y a pas d’opposition mais une continuité coextensive qui commence avec le concept de fiction pour se prolonger en dehors du corps, à travers les traces de lumière et de son. Donc la première dimension du virtuel est déjà dans le corps. C’est seulement avec l’application des technologies de captation qu’on peut parler d’un niveau supplémentaire de virtualité, mais ce niveau n’est que la manifestation visible, sous forme de lumière et de son, d’une virtualité que le corps a déjà en soi-même. Donc le virtuel est une vibration qui permet au réel d’être en transformation permanente, exactement comme les signes de la présence mettent en tension le corps auquel ils sont rattachés.

IV. Troisième mouvement: le concept de présence dans les installations virtuelles

Ainsi dans certaines installations, je retrouve un transit analogue entre les deux polaires de l’apparaitre et du disparaitre qui caractérisent la qualité de la présence dont je parle. *Odalisation* (2002) est une composition pour eau, son et lumière conçue par Thomas McIntosh25 en collaboration avec le compositeur Emmanuel Madan, tous deux artistes canadiens, et Milko Hymaen, concepteur sonore finlandais. Dans une salle plongée dans la pénombre, un immense bassin d’eau est parcouru d’ondes concentriques. Intuivement le visiteur comprend que les sons, les mouvements à la surface de l’eau et les ondes lumineuses sur les murs sont en étroite relation. L’eau a ici la fonction d’un véritable medium, un vecteur de transformation. L’eau assume ainsi les propriétés de plaque sensible sur laquelle s’impriment les ondes sonores qui vont composer les figures de lumière. En même temps, la variation d’intensité du son ou la variation de quelques fréquences, se traduisent dans un flux sur la superficie de l’eau et la vague acoustique se traduit, dans ce travail, en vague aquatique. Ce jeu d’apparition disparition permet donc de rendre visible le son et, inversement, d’écouter l’image, de la faire apparaître à la perception pour disparaitre ensuite à travers une série de gradations qui mettent en question l’organisation perceptuelle du spectateur.


---

25 http://www.odalisation.net/
26 http://www.hentschläger.info/
27 *Feed* était composé pour la Sismale Teatro de Venise dirigé par Romano Castellucci (2005).
28 http://www.skoltzkolgen.com
29 http://www.pervelipalace.com/
hydrophones - microphone qui peuvent être plongés pour enregistrer les différentes fréquences - la sonoluminescence se produit lorsque des vagues sonores (avec une ampleur adéquate) provoquent l’explosion des bulles de gaz présentes dans un liquide. Ce processus produit un relâchement d’énergie de collision qui, dans une conjoncture micro-temporelle, rend visible le phénomène lumineux Chessa Lusca, en effet, tend à rendre visibles les corps lumineux flottant dans le vide, sans direction.

V. Pour une théorie des gradations de la présence

Nous sommes portés à considérer la présence et l’absence – sur la scène mais aussi dans les installations – comme deux opposés. Exactement comme le réel et le virtuel, elles ne s’opposent pas comme nous le montrent les œuvres abordées, mais elles correspondent à deux polarités d’un même processus intermédiaire qui mène de l’un à l’autre (et vice-versa) en passant pour une série infinie de variations médiatiques par des technologies, une série de gradations concernant un changement d’état de la matière. Au même niveau que le solide, le liquide ou le gazeux, le numérique est assimilable à un état de la matière parce qu’il permet de transformer des informations. Ainsi comme une matière à l’état liquide peut, à travers un processus de solidification, se transformer en glace, aussi la contraction d’un muscle à l’intérieur d’un mouvement peut en passant pour un procédé de numérisation, devenir un son ou donner consistance à une image qui, sur scène, entre en relation avec le corps du perforateur.

Avec les technologies sur scène, le signe de la présence met en évidence le temps de son apparition et de sa disparition, souvent en mode imperceptible. Dans cet état de choses, la présence, à un certain degré, peut être considérée comme la manifestation d’une série complexe de tensions présentes, mais qui ne sont pas encore complètement perceptibles. Les travaux de Kondziol Plurin mais aussi de Obermaier ou de N+N Corsano par exemple, ne font pas que explorer les différentes intensités, les différents degrés de la manifestation de ces tensions.

Sur un autre plan – dans les installations – le numérique permet de rendre visible le temps à travers des micro-événements – comme les fréquences sonores ou les fluctuations de lumière – et de le faire sentir, littéralement, de son indifférence31. Ici, alors, la question n’est plus seulement de rendre visible l’invisible présent, mais surtout de signaler – à travers ses effets perceptibles – la présence du temps. Et donc, à un certain degré, nous pouvons affirmer que la présence est une atmosphère.

Avec le concept de gradation de présence, ce que je veux suggérer est que la présence semble s’éloigner de sa relation avec l’espace pour toucher l’intime nature du temps ; même si, peut-être, la présence n’est pas exactement le temps, mais sa vibration devenue visible, sa pulsation devenue audible.

Ce processus présente la scène et le dispositif d’installation comme un champ traversé par des ondes en pulsation continue. Donner à voir le temps comme présence signifie alors prendre conscience de la fragilité d’un rythme, d’un mouvement intermédiaire entre l’apparition et la dissolution, dans lequel s’affirme une dynamique où ce qui est apparemment secondaire, par rapport au corps physique sur la scène, est en réalité la texture de fond (et la tension) qui rend possible l’événement et sa manifestation. En d’autres termes, avec l’application des technologies, les gradations de présence s’organisent sur la scène comme une respiration qui donne conscience non seulement de la présence d’un corps qui devient invisible ou moins familier, mais aussi du fait que toute image du corps est en réalité un processus, que toute matière est un événement, que tout est un mouvement et que tout élément – aussi bien physique que chromatique et sonore – est un phénomène transitoire, apte à manifester les formes du temps32.

Dans ce contexte, la présence peut « incendier » l’air : elle met en résonance les choses pour produire un effet d’écho entre la scène et les corps physiques plongés dans le dispositif. Entrer dans

cette atmosphère, pour le corps du performeur signifie donc faire cela depuis la *cinquième paroi du temps*. Il signifie pénétrer dans une autre densité temporelle, dans un processus de transformation qui met en œuvre des objets à une culture des flux où les choses ne s’opposent pas entre elles, mais sont coextensives les unes aux autres dans un même mouvement qui prend forme par degrés, face aux spectateurs.

Instituer là la théorie des gradations de présence signifie penser autrement le fonctionnement des dispositifs performatifs et installatifs. Il est nécessaire d’élargir le concept de présence au-delà du corps pour porter l’attention sur la disposition d’un *état de choses* diffus, et induit par les technologies, où la présence et ses effets se manifestent. On va ici vers une esthétique de l’« œuvre-système » où l’environnement est conçu par le dispositif et activé dans tous ses composants. Les œuvres auxquelles ce principe fait référence sont des champs de force en activité. C’est là - comme dans les œuvres citées - que se croisent tensions cachées et composites dessinant des champs magnétiques à géométrie variable, capables de changer la température et le rythme de la scène pour attiser l’attention du spectateur. C’est là que prend corps la présence. Ceci équivaut à affirmer qu’un doit sortir d’une conception de la scène organisée sur l’action (et sur la représentation) parce qu’elle agit en mode superficiel, pour percevoir le fonctionnement d’une autre logique, celle de la transformation, qui travaille en mode souterrain et permet aux gradations de présence d’affleurer. Peut-être, en tant que moyen, comme un événement surgit d’une action par rapport aux données de la situation, l’effet de transformation est le résultat d’une évolution qui doit être suivi depuis son départ, depuis sa première manifestation.

Cette logique d’articulation implique inévitablement un déplacement radical du point d’observation et une nouvelle rédefinition des stratégies analytiques : on ne traite plus seulement de *sentir* la présence dans sa complexité et dans les formes qu’elle prend sur scène, mais il s’agit plutôt de multiplier les angles d’observation pour comprendre son point de départ, sa phase de gradation et son point de disparition.

Alors, pour localiser et reconnaître la présence dans cette dimension particulière de la scène, il ne suffit pas seulement de regarder ou d’écouter. C’est comme si la scène technologique invitait le spectateur à signaler et réorganiser autrement sa perception ; passer d’une modalité qui procède par formes à une autre qui concerne les intensités. Percevoir l’intensité qui s’exprime de présence signifie d’accroître l’invisible d’un côté et l’insaisissable de l’autre ; ou mieux, de creuser la vision et l’ouïe pour les recomposer sous de nouvelles formes.

---
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RESUME

Ce texte présente une expérience de collaboration entre une chorégraphe et une compositeur dans le cadre du projet L’Origâne.

On décrit ici le projet, ses enjeux artistiques, ses protocoles opérationnels ainsi que la disposition sur lequel reposent les enjeux de la danse. Le Ring, un instrument de composition et de spatialisation temps réel, qui place le public dans un espace de haut-parleurs et permet de capturer la matière sonore dans le souffle même des danseurs.

On analyse ainsi les étapes de la création d’un langage commun, de la notion de corps collectif à celle de corps sonore.

1. INTRODUCTION

Aujourd’hui, il est possible d’observer la matière sonore, de la prolonger dans l’espace physique, de manipuler ses composantes, en un mot d’organiser. Cette nouvelle maniabilité retraité le geste dans la pratique composante et permet d’assister à la naissance de formes inédites, intelligibles mais non toujours prévisibles.

L’imprévisibilité des phénomènes émergents boucle notre approche de la réalité dans laquelle, comme l’éménageur Jasper Hjorth, « nos recherches sont condamnées par ce qu’on espère découvrir » [11] alors que nos modèles de représentations restent incomplets et qu’ils ne s’émancipent pas encore.

Les processus d’affadissement de la fabrication d’un objet sonore se réalisent dans l’espace physique, dans l’expérience de l’état d’intrusion de la perception par le geste et le regard. Par le geste s’opère comme le dit Edgar Morin dans La Mâchoire, un phénomène d’apprentissage mettant le sonor en cycle [9] et au cours duquel ces phénomènes sonores se trouvent reliés à la perception de l’intrusion.

La perception du geste dans une matière sonore, il faut laisser le temps donner forme aux choses avant de chercher à sublimer les circonstances.

Les compositeurs eux-mêmes se laissent dans l’espace sonore. L’écriture musicale est un acte d’écriture par le son et le souffle [10].

C’est précisément à travers ces notions de temps et de souffle que l’expérience pourrait faire partie de mon expérience de composition lors de ma récente collaboration avec la chorégraphe Isabelle Choinière dans le cadre de mon projet L’Origâne [6].

La démarche d’Isabelle Choinière, qui consiste à aborder le mouvement comme un vecteur d’introspection, un moyen d’accéder à un état second où le geste s’autonomise des codes habituels, établi, puis s’auto-organise en fonction de ce qui se révèle, de ce qui est déjà à l’intérieur de soi sensible, trouve un profil de résonance dans le sont binaire.

2. OBJECTIFS

Une expérience de désstabilisation sensorielle par la danse, selon le concept de l’sensorie par l’esthétique que économique, est le surcroît de sonorisation, il introduit un changement de repères en question des corps par le son à chaque choix de très intimes : la perception du mouvement.

Cette sonorisation est une façon de réorganiser de nouveaux chemins à la sensibilité, mais qui exaltés par le dessin de nous, pas de ne pas pas de la proseposition. Le corps sonore (le son et le temps réel par le sonorisation) est voisin, le sonorisation est organisé par le geste. Cette relation est une initiation à une exploration de la sensibilité par de nouveaux chemins, un voyage des sens, un ailleurs qui est finalement soi-même. [5].

3. MISSION EN ŒUVRE

Veuillez noter l’attribution du corps sonore en présence comme matière de départ des corps organisés par des danseurs, surtout certains moments liés aux
3.1. Les codes établis

La plupart du temps un compositeur s’adresse à ses interprètes au moyen d’un langage codé : une écriture qui s’appuie sur des éléments de vocabulaire, des systèmes de représentation et de notation que seul le musicien sait décrypter. Face à la danse dans un contexte où les danseurs sont aussi les interprètes, le compositeur ne peut pas compter uniquement sur ce langage pour s’adresser à l’imaginaire des danseurs. Car les textes, même s’ils sont partiellement, ne véhiculent pas l’image, le temps, l’espace, d’où que s’adressent à des interprètes musiques ou des danseurs.

Il faudra donc avoir recours à des modèles, pour encore des éléments de vocabulaire commun dans l’imaginaire du corps collectif.

3.2. La notion de modèle

Un modèle est un schéma d’organisation théâtrique qui vise la représentation précise de la musique.

Dans un premier temps, on a principalement cherché à tisser des correspondances entre le mouvement et le temps musical, et établir un vocabulaire commun permettant d’approcher le langage du corps sonore. Pour établir ce vocabulaire des exemples sonores didactiques ont été composés, dans lesquels se trouvent consignés des moyens de jouer et de lier des objets sonores simples, identifiables et réproducibles par émission vocale. Ces exemples sont tous réalisés à la clarinette. L’instrumentiste travaille ici sans l’embouchure, le corps de l’instrument et de cuivre de résonance, d’amplificateur à des rites de souffles phoniques.

3.3. Le souffle et le temps

Demander à des danseuses de générer des objets sonores tout en assumant leur fonction d’interprète le va pas de soi.

Il s’agit de faire émerger des objets sonores significatifs, qui se meuvent comme un corps, en utilisant la voix, et plus spécifiquement le souffle, comme prolongement naturel du corps-proche.

Pour qu’il y ait souffle, il faut qu’il y ait mouvement d’inspiration ou d’expiration.

La particularité du souffle est qu’il est conditionné par notre nature intrinsèque, notre fonctionnement biologique, notre capacité respiratoire.

Cependant, grâce à notre capacité d’identification musculaire à l’objet qui nous cherche à inspirer, nous pouvons nous adapter, réguler notre souffle, anticiper.

Pour les danseuses, pour leur souffle leur permet de mener la figure chorégraphique, de tenir la rythme d’oxygénation nécessaire pour mener le mouvement à son terme.

Le même processus d’identification musculaire à l’objet représente une pratique dans le musicien-interprète, pour qui le souffle conditionne la qualité du geste instrumental.

La voix est un instrument particulier car elle repose sur le souffle, pas ce son sans le souffle et pas de souffle sans mouvement.

Demander aux danseurs de se servir de leur voix en danse complexifie la reproduction de l’objet à entendre, puisqu’il faut faire le place aux mouvements musculaires qui vont dire le souffle vers les objets sonores recherchés, les intègrent dans la performance chorégraphique.

Il faut pouvoir voir avec ceux qui animent les autres muscles du corps, les inscrire dans le temps de la figure chorégraphique en cours : le compositeur seul ne peut y parvenir, car il ne la connaissance intime du mouvement que partage la chorégraphe avec ses danseuses et les danseuses autres allais. Il faut donc ouvrir le temps, pouvoir laisser dans le danseuse dans son temps.

Cela conduit à penser de formes et des types d’objets sonores qui, lorsqu’ils seront animés d’une vie propre autonome dans leur réalisation spatiospatiale, formeront l’espace sonore. En d’autres termes, organiser un temps qui se noue au corps, qui se révèle.

En laissant le corps collectif aller de son propre rythme et l’objet sonore, on ouvre la forme.

3.4. L’ouverture formelle

En ce qui concerne l’objet sonore, ce partage permet d’utiliser à la fois l’espace délimité, des sons temporellement aux circonstances.

Du point de vue musical, ou sonore, le mode de production est très spécifique car il introduit de l’improvisé, un ensemble de critères constitutifs de l’objet sonore, comme le temps de début, la durée, le temps de fin, le profil dynamique, le timbre, le registre, l’attaque, le grain.

Ce critères sont les garants de son identité formelle.

Introduire de l’aléatoire sur les attaques, les durées, les registres des sons constitutifs du corps sonore et laissant les danseuses explorer leur ressentir selon des registres présupposément circonstances, permet de rejoindre la notion de forme ouverte.

Par exemple dans Néophyte, l’une des sections de la chorégraphie, les objets sonores spécifiques pour la voix sont des sons produits par des inspirations ou des expirations humains. Le corps collectif doit explorer l’ouverture du diaphragme, du larynx, de la cavité bucal, et tisser des relations entre les mouvements et les sons produits : ouverture et registre, voix et corps dynamique, à la recherche de quelque modalité, de quelque espaces.

On voit à quoi point la combinaison est infinie.

On obtient ainsi un objet sonore polymorphe et changeant doté d’une certaine elastiité et qui s’autorégule comme un corps. Sa projection dans
l’espace physique, son échellement à la surface de la couverture des haut-parleurs, agit en retour sur la proprioception des acteurs impliquant la conscience de ce qui se tisse ensemble.

3.5. Le corps sonore

Un corps c’est aussi une mémoire, et cette mémoire constitue notre interface avec le réel, l’extérieur. Ainsi, j’ai proposé à Isabelle Choinski d’introduire dans l’espace composé d’autres matériaux sonores que ceux qui sont émis par le corps collectif afin de permettre au corps sonore de se mouvoir et d’habiter dans divers milieux, connus ou inconnus, sonorisés ou déssonorisés par des rythmes musicaux extérieurs à lui-même, de se diviser, de se désintégrer, de se projeter dans le temps afin d’en être tout à la fois courants et coutumiers.

Ces matériaux sonores complémentaires sont constitués d’échantillons préalablement fabriqués en studio dont les comportements spatio-temporels sont programmés dans des gestes (tableaux).

Le fait de pouvoir programmer préalablement les comportements spatio-temporels de ces échantillons sonores permet d’introduire dans l’espace composé un “espace sonore fixe” manipulable en tant qu’objet d’une nature autonome comme composé par les sons du corps collectif, de travailler sur plusieurs plans sonores.

On s’est pour immerger le corps collectif dans un espace extérieur à lui-même et qui lui influe par sa propre métrique, son propre temps musical. Ce sont des espaces sonores musicaux composés à partir de matériaux instrumentaux fongés en studio.

Le troisième type de matériaux est lui aussi constitué de sons préalablement acquis en studio, mais conçu de manière à participer aux précédents comportements spatio-temporels n’est pas fixe. Ce sont des sons autonomes qui se mettent en interaction avec les danseuses, de vivre l’expérience du corps collectif, de s’y associer en s’intégrant à son tour dans le corps sonore.

3.6. Spatialisation et traitements

Génerer un corps sonore en prenant comme matériel de départ des sons génériques par les danseuses, questionner la notion d’espace sonore dans laquelle plusieurs niveaux d’espace doivent pouvoir cohabiter simultanément : c’est le propre des musiques électroacoustiques que de mélanger, mixer ensemble des portions d’espace-temps, rapportées, constituées d’objets sonores variés dans l’espace et dans le temps (proches, lointains, localisés, diffus...), de manière à ce qu’ils puissent s’envelopper les uns les autres et soutenir des zones à côté des autres.

Les objets sonores générés par les danseuses, même s’ils diffèrent dans leur typologie (contenus, bruits, lisses, granuleux, melodiques...), sont semblables au niveau de leur image spatiale, puisqu’ils sont tous captés à égale distance de leur source immatérielle (la distance qui sépare la bouche de la danseuse du micro acous place sur le haut de son front). Ils véhiculeront donc tous de l’espace d’image d’espace.

La spatialisation des sons, qui consiste à gérer le comportement spatio-temporel des sons dans l’espace physique, permet d’introduire les notions de relief, de distance et de tracé, de rendre perceptible l’écoulement du temps par le mouvement lui-même, d’introduire la gamme au mouvement. Par ailleurs, les traitements les temps réels que nous allons expérimenter et implémenter dans la prochaine étape de réalisation permettront d’intuiter des images d’espaces dans les sons captés en direct, par exemple en ouvrir des venues sont dilatées, sans que l’on puisse donner la sensation d’un déplacement par simple manipulation des coefficients de réverbération et d’ouverture de la bande passante, ou bien encore de détourner un flux pour générer un matériau sonore distinct, afin d’introduire des situations illimitées où la convergence du virtuel et du physique permet d’instaurer un jeu entre différents plans sonores.
4. LE DISPOSITIF

Lorsque je l’ai rencontrée, Isabelle Choinière avait déjà mené une première expérience de syntonisation sonore en équippant ses devises de micros sensés afin d’écouter le rendu sonore de leurs sources et elle cherchait à donner corps à ces sources en s’intéressant aux dispositifs de projections phonioclines.

Toujours avec enthousiasme, nous avons entamé de collaborer car nous nous sommes retrouvés en phase dans notre approche et mon outil de composition spatiale pouvait constituer le dispositif de médiation nécessaire à l’amélioration de l’expérience.


Dans sa forme actuelle, le Ring est basé sur une coroonde de haut-parleurs identiques répartis sur un cercle et orientés de façon concentrique. On est donc dans un plan horizontale.

Il est fonctionnellement parfaitement parit de l’amplitude et de la phase de la contribution de chaque source dans chacun des haut-parleurs, qu’on peut considérer comme des microphones dans l’espace virtuel et des sources dans l’espace réel. Le modèle de spatialisation ne prend en compte pour l’instant que l’onde directe entre chaque source et chaque haut-parleur.

L’interface graphique de composition est principalement spatiale et non temporelle comme dans un outil de montage. Le système permet l’animation d’un certain nombre de sources montées stase, selon des trajectoires programmées (va et vient, cercle...) ou destinées librement à main levée sur une carte de l’espace virtuel.

Le séquencement temporel est structuré en tableaux (pasées) composés d’objets sonores, programmables dans leurs itérations temporelle et spatiale. Le moteur de séquencement est donc basé sur la notion d’objet sonore, doté d’un comportement temporel programmé (par des paramètres numériques de durée, sur lesquels on peut faire intervenir une part d’aléatoire).
Un éditeur de trajectoire, utilisant une tablette graphique, permet d’introduire la notion d’enveloppe spatiale, où l’amplitude de la source est contrôlée par la pression sur la tablette, un peu comme le ferait un peintre. On peut ainsi travailler le geste instrumental tout en observant son impact dans l’espace sonore en temps réel.

Figure 1. Représentation d’une trajectoire.
5. Modes de jeux
Mûris de cette possibilité, nous avons imaginé et validé des protocoles d’implantation sensorielle et d’utilisation des règles de composition, des modes de jeux qui nous ont conduit à la frontière du sensible.
Lieu de dispositif et de diversification des matériaux sonores et développement de plusieurs modes de jeux.

5.1. Cas n°1 - Le corps collectif interagit sur la partition sonore
Le morphi - Projection Hémisphérique
Les danseuses dirigent le geste du compositeur interprété à qui il revient au cours de la performance de moduler les sons du corps collectif en fonction de ses mouvements et de ses déplacements afin d’en créer, tout ou en partie, le corps sonore.
Le compositeur opère une translation hypothétique, en projetant dans l’espace plat défini par la couronne des haut-parleurs disposée autour du public la position et les déplacements des sources sonores générées par les danseuses, en contrôlant leur niveau de sortie sur chacun des haut-parleurs.
On obtient ainsi une sorte de forme mouvante, unifiée, couse d’une vie propre qui s’auto-organise au sens organique du corps sonore.
Du point de vue du compositeur, la spécificité de ce mode d’interaction réside dans le fait de devoir suivre immédiatement l’évolution des cinq points du corps collectif (en réalité cinq comportements spatio-temporels distincts) alors que l’on ne peut en isoler naturellement qu’un seul en même temps.
Dans cette situation, il fait appel à la perception de mouvement en décomposant les limites de la perception des phénomènes simultanés, d’ordonner dans l’espace de la figure chorégraphique afin de pouvoir en donner lecture à son tour, en la sculptant dans l’espace acoustique, en en donnant une projection, un agencement.
Il y a alors médiation à travers et par le compositeur interprète.
On aurait pu confier cette tâche à un système qui opérerait par capteurs de mouvements, mais ce n’est volontairement pas le cas ici, car ce qui nous intéresse est d’explorer une nouvelle relation de l’individu au collectif et à l’individuel.
Le corps collectif est très sensible et réactif à son corps sonore.
C’est un peu comme si l’ayant logé, il y prolongeait, découvrant son entourage, son même.
Il y a quelque chose de l’ordre du flux, de la sève qui ancre qui se repand ou qui se brise.
Il faut pouvoir décomposer chaque figure chorégraphique, bien connaître chaque mouvement, chaque geste, les mouvements, les visages, les lieux de l’intérieur pour pouvoir s’identifier musicalement à l’objet recherché, afin d’optiimer son geste lors des performances. Le compositeur devient ici interprète du corps collectif.
La relation qui s’installe avec les danseuses est intime, presque affective. Cette responsabilité nous concerne avec une forme de ressenti kinesthésique du mouvement des cinq membres du corps collectif qui oriente le geste de composition spatial.
Le propre de cette écriture est que son temps est partagé, qu’il est dicté par le corps et que les choses ne se reproduisent jamais à l’identique. Au cours de chaque performance, l’imprévisibilité du mouvement nous oblige à relever l’imprévisibilité des gestes du corps collectif, cette expérience réalise notre imaginaire en nous ouvrant de nouveaux horizons.

5.2. Cas n°2 - L’espace sonore n’est pas corrélé spatialement à la partition chorégraphique
L’espace sonore agit sur le ressenti du corps collectif
C’est le cas le plus classique.
L’espace sonore se conditionne par les déplacements dans l’espace physique du corps collectif.
Ici il n’y a pas de corrélation entre la localisation auditive des sources sonores et le localisation des danseuses.
L’espace sonore génère un univers abstrait dans lequel s’opère une certaine dramaturgie du mouvement.
Par exemple la section qui suit le Morphi et que l’on a intitulée En Pause, est une section purement musicale, constituée de sons criards, tissus émanés, simulés de phénomènes ondulatoires.
Une fois déployée dans l’espace, elle influence le corps collectif, elle s’immisce dans son rythme biologique et le relâche à l’apnée, son propre souffle ici le corps sonore est muet.

5.3. Cas n°3 - L’espace sonore est corrélé spatialement à la partition chorégraphique, il fait l’objet d’une indexation temporelle.
Dans ce protocole, le corps sonore pilote les déplacements sur le tapis de danse du corps collectif avec ou sans la participation du compositeur.
Plusieurs situations peuvent advenir et fournir les éléments d’une combinaison.
Ex: voici quelques-unes.

5.3.1. Situation 1
L’espace sonore est fixe, c’est-à-dire qu’il est pré-composé et que sa mise en espace est précédée en fonction de l’intention chorégraphique.
Par exemple, dans la section que l’on a appelée En Pause, l’espace sonore est constitué de sons distinctifs qui se déchirent de l’ensemble et se mettent à tinter dans l’espace créant un rythme, une bascule oscillo...
gaché à droite, d’avant en arrière, qui s’emprunte du côté collectif comme s’il était lié à la surface invisible.
La choriagerie participe de son corps collectif en regard de cette moindre.

Elle spécifie par son écriture chorégraphique qui fait que:
ici elle a choisi de ne pas se détacher ensemble pour aller à tour par tour que deux ou trois membres du corps collectif comme s’ils étaient soumis à l’attraction d’une force incompressible et qui les aspirait, faisant couler sur eux-mêmes, les laissant avant de les quitter et que les laisser rejoindre leurs orbites initiales.

5.3.2. Situations
L’espace scénique est fixé, c’est-à-dire composé à l’avance, mais si mise en espace n’est pas totalement claire. C’est, contrairement à la situation précédente, les gens, les objets sonores ne font pas tous l’objet d’un partage temporel parfaitement défini.
Certains objets sont présents et interagissent avec la décomposition du corps collectif selon une partition préétablie où les autres sont en attente.
Les objets sonores en attente sont des objets spécifiques destinés à établir une interaction avec un ou deux danseurs en même temps. Il permettent au compositeur de s’emparer en temps réel d’un ou plus point du corps collectif, de les en extraire, de les faire se distinguer au cours d’une performance qui s’apparente au solo et d’installer un véritable dialogue avec le ou les danseurs concernés. Au cours de cet échange, le danseur est libre de sa trajectoire et dans les limites fixées par le chorégraphie.
Il peut choisir de se laisser guider dans l’espace, de prolonger la geste spatial du compositeur, en donnant l’image d’un trapèze dans le corps collectif, et s’en éloigner. Dans tous les cas, une écoute polypenthonique, contemporain où se distinguent des mouvements parallèles, obliques ou contraires.

5. CONCLUSION
Dans ce dispositif, le public se trouve à la fois dispois autour du corps collectif des danseurs et pris dans le scénario sonore produit par la couronne de haut-parleurs.
Dans l’état actuel, la conduite de la relation essentielle entre l’extérieur et l’intérieur de ce corps repose principalement sur l’action du compositeur, interprète, qui doit faire face à une complexité croissante des relations spatiales et temporelles des objets qu’il manipule.

Notre démarche apprentissage nous a permis d’apprécier cette complexité de façon coulée et intuitive, grâce à un système simple et subtil, mais encore limité dans sa combinatorique.
Nous travaillons actuellement à des fonctions de mémorisation des sources sonores captées sur le plan et des trajectoires tracées au cours de la performance, de façon à permettre une structuration de l’espace et de l’interprétation, tout en conservant cette capacité de création sensible dans l’instant.

C’est parce qu’il y a une juste équilibre entre l’investigation créative et les limites imposées du système que nous savons aujourd’hui poursuivre ses développements.
Il s’agit en particulier de travailler sur des interactions plus élargies entre les ressources sonores et le temps réel et de l’usage d’une surface tactile multi-sens, incurvée avec le Ring, et qu’il devait permettre au compositeur interprète d’interagir simultanément avec toutes les sources du corps sonore en jouant avec ces dispositifs.
Le compositeur interprète devra être aussi gestionnaire instrumentale en fonction de l’évolution de l’instrument, par un travail d’apprentissage lié au protocole chorégraphique qui permettra d’entrevoir part de fixe l’écriture de nouvelles combinaisons.
En conclusion, cette expérience constitue un étape importante liée à l’apprentissage du corps et des techniques de leurs diversités.
Elle nous a permis de mettre en place de véritables interactions, tant au niveau de l’écriture, que de l’interprétation.
L’expérience de situations où l’on se trouve en position d’inter-acteur nous renseigne sur l’incertitude, le non quantifiable, le sensible tout en nous permettant de repousser les limites de nos modèles.
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8.2.4  *Enrico Pitozzi* (2009a) ‘Spazio stereoscopico per corpo sonoro. Conversazione con Isabelle Choinière’.

Spazio stereoscopico per corpo sonoro

Conversazione con Isabelle Choinière
di Enrico Pitozzi

Enrico Pitozzi: Le tue composizioni indagano le relazioni tra il corpo e i dispositivi tecnologici. Ne La dénonce des anges (2002) hai lavorato con una presenza corporea via rete Internet, con quella che potremmo definire una forma di presenza a distanza. Potresti sottolineare, anche da un punto di vista tecnico, sulla realizzazione e le implicazioni estetiche di questo lavoro?

Isabelle Choinière: Per sviluppare questo progetto ho lavorato con Thierry Fourrier (musicista e informatico formato, tra l’altro, all’IRCAM di Parigi), insieme abbiamo sviluppato un sistema che permette di trattare le informazioni captate in tempo reale via Internet e attraverso una specifica linea ISDN per il trattamento di dati che provengono dal movimento.

Dalle dimensioni principali sulle quali siamo intervenuti: il tempo e lo spazio.

Da un punto di vista della struttura del tempo, parliamo di dati che provengono dal movimento e dal corpo e che sono trattati secondo modalità di trasmissione in rete. Dunque, in questo tipo di intervento, ogni ritardo nella trasmissione ha una precisa ripercussione sulla qualità del movimento che viene visualizzato. Per esempio se il flusso dei dati è interrotto o ritardato, il risultato visualizzato sarà un movimento del corpo piuttosto meccanico, mentre se il flusso è costante e regolare la visualizzazione restituirà un movimento decisamente più organico.

E’ in questo lavoro che abbiamo cominciato a sperimentare diverse strategie inerenti la percezione del corpo. Posso qui mettere in evidenza tre diversi piani operativi. Innanzitutto la presenza mediatica: per rendere conto dell’aspetto performativo dei corpi mediatici trasmessi in tempo reale in immagine e suono abbiamo lavorato con una danzatrice, Sophie Michaud, che aveva già una esperienza di mediatizzazione del suo corpo. Ciò che ci interessava era trasmettere l’impressione che quello che appariva sullo schermo fosse un vero corpo, pulsante, organico.

Il secondo aspetto riguarda invece l’intervento sulla trasmissione dei dati via rete; questo è il punto in cui si interviene sulla qualità della presenza mediatica. Come osservato in precedenza, è sempre un problema di sfasamento, di perdita di dati. Era necessario una strategia per ovviare a questo problema e ottenere una presenza significativamente carismatica, anche se a distanza e via schermo. Per ottenere questo abbiamo processato i dati provenienti dalla rete per correggere certe distorsioni attraverso una piattaforma MAX, vero cervello elettronico in grado di controllare tutti gli aspetti tecnologici dello spettacolo via rete. Contrariamente, nel lavoro con la danzatrice, abbiamo invece deciso di mantenere un leggero ritardo nel trattamento dei suoi dati; questo era necessario per

dare il senso della presenza di un corpo che proviene dalla rete, in tempo reale (come contrapposto a un’immagine del corpo preregistrata e diffusa in video).

Il terzo aspetto riguarda invece la dimensione tecnologica: accennarò solo velocemente a questo punto, ma è cruciale per lo sviluppo della performance. Per favorire l’espressione e la percezione di un corpo vivenne, organico, abbiamo dovuto testare un’alta quantità di proiettori sia analogici che digitali privilegiando, alla fine, un proiettore a tubo perché la qualità della luce era completamente diversa. Si tratta di una luce viva, emotionale, in grado di restituire un’organicità anche se il corpo è, in questo caso, fatto di onde luminose, vibranti. L’impressione è che la luce respiri ed i colori siano ricchi e luminosi, mentre nei proiettori digitali la luce è come spenta, depotenziata.

È all’interno di questo processo su un corpo altro che è stato necessario lavorare sulle diverse dimensioni dello spazio. Dimensioni complementari e simultanee. Uno spazio reale davanti al pubblico, e uno spazio lontano – molto lontano, anche in un altro continente o nella stanza accanto poco importa – presentato attraverso la proiezione di tracce di un altro corpo.

E. P.: in realtà questo corpo di cui parli è un corpo traccia, una presenza che si dà per gradi e pertecipa a un processo costante di moltiplicazione…


C’è anche una presenza strettamente sonora. Le voci e i gesti delle interpreti sono captate da una serie di microfoni e sensori posizionati sui loro corpi, e questi dati sono trasferiti simultaneamente nello spazio della performance e in rete per formare quello che
potrei definire un duo a distanza. È come se le due interpreti generassero e si scambiassero, in tempo reale, il suono e le immagini che pro-
vengono dalla composizione dei movimenti, producendo una sorta di persistenza retinica della loro immagine nello spazio. Possiamo quindi vedere e sentire ogni interprete, prese-
ne in modo diverso dell’altra. Questo processo invi-
ita a una ricomposizione della percezione dei 
performer in relazione all’azione e crea una sovrapposizione e confusione di dimensioni 
reali.

E. P.: In diversi interventi hai parlato, rispetto al 
tuo lavoro, del corpo sonoro: si tratta qui di un 
divenire sonoro del corpo; potresti soffermarti su questo aspetto particolare della tua produ-
zione?

I. C.: Per fare una breve ricapitolazione di que-
sto concetto devo partire dal mio primo lavoro; 
nella Dérence des âmes continuavo il lavoro 
avviato con Communion (1996) sul concetto di 
corpo sonoro. A quel tempo già m’interrogavo 
sulle possibilità di ottenere un corpo sonoro a 
partire dal corpo sonoro del danzatore. Ma que-
sto corpo sonoro non è propriamente un dop-
pio, piuttosto è una nuova manifestazione che 
proviene da un intervento sull’assetto proprio-
cettivo del corpo – la modalità attraverso la 
quale sentiamo con i nostri sensi – sviluppato 
grazie all’influenza delle tecnologie come ele-
menti di destabilizzazione dell’assetto percettivo abituale. Evidentemente si tratta di un vero processo di trasformazione della natura 
estessa del corpo. In Communion ho lavorato la relazione con il suono in un rapporto di casualità (“ting” è diverse dinamiche di con-
trrollo in una dimensione di continuità). 

Nella Dérence des âmes, ho cercato di approfondire questo processo. Per farlo ho dovuto sviluppare una serie particolare di senso-
ri da applicare al corpo. Avevo la necessità di lavorare con captori che mi dessero la possibilità di comporre e captare un movimento in maniera decisamente più sottile, lenta, e che nello stesso tempo mi permettessero di lavorare invece sull’opposto, in una dinamica di accelerazione o frammentazione del flusso. Dovevo avere accesso a tutta una gamma di dinamiche di movimento. Questi captori
sono quindi stati progettati per permettere una vera scrittura mutante, per poter accedere a tutti i possibili registri di qualità del gesto per creare delle sonorità e un movimento in situazione di totale interdipendenza.

E. P.: Nel tuo attuale processo di creazione la direzione è decisamente più accentuata verso l'organizzazione di un movimento sonorizzato.

I. C.: Sì, è solo oggi – con lo sviluppo della nuova creazione che ha visto diverse tappe preparatorie prima di prendere la forma che va oggi sotto il titolo di Meat Paradox – che i mezzi tecnologici per arricchire sia la corporeità che la dimensione del corpo sonoro sono stati sviluppati. Nelle diverse tappe di lavoro ho sempre una necessità formale: uscire dalla relazione strumentale con le tecnologie. Quindi è come rivedere e riconoscere la necessità di una relazione profonda tra le tecnologie e la corporeità per poter intervenire ed modificare: dal punto di vista della sua organizzazione percettiva, la corporeità stessa. E quindi produrre un gesto nuovo.

Nella seconda fase di lavoro che è stata sviluppata qui a Montréal presso l'UQAM e in Francia, presso il Centre des arts d'Enghien, abbiamo sviluppato l'idea della sinestesia sonora. Era dunque necessario trovare una relazione tra il corpo collettivo delle performer in movimento e la creazione sonora in tempo reale. È qui che è emerso per la prima volta il concetto di corpo sonoro collettivo, un modo per riflettere su una struttura coreografica e una dinamica artistica che si soffermi sulla complessità e l'interconnessione. Le danzatrici hanno potuto sviluppare una sensibilità diffusa, sia a livello del movimento che a livello della produzione sonora in tempo reale, ma anche a livello delle relazioni che queste diverse entità intrattenevano per dare forma alla performance.

Tuttavia è solo a partire dal mio incontro con la compositrice francese Dominique Besson, nel 2007, che questo concetto di corpo sonoro ha potuto trovare un nuovo e decisivo sviluppo dal punto di vista della composizione sonora. Dominique è interessata, esattamente come me, a sviluppare un laboratorio in cui le espressioni artistiche vengano modificate dalle esperienze della trasformazione della corporeità. Nell'aprile 2008 abbiamo lavorato presso il CDC (Centre de développement chorégraphique de Grenoble) e abbiamo sviluppato una nuova dinamica di integrazione delle tecnologie. Alla fine di questo sorgono il lavoro ha preso il titolo di Meat Paradox. E qui che Dominique ha sviluppato un processo di trattamento dati in tempo reale attraverso il suo software Ring. Quando parli di corpo sonoro faccio riferimento – in primo luogo – a una dimensione del corpo che è originata in tempo reale dalle danzatrici, dunque che si inscrive perfettamente in un contesto di coreografia allargata che sto elaborando in questo momento. Il corpo sonoro è dunque un'emanazione, una dilatazione del corpo reale; ne costituisce una vibrazione alla quale rinvio, da un punto di vista sensoriale, per comporre la partitura.

E. P.: Questo lavoro sulla dimensione sonora del corpo implica necessariamente un riposizionamento dell'assetto sensoriale ed emotivo della performer nella direzione di una nuova geografia della percezione.
I. C.: Ciò che è estremamente interessante, in questa prospettiva, è che lavorando sul corpo sonoro possiamo intervenire – per rinnovarla – sulla senso-rialità e sull’assetto percettivo delle performer. Questo passa, da un lato, ad abbandonare le modalità di composizione già sperimentate e quindi aprire a un gesto in continua trasformazione. E qui che la sensorialità di riorganizza, l’interiorità si fa mediatica. Con questo intendo dire che siamo di fronte a un’intenzionalità che si modifica, che è evolutiva e si trasforma in relazione alla destabilizzazione sensoriale che le tecnologie provocano. Questo ci permette di uscire dalla scie percettivo. Le tecnologie devono rinnovare l’esperienza della corporeità e, in relazione a questo, lavorare sulla dimensione della sensorialità e sugli stati emotionali che questa può produrre. È un modo per degerarchizzare il corpo. Ciò che succede tra il corpo e la tecnologia non è una relazione esterna, il dispositivo deve fondersi nel processo di composizione.

E. P.: Questo aspetto transitorio e modificativo della corporeità mette in gioco – radicalmente – anche la nozione di spazio. Puoi soffermati su questo aspetto?

I. C.: Cerco sempre di comperare in relazione allo spazio; tuttavia questa corrispondenza non deve essere formale e unitaria ma relativa, perché è legata all’esperienza del corpo. Dopo l’incontro con Dominique – fortemente interessata alla relazione del suono con lo spazio – abbiamo potuto sviluppare una vera relazione relativa con lo spazio del suono, con la sua spazializzazione. E come se il suono giocasse il ruolo di una danzatrice aggiunta. Partecipa all’organizzazione del corpo collettivo sonoro. Siamo dunque in una forma d’astrazione della dimensione cartesiana dello spazio, a favore di una
La nozione corporea di quest’ultimo; una nozione, ancora una volta, relativa. Relativa rispetto all’organizzazione dello spostamento nello spazio delle danzatrici all’unisono per formare un vero e proprio corpo collettivo, così come nel lavoro dell’artista brasiliara Lygia Clark.

Nella 3ème création, phase 2 (2006), la ridefinizione – in ogni momento – della relazione tra le performer nello spazio ha prodotto, di conseguenza, un’altra struttura coreografica e un altro tipo di organizzazione di figure di spazio per generale il soundscape della performance.

Si tratta dunque di lavorare sul ritmo e sul posizionamento delle danzatrici nello spazio, poiché la loro relazione spaziale cambia continuamente, e di organizzare una struttura tridimensionale del gesto e delle inter-relazioni, così come delle figure sonore nello spazio. Entrambi queste dimensioni sono concepiti per propagazione verso lo spettatore, tendendo verso il riaspetto della sua percezione spaziale e sensoriale.

E. P.: Da un punto di vista del dispositivo, come è stato realizzato tecnicamente?

I. C.: A partire dalla seconda fase di lavoro per comporre il dispositivo abbiamo utilizzato essenzialmente microfoni senza fili; questi microfoni captano il respiro delle danzatrici per elaborare successivamente sotto forma sonora. Questo ha sviluppato un principio di propriocezione sonora o di sinestesia sonora che partecipa alla complessità della dinamica del corpo collettivo disegnato dalla partitura coreografica; ciò ha una risonanza con il concetto di corpo sonoro del lavoro, un livello di complessità, potremmo dire, ancorato nel principio del movimento.

Se questo riguarda la captazione del corpo delle performer, al suolo abbiamo posizionato sensori interattivi in grado di rilevare le vibrazioni del movimento. Entrambi queste onde sonore si propagano compenetrandosi; è la forma di organicità del sistema che può essere dinamizzata e amplificata per creare un nuovo tipo di propriocezione sonora. La prima forma di captazione è legata al soffio o alla dinamica di certi gesti che passano, in particolare, per l’articolazione delle parti inferiori, come le gambe. Il vantaggio di questo dispositivo è che le vibrazioni vanno a formare come una ragnatela che permette alle cinque danzatrici in movimento di avere una percezione cinestetica dei loro corpi e allo stesso tempo restituire il corpo collettivo in movimento sotto forma di suono. Tuttavia qui non siamo ancora in un vero lavoro di composizione sul suono; è solo nella fase successiva, con l’intervento di Dominique Besson, che siamo intervenute su una serie di micro-variazioni per il software Ring, liberando le possibilità del suono nella composizione. Come dice Dominique Besson, “il Ring si orienta verso una direzione di sistema aperto di spazializzazione in tempo reale di funzioni di memorizzazione graduale del segnale e delle traiettorie. Interfacciate come entrate nel sistema Ring, le fonti sonore generate dalle danzatrici diventano manipolabili nello spazio e nel tempo”.
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E. P.: Questo implica una diversa organizzazione delle temporalità del movimento. Potresti soffermarti su questo aspetto di Meat Paradoxe?

I. C.: Mi interessa delineare un nuovo tipo di temporalità; mi interessa una certa lentezza che sfiora l’immobilità, che dà l’idea di un tempo che non passa, come sospeso. Questo è inserito, nei miei lavori, all’interno di una più ampia strategia di degerarchizzazione della struttura del corpo, in cui l’interesse generale è intercalato in un movimento collettivo fatto di diversi contatti, divisioni. Mi interessa un altro tipo di temporalità, se vuoi collettiva, perché non è legata a un solo corpo e dunque all’articolazione di un solo movimento, ma piuttosto alla costruzione e alla relazione di cinque movimenti e temporalità completamente differenti tra loro e tra loro, che possono andare all’unisono ma anche diversificarsi, incontrarsi e separarsi. Questa temporalità è legata a un modo di auto-organizzazione fondato su una strategia di movimento transitorio come in Ishma Brown; fondato su una radicalizzazione delle indicazioni di Steve Paxton e della contact-improvitation. È la direzione che garantisce un rapporto con la temposità complessiva e questo comporta un lavoro sulla risonanza tra temporalità diverse.

E. P.: Ancora una domanda sulla spazio, meglio, sulla fruizione rassodata da parte del pubblico. Tu parli di massa del corpo, che è una riformulazione della forma del corpo. La prossimità spaziale tra performer e spettatore è una strategia per ottenere questa decostruzione della forma. Puoi soffermarti su questo aspetto?


E. P.: Sono interessato, a questo punto, a riflettere su una questione a mio
modo di vedere centrale: quella che riguarda il passaggio da un concetto di rappresentazione a uno di trasformazione. Questo perché se abbiamo la possibilità di lavorare a diverse dimensioni, non si tratta più di rappresentare qualcosa, ma di trasformare qualcosa in qualcosa d’altro.

I. C.: Questo è un aspetto molto interessante, e credo che sia possibile. Forse è un processo che può essere acquisito da chi ha una certa esperienza con le tecnologie. Dico questo perché il livello al quale faccio riferimento permette di riuscire a comprendere e ascoltare tutti i passaggi e le trasformazioni sensoriali che le tecnologie consentono di veicolare. Nel lavoro di interprete, per esempio, devi andare – per comprendere questo – a fondo di alcuni processi di carattere propiologico e essere in grado di permettere alle tecnologie di integrare questo processo di espansione sensoriale. E ancora una volta un lavoro di carattere propiologico, serve a riorganizzare i propri sensi. E, in seguito, questo modo particolare di apprezzare il movimento nel corpo deve essere trasmesso allo spettatore. La spazializzazione sonora permette di fare questo: portare il movimento interiore del corpo delle danzatrici all’occhio e al corpo dello spettatore. È qui che entra in gioco una modifica dell’assetto percettivo dello spettatore: una serie di onde emotionali variano dalle danzatrici allo spettatore attraverso la mediazione del suono.

E. P.: In questo contesto possiamo affermare che il processo di lavoro sul corpo sonoro raddoppia la dimensione empatia tra il performer e lo spettatore. In altri termini c’è, a un certo livello, una relazione emotiva tra lo spettatore e il performer. Una sorta di cannibalismo del gesto operato dallo spettatore. Lo spettatore guarda e questo ha una ripercussione nella sua fisicità. Il corpo sonoro spazializzato nella sala immerge direttamente lo spettatore nel movimento che sta guardando. C’è una trasformazione che interviene anche nella riedificazione dell’organizzazione percettiva dello spettatore.

I. C.: É qui che si sperimenta una vera trasformazione del corpo collettivo sonoro, una strategia di apprendimento connettiva e integrativa. Come tu stesso hai indicato in diverse occasioni, le ricerche di Rizzolatti e della sua equipe sui “neuroni spaccio” sono interessanti perché ci portano a comprendere qualcosa di più sull’intelligenza del corpo e sulle modalità di auto-organizzazione alle quali facevo riferimento. È questo livello non linguistico che mi interessa; esso è sollecitato per mettere in connessione le danzatrici tra loro e comporle così il corpo collettivo fino ad arrivare alla sua relazione con lo spettatore. Si tratta, come tu stesso dici, di una questione di empatia. È un processo di trasformazione da un lato e di contaminazione dall’altro. Per dirlo con una immagine posso dire che questo processo di contaminazione si propaga come un’ondata dalle danzatrici verso lo spettatore portandolo a una nuova consapevolezza sensoriale, verso una esperienza empatia simbiotica. I tempi di cambiamento propriocettivi sono lunghi. Comprendere l’effetto esterocettivo che la tecnologia induce sui modelli sensoriali richiede un tempo di assimilazione e, da parte nostra, la comprensione dei processi in corso.

2 Ibidem.
8.2.5  *Enrico Pitozzi* (2009b) ‘Espace stereoscopico per corpo sonoro. Converszione con Isabelle Choinière’.
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Espace stéréoscopique pour corps sonore, un entretien d’Enrico Pitozzi avec Isabelle Choinière

Enrico Pitozzi, décembre Projets, section entretiens

Corps médiatisé et degrés de présence

Isabelle Choinière, La démarche des anses, 2002, photo : Frédérique Bolté


I. C. : Pour développer le projet de la Démence j’ai travaillé avec Thierry Fournier, musicien et informaticien formé en partie à l’IRCAM de Paris. Nous avons développé un système qui permet de traiter des informations capturées en temps réel via le réseau par le biais de lignes Internet et de lignes dédiées-ISDN.

Du point de vue temporel, il s’agit d’un traitement de données provenant du mouvement et du corps selon les modèles de transmission en réseau. Dans ce type de traitement, chaque retard dans la transmission a une répercussion sur la qualité du mouvement visualisé. Par exemple si le traitement des données accuse un retard, le mouvement visualisé aura une apparence plutôt mécanique, alors que si les flux des données est constant et régulier, la visualisation rendra un flux plus organique. Nous avons donc expérimenté plusieurs stratégies sur la question de la perception de la corporelité.
Le premier aspect concerne la présence médiatique. Pour rendre compte de l’aspect performatif des corps médiatisés et retransmis en temps réel en image et en son, ou de la corporelité de l’image en temps réel pour le dire autrement, nous avons retenu les services d’une répétitrice. Auparavant elle-même danseuse dans la troupe de théâtre québécois multimédia l’Ecran Humain. Cette répétitrice, Sophie Michaud, avait l’expérience de la médatisation de son corps. Au début du travail, il nous était difficile de faire sentir que ce corps projeté en réseau était une vraie personne, une performeuse en temps réel. Sophie a donc travaillé et dirigé chacune des danseuses via sa manifestation médiatisée, son corps média – sous forme vidéo et sonore. Elle nous donnait les instructions via le système de visioconférence, car nous étions dans l’autre pièce. Pour le travail relatif à cette création, nous avions loué deux espaces à proximité l’un de l’autre dans le même théâtre, mais nous avions gardé la même connectique (lignes ISDN et Internet) pour avoir la même situation technologique qu’en représentation. La question du délai dans la transmission d’un lieu à un autre constitue une importante problématique de la présence médiatique. Pour atteindre une présence performatique, charismatique à distance, ce problème de décalage devait être surmonté. Nous avons donc corrigé le délai dans la programmation de MAX, en quelque sorte le cerveau qui contrôlait tous les échanges technologiques du spectacle en réseau. Toutefois, lors du travail avec la répétitrice, nous avons conservé un très léger délai pour marquer l’identité d’un corps transmis via le réseau, en contrepont avec un corps qui serait préréglé et diffusé en vidéo.

Isabelle Choinière. La démence des anges, 2002, photo : Frédérique Bolté

Le deuxième aspect concerne le type de technologie employé. Pour favoriser l’expression et la perception d’un corps vivant, organique, nous avons dû tester une quantité de projecteurs numériques d’une part, et analogues (à trois tubes) d’autre part. Finalement nous avons retenu les projecteurs à tube pour leur qualité de la lumière totalement différente. Celle du projecteur à tube est une lumière vivante, vibrante, tu as l’impression que la lumière respire, les couleurs sont très riches et lumineuses, tandis que celle du projecteur numérique est plutôt étincelante. L’ensemble de ce travail nous a porté à réfléchir sur les différentes stratégies pour rendre présent le corps médiatisé. La réalisation du projet a nécessité l’utilisation de projections et donc de dimensions spatiales différentes et simultanées. Un espace réel devant public, et un espace lointain – dans une autre ville ou continent – ou vice-versa, dans une chambre à côté,
toujours présents à travers les projections des traces d’un autre corps provenant d’un espace ailleurs.

E.P. : La présence, en ce sens, se manifeste dans un processus constant de multiplication. Je parlerais donc d’une présence qui se donne par degrés : autant dans ses traces visuelles que dans ses traces sonores, par exemple.

Isabelle Choinière, La déméance des anges, 2003, photo : Frédérique Bolté


Il y a aussi une dimension de la présence strictement sonore. Les voix et les gestes des interprètes sont captés par une série de microphones et de capteurs placés sur leurs corps. Ces données sont transmises simultanément dans l’espace de la performance et en réseau pour former ce que nous avons nommé un duo à distance. Un corps synthétique se construit donc par le croisement entre les corps physiques, matériels, et leurs projections réciproques, mais également par des effets de persistance rétiniens. Sur scène, les signes des corps sont mêlés aux corps physiques, jusqu’à se confondre.
Cette intervention se développe donc simultanément en deux lieux différents communicant avec des interfaces de réseau. Dans chaque lieu, on trouve un interprète et des spectateurs. Les deux interprètes échangent, en temps réel, par leur propre mouvement, le son et l'image projetés, et perçus, à l'intérieur de l'espace de l'autre. Ce processus invite à reconfigurer, par conséquent, la perception du perforateur en action et crée une superposition et une confusion de dimensions « réelles ».

**Le corps sonore : vers une nouvelle géographie de la perception**


**E.P.** : A plusieurs occasions, vous avez parlé, sur rapport à votre travail, de corps sonore, donc d’un devenir sonore du corps. Pourriez-vous poursuivre plus en détail sur cet aspect de votre production ?


Dans *Communion*, j’ai travaillé la relation avec le son partiellement dans un rapport de causalité. Dans la *Démence des âges*, on a complexifié cela au niveau des dynamiques en continu, mais aussi en situation de temps réel en réseau, donc de durée à distance. Dans la *Démence* par contre, nous avons du développer nos propres sensors car il n’en existait pas pour la dynamique ou la nature du corps en mouvement. Les sensors disponibles répondent à des logiques cartésiennes (plan x, y, z) ou autres liées aux besoins des fabricants de voitures, machines diverses. Ce voulait donc des capteurs qui me permettraient de bouger d’une manière fine, subtile, lente et également qui me permettrait de bouger d’une façon brusque, rapide. Des capteurs qui me donnerent accès à toute la gamme de dynamiques du mouvement, pour développer un discours sur la corporalité et non l’apparait. Ces capteurs couplés sur mesure ont favorisé une écriture unitaire, croisée, avec l’accès à tous les registres nécessaires pour créer de la musique et du mouvement en situation totale d’interdépendance.
E. P. La direction de votre dernier projet de création évolue selon un agencement bien plus développé entre le mouvement et la spatialisation du son.

Isabelle Choinière, 3ème création, phase II, 2006/8, Danseuses : Isabelle Choinière, Marie-Josée Boulanger, Sophie Goulet-Delisle, Soraya Caron, Rosalie Famelart, photo : Hugo Lafrance


Lors de la phase II qui a eu lieu à l’Université du Québec à Montréal – UQAM, et au centre des arts d’Équihien-des-Bains en France, nous avons commencé à développer l’idée d’une synthèse sonore. Le but était de construire les relations entre un corps collectif de performeuses, et la création de son en temps réel, ce qui nous sortait justement de cette instrumentalisation. On a alors commencé à développer l’idée du corps sonore collectif. Cette démarche nous permettait de réfléchir sur une structure chorégraphique et des dynamiques artistiques qui parlaient de la complexité et de l’interconnexion dans laquelle le monde actuel nous plonge. Les dansseuses ont dû développer un sens clair de l’image même tant au niveau du mouvement, au niveau de la production sonore en temps réel, qu’au niveau des relations tissées entre ces deux entités.

À partir de ma rencontre avec la compositrice Dominique Besson en décembre 2007, ce travail a pu trouver une expression au niveau musical. Dominique était intéressée presque autant que moi, à développer un laboratoire où les expressions artistiques seraient transformées par l’expérience de la modification de la corporelité. En avril-mai 2008, nous avons été accueillis au CDC-Centre de développement chorégraphique de Grenoble où nous avons testé de nouvelles dynamiques relationnelles réelles et médias. Un authentique développement de l’enrichissement de l’expérientiel a pris corps et, suite à cette résidence, la création a pris le nom de Meat Paradoxe.
Le Ring : Dominique Besson, Antoine Schmitt et Olivier Kochlin

Pour être en mesure de se concentrer sur la dimension artistique, Dominique a fait faire le développement en temps réel sur son outil de spatialisation, Le RING, en amont. Pour avoir une description et un témoignage de cette phase de travail tant au niveau artistique que technologique, le lecteur peut accéder à son article, dont l’adresse paraît dans la section Liens Internet.

Quand je parle de corps sonore, je réfère en première instance à une dimension du corps qui est engendrée en temps réel par les danseuses, donc qui entre parfaitement dans le concept de chorégraphie large – en référence aux philosophies orientales qui m’ont inspirée – que je développe avec ces derniers projets. Le corps sonore est donc une emmétion, une dilatation du corps réel qui en constitue une vibration à laquelle on se réfère d’un point de vue sensoriel pour composer la partition.

E. P. : Ce travail sur la dimension sonore du corps implique nécessairement une redéfinition et une remise en ordre sensorielle des performances en direction d’une nouvelle géographie de la perception.

I. C. : Oui, ce qui est extrêmement intéressant, c’est qu’en travaillant sur le corps sonore, on peut intervenir – pas les renouveler – sur la sensorialité et sur la perception des danseuses dans leur développement dans l’espace. Ça nous permet d’un côté d’abandonner des modalités de composition déjà expérimentées, et de l’autre d’approfondir le geste en transformation continue. Ainsi, la sensorialité se réorganise et l’intériorité – une intériorité
modifiée, évolutif, en transformation par la destabilization inhérente de l’extérieur technologique – est en quelque sorte médiatisée.

Cela nous permet de sortir de la sclérose chorégraphique et, de mon point de vue l’un des aspects les plus importants du travail avec les technologies, de renouveler notre organisation perceptive pour engendrer de nouvelles partitions du geste. C’est aussi une modalité pour déhiérarchiser le corps. Ce qui se passe entre la performeuse et la technologie n’est pas une relation externe, celle-ci se fonde dans le processus de travail de composition.

E.R. : Cela me fait penser à la composition de l’espace. Pouvez-vous parler de la relation qui s’instaure, du point de vue spatial, entre le corps physique et le fantôme sonore, dont nous avons précédemment parlé ?


Isabelle Choinière, Sève création, phase II, 2006 ©, Dansseuses : Isabelle Choinière, Marie-Josée Boudreau, Sophie Goulet-Delisle, Sotiria Caron, Rosalie Fournier, photo : Hugo Lafrance

Dans la phase II, 2006, les cinq dansseuses redéfinissent à chaque instant leur relation réciproque avec et dans l’espace, engendrant par conséquent, une autre structure chorégraphique et un autre type d’organisation des figures d’espace chorégraphique, générant ainsi le corps sonore de la performance. Cette approche de l’organisation des relations dans l’espace est similaire, même si elle ne provient pas de là, à la dynamique de l’essaim ou du swarm en anglais. Le rythme et le positionnement des dansseuses dans l’espace sont déterminés en relation l’un avec l’autre et cette relation est perpétuellement en changement, dans une structure tridimensionnelle du geste et des interrelations. Dans la phase III, réalisée avec Dominique, nous avons complexifié ce rapport en travaillant les figures d’espace
chorégraphique en étroite relation avec les figures d’espaces sonores. Nous avons intégré le corps sonore spatialisé dans cette relation dite relative.

E. P. : Du point de vue du dispositif, comment ce premier niveau d’intervention sur le son est-il composé ?

Dans la phase II, pour le dispositif, nous avons travaillé avec des microphones sans fil, distants de la tête des danseuses. Le microphone capte la respiration des danseuses pour l’élaborer successivement. Un principe de proprioception ou de synesthesie sonore participe à la complexité de la dynamique du corps collectif de la partition chorégraphique, ce qui a une résonance avec le concept de corps sonore du travail, un niveau de complexité amorcé dans le principe du mouvement.

Au sol, nous avons utilisé des capteurs audio pour capter les vibrations au mouvement. Ces vagues sonores se propagent dans une forme organique qui peut être dynamisée et amplifiée pour créer un nouveau type de proprioception sonore. Une dimension très proche de la manipulation d’une énergie émotionnelle constitue l’espace de jeu.

Si la première forme de captation est liée au souffle ou à la dynamique de certains gestes et d’une conscience collective du geste et du souffle, donc en dehors d’un rapport de causalité, tout le travail au sol concerne une dynamique gestuelle qui passe dans un nouveau niveau du corps. Je parle en particulier de l’articulation des parties inférieures, comme les jambes. Grâce à cet outil, les vibrations se tissent un peu comme une toile d’araignée et permettent aux cinq danseuses en mouvement d’avoir une perception kinesthésique de leur corps et de produire un rendu sonore de ce corps collectif en mouvement.

Nous pourrions dire qu’il y a différents paliers sonores qui se composent organiquement dans la dimension du corps sonore. Cet aspect ne peut pas être directement référé à un corps individuel, mais plutôt à une complexité, à une masse de corps; un corps collectif composé de différentes dimensions entre lesquelles la captation du souffle ou du mouvement au sol est liée à une forme de diffusion sonore, donc à une spatialisation extrêmement rudimentaire. Ici, il n’y a pas encore de travail d’articulation artistique au niveau sonore. Nous avons commencé à l’intégrer vraiment à la phase III où l’on a remplacé le type de micro-vibration par le RING qui était beaucoup plus riche en possibilités. Avec cette phase, le Ring s’oriente « dans la direction d’un système ouvert de spatialisation temps réel doté de fonctions de mémorisation graduelle du signal et des trajectoires, interférences comme des entrées temps réel dans le Ring, les cinq sources sonore générées par les danseuses deviennent donc pilotes dans l’espace et dans le temps. » (Besson, 2009)

E. P. : Cette dimension temporelle complètement renouvelée caractérise le déplacement du mouvement. Pourriez-vous parler de cet aspect de Meat Paradoxe ?

424 Choinière
I. C. : Je cherche à décliner un nouveau type de temporalité, surtout en ce qui concerne le mouvement. Je cherche aussi une certaine lenteur jusqu'à l'immobilité si nécessaire, qui donne l'idée d'un temps qui ne passe pas, un temps suspendu. Ce processus est inséré dans mes travaux, à l'intérieur d'une plus vaste stratégie de déhierarchisation, d'auto-organisation des repères sensoriels et perceptuels. Ceci enclenche une nouvelle stratégie d'organisation de la structure du corps, pour l'inclure dans un flux de mouvement plus précis et composite, fait de contact, de division, mais où le corps individuel perd sa place pronoitique à l'intérieur du développement de la performance.

Ce qui m'intéresse est un autre type de temporalité, une temporalité collective, parce qu'elle n'est pas liée à un seul corps et donc à l'articulation d'un seul mouvement, mais plutôt à la construction et à la relation de plusieurs mouvements et de temporalités complètement différentes les unes des autres, qui peuvent aller à l'unisson mais aussi se diversifier, se rencontrer et se séparer. Cette temporalité est reliée au mode d'auto-organisation basée sur une stratégie de mouvement transitoire de Trisha Brown, sur une radicalisation de la démarche de Paxton en s'appuyant sur ses techniques de corps tactiles, mais en poussant ses techniques jusqu'à une obsession hypnotique du contact du point de vue des danseuses. Ça veut dire mettre en résistance diverses temporalités dans un même processus de déplacement.

*Le paradigme de la transformation : corps collectif et empathie*
E. P.: En matière de rapprochement des corps dans l'espace, vous parlez d'une masse de corps, donc d'une certaine modalité pour reformuler la forme des corps rapprochés. La proximité spatiale entre spectateur et performeurs ne sert-elle pas aussi pour décomposer la forme du corps dans le flux du mouvement collectif?

I. C.: Nous sommes à essayer différents modes de réception de ce matériau sensoriel. Par exemple, le public circule autour du corps collectif qui est présenté dans un espace circulaire où les spectateurs sont entre l'arène-zone de performance du corps collectif et l'arène (le beige) des enceintes, soit l'octogone par laquelle l'espace, qui est leur espace extérieur, est délimité. Depuis la phase III et le début du travail avec la composition Dominique Besson, le travail sur l'espace et sur la perception s'est enormément complexifié. A cette étape, nous avons placé les spectateurs très près des corps. Nous cherchions à provoquer un effet un peu hypnotique devant cette forme complexe, devenue sonore, cette masse qui chante, qui gémit. L'effet immersif, empathique, donc de reconnaissance psycho-corporelle, si on ne prend pas pour acquis que tout le monde sait que la dimension psychologique est intégrée dans la dimension corporelle, était souhaitée. Le spectateur est immergé dans cette forme vivante sonore par la proximité qui lui enlève ses références analytiques. Car il est dans la forme, il est dans la chair, il est dans l'hyper-intime et il est immergé également par le ton de cette forme qui se déplace autour de lui, traverse son espace. Il est donc en présence de deux formes auxquelles il n'est pas habitué, qui brouillent ses codes, ses repères et qui bougent autour de lui.

E. P. Je suis intéressé, à ce point, à réfléchir sur une question centrale qui concerne le passage d'un concept de représentation à celui de transformation. Parce que si nous avons la possibilité de travailler sur différentes dimensions, on n'est plus à traiter quelque chose, mais plutôt en train de transformer quelque chose dans autre chose. Tracer une trajectoire dans la matière, en quelque sorte.
I. C. : Ceci est un aspect très intéressant, et je crois que c’est possible. Peut-être est-ce un processus qui peut être acquis pendant et grâce à une certaine expérience avec les technologies. Je disais même que cela permet que l’on renouvelle la trajectoire dans la matière du corps, du son et de l’espace. Parce que le niveau auquel je fais référence est celui de réussir à comprendre et écouter tous les passages et les transformations sensorielles que les technologies permettent de développer, et cela à différents niveaux d’intervention. C’est encore une fois un travail d’organisation de la sensibilité, un travail proprioceptif. Et cette modalité d’écouter et d’apprendre par le mouvement dans le corps doit être porté à l’extérieur, transmis au spectateur. On peut alors questionner l’organisation perceptuelle du spectateur des ondes émotionnelles partent des danseurs pour rejoindre le corps des spectateurs par la médiation du son.

E. P. : Dans ce contexte, on peut affirmer que ce processus de travail sur le corps sonore redouble la dimension empathique entre le performeur et le spectateur. En autres termes, il y a – à certains niveaux – une relation empathique étonnante entre le performeur et le spectateur. Nous sommes dans une sorte de « cannibalisme du geste » opéré par le spectateur. Le spectateur regarde, et sa perception s’un echo directement dans sa corpérité. Le corps sonore spatialisé dans la salle s’immerge directement le spectateur dans le mouvement qu’il est en train de voir. Ça c’est la transformation qui intervient directement dans la redéfinition de l’organisation perceptuelle du spectateur.

I. C. : C’est ici que je crois que l’on est en train d’expérimenter une vraie transformation, par le corps collectif sonore, une stratégie d’apprentissage connective et intégrative. Comme vous l’évoquez, les recherches de Giacomo Rizzolatti sur les systèmes de neurones mirrorts me semblent intéressantes, car elles amènent une explication à cette intelligence du corps et l’état non-langagier d’auto-organisation auxquels nous faisons appel. C’est cet état non-langagier qui est sollicité pour transmettre les connaissances d’un corps de danseur à un autre et, probablement plus largement, du corps collectif au spectateur. C’est comme vous dites une question d’empathie. C’est un processus de transformation mais aussi de contamination.

Le Ring : Dominique Besson, Antoine Schmitt et Olivier Koechlin
Pour faire image, je dirais que ça se propage comme une onde. Que la masse des danseurs agit comme une entité qui active ce phénomène, se propage comme une onde qui contamine les autres personnes en place, notamment le public, leur apprend une autre corporalité et les envoie vers une expérience empathique symbiotique. Le temps du changement proprioceptif est long. Comprendre l’effet extreroceptif de la technologie sur des modalités perceptuelles et sensorielles demande un temps d’assimilation, et de notre part, de compréhension de la transformation en cours. C’est pour ça qu’à mon avis, il faut savoir également les modes de production si on veut vraiment réinvestir le sens, l’esthétique, la corporalité dans l’époque de changements qui nous bouleverse mais qui nous anime souvent dans un espace inspirant de révélation.
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A COLLECTIVE RESOUNDING BODY
AIMING TOWARDS AN AUDITORY TACTILITY

Txt: Enrico PiloZZi / Img: courtesy of Isabelle Choinière / Eng: Luisa Bertolatti

Among the more interesting figures on the international circuit, the choreographer Isabelle Choinière has been developing a journey connected to sound qualities in movement for many years. She founded and currently directs the Corps Indice of Montréal (Canada) company with which she develops research projects on the relationships between dance and technologies.

From 1995 her creations have been present in many international festivals: in France, Germany, Denmark, Brazil and the USA. Among her main bodies of work are Le Partage des peaux I (1994), Communion (Le Partage des peaux II) (1995-1996) – La Démence des Anges (2002). Alongside her work as a choreographer she also works intensely in research: her texts have been published in 2008 at the University of Applied Arts in Vienna. In 2009 one of her contributions appeared in the book Point of being by Derrick de Kerckhove, director of the McLuhan Program at the University of Toronto. In 2006 she began developing a new project called Meat Paradoxe dedicated to the concept of a collective resounding body, working in collaboration with the French composer Dominique Besson.

I. Intro

We are currently in an epistemological passage point which marks a real movement in the scenic arts and that primarily regards the role of the performer favouring other – plural – manifestations of their physicality.
This break passes through a relationship that the contemporary scene has initiated with technology; here we will look at a particular aspect, specifically interrogating the definition of scenic soundcape derived from the movement of the performer in the work of the Canadian choreographer Isabelle Choinière.

It is here that the concept of "resounding body", which is at the centre of this intervention, takes on meaning as an audible expression and manifestation of the relationship that movement and perception create with sound. The resounding body does not look to something that is simply anthropomorphic, the sound reconstruction of the shape of the body is not an issue; the investigation of the issue of "resounding body" - a voluntarily ambiguous term – means to penetrate into the matter of sound and at the same time, of the body. It means to operate inside a fine line where the shape of the body and sound are dissolved leaving a plot made up of different intensities that operate within it: we will therefore speak of a "molecularised" form of the body and sound that resonate with each other. In other words, it means to work on a series of internal tensions that allow the shape of the body and of sound to be in constant mutation.

II. Toward a collective resounding body

To come close to an initial manifestation of this relationship between body and sound, we will cite the work La démance des anges (2002) by Canadian choreographer Isabelle Choinière where thanks to technological means, the presence of the body is extended to a different place to where the performance is physically taking place. Two different spaces and two different bodies live in these two different spaces. Two dimensions of presence are in relation with each other inside the same physical space: a physical body of the performer and a "synthesis" body that is "present" as a projection. This way the dancer who is physically present sees her performance replicated in the image and the sound track of a second dancer (spatially collocated in another place, even in a different continent, if necessary) transmitted by a MIDI data system via the internet. Therefore in this case the resounding body is the result of an encounter between physical and material bodies, spatially distant with reciprocal projections.
In other words the voices and gestures of the performers are captured by a series of microphones and sensors that are positioned on their bodies, and this data is transferred simultaneously into the space of the performance and on the net to form what could be defined a long distance duo. It’s as if the two performers generate and exchange sound and images in real time, which come from the composition of movements producing a sort of net-like persistence of their image in the spectator. We can therefore see and hear every performer, present in the space of the other. This process is an invitation to a reconfiguration of the perception of the performer in relation to action and creates an overlapping and confusion of real dimensions.

In order to create this aspect of the performance, the two dancers have captors capable of capturing the sound qualities of gestures that are executed and attribute an equivalent to these on a sound level through a synthesizer.

In order to create this, the choreographer had to develop a series of particular sensors to be applied to the body. The need was to elaborate captors that restored the possibility of composing and capturing a movement in a decidedly more subtle and slow way, and at the same time allowed to intervene on the opposite diachronical quality. In other words according to a dynamic of acceleration or fragmentation of the flux of movement, so as to compose in the space of the scene—a real auditory space that interrogated the perceptive asset of the performer in action and the audience’s method of perception.

The resounding body that transpires from this is something that comes from the real body but is not a double of the body, but rather a manifestation of it, a new sensorial organisation of the perception developed from a technological integration. Technology becomes a way to interrogate the potential of the body in this work, to develop a kind of depth of perception of physical knowledge in order to act on it so as to modify the score of movement constantly (and its sound declination). It’s evident that, in this case, we are beyond the pure instrumental use of technology. Technology becomes a way to investigate the body and expand its potential (1). Thanks to this particular use of technology—where technology becomes a way of thinking about the body—the choreographer works by integrating the sound dimension.
III. Placement of the resounding body

By pushing this process of work connected to sound, it is in the Meat Paradoxe (2009/10) project that Isabelle Choinière developed a concrete and radical vision of the concept of the resounding body. Thanks to the collaboration with the French composer Dominique Besson – among the figures who generated software of sound specialisation of movement called Ring – the choreographer developed an organised system around the capturing of the sound of five different dancers that – composting their movement in the space of action like a real sound mass – produced what we could define a collective resounding body, inside which the dancers could feel a shared sensorial experience, on the level of movement and on the level of sound production in real time. The elaboration of a soundscape responds to the mass-motion of the performer on stage, of an intense graininess that seems like a cloud of sound, a dense and articulated atmosphere of sound particles.

In this sense the "resounding body" recalls a dimension of the body (or of movement) that originates in real time starting from the movement of the dancers on the scene and it is inscribed in a context of broadened choreography that the choreographer has been developing for some years now. The "resounding body" is, in other words, an emanation, a dilation of the real body into the shape of sound; it is a vibration. The body becomes an ear drum, a resonator of sensorial dimensions that becomes scopic sonority. Therefore the body is almost molecularised, subdivided into elementary particles and recomposed in the shape of sound. Once again we are outside a purely logical formality of gaging at the body; the intensities – its articulation in movement-particles – are at the same time part of the choreographic and research work on sound thanks to the alternation and modification of different frequencies.

What is extremely interesting, in this perspective, is that by working on the resounding body it is possible to intervene – to renovate it – on sensorial aspects and the perceptive arrangement of the performer. This leads to the abandonment of ways of composition that have already been experimented with and opens up to a gesture that is in continuous transformation and, therefore, to a sonic sonority enriched and redefined each time. It is here that the sensorial aspect is reorganised and the internal part of the body becomes a medium. It’s as if sound. In this project, plays the role of an added dancer. It participates in the organisation of the "collective resounding body". Just as Dominique Besson underlined when speaking of Ring software used in this process of the work: "The Ring is oriented towards a direction of open system of specialisation in real time of functions of gradual memorisation of the signal and its trajectory: Interfaced as entity in the Ring system, the sources of sound generated from the dancers become manipulable in space and time."
Thanks to the placement of sound obtained via a device consisting of 8 speakers in the hall, the spectator is projected inside the body of the performer, and at the same time, is induced into a radical rethinking of his or her sensorial arrangement. In other words the spectator is very close to the body of the performer, in a proximity that induces him or her to a " tactile vision" of their bodies in movement. The spectator is immersed in this living form. He or she is inside the form, in contact with the intensities that animate and sustain it; inside the flesh thanks to the sound that moves around him or her, that crosses and poughs through the space. Therefore there are two forms that his or her perception is not used to and that must be redefined.

IV. Toward an auditory-tactile perception of reception

We can speak therefore of a tactile aspect of the working of sound. The sound elaborated according to the strategy of molarisation and spatial disposition operates with very high and very low frequencies and tends to articulate itself through a continuous wavelength that installs a constant relationship with the bodies that are listening. This proximity between the body of the performer (visibly or auditorily) does not however take the audience into a situation of extreme sound: the communication passes because of the different chromatic levels of sound, different levels of vibration. The spectator is therefore immersed in the continuous vibration, of a segment of sound as a gesture, and it is on this scale of variations that the attention must fall. What is being affirmed is that the parameters that the spectator must put into action are not simply optical-visual or auditory-sound, but the process of composition of the choreographer Isabelle Choinière requires a synaesthetic glance and mode of listening, an active and contemporary relationship of the senses. It's necessary to configure the bodies in another way in order to receive these signals. It isn't enough to have eyes to see the invisible or to have ears to hear the inaudible.

http://www.corpsindice.com/

Notes:

1 - Si veda su questo aspetto anche L. Boisclair, Isabelle Choinière de Corps Indice : Autour des Demoiselles d'Avignon, dans «Inter, art actuel », no 98, hiver 2007, p. 52-68.

8.2.7 Members of the Observatory profile

8.2.7.1 Affiliated researcher: Louise Boisclair

**Researcher:** Louise Boisclair

Email: boisclairlouise@yahoo.fr

Website: www3.sympatico.ca/louboisclair/

**Research group’s name:** Groupe de recherche Performativité et effets de présence.

**Main researchers and projet director:** Josette Féral and Louise Poissant

**Projet members:**

Research axis: the actual project is made of three interconnected axis:

Axe 1: Technologies and their manifestations: Transfers from media arts to live arts, and from live arts to media arts (Axis directors: Féral, Poissant).

Axe 2: Real/virtual body: The body and the 'effect of its presence' (Axis directors: Martin, Febvre, Féral).

Axe 3: Sound/Music: sonorous presences (Axis directors: Bovet, Maurin).

**Groupe website:** [http://www.effetsdepresence.org/qnsrec.html](http://www.effetsdepresence.org/qnsrec.html)

**Personal Researches:**

- **Researcher:** Louise boisclair


- **Affiliated university:** Université du Québec à Montréal – *U.Q.A.M.*

---

280 Original translation from the researchers.
- Title of the Doctorate thesis in Studies and Practice of Arts, Literary Studies (unfinished), linked to the NT2 research group: *L'éclatement d'un conte de fée dans sa création multimédia interactive ou Variations sur Menamor et Coma: vers de nouvelles formes narratives.*

- Title of the Master thesis: *Variations sur le dépassement, suivies de L'écoute du processus de création.*

- Doctorate thesis directed by Louise Poissant.

- Master thesis directed by Paul Chamberland.

- For more specific research activities (publications, multiple collaborations, communications, scientific activities, classes – readings and drama forms -, theater in Quebec since 1980, production and drama arts analysis, *et caetera*).

- Field of research: Pragmatic of the experience, arts and consciousness.

- Subject of my research:

  1- Interpenetration of the aesthetic of contemplation and of the one of the experimentation of actual art;

  2- 'Effets de presence' in the traditional artistic practice (*mandala*, painting, traditional danse, *tai chi*) and the actual one (living cinema, dance of the state of limits, relation sound-image-word);

  3- Multidiciplinary aspect (artistic, literature, mediatic and therapeutic) of the numeric inter-conté;

  4- the politic fonction of visual and mediatic art.

- Key words in my work:

  Descriptors: Movement, contact, connection, synesthesis, effect on the spectator, interpenetration of paradigms of completion and experimentation, transmission,
material bodies and the body of work (corps de l’oeuvre), energy, conscience, political aspect of the senses material, et caetera.

- **Problematic:** Our problematic has been, until now, mainly concerned with the notions of theatrality and performativity, which have constituted two great paradigms in the artistic fields during the last 30 years.

A new problematic has emerged from these works: the part of 'presence' – now a deciding one -, being that of virtual characters or of actors on stage in various theater, dance, opera and media arts productions. Paraphrasing Ayers (1962) and Recanti (1979), one could say that organic or virtual characters are performative in the way that they cease to 'represent', but instead install, in the same fashion as performative statements, a 'new reality'.

We wish to go further into this thematic so we can investigate the different forms of interpenetration between virtual and reality and measure the effects of the 'real' thus produced.

**Methodological approach:** It consists of a phenomenological posture inside a 'bottom-up approach, meaning to extract the statements and the trails left by observation and analysis of a performance (and not by applying a theory, like using the semiotic grid of a work).
- Present publications about the phase of research-creation of Isabelle Choinière:

  Boisclair, Louise  december 2007  \textit{Isabelle Choinière de Corps Indice; Autour des Demoiselles d’Avignon}  Revue Inter art Actuel numéro spécial Espaces Sonores: Quebec, Quebec

- As an analyst in actual arts, regular collaborations with:

  1990-1994: \textit{Parcours Arts visuels}, Montreal, inside Quebec distribution (20 articles concerning visual arts artists)

  2007 - : \textit{VIE DES ARTS}, Montréal, international distribution (2 interviews, SIMONIN (engraver and painter), HÉBERT (cartoon animétor and techno performer)

  2007- : \textit{ARCHÉE}, international electronic magazine with, on the redaction side (One analysis, soon to be published and three interviews in the making accepted by the publishers, in relationship with the seminar \textit{MOBILE/IMMOBILISÉ}, to be published in 2008) VOIR site of \textit{Archée: cyberart et cyberculture artistique}.

  \url{http://archee.qc.ca/}

  2007- : \textit{REVUE INTER ART ACTUEL}, Quebec, international distribution.

  Comparative analysis to this day:

  I’ve studied the works of KITSOU DUBOIS for an interview in \textit{ARCHÉE} after hearing her conference at \textit{MOBILE/IMMOBILISÉ}, because her work is about the 'states of body' in critical locations (in water, on high ground, in weightlessness), and in critical states (with psychotic persons), also very interesting. However, I do not establish any comparison between her approach and mine.
Biographical note:

Author, researcher and lecturer since 2007, Louise Boisclair holds a Ph.D. in semiotics from the Université du Québec à Montréal. Her research deals with the technesthesia effect(s) on perception, embodiment and aesthetic experience of the interfaced gesture, movement or presence in the interactive and immersive environment. Her methodology of dynamic spectatorship suggests three important steps: 1- the experimentation-observation described phenomenologically at the first person; 2- the analysis of spatiotemporal dimensions related to the interfaced movement internalised and; 3- consequently the enlarged sensori-perceptual modalities, affective resonances and new cognitive potentialities. Therefore, the spectator becomes a 'participant-searcher' who experiments perceptually and affectively the becoming form and embodies it in his/hers implicit body.

Alongside her career in strategic communication and video, Louise Boisclair’s artwork includes short story writing and acting painting, video art and interactive screenwriting-production. She has signed numerous articles and collaborates regularly with Archée and other publications (http://installationinteractive.blogspot.ca/). She is member of the research groups Performativité et effets de présence, SenseLab and 'L'Observatoire' sur le corps collectif sonore (I. Choinière). Furthermore, practicing tai chi since 1985 and teaching it during seven years have enriched her knowledge of movement, consciousness and perception with or without extension. She also teaches mandala and creativity in private workshops.
8.2.7.2 Affiliated researcher: *Enrico Pitozzi*

**Researcher:** Enrico Pitozzi (1977)

Email: [enricopitozzi@hotmail.com](mailto:enricopitozzi@hotmail.com) / [enrico.pitozzi@unibo.it](mailto:enrico.pitozzi@unibo.it)

**Research group’s name:** During the first stage of collaboration with:

- **Center of Intermedia**
  
  - **Main researchers and directed by:** Nick Kaye et Gabriella Giannachi
  
  - **Affiliated to:** Exeter University, England, in collaboration with Stanford University, United States of America.

- **Website:** [http://presence.stanford.edu/](http://presence.stanford.edu/)

**Research group’s name:** Working for a first collaboration with:

- **Performativité et Effets de présence**
  
  - **Main researchers and directed by:** Josette Féral et Louise Poissant
  
  - **Affiliated to:** l’*Université du Québec à Montréal – U.Q.A.M.*
  
  - **Research axis:** Présences sonores
  
  - **Axis directed by:** Bovet & Maurin

  - **Website:** [http://www.effetsdepresence.org/qnsrec.html](http://www.effetsdepresence.org/qnsrec.html)

**Personal researches:**

- **Researcher:** Enrico Pitozzi

- **Doctorate in 'Cinematographic and Theatrical Studies' at the Lettres and Philosophy Faculty of Bologna University, Italy.**

  - **Affiliated university:** Bologna University.

  - **Teacher in Dramatic Art of the new media (2007/2008) – Bologna University**
- **Thesis title**: Il corpo, la scène, le tecnologie. Per un’estetica dei processi d’integrazione.

- **Specific thesis subject**: Relationships between body/movement and technological systems.

- **Thesis directed by**: Professor Marco De Marinis; mention of excellence, awarded on July 2, 2007.

- For more specific research activities (publications, multiple collaborations, communications, scientific activities, classes – readings -, et caetera).

- **Field of research**: Contemporary scenic forms which tend to integrate different composition codes, particularly in Europe, in Quebec and in Japan.

- **Subject of my researches**: The aesthetic aspect of the research frame is about the relationship between live arts and technologies, and it focuses on thought and body functioning, the question of presence, the forms of its gradations, and, finally, the complexity of the visual/sound connections brought forth by contemporary scene. In order to look deeper into this thematic, I’m interested in investigating the different forms of interpenetration between the virtual and real dimensions so as to measure the graduations and figurations produced by this process on scene. From a theoretic elaboration point of view, this research is developed on a basis of an international relationship. Its process leans towards a renewed methodological lexicon definition; and this lexicon is characterized by its transdisciplinary aspect as well as the respect it shows to each field of knowledge’s specificity it is in a relationship with.
The key words in my work:

Presence (graduations of); ghost; visual scape; sound scape; time; space; materiality; immateriality; movement; fiction; perception; sensoriality; transformation; situation; composition.

- **Methodological approach**: philosophical (with notions of phenomenology), media and 'theatrology' aesthetics, also involving aspects regarding the perception of movement studies.

- **Publications about La Démence des anges and the phase of research-creation being elaborated**

  - Pitozzi, Enrico  Fall 2005  *La “figura” oltre l’attore: verso un’estetica digitale*, in “Culture Teatrali”, n° 13: Bologna, Italie

  - Pitozzi, Enrico  2007  *Seismography of the presence*. Text in publication on [http://presence.stanford.edu/](http://presence.stanford.edu/), platform of the international project of the Centre of Intermedia, directed by Nick Kaye and Gabriella Giannachi at Exeter University, England, in collaboration with Stanford University, United States of America. This website is about a project dedicated to research on the theme ‘presence in contemporary artistic scene’.

- **Comparative analysis to this day:**

  I haven’t really done any comparative analysis. I prefer to consider 'exact cores' from an operational and theoretical point of view, so I can understand the methods used to work on the multiple aspects of a same question. As an example, I often speak about the relationship between technology and a performer’s perception; well, this bring me to analyze the different practices and levels of relationship through which technology contributes in modifying and widening the performer’s perception.

  These solutions, on aesthetic point of view – c.f. composition plan – resonate with another very important concept for me, which is that of ’presence'.

  In this context and based on my doctorate thesis, I’ve elaborated the concept of 'variation of presence'. To me, this concept works to understand the existing relationship between movements and the physically present body on stage, and its virtual signs, its ghosts, its shadows… its figurations.

  From these prospective terminologies, I analyze, among other things, the works of *Kondition Pluriel* (Quebec); of visual artists Skoltz_Kolgen (Quebec), *Dumb Type* (Japan), Cindy Van Acker (Switzerland), Myriam Gourfink (France), William Forsythe (Germany), Romeo Castellucci/Raffaello Sanzio (Italy), Roberto Paci Dalò/Giardini Pensili (Italy), Studio Azzurro (Italy)… and others.

- **Problematic:** Defining a new lexicon for scenic analysis methodology. The method I hereby use to define this lexicon goes through analyzing their works but, above all, their creation and composition processes, when possible.
Biography:

Enrico Pitozzi is a professor-in-Charge of 'Forms of Multimedia Stage' at the University of Bologna and teaching 'Aesthetic interfaces' at the Accademia di Belle Arti - Brera in Milan. He was visiting professor at the Université du Québec à Montréal – U.Q.A.M. (Canada). He gives seminars and lectures at the Universidade Federal da Bahia (Brazil) and Universidade Federal Rio do Sul do Porto Alegre (Brazil) as well as the European Institutions and Universities. He currently collaborates with the scientific committee of the project Performativité et effets de présence directed by Josette Féral and Louise Poissant at the Université du Québec à Montréal (U.Q.A.M.) and the project Poéticas Tecnológicas directed by Ivani Santana at the Universidade Federal de Bahia (Brasil). He is a member of 'the Observatory' on the analysis of the movement led by choreographer Isabelle Choiniere (Montreal, Plymouth, Paris) and the multimedia laboraratory MeLa research at the IUAV University of Venice. In 2007 his research on stage technologies has been listed by the section Arts/Performance of the 'P.E.A.C.H.-Presence Research in Action'. Since 2004 he has regularly published in specialised Italian and international magazines with essays on European, Canadian, United States of America and Japanese performing arts. He is editor of the italian magazines Art'O and Culture Teatrali and he is a member of the Scientific Committee of the magazine Antropologia e Teatro at the University of Bologna (Italy) and the magazine Moringa at the Universidade Federal da Paraiba (Brasil). In 2005 he took part in the workshop within the '37th International Theatre Festival of Venice Biennale' directed by Romeo Castellucci. His international research focuses on the modalities of Neuro-physiologic functioning of movement, with a particular attention to the analysis and implications that involve imagination and memory processes in composing gestures beyond the intervention of technologies (motion capture) on the performer's perception. Results of
this research are available in the publications. In this frame he has concluded a book
8.2.7.3 Affiliated researcher: Elysabeth Plourde

**Researcher**: Elysabeth Plourde (1978)

Email: elysabethplourde@hotmail.com

**Research group name**: Groupe SCÈNES (Systemics, Complexity and New scenic writings)

**Main researchers and project directors**: Chantal Hébert and Irène Perelli-Contos

**Projet members**: Renée Champagne (2nd cycle), Mélissa Comtois (3rd cycle), Rosaline Deslauriers (3rd cycle), Hélène Jacques (3rd cycle) and Elizabeth Plourde (3rd cycle).

**Personal research:**

- **Researcher**: Elizabeth Plourde.

- **Doctorate in Literature and Screen and Scenic Arts**

- **Affiliated university**: Université Laval (Quebec).

- **Teaching** – recently: *Mise en scène I (travail dramaturgique); Approche systémique des pratiques artistiques contemporaines* – Université Laval.

- **Temporary title of the thesis**: In building a projected bodies imagery in contemporary scenic writings: *the cases of Anima* (Michel Lemieux & Victor Pilon, 4D art) and *La Démence des anges* (Isabelle Choinière, *Le Corps Indice*).

- Thesis directed by Chantal Hébert.

- For more specific research activities (publications, multiple collaborations, communications, scientific activities, classes – readings and dramatic forms; *théâtre du Québec* since 1980; production and dramaturgic analysis –, *et caetera*).
- **Research field:** Contemporary Quebec scenic writings
- **Topic of my researches:** cohabitation of physical and artificial bodies (projected, virtual, *et caetera*) in theater and the influence it has on and in the performance stage.
- **More specifically, I plan to observe**
  1) The construction and functioning mechanisms of on-scene projected bodies (hybridation of living arts and new technologies).
  2) The organizational dynamics of the representative system when it hosts projected bodies (dynamics if complexity).
  3) The theatrality emerging from these new corporeality forms (dialogic principle which rearticulates opposites: living/inanimate, actual/virtual, biological/technological, *et caetera*).
- **Theoretical frame (thesis):** theories of complexity (c.f. references above)
- **Methodological approach:** systemic approach (c.f. references above)
- **Publications on *La Démence des anges***:


  **Mois Multi 2003 Report.**


  PLOURDE, Elizabeth (2007), « Extensions et médiatisations du corps scénique: le cas de *La Démence des anges* d’Isabelle Choinière », actes du 7e colloque international du Centre de recherche sur l’intermédialité (CRI), to be printed.

  As of this date, no publication on the Web.
As of this date, no comparative analysis. In my thesis, the conclusion should include a section where *La Démence des Anges* and *Anima* are related, but always in the perspective of the thesis problematic, I mean in the way in which the projected bodies live in and modify the performance area.

**Research in the context of the research group:**

Elizabeth Plourde: Doctorate in Literature and Screen and Scenic Arts. The construction of an imagery of projected bodies in contemporary scenic writings: the cases of *Anima* (Michel Lemieux & Victor Pilon, 4D art) and *La Démence des anges* (Isabelle Choinière, *Le Corps Indice*), supervised by Chantal Hébert.

Hélène Jacques: Doctorate in Literature and Screen and Scenic Arts. New forms of action (acting?) in Denis Marleau’s productions (Théâtre Ubu), supervised by Chantal Hébert.

Mélissa Comtois: Doctorate in Literature and Screen and Scenic Arts. Towards an aesthetic of complexity: new technologies and scenic multidiscipliarity in the works of *Productions Recto-Verso* (Caroline Ross & Émile Morin), supervised by Chantal Hébert.

Rosaline Deslauriers: Doctorate in Literature and Screen and Scenic Arts. Towards a poetic in-between: Voicing the Way and Ways of the Arts at Théâtre du Lierre, directed by Irène Perelli-Contos.
Renée Champagne: Master in Creation-Research, Screen and Scenic Arts. The sea: a double optical production, directed by Luis Thenon and Irène Perelli-Contos.

- In our works, we have specifically witnessed the creation process of the 2191 nuits shows of Théâtre des Deux Mondes (Daniel Meilleur) and Le Projet Andersen of Ex Machina (Robert Lepage).

- Affiliated university: Université Laval (Quebec).

- Affiliated research centers:
  - Centre de recherche interuniversitaire sur la littérature et la culture québécoises -C.R.I.L.C.Q. C.R.I.L.C.Q.’s website hosts the group homepage. Unfortunately, it does not contain any significant information.

Website: http://www.crilcq.org/recherche/poetique_esthetique/theatre_operations.asp).

- Research group premises: these works that are of interest to us are about complex scenic writings.

- Research group problematic: How to reveal the complexity of such works (by analyzing them and their creation process).

- Intelligibility Frames: Theories of complexity. The complex thought, as defined by French sociologist Edgar Morin in 'La Méthode'.

- Methodological approach: Systemic analysis, in its larger meaning, but applied to artistic objects.
8.3 Appendix 3 – Technical informations

8.3.1 Technical specifications on La démence des anges

La Démence des anges: technological general survey

Wink on the show technical side

The different processes described here have been developed or incorporated to the work in order to link to distant bodies in a single space, the scene of the show. So the dancer present on a stage has its performance image and sonorous body “projected” on a second stage, accompanying the second dancer. This performance recuperation is made possible by a videoconference system and a MIDI data transmission network (through Internet).

Videoconference

The system consists of a single audio / video H.320 digital card and a control program, using a ISDN line with two 64 Kbps channels establishing a point-by-point connexion using CIF or QCIF transmission protocol for the video and G711, G722 or G728 for the sound. The live integration is made in the following way: a dancer is filmed in a secondary space and it’s sound and image is transmitted to the show’s control booth by the ISDN line. The two sources are then treated and broadcasted in the main space. It's all made in real-time considering that the minimal transmission delay is used in a creative way in the video processing.
MIDI data transmission through Internet

Concerning the sound, the ambience capture of a secondary space is one thing in itself, but we wanted to push forward the integration of the distant performance. So both dancers are equipped with sensors to recuperate the dynamic of both gestures and to give a sonorous existence to the movements with the assignation of speed data to the MIDI sound control (with previous synthesizer sounds).

The network problematic was to be able to transmit a continuous flow of MIDI data through Internet as many of the software we tested, W-client for example, were not allowing. Then we’ve tried MAX, a control and programming software that we were already using on the production and the response appeared to be the Open Sound Control object allowing to interact directly with a designed interlocutor by knowing its IP address. The protocol used by this object is a sub-layer of the TCP/IP called UDP that presents the interesting characteristic of allowing the circulation of data without any form of control on the nature of the data (compared to the TCP/IP that organizes the data into small packages and greatly reduces the possibilities of a continuous information representation.

The microphone-sensors

The movement capture with microphone was the idea of Thierry Fournier, composer for La Démence des anges. It came as an answer to the need to scale the speed of movement, which was necessary to control the different musical and visual aspects of the show. The sensors are simple wireless Lavalier microphones, placed on appropriate places on the dancers (as fingertips) to transmit the pressure created by movements to the MAX and MSP software through the audio entry of a computer. The pressure can be scaled and then used as MIDI data. This signal, representative of the
movement of both dancers, is imposing a dynamic frame to different sounds associated with movements and is imposing an ambiance velocity, generated by the choreographic performance of each dancer.

**Communication technologies development and connectivity description**

- Network architecture development for two or many different places through Internet

- Socket connections (semi-permanent) using the UDP Internet layer. This link is bi-directional in order to communicate from one computer to another without any apparent delay. This kind of connection is technically similar to ones used for interactive Web video games.

- The software which are communicating between themselves on the different computers are written with MAX, a multimedia integration environment, designed for electronic music and stage interactivity. MAX is a commercial software designed by the IRCAM laboratories in Paris.

- MAX acts as the control center for the show, articulating the different technical elements of the performances, as the sensors that the dancers wear, the generation of sound, the control of the lights and the video and the information exchange through the network.

- On the show *La Démence des anges*, the information exchanged on the network depends mainly of the activity of the sensors, themselves directly linked to the dancers’ movements. This information is mainly used to generate the live music background that
is modulated by the dancers. The two control booths, linked by Internet, are exchanging and managing cues and MIDI data in real-time.
8.3.2 Technical plan of Espace d'arts at Enghien-les-Bains
Residency space of phase #2
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CDC
LE PACIFIQUE
Centre de Développement Chronographique
Pacifique sud (petit studio)

Capacité : 49 personnes
Dimensions :
Largeur : 10,16 m
Longueur : 16,80 m
Hauteur : 3,57 m

Caractéristiques :
Sol : parquet en chênaier noir 22 millimètres posé sur lattes, tapis de danse noir réversible gris
Mur blanc avec grandes baies vitrées, une donnant sur extérieur, l’autre sur intérieur (patio avec oliver)
Baies équipées de rideaux
Eclairage par 25 boîtes néon au plafond
Attention, aucune accroche n’est possible que ce soit sur les murs ou le plafond
L’accès aux toges n’est pas direct, il passe par un couloir

Equipements techniques :
5 prise TRA réparties autour du studio
1 rack SON comprenant :
   - un double cassette TECHNICS
   - une platine laser TEAC
   - 2 entrées micros
   - 1 entrée auxiliaire
   - 1 mix
   - 1 ampli QSC 850
2 enceintes DYNACORD 200 w pour la diffusion

Equipements mobiles :
1 vidéo projecteur Mitsubishi 5000 lu
1 vidéo projecteur Mitsubishi 2000 lu
1 lecteur de DVD
2 télés 37, 32, de diagonal écran
1 planche pour le flamenco de 2,50 par 2,44
20 chaixes noires
Tapis de danse blanc et noir 9m par 6,80m
**Pacifique Nord (grand plateau)**

**Capacité** : 150 personnes  
**Gradin amovible** de 100 places  
**50 personnes** sur le plateau  
**Dimensions** :  
- Ouverture murs à mur : 15 m  
- Profondeur murs à mur : 18,75 m  
- Hauteur sous pente : 6 m  
- Profondeur scène : 13,75 m

**Caractéristiques** :  
- Sol : parquet en chêne clair, 22 millimètres posé sur lambourdes,tapiss de danse noir réversible gris  
- Murs noirs, cage de scène noire ; baie vitrée donnant sur patio à cour pouvant être masquée par un rideau velours noir  
- Accès au loge direct par une porte au lointain cour  
- Accès au local éclairage technique par une porte au lointain jardin : hauteur 4 m largeur 2,90 m  
- Régie placée derrière les gradins au centre, capacité quatre personnes  
- Local électrique deux mètres carrés à jardin  
- Éclairage par 3 rangées de double néon sur toute la profondeur ou 12 quartz 1000w graduables

**Équipements techniques** :  

**Plateau**  
Grill pont de 500 triangular sur quatre moteurs de 1 tonne chacun, 9,50 m de profondeur et 14 m d’ouverture, 6,40 m de haut sous pente, accroche pour 10 kg au mètre linéaire.  
- Pont de face 500 triangular 2 moteurs, 14 m d’ouverture auteur 6 m 10 kg au mètre linéaire  
- Possibilité de rajout des perches sur le Grill, 4 perches de 6 m et 12 de 3 m  
- 2 pensées de 2,50 m par 5 m  
- 2 pensées de 2,60 m par 6 m  
- 2 pensées de 2,80 m par 6 m  
- 2 pensées de 3,40 m par 6 m  
- 2 Fond de scène 6 m par 6 m  
- 6 frises 1 m par 3 m  
- 3 frises de 1,50 m par 5  
- 1 écran blanc de 12 m par 4,90 m  
- 1 machine à fumée Unique 2.1 look (DMX)

**Local électrique**  
160 A en tête reparti en 4 prises P1732 A à l’intérieur du local électrique et 5 autres autour du studio avec DMX à proximité des prises  
- Commande des spots par télécommande
Équipements Lumière
6 Parc 64 fut ouvr en CP 95
13 Parc 64 (lampes 60, 61, 62)
9 Parc beam à lentille interchangeable (60, 61, 62, 95)
2 2 Kw Fresnel ADB
2 découpes 714 julie
14 découpes DW105 ADB
2 découpes 613x julie
16 PC 1000 ADB
2 Blondes 9 Kw
1 Mandraze 800 w
1 BT 500
1 barre ACL elu
1 rampe T10
9 Pars 20 75 w
6 Fl
6 Pars à led RGB OXO multibeam zoom réglable (8 circuits)
2 mini beam OXO RGB
3 dijc 6 circuits de 3 Kw Juliet 5 tour
3 dij julie Tyvofloc 24 circuits 2 Kw
2 pieds K et M avec barre de coupage
6 platines
1 jeu d’orgue Congo Kid ETC 256 circuits
1 jeu d’orgue manuel 8 circuits sans préparation

Équipement Son
Diffusion
2 DS 12 sur pieds
6 Mackie 450 W pré amplifiée sur pied
2 sub Mackie pré-amplifiés
1 rack comprend 1 ampli QSC 1850 HD, 1 mix avec 2 entrées micros, 1 platine CD, 2 aux
1 platine CD Yamaha CDX 49K
1 platine CD SONY (avec auto puce)
1 platine CD TEAC
103D YAMAHA
1 table de mixage Yamaha MG12/4
1 micro HF main Sennheiser e945
1 micro AKG D890m
2 micros SM58 Shure

Équipements mobiles :
1 vidéo projecteur Mitsubishi 5000 lu
1 vidéo projecteur Mitsubishi 2000 lu
1 lecteur de DVD
1 magnétophone
2 télé 37, 52 de diagonal écran
1 plancher pour le flamenco de 2,50 par 2,44
Tapis de danse blanc et noir 9 m par 8,90 m
Plan du pont lumière du studio nord :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grecin</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Echelle 1/75
Pacifique CDC 30 Chemin des Alpins
38100 Grenoble
Technical plan and sheet of *Tangente*
Residency space of phase #3
1. Entrée des décors / Set entrance: Rue de l'arrière, coin est / Back alley, east corner.
   Dimensions:
   - Porte d'accès / Access door: 1,4m x 2m (5'3" x 6'7")
   - Corridor d'accès / Access corridor: 1,3m (5')
   - Porte du menu-change / Loading door: 1,2m x 2,4m (4'0" x 8'0")
   - Porte d'accès de la salle / Stage access door: 1,1m x 1,0m (4'0" x 3'1")

2. La scène / The stage:
   - Plancher résistant en bois franc verni lustré, couleur naturelle. Il est interdit de couler et de visser, de coller ou de peindre la plancher. S'il y a utilisation d'eau, de caîne, etc. lors d'un spectacle, vous devez obligatoirement utiliser la tape de danse. Tout matériel scénique dégageant une poussière excessive est interdit.
   - Sprung wood floor, natural blond color. No nails, screws, glue or paint can be applied. If using water, sand, etc. in a performance, you must use the dance floor. Sets must not shed excessive dust.
   Dimensions:
   - Salle / Hall: 21,7m x 9,0m (71' x 29')
   - Scène avec gradin / Stage with bleachers: 16m x 0,6m (40'0" x 20')
   - Hauteur portes / Oid: 4m (13'0")
   - Débatement de scène situé arrière côté cour: 0,9m x 2,9m (3'0" x 9'
   - Nombre de sièges avec gradin / Number of bleacher seats: 61 sièges/seats.

3. Loge / Dressing room:
   - Une loge pouvant accueillir 8 personnes assises avec service de toilettes et donnant sur le dégagement à l'arrière-scène côté cour. Douches au sous-sol.
   - The dressing room seats 8, with an adjacent toilet. Access on stage-lbye-right.

4. Tapiss de danse / Dance floor:
   - Tapits Aleguin réversible noir et blanc / Aleguin reversible white and black, composé de 7 tapis de 9m x 2m (29' x 6'6") pour une dimension totale de 16m x 9,0m (20' x 48'0")

5. Habillage / Curtains:
   - 1 mur scène en deux pièces de velours noir sur patience mobile 10m x 4m (33' x 13'5")
   - 8 pendentiels de velours noir 2,4m x 4,1m
   - 8 pendentiels sur alemande fixe de chaque côté de la scène
   - 3 frises de velours noir 1,8m x 1,5m (0'6" x 0'5")
   - 3 frises de velours noir (2' x 30')
   - 1 Tulle noir 12' 0" x 28'
   - 1 Cyclorama blanc coton 23' x 13'5"

6. Régie / Control board:
   - la régie de son et d'éclairage est située dans le gradin au centre de la dernière rangée. Location is in the center of the last row of the bleachers.

7. Éclairage / Lighting alimentation: 120/208 volts, 3 phases, 4 conducteurs, 200 amps.
   - Demandez notre liste de gêlatines / Ask for gel list.
   - 00 gradateurs 2.4KV avec circulierie mobile
   - 1 Console Strand serie 300
   - 30 Fresnels 1000w
   - 30 ETC zoom 25-50W 700w
   - 12 Pant 54 med / 1000w
   - 3 Iris tripas / 3 x 1000w
   - 9 Portes (room) 0'
   - 12 Bases pour projecteur ou sol/floor stand
   - X Extensions OTL de 5', 10', 15', 20', 30' en nombres.

8. Vidéo / Multimedia:
   - 1 Projecteur SHARP 4000 lumens XGA
   - 1 lampis 1,52 / 1,52
   - 1 Projecteur mise-standard. SONY VPL 1041Q (3000, F. de Calibration)
   - 1 Lecteur DVD Tapeam
   - 1 console vidéo / video mixer Esirol V4 + moniteur couleur 9"'
   - 1 câble BNC 100' + 1 câble VGA 100'

9. Son / Sound alimentation 120/200 v, 3 phases, 4 conducteurs et mise à terre indépendante, 100 Amp. 
1. Console mixing board Allen & Heath GL2200 10/4/2
2. Enceintes/ Speakers EAW JP-100
3. Sub Mayer UMS-1P
4. Enceintes/ Speaker DYNACord AM12
5. Ampil QSC
6. EQ stéréo DOD
7. Lecteur laser double Denon
8. Digitech DSP-256
9. TC Electro M-ONE XL
10. Microphones SM58 selon disponibilité
11. Microphones 521 selon disponibilité
12. Microphones 461 selon disponibilité
14. pieds de micro percher boom stands
15. micro box IMP2, whirlwind
16. Câbles patch et XLR en nombres
17. Console mixing board de répétition Alto L-12
18. Microphones sans fil/ Audio Technica T802A

10. Interphone / Headphone
   1. station maîtresse deux canaux
   2. beltpacks
   3. casques/heads sets

11. Équipement supplémentaire / Shared equipment
   1. écrans DRAPPER CINEFOLD 8 x 12 face et référe
   2. écrans DRAPPER CINEFOLD 10 x 14' face et référe
   3. Projecteur 18mm XEON RIKI EX 5500A
   4. Projecteurs à diapositives/cassettes auto focus KODAK
   5. Lampe à incandescence 25-50° 750w
   6. Systèmes de fond CLEAFI LIGHT modèle MDD
   7. Piano droit de marque SCHIEDMAYER C-114

INFORMATION SUPPLÉMENTAIRE / ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

12. Soirée partagée / Shared night
   1. Pour les spectacles de 3 artistes et plus. Un éclairage général est imposé (voir plans N) les lampes restantes qui sont à partager pour vos spectacles. / For the shared night with 3 artists and more. A general lamp plot is imposed (see plans N). The remaining lights are to be shared for your spectacle divided by the number of artistes.
   2. 12 fresnels 500w.
   3. 12 pars 1000w.
   4. 30 ETC verifocal 25-50° 750w
   5. 42 gradiateurs 2.4k

13. Changement de décors / Set change
   1. Les changements de décor entre les pièces que vous présentez doivent se faire en moins de 2 minutes. Si nécessaire, il y aura un entretoue de 15 minutes. / Set changes between pieces must not exceed 2 minutes. If necessary, there will be an intermission of 15 minutes.

14. Plan d’éclairage / Lighting plan
   1. Les plans d’éclairages doivent être fournis au Directeur Technique au minimum deux semaines avant la première. / The lighting plot must be given to the Technical Director minimum two weeks before your show or con.
   2. Si nécessaire, Tangente peut vous faire les calculs d’un concepteur d’éclairage. Le cachet demandé sera de 900€ et plus, selon la complexité du travail. / Tangente can do the calculations of a lighting designer. The cost is 900€ minimum, depending on the complexity of the work.

15. Équipement additionnel / Additional Equipment
   1. Toute location d’équipement additionnel sera facturée à l’artiste. De même que la conception d’éclairage réalisée par Tangente. / The artist will be charged for any additional equipment not included in our lot and be charged for any lighting design executed by Tangente.

Au plaisir de vous rencontrer, l’équipe Technique / It will be a pleasure to meet you, The Technical Team
8.4 Appendix 4 – Part of previous research

8.4.1 Research on somatic practice – July 2006

RDC2 -Point 3.3 July 2006 – research on somatic practice

Theoretical Research

As I stated in the introduction of this report the influence of Roy Ascott and his research group Planetary Collegium have radically changed my approach to technology. Also, the presence of two Brazilian researchers, Cristina Miranda and Carlos Nobrega, introduced me to the artistic research of Brazilian artists such as Lygia Clark and Hélio Oiticica. Their theories and practical work contributed to this creative destabilization in which I find myself. I thus began to envisage that the body could be 'my approach to technology', that a choreographic structure reflecting interconnectivity could take Lygia Clark’s collective body concept as a model, and that the concept of interconnectivity could be lived through the body using somatic techniques and be a bridge between the real and immaterial worlds (Osthoff 2004\textsuperscript{281}). Therefore, an approach to technology that takes leave of 'materiality' (robotic, \textit{et cetera}).

I also pursued research on the concept of energy. The changes in the paradigm in relation to energy, (for example: Quantum physics theories) link our contemporary scientific concepts about energy (Bergson 1966\textsuperscript{282}; Sheldrake 1995\textsuperscript{283}) to the traditional concepts of energy that have been present for ages in ancient and now-western cultures.


\textsuperscript{283} Sheldrake, Rupert (1995) \textit{A new Science of Life; Morphic resonnance}, Vermont, United States: Park Street Press.
But in this conference, I mostly examined the idea that the body could be my approach to technology. This has been at the centre of my research and has led me to study the somatic sciences (Ginsburg 2006; Berthoz 1997). The somatic applications are centered on being conscious of the body in movement. They consist in learning the synergetic interaction processes between consciousness, movement and environment. They are the study of experimental corporeality (for example: Alexander, Bartenieff, Body-Mind Centering, Feldenkrais, Pilates, et caetera). This development of this text’s ideas will address the following questions:

- Which is this notion of 'sixth sense' linked to movement?
- Is the body the technology to be used to activated a state of an enlarged consciousness?
- Are somatic practices as well as corporal patternbe their tools?
- What would be an approach for interconnectivity that seems to be related to the first two points?

In a metaphorical sense, I could say that the body is the entity that will reveal the futur. Ginsburg and Berthoz suggest that somatic practices and the nervous system are probably connecting threads that will lead to answers. These authors put these ideas forward and they examined movement and perception from a neurobiologist points of view (who knows all the integrative and connective aspects of biological systems).

Is it therefore the body that thinks? Feldenkrais suggests that corporal consciousness of one's movements gives one access to percieving in action something which was not percievable by one's previous state of consciousness. Feldenkrais also
sais that perception is a multisensorial process and that movement is the essential result
of brain activity, and that an integrative, dynamic and biology-oriented approach is
necessary to sufficiently understand it. Thus besides, proprioception has often been
identified as the 'sixth sense'. According to these studies, perception thus precedes
conceptual thinking. Fendelkrais can therefore suggest that we think directly while we
are in action.

It is worth noting that in his book 'La Maîtrise du movement', Laban speaks of
thought in movement, that Gibson has a similar concept with the notion 'affordance',
and that Nietzsche also had this intuition when he spoke of the 'thinking body' in his
book 'Thus Spoke Zarathustra'. I would add 'of the body in movement that thinks'. This
will be treated in my April 2008 research work.

Change of second supervisor:
2nd Supervisor: Armando Menicacci, University Paris VIII, France. Dance Departement
Doctorate in Dance and Computer Science from Université Paris 8.

With his colleague Emanuele Quinz: visit of the Centre des arts d'Enghien-les-bains
studios during research residencies. In looking back on the practical research that I
undertook there: introduction to the ideas to be presented in the next research stage,
notably on the ideas of Laban and Bernard.

The conference that summed up this stage was titled: 'Cosmological disruption and
paradigm linked to the concept of energy: Looking for a choreographic model adapted
to our time'.
8.4.2 Research on Erotism – April 2007

RDC2 - Point 3.5 April 2007 – Montreal: research on Erotism

Theoretical Research

In this research report I have sought to lay out the primary elements of my research. Basing myself on my previous presentation, in which I identified the principle constitutive elements of my research, this report sought to:

- To delimit my research problem;
- Identify the key elements of my research;
- Develop the main tools to undertake the research (review my bibliographies and research tools (Cryer 2000\textsuperscript{286}; Mattéi 1992\textsuperscript{287});
- Define eroticism through an examination of Bataille’s main works and their context (Bataille 1957\textsuperscript{288}; Bataille 1961\textsuperscript{289}; Bataille 1949/1967\textsuperscript{290}; Barthes 1973\textsuperscript{291});
- Begin to define the orgiastic in order to distinguish from the erotic and to better situate the concept within my practical work (Dourthe 1999\textsuperscript{292}; Rolnik 2005\textsuperscript{293})

8. 5 Appendix 5 – DVD content