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Introduction

The study of ‘leisure’ is perhaps one of the central preoccupations of the social sciences. The expansion of consumer culture, the increasing precarity of work, and growing numbers of ‘active retired’ people has emphasized the role of leisure within contemporary western cultures. For the most part however, criminology has tended to gravitate toward the non-work practices of the young and marginalized. Whether scrutinizing drug use, joyriding, graffiti, skateboarding or smoking, much research in this area focuses on the activities of young people engaging in behaviours that, if not always illegal, appear close enough to the boundary between deviance and illegality to invoke discussion around police responses, policy initiatives, antisocial behaviour and crime prevention. As Keith Hayward (2015) has recently noted, cultural criminologists in particular have a rich tradition of exploring some of the most visible forms of ‘deviant leisure’ such as graffiti writing (Alvelos, 2004; Ferrell, 1996), street racing (Vaaranen, 2004), BASE jumping and innumerable exemplars of edgework (Lyng, 1990; 2005).

This article steps back from these spectacularly ‘deviant’ activities to explore the potential for harm associated with culturally accepted and embedded forms of leisure, which for the most part, reflect an unquestioning commitment to consumer capitalism. From the outset we maintain that commodified forms of leisure are incompatible with progressive political projects, resistance or citizenship (see for example Riley et al., 2013). Rather, we suggest that the leisure industries, nurtured because of their demand-side value to the global
economy, must be scrutinised through a properly critical criminological lens. Only then can we begin to understand activities that are often defended as being social ‘goods’ or conduits for individual empowerment as inherently harmful.

The deviant leisure perspective draws upon advances in both cultural criminology (see Hayward, 2015) and ultra-realist criminology (Hall and Winlow, 2015). Since its earliest developmental stage (see Winlow and Hall, 2006), ultra-realism has maintained an interest in the relationship between harmful subjectivities and the systemically corrosive values of global capitalism. Cultural criminology’s focus on deviance, symbolism and consumer culture has evolved from a romanticised analysis of deviant leisure as resistance to a more critical position that includes an increasingly materialist critique of liberal capitalism and consumer culture (Ferrell et al, 2008; Ferrell, 2006; Hayward, 2015). We synthesize these theoretical approaches in order to illustrate and understand how individual, social, economic and environmental harms are structurally embedded within many accepted and normalized forms of leisure.Exploring this relationship necessitates rethinking and reorienting the concept of social deviance.

Social deviance is a term generally applied throughout the social sciences to describe behaviours that contravene socially accepted norms, values and ethical standards (see Downes and Rock, 2007). However, for the purposes of this article and the operationalization of a deviant leisure perspective, we invert this traditional interpretation of deviance. In an era of ‘cool individualism’ in which it is culturally imperative to form a unique identity that is distinct from ‘the herd’, to transgress or cultivate deviant identities is steadfastly conformist (Hall et al, 2008; Hayward and Schuilenberg, 2014; Smith, 2014). In this sense, what could under a more ethical social order be conceptualised as deviant behaviour is harnessed, pacified and repositioned as a very specific form of dynamism that propels desire for symbolic objects and experiences – desires which are translated into demand within the circuits of consumption dominated by the leisure economy. The deviant leisure perspective therefore proposes a radical shift away from the influence of the ‘new criminology’ (see Taylor et al, 2013) and their emphasis on norms and values toward a context of social harm. Put simply, times have changed and in the contemporary context it is the capacity for norms and values to be manipulated by the ideological dominance of consumer capitalism that opens up a space for harm to result from the individualistic pursuit of leisure, irrespective of what Bauman (2009) refers to as a moral ‘duty to the other’.

While social harm approaches are not new (Hillyard and Tombs, 2004; Yar, 2012a; White, 2013), criminology has tended to treat ‘harm’ and ‘deviance’ as two separate concepts which occasionally overlap. A deviant leisure perspective aims to rectify this by offering a conceptual foundation of social deviance in which ‘harm’ and ‘deviance’ can always be looked at in the same analytical frame. By reframing ‘deviant’ forms of leisure not as a contravention of norms and values but as a transgression of this ethical ‘duty to the other’, we can discuss the harms of commodified leisure as ‘deviance’ whilst acknowledging their conformity to social norms and values. This enables
criminology to critically approach the harms of commodified leisure and its systemic roots in the corrosive social and cultural values of late-capitalism.

This preliminary exploration of a deviant leisure perspective includes a range of illustrative examples, but is of course far from being an exhaustive list. While deviant leisure remains far from a ‘finished’ theoretical perspective, these initial examples and discussions will highlight the basic theoretical approach in order to contribute to the on-going development of the study of deviant leisure from a criminological perspective.

Leisure, pleasure and social harm
To some, it might seem counter-intuitive to be encouraging debate around crime, harm and deviance against the backdrop of what has ostensibly been a period of unprecedented success for administrative criminology. The West appears to be experiencing a statistical crime decline (Parker, 2008), particularly across a number of volume crimes such as burglary and car theft since a peak in the mid-1990s. There are a number of critiques of this supposed crime drop, but for our purposes, it is sufficient to point out that the supporting evidence emanates from the highly restrictive socially constructed definitions of crime that ignore a huge number of non-criminalised, underreported and unrecorded harms. As ultra-realist thinkers have continuously observed, while there has been a statistical drop in ‘crime’, many harms are becoming normalised and embedded within circuits of consumption (Smith, 2014). At the same time, the hyper-competitive realm of consumer society fails to indicate any improvement in interpersonal social relations, individual happiness or sense of wellbeing (Hall et al, 2008; Raymen and Smith, 2015). It is to this field of consumer markets and commensurate harms to which our attention will now turn.

Much of the existing work around leisure focuses on the ‘elective affinity’ between leisure and deviance (see Wolfgang 1958; Rojek 1995). Early work by cultural criminologists (see for example Katz, 1998) claims that deviant forms of leisure arise as a result of the timeless natural desire to seek thrills, pleasure and excitement. Indeed, this view is pervasive across disciplines, forming part of a liberal orthodoxy which tends to promote individual agency and a naturalist view of resistance to authority in narratives of harm. In this sense, the choice to seek thrills and excitement in a way that supposedly challenges authority is often celebrated as politically charged. The notion of harm tends to be addressed only in terms of negative liberty (Berlin, 1970), positioning attempts to control or otherwise regulate leisure not just as threats to individual freedoms and civil liberties but also to youthful attempts to symbolise political resistance. Where harms are considered, they tend to be limited to the individual within narratives of harm minimization and control. Criminology and related sociological disciplines focus on the most visible forms of harm, such as the small number of deaths associated with recreational drug use, the drinking behaviours of ‘Brits abroad’, or the disorder of Black Friday shopping. The opprobrium drawn by these clearly visible forms of violence and illegality detract from the more pertinent source of the violence and disorder, ignoring the capacity to locate harm within the social structures of late modernity (Pemberton, 2015). Furthermore, this approach tends to marginalise non-human harms (White, 2013).
orthodox analysis of leisure and deviance serves to obfuscate the range of harms that occur as a direct or indirect result of commodified forms of leisure and their attendant cultural supply chains.

Commodified leisure reflects global patterns of social inequality, encompassing forms of ‘slow violence’ (Nixon, 2011) against the natural world and inhabitants of developing nations. Reports of species depletion, coral degradation and climate change can be associated with the economic exchange mechanisms at the heart of the leisure industry – in short the impetus to exploit and extract profit not just from the consumer and local service workers, but also from the natural and shared environment of the global commons. In this sense, we are reluctant to constrain the notion of harm to the compromising of human flourishing (Hillyard and Tombs, 2004; Pemberton 2015, Yar, 2012a), but aim to develop a cohesive approach that incorporates and acknowledges an eco-justice perspective (See White, 2013). This is most pertinent with regard to the environmental harms that result from the expansive tourism and leisure markets such as beaches, golf clubs, and ski-resorts which have the capacity to cause irreparable harms to the natural environment and the development of natural eco-systems (Nixon, 2011). As Veblen (1965) pointed out over a century ago, the majority of these leisure practices are inherently wasteful, hedonistic and socially hollow symbolic gestures of pecuniary and cultural status devoid of ‘pro-social’ value and unnecessary for human flourishing when detached from their economic function within the exploitative economic structure of consumer capitalism.

One of the challenges associated with the harm-based approach is the role of morality in deciding what we classify as harm. Detailed exploration will have to be left for another time, but suffice to say that within the context of a marketised leisure economy, we follow Bauman (1989) in his assertion that morality is manipulated by dominant social structures and systems. As we shall outline below, the harms associated with commodified forms of leisure are disproportionately experienced along the social fault lines of race, gender, class, religion and sexuality. However, our central argument hinges on recognizing that the competitive individualism at the centre of consumer capitalism’s economic exchange mechanisms constitute the impetus behind the willingness of individuals to inflict primary or secondary harms upon others, irrespective of identity politics. Moral decision-making is superseded by the special liberty (see Hall, 2012a) that is synonymous with success in the hyper competitive individualized environs of the current neoliberal social order. Therefore, our exploration of contemporary leisure goes further than a critique of firms and corporations unethically profiteering from leisure forms which harm individuals, cultures or the environment. Rather, we problematize the systemic absence of an imperative ethical commitment to leisure practices which are ‘harm neutral’ or actively pro-social. This is underpinned by what Bauman (1989) describes as a ‘duty to the other’, a non-obligatory collective human responsibility to look out for, care, and act in such a way that not only avoids harming others, but positively contributes to society, culture, and the environment. However, the dominant neoliberal ethos of individualism has continually eroded the social (Winlow and Hall, 2013), eradicating any collectivist conception of morality in favour of moral relativism, privileging consumer tastes and desires as a form of ‘freedom’ and liberal self-
expression. The point here is that the capacity for harm is embedded within culturally acceptable, value-normative behaviours, bound inextricably to what Žižek (2002) terms the ‘cultural injunction to enjoy’. Not only are these harms often experienced as hidden, systemic forms of violence, but in many cases are largely preventable. In short, prosocial forms of leisure are possible, but lie beyond what we term a hedonic realism, the inability to see beyond the horizon of a social order where leisure identity is synonymous with the hyper-competitive and individualized arena of consumer capitalism.

In search of a typology – some key examples
In this section, with the simple aim of outlining a range of different types of harmful leisure, we hope to provide a baseline or point of departure for critical engagement with leisure and harm. Some of these examples may be familiar to the reader, but our hope is that the engagement of an ultra-realist perspective prompts a recasting of the familiar as the cornerstone of a re-engagement with the notion of deviance, leisure and harm. Our typology uses the identified harm associated with various commodified leisure practices as its rationale. Initially we distinguish between illegal, spatially contingent and harmful forms of deviant leisure, breaking the latter down according to the primary focus of harms associated with them. They can be divided into the following broad categories:

1. Subjective harms
2. Environmental harms
3. Socially Corrosive harms
4. Embedded harms

While these categories are not without some degree of overlap, they are a useful first step in better understanding the systemic violence of deviant leisure, and we will now explain in some detail what is meant by these terms.

Illegal leisure
There are a number of forms of leisure that are most easily categorized as illegal leisure, for the fact that they transgress criminal laws that approach a degree of global consensus. Notwithstanding the geographical and historical inconsistencies of the legal definition of criminality, we can position some leisure forms as being universally abhorred due to the severity of the harm associated with them. The utilisation of extreme forms of pornography, participation in child abuse, consumption of snuff movies and murder would likely fall within this category, contravening the rule of law on a near global level.

Spatially contingent harm
This phrase refers to those leisure activities that appear to elicit a degree of regulation, criminalization and control that seems disproportionate to the identifiable harms that they pose. Furthermore, the level of public outrage and thirst for punitive measures that they invoke appears to relate to the cultural or physical space in which it is practiced. Capital has the privilege of defining and redefining the legitimacy of a particular space, thus continuously redefining the status of these activities as illegitimate ‘deviance’ or legitimate leisure. Cultural lifestyle sports or forms of ‘serious leisure’ (Stebbins, 2007)
such as skateboarding, parkour, and urban exploration (Atkinson, 2009; Garrett, 2013) occupy a curious position at the nexus between deviance and leisure which is riddled with contradictions. In some sense, they are legitimised sporting and leisure activities with their own competitive events and governing bodies. The spectacular imagery, and adventurous spirit of their practice is frequently utilised for the commercial purposes of feature films and advertisements. In many ways such activities can be conceptualized not as ‘deviant’ but entirely conformist; embodying the risk-taking entrepreneurial ethos of late-capitalism, and part of the drive to discover one’s true self and construct a persona of ‘cool individualism’ (Heath and Potter, 2006).

However, as cultural criminologists have keenly noted, when this form of leisure is practiced outside of its commodified format or near the spatial realms of private property or designated zones of consumption, it requires the arbitrary rule-making of the hyper-regulated city to sanitise the post-industrial consumer city and ‘keep space to its specificity’ (de Jong and Schuilenberg, 2006; Hayward, 2004; Kindynis, 2016). Consequently, the cultural-economic system of consumerism requires the legitimisation and cultivation of desire for these ‘transgressive’ urban identities whilst also having to control, re-direct, or prohibit those leisure behaviours into particular spatial contexts.

In this context, therefore, it is imperative not to conflate the transgression of rules with the transgression of values (Hall et al, 2008). Parkour’s use of space presents an opportunity for cities to break away from hyper-regulated, exclusive, and sanitized asocial design to promote a fully public and inclusive urban sphere. The harm of excluding what could, under different social relations be deemed a form of pro-social leisure, is further amplified when one considers how other more harmful forms of leisure, such as the night-time economy, time-bound shopping sales, and gambling venues are advertised, promoted and legitimized within the contemporary urban sphere of post-industrial cities; often misguidedly positioned as a social good which offers opportunities for creativity, sociality and resistance. As ‘right’ and ‘wrong’, ‘deviant’ or ‘legitimate’ have become increasingly synonymous with what is ‘right’ and ‘wrong’ for the market, there has also been a move towards a spatial and, by extension, political and economic definition of what constitutes harmful deviance and legitimate leisure.

**Harmful leisure**

Our discussion is now going to turn to categorising a range of behaviours that while legal, can directly or indirectly be linked to various forms of harm, and can therefore be understood as morally injurious. The impact, direction or victim of the harm dictates the category we use, although there are a number of similarities across examples.

1) **Subjective Harms**

We draw the term ‘subjective harm’ from Slavoj Žižek’s (2008) distinction between subjective and objective violence. Subjective forms of harm result from the actions of an easily identifiable perpetrator causing harm to a clearly identifiable victim in action related to a specific leisure activity. Subjective forms differ from symbolic forms of harm, which emanate from language and communicative forms of consumer symbolism in the pursuit of social
distinction, and for Bourdieu, come to structure power relations, resulting in discrimination (Zizek, 2008, and see also Bourdieu, 1991). Both subjective and symbolic forms of harm take place against a backdrop of systemic violence, described by Žižek as “something like the notorious ‘dark matter’ of physics” – invisible but an integral precondition to “the smooth functioning of our economic and political systems” (Žižek, 2008: 1).

Subjective, systemic and symbolic violence are all present within the alcohol-based night time economy (see Smith, 2014). The night-time economy has become synonymous with rising levels of interpersonal violence, often portrayed within the mainstream literature and media reporting as the pathological behaviour of a minority of working class men whose actions taint an otherwise unproblematic site of creativity and identity gain. The reality is that the night-time economy is responsible for over a million hospital visits a year (a somewhat conservative figure which is likely to underestimate the reality of the number of assaults), in addition to the significant numbers of assaults on ambulance and emergency room staff (IAS, 2015). Intertwined with these forms of violence are an array of abusive behaviours and violence meted out against other consumers, bar staff, takeaway workers, taxi drivers and other victims of deviant leisure who find themselves victimized within what can be described as a predominantly exclusionary space across lines of gender, race, religion or sexuality.

The visible eruptions of violence that permeate the night-time economy are often short-lived, brutal encounters with occasionally significant and long-lasting repercussions for the participants. However, as Žižek (2008) observes, these encounters tend to be received with righteous indignation and shock because of an assumption that the base-level norm in society is essentially non-violent. In reality however, it is a pervasive anxiety surrounding the threat of cultural irrelevance and a fear of missing out that drives the basic hedonism, excess and competitive consumerism of the night-time economy. It is a form of invisible and systemic violence which underpins the subjective violence of the night-time economy (Winlow and Hall, 2006; Smith 2014).

Night time leisure forms an important part of identity for young consumers. It is characterized by a near universal adherence to intoxication and the suspension of the moral regulation and behavioural norms of the daytime. But in the starkest of terms, it is this problematic and harmful form of determined drinking that is the most valuable from the perspective of the alcohol industry, accounting for 60% of the industry’s profit (Boseley, 2016). By acknowledging the ‘systemic’ violence of normal everyday life and the pressures surrounding the ‘cultural injunction to enjoy’, the violence of the night-time economy is not shocking and nonsensical but rather predictable. Consequently, the dominant explanatory frameworks of violence in the night time economy are revealed as unsatisfactory (see Newburn and Shiner, 2001; Richardson and Budd, 2003),

Recent criticism of ridesharing services such as Uber have seen taxi drivers accused of discrimination, assault and sexual offences, exemplifying the potential for employment within the NTE to provide opportunity for further harm (see Rogers, 2015).
requiring us to situate this violence as a normalized consequence of contemporary leisure and consumer capitalism.

The activities and behaviours outlined above tend to radiate harm outwards from the individual. However, the night-time economy also exemplifies the capacity for harms to turn inwards on the participant. Elements of this leisure sphere are synonymous with poly-drug use, and other forms of risky or dangerous activities (Measham and Moore, 2009, Zonfrillo and Osterhoudt 2015). In these instances of subjective harm, leisure behaviours incorporate an element of risk-taking that is barely managed and appears to be undertaken not without knowledge of danger but in spite of it. In their extreme form these behaviours, while largely conforming to cultural values of consumerism and lifestyle, can be interpreted as illustrating a parasuicidal disregard for life and wellbeing while at the same time being ‘faithful reflections of the drive-based models that marketing promotes’ (Stiegler, 2013: 62).

Parasuicidal forms of leisure are reflected in the depressive apathy which characterises a generation on the ‘road to whatever’ (Currie, 2005). The fact that the ‘capitalist realism’ (Fisher, 2009) of late modern society has an effect on the mental health of its citizens is now beyond doubt (Pickett and Wilkinson 2010). Depression is one of the most frequently treated illnesses by the NHS, and appears to be affecting people at ever younger ages. Similarly, Oliver James (2010) suggests that the opportunity for self-expression that forms the backbone of consumer markets has innumerable effects that appear to be deleterious to mental health. These have the capacity to exacerbate subjective insecurities and delineate vicious social divisions that arise in societies dominated by relentless social comparison and competitive individualism.

ii) Environmental

Green criminology has done much over the last 25 years to direct criminological attention at the harms inflicted upon the environment as a result of non-criminal activities (see South 1998; White 2013) and harmful behaviours that emanate from interaction with the global economy (Rugierro and South, 2013). From a Deviant Leisure perspective, we interrogate not only the harms that result from engaging with leisure cultures, but explore the role of consumerism in the creation of individual desire and the cultivation of harmful subjectivities willing to harm the environment to satisfy their consumer desires. As commodified forms of leisure became democratised through the rise of the budget airlines and all-inclusive deals, leisure activities began to come into conflict with the natural environment on a global scale. The 100,000 flights per day (ATAG, 2014) which result in 705 million tons of annual CO2 emissions position countries who contribute the least in terms of greenhouse gas emissions at the greatest risk of the effects of sea level change. This aside, however, the democratisation of tourism places unsustainable strains upon the global commons in the pursuit of private gain. Perhaps the starkest example of this can be found in the case of the Maldives.

An island chain of 26 atolls in the Indian Ocean, the Republic of the Maldives is the lowest country in the world and probably the most vulnerable to the
threat of sea level change. However, it also faces a compound danger through its desirability as a honeymoon or status destination. The tourist industry is paramount to the economic functioning of the area, and the Maldives has become reliant on the rapid influx of tourism. With a population of less than 400,000, the islands receive twice that number in visitors a year, and in economic terms are becoming increasingly reliant on tourism. This economic value however, comes at a cost, with waste disposal providing a specific challenge. Perhaps most symbolic of the types of harm we are talking about here is the creation of Thilafishu waste treatment and disposal site (Scheyvens, 2011), an island atoll and lagoon sacrificed for the disposal and treatment of waste. Waste is disposed of through a process of open burning, contributing pollutants and gases that contribute to the global issues of climate change and sea-level rise, in effect contributing to their own demise. In fact, as sea levels rise, the delicate ecosystem of the coral reefs is disturbed to the detriment of fish stocks, which negatively impacts the other major industry on which the islands rely. As Matthew Hall (2015) points out, the loss of fish stocks and the fishing industry is not just an economic loss, but a cultural one as well.

For us, the challenges faced by the Maldives are not the product of tourism in an abstract sense, but are synonymous with the commodification of a range of symbolism that is closely related to consumer culture. The ubiquitous image that adorns specialist honeymoon magazines is of miles of white sands, empty but for the carefree linen-suited groom and his sarong-wearing new bride. However, the pristine beauty of the magazine is not as natural as we might suppose. Rather, the islands have been sanitized; depilated, shaved and plucked to the detriment of local ecosystems (Domroes, 2001).

While the classic liberal defence of the tourist industry might rely on pointing to employment created by the tourist industry, in reality very few well-renumerated jobs go to indigenous islanders. 42% of the population earns around $1.50 per day (Scheyvens, 2011), while money leaks out of the country due to high levels of foreign ownership and a high proportion of expatriate employment (Shakeela et al, 2011). Furthermore, this argument still fails to address the inevitable environmental problems associated with this industry. This is an example of what Steve Hall (2012a) refers to as ‘special liberty’, the individual belief that one is no longer constrained by ethical codes, and has the right to freely express desires and drives, exonerated from the need to acknowledge their harm toward others. Instead, individuals are able to operate under the auspices of a fantasy that elevates them to the status of the most transcendent free individuals in which their harm is negated due to their powers of wealth creation, and their ability to drive new cultural trends. It is in this way that the vastly unequal geographical and racialized distribution of environmental harm is denied. Wealthy white tourists from the United States and Europe are permitted to enjoy their extravagant holiday through adhering to the claim that the economic impact of their consumerism is ‘improving’ the poor and deprived global south. It is the fantasy of special liberty that allows the individual to abdicate from moral responsibility and perpetuate the economic, social and environmental forms of inequality that leak from commodified leisure. However, the environmental harms associated with leisure and the piles of waste it builds are not restricted to the far-off
lands of the Maldives, but are simultaneously experienced in multiple locations globally, albeit unequally according to race and geopolitical power (Campbell, 2016). As Jeff Ferrell (2006) identified a decade ago in Empire of Scrounge, the environmental harm associated with waste produced by a globalized consumer culture is only going to intensify over time as the demands of a consumer economy and its neophiliac subjects consume and discard fashions, fads and trends in an ever-shortening life cycle of commodities.

**iii) Socially Corrosive harms**

Within this section, we identify leisure forms that contribute to the erosion of our shared social life. Baudrillard (1983) acknowledged the ‘end of the social’ with the dawn of neoliberalism, positioning consumer-citizens as increasingly atomised, cynical and disinterested in the possibility of collective interests. The social is constructed through a coherent and comprehensive sociosymbolic order based in shared meanings and codes. Their absence in this postmodernist phase of consumer capitalism results in anxiety, unhappiness and despair (Steigler, 2013), a constant state of emotional and existential precarity that can only be temporarily assuaged by engagement in consumer markets.

A deviant leisure perspective must therefore examine the potential for leisure to cut individuals adrift from the social, contribute to the further erosion of social institutions such as family, class, community, and exacerbate the fragmented and individualized nature of life under late capitalism. There are many forms of leisure that would fall into this category, but one example might be the creation of artificial scarcity, the privation of that which would otherwise be plentiful and free to the public. The creation of ‘club goods’ has the potential to create demarcated leisure zones of wealth and cultural capital. A clear demonstration of this is embodied within Donald Trump’s enclosure of an enormous stretch of the Aberdeenshire coastline for the creation of a ‘world-best’ $1.5 billion luxury golf-course, club, and hotel². Aided by a legal system that assiduously protects the interest of private property, these leisure spaces create cultures of fragmentation. Notwithstanding the environmental damage done to local dune ecosystems, Trump’s golf-course and other similar country clubs become a no-go zone for those lacking the requisite social, cultural and financial capital.

Further examples of socially corrosive leisure are found in those which seduce individuals to retreat from the social into the ‘wallpapered worlds’ (Appadurai, 1986) of fantasy through violent gaming and pornography.

---

² Of course, Trump in his role as a neoliberal ‘undertaker’ (Hall, 2012a) has argued that he is simply doing what has to be done in order to revitalize the economy of the region, providing jobs and attracting tourism, despite vocal opposition. Despite promises of 6,000 jobs only 200 jobs were created (Baxter, 2011), while residents experienced interrupted water supply for several years. Exemplifying ‘special liberty’ (Hall, 2012a) Trump has transcended the ethical codes of the symbolic order in order to achieve his aims, irrespective of the effect it has on people.
Atkinson and Rodgers (2015) describe this as the proliferation of ‘murder boxes’, which create visually realistic zones of cultural exception based upon human harm, domination, and the perpetuation of gendered and racialized scripts of extreme violence and subjugation within a society ‘labouring to feel’ (Gerhardt, 2010). The hyperreality of violence and domination is amplified as the photo-realistic fantasy environments are increasingly and deliberately based on real places, while games are becoming more humanly interconnected through online multi-player competitive gaming and the acting out of violence and harm through the player’s own physical movements.

Most discussion centres on the violent tropes that dominate game narratives (Black et al, 2012), and it is true to say that racialized and gendered violence is ubiquitous within gaming environments. Using the Grand Theft Auto series of games as a well-known example, we can observe the casual objectification of women, whose use and exploitation is embedded and valorized within gameplay. Similarly, the game features stereotypical caricatures of ethnic groups which have the harmful effects of perpetuating populist racialized links between particular ethnic groups and organized crime (Dill et al, 2005; Polasek, 2014). However, we must not ignore the less visible harms surrounding the steadfast adherence and promotion of neoliberal ideology and subjectivity which is woven into the fabric of the gamescape (Atkinson and Rodgers, 2015). Staying with the example of Grand Theft Auto, we see the player advance through the game by aggressively elevating the self through multiple property purchases, successful navigation of sexual conquests and the accumulation of wealth and power at the expense of others, even allies. This can be taken as a microcosm of the wider and more pervasive competitive individualism of neoliberal society in which colleagues are competitors on the job market, and friends are competitors in the circuits of consumption. As a middle-class society that is technologically insulated from the horrific traumas of subjective violence (Zizek, 2008), these deep desires of violence and subjugation are not, as Elias (2000) suggests, overcome through a ‘civilising process’. Rather, these sublimated libidinal energies are pseudo-pacified and harnessed for the purposes of capital accumulation and circulation (Hall 2012a), occasionally breaching the surface as consumer capitalism provides a semblance of authenticity through the mediated spectacle of violent video games and pornography.

Also of note here are the socially corrosive effects of the proliferation of social media. Optimistic readings of technological innovation place emphasis on new forms of sociability and the potential for the pursuance of progressive politics (Jones, 2015), outbursts of solidarity and human empathy exemplified by social media responses to mass shootings and terrorist events. However, this belies a more consistent norm characterised by combative exchanges, ‘trolling’, cyber-bullying, revenge porn, and forms of hate speech (Shariff, 2008). Furthermore, social media provides another space and opportunity for the competitive and comparative display of lifestyle, cultural and consumer competence. From carefully-framed images of a plate of food, to a snapshot of foregrounded tanned legs with a beach and azure sea in the background, the everyday producer and disseminator of social media material is selectively presenting who they are through the visual publication of an idealised self-image. This is part of what Yar (2012b) describes as the ‘will to represent’,
indicative of the competitive individualism of contemporary society and culture which fragments and atomises users not as ‘friends’ but as individual competitors in the display of cultural capital. As we have described earlier, not only does this fragment ‘the social’ but perpetuates and intensifies a permanent sense of objectless anxiety as individuals existential security is contextualized against the public dissemination of others’ lives on social media.

This ubiquitous ‘will-to-representation’ can also motivate more serious forms of violent crime or humiliation which are then disseminated through social media. Yar suggests that the role of the camera, the material’s eventual destination of social media and the intensified desire for social recognition plays a criminogenic role in these behaviours. We are not talking here about opportunistic or coincidental filming of violence and violent encounters. Rather, an incident or event is “engineered or instigated with the specific and express purpose of recording it and disseminating that record via electronic networks of communication” (Yar, 2012b: 252). These are performative acts, enacted for their value on social media and user-generated websites. In addition to the more mundane lifestyle competitiveness of social media, these behaviours are reflective of a particular form of egoism prevalent in contemporary society which contextualises and elevates the self in relation to the downfall and denigration of others.

**iv) Embedded Harm**

Within the category of embedded harm, we examine harmful leisure cultures that are notable for becoming successfully entrenched within legitimate and familiar consumer markets. Perhaps the most illustrative example is the gambling industry, which has become legitimised and normalised through its relationship with other forms of leisure such as the consumption of professional sport, online social networks, and the night-time economy. With an increasing array of gambling opportunities it is likely that ‘social’ gambling, fiercely defended by the gambling industry as non-problematic, masks a range of damaging social and individual effects. Furthermore, ‘official’ thresholds for ‘problem gambling’ on the Problem Gambling Severity Index (PGSI) remain far too high according to health surveys, helping to present many of these behaviours as harmless (Wardle and Seabury, 2012).

Existing criminological analyses tend to approach gambling within a paradigm of ‘edgework’ (Banks, 2013). Only rarely have criminologists acknowledged the social harms surrounding social gambling, while psychology and consumer studies tend to individualise problem gambling in isolation from its broader cultural context. The relationship between work, leisure and gambling has previously been explored (see for example Downes et al., 1976), although today the landscape of gambling has been rendered all but unrecognizable through the proliferation of new technologies and the relaxation of gambling and advertising laws. Furthermore, the democratization and diversification of the role of gambling within broader circuits of consumerism, identity and contemporary friendships has significant implications for new work in this area.

While once subject to wide-ranging state control (Banks, 2013), gambling has become an integral aspect of the night-time economy, sports fandom, and
online forums of socialisation. With the rise of pub-based poker leagues and the normalisation of high street casinos providing an alternative to nightclubs for late night entertainment, knowledge and competence in the field of gambling is becoming an important part of night time social capital (see Smith, 2014). Perhaps nowhere is the legitimized democratization of betting and gambling more visible than in the proliferation of sports-betting, specifically around association football. It is impossible to watch any sports channel without being bombarded by targeted advertising of innumerable high-street and online bookmakers. Major broadcasters have even created a 20-second advert segment immediately before the kick-off of a televised game which is exclusively dedicated to online sports betting companies. The technological flexibilisation of gambling and its reorientation away from specific gambling venues and towards more familiar environments of socialisation has infiltrated football fandom to the extent that gambling has become an entrenched and constituent aspect of masculine leisure environments.

This is reflected in numerous television and Internet advertisements, which visually situate the act of gambling within a wider weekend leisure experience of friends, football and beer. The promotion of the casual ‘lifestyle gambler’ positions organized gambling as integral to leisure identities. In this sense, gambling can be compared to compulsive shopping (Perfetto and Woodside 2009), where shopping is more than just a process of buying and owning but rather an opportunity for self-affirmation and reinvention (Smith and Raymen, 2015; Zukin, 2005). Gambling within broader circuits of leisure and consumption is imbued with more than the simple outcome of winning or losing. Rather, the act of betting becomes irretrievably entangled with identity, with betting ‘styles’ or approaches such as those displayed in the ‘Ladbrokes Life’ adverts having the potential to act as a reflective mirror of who we are.

An identity-based culture of sports betting, combined with relentless promises of ‘easy wins’ encourages impulsive bets and the chasing of losses. (Binde, 2010). In this way the cost of an afternoon watching football spirals, and can loop into other areas of life. In the face of financial losses, becoming trapped in the unforgiving and high-interest cycle of payday loans to cover gambling losses or even afford more simple domestic outgoings becomes a real possibility. The combination of the accumulation of social capital allied to the allure of the gambling win, underscored by readily available credit, has the potential to cast these young people into a new culture of indebtedness (Horsley, 2015). The peaks and troughs of winning and losing, against the background of the ‘objectless’ anxiety of late-capitalism (Hall and Winlow, 2015), perpetuates a leisure culture which, while culturally normalised, is characterised by the harms of stress, financial uncertainty, emotional volatility, depression and anxiety.

**Re-thinking the Primacy of Commodified Leisure**

It is through leisure that we are culturally, economically, and even politically positioned as exercising the freedom of our individual agency and internal desires (Rojek, 2010). Commitment to the idea of leisure as a tool for creativity and freedom is visible across the mainstream political spectrum. For the liberal right, leisure and its associated freedoms is the product of the
wonders of an untouched free-market. For the liberal left, they are an example of the hard-won freedoms of a tolerant, progressive, and non-judgmental society, and the realm in which the individual is free to construct her true identity and express social and political resistance (Hebdige, 1979; Jayne et al, 2006; 2008; Riley et al, 2013). As a result, the study and analysis of leisure has been overwhelmingly one-sided. Broadly speaking, leisure and recreation have been viewed as fundamentally positive in their pursuit and ends, while harm and deviance tends to be sidelined unless overt and clearly visible (Franklin-Reible, 2006; Rojek, 1995).

The discussions above regarding leisure’s more interpersonal, social, and environmentally corrosive cultural dynamics suggest a need for criminology to end the moratorium on more critical approaches to commodified leisure. We take ultra-realism’s focus on the concrete reality of liberal-capitalism’s most systemic social harms (Hall and Winlow, 2015; Winlow and Hall, 2013), whilst also adopting cultural criminology’s interest in contested ‘theatres of meaning’ (Ferrell, 2013) and how “situations are defined, individuals and groups are categorised, and human consequences are understood” (ibid. 2013: 258). This enables us to provide a deviant leisure perspective which can critique how and why the myriad harms of commodified leisure forms have become so culturally accepted and normalised in contemporary culture by positioning them squarely in their cultural meanings and functions to both the individual and economy in a global age of consumer capitalism.

In doing so, we can understand some of the contradictions at the heart of deviant leisure. Culturally conformist and low-harm practices such as parkour, urban exploration or skateboarding are excluded from consumer-oriented urban space; whilst the vast environmental and social harms of honeymooning in the Maldives or maintaining fragile identities through gambling and the night-time economy continue to be fetishistically disavowed by both consumers and society. Contra Riley et al, (2013), most forms of commodified leisure are not capable of providing “one of the few tangible and mundane experiences of freedom which feels personally significant to modern subjects” (Cronin, 2000: 3). Rather, the absence of more stable forms of collective identity in contemporary society has intensified the need for a coherent set of symbols through which to make sense of our lives. Thus, as increasing swathes of the population have turned to leisure and consumer markets for freedom and identity, they have appended their existential security and self-esteem to the ‘velocity of fashion’ (Appadurai, 1986). This is the precarious ‘life cycle’ of commodities, fads, and leisure trends and the spirals and loops of cultural meaning (Ferrell et al, 2008), which further intensify the objectless anxiety and the perpetual solicitation of the ‘unfreedom’ of leisure (Hall, 2012b; Hall and Winlow, 2015; Rojek, 1995).

The leisure industry itself has maintained a position at the centre of the neoliberal project, ascending to unassailable dominance in terms of economic value across the West. Some of the criticism we level at the industry here might be countered with the claim the industry is capable of self-regulation and adoption of ethical codes (see for example the Portman Group with regard to alcohol and the night-time economy). This suggests that capitalism, the backdrop to the burgeoning leisure industry, is somehow moral, grounded
in the social and capable of ethical action. Supporters of this argument unfailingly point to professionally benevolent millionaires such as William Gates, or Paul Hewson in order to illustrate the humanity of capitalism. Of course we know this cannot be true. Capitalism is blind, and the ethical forces attributed to the social order are no match for the violence of the market (Zizek, 2008). While there are identifiable shifts in the market, (for example to offer ethical holidays, environmentally friendly yurts or ecological conservationism), we are not witnessing the conscious awakening of an ethical heart of capitalism. Capitalism morphs and changes, not because of any moral quality, but only that it may circumvent crises, obstacles and blockades in its path to growth (Harvey, 2007). Indeed, it is this overwhelming tendency to look at individualistic rather than systemic harms that have allowed these supposedly benevolent capitalists to disavow the much deeper systemic harms of a global capitalist system to which they are vital contributors.

To date, there has been limited critical analysis not just of how harm is a predictable externality of the commodification of leisure and leisure practices, but how the ‘barbarity of leisure’ (Veblen, 1965) is an intrinsic feature of the drives and energies which motivate leisure behaviours. As an increasing array of forms of ‘deviant leisure’ become culturally embedded within the mainstream, and their attendant harms become normalised, we argue that criminology’s usual focus on legally-defined crime and forms of deviance which controvert social norms and values requires some conceptual expansion. For a criminology that intends to keep up with a rapidly changing landscape economically and culturally normalised crime and harm, it is necessary to distance ourselves from the concept of crime and instead direct its attention towards the drives, desires, and underlying violence that underpin consumer culture and associated leisure industries.

Conclusion
We might be forgiven for assuming that the notion of deviant leisure reflects a relatively small and insignificant number of actions and behaviours. Indeed, according to international crime statistics, more serious forms of deviant and illegal leisure appear to be declining. Joyriding, understood as the theft of a motorcar for recreational purposes has undeniably diminished over recent years (Farrell et al., 2011). Similarly, crimes against property and even young people’s alcohol consumption appears to be reducing at a rate that would support the contention that we are experiencing a crime decline that relates significantly to the notion of deviant leisure. However, in simple terms we cannot reconcile a reduction in recorded crime with a meaningful reduction in either harm or the motivation to partake in activities that have the potential to result in harm. When the underlying violence of shopping explodes into realised physical violence in time-bound consumption events (Raymen and Smith, 2015); when sexual assault and violence is a normal, expected, and even desired feature of the ubiquitous night-time economy (Smith, 2014; Winlow and Hall, 2006); and when the humiliation and degradation of revenge porn and torture become forms of entertainment to be consumed through pornography and video games (Atkinson and Rodgers, 2015; Sherlock 2016), criminology must look beyond what is socially-defined and culturally accepted as affirming leisure cultures and instead interrogate the nature of leisure itself.
and its relationship with an increasingly liberalised consumer capitalism. As choices around how we spend our time out of work become increasingly limited to commodified forms of leisure and consumer experience, it becomes more difficult to identify forms of prosocial leisure – leisure activities that have the potential to contribute to human flourishing, positively impact the natural environment and leave no identifiable traces of harm through their commission. As cultural and critical branches of criminology hone in on coherent definitions of harm, it becomes increasingly clear that post-crash consumer cultures—driven by the endless quest for the creation of economic growth out of fragile debt-based communities—sentence us to the repressive requirement to live a life deemed worth living through intrinsically harmful symbolism organized around degradation and harm to others or ourselves. We observe that such cultures, driven by an underlying objectless anxiety and fear of cultural obsolescence, are creating new forms of misery and abjection which, far from revitalizing and liberating us from the workaday monotony and tribulations of everyday life, are actively perpetuating such anxieties in ways that contribute to the ongoing severing of positive social relations. Under these interpersonal, social and cultural conditions, underpinned by the demands of global capitalism, it would appear that unless we engage with a deviant leisure perspective in order to understand and expose the myriad harms associated with commodified leisure, a resurgence of morally and ethically sustainable prosocial leisure forms is unlikely.
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