

This Portable Document Format version of the manuscript has been automatically created for your convenience. It was NOT submitted by the author and so may not be a perfect rendering of the content of the submitted documents or the accompanying html files. Please refer to the html version of the manuscript if you encounter any difficulties.

Tables, figures, and appendices can be found at the end of the document. You may use the bookmarks on the left to jump to these attachments.

Research

Special Feature: Small-Scale Societies and Environmental Transformations:
Coevolutionary Dynamics

Resilience of small-scale societies' livelihoods: a framework for studying the transition from food gathering to food production

Version: 3 Submitted: 2016-07-18

1.

ABSTRACT

2. The origins of agriculture and the shift from hunting and gathering to committed agriculture is
3. regarded as one of the major transitions in human history. Archaeologists and anthropologists have
4. invested significant efforts in explaining the origins of agriculture. A period of gathering
5. intensification and experimentation and pursuing a mixed economic strategy seems the most plausible
6. explanation for the transition to agriculture and provides an approach to study a process in which
7. several non-linear processes may have played a role. However, the mechanisms underlying the
8. transition to full agriculture are not completely clear. This is partly due to the nature of the
9. archaeological record, which registers a practice only once it has become clearly established. Thus,
10. points of transitions have limited visibility and the mechanisms involved in the process are
11. difficult to untangle. The complexity of such transitions also implies that shifts can be
12. distinctively different in particular environments and under varying historical and social
13. conditions. In this paper we discuss some of the elements involved in the transition to food
14. production within the framework of resilience theory. We propose a theoretical conceptual model in
15. which the resilience of livelihood strategies lies at the intersection of three spheres: the
16. environmental, economical and social domains. Transitions occur when the rate of change, in one or
17. more of these domains, is so elevated or its magnitude so large that the livelihood system is unable
18. to 'bounce back' to its original state. In this situation, the system moves to an alternative stable

19. state (from one livelihood strategy to another).
20. Key words: transition to agriculture; social-ecological dynamics; ABM; subsistence strategies;
21. resources
- 22.

INTRODUCTION

23. The adoption of agriculture is regarded as one of the major changes of the past and has been
24. intensively studied by both archaeologists and anthropologists (see e.g. the special issue on the
25. origins of agriculture in *Current Anthropology* edited by Leslie C. Aiello in 2011, Rindos 2013,
26. Barton and An 2014). However, due to the nature of the archaeological record whereby this process
27. becomes visible only once its practice is clearly established (see Fuller 2007 for discussion),
28. transitional phases between foraging groups and fully developed food-producing societies are much
29. less easily unfolded (notable exceptions are represented by the work of Zvelebil and Dolukhanov
30. 1999, and Smith 2001). Recent studies have shown that such transitional phases and the emergence of
31. 'mixed subsistence economies', based on the use of both wild and domesticated animal and plant
32. species, lasted at least several hundreds and in some cases thousands of years (Larson et al. 2014
33. and references therein). Further, these changes fundamentally shaped the development of agricultural
34. societies that emerged around the world (Hayden 1990, Denham et al. 2003, Weiss et al. 2006, Kuijt
35. and Finlayson 2009, Asouti and Fuller 2012, 2013, Fuller et al. 2012, Smith 2015). Recent
36. methodological and technological advances, as well as an increase of archaeological excavations in
37. areas not investigated before, have produced large amounts of empirical data on forager-farmer
38. transitions worldwide (Fuller et al. 2014, and references therein). However, the resulting
39. interpretations are hampered by the many taphonomic problems related to archaeological material,
40. whereby differential preservation (especially of biological remains) impinges on our reconstructions
41. of past processes. Conversely, Gremillion et al. (2014) have argued that this hyper reliance on
42. empirical data has contributed to the rejection of general explanations on the origins of
43. agriculture (OA) and the consequent loss of theoretically driven hypothesis testing. Moreover,
44. scholars tend to explain this transition either as a necessity (e.g. due to climate change) or as an
45. opportunity (e.g. because domesticates offer more reliable food sources) (see as Ullah et al. 2015).
46. However, it is becoming clearer that both these mechanisms often acted in concert and at the same
47. time or at different chronological or spatial locations and the challenge is to distinguish between
48. these two occurrences. Recent advances in modeling and simulation approaches in archaeological
49. research (Madella et al. 2014) provide an opportunity for a detailed study of both the processes and
50. transitions associated with agricultural production (Allaby et al. 2008, 2010; van Etten and Hijmans
51. 2010; Ullah et al. 2015), and thus offer a formal tool to help close the 'gap' in our understanding

52. of the transition between hunter-gatherer (HG) and agro-pastoral (AP) societies.

53. In this paper we propose a theoretical conceptual model to study the transition to agriculture using
54. resilience and social-ecological systems (SESs) theory. This is conceived as a way to clarify the
55. meaning of concepts and terms adopted as well as to make explicit the connections between these
56. different concepts. The ultimate aim is to advance general theoretical understanding of the origins
57. of agriculture through the creation of a model that will then be implemented in Agent-Based
58. Simulations. However we believe that, before proceeding to the implementation phase, a theoretical
59. introduction of our proposed approach that frames transitions within resilience theory is required,
60. and delivered in the current paper. Taken alone, none of the concepts presented here are new to
61. archaeology (see for example Redman 2005, Gronenborn et al. 2014). However, their combined use and
62. application to understanding the 'resilience of livelihood strategies', is a valuable and novel
63. contribution. The concept of 'livelihood strategy' refers to the combination of activities that
64. people develop to achieve their subsistence goals. These usually depend on the *ecological settings*
65. (Environmental Resources), *productive strategies* (Economic Resources) and *social choices* (Social
66. Resources), for which, in this article, we propose a parameterization (cf. Wilson 2012).

67. **Resilience theory and transitions**

68. The concept of resilience is used here *sensu* 'ecological resilience' (Holling 1973), indicating a
69. measure of how much a system can be perturbed without shifting to a new regime. When we talk about
70. transitions, we intend a slow change in the system that can potentially, but not necessarily, lead
71. to a transformation (or critical transition, Scheffer 2009). The system might gradually lose
72. resilience until even a minor perturbation can push it over a tipping point (ibid p.24). Resilience
73. theory is a particularly well-suited framework to study the nature of transitions for several
74. reasons. First, 'resilience' is a neutral framework, i.e. it does not have a positive or negative
75. connotation *per se* but it can be one or the other depending on the circumstances (Cumming et al.
76. 2005). Early literature tends to consider resilience as a positive state, because it is typically
77. associated with 'sustainability' (see a review of the use of the term resilience in Miller et al.
78. 2010). However, these are two different -albeit sometimes related- concepts, and resilience is not
79. always positive. Occasionally, a change at a larger scale might be beneficial and systems that do
80. not pass a certain threshold at a lower scale might be resilient, but at the same time create
81. problems in the surrounding environment or in the social structures that define them (Walker et al.
82. 2004).

83. Another aspect of resilience theory that fits well within transition studies (as proposed by Wilson
84. 2012) is the formalization of several adaptive cycles. Specifically, the synchronous interaction of
85. small-and-fast, intermediate and slow-and-large cycles seems particularly well-suited to constitute

86 . a framework for studying the transition to agriculture. It is highly probable that during a long
87 . phase transition to fully-committed agriculture, shifts in diet between HG and AP were common. These
88 . might have included small changes in the dependency on one type of resource or another, as well as
89 . 'reverses' from AP to HG. Such examples are evident in the archaeological record, stressing the
90 . fluid nature of food procurement and preferences towards both domesticated and wild resources, such
91 . as in areas of central and northern Europe (e.g. Bishop et al. 2009, Schibler and Jacomet 2010,
92 . Kirleis et al. 2011, Colledge and Conolly 2014, Whitehouse et al. 2014) as well as southern Europe
93 . (Antolín and Jacomet 2015, Valamoti 2015) during the transition period. Several modern HG
94 . examples also show that these strategies often are complementary and reversions from one to the
95 . other are not uncommon (e.g. Greaves and Kramer 2014). In addition, social, technological and
96 . ecological changes may have been crucial for full reliance on agro-pastoralism. These changes might
97 . have ranged from the introduction of the ard and tilling technology for increasing productivity
98 . (Kerig 2013), irrigation in areas of limited rainfall (Kirch 1995, Doolittle 2014) and sophisticated
99 . storage techniques (de Saulieu and Testart 2015). Reorganization of social structures may also have
100 . been necessary to ensure food productivity and storage were reliable and available (Bar-Yosef 1988;
101 . Zapata et al. 2005). In cooler areas, more marginal to agriculture and distant from the origin
102 . centers of many cultivars, ecological and genetic changes were also required to ensure that crop
103 . seeds were suited to the local growing conditions. For instance, genetic modifications that occurred
104 . during the spread of cereal crops across Europe, allowed species to adapt to the wetter and cooler
105 . climates and shorter growing seasons of central and northern Europe compared to the crops' original
106 . regions (Jones et al. 2008, 2012). Conversely, crop failure may have been more frequent during this
107 . adaptation phase, especially considering the apparent rapidity with which agriculture spread to some
108 . of these northerly areas (Whitehouse et al. 2014).

109 . The concept of resilience is associated with, and encompasses the concepts of 'Adaptability',
110 . 'Vulnerability', and 'Transition' (Wilson 2012, 2013, Callo-Concha and Ewert 2014). *Adaptability* can
111 . be described as the collective capacity of the actors of a system to influence resilience, i.e high
112 . adaptation to perturbation contributes to high resilience of the system. *Adaptability* is used here
113 . to refer to functional outcomes and not necessarily in a Darwinian sense. *Vulnerability* relates to
114 . the possible changes that a system undergoes once stressed and implies that a system might be
115 . vulnerable to some perturbations but not others (Adger 2006). *Transition* in resilience theory is
116 . connected with the cycle of adaptive change and its four phases of transformation (Growth,
117 . Conservation, Release and Reorganization - Holling 1987). The concepts of *Adaptability*,
118 . *Vulnerability* and *Resilience* have been largely applied to the study of agricultural systems
119 . (Callo-Concha and Ewert 2014, and references therein). In contrast, the notion of *Transition* has
120 . been less thoroughly explored and is frequently associated with the internal transitions between

121. stages of the adaptive cycle, rather than to change from one system to another. These transitions
122. are governed by several *fast* and *slow* variables, the most critical and important for the system's
123. resilience being a low rate and a low frequency of change (Walker et al. 2006, 2012).

124. Some researchers have criticized the expression 'social resilience' because the term has been almost
125. directly adopted from environmental sciences and psychology, without appropriate modifications for
126. other social sciences (see Keck and Sakdapolrak 2013, Lorenz 2013, and the special issue being
127. edited by Stone-Jovicich et al. for discussion, and references therein). Within archaeology,
128. resilience provides a useful conceptual framework for the study of long term historical ecology,
129. emphasizing the inevitability of both stability and change in social-ecological systems (Redman
130. 2005). In other words, this framework provides an opportunity to move away from deterministic
131. narratives of change in past societies and to explore social, economic and ecological changes within
132. the same sphere of investigation. Most research based on this approach has focused on the resilience
133. of the entire social-ecological system and the relationship between society and the environment (see
134. Butzer and Endfield 2012). Other researchers who do not explicitly use resilience theory have
135. focused on developing the specific social mechanisms that allow societies to absorb external
136. disturbances (e.g. promoting the inherent flexibility of the system, see Head and Fullagar 1997,
137. Trosper 2003, Nelson et al. 2006).

138. **Why the need for another model for the transition to food production?**

139. The literature available on models for the OA, either using ABM or not, is vast and its full review
140. is outside the scope of this paper. Recent and detailed reviews can be found in Barlow (2006),
141. Winterhalder and Kennett (2006), Bettinger et al. (2010), Gremillion et al. (2014), and Zeder
142. (2015), amongst others, and the numerous works by Tim Kohler, Michael Barton and Stephen Shennan.
143. For what concerns the present work we find a passage of Ullah et al. work (2015) critical: "*Simply*
144. *put*, there is currently no sufficient theory to explain the nonlinear and contingent worldwide
145. transitions from foraging to farming" (*ibid*, pp 9579)]. We need theoretically driven
146. hypothesis-testing and a combination of both general explanations and local narratives depending on
147. the data used as advocated by Gremillion et al (2014). The model we propose has two important
148. characteristics that can advance our understanding of the transition to food production: a) it can
149. be applied to both *in situ* transitions and diffusion processes; and b) it considers a broad range of
150. factors that collectively played a role in this transition.

151. Although the demic/cultural diffusion processes underlying some agricultural transitions are still
152. debated (Fort 2012, 2015), it is now clear that the OA took a multitude of paths depending on local
153. conditions (Abbo et al. 2010 and references therein). The ability of members of the same functional
154. group to diversify their response to external disturbance is a key aspect of resilient livelihood

155 . strategies (Walker et al. 2006). Early agricultural systems likely enhanced the general biodiversity
156 . of ecosystems (Zeder 2008), especially through intermediate disturbance (see for example Colombaroli
157 . et al. 2013, Siebert and Belsky 2014). However, farming can concurrently reduce the spectrum of
158 . available foods due to selection pressures on favoured plants or crop choices (Walker et al. 2006).
159 . Therefore, efforts to increase resource management efficiency might actually lead to loss of
160 . resilience of a subsistence system. The links between biodiversity loss and the maintenance of
161 . ecosystem functioning are well known (Cardinale et al. 2012), and may eventually result in water
162 . eutrophication, increasing habitat homogeneity and species loss (Storkey et al. 2012). These, in
163 . turn, lead to ecosystem service losses and less resilient ecosystems. Monocropping with a single
164 . genotype is a modern example of extreme biodiversity loss and the end point of a trajectory that
165 . started with production of domestic cereals. From these premises, it follows that agriculturalists
166 . should have, generally speaking, lower resilience than HG (although we are aware that there are
167 . several past and present examples where agro-ecological systems show great flexibility and high
168 . dynamism). Many researchers argue that the spatial and organizational flexibility typical of
169 . hunter-gatherers or foragers can be termed resilience as it promotes a continuous re-adaptation of
170 . their strategies (Ames 1981; Kent 1992). Indeed, it has been hypothesized that the resilience of HG
171 . groups is greater than that of farming societies because response diversity, mobility strategies and
172 . reliance on a wider spectrum of resources enhances resilience (Bender 1978, Winterhalder 1990,
173 . Diamond 2002, Hamilton et al. 2014 and references therein). Few examples of HG systems' failure
174 . (e.g. starvation) exist in the ethnographic record and these are mostly related to extreme climatic
175 . conditions (Jones et al. 1999, Williams et al. 2010) or the influence of a distinct population
176 . (Swift 1982, McGranaghan 2012, Friesen 2013). The model we present explores modifications introduced
177 . in the HG strategy that ultimately led to agriculture. At the same time, it also considers the
178 . weaknesses that might result in vulnerability to diffusion pressure. For example, it is unlikely
179 . that certain short-term ecological effects, such as biodiversity loss, had immediate impacts on
180 . early agriculturalists. However, they are likely to have been increasingly important in how shifts
181 . to agriculture developed through time and may also help explain situations where such transitions
182 . did not occur.

183 . With respect to the many existing models, we believe that the one presented here:

184 . - Addresses the lack of recognition of the many important processes that may be modeled from current
185 . ethnographic data on mixed economies and small-scale cultivation;

186 . - Removes the linearity that has been implied in the transition to agriculture;

187 . - Provides an explanation for the presence of intermediate stages, or mixed economies where
188 . cultivation did not inevitably lead to agriculture;

189. - Provides the basis for the formalization and implementation of a model that can be used in
190. Agent-Based Model Simulations.
191. Crossing one threshold (in this case reliance on foraging *versus* farming products) often produces a
192. cascading effect with several other thresholds breached at different spatial and temporal scales. To
193. focus strongly on one single domain is likely to result in missing the interactions between domain
194. shifts (Kinzig et al. 2006). For this reason, the model we propose explicitly links subsistence
195. change to other aspects of environment, economic strategies, and social shifts.
196.

THE MODEL

197. The three domains of the system

198. The model follows the conceptualization proposed by Wilson (2012) that places community resilience
199. at the intersection of three complementary domains: *Environmental*, *Economic* and *Social*. Wilson uses
200. transition theory as an approach to the study of resilience. Here, we take an opposite point of
201. view, linking resilience and transition theory but using the former as a framework to explain the
202. latter. Placing resilience at the intersection of three domains has previously been suggested (see
203. for example, Kinzig et al. 2006 and references therein), by considering how regime shifts in one
204. domain impacts upon other domains, and then in general on the whole social-ecological system.
205. The model is therefore regulated by the interplay of the environmental, economic and social
206. resources, whereby a resilient system occurs when the three domains overlap, representing the
207. resilient state of the SES system (or, in the present work, of the adaptability of the livelihood
208. strategy and the capacity of a society to persist - figure 1a). The expansion of this area
209. (representing greater or lesser resilience), can change both by modifying the overlapping part of
210. the three areas (figure 1b) or the shape of one or more of the domains (figure 1c). The livelihood
211. strategy ceases to be resilient when the system cannot counteract changes in the domains and when
212. transition to a different livelihood strategy takes place (figure 1d). Below, is a description of
213. how we define the domains in the model, and what factors we include in each of the three domains.
214. Specifically, we concentrate on explaining the parameters and variables that we select as
215. influential in the transition to food production and why we think each are important in this
216. context.

217. Considering the multiscale, complex nature of the resilience framework, defining variables to be
218. operationalized is extremely difficult, as also suggested by Cumming et al. (2005). In addition,
219. when addressing resilience of social-ecological systems, which are complex systems, additional

220. challenges are posed when approaching their formalization and parameterization as more than one
221. attractor can play a significant role (Davidson 2010). Moreover, given the impossibility of
222. replicating and analyzing real-world systems, it must be assumed that some level of subjectivity is
223. inevitable in any study related to resilience of social-ecological systems. We argue that the
224. variables selected are those that impact the system most, although recognize that they do not
225. represent the entire spectrum of possible variables. We draw particular attention to the fact that
226. it is not the absolute value of each variable that matters, but their variation and relative weight
227. in respect to the other domains. In other words, rather than the absolute resource availability, it
228. is the amount of variation in a particular resource and the rate of production of new resources that
229. characterizes any particular system.

230. Table 1. Summary of the variables presented in the paper, with an indication on proxies for their h
231. measure in past social-ecological systems.

232. ***Parameter 1: Environmental resources***

233. Humans are one of between 5 and 30 million animals species present on our planet (Erwin 1982), but
234. use approximately 40% of the current productivity of terrestrial ecosystems (Vitousek et al. 1986).
235. Therefore, environmental resources are fundamental in our model. We define this parameter as the
236. biodiversity and geodiversity available for human exploitation, and we choose two specific
237. variables: productivity and variability, as they are non-context dependent (they can be applied to
238. any environmental/economic setting) but can be strictly specified if needed (for example, looking at
239. single resource productivity). Furthermore, this approach can accommodate different scales: single
240. elements (e.g. temperature) or pools of elements (e.g. seasonality) and non-linear trajectories,
241. looking at both resilient and non-resilient systems

242. ***Variable 1: Ecosystem productivity***

243. This represents the entire pool of resources in the system or the rate of biomass generation. A
244. rather straightforward way to quantify the available resources is to calculate an environment's Net
245. Primary Production (NPP) and Net Secondary Production (NSP).

246. NPP is the rate at which all the plants in an ecosystem produce net chemical energy. This rate is
247. equal to the difference between the rate at which the plants in an ecosystem produce chemical energy
248. (Gross Primary Production) and the rate at which they use some of that energy during respiration.
249. NSP is the generation of biomass of heterotrophic (consumer) organisms in a system. This is
250. characterized by the transfer of organic material between trophic levels and represents the quantity
251. of new tissue (mostly animal) created by food assimilation. NSP is commonly defined to include all
252. biomass generation by heterotrophs (herbivore consumers; carnivore consumers). The NPP and NSP are

253 . well-established measures for energy flow and they can be calculated for any environment (with
254 . higher or lower accuracy), including those for specific resources. These resources can originate
255 . from totally anthropic environments, such as a field of wheat, or from environments where the human
256 . influence is negligible, such as a tropical forest. Gross production in animals equals the amount of
257 . biomass or energy assimilated or biomass eaten less faeces.

258 . Using NPP and/or NSP offers the opportunity to estimate the level of energy potentially co-opted by
259 . humans (Kelly 1995, Binford 2001). It also allows the evaluation of possible consequences when there
260 . is an unsustainable use of resources, such as environmental degradation and species extinctions
261 . (loss of biodiversity), and altered climate. For the purpose of our model we can use NPP, NSP or,
262 . more useful for resources potentially available to humans, the standing crop (the measure of the
263 . biomass of a system at a single point in time; calories/m^2) as one of our parameters. The primary
264 . and secondary productions of an environment can be expressed as the rate of formation of new
265 . material in the environment or all biomass generated, per unit of surface and per unit of time
266 . ($\text{energy} = \text{calories/m}^2/\text{year}$). The difference between primary production and standing crop is crucial
267 . when, for instance, we are interested in understanding delayed investments and management of the
268 . resource. The productivity of a field of wheat can be calculated simply by the standing crop because
269 . the resource is harvested at the end of the growing season in the same year. On the other hand, the
270 . productivity of a forest or a hazelnut grove should be calculated as primary production because it
271 . must include 'time' as these systems are generally managed over an extended period. Indeed, the
272 . element 'time' is very important when thinking about ecosystems; and understanding *how much* and *how*
273 . fast something is happening or changing is a critical aspect for properly understanding the system
274 . under study. NPP can be calculated for past systems through current data or models of past
275 . vegetation or plant production (see for example Gaillard et al 2010, Sugita 2007). As NSP is
276 . positively correlated with NPP, at least for what concerns herbivores (Coe et al. 1976, Cole et al.
277 . 1991), NPP can be used in the model to combine two values in one measure.

278 . *Variable 2: Variability of System Productivity*

279 . This variable represents inter- and intra-annual changes in a system's productivity and assesses the
280 . *how much* and *how fast* a system's productivity is changing. In this case we consider changes that
281 . happen within a system's phase, because of the inherent variance of the system characteristics,
282 . rather than changes of phases. A system can be destabilized depending on the scales and tempo of the
283 . decrease in system productivity, forcing human groups to deal with fewer or diminishing resources
284 . during particular periods, which in some cases can become critical for a group's survival. The
285 . importance of variability in systems' productivity has been highlighted, for instance, by a set of
286 . simulation experiments for HG populations in semi-arid environments (Balbo et al. 2014). These have

287. shown that, independently of the scale of the climatic variability, human populations increase as
288. the variability in yearly precipitation (VYP) decreases. Here, yearly precipitation (YP) is taken as
289. a rough proxy of NPP, valid at least in the specified climatic settings. Thus, the lower the
290. inter-annual (annual, decadal) variation in precipitation, the better human populations perform in
291. the simulation (population's growth). This is understandable in terms of human behavior, as
292. decreased short-term variability leads to improved predictability of resources (the system has more
293. constant production) and continued availability from one year to the following. The opposite
294. situation (high variance) reduces a population's growth capabilities, as it requires a constant
295. reconsideration of subsistence strategies that are dependent on the quantity of resources and their
296. distribution in the landscape. These experiments also reveal that decadal patterns of resource
297. availability affect reproductive strategies, i.e. the number of offspring any agent has (where the
298. agent is a household composed by a couple and its offspring) depends on access to resources. It is
299. interesting that similar responses are observed in current animal populations and that a higher
300. variance of certain environmental parameters (e.g. temperature) impact the population performance
301. (Vasseur et al 2014). We therefore suggest that general models of ecosystem productivity should
302. consider the amplitude and frequency of variations of ecosystems, especially in those study systems
303. characterised by high seasonality or high fluctuations or variability in climatic parameters.

304. ***Parameter 2: Economic resources***

305. We define this parameter as the *subsistence strategy* of a population, including the pool of
306. resources that people choose to exploit amongst those available and by what means. Pre-industrial
307. subsistence strategies are normally divided into four major groups (Nanda and Warms 2011): 1)
308. hunting and gathering; 2) horticulture - domestic plants and animals with low-level technology and
309. absence of surplus; 3) pastoralism - domestic animals and animal products constitute the main
310. resource base; and 4) agriculture - intensive or extensive cultivation of plants with high-level
311. technologies. It now seems clear that in many cases this classification is too strict and some
312. societies practice a 'mixed economy', combining elements of more than one of the above-mentioned
313. strategies (Minzenberg and Wallace 2011, Greaves and Kramer 2014).

314. ***Variable 1: Diet***

315. Diet, defined as the suite of resources that constitute the primary caloric intake of a community,
316. can be traced both ethnographically and archaeologically. The type and relative amounts of food
317. items consumed can be explored ethnographically through interviews and direct observations. Past
318. diets can be reconstructed from the archaeological record using archaeozoology (the study of
319. vertebrate and invertebrate animal remains to reconstruct animal consumption and exploitation
320. patterns), archaeobotany (the study of archaeological plant remains) as well as the study of human

321 . remains. These analyses offer a wealth of information on past subsistence strategies (literature on
322 . these subjects is abundant, an interesting effort to combine these techniques is represented by the
323 . work of Smith and Munro 2009). More recently, other dietary proxies, such as chemical, isotopic and
324 . elemental signatures have been successfully used to reconstruct past diets (Fernandes et al. 2014,
325 . and references therein).

326 . Changes in past and present subsistence strategies have been linked to climate and environmental
327 . change (e.g., Richerson et al. 2001), resource overexploitation (Williams et al. 2014) as well as
328 . modification of the social structure (e.g., McCabe et al. 2010). By modifying their diet,
329 . populations can offset the overexploitation of specific resources, but also increase their
330 . resilience. Indeed, HG are often characterized as resilient because of their diverse diet (Bender
331 . 1978) and flexible social organization (Colonese et al. 2014).

332 . *Variable 2: Technology*

333 . Technology is the means by which people access natural resources. The available technology
334 . determines the suite of resources that can be potentially procured. Technology may be viewed either
335 . as changing autochthonously in response to novel food opportunities (either environmentally
336 . generated or due to dietary shifts), or enabling new exploitive tasks through introduction. Many
337 . past archaeological arguments about technological and dietary changes, especially among food
338 . producers, emphasized introductions of tools and techniques as critical (Kirch 1995, Doolittle 2014,
339 . de Saulieu and Testart 2015). Current understanding recognizes the potential complexities in
340 . identifying innovation, simple adoption, and modified use of new techniques in both archaeology and
341 . ethnology. Indeed, technology and resource exploitation are suggested to be co-evolutionary (see
342 . Rammel et al. 2007 for a discussion on modern complex adaptive systems).

343 . Technological change is a key aspect of inferring subsistence shifts in archaeology. Associated
344 . faunal and floral remains may not always be recovered from archaeological sites to provide a secure
345 . understanding between technology and diet. Variation in tools may signal new subsistence activities,
346 . situational changes in extant dietary practices, or changes that affect resource exploitation in
347 . complex ways that can feedback into environmental availability or social organization. For example,
348 . technological innovation can drive change in the intensity with which resources are exploited, i.e.
349 . increased accessibility, reduced search, pursuit or handling time, increased return predictability
350 . (Bender 1978). For example, the introduction of firearms in Native Alaskan communities has
351 . dramatically increased the number of hunted caribou (Usher 1965, 137).

352 . It has been proposed that the introduction of the ox-drawn plough, whose archaeological signatures
353 . can be at times studied through soil micromorphology (Lewis 2012), initiates a series of social

354. transformations that ultimately led to intensification of production (Kerig 2013). New food
355. processing technologies can also result in resources intensification (Wright 1994). Thus food
356. procurement and processing artefacts may reflect changes in strategies to increase their nutritional
357. input. Other forms of technology, such as storage, allow the unbalanced temporal production of
358. specific resources to remain available throughout the year, mitigating risk and uncertainty in
359. resource availability (de Salieu and Testart 2015 and references therein). Mobility can also be
360. considered a technological strategy to manipulate the spatial distribution of resources and maintain
361. the stability of the system (Binford 2001, Kelly 2013, Hamilton et al. 2014, and references
362. therein).

363. *Parameter 3: Social resources*

364. We define social resources as the means by which societies respond to and cope with internal and
365. external stresses and disturbances. According to ethnography, these mechanisms emerge at different
366. social scales including the individual, household and community level. Archaeologically, the social
367. scale may be a much more abstract set of events because the time depth and temporal resolution of
368. the archaeological record is not equivalent to relatively short-term ethnographic observations.
369. While many social factors influence the ability to cope with changing and variable conditions, for
370. simplicity, most factors are expected to filter through population distribution and social networks.
371. Because we are exploring the transition from HG to AP (even if cases of 'reversion' from AP to HG
372. are not uncommon), we concentrate on the social mechanisms that primarily regulate HG societies.

373. *Variable 1: Population Distribution*

374. While the environment imposes certain constraints on population size, hunter-gatherers may adjust
375. group numbers through various mechanisms to balance resource density with population density. The
376. distribution of individuals, households and groups can be managed through mobility, camp composition
377. (Williams and Hunn 1982, Kelly 1983, 2013, Binford 2001), dispersal and postmarital residence
378. patterns (Álvarez 2004, Marlowe 2010, Kramer and Greaves 2011), and fusion/fission dynamics
379. (Crema 2014). Population redistribution may occur at different temporal scales (daily, seasonally or
380. annually, for example), and may involve the aggregation and dispersal of different group members
381. (e.g. bachelor foraging trips, female food processing parties). At its simplest, population
382. redistribution serves to adjust consumers and producers, and the age and sex composition of groups
383. for the purposes of resource procurement, mating and information exchange.

384. Ethnographically, population distribution has been linked to resource availability and extensive
385. studies exist about resource availability, mobility and population densities (Keeley 1988, Taylor
386. 1998). For example, among Pumé mobile hunter-gatherers living on the Llanos of Venezuela,

387. camp membership includes bilateral kin and remains stable across all seasonal moves. This appears to
388. be a response to their marginal environment by maximizing the number of producers and be as
389. inclusive of male and female kin base as possible (Kramer and Greaves 2011). In contrast, among
390. Hadza, hunter-gatherers living in the woodlands of Tanzania, individuals rotate among much smaller
391. shorter-term camps (Marlowe 2006). Unlike the Venezuelan Llanos, the Hadza environment has a greater
392. availability of game, tubers and reliable sources of honey, so that camp moves are seldom related to
393. food shortages. Camps can include fewer producers partly because each has a greater assurance of
394. daily foraging success (Woodburn 1972).

395. An archaeological example is represented by the Azapa Valley (northern Chile) where Varela and
396. Cocolovo (2002) identified changes in population distribution dynamics over a period of about 6000
397. years. Their study highlighted that genetic changes in the population correlate well with population
398. mobility, increase/decrease of endogamy in the kinship structure and changes in the economy (i.e.
399. beginning of plant cultivation). Population density and distribution in archaeology has been
400. inferred also through summed probability distribution of radiocarbon dates (e.g. Crema et al. in
401. press, Shennan and Edinborough 2007, Bamforth and Grund 2012 and references therein), through
402. settlement pattern and distribution (Zimmermann et al. 2009) or habitable areas (see Gautney and
403. Holliday 2015 and references therein). Some of the most recent results of this approach seem to
404. indicate that demography had a crucial role in shaping the phase of incipient food-production in
405. Europe (Shennan et al. 2013), although a different perspective is also emerging, that compares the
406. demographic growth of HG to that of AP (Zahid et al. 2016).

407. *Variable 2: Social Networks*

408. In addition to population distribution, HGs also utilize many strategies to establish social
409. networks that link individuals with small familial units as well as across sometimes vast distances.
410. Social networks involve obligations and responsibilities that may form among kin and nonkin for the
411. purposes of reproduction, family formation, food and resource sharing, information exchange,
412. protection, aggression and other forms of cooperation. Social networks function as systems where
413. hierarchies (elements at different scale) interact at different levels (Kohl 2008). Social networks
414. include the number of individuals and the extent of a network (size of the network), as well as the
415. intensity of interactions (frequency, periodicity, etc.). Because of the partiality of the
416. archaeological record, evidences for past social networks are difficult to assess. However, finding
417. exotic materials in archaeological assemblages might indicate the existence of exchange routes that
418. possibly served as information exchange networks too (Zvelebil 2006, Otte 2009). In addition new
419. approaches are being developed to understand population distribution across territories (Marvick
420. 2003, Soares et al. 2010). Ethnographic data offer extensive information on social networks, which

421 . can be used to build general exchange and social networks models. Then, the complexity of the
422 . networks can be measured following specific methodologies, such as Horton-Strahler number (see an
423 . application to a social case study in Arenas et al. 2004).

424 . Pumé hunter-gatherers, for example, frequently aggregate for all-night dances that reify
425 . group solidarity and membership across a broad social sphere (Kramer and Greaves, n.d.). Although
426 . food consumption does not occur during these gatherings, individuals participate by singing and
427 . dancing, activities known to promote cooperation (Adams 2004; Wiltermuth, and Heath 2009).
428 . Information exchange at dances includes discussions of resource distributions, news of kin and
429 . individuals of interest for a range of economic and social reasons, and outside events that may
430 . affect local communities. These weekly dances also include storytelling and performance of healing
431 . rites. Songs and stories typically reiterate kin and affine relations across multiple generations
432 . and large distances. Rarely does a camp member not attend these events, which often are followed by
433 . foraging and hunting bouts and other cooperative activities.

434 . Gamble (1982) was one of the first scholars to use social network theories to interpret Paleolithic
435 . art and ornaments to formalize the link between archaeological objects and social alliances and
436 . interactions. Moreover, the spread of different types of ornaments has been interpreted
437 . archaeologically as a mechanism for reinforcing identities and delayed reciprocity (Trubitt 2003). A
438 . way of inferring social networks from livelihood practices has been introduced by Stiner and Kuhn
439 . (2006). Their work shows that during the Late Paleolithic, the decrease in ungulates prey size,
440 . alongside changes in the mortality patterns, could be interpreted as the consequence of higher
441 . demographic pressure (Stiner et al. 1999), which in turns forced a reorganization and
442 . intensification of social networks (Stiner and Kuhn 2006).

443 .

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

444 . Because the dynamics of social-ecological systems are mostly driven by humans, some authors consider
445 . adaptability mainly as a function of the social component (Walker et al. 2004). However, it is the
446 . interplay of the three domains we identify in the model (environmental, economic and social) that
447 . influences the resilience of any particular adaptive system. Focusing too intensely on one of the
448 . three risks underestimating the effects of the others, and of their interactions. This is the reason
449 . why we have conceptualized the intersection of each domain as representing a resilience measure.
450 . Certainly, SESs are complex in that the effects of the interactions are more than the sum of the
451 . single parts. For example, in our model, any changes in one of those contributing domains can affect
452 . the area of overlapping between those domains (the systems' resilience) thus producing a cascading

453 . effect in the others that ultimately affects the whole system. Environments can be affected by the
454 . economic and social behaviors of humans, and obviously environments play an important role in
455 . determining effective economic and social strategies. For example, in the transition from HG to AP
456 . there may be associated soil impoverishment and in some cases biodiversity loss (Denevan 1995,
457 . Haberle 2007), but the various forms of traditional landscape engineering can also be beneficial
458 . creating, in fact, higher biodiversity (Zeder 2008). On the other hand, in some ecosystems removing
459 . one element might create a cascade effect that impinges on the entire trophic system (Chapin III et
460 . al. 2000). Normally, the higher the biodiversity the more resilient the system. Generally,
461 . environmental effects are better understood or hypothesized than the diverse outcomes from changing
462 . subsistence responses of humans as economies change and social behaviors shift, making systemic
463 . resilience measures problematic. This concept has been explored in the study of livelihood
464 . strategies and it is mostly accepted that HGs, who consume a wide spectrum of food products and
465 . share and divide their foodstuff to minimize vulnerability, are more resilient than many
466 . agricultural adaptations (Bender 1978, Winterhalder 1990, Diamond 2002).

467 . **Relevance of this model to the study of the transition to agriculture**

468 . The model we presented in this paper may be used to explain transitions in livelihood systems. In
469 . our expectations, transitions are most likely to occur when the pull of the environmental and the
470 . social domains is strong and populations do not have the possibility of mitigating it by changing
471 . some of the internal variables (technology, mobility, etc). These may include, but are not limited
472 . to, the deliberate mixed use of wild and domesticated resources, a focus on more reliable food
473 . storage, and/or social mechanisms such as exchange and specialization (Whitehouse and Kirleis 2014,
474 . Antolín et al. 2015, García-Granero et al. 2015). As a result, the only (or most
475 . profitable) option is to completely change the subsistence strategy (i.e., the economic resources).
476 . This model offers the possibility to consider social-ecological prehistoric systems as dynamic and
477 . fluctuating. The value of this model is augmented by the additional consideration of selecting
478 . parameters that can also be examined in modern ethnographic contexts where populations exhibit
479 . stable or transitioning economies that include foraging, mixed subsistence, and agricultural
480 . reliance.

481 . The proposed approach also removes the linearity of simply expecting most systems to lead to
482 . agricultural adaptations and provides the possibility of formalizing the model and using it for
483 . simulations. This potentially offers improved understanding of circumstances where HGs changed their
484 . strategy to include some aspects of cultivation that may or may not have led to a greater reliance
485 . on agriculture. Such instances would represent changes in the 'shape' or 'size' (figure 1b and 1c)
486 . of the resilient area of the system, without the three domains separating (figure 1d). These cases

487 . are important to gain a better understanding of transitions from foraging to horticultural and
488 . agricultural reliance where the archaeological evidence indicates that significant periods of time
489 . separate initial appearances of cultivation and the move to reliance on those foods (Allaby et al.
490 . 2008, Piperno and Pearsall 1998, Richerson et al. 2001, Smith 2001). This also means that most
491 . transitions to agriculture occur from 'mixed' economies, not directly from hunting and gathering.

492 . **ABM as a tool to explore resilience of livelihood strategies**

493 . The resilience of livelihood strategies as the consequence of the dynamics between complex social,
494 . economic and social domains is influenced by emergent properties of societies that follow non-linear
495 . pathways and is based on a 'bottom-up' approach to uncertainty and change (Wilson 2012 and
496 . references therein). In this perspective, Agent Based Models (ABM) and Simulations (ABS) represent
497 . some of the most useful tools to investigate these wider processes, and specifically in small-scale
498 . societies (see Rubio-Campillo et al., this issue). Traditionally, modeling and simulation approaches
499 . are split between two different perspectives: a) ecologically influenced or b) oriented to
500 . artificial societies (for a synthesis on this subject, see Epstein and Axtell 1996). The first
501 . perspective is primarily interested in environmental constraints and resource exploitation and
502 . management, often neglecting the importance of human behavior. The second perspective makes use of
503 . simplified virtual societies to investigate theoretical questions, thus removing what should be a
504 . basic component of the model: the environment where human interactions take place. ABM and
505 . simulation, provides the opportunity to integrate ecological and social aspects in realistic,
506 . heterogeneous scenarios (Rubio-Campillo et al. 2012 and references therein). In addition, ABMS is
507 . able to deal with a wide range of assumptions (Balbo et al. 2014, Lake and Crema 2012) as well as
508 . with agent's decision-making processes (Francès et al. 2015), opening the possibility to
509 . investigate subsistence shifts from both a theoretical and the empirical perspective. We consider
510 . that this model incorporates a number of useful interactions to evaluate the potential for the
511 . concept of resilience to improve alternative explanatory implications behind potentially complex
512 . pathways from hunting and gathering to agricultural lifeways.

513 . **Conclusions**

514 . The model we described in this paper constitutes a first conceptualization to be used as the basis
515 . for the implementation of formal ABM and ABMS. We stress that our work on the application of these
516 . ideas is at an early stage, and present this framework in the hope that it will be useful to future
517 . research on the question of OA and, more generally, of the resilience of social-ecological systems.
518 . The variables selected to explain the model can be easily converted into numerical values to be
519 . introduced in a computational model and adapted to any specific case study. The next step of the
520 . research will be the implementation of the proposed model to explore the mechanisms behind the

- 521 . transition to agriculture in a set of worldwide case studies. We believe that our approach can
522 . positively contribute to the challenge of understanding how this transition took place and the
523 . adaptive mechanisms that were put in place in order to maintain the resilience of livelihood
524 . strategies (both HG and AP).
525 .

LITERATURE CITED

- 526 . Abbo, S., S. Lev-Yadun, and A. Gopher. 2010. Agricultural Origins: Centers and Noncenters; a Near
527 . Eastern Reappraisal. *Critical Reviews in Plant Science* 29(5): 317-328.
- 528 . Adams, R. 1978. Strategies of maximization, stability, and resilience in Mesopotamian society,
529 . agriculture, and settlement. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 122:329-335.
- 530 . Adams, R. L. 2004. Archaeological study of feasting in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Journal of*
531 . *Anthropological Archaeology* 23: 56-78.
- 532 . Adger, W. N. 2006. Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change* 16: 268-281.
- 533 . Aiello, L. C. (ed.) 2011. The origins of agriculture: new data, new ideas. Wenner-Gren Symposium
534 . Supplement 4. *Current Anthropology* 52(S4): S161-S511.
- 535 . Allaby, R., D. Q. Fuller, and T. A. Brown. 2008. The genetic expectations of a protracted model for
536 . the origins of domesticated crops. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105(37):
537 . 13982-13986.
- 538 . Allaby, R., T.A. Brown, and D. Q Fuller. 2010. A simulation of the effect of inbreeding on crop
539 . domestication genetics with comments on the integration of archaeobotany and genetics: a reply to
540 . Honne and Heun. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 19: 151-158.
- 541 . Alvarez, H. P. 2004. Residence groups among hunter-gatherers: a view of the claims and evidence for
542 . patrilineal bands. In Chapais, B., and C. M. Berman (Eds.). *Kinship and behavior in primates*, pp.
543 . 420-442. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- 544 . Ames, K. M. 1981 The evolution of social ranking on the northwest coast of North America. *American*
545 . *Antiquity* 46:789-805.
- 546 . Antolín, F., and S. Jacomet. 2015. Wild fruit use among early farmers in the Neolithic
547 . (5400-2300 cal bc) in the north-east of the Iberian Peninsula: an intensive practice?. *Vegetation*
548 . *History and Archaeobotany* 24(1): 19-33.

549. Antolín, F., S. Jacomet, and R. Buxó. 2015. The hard knock life. Archaeobotanical data
550. on farming practices during the Neolithic (5400-2300 cal BC) in the NE of the Iberian Peninsula.
551. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 61: 90-104.
552. Asouti, E., and D. Q. Fuller. 2012. From foraging to farming in the southern Levant: the development
553. of Epipalaeolithic and Pre-pottery Neolithic plant management strategies. *Vegetation History and*
554. *Archaeobotany* 21: 149-162.
555. Asouti, E., and D. Q. Fuller. 2013. A contextual approach to the emergence of agriculture in
556. Southwest Asia: reconstructing Early Neolithic plant-food production. *Current Anthropology* 54(3):
557. 299-345.
558. Balbo, A. L., X. Rubio-Campillo, B. Rondelli, M. Ramírez, C. Lancelotti, A. Torrano, M.
559. Salpeteur, N. Lipovetzky, V. Reyes-García, C. Montañola, and M. Madella. 2014.
560. Agent-Based Simulation of Holocene Monsoon Precipitation Patterns and Hunter-Gatherer Population
561. Dynamics in Semi-arid Environments. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 21(2): 426-446.
562. Bamforth, D. B., and B. Grund. 2012. Radiocarbon calibration curves, summed probability
563. distributions, and early Paleoindian population trends in North America. *Journal of Archaeological*
564. *Science* 39(6): 1768-1774.
565. Bender, B. 1978. Gatherer-Hunter to Farmer: A Social Perspective. *World Archaeology* 10(2): 204-222.
566. Bar-Yosef, O. 1998. The Natufian culture in the Levant, threshold to the origins of agriculture.
567. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 6(5): 159-177.
568. Barlow, K. R. 2006. A formal model for predicting agriculture among the Fremont. In *Behavioral*
569. *Ecology and the Transition to Agriculture*. In: Kennett D. J., and B. Winterhalder (eds), pp. 87-102.
570. University of California Press, Berkeley.
571. Barton, L. and An, C. B. 2014. An evaluation of competing hypotheses for the early adoption of wheat
572. in East Asia. *World Archaeology* 46(5): 775-798.
573. Bettinger, R. L., L. Barton, and C. Morgan. 2010. The origins of food production in north China: A
574. different kind of agricultural revolution. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 19
575. :9-21.
576. Binford, L. R. 2001. *Constructing Frames of Reference*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
577. Bishop, R. R., M. J. Church, and P. A. Rowley-Conwy. 2009. Cereals, fruits and nuts in the Scottish
578. Neolithic. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 135: 47-103.

579. Butzer, K. W., and G. H. Endfield. 2012. Critical perspectives on historical collapse. *Proceedings*
580. of the National Academy of Sciences 109(10): 3628-3631.
581. Callo-Concha, D. and F. Ewert. 2014. Using the concepts of resilience, vulnerability and
582. adaptability for the assessment and analysis of agricultural systems. *Change and Adaptation in*
583. *Socio-Ecological Systems* 1(1): 1-11. [online] URL:
584. <http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/cass.2014.1.issue-1/cass-2014-0001/cass-2014-0001.xml?format=INT>
585. Cardinale, B. J., J. E. Duffy, A. Gonzalez, D. U. Hooper, C. Perrings, P. Venail, ..., and S. Naeem.
586. 2012. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* 486(7401): 59-67.
587. Chapin III, F. S., E. S. Zavaleta, V. T. Eviner, R. L. Naylor, P. M., Vitousek, P. M., H. L.
588. Reynolds, D. U. Hooper, S. Lavorel, O. E. Sala, S. E. Hobbie, M. C. Mack, and S. Diaz. 2000.
589. Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature* 405: 234-242. [online] URL:
590. <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v405/n6783/full/405234a0.html>
591. Coe, M. J., D. H., Cumming, and J., Phillipson. 1976. Biomass and production of large African
592. herbivores in relation to rainfall and primary production. *Oecologia* 22(4): 341-354.
593. Colledge, S., and J. Conolly. 2014. Wild plant use in European Neolithic subsistence economies: a
594. formal assessment of preservation bias in archaeobotanical assemblages and the implications for
595. understanding changes in plant diet breadth. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 101: 193-206.
596. Colonese, A. C., M. Collins, A. Lucquin, M. Eustace, Y. Hancock, R. de Almeida Rocha Ponzoni, et al.
597. 2014 Long-Term Resilience of Late Holocene Coastal Subsistence System in Southeastern South America.
598. *PLoS ONE* 9(4): e93854. [online] URL:
599. <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0093854>
600. Colombaroli, D., M. Beckmann, W. O. Knaap, P. Curdy and W. Tinner. 2013. Changes in biodiversity and
601. vegetation composition in the central Swiss Alps during the transition from pristine forest to first
602. farming. *Diversity and Distributions* 19(2): 157-170.
603. Crema, E. R. 2014. A simulation model of fission-fusion dynamics and long-term settlement change.
604. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 21(2): 385-404.
605. Crema, E. R., J. Habu, K. Kobayashi, M. Madella. In press. Summed probability distribution of 14C
606. dates suggests regional divergences in the population dynamics of the Jomon period in eastern Japan.
607. *PLOS One*.
608. Cumming G. S., G. Barnes, S. Perz, M. Schmink, K. E. Sieving, J. Southworth, M. Binford, R. D. Holt,
609. C. Stickler, and T. Van Holt. 2005. An exploratory framework for the empirical measurement of

610. resilience. *Ecosystems* 8: 975-987.
611. Diamond, J. 2002. Evolution, consequences and future of plant and animal domestication. *Nature* 418:
612. 700-707.
613. Denevan, W. M. 1995. Prehistoric agricultural methods as models for sustainability. *Advanced Plant*
614. *Pathology* 11: 21-43.
615. Denham, T. P., S. G. Haberle, C. Lentfer, R. Fullagar, J. Field, M. Therin, N. Porch, and B.
616. Winsborough. 2003. Origins of agriculture at Kuk Swamp in the Highlands of New Guinea. *Science* 301:
617. 189-193.
618. de Saulieu, G., and A. Testart. 2015. Innovations, food storage and the origins of agriculture.
619. *Environmental Archaeology*. doi: 10.1179/1749631414Y.0000000061
620. Davidson, D. J. 2010. The applicability of the concept of resilience to social systems: some sources
621. of optimism and nagging doubts. *Society & Natural Resources* 23(12): 1135-1149. [online] URL:
622. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08941921003652940>
623. Doolittle, W. E. 2014. *Canal irrigation in prehistoric Mexico: The sequence of technological change*.
624. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.
625. Epstein, J. M., and R. L. Axtell. 1996. *Growing Artificial Societies: Social Science from the Bottom*
626. Up. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
627. Erwin, T. L. 1982. Tropical forests: their richness in Coleoptera and other arthropod species.
628. *Coleoptera Bulletin* 36: 74-75.
629. Fernandes, R., A. R. Millard, M. Brabec, M.-J. Nadeau, and P. Grootes. 2014. Food Reconstruction
630. Using Isotopic Transferred Signals (FRUITS): A Bayesian Model for Diet Reconstruction. *PLoS ONE*
631. 9(2): e87436. [online] URL: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0087436>
632. Fort, J. 2012. Synthesis between demic and cultural diffusion in the Neolithic transition in Europe.
633. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109(46): 18669-18673.
634. Fort, J. 2015. Demic and cultural diffusion propagated the Neolithic transition across different
635. regions of Europe. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 12(106): 20150166. [online] URL:
636. <http://rsif.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/12/106/20150166>
637. Francès, G., X. Rubio-Campillo, C. Lancelotti, M. Madella. 2015. Decision Making in
638. Agent-Based Models. In N. Bulling (ed.) Multi-Agent Systems - EUMAS 2014, *Lecture Notes in*
639. *Artificial Intelligence* 8953: 370-378.

640. Friesen, T. M. 2013. When Worlds Collide: Hunter-gatherer World-system Change in the 19th Century
641. Canadian Arctic. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ.
642. Fuller, D. Q. 2007. Contrasting Patterns in Crop Domestication and Domestication Rates: Recent
643. Archaeobotanical Insights from the Old World. *Annals of Botany* 100(5): 903-924. [online] URL:
644. <http://aob.oxfordjournals.org/content/100/5/903.full>
645. Fuller, D. Q., E. Asouti, and M. D. Purugganan. 2012. Cultivation as slow evolutionary entanglement:
646. comparative data on rate and sequence of domestication. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 21:
647. 131-145.
648. Fuller, D. Q., T. Denham, M. Arroyo-Kalin, L. Lucas, C. J. Stevens, L. Qin, R. G. Allaby and M. D.
649. Purugganan. 2014. Convergent evolution and parallelism in plant domestication revealed by an
650. expanding archaeological record. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 111: 6147-6152.
651. Gaillard, M.-J., S. Sugita, F. Mazier, A.-K. Trondman, A. Broström, T. Hickler, J.O. Kaplan, et
652. al. 2010. Holocene land-cover reconstructions for studies on land cover-climate feedbacks. *Climate*
653. of the Past 6: 483 - 499. [online] URL: <http://www.clim-past.net/6/483/2010/cp-6-483-2010.html>
654. García-Granero, J. J., C. Gadekar, I. Esteban, C. Lancelotti, M. Madella, P. Ajithprasad.
655. 2015. What is on the craftsmen's menu? Plant consumption at Dadrana, a 5000-year-old lithic blade
656. workshop in North Gujarat, India. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*. doi:
657. 10.1007/s12520-015-0281-0
658. Gautney, J. R., and Holliday, T. W. 2015. New estimations of habitable land area and human
659. population size at the Last Glacial Maximum. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 58: 103-112.
660. Greaves, R. D., and K. L. Kramer. 2014. Hunter-gatherer use of wild plants and domesticates:
661. archaeological implications for mixed economies before agricultural intensification. *Journal of*
662. *Archaeological Science* 41: 263-271.
663. Gremillion, K. J., L. Barton, and D. R. Piperno. 2014. Particularism and the retreat from theory in
664. the archaeology of agricultural origins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 111(17):
665. 6171-6177.
666. Gronenborn, D., H.-C. Strien, S. Dietrich, and F. Sirocko. 2014. 'Adaptive cycles' and climate
667. fluctuations: a case study from Linear Pottery Culture in western Central Europe. *Journal of*
668. *Archaeological Science* 51: 73-83.
669. Haberle, S. G. 2007. Prehistoric human impact on rainforest biodiversity in highland New Guinea.
670. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 362(1478): 219-228.

671. Hamilton, M. J., J. Lobo, E. Rupley, H. Youn, and G. B. West. 2014. The Ecology and Energetics of
672. Hunter-Gatherer Residential Mobility. *Santa Fe Institute Working Paper*. [online] URL:
673. <http://www.santafe.edu/media/workingpapers/14-09-034.pdf>
674. Hayden, B. 1990. Nimrods, piscators, pluckers, and planters: the emergence of food production.
675. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 9: 31-69.
676. Head, L., and R. Fullagar. 1997. Hunter-gatherer archaeology and pastoral contact: perspectives from
677. northwest Northern Territory. *World Archaeology* 28(3): 418-428.
678. Holling, C. S. 1973. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
679. *Systematics* 4: 1-23
680. Holling, C. S. 1987. Simplifying the complex: the paradigms of ecological function and structure.
681. *European Journal of Operational Research* 30(2): 139-146.
682. Jones, H., F. J. Leigh, I. Mackay, M. A. Bower, L. M. J. Smith, M. P. Charles, G. Jones, M. K.
683. Jones, T. A. Brown, and W. Powell. 2008. Population based resequencing reveals that the flowering
684. time adaptation of cultivated barley originated east of the Fertile Crescent. *Molecular Biology and*
685. *Evolution* 25: 2211-2219.
686. Jones, G., H. Jones, M. P. Charles, M. K. Jones, S. Colledge, F. J. Leigh, D. Lister, L. M. J.
687. Smith, W. Powell, and T. A. Brown. 2012. Phylogenetic analysis of Barley DNS as evidence for the
688. spread of Neolithic agriculture through Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39: 3230-3238.
689. Jones, T. L., G. M. Brown, L. M. Raab, J. L. McVickar, W.G. Spaulding, D.J. Kennett, ... and P.L.
690. Walker. 1999. Environmental imperatives reconsidered: demographic crises in western North America
691. during the medieval climatic anomaly. *Current Anthropology* 40(2): 137-170.
692. Keck, M., and P. Saktapoulak. 2013. What is social resilience? Lessons learned and ways forward.
693. *Erdkunde* 67(1): 5-19.
694. Keeley, L. H. 1988. Hunter-gatherer economic complexity and "population pressure": A cross-cultural
695. analysis. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 7(4): 373-411.
696. Kelly, R. L. 1983. Hunter-gatherer mobility strategies. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 39(3):
697. 277-306.
698. Kelly, R. L. 1995. *The Foraging Spectrum*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.
699. Kelly, R. L. 2013. *The Lifeways of Hunter-Gatherers: The Foraging Spectrum*. Cambridge University
700. Press, Cambridge.

701. Kent, S. (ed). 1992 The current forager controversy: real versus ideal views of hunter-gatherers.
702. *Man* (NS) 27:45-70.
703. Kerig, T. 2013. Introducing economic archaeology: examples from Neolithic agriculture and Hallstatt
704. princely tombs. In: Kerig, T., and A. Zimmermann (Eds.). *Economic archaeology: from structure to*
705. *performance in European archaeology*, pp. 13-28. Verlag DR. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn.
706. Kirch, P. V. 1995. *The wet and the dry: irrigation and agricultural intensification in Polynesia*.
707. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
708. Kirleis, W., V. D. Pillar, and H. Behling. 2011. Human-environment interactions in mountain
709. rainforests: archaeobotanical evidence from central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Vegetation History and*
710. *Archaeobotany* 20(3): 165-179.
711. Kinzig, A. P., P. Ryan, M. Etienne, H. Allison, T. Elmqvist, and B. H. Walker. 2006. Resilience and
712. regime shifts: assessing cascading effects. *Ecology and Society* 11(1): 20. [online] URL:
713. <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol11/iss1/art20/>
714. Kohl, P. L. 2008. Shared social fields: Evolutionary convergence in prehistory and contemporary
715. practice. *American Anthropologist* 110(4): 495-506.
716. Kramer, K. L., and R. D. Greaves. 2011. Postmarital residence and bilateral kin associations among
717. hunter-gatherers. Pumé foragers living in the best of both worlds. *Human Nature* 22(1): 41-63.
718. Kramer, K. L., and R. D. Greaves. n.d. (in press). Diversify or replace: what happens to wild foods
719. when cultigens are introduced into hunter-gatherer diets. In *Why Forage? Hunters and Gatherers*
720. *Living in the 21st Century*, Coddling, B., and K. L. Kramer (eds). School of Advanced Research, Santa
721. Fe, NM (expected publication Dec 2015)
722. Kuijt, I., and B. Finlayson. 2009. Evidence for food storage and predomestication granaries 11,000
723. years ago in the Jordan Valley. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(27),
724. 10966-10970.
725. Lake, M. W., and E. R. Crema. 2012. The cultural evolution of adaptive-trait diversity when
726. resources are uncertain and finite. *Advances in Complex Systems* 15(1-2): 1150013 [online] URL:
727. <http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0219525911003323>
728. Larson, G., Piperno, D. R., Allaby, R. G., ... and Fuller D. Q. 2014. Current perspectives and the
729. future of domestication studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 111(17): 6139-6146.
730. Lewis, H. 2012. *Investigating ancient tillage. An experimental and soil Micromorphological study*.

731. BAR International Series 2388. Archaeopress: Oxford.
732. Lorenz, D. F. 2013. The diversity of resilience: contributions from a social science perspective.
733. *Natural hazards* 67(1): 7-24.
734. Madella M., B. Rondelli, C. Lancelotti, A. L. Balbo, D. Zurro, X. Rubio-Campillo, and S. Stride.
735. 2014. Introduction to Simulating the Past. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 21: 251-257.
736. Marlowe, F. W. 2006. Central place provisioning: The Hadza as an example. In: Hohman, G., M.
737. Robbins, and C. Boesch (Eds.). *Feeding ecology in apes and other primates*, pp. 359-377. Cambridge
738. University Press, Cambridge, UK.
739. Marlowe, F. W. 2010. *The Hadza: Hunter-gatherers of Tanzania*. University of California Press,
740. Berkeley, CA.
741. Marwick, B. 2003. Pleistocene exchange networks as evidence for the evolution of language. *Cambridge*
742. *Archaeological Journal* 13(1): 67-81.
743. McCabe, J. T., P. W. Leslie, and L. Deluca. 2010. Adopting cultigens to remain pastoralists: the
744. diversification of Maasai livelihoods in northern Tanzania. *Human Ecology* 38: 321-334.
745. McGranaghan, M. 2012. Foragers on the frontiers: the/Xam Bushmen of the Northern Cape, South Africa,
746. in the nineteenth century. *Azania: Archaeological Research in Africa* 47(3): 408-408.
747. McNaughton, S. J., M. Oesterheld, D. A. Frank, K. J. Williams. 1991. Primary and Secondary
748. Production in Terrestrial Ecosystems. In: Cole, J., G., G., Lovett, and S. Findlay (Eds.)
749. *Comparative Analyses of Ecosystems*, pp. 120-139. Springer, New York.
750. Miller, F., H. Osbahr, E. Boyd, F. Thomalla, S. Bharwani, G. Ziervogel, B. Walker, J. Birkmann, S.
751. Van der Leeuw, J. Rockström, J. Hinkel, T. Downing, C. Folke, and D. Nelson 2010. Resilience
752. and vulnerability: complementary or conflicting concepts?. *Ecology and Society* 15(3): 11. [online]
753. URL: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol15/iss3/art11/>.
754. Minzenberg, E., and R. Wallace. 2011. Amazonian agriculturalists bound by subsistence hunting.
755. *Journal of Cultural Geography* 28(1): 99-121.
756. Nanda, S., and R. L. Warms. 2011. *Cultural Anthropology*. 10th Edition. Wadsworth, Belmont, CA.
757. Nelson, M. C., M. Hegmon, S. Kulow, and K. Schollmeyer. 2006. Archaeological and ecological
758. perspectives on reorganization: a case study from the Mimbres region of the U.S. Southwest. *American*
759. *Antiquity* 71: 403-432.

760. Otte, M. 2009. The Paleolithic-Mesolithic Transition. In Sourcebook of Paleolithic Transitions,
761. Camps, M., and P. Cahuan (eds), pp. 537-553. Springer, New York.
762. Piperno, D. R. and D. M. Pearsall. 1998. *The Origins of Agriculture in the Lowland Neotropics*.
763. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
764. Rammel, C., S. Stagl, and H. Wilfing. 2007. Managing complex adaptive systems - A co-evolutionary
765. perspective on natural resource management. *Ecological Economics* 63(1): 9-21.
766. Redman, C. L. 2005. Resilience theory in archaeology. *American Anthropologist* 107(1): 70-77.
767. Redman, C. L., and A. P. Kinzig. 2003. Resilience of Past Landscapes: Resilience Theory, Society,
768. and the *Longue Durée*. *Conservation Ecology* 7(1): 14. [online] URL:
769. <http://www.consecol.org/vol7/iss1/art14/>
770. Richerson, P. J., R. Boyd, and R. L. Bettinger. 2001. Was agriculture impossible during the
771. Pleistocene but mandatory during the Holocene? A climate change hypothesis. *American Antiquity*
772. 66(3): 387-411.
773. Rindos, D. 2013. *The Origins of Agriculture: An Evolutionary Perspective*. Academic Press, San Diego,
774. CA.
775. Rubio-Campillo, X., J. M. Cela, and F. X. Hernández Cardona. 2012. Simulating archaeologists?
776. Using agent-based modeling to improve battlefield excavations. *Journal of Archaeological Science*
777. 39(2): 347-356.
778. Schibler, J., and S. Jacomet. 2010. Short climatic fluctuations and their impact on human economies
779. and societies: the potential of the Neolithic lake shore settlements in the Alpine foreland.
780. *Environmental Archaeology* 15(2): 173-182.
781. Shennan, S., and Edinborough, K. 2007. Prehistoric population history: from the Late Glacial to the
782. Late Neolithic in Central and Northern Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34(8): 1339-1345.
783. Shennan, S., S. S. Downey, A. Timpson, K. Edinborough, S. Colledge, T. Kerig, K. Manning, and M. G.
784. Thomas. 2013. Regional population collapse followed initial agriculture booms in mid-Holocene
785. Europe. *Nature Communications* 4. [online] URL:
786. <http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2013/131001/ncomms3486/full/ncomms3486.html>
787. Siebert, S. F. and J. M. Belsky. 2014. Historic livelihoods and land uses as ecological disturbances
788. and their role in enhancing biodiversity: An example from Bhutan. *Biological Conservation* 177:
789. 82-89.

790. Smith, B. D. 2001. Low-level food production. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 9:1-43.
791. Smith, B. D. 2015. A Comparison of Niche Construction Theory and Diet Breadth Models as Explanatory
792. Frameworks for the Initial Domestication of Plants and Animals. *Journal of Archaeological Research*
793. 23: 215-262.
794. Smith, A., and N. D. Munro. 2009. A Holistic Approach to Examining Ancient Agriculture: A Case Study
795. from the Bronze and Iron Age Near East. *Current Anthropology* 50(6): 925-936.
796. Soares, P., A. Achilli, O. Semino, W. Davies, V. Macaulay, H. J. Bandelt, ... and M. B. Richards
797. 2010. The archaeogenetics of Europe. *Current Biology* 20(4): R174-R183.
798. Stiner, M. C., and S. L. Kuhn. 2006. Changes in the 'connectedness' and resilience of Paleolithic
799. societies in Mediterranean ecosystems. *Human Ecology* 34(5), 693-712.
800. Stiner, M. C., Munro, N. D., Surovell, T. A., Tchernov, E., and Bar-Yosef, O. 1999. Paleolithic
801. population growth pulses evidenced by small animal exploitation. *Science* 283: 190-194.
802. Stone-Jovicich, S., K. Brown, B. Goldstein, P. Olsson, R. Plummer. In progress. Exploring
803. Social-Ecological Resilience Through the Lense of the Social Sciences: Contributions, Critical
804. Reflections and Constructive Debates. *Ecology and Society*. [online] URL:
805. <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/issues/view.php?sf=99>
806. Storkey, J., Meyer, S., Still, K. S., C. Leuschner. 2012. The impact of agricultural intensification
807. and land-use change on the European arable flora. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
808. *Sciences* 279(1732): 1421-1429. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1686.
809. Sugita, S. 2007. Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation I: Pollen from large sites
810. REVEALS regional vegetation composition. *The Holocene* 17: 229-241.
811. Swift, J. 1982. The Future of African Hunter-Gatherer and Pastoral Peoples. *Development and Change*
812. 13(2): 159-181.
813. Taylor, J. 1998. Measuring short-term population mobility among indigenous Australians:
814. options and implications. *The Australian Geographer* 29(1): 125-137.
815. Trosper, R. L. 2003. Resilience in pre-contact Pacific Northwest social ecological systems.
816. *Conservation Ecology* 7(3): 6. [online] URL: <http://www.consecol.org/vol7/iss3/art6/>
817. Trubitt, M. B. D. 2003. The Production and Exchange of Marine Shell Prestige Goods. *Journal of*
818. *Archaeological Research*. 11(3): 243-277.

819. Usher, P. J. 1965. Economic Basis And Resource Use Of The Coppermine-Holman Region, N.W.T. Northern
820. Co-ordination and Research Centre, Dept. of Northern Affairs and National Resources, Ottawa, Ont.
821. Available at: <http://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/document?id=nd08-036> [Accessed July 15, 2015]
822. Valamoti, S. M. 2015. Harvesting the 'wild'? Exploring the context of fruit and nut exploitation at
823. Neolithic Dikili Tash, with special reference to wine. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 24(1):
824. 35-46.
825. van Etten, J., and R. J. Hijmans. 2010. A geospatial modelling approach integrating archaeobotany
826. and genetics to trace the origin and dispersal of domesticated plants. *PLoS ONE* 5(8): e12060.
827. [online] <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0012060>
828. Varela, H. H., and A. Cocilovo. 2002. Genetic drift and gene flow in a prehistoric population of the
829. Azapa Valley and coast, Chile. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 118: 259-267.
830. Vasseur, D. A., DeLong, J. P., Gilbert, B., Greig, H. S., Harley, C. D. G., McCann, K. S., Savage,
831. V., Tunney, T. D., O'Connor, M. I. 2014. Increased temperature variation poses a greater risk to
832. species than climate warming. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 281: 20132612. [online] URL:
833. <http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/281/1779/20132612>
834. Vitousek, P. M., P. R. Ehrlich, A. H. Ehrlich, and P. A. Matson. 1986. Human appropriation of the
835. products of the photosynthesis. *BioScience* 36(6): 368-373.
836. Walker, B. H., L. H. Gunderson, A. P. Kinzig, C. Folke, S. R. Carpenter, and L. Schultz. 2006. A
837. handful of heuristics and some propositions for understanding resilience in social-ecological
838. systems. *Ecology and Society* 11(1): 13. [online] URL:
839. <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol11/iss1/art13/>
840. Walker, B., S. R. Carpenter, J. Rockström, A.-S. Crépin, and G. D. Peterson. 2012.
841. Drivers, "Slow" Variables, "Fast" Variables, Shocks, and Resilience. *Ecology and Society* 17:30.
842. [online] URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5751/ES-05063-170330>
843. Weiss, E., M. E. Kislev, and A. Hartmann. 2006. Autonomous cultivation before domestication. *Science*
844. 312: 1608-1610.
845. Whitehouse, N. J., and W. Kirleis. 2014. The world reshaped: practices and impacts of early agrarian
846. societies *Journal of Archaeological Science* 51: 1-11
847. Whitehouse, N. J., R. J. Schulting, M. McClatchie, P. Barratt, T. R. McLaughlin, A. Bogaard, S.
848. Colledge, R. Marchant, J. Gaffrey, and M. J. Bunting. 2014. Neolithic agriculture on the European
849. western frontier: the boom and bust of early farming in Ireland. *Journal of Archaeological Science*

850. 51: 181-205.
851. Williams, N. M., and E. S. Hunn (eds). 1982. *Resource Managers: North American and Australian*
852. Hunter-Gatherers. American Association for the Advancement of Science Selected Symposia Series.
853. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
854. Williams, A. N., S. Ulm, I. D. Goodwin, and M. Smith. 2010. Hunter-gatherer response to late
855. Holocene climatic variability in northern and central Australia. *Journal of Quaternary Science*
856. 25(6): 831-838.
857. Williams, S. J., J. P. G. Jones, R. Annewandter, and J. M. Gibbons. 2014. Cultivation can increase
858. harvesting pressure on overexploited plant populations. *Ecological Applications* 24: 2050-2062.
859. Wilson, G. A. 2012. Community resilience, globalization and transitional pathways of
860. decision-making. *Geoforum* 43(6): 1218-1231.
861. Wilson, G. A. 2013. Community resilience: path dependency, lock-in effects and transitional
862. ruptures. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 57(1): 1-26.
863. Winterhalder, B. 1990. Open field, common pot: Harvest variability and risk avoidance in
864. agricultural and foraging societies. In: Cashdan, E. (Ed.). *Risk and Uncertainty in Tribal and*
865. *Peasant Economies* pp. 67-87. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
866. Winterhalder, B., and D. J. Kennett. 2006. Behavioral Ecology and the Transition from Hunting and
867. Gathering to Agriculture. In: Kennett D.J. and Winterhalder B. (eds) *Behavioural Ecology and the*
868. *Transition to Agriculture*. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, pp. 1-21.
869. Wiltermuth, S. S., and C. Heath. 2009. Synchrony and cooperation. *Psychological Science* 20:1-5.
870. Woodburn, J. 1972. Ecology, nomadic movement and the composition of the local group among hunters
871. and gatherers: an East African example and its implications. In: Ucko, P. J., R. Tringham, and G. W.
872. Dimbleby (Eds.). *Man settlement and urbanism*, pp. 193-206. Gerald Duckworth, London, UK.
873. Wright, K. I. 1994. Ground-Stone Tools and Hunter-Gatherer Subsistence in Southwest Asia:
874. Implications for the Transition to Farming. *American Antiquity* 59(2): 238-263.
875. Zahid, H. J., E. Robinson, and R. L. Kelly. 2016. Agriculture, population growth, and statistical
876. analysis of the radiocarbon record. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 113(4): 931-935.
877. Zapata, L., L. Peña-Chocarro, G. Pérez-Jordá, and H. P. Stika. 2004. Early
878. neolithic agriculture in the Iberian Peninsula. *Journal of World Prehistory* 18(4): 283-325.

879. Zeder, M. 2015. Core questions in domestication research. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(11): 3192-3198.
881. Zimmermann, A., Hilpert, J., and K. P. Wendt. 2009. Estimations of Population Density for Selected
882. Periods Between the Neolithic and AD 1800. *Human Biology* 81(5-3): 357-380.
883. Zvelebil, M. 2006. Mobility, contact, and exchange in the Baltic Sea basin 6000-2000 BC. *Journal of
884. Anthropological Archaeology* 25(2): 178-192.

Table 1. Summary of the variables presented in the paper, with an indication on proxies for measuring them in past social-ecological systems.

Parameter	Variable	Measure`	<i>Palaeoproxies</i>	
Environmental resources	Ecosystem productivity	NPP/NSP	Micro and macro-botanical remains	
			Micro and macro-zoological remains	
			Chemical and trace elements/nutrients	
			Temperature and moisture proxies	
Economic resources	Variability of system productivity	Temperature	Proxies that measure quantified temperature or that indicate climate (e.g. chironomids; beetles; leaf waxes, tree rings, ice cores, ocean and lake sediments, plant isotopes)	
		Moisture/rainfall		
	Seasonality			
	Nutrient status			
Economic resources	Diet	Caloric intake	Archaeobotany (macro- and microremains)	
			Archaeozoology	
			Stable isotopes	
			Residue analysis	
Economic resources	Technology	Production/extraction / preservation efficiency	Chemical analysis on human bones	
			Geoarchaeology	
			Archaeobotany (weeds)	
			Typological studies	
Economic resources	Technology	Production/extraction / preservation efficiency	Use-wear on lithics/bone tools	
			Storage structures and technologies	
			Density of sites	
			Size of sites	
Social resources	Population distribution	Demography	Gene flow	
			Chronology/radiocarbon dates	
	Social networks	Relationships and flows between and within groups		Patterns/distribution of the sites
				Appearance of exotic materials (trade)

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the model. The external circle represent the livelihood system, which is composed by three domains: Environmental, Social and Economic Resources (not named in the figure to indicate that the change is not dependent on the domain). Whenever the three domains overlap, the system can be considered resilient (a, b and c - green circle). The degree of resilience depends on the size of the overlapping areas: the more the three domains overlap (bigger area) the more resilient the system is. When one or more of the domains do not overlap with the others, the system is not resilient and the transition to a new system takes place (d - red circle).

