Frailty Prevention and Treatment: Why Dietitians Need to Take Charge
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Introduction

Americans aged 85 years or older are the fastest growing population segment in the U.S. Many older adults have multiple chronic degenerative diseases and other illnesses. These conditions can take a toll on their ability to perform basic activities of daily living, frequently resulting in a poorer quality of life, frailty, and increased disability.  

Today there are no common, well-accepted guidelines for the prevention or treatment of frailty. Frailty, like obesity and diabetes, is a condition with a multiplicity of causes. Thus a multi-factorial approach—including nutrition—is needed for its prevention and treatment. Internationally, healthcare systems are establishing multidisciplinary protocols on frailty; in Europe, dietitians are taking a very active role in the development and implementation of these protocols. We believe that registered dietitian nutritionists (RDNs) in the U.S. have the responsibility today to take a similar leading role; becoming integral to nutritional screening, intervention, and advocating for pre-frail and frail older adults, thus making a meaningful difference in their quality of life and health outcomes.

Defining Frailty and Disability

The international consensus is that physical frailty is: 1) a clinical syndrome, which increases vulnerability to stressors, leading to functional impairment and adverse health outcomes, 2) potentially reversible or attenuated by interventions, and 3) can be identified with simple, validated screening tests and 4) should be screened for in all adults over age 70. A more precise definition of frailty has yet to be agreed upon, and consequently methods to identify this syndrome are diverse. A recent study found 67 different instruments to measure or identify frailty; nine were more commonly used and cited in the literature. Further work is required to
refine methods for frailty screening. However, existing data suggest that high levels are present among the oldest in our populations.

Recently, Bandeen-Roche et al estimated that 15% of U.S. older adults are frail, with a frailty prevalence of 9% for those aged 65–69 years and 38% for those aged 90 or older. Historically, the terms frailty and disability have often been conflated and referred to together. Now it is recognized that while these terms are similar, they are distinct and fall along a continuum, where pre-frailty and frailty precede disability.

Disability was defined in the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey as having a major difficulty in one of the following: hearing, vision, cognitive function, ambulation, self-care (e.g. bathing or dressing), or living independently. The proportion of adults with a disability increased with older age. The greatest contrast was evident between adults with many disabilities; for those aged 65 to 74 only 7% reported three or more disabilities, while for 75-84 year olds it was 16.5%, and then the percent more than doubled to 41.5% for those aged 85 and older. Because there are currently 5.9 million Americans aged 85 years or older, and this number is expected to quadruple by 2050, the human and demographic problem of disability is potentially one of great severity.

The Impact of Frailty on the Health of Older Adults

The consequences of disability on quality of life and health are clear. But now, as the U.S. population has grown older, concerns about the effects of frailty on decreased quality of life and health have also risen. Avoiding frailty is important for the health of older adults because the disability that often follows affects their ability to live independently, socialization, nutrition, activities of daily living, mobility and morbidity, as well as risks of institutionalization and
Indeed Bandeen-Roche concluded that their findings supported “… the importance of frailty in late-life health etiology and the potential value of frailty as a marker of risk for adverse health outcomes and as a means of identifying opportunities for intervention in clinical practice and public health policy.”

Fried et al’s landmark study among 4317 community-dwelling adults aged 65 and older documented that the diagnosis of frailty independently predicted the three-year progression in decreased mobility and activities of daily living, and thus disability. Frailty led to a heightened risk of falls, hospitalization, institutionalization, mortality, and decreased quality of life. Decreased quality of life and increased dependency are two outcomes of frailty that the very oldest adults regard as very important. For example, in a Dutch health evaluation study, those over 85 years of age valued functional and social independence the most, followed by avoidance of dependence, while those over 65 years of age valued less sickness and pain the most. Quality of life is also associated with emotional and mental status. In studies of older adults using instruments such as the Mini-Mental State Examination, an inverse association was found between cognitive function and frailty and a positive association was shown between depression and frailty. It is not surprising that depression is associated with frailty because depression is typically related to isolation, limited physical activity, weight loss, and lack of mobility – all of which prevent socializing.

In addition to its potential impact on mental health, frailty can have direct adverse nutritional effects when the lack of energy to shop or cook leads to decreased nutrient intake. Frailty is also associated with poorer health outcomes and increased mortality. Bandeen-Roche documented that among the frail, 42% were hospitalized in the previous year, compared to 22% of the pre-frail and 11% of robust older adults. Frailty was associated with older adults having
Frailty is potentially linked to increased healthcare costs. In one study of older patients undergoing colorectal surgery procedures, hospital costs and discharge costs increased with advanced frailty and higher degrees of frailty were related to increased rates of discharge, institutionalization and 30-day readmission. Frailty may play an even greater role in healthcare spending in the future, since per capita spending on Medicare increases with age. The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that “population aging” is expected to account for a larger share of healthcare spending growth through 2039 than either “excess spending growth” or the “coverage expansion” subsidies provided under the Affordable Care Act.

Clinical Identification and Treatment of Frailty

Because frailty often occurs in patients with one or more chronic diseases, the syndrome may be overlooked as attention is given to other, more acute, conditions. Clinically, frailty is not measured by a cursory medical record review or by simply looking at the patient in a chair or bed. It requires active involvement with the patient. Table 1 describes sets of indicators used to measure frailty in clinical practice. One of the most common uses the Fried criteria, which are a combination of clinical indicators, physical assessment, and other measures, particularly functional measures. RDNs in the U.S. can easily acquire the skills to screen and assess for frailty.

As a syndrome, frailty is characterized by dysregulation in multiple systems, leading to a loss of dynamic homeostasis, decreased physiologic reserve, and increased risk for morbidity and mortality. Xue et al hypothesized that frailty was a cycle, where a stressor or insult could
influence any point in the cycle, leading to a progression of signs and symptoms. Recent findings support the hypothesis that sarcopenia is also a continuous process; one could visualize that insults added on top of this gradual and universal age-related decline in lean tissue could manifest as frailty. Clinical research, particularly in recent years, has suggested several important multisystem, pathophysiological processes in the development of frailty, possibly including chronic inflammation and immune activation, as well as processes in the musculoskeletal and endocrine systems. Etiologic factors contributing to frailty potentially include genetic, epigenetic and metabolic factors, environmental and lifestyle stressors, as well as acute and chronic diseases.

Treatments and interventions for frailty are targeted to prevent, delay, reduce, or reverse, or the severity of frailty and, when it is irreversible, to prevent or reduce adverse health outcomes. Exercise interventions have most consistently shown benefit in treating frailty. Pharmacological interventions have less evidence, and while clinical research continues to target potential drug therapies, there are unique research challenges in this population because older adults frequently have multiple chronic diseases, low physiological reserves and polypharmacy. Some promising treatments for frailty involve multifactorial, interdisciplinary interventions, including nutritional therapies.

**Nutritional Interventions for Frailty**

A specific dietary pattern characterizing frail individuals has yet to be described and there may be several such profiles contributing to or resulting in frailty. Deficits of food energy, protein, essential amino acids, calcium, and vitamin D may each be involved in frailty. Weight loss is such a major contributor to frailty that energy intake cannot, and must not, be ignored.
Clearly, a lack of energy intake will result in under nutrition, tissue wasting and all the associated detrimental consequences. A recent review of nutritional intervention studies targeting frail older adults found that modification of nutritional quality (either with oral nutritional supplements or a better diet) improved strength, walking speed, and nutritional status in the majority of frail or pre-frail older adults, but the greatest effect was in those with malnutrition. In these studies, “better diet” included utilization of Meals on Wheels, adding an extra meal each day, individualized dietary counseling, and food fortification. In addition, energy balance throughout life is crucial for optimal muscle retention in older age. It is known that those with the largest body size will have more muscle mass, but it has also been shown that greater fat mass is associated with faster muscle loss. This has implications with the current rise in obesity in middle age, potentially leading to greater problems related to muscle loss, including frailty, in the future.

The current daily requirement for protein is estimated as 0.8 g/kg/day. However, there is controversy about the adequacy of this amount, particularly for older adults. Also, there is some evidence that 10-25% of older adults do not meet the daily requirement for protein. Some researchers claim even greater numbers of those with chronic or acute illness are at risk of low protein intake. Some experts suggest that older adults may require 1.0-1.2 g/kg/day of protein to maintain nitrogen balance and promote muscle protein synthesis, and may need even more during illness. Regardless of the outcome of these debates about the amount of protein, it is established beyond doubt that if energy needs are not met either because energy intakes are insufficient or because of increased requirements due to fever, infection or other causes, protein catabolism will occur. Prolonged protein catabolism will lead to continued loss of muscle and other reductions in lean body mass, which highlights the paramount importance of adequate
energy intake. There is also some indication, although less conclusive, that timing and
distribution of protein intake throughout the day is important to ensure maximal utilization of
available protein. Another consideration is the potential supplementation with essential amino
acids or protein metabolites such as beta-hydroxy-beta-methylbutyrate (HMB), which recent
systematic reviews conclude may improve muscle outcomes. More research is needed on
these topics.

Regarding vitamin D and calcium; it is well accepted that these nutrients are crucial for
bone health and protect older adults from bone loss. Vitamin D also may have a role in
preventing falls in frail and deficient individuals, as well as in muscle function. However,
there is currently no consensus on how much Vitamin D is needed to optimize bone strength in
frail individuals, although it is recognized that these individuals consist of a particular at-risk
group. It also seems that low vitamin D status influences responses to treatments targeted at
improving muscle function.

Opportunities for U.S. RDN Leadership and Skill Development in Frailty

Even today, the U.S. healthcare workforce is not large enough to meet older adult’s needs
and there is a scarcity of healthcare professionals specialized in geriatrics. By 2030, an estimated
3.5 million additional healthcare professionals and direct-care workers will be needed to provide
care for older adults. The Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics identified the “approaching gray
tsunami” as one of 10 change drivers and trends impacting the profession. Thus, geriatrics is
clearly an area that is likely to have major impacts on future dietetic practice.

Worldwide, there is a recognized role for nutrition in helping prevent and treat frailty.
Research is emerging and becoming more conclusive that conditions like frailty cannot be
treated with drugs alone, but require a combination of an adequate diet, strength building exercise, physical activity and, in certain cases, drugs to impact the condition and decrease the progression of sarcopenia. This presents an opportunity for RDN leadership, as nutrition is recognized as an important factor in prevention and treatment of frailty. Further, there is evidence of substantial unmet needs because of treatment fragmentation, lack of attention to nutrition, and an absence of a comprehensive approach for this population. Yet our gap analysis of dietetic training and practice in the U.S. documents that there is limited preparation for this role broadly by the profession (Table 2).

Attention to frailty in older adults is continuing to build. The European Union is one of the leaders in defining frailty, developing resources such as www.frailty.net, and carrying out studies that find frail older adults are high users of community resources, hospitalization, and nursing homes. European action plans, best practices, briefings, and consensus papers on frailty all maintain a strong focus on nutrition (Table 3). The European Federation of the Associations of Dietitians briefing paper on management of nutrition-related disease in older adults identifies a strong role for the RDN--across the continuum of care--in helping prevent and treat frailty. Similarly, there is an opportunity for U.S. RDNs to take the lead on frailty recognition, prevention, treatment, and research.

U.S. RDNs can easily expand their skills, and work to better understand and use the clinical tools needed to identify and manage frailty and educate others on the important role of nutrition. But if they do not seize the opportunity to fill the gap, the opening may be lost. Specifically, if U.S. RDNs do not step up to this challenge and get involved, it is likely then that the treatment focus in the U.S. will shift primarily to recommendations for exercise and pharmacological interventions, and other healthcare professionals will take the lead while the
nutritional aspects of prevention and treatment will be neglected or forgotten. Table 4 outlines several suggestions on how U.S. RDNs today can include a focus on frailty in their individual practice settings.

**Recommendations and Conclusions**

It is time for U.S. RDNs to actively engage in frailty prevention and treatment in older adults. Chief among the many challenges that remain is advocating for policy changes so third parties will cover costs, training, staffing, and interventions to prevent sarcopenia from giving rise to frailty and to deal with those who are already frail. A second urgent task is to develop and validate pre-frail screening and assessment tools and to prevent pre-frailty whenever possible. U.S. RDNs can help lead the research in this area and in developing a definition of frailty that takes into account the multi-factorial nature of the condition.

Nutrition is key to helping prevent frailty. Access to a healthy diet, usual foods fortified with the addition of extra food energy and/or protein (or micronutrients) and oral nutritional supplements when needed, can maintain nutrition and help maximize an older adult’s chances of staying healthy and independent. Yet, if prevention does not succeed, nutrition still plays an important role along with strength training and other strategies to minimize or ameliorate its effects. Additionally, well-designed studies are required to better define the ideal amount, timing, and sources of protein for older adults, the potential, if any, for essential amino acids and HMB and to address the controversies on optimal calcium and Vitamin D intakes.\(^\text{19}\) With the development of new medications to help build or maintain muscle mass, and the recognition of sarcopenia as a code in the International Classification of Diseases, U.S. RDNs must advocate
that these therapies are paired with adequate nutrition and then lead the research on these questions or the nutritional dimensions may be forgotten.

Frailty and sarcopenia must have even further recognition within ICD-10 codes to allow medical teams to better define and quantify the key problems and interventions necessary for each patient. Health outcomes research needs to focus on the effectiveness of nutrition interventions as well as the secondary consequences of frailty, including falls, hospitalization risk, and hospital re-admission rates. In addition, research is needed on frailty-related biomarkers and quality of life measures. More attention and advocacy are also important to increase insurance reimbursement for older adults in intensified frailty prevention and treatment programs, and U.S. RDNs need to document that the costs of such programs are less than the healthcare costs associated with hospital stays.

Nutrition is an integral part of maintaining muscle mass, bone strength, and functionality, making it key to helping prevent and treat frailty in the older adult population. To ensure that nutritional interventions count and really make a clinical difference there must also be measures of progress or change to show improvement in nutritional status. U.S. RDNs have the opportunity to become leaders in this arena and to keep nutrition as a mainstay in frailty prevention and treatment and in supporting healthy aging.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frailty Measure</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>How to Measure</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fried Criteria (U.S.)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Must meet 3 of 5 criteria to be considered frail</td>
<td>• Unintentional weight loss</td>
<td>• Loss of ≥ 10 lbs in 1 year</td>
<td>Addresses physical frailty only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Weakness</td>
<td>• Grip strength in lowest 20% at baseline using dynamometer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Exhaustion</td>
<td>• Self-reported exhaustion, identified by 2 questions on CES-D scale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Slowness</td>
<td>• Slowest 20% of population at baseline based on 15 feet timed walk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Low physical activity level</td>
<td>• Weighted score of calories expended per week calculated from patient report of physical activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilburg Frailty Indicator (Poland)&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 point given for each “yes/sometimes” answer and 0 points for each “no” Considered frail when total score ≥5</td>
<td>• Sociodemographic characteristics</td>
<td>Questionnaire with 25 questions:</td>
<td>Addresses physical, psychological, and social frailty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical domain</td>
<td>• Sociodemographics: 10 questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Psychological domain</td>
<td>• Physical: 8 questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Social domain</td>
<td>• Psychological: 4 questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Social: 3 questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Groningen Frailty Indicator (Netherlands)\(^2\) | Moderate to severe frailty with score ≥4 | - Physical components  
- Cognitive component  
- Social component  
- Psychological component | Questionnaire with 15 questions:  
- Physical: 9 questions  
- Cognitive: 1 question  
- Social: 3 questions  
- Psychological: 2 questions | Addresses physical, psychological, cognitive, and social frailty |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7-Point Clinical Frailty Scale (Canada)\(^2\) | 7 point scale, where:  
1=Very fit  
7=Severely frail | - Presence and severity of current diseases  
- Ability in activities of daily living  
- Physical and neurological signs from clinical examinations | Count of 70 clinical deficits | Addresses physical, psychological, and cognitive frailty |
Table 2: Gap Analysis of Dietetic Training and Practice Related to Frailty: Opportunities for Enhanced Leadership and Skill Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training and Practice Area</th>
<th>Potential Gap</th>
<th>Opportunities for Enhanced Leadership and Skill Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Clinical Education and Training                | • Dietetic education and training does not routinely include experience in physical and functional assessment techniques, particularly those targeted to older adults  
• RDNs may enter practice without knowing how to measure frailty or interpret specific findings of frailty instruments | • Include hands-on skill building in physical assessment for older adults and in using the Fried and other frailty instruments in dietetic training and continuing education programs  
• Consider the need for training in geriatric nutrition as identified in the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics’ (AND) recent Change Drivers and Trends Driving the Profession<sup>a</sup> |
| Position Statements and Practice Guidelines     | • The most current Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Food and Nutrition for Older Adults: Promoting Health and Wellness<sup>30</sup> includes some mention of frailty, but does not address it as a distinct condition or provide specific practice recommendations | • Build off the international consensus established on frailty and partner with international dietetic associations to develop a unique AND position paper on frailty  
• Include a separate section on frailty in a future updated AND position paper on older adult nutrition |
| Proactive Care Team Leadership                  | • Multifactorial, interdisciplinary interventions show promise for frailty treatment, yet RDNs need to know how to measure frailty to be able to prove the necessity and value of nutritional interventions  
• RDNs, like other healthcare professionals, are not routinely educated in a “manner that would develop the soft skills needed to become an effective team member”<sup>31</sup>  
• The need for interdisciplinary leadership was also identified in the profession’s 2012 future scan analysis “The professional will have to be assertive and |
|                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                            | • Use the Alliance for Patient Nutrition consensus approach as a model: “We underscore the importance of an interdisciplinary approach to addressing malnutrition both in the hospital and in the acute post-hospital phase”<sup>33</sup>  
Consider the transdisciplinary professionalism trend identified in AND’s Change Drivers and Trends Driving the Profession<sup>b</sup> |
opportunistic to secure positions in a world where competencies and credentials are less important than teaming and problem solving”

| Advocacy with Payers and Providers | • The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has had a frailty adjustment model for over 10 years, underscoring the potential importance of frailty on health outcomes for older adults
• RDNs and AND have advocated on the importance of nutrition in general for older adults, but not on the role of nutrition in helping prevent/treat frailty |
| --- | --- |
| Clinical and Health Outcomes Research | • Build off the international consensus established on frailty and partner with international dietetic associations to develop advocacy platforms on the role of nutrition and RDNs in helping prevent/treat frailty
• Consider the advocacy implication identified in AND’s Change Drivers and Trends Driving the Profession
• The past decade has seen a significant increase in the number of scientific publications on frailty
• However scientific publications on nutrition-specific interventions for frailty have been more limited and many have not measured impact on inflammatory status and other frailty biomarkers |
| Clinical and Health Outcomes Research | • Engage in/publish clinical and health outcomes research on nutritional interventions for frailty; include biomarker measures
• Include nutritional interventions as a part of multifactorial, interdisciplinary clinical trials for frailty
• Consider the demonstration of value and evidence-based care for malnutrition implication identified in AND’s Change Drivers and Trends Driving the Profession |

“Training in geriatric nutrition and a variety of geriatric care specialties to support optimal health and improve health outcomes for a diverse aging population in a variety of settings is needed”

“Transdisciplinary professionalism is becoming an essential ideology for a 21st century health care system”

“Sustained engagement in advocacy and public policy is essential for adequate funding and reimbursement of food and nutrition-related programs and services to ensure healthful aging.”
Demonstration of the value/cost effectiveness of evidence-based nutritional care in the prevention, treatment and management of malnutrition and chronic disease in older populations is essential"\textsuperscript{25}
### Table 3: Action on Nutrition and Frailty in Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing</td>
<td><em>Action Plan on Prevention and Early Diagnosis of Frailty and Functional Decline, Both Physical and Cognitive in Older People</em>&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Includes a focus on nutrition, because “malnutrition is one of the key determinants of frailty, and is both a cause and an effect of frailty” and “there is a pressing need to understand challenges underpinning malnutrition in older people.” Subsequently, one of the general objectives of the action plan is to “manage functional decline and frailty through targeted intervention in physical fitness,[and the] nutrition status…..of older people.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing</td>
<td><em>A Compilation of Good Practices</em>&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Focus on the prevention and early diagnosis of frailty. Nutrition was one of the report’s four areas targeted for good practices. The report commented “There seems to be a close association between frailty and the nutrition status in older people.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Federation of the Associations of Dietitians</td>
<td><em>Briefing Paper on the Role of the Dietitian in the Prevention and Management of Nutrition-related Disease in Older Adults</em>&lt;sup&gt;36&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Identified that one of the dietitians’ leadership roles is “Educating healthcare professionals on the topic of malnutrition and its relationship with frailty.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Geriatrics Society</td>
<td><em>Fit for Frailty, Consensus Best Practice Guidance of the Care of Older People Living with Fraility in Community and Outpatient Settings</em>&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Identified weight loss and poor nutrition as one of the “common problems in frailty which need to be addressed to reduce the severity and improve outcomes.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Setting</td>
<td>Opportunities and Examples</td>
<td>Potential Research Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Acute Care       | • Include frailty screening as part of basic nutritional screening on admission  
|                  | • Educate pre-frail/frail patients on basic nutrition, maintaining healthy body weight, importance of adequate calories, protein, vitamin D, and use of fortified foods or oral nutritional supplements if needed  
|                  | • Refer to physical or occupational therapists to help address need for assistance with basic daily activities and/or strengthening muscles  
|                  | • Support development of code for frailty to document diagnosis/treatment, allow for billing, provide basis for RDNs to demonstrate value of nutrition intervention  
|                  |   o *The International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Edition, Clinical Modification, (ICD-10-CM)*,\(^{38}\) includes frailty under code R54 (as part of age-related physical debility)  
|                  |   o Applies to frailty, old age, senescence, senile asthenia, and senile debility  
|                  |   o Does not include cognitive decline associated with aging, senile psychosis, or senility not otherwise specified, which is instead categorized under R41.81  
|                  | • Advocate for including nutrition in geriatric care standards and best practices  
|                  |   o Example: American Geriatrics Society’s *Hospital to Home*\(^{39}\) program teaches medical professionals to assess patient’s functionality, social support, transportation, and environmental factors when considering discharge but does not include nutrition  
|                  | • Document frailty prevalence on hospital admission among older adult patient population  
|                  | • Include evaluation of processes to better prevent and treat frailty in nutrition quality improvement initiatives  
|                  | • Evaluate effectiveness of specific nutrition interventions such as vitamin D supplementation, use of fortified foods, or oral nutritional supplements on specific health measures such as blood vitamin D levels or malnutrition criteria |
| Alternate Site Care | • Include frailty screening as part of basic nutritional screening on admission and include frailty prevention and treatment in nutrition care plans  
• Advocate for frailty prevention and treatment in interdisciplinary care rounds  
• Refer to physical or occupational therapists to help address need for assistance with basic daily activities and/or strengthening muscles  
• Before patient discharge, educate pre-frail/frail patients and family caregivers on basic nutrition, maintaining healthy body weight, importance of adequate calories, protein, vitamin D, and use of fortified foods or oral nutritional supplements if needed | • Evaluate effectiveness of specific nutrition interventions on health outcomes of pre-frail and frail patients, such as potential impact on weight gain, muscle strength, activities of daily living, hospital readmissions |
|---|---|---|
| Outpatient | • Model services based on multidisciplinary protocols  
  o Example: British Geriatrics Society’s *Fit for Frailty* consensus best practices guides management of frailty in community and outpatient settings with a holistic medical review – including nutrition  
• Educate older adults and caregivers on warning signs of pre-frailty/frailty, and potential interventions to maintain strength and appetite | • Evaluate effectiveness of specific nutrition interventions on health outcomes of pre-frail and frail patients, such as potential impact on weight gain, muscle strength, activities of daily living, hospital readmissions |
| Community | • Refer pre-frail/frail older adults to congregate or home delivered meal programs if ability to shop/prepare and/or adequate income to buy nutritious food are issues  
• Refer pre-frail/frail older adults living below the poverty level to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and specific senior food programs  
• Refer pre-frail/frail older adults to dietitians working with grocery stores  
• Explore opportunities for telehealth | • Implement a validation study of a specific frailty screening tool for older adults living in the community |
|   | Individual and group medical nutrition is among the list of telehealth services that the CMS covers, and RDNs can be authorized as distant site practitioners |