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This article focuses on a defining concept of modern surfing; localism. Using a qualitative ethnographic approach, the data for this study were collected using participant observation, field notes and interviews between 2008 and 2009 with a significant population of local surfers in a village location in Cornwall, South West of England, UK. Developing Bennett’s (2004) definition of localism, data suggested a benign form of localism and in conveying this we make a number of associated observations. Our analysis considers how a process of Othering led to the construction of Established insiders based primarily on location, rather than gender. These Established insiders saw the need to protect their community and its way of life from Outsiders. The focal point for protection was the liminal space/phase that opened up on ‘their’ local waves as it was through temporal space that communitas was generated and thus community sustained. We illustrate how benign localism was exacerbated by overcrowding and how local surfers’ experiences of localism made them aware of their becoming Outsiders in other surfing localities, modifying their behaviour accordingly. The paper also suggests that debates about localism need to view the concept as a continuum of attitudes and behaviours ranging from the benign to the heavy, and that attention needs paying to how localism varies between contexts and the ways in which localism may share social antecedents. Lastly, we highlight the utility of viewing the beach and wave as liminal spaces that give rise to communitas for those who surf it together regularly.
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Introduction

“Localism is one of the defining concepts of modern surfing” (Scott, 2003: web site) and is seen by some as “the dark side of surfing” (Olivier, 2010, p. 1224). Despite localism becoming a frequent topic of commercially popular mediums such as News media, surfing books (Fitzjones et al., 1998; and Wade, 2007), magazines and online forums, it remains a relatively under-explored topic in academic research (notable exceptions include Lanagan, 2003; Bennett, 2004; Booth, 2004; Sweeney, 2005; Waitt, 2008, & Olivier, 2010). Therefore, further academic study of localism is timely in order to explore the phenomenon in greater detail. This paper draws on ethnographic and interview data collected between 2008 and 2009 to explore the meanings of localism in one village context in the South West of England from the perspective of the local surfers who live and surf there. This paper begins by de-constructing the idea of localism with a view to highlighting the need to intertwine gender with other interpretations such as insider-outsider relations, Othering, territory, place, and liminal social space. The methodological strategy for this study is then outlined, followed by an analysis in which we develop a number of interpretations in connection with the themes outlined above. We begin by showing how the construction of Established insiders and Outsiders took place through a process of Othering, was based primarily on location rather than gender, and featured benign rather than heavy localism. We then forward a view that shows how these Established insider surfers see their local breaks as part of their community and sought to protect the liminal spaces of ‘their’ breaking waves because these spaces gave rise to communitas which was the building block of their way of life as a surfing community. The remainder of the analysis illustrates how benign localism was exacerbated by overcrowding and how these local surfers’
experiences of localism served to make them aware of their becoming Outsiders in other surfing localities and modifying their behaviour accordingly. The paper concludes by suggesting that debates about localism in surfing need to view the concept as a continuum of attitudes and behaviours ranging from the benign to the heavy and that attention needs paying to the way localism varies between contexts as well as the ways in which localism may share social antecedents. Lastly, we highlight the utility of viewing the beach and more specifically the breaking surf-able wave as liminal spaces that give rise to communitas for those who surf it together regularly.

(De) constructing localism

According to Bennett (2004, p. 346) localism “is strongly ingrained into…surf psyche and culture”. Localism is simultaneously a popular and academic term, with academic definitions having evolved from studying the usage of the term in a variety of surf settings around the world. At its most basic level Bennett’s (2004, p. 346) observations of the Australian context leads him to consider that:

- Being a local means belonging to a particular beach or area of coastline where you were either born or have lived for some accepted period of time. Localism is simply a preference for what is local, and may be expressed through ideas, customs, attitudes and behaviours of the surfers in your local area.

While somewhat broad and benign, Bennett's definition provides a useful starting point to contextualise and evolve. Evers (2007, p. 1) also writing from the Australian context comments, “beaches…are carved up through a cultural know-how of the space. This know-how, and the policing of its rules and the territory they operate in, is a process surfers call ‘localism’”. A rather more formulaic expression of localism in the US context is presented by Sweeney (2005, p. 4) who writes: “localism=f(population, proportion of population that surfs, topography, local culture)”. Writing from the UK context Olivier (2010, p. 1224-1225) lists possible acts of localism:
Localism is enacted in the following (escalating) ways: warning graffiti near the beach (for example, locals only); hostile glares in the parking lot; open oral warnings not to paddle out at the spot; having your car windows waxed (with surfboard wax) while you are in the water; broken car windows, deflated tyres, or in extreme cases having your car torched; stinkeye in the water; warnings to paddle back in to the beach; being herded out of the line-up by a group of locals; having your fins snapped off your surfboard or having the board damaged in some other way; being held underwater as a warning or as a punishment for some transgression; being slapped; having a surfboard speared at the body or the head; being punched; and finally, being called out of the water to settle the differences on land.

Clearly, this is not an exhaustive list but it serves to provide examples of acts of what Bennett (2004, p. 348) describes as heavy localism, which he defines as; “excessive territorial behaviour that typically involves threats or intimidation towards newcomers, though more rarely can involve physical assault or property damage”. Acts of (heavy) localism are also manifested publicly through “graffiti tabs” such as the “locals only” tab illustrated by Waitt (2008, p. 75). Surfing media can also be the site for localism where, as Booth (2004, p. 99) reports, the example of one local surfer who publicly used surf media to warn kooks (beginner surfers) “not to step out of line, in or out of the water” at his break. Otherwise, he threatened, “I’ll be all over you” (Cherry 2000, p. 18, as cited in Booth, 2004, p. 99).

While extreme (and unpleasant) forms of localism such as these are the most publicised, we would emphasise a balanced perspective of localism. A balanced view positions localism as a continuum of values and behaviours ranging from benign or even positive expressions of local identity to actual acts of violence towards ‘outsiders’ or their property. Moreover, localism, has contextually specific features in particular locations as well as shared features across locations. In what follows, we consider some of these aspects.

*Gendered fratriarchies*

Ford and Brown (2006, p. 83) note that “on the surface many practitioners consider there is ‘no issue’ with gender in surfing”. However, a number of researchers have interpreted surfing as male
dominated and localism as a primarily gendered phenomenon. Booth (2004, p. 99) observed that in the Australian surf context although surfing appears relatively informal, (male) surfers frequently congregate in small groups at specific local surf breaks. In this context, Booth (2004, p. 99) argues “local surfers bear many of the characteristics of sporting fratriarchies,” which marginalise female surfing within ‘local surfing’ contexts and “jealously protect their territory against outsiders and ‘kooks [beginer surfers]’”. Evers (2009) concurs that men still dominate surf culture, being seen as icons of it and also notes localism tends to consist of male surfers protecting their waves from others using verbal and physical intimidation. Given the numerical dominance of men in most surfing populations, acts of localism are typically local male surfers against non-local male surfers - indicating that what is at issue here is a form of struggle over/between hegemonic and also other forms of masculinity within surfing contexts. Wheaton (2004, p. 17) suggests that the Gender Order so characteristic in modern Western sports plays out somewhat differently in postmodern lifestyle sports such as surfing, commenting:

Lifestyle sporting cultures represent both a re-inscription of traditional masculinities - most notably in relation to ‘compulsory heterosexuality’ as well as the potential for more progressive sporting identities.

Moreover, Wheaton (2004, p. 16) further argues that it is “misleading to view ‘sporting masculinity’…as essentialised or fixed. Rather, like other ‘traditional’ masculinities it varies over time and cultural spaces”. Indeed, since Booth’s (2004) analysis there are suggestions that women may be beginning to carve out their own place in surfing culture which goes beyond superficial change. For example, Waitt (2008) notes that while in Australia, young, white, heterosexual, able bodied males may use violent terminology to defend their surf breaks from outsiders and to gender their surfing spaces, the ‘fluid’ identities of women who surf, is challenging these masculine spaces and carving out spaces for themselves. Elsewhere, Ford and Brown (2006) illustrate that some women have been able to challenge and re-work what gendered surfing practice means and in so doing some have become iconic in global surf culture, while others have integrated as members of
local surf groups on their merits. Given these changes, and Tuan’s (1977, p. 64) provocative statement that, “we appreciate the company of our own kind”, questions remain for us as to whether masculinity/maleness are the primary identifications constituting “our own kind” for the local surfer’s in every local setting. More specifically, we concur with Lanagan (2003, p. 175) who, drawing on Maffesoli (1996) confirms that, “location becomes connection”.

The significance of local connections is highlighted by range of empirical research focusing on the insider/outsider relationship in a range of local community and sporting contexts. For example, Neal and Walters' (2008) UK based research on the Women’s Institute and Young Farmers Clubs in rural areas found that the participant’s concept of community contained notions of boundaries, insiders, outsiders, and external conflicts and struggles. In particular, they found that these two groups were so embedded in the community, that entry into them demanded prior knowledge of the groups and that outsiders often lacked the sociocultural ‘maps’ needed to enter the group.

Perhaps, the most influential study on insider/outsider relations has been that of Elias and Scotson's (1964) figurational sociology in which they studied a small middle and working class British community, ‘Winston Parva’ and how Established (insider) and Outsider relations were manipulated to maintain class based power for the Established insiders in this figuration. As Velija (2010) confirms, Elias later used the Established/Outsider concepts developed in this study as part of the development of a broader theory of power relations balance pertaining not just to class, but also gender, sexuality and ethnicity. Subsequent research in the sociology of sport has drawn on this theoretical model and notably includes Velija and Flyn’s (2010, p 301) work on female jockeys’ troubled integration in horse racing. They illustrate how the male “insider community” continued to view female jockeys “as weaker and less capable than male jockeys” and utilised this belief to maintain female jockeys’ outsider status. Velija (2012) extended this focus to consider the plight of certain female cricketers and how their Outsider status is maintained through generalised ascriptions of their sexuality and social class by the Established group of middle class heterosexual
female cricketers. In the Canadian context, Lake (2012) drew upon this theory to show how in spite of Lawn Tennis Association changes to open itself up to a new and broader social membership, the established membership engaged in a range of exclusionary practices to render access and opportunities difficult for new members.

On the surface, it might seem logical that surfing localism is primarily explicable through the formation of local territorial surfer groups into something akin to a surfing fratriarchy built around the fundamental gender identity division that recognises itself as Established (as a hegemonic male/masculine fratriarchy) and seeks to exclude Outsiders on this basis. However, as Booth (2004, p. 99) concedes, “common experiences do not explain the peculiar relations and patterns of bonding in surfing”. In our view, this is because the idea of a territorialised surfing fratriarchy underplays the interconnections between territory, space and place and the implications of these interconnections for community identity.

The idea of community identity invokes concepts of territory, space and place as social and symbolic boundaries, which is supported by Evers’ (2007) interpretation of localism. However, each of these while important are unstable or porous. Localism is commonly associated with the more restricted idea of territory, which connotes an area of land (or sea) under the jurisdiction of a given group. This is illustrated in one local surfer’s comment highlighted by Lanagan (2003) reflecting on the work of Leethal (1999, as cited in Lanagan, 2003, p. 174):

You get people coming here to surf and they think that just ‘cause they’re shit-hot they can surf here. I don’t think so. If you’re not one of our crew you don’t surf here unless we tell you to.

While, territory is useful to inform on perceptions of ownership of a beach or break, to capture the ideas of the community identity and social/symbolic boundaries we need a closer examination of the ideas of place and space. Both beach and break are places in which Tuan’s (1974) idea of Topophilia or love of/attachment to place remains pertinent and distinct from territory. As Laurence and Cartier (2003, p. 10) note, places can consist of “layers of cultural sediments that are bound, eroded and metamorphosed locally” forming a repository of meaning for residents of the place. In
theory, unlike the Outsider Surfer, it is assumed that through frequent attendance at a place, locals develop a concept of native place, which is the culmination of “a deep wellspring of lasting memories that cannot be easily erased” (Laurence & Cartier 2003, p. 10). This wellspring of embodied memories and attachments seem to inform local surfers reactions when their native place is being co-habited, reinterpreted and contested by Outsider surfers. Of course, this conceptualisation may be unstable and problematic because Outsider surfers may also, through frequenting a place also develop their own attachments, but remain excluded, or they may see a place as merely a spatial resource to be exploited.

Native places are also, in other ways, spaces. Preston Whyte (2002, p. 139) contends that, “the issue of space and its control runs as a central thread through any attempt to understand how surfing space is constructed.” In particular, the notion of spatial contestation in surfing extends beyond the idea of territorial ownership/place attachment and includes Yi Fu Tuan's (1977) observations of the impact of crowding. While crowding is culturally varied there is a broad acceptance that it is a significant issue in surfing across the world. The presence of the Outsider surfer in surfing culture represents a very specific challenge in an everyday practical sense as the number of suitable breaks, waves and importantly spaces on those waves are finite, whereas the number of potential outsiders is unlimited. This perspective aligns with Shields’ (1992) view that the beach is a kind of liminal space or zone that is ‘consumed’ through leisure activities. Shields (2004, p. 46) writes, “from the viewpoint of the surfing, beaches are merely a support zone for an even more indeterminate space in the waves”. Our analysis further refines this idea to focus upon the surf-able wave as a specific liminal space/zone/phase that has potential to aid our understanding of surfing and localism.

Methods

The data collection used in this article took place between 2008 and 2009 and formed part of a broader ethnographic study which focused on better understanding the role surfing played in
community life and the meanings attached to surfing practice in a small village (which we call Hessiock) in Cornwall with a local beach break and a prominent surfing population. In what follows, all proper nouns (below the level of County) are replaced with pseudonyms.

(Author 1) already had access to what Hammersley and Atkinson (1995) describe as _gatekeepers_ at Hessiock. Data were collected over approximately one calendar year in order that seasonally based local surf lifestyle practices might be included. In this way, key community events, such as the Winter Cold Water Classic (pseudonym, a local community surf competition), the local community raft race (which was co-organised with the local surfing association) and informal surf sessions throughout the season were focused upon. Various local beach surf breaks, the community pub and the Hessiock Working Men’s Club emerged as focal areas for observation. Assuming the role of ethnographer, in Hammersley and Atkinson’s (1995, p. 1) sense of ‘researcher as instrument,’ (Author 1) immersed herself in the task of:

> Participating, overtly or covertly, in people’s lives for an extended period of time, watching what happens, listening to what is said, asking questions…collecting whatever data are available to throw light on the issues that are the focus of the research.

Formal interviews were solicited as soon as key community members had been identified and were familiar with the researcher. Interview participants were selected according to the objectives of a case study which “is to capture the circumstances and conditions of an everyday or commonplace situation” (Yin, 2009, p. 48). Therefore, the primary criteria invoked was that the interviews engaged with people of different ages and people who had been a local surfer for varying amounts of time. A total of 29 participants were involved in this study. This participant number was reached when in the process of participant observation and interviews, names were mentioned by participants. These names then became possible participants within the study and, if relevant, were then included within the study through participant observation and/or interviews. At a point during the data collection period where no new names of possible participants were revealed it was felt that a saturation point had been reached where no new or relevant information emerged (Given, 2008).
19 participants were directly observed during participant observation and 16 participants were interviewed in either individual or group formats. As some of the participants were interviewed concerning the concept of community life in general (an aspect not directly addressed within this article, but a significant element of the original project), out of the 16 participants, the voices of seven are presented in this article, (Respondent ages at the time of data collection are provided in brackets): Rachel Matthews (29), Jacob Matthews (32), Michael Howard (25), Phil Cole (51), Wes Deacon (29), Ruth Deacon (30+), Billy Reed (15), Interview duration ranged from 20 minutes (Michael Howard) to 58 minutes (Wes and Ruth Deacon).

Our data analysis strategy was to examine the content of the various forms of data collected and following Hsieh and Shannon’s (2005, p. 1278) interpretation of content analysis as “the subjective interpretation of the content of text data through the systematic classification process of coding and identifying themes or patterns”. This analytical process moved the stages of transcription of notes and interviews, to formal coding (where codes included localism, identity construction and confirmation), preliminary analysis and finally to thematic organisation and interpretation. Codes were generated from the theory or concept being focused upon as well as emerging findings from the data and thus were a combination of emic and etic coding approaches (Heandland and Pike, 1990). The process of bringing together the emic and the etic on occasion, led to the search for alternative conceptual explanations, such as the introduction of the notion of liminal space.

As Authors we were concerned to present a balanced view of the participants that was neither supportive nor condemnatory of their views and practices of localism. This led to us deciding to adopt a realist tale that presents the participants’ voices in a way which convey this balance recognising that, “well-constructed, data-rich realist tales can provide compelling, detailed, and complex depictions of the social world” (Sparkes, 2002, p. 55). As researchers we were aware the impact visible and intangible characteristics of the researcher in the field may have on the data provided. In particular the female gender of the researcher raised interesting points around
reciprocity, which we must pay attention to if we want to get good data via “thick, rich, description and in-depth intimate interviews” (Harrison, MacGibbon and Morton, 2001, p. 323). Harrison et al (2001, p. 323) go on to state that “through judicious use of self-disclosure, interviews become conversations, and richer data are possible” and this was a consideration within the data collection process. However visible characteristics such as gender which cannot be controlled through judicious self-disclosure did have an effect on the data collected. For example, on asking one local surfer about the lack of female surfers his response began “I don’t mean to be sexist but…” which then framed the remainder of his reply. We might question whether this response would have been the same had the researcher been male. On the other hand two of the local female surfers in this study responded well to the interview process being run by a female researcher.

**The Hessiock local surfing community: Established Insiders and benign localism**

The beach and its break are both physically and symbolically connected to the Hessiock village community that surrounds it. The local surfers in this study live either in the village or very locally to it, many have grown up here and many now surf with their children, partners and with groups of friends (of course some also surf alone and most engage in a mixture of surf groupings). Therefore, the first and most basic observation to make is that in this community of local surfers, gender, although demographically significant, is re-positioned as a subordinate identification to that of *locality and community*. Of the seven local surfers discussing localism in this paper, two are females and for all respondents, the age range spans 15-51. This age range is not unique here with the local community surf club membership age range being even greater than this. This kind of Established local surfer demographic stands in contrast to the work of Waitt and Warren (2008) in their investigation into a group of young male shortboarders, in Australia, in which they observed surfers articulating their ownership over a break by performing surfing manoeuvres designed to defend ‘their’ waves. These surfing manoeuvres demonstrate the *defence* of surf breaks which Waitt (2008, p. 75) describes as an action used by young, white, heterosexual, able-bodied males to
“remain snug in the gendered orthodoxy of sport spaces”. Elsewhere, Waitt and Warren (2008, p. 361) concluded that localism helps male surfers “dictate their gendered surfing subjectivities” with the “sensual economy of masculinity” playing a crucial role in localism. Little evidence from our data pointed to either heavy or dominant masculinist localism of the kind witnessed in Australia by either Waitt and Warren (2008) or Evers (2009) occurring around the Hessick community. Having said this, localism was very clearly present but, on a spectrum from heavy to benign (as in mild) it remained invariably benign in this location.

The elder of these local surfers, Phil reported that he has never seen any incidences of heavy localism along the South Cornwall peninsula (where Hessick is located) describing it as “pretty laid back” (Phil, 26/08/09). Similarly, Billy commented that although he has seen verbal acts of localism he has never seen anything “really serious” (Billy, 28/11/09). However, the participants did relate having experienced heavy localism themselves in other surfing contexts. For example, when venturing into waters in Northern Spain, Michael had the back window of his car smashed (Michael, 26/10/09). There were also stories recounted of heavy localism occurring elsewhere in Cornwall outside the specific community location of this study. Wes and Ruth recounted several stories, albeit in limited detail, concerning heavy localism after their interview, including one in a popular surf spot in North Cornwall where a friend of theirs had been head butted.

These experiences concur with other popular accounts of heavy localism in parts of the UK and the South West in particular. In 1998, The Stormrider Guide stated that incidents of aggressive or heavy localism were extremely rare in Great Britain due to the lack of crowds and the naturally mellow instincts of the surfers within this area. However, at the time, British Champion Gabe Davies had begun to sense the beginnings of localism:

Hardened by cold weather, polluted and freezing seas and inconsistent swells, the locals are some of the keenest, most competitive and yet friendliest surfers anywhere, who give meaning to the term hard-core. There’s an independent spirit and a strong local pride built on close friendships and a close-knit surfing network that exists along the coast, which becomes enriched by further discoveries of new breaks. Surfers who wait all winter for classic swells will not stand for visitors who arrive with a disrespectful attitude. (Fitzjones et al, 1998, p. 77)
In Hessick, despite the noticeably reticent attitude displayed towards discussing localism by all local surfers, they do articulate a benign form of localism that they enact in defence of what they see as *their* Hessick community waters. However, although beyond our data set, it is worth pointing out by way of contrast that less than 50 miles away other waters are reported to be defended by heavy localism that with locals employing tactics that have overt dominantly masculine associations of the sort highlighted by Olivier (2010). The area in Cornwall known as the Badlands around St Agnes is well-known as a local-only break. Wade (2007) reports the urban myths that tell of spontaneous acts of violence, regular drop ins, flat tyres and ‘locals only’ being waxed onto your windscrean if you surfed as an Outsider in the Badlands. Peter Lascelles, a local surfer of the Badlands, admits that if you paddle out and try to dominate, the local surfers will have words with you. However, he describes the tales of violence as a myth, but a myth that has worked. These contrasting observations lend some support to the idea that localism is more usefully seen as a continuum/spectrum of dispositions and behaviours which are expressed differently across cultural contexts and, we would add, given our cross gender and generational sample of participants that these dispositions towards their own break and Outsiders surfing it seem to be shared across generations of surfers within this context.

This interpretation is given further insight by Sarup’s (1996, p. 47) postmodern view that, “though people can share cultural features” (such as the committed skilled practices of horseracing, cricket, tennis or surfing), “and have many similarities with each other” (such as the preponderance of the same gender practising horseracing, cricket, surfing), “there are differences to consider”. Therefore, while gender issues remain, and the formulation of the (Established) Insider and Outsiders is clearly apparent and needs retaining as a categorical distinction, both aspects need some re-alignment to be able to consider why, in situations where the insider group shares many features of the outsider group certain differences become so significant. To help make this re-alignment, another concept, that of Othering, is useful. Young’s (1990, p. 98) postmodern critique
of the modernist logic of identity is based on the premise that “any identifiable something presupposes a something else against which it stands as background, from which it is differentiated.” This view is helpful to expose the dynamic positioning of individuals in localised surfing contexts. Young (1990, p. 84) continues that, “marking ourselves as having the same identity as one group of people, we simultaneously mark ourselves as different from others”. In spite of many similarities between local and non-local surfers the us/them identity division used to frame surfing identity relations in this manner is based on what constitutes being a local surfer with every other ‘differently similar’ attribute of non-local surfers being used to define them as Outsiders, thus constructing an Established local surfer/Outsider surfer binary. The Othering logic is a powerful antecedent stimulating this binary and localism because it provides a relatively visible, locality based boundary for identifying those surfers who belong and those surfers who do not (although this is sometimes problematic in practice). Furthermore, as Sarup (1996, p. 47) stipulates, “to maintain a separate identity, one has to define oneself against the Other: this is the origin, for Lacan, of that aggression towards the Other, who threatens separateness, and thereby identity”. In local community surfing, localism is just such an aggression borne out of the perceived threat of the Othered identity with forms ranging from the symbolic to the practical and the benign to the heavy. Evers (2007) illustrates that Othering, involves a struggle over boundaries in terms of territory and Local/Outsider creates an ‘us and them’ culture in surfing comparable to that found in nationalism. Preston-Whyte’s (2002, p. 43) study of Durban beach space “showed that experience acquired through direct contact with the waves was communicated in social groups to become insider knowledge that enhanced the ‘We’ of a shared identity and exacerbated the perception and definition of ‘Others’ as outsiders”.

Moreover, Othering Outsiders plays a moral function, similar to Elias’ and Scotson’s (1964) ‘disgraced’ Outsider, in that those who are placed outside of the unity category are Othered for the way in which their collective presence (no matter what they ‘do’) is a threat to the practical and symbolic unity of the Established local surfers grouping: practical, because the he arrival of the
Outsider surfers threatens to over-run the local break as a socio-spatial and symbolic resource because of the identity-locality relationship is called into question by their presence, more on this later. Adapting slightly, Velija’s (2012, p. 39) point, it is important to qualify that a focus on binary distinctions such as the Established local surfer/Outsider surfer helps us see how “complex power imbalances are constantly in flux”, and we would add, not necessarily recognised or respected by Outsiders or indeed locals all the time. The reason for this surrounds the complexity of the core identification of binary difference embedded in the idea of locality, because it assumes stable social and symbolic boundaries, which in practice are often rather unstable. As Thrift, (2006, p. 140) contends, “there is no such thing as a boundary. All spaces are porous to a greater or lesser degree”. This porous/unstable boundary is a dynamic that leads to contestation around certain highly specific spaces.

**Protecting liminal space and communitas from Other Outsider surfers**

The local surfers in this study are particularly protective about the Hessiock break because it is seen as part of their local community. Those visiting ‘their’ break are visiting their community. For example Wes stated that “it’s where you feel like you totally belong” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09), which is also very evocative of Laurence and Cartier’s (2003) theory of ‘native place’. Jacob felt similarly noting that:

> Especially at Hessiock in the winter you get a lot of students out there which generally creates a little bit of animosity not animosity but you know like we don’t like it the locals and we tend to like it if they go and surf the other peaks and leave the one that we surf alone. (Jacob, 11/08/09)

When asked what interests he shared with community members Jacob replied, “obviously there’s the surfing side…that’s mainly the thing”. Wes comments similarly, “the surf club yeah I've seen yeah quite a community spirit…going down to the comps surfing, yeah, go down to the beach, see lots of people”. (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). These local surfers declared a strong attachment to their local waters in ways similar to Bale’s (2000) use of Yi-Fu Tuan’s (1974) idea of ‘love of place’ or
topophilia with football stadiums and football supporters. Beach breaks, like Football stadiums become revered places containing layers of collective experiences that provoke strong feelings of attachment. Many of the local surfers accounts of their localism suggested implied a sense of ownership of their ‘native place’ and liminal space and also of protecting other community insiders (particularly, younger surfers in the community) from the collective presence and actions of Outsider surfers. Furthermore, all of this was in service of the idea of protecting their community way of life.

In order to develop this point we return to Shields’ (2004, p. 46) idea of the surfing beach as a “support zone for an even more indeterminate space in the waves”, with a view to refining this observation around the question what is it specifically that local surfers of Hessiock are seeking to protect through localism? A more nuanced interpretation of liminality is provided by Victor Turner who connects it with the idea of communitas. Liminality as a concept is as Bigger (2009, p. 209) argues “both slippery and rich in potential” particularly in relation to studies surrounding community practice and identity. Agreeing with Turner (1980, p. 161) it is both possible and useful to “to extend the notion of liminality as metaphor beyond ritual to other domains of expressive cultural action”. Turner (1969, p. 359) writes:

The attributes of liminality or of liminal personae (threshold people) are necessarily ambiguous, since this condition and these persons elude or slip through the network of classifications that normally locate states and positions in cultural space. Liminal entities are neither here nor there; they are betwixt and between the positions assigned and arrayed by law, custom, convention, and ceremonial.

Turner (1977) theorised liminality and communitas to be interconnected elements of anti-structure, commenting that, “the ‘anti’ is here only used strategically and does not imply a radical negativity….when I speak of anti-structure, therefore, I really mean something positive, a generative centre” (Turner, 1974, p. 272-3). Liminal spaces/phases, actions and people in liminal positions generate forms of communitas, which Turner (1977:96) viewed as:
“a moment in and out of time,” and in and out of secular social structure, which reveals, however, fleetingly, some recognition…of a generalised social bond that has ceased to be and has simultaneously yet to be fragmented into a multiplicity of structural ties.

From this perspective the local surf-able beach/break can be seen as a liminal space that under certain conditions can give rise to communitas: The primary, sensual, embodied ‘goods’ of surfing culture open up with full intensity only for the few seconds that a surfable wave breaks; This liminal space remains open albeit at lesser intensity for the duration of surf sessions where the surf is up; and by contrast this liminal space closes when surf is not up, rendering surfing culture relatively more latent until surf is up again. Importantly, the local surfing space is not just as an individualised resource for personal enjoyment by community members, but also a community resource. As a community resource this is a shared surfing experience with other local people in a local environment that is cherished in the collective experiences and memories of the Established insiders. The combination of these elements might be compared to the idea of a secular ritual through which communitas is generated that then overtime and repetition becomes structured into community relations. From this viewpoint, localism is not about defending a territory so much as protecting a liminal space. Moreover, theoretically, when Othered Outsider surfers colonise liminal spaces/phases they do more than prevent local surfers the opportunities to catch waves, they disrupt the ritual making and remaking of a surfing community and surfing in the a community.

Expressed in this way it is easier to see how these become a central resource for the making and re-making of a local community of surfers and also a local community in a more general sense through surfing. Phil, (26/08/09) for example, stated in reference to surfing at Hessiock that “I don’t like it when lots of people come over from Danock [to surf]”, adding:

I guess where as I might sit back if I feel I’ve had quite a few waves and let other people catch them if they live in the village, I probably won’t do that for people who’ve come from Danock.
(Phil, 26/08/09)
Similarly, Wes and Ruth (02/11/09) talked of their subtle, protective approach when Outsider surfers visit their break:

Wes: Some people sort of vocalise that and get aggressive but I mean, I would just tend to sort of get more waves and try to snake in [and] out of them.

Ruth: You might not say it but you put it into practice with your surfing a bit more.

Billy recalled defending a local surfer who had dropped in on inexperienced Outsider surfer who then became aggravated. If this happens in his local waters, Billy feels he can get involved as someone will always “have his back” (Billy, 28/11/09). This point is also evident in Evers’ (2007) descriptions of locals feeling they have the right to be more assertive at their local break and reinforces the idea that localism through Othering Outsider surfers performs a moral function in which Established insiders look after themselves, each other and their local break especially in its liminal phase, as a part of their communities.

**Upping the ‘anti’-structural protection: Crowding**

While the very presence of Outsiders invokes a protective localist response from these local surfers, crowding ‘ups the ante’ and exacerbates the feelings and behaviours highlighted above. Scott (2003, web site) suggested that:

Surfing saturation in popular culture has resulted...in an excess of participation. For the ‘original’ members of surfing subculture, surfing has simply become too crowded, resulting in frustration that is too often being expressed in aggressive behaviour and surf rage.

Scott’s point is echoed by Phil, a mature local surfer crowding in surfing has been developing over a period of time:

Initially, as I say there wasn’t really much of a crowd problem even abroad…there just weren’t really any Portuguese surfers only other travelling surfers so it never was really a big issue until maybe I’d been surfing ten years or so and then you just notice some places it was quite aggressive and people didn’t like you there. (Phil, 26/08/09)
In Hessiock and the South East Cornwall peninsula one particular ‘problem’ is with students coming over from nearby institutions to surf. This was highlighted by several local surfers with the situation being described as an “absolute nightmare...Cause they turn up with about fifty people” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). Although this number is likely to be exaggerated, it illustrates how crowding of the liminal space/phase of the breaking wave is experienced as a particular threat.

This was vocalised by several participants in the context of there being a finite space associated with a wave which can often concentrate surfers in to one given area; “the influx, the break can only handle a certain amount of people before it gets crowded and it does get crowded quite quickly, and as soon as its crowded everybody’s unhappy” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). Similarly Phil noted that:

> Surfing, resources are finite, there’s not, on a given day there’s only a certain number of waves that are gonna break and if there are thirty people trying to catch them, it causes a lot of tension cause some people aren’t gonna get waves, umm, and if you, you might just about cope with that if you know those people, if you don’t know them, they come from thousands of miles away you, it’s gonna antagonise you, if they’re catching waves and you’re not and you feel like you live there. (Phil, 26/08/09)

This sense of crowding triggers heightened feelings of having their community space invaded making the protectionist behaviours stronger. While protecting the liminal spaces is mainly practiced through manoeuvres in the water such as dropping in on Outsiders and allowing other Locals the wave nearly all surfers spoke of how their feelings of Outsiders transgressing their place/space would be occasionally be vocalised. Even Billy, a young surfer, stated that as well as dropping in on them, he would say, “you shouldn’t be out here” (Billy, 28/11/09). With some reticence, Jacob also confessed that “yeah some words get spoken sometimes” (Jacob, 17/06/09), although Wes and Ruth (02/11/09) later confided that Jacob “can be one of the worst for it” describing him as giving loads of aggro and abuse at Hessiock, suggesting Olivier's (2010, p. 1224) point that, in an era of congested beach breaks, for some surfers localism has become “a necessary evil, serving a regulatory function in situations of scarce resource”. In spite of these comments we
got no sense that Jacob or Billy’s localism were forms of heavy localism, but what is clear however is that the response of the local surfers seems to elevate in intensity along with the level of crowding.

Reflecting on their own localism and how it most often emerges in crowding situations, Jacob felt that his being local and a person who sat and watched his local break all the time somehow gave him a right over Outsiders in a situation of crowding. Similarly, Ruth noted how desperate people get to have their own waves when they cannot get to them because of Outsiders. As Ruth commented, “everyone’s quite rude about the students, oh it’s that bloody **** course again” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). Phil describes his localism as “just human nature” and “not something I’m proud of but it’s, you know, just how I feel” (Phil, 26/08/09). Similarly Jacob notes that “whether that’s right or wrong that’s quite a common human trait” (Jacob, 17/06/09). Jacob also added that, “I’ve never seen a fight or anything” but follows that it is a possibility by saying “if it got busy enough it could happen” (Jacob, 17/06/09). Crowding, is for these local surfers a restriction of access to the liminal space of the surf-able wave that only opens up for a few seconds at a time and represents a key resource for communitas. Those who live beside such waves, structure their lives around surfing and consider the beach part of their community clearly feel they have a ‘natural’ right to be able to access those waves and an imperative to do so if their way of life is to continue.

It is worth noting briefly that these findings concur with popular reports of localism generated from crowding in the South West of England. In an interview with the editor of Carve magazine, Wade (2007, p. 40) noted that he refused to give up the location of his favourite breaks anymore as he would “turn up to surf a secret spot in winter to find it packed”. In another interview, Jersey based Surf School owner Jim Hughes explained that the saturation of the line-ups was leading to people becoming more territorial and increasingly aggressive in the water concluding that “localism is on the increase, for sure” (Wade, 2007, p. 139). The crowding at certain English surfing locations has been exacerbated by the installation of surf web cams streamed on the internet.
In reaction to the web cam placed at Porthleven, local surfer Dan Joel comments “now everyone checks message boards online, so it’s not just a handful of people who know it’ll be on, it’s a bus load.” (Wade, 2007, p. 48). Finally the rapid emergence of the ‘Wannabe’ surfer who is a relatively new modern commercial form of kook (in this case often also a casual tourist trying out surfing) has dramatically increased in population, causing crowding at a number of beach breaks during peak tourist periods (many Wannabe’s surf on holiday) within the South West of England. This caused aggravation among the local surfers with one interviewee describing the ‘Wannabe’ as having little respect for the local area (Jacob 17/06/09), a point which further exposes our finding that local surfer’s appear hold disdain for those who do not hold a deep attachment to, or respect for place that locals share. This a sharing of respect for place, liminal wave space and its function in producing communitas, may be a common feature between local surfers from different localities.

**Established local surfers as Outsiders**

Many of the local surfers of Hessock recounted examples of surfing at other breaks where they were Outsiders. In these accounts they spoke of displaying respect towards the locals as they understood how they felt about their local waters indicating a degree of reflexivity from lived experience. This respect was shown through an understanding of surfing etiquette and its importance for local surfers. Local surfer Jonty Henshall tributes surf etiquette to the famous surfer Nat Young in Australia who put up signs on beaches explaining the basic rules of surfing etiquette (Wade, 2007, p. 69). Surfing etiquette is very much a learned set of rules passed on from surfer to surfer during identity construction. More recently, Mackert (2005) set out these ten unwritten rules entitled “The golden rules of surfing” in order to educate surfers that were unaware of their existence. Of significance to this study are rule nine and ten which Mackert (2005, p. 105) states:

(9) Respect the ‘locals’ and don’t behave like an idiot! That means, work your way in from margins, don’t just plunge in right in front of their noses.

(10) Show respect and goodwill towards nature and your fellow surfers.
When away from his local break Billy says he and his friends “won’t get involved and will keep their heads down” (Billy, 28/11/09). Having said this Billy tries to gain respect through his surfing ability. On being asked how he felt about surfing in waters where he was an outsider Billy replied he was “not bothered, I just show them how I surf” and “just take their waves and show like yeah, I am better than you so…” which according to him provokes the reaction, “yeah I’ll let this guy have some slack actually” (Billy, 28/11/09). Wes recounts finding himself in a reverse situation (to his local one) when he went to University in Swansea and has subsequently has some sympathy with the students (from a local institution that often visit Hessiock) stating “the thing is because that’s kind of transient, they don’t make any effort, I know when I was a student in Swansea you don’t make any effort to mix with the local crew… you don’t make any effort to talk to the local people” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). Wes added that “even in Criock [a popular Cornish break outside of their area] I guess we’re more known now but wherever you go and you’re not known you have to prove yourself every time” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09).

However these Insider local surfers seemed to have an understanding of the ‘beach rules’ or surfing etiquette as it is more commonly known in the UK and they follow this etiquette when entering waters as an Outsider surfer to avoid localism being inflicted upon themselves. For example, Wes notes, “if I turn up at a new spot I’m quite respectful of people who I would perceive to be locals and you know you won’t hassle them” (Wes and Ruth, 02/11/09). As a beginner surfer in North Devon Jacob felt his ability and his outsider status did not warrant him to surf in particular areas and at particular times. Jacob concedes, “I tended not to surf low tide Boyde a huge amount which is like where all the short, the high the good quality sort of local short boarders will surf…I still didn’t feel like I was, like amazing” (Jacob 17/06/09). Jacob’s application of surf etiquette also showed when reflecting on his surf trip to New Zealand.

When I went to New Zealand I was very very aware that I was a visiting surfer and was and was very respectful of the locals and I wouldn’t, wouldn’t just go in there and like take every single wave,… and if there was a local out just getting loads more waves than me I wouldn’t begrudge
him that because its, that’s where he lives you know like I’m just visiting so at the same time at Hessiock that’s kind of how quite a lot of us feel. (Jacob, 11/08/09)

These comments capture a sense of lived, shared understanding of surfing etiquette surrounding use of the liminal space/phase of the wave, which suggests a potential for mutual understanding in the form of an extended communitas to emerge between local surfers from different locations. There is also a sense that etiquette is slightly more important for local surfers as they become more attuned to other local surfers’ attachments to their place and their sense of a right to access waves on ‘their’ own break.

Although, given the increasing issue of overcrowding and the saturation of location surf breaks, surfing etiquette might only represent a partial resolution to localism, local surfer Jonty Henshall takes the view that education is the answer; arguing: “People need to be educated about surfing’s unwritten rules- and its dangers- before they paddle out…There are too many surf tourists and wannabes who think they have the right to abuse local beaches” (Wade, 2007, p. 69). This concurs with Evers (2007) views that inexperienced surfers, and we would add surfers not attached to any local surf break may be less familiar with or inclined to adhere to ‘beach rules’ resulting in localist reaction.

Connections with Durkheim’s sense of anomie (community disconnection/ and normlessness) are worth raising briefly here, because as Berger (1967, p. 21-22) puts it “the radical separation from the world, or anomy, constitutes such a powerful threat to the individual”, societies and here also surf communities. The spread of surfing as an individualised lifestyle practice has led to it being increasingly detached from the communitas that emerges from local community engagement. Non-local surfers arrive, not as a coordinated whole but as a mass of individuals, each intent on consuming the liminal spaces/phases of the wave for their own pleasure and remaining unaware and/or unconcerned for the locality, its inhabitants or the rules of the space/place territory.
Summary

In this article we have presented findings from an ethnographic study that attempted to show local “Established insiders” perspectives on what we identified as a benign (mild/gentle) form of surfing localism. Those insiders were residents of a village in South Cornwall with a surf break and a significant population of local residents who surf as part of a way of life and saw the surf break as part of the community. What emerged was a strong sense of location connection, an attachment to their ‘native-place’ and their surfing families and community. According to Bale (2000) such forms of localism, reflect the strength of the sport-place bond which Lanagan (2003) drawing on Maffesoli (1996) confirms, “location becomes connection” (cited in Lanagan, 2003, p. 175). Drawing on data from the study we illustrated how a process of Othering based on location, rather than gender, used benign localism to attempt to protect the community and its way of life from Outsiders. We confirmed how benign localism was exacerbated by overcrowding and also how these local surfers' experiences of localism served to make them aware of their becoming Outsiders in other surfing localities and modifying their behaviour accordingly.

These findings contribute to our conceptual understanding of localism in a number of ways. First, it shows how localism varies from context to context and is not always centrally configured around a hegemonic masculine core of gender relations. Connected with this is the point that we should not assume power is ultimately held by the insider group particularly in the absence of institutional structures that the insider group can manipulate to its advantage. This adds insights to Velija's (2011, p. 39-40) conclusions that Established/Outsider theory, highlighting “how interdependent relationships that are characterized by complex power imbalances are constantly in flux”. In the absence of institutionalised, policed forms surf etiquette Outsiders may, on occasions, have as much or more power than insiders, to shape the happenings on the liminal spaces/phases of surf-able waves.

Second, what this is important about this reading of localism is that the beach and its break are physically and symbolically connected to the village communities that surround it. Therefore,
crucially, at a conceptual level these liminal spaces/phases are a central resource for the making and re-making of a local community of surfers and also a local community in a more general sense through surfing. Much of this community making is done through the communitas of the surfing ritual that then becomes structured into community relations. The liminality of each breaking wave and surf session forms something of a suture between the Established local surfers and the Outsider Surfer’s because as Syndor (2000, p. 236) maintains, “in liminal spaces civility and culture are up for grabs - they can be reshaped and negated”. In other words the arrival of outsiders threaten to disrupt Established insider access to these liminal spaces and thereby the ritual renewal of community.
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