

2015-05-21

# The oppeliid, perisphinctid and aspidoceratid ammonite faunas of the 'Corallian' Beds (Upper Jurassic) in Cambridgeshire, England

Page, KN

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/4994>

---

10.1144/pygs2015-355

PROCEEDINGS OF THE YORKSHIRE GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

**Article DOI: 10.1144/pygs2015-355**

**Article number: 2015-355**

**Article-type: research-article**

**Subject: Research article**

### Author please note

Please check this proof carefully for errors, once it is published online no further changes can be made. In particular check that figures, tables and equations are correct.

Please note the new page design for this journal. This will be effective for the Online First version of this article and print copies of the journal from 2015 onward.

This is an Online First paper. Until issue publication and page numbers are confirmed, please cite this article as: K. N. Page, J. K. Wright &, S. R. A. Kelly. [year]. The oppeliid, perisphinctid and aspidoceratid ammonite faunas of the 'Corallian' Beds (Upper Jurassic) in Cambridgeshire, England. *Scottish Journal of Geology*, first published online [month] [date], [year], <http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/pygs2015-355> (where square bracketed text should be updated with actual dates).

### Author queries (see AQ? in margins)

No	Query
1	John – can you confirm that you are corresponding author please?
2	“Wright & Głowniak, 2015, this isn't in the reference list – but only papers that are accepted for publication can be cited
3	Fig. 6:5 – do you mean Fig. 5?
4	this cannot be cited if not accepted
5	should the species be in italics for chronozones?
6	please give location of publishers
7	please give publishers
8	please give publishers
9	please give publishers
10	please give publishers
11	this tabulated information is really a table or Fig. (like Fig. 15) – should we re-work it to make this as such?



# The oppeliid, perisphinctid and aspidoceratid ammonite faunas of the ‘Corallian’ Beds (Upper Jurassic) in Cambridgeshire, England

K. N. Page<sup>1</sup>, J. K. Wright<sup>2\*</sup> & S. R. A. Kelly<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geology, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK

<sup>3</sup> CASP, West Building, 181A Huntingdon Road, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0DH, UK

**IAQ: 1** \* Correspondence: j.wright@es.rhul.ac.uk

**Abstract:** The Upware Limestone Member comprises an enclave of Middle Oxfordian ‘Corallian’ facies limestone situated on the East Midlands Shelf within the more typical mudrock-dominated sequences of eastern England, and is assigned to the West Walton Formation. Recent deep excavations in the district have revealed the base of the Upware Limestone, not exposed since the last century, resting on a mudrock sequence, the Dimmock’s Cote Marl Member. The Upware Limestone and Dimmock’s Cote Marl have yielded an unusually common ammonite fauna, including both Boreal (Cardioceratidae) and Tethyan (Perisphinctinae) elements, and rare Tethyan oppeliids and aspidoceratids. Thirteen nominal species of *Perisphinctes* are described and figured, as are one species of *Neocampylites* and one of *Euaspidoceras*. Three distinct assemblages of *Perisphinctes* are recognized in the Upware succession. The faunas are correlated with existing zonations for the Middle Oxfordian of Sub-Boreal and Sub-Mediterranean regions, including sub-subzonal schemes (i.e. ‘biohorizons’). Such correlations aid the construction of correlative links between two distinct ammonite provinces and have great potential for resolving some of the outstanding questions of European Middle Oxfordian stratigraphy. The succession is also of great importance within Britain as it is the only known locality to yield faunas that are stratigraphically intermediate between the classic Corallian assemblages of Oxford and the later faunas of the Upper Calcareous Grit of Yorkshire.

Received 3 July 2014; revised XX XX XX; accepted 25 February 2015

The fossiliferous carbonate succession exposed at Upware, Cambridgeshire, provides a rich, well-localized succession of perisphinctid and cardioceratid ammonites that spans the critical Plicatilis–Transversarium zonal boundary, making this an important area for biogeographical and biostratigraphical studies. The cardioceratids have been the subject of a separate study (Wright & Page 2006); the remaining ammonite fauna is described here. This paper sets the oppeliid, perisphinctid and aspidoceratid faunas of newly exposed beds in the context of the extensive museum collections of similar ammonites collected over many years from higher beds.

The ‘Corallian’ facies rocks of Upware crop out in a narrow, low-lying ridge that stretches for some 6 km along the eastern side of the River Cam (Fig. 1) and rises only 5 to 10 m above the surrounding fens. The rocks largely consist of relatively soft, poorly cemented limestones, the Upware Limestone of Wright *et al.* (2000) and Wright & Page (2006). Only two exposures remain of those formerly visible and listed by Wright *et al.* (2000). Commissioners’ Pit [TL 539 708] is at present well exposed, being actively maintained by the Upware Field Studies Centre, Cambridgeshire County Council. Dimmock’s Cote Quarry [TL 545 724] has been worked by the Wicken Lime & Stone Co., though work here is now on a lesser scale than before.

## The ‘Corallian’ succession at the Dimmock’s Cote Quarry

The ‘Corallian’ succession at Upware has long been known for its Middle Oxfordian ammonite fauna, some of which

was figured by Arkell (1935–1948). The succession as then understood was described in detail by Kelly (1985). In 1993, quarrying began at a deeper level at Dimmock’s Cote Quarry, exposing a 0.6 m thick bed of limestone, the Crinoid Bed of Wright *et al.* (2000), which has yielded numerous *Perisphinctes* spp. and *Cardioceras* spp. Trial holes and drainage ditches in the base of the pit revealed several metres of underlying marls with calcareous concretions that had never been exposed previously at Upware. These were named the Dimmock’s Cote Marl by Wright *et al.* (2000). A fauna of *Perisphinctes* spp. and *Cardioceras* spp. which differs in important aspects from that found in the beds above was collected. The faunal succession at Dimmock’s Cote Quarry is thus of considerable importance in attempts to unravel the complicated history of the Tethyan perisphinctid and Boreal cardioceratid faunas in the British Middle Oxfordian. Detailed measured sections, faunal lists and a discussion of the manner of deposition of the whole Upware succession were published by Wright *et al.* (2000). A summary of the succession as seen in Dimmock’s Cote Quarry is given in Figure 2; the lithologies of the various beds are as follows (informal lithostratigraphic subdivisions in brackets):

## Preservation of the Sponge Bed and Crinoid Bed ammonites


As noted by Wright *et al.* (2000), the Sponge Bed and Crinoid Bed together form a tempestite, their fauna



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

[AQ: 11]

66			
67			
68	UPWARE LIMESTONE MEMBER		m
69	(9)	Cream-coloured, soft, fossiliferous, pisolitic oolitic micrite with a rich bivalve-gastropod fauna, and frequent ammonites.	3–5
70	CORAL BED		
71	(8)	Coarse, bioclastic, coral-rich limestone seen at the western end of the pit, and containing a prolific bivalve-gastropod-echinoid-coral fauna.	0–0.6
72	(7)	Grey, relatively soft, marly pisolite, weathering pale cream; echinoids are common in a band near the top.	c 4
73	CRINOID BED		
74	(6c)	Pale grey biomicrite containing macroconch perisphinctids and cardioceratids with the phragmocones not infilled; hollow apart from sparry calcite.	0.3
75			
76	(6b)	Medium grey, coarse biomicrite containing a poorly preserved micrite-infilled ammonite fauna and numerous bivalves and echinoids.	0.3
77			
78	SPONGE BED		
79	(6a)	Shelly, argillaceous limestone full of micrite-filled microconch cardioceratid and perisphinctid ammonites, also bivalves and sponges.	0.15
80			
81	DIMMOCK'S COTE MARL MEMBER		
82	(5)	Dark grey mudrock with a poorly preserved cardioceratid ammonite/bivalve fauna.	0.6
83	(4)	Grey, argillaceous limestone containing large perisphinctid fragments.	0.1–0.15
84	(3)	Dark grey mudrock with occasional bivalves and an impersistent, argillaceous limestone.	1.7
85	(2)	Grey, argillaceous, spicular, shelly limestone with poorly preserved ammonites and bivalves.	0.3–0.4
86	(1)	Dark grey mudrock with scattered bivalves and a large perisphinctid preserved in a concretion.	seen to 1.5
87			



**Fig. 1.** Geological and topographical map of the Upware area, after Wright & Page (2006), showing locations of quarries exposing the Upware Limestone. National Grid co-ordinates at 1 km spacings.

potentially comprising members of a living population plus deceased individuals suddenly swept together by a storm. As such, many more immature, juvenile ammonites are present than is usual. Also, dissolution of the shells took

place while the sediment was still soft, leaving a thin carbonaceous film marking the outline of the shell. Though the specimens are often easily extracted from the matrix, it can be very difficult to make out septa, even with a binocular




Fig. 2. Summary of the lithological succession at Upware, after Wright *et al.* (2000).

microscope, or, if septa are visible, to decide whether the final ones are crowded, signifying a mature adult. Thus, in the frequent absence of the aperture or of noticeable uncoiling, the adult status of many specimens is uncertain.

**Repository of specimens, conventions and localities**

All ammonites studied, including those newly collected by the authors, are in the collection of the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge (CAMSM, abbreviated to SM here). All specimens from the Dimmock's Cote Marl and all the authors' specimens from the Upware Limestone were collected from Dimmock's Cote Quarry, previously known as Bridge Pit North [TL 545 724]. Most previously collected specimens from the Upware Limestone in the Sedgwick Museum collection came from quarries in this area that no

longer exist, particularly North Pit [TL 544 728] and Bridge Pit South [TL 542 722]. The pits formerly known as New Pit and Bridge Pit were close together and, according to Arkell (1937*b*), these names were used interchangeably. Both pits seem to have been later subsumed into Dimmock's Cote Quarry. Some specimens were also collected from the quarry near Upware formerly known as South Pit, and now known as Commissioners' Pit [TL 539 708].

Ammonite measurements: whorl height, whorl breadth and umbilical width are given conventionally as proportions of the shell diameter at which measurements were made. Where specimens have bold ribbing, the breadth given is that between high points of the ribs, with the breadth in between ribs given in brackets. The following abbreviations are used:

- D (phg) = diameter at final septum
- D (ad) = diameter of adult with uncoiling and/or crowding of final septa
- D (juv) = diameter of complete juvenile
- D (max) = maximum diameter preserved
- 0.x wh bch = fraction of body-chamber preserved as a proportion of the whorl
- rpw = ribs per whorl

**Systematic Palaeontology**

Order **Ammonoidea** Zittel, 1882

The following sequence of ammonite faunas, listed as 'nominal' species (see discussion below), is recognizable in the Upware district, primarily in Dimmock's Cote Quarry ([M] = macroconch, [m] = microconch):

Beds 7 and 9 (\* = specimens in pre-existing Sedgwick Museum collections identified as being from Bed 9 by matrix): *Cardioceras (Maltoniceras) maltonense* (Young & Bird), *C. (Miticardioceras) tenuiserratum* (Oppel)\*, *C. (M.)* aff. *sopotense* (Malinowska), *C. (Subvertebriceras) densiplicatum* Boden\*, *C. (S.) zenaidae* Ilovaisky\*, *Perisphinctes (P.) holtonensis* Buckman [M]\*, *P. (P.) chloroolithicus* Gumbel [M]\*, *P. (Dichotomosphinctes) aff. dobrogensis* Simionescu [m]\*, *Aspidoceras (Euspidoceras) sp. aff. paucituberculatum* Arkell\* and *Neocampylites sp. aff. henrici* (d'Orbigny)\*.

Beds 6a (Sponge Bed) and 6b–6c (Crinoid Bed): *Cardioceras (Cawtoniceras) cawtonense* (Blake & Hudleston), *C. (Maltoniceras) highworthense* Arkell, *C. (M.) maltonense*, *C. (Miticardioceras) aff. sopotense*, *C. (Scoticardioceras) excavatum* (J. Sowerby), *C. (Subvertebriceras) densiplicatum*, *C. (S.) zenaidae*, *Perisphinctes (P.) parandieri* de Loriol [M], *P. (P.) tumulosus* Buckman [M], *P. (Kraenaosphinctes) sp. aff. decurrens* Buckman [M], *P. (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld [m], *P. (D.) buckmani* Arkell [m] and *P. (Otosphinctes) sp. A* [m].

Beds 1–5 (Dimmock's Cote Marl, upper part): *Cardioceras (Cawtoniceras) cawtonense*, *C. (Miticardioceras) tenuiserratum*, *C. (M.) sopotense*, *C. (Subvertebriceras) zenaidae*, *Neocampylites sp. aff. henrici*, *P. (Kraenaosphinctes) ariprepes* (Buckman) [M], *P. (K.) sp. aff. maximus* (Young & Bird) [M], *P. (Otosphinctes) cf. arkelli* Główniak [m] and *P. (Otosphinctes) cf. ouatius* Buckman [m].

135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203

204 Superfamily **Haploceratoidea** Zittel 1884  
 205 Family **Oppelidae** Bonarelli 1894  
 206 Subfamily **Ochetoceratinae** Spath 1928  
 207 Genus **Neocampylites** Callomon 1973  
 208

209 Type species: *Ammonites delmontanus* Oppel 1863,  
 210 designated by Callomon 1973, p. 1003.

211 *Neocampylites* sp. aff. *henrici* (d'Orbigny) (Fig. 13B)  
 212

213  
 214 *Material.* Two specimens from ?Bed 4, Dimmock's Cote  
 215 Marls (SM X.50139.32, -.62) and three specimens from Bed  
 216 9, Upware Limestone (SM J68503, SM J68916, SM  
 217 X18021), all from Dimmock's Cote Quarry. All specimens  
 218 are fragments of septate macroconch inner whorls.  
 219

220 *Description.* When first seen, between 15 and 20 mm diam-  
 221 eter, the shell is smooth. At 25 mm, traces of falcoid second-  
 222 ary ribs are present on the outer half of the whorl side and  
 223 beyond a spiral raised area, giving an *Oxycerites*-like  
 224 appearance. The venter has a sharp median keel and very  
 225 narrow shoulders. The secondary ribs appear to fade by  
 226 67 mm diameter and the maximum septate diameter  
 227 observed is around 75 mm in SM J68916, suggesting a max-  
 228 imum size of at least 100 mm (allowing for half a whorl of  
 229 body-chamber).  
 230

231  
 232 *Discussion.* The Upware form appears to be different from  
 233 the species described by Arkell (1943, pp. 265–268) from  
 234 the 'Elsworth Rock' and the 'St Ives Rock' (West Walton  
 235 Formation), under the names *O. (O.) canaliculatum* (von  
 236 Buch) var. *hispidum* (Oppel) (Arkell 1943, pl. 60, figs 1, 2),  
 237 *O. (Campylites) henrici* (d'Orbigny) (Arkell 1943, pl. 60,  
 238 figs 3–6) and *O. (Trimarginites) arolicum* (Oppel) (Arkell  
 239 1943, pl. 60, fig. 7). Arkell's specimens appear to have  
 240 stronger ribbed inner whorls and apparently lack the distinc-  
 241 tive *Oxycerites*-like secondary ribbing on their middle  
 242 whorls. Although none of the specimens recorded by Arkell  
 243 were collected *in situ*, additional and comparable material  
 244 has now been recovered *in situ* from the Elsworth Rock  
 245 Member at Papworth Everard (Page 1986). The associated  
 246 ammonite fauna at Papworth, although stratigraphically  
 247 condensed, includes many cardioceratids and perisphinctids  
 248 typical of lower stratigraphic levels than Bed 9, thereby sug-  
 249 gesting that the Elsworth Rock *Ochetoceras*, at least, may  
 250 represent a slightly older species.  
 251

252 Specimen SM X18021 has a broad resemblance to the  
 253 type of *O. (Neocampylites) henrici* (d'Orbigny 1850) as  
 254 refigured by Enay & Gauthier *in* Fisher (1994, pl. 73, fig. 5),  
 255 but the latter has slightly closer secondary ribbing. The type  
 256 of *O. henrici* is stated to be from the Antecedens Subzone of  
 257 Pr cy (Yonne) in France (Enay & Gauthier *in* Fisher 1994)  
 258 and is therefore of broadly similar age to the Upware species.  
 259

260 Superfamily **Perisphinctoidea** Steinmann, 1890  
 261 Family **Perisphinctidae** Steinmann, 1890  
 262 Subfamily **Perisphinctinae** Steinmann, 1890  
 263

264  
 265 *Notes on perisphinctid taxonomy.* As discussed extensively  
 266 elsewhere (e.g. Callomon 1963, 1981, 1985; Makowski  
 267 1963, 1971; Kennedy & Cobban 1976; Lehman 1981;  
 268 Dommergues *et al.* 1996; Page 2008), ammonite *biospecies*  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272

were sexually dimorphic. The dimorphs are primarily sepa-  
 204 rable by size, with large forms (macroconchs) presumed to  
 205 be female and small forms (microconchs) male. A differ-  
 206 ence in mature shell ornament is also typical, and the micro-  
 207 conchs of the Perisphinctina (sensu Besenov & Michailova  
 208 1983; Page 1996) typically have paired lateral apertural pro-  
 209 jections (lappets) and the macroconchs simple curved aper-  
 210 tures. Each dimorph also shows a range of ornament strength  
 211 and whorl section, with round-whorled, coarse-ribbed and  
 212 more compressed, finer-ribbed extremes being typical.

213 In the Perisphinctinae, as in many other ammonoid  
 214 groups, each of these variants has typically been assigned a  
 215 different specific name and the two members of dimorphic  
 216 pairs are often placed in different subgenera. The great vari-  
 217 ability of perisphinctids in particular expressed by this tradi-  
 218 tional morphological classification can, however, confuse  
 219 true biological relationships between the preserved mem-  
 220 bers of a biological population. Taken to its extreme, this  
 221 traditional approach led to an expression of despair by W. J.  
 222 Arkell during compilation of his famous monograph of  
 223 British Corallian ammonites: "*The present study has shown*  
 224 *again how ammonite material if sufficiently plentiful, will*  
 225 *defeat any attempt at classification, however "natural" and*  
 226 *well balanced its author may consider it to be"* (Arkell  
 227 1948, p. 380; Callomon 1985, p. 55). Though Arkell was  
 228 scrupulous in his desire to describe only valid species of  
 229 ammonite, subsequent ammonite workers have regarded  
 230 him as a 'splitter' having little regard for the concept of  
 231 variation within a species (Kennedy 2006). This comment is  
 232 perhaps a little unkind, as Arkell did, for instance, introduce  
 233 many new varieties of pre-existing species of cardioceratids  
 234 to cover such variation in that family. However, he named  
 235 only two varieties of pre-existing species of *Perisphinctes*,  
 236 preferring to introduce many new species names for variants  
 237 within such macroconch 'genera' as *Kranaosphinctes*  
 238 (including *Arisphinctes*) and *Perisphinctes s.s.*, and micro-  
 239 conchs within *Dichotomosphinctes* and *Otosphinctes*. Many  
 240 of these 'species' are almost certainly simply variants within  
 241 a single evolving biospecies.

242 As the reasoning behind the concept of sexual dimor-  
 243 phism in ammonites became increasingly accepted, Cope  
 244 (1967) was the first to accord dimorphs the same specific  
 245 name through a lineage of perisphinctids. In this manner,  
 246 Chandler & Callomon (2009) described a large collection of  
 247 graphoceratid ammonites from a single horizon in the  
 248 Aalenian of Dorset, SW England, and showed that this  
 249 assemblage, which varies considerably in morphology, con-  
 250 sists of the macroconchs and microconchs of a single very  
 251 variable biospecies. A new biospecific name, *Leioceras*  
 252 *comptocostosum* Chandler & Callomon, was introduced to  
 253 encompass this assemblage, with pre-existing morphospe-  
 254 cies being regarded as varieties of the new biospecies.  
 255 Subsequently, Wright (2012) described a fauna of cardi-  
 256 oceratids from the Lower Oxfordian of the Isle of Skye,  
 257 again showing that almost all named morphospecies are  
 258 simply variants of one biospecies. A similar conclusion was  
 259 reached concerning a separate population of Lower  
 260 Oxfordian cardioceratids from North Yorkshire.

261 Returning to the perisphinctids, surely it should now be  
 262 possible to abandon Arkell's scheme and introduce a scheme

of transient biospecies, grouping morphospecies and morphosubgenera together into a succession of biological populations. Before introducing a new scheme, however, it is necessary to decide on the extent to which the assemblage of perisphinctids from Upware is likely to be endemic and composed of biologically related animals, i.e. a 'biospecies', or ademic and comprising unrelated specimens brought together by post-mortem drift, with several biospecies likely to be present. Fernandez-Lopez (1995) and Meléndez *et al.* (2009) have proposed criteria whereby these two alternatives can be distinguished. Studies of Sub-Mediterranean perisphinctids by these authors have shown that ademic ammonite assemblages are characterized by a dominance of mature macroconchs with far fewer microconchs and juveniles. Endemic populations, where individuals are likely to be closely related, are typically characterized by a mixed assemblage of macroconchs, microconchs and juveniles, with macroconchs in the minority.

**AQ: 2** Wright & Głowniak (in prep. 2015 show that the perisphinctid fauna of the Upper Oxfordian Clavellata Member (Corallian Group) of Dorset is dominated by macroconchs (50 macroconchs, 16 microconchs), and is likely to be an ademic assemblage in which representatives of a number of biospecies are present. In contrast, the fauna of the Crinoid Bed at Upware is dominated by microconchs (13 microconchs, 9 macroconchs) and is likely to be an endemic assemblage of related specimens.


Given that the fauna of the Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c), in particular, appears consistent with it being one contemporaneous population, represented by a full range of macroconchs and microconchs that almost certainly all belong to one biospecies, it might be thought that we should introduce one biospecific name for this assemblage. However, only when a number of important criteria are met can this procedure be convincingly applied. Chandler & Callomon (2009) noted that:

- (1) The assemblage should be isochronous, something that almost certainly applies to the Upware Crinoid Bed fauna.

- (2) There should be sufficient specimens to show a seamless integration of characters between them. This is not the case with the Crinoid Bed fauna, where there are gaps in morphology between groups of specimens that may or may not have been filled in life.
- (3) The assemblage to be tested for morphological integration should be adult. As mentioned above, many of the Crinoid Bed microconchs are juvenile or are not sufficiently well preserved to enable the maximum adult size to be determined. Głowniak (2002) has shown how essential it is to have this data, the maximum size of microconchs increasing steadily with time during the Middle Oxfordian. Crinoid Bed macroconchs can also be juvenile, or are frequently poorly preserved, lacking the outermost whorl.

Thus, reluctantly, we eschew the possibility of describing this fauna as a biospecies and, as recommended by Chandler & Callomon (2009), when the criteria are not met, pre-existing morphospecific names are retained. In the present work, the use of subgenera will follow that of Głowniak (2002) and the reader is referred to that work for a definitive discussion of valid perisphinctid subgeneric divisions. Głowniak's (2002) analysis of Polish Middle Oxfordian perisphinctids was based on the recognition of distinct lineages. However, she was dealing with a succession of faunas that is largely older stratigraphically than the Upware succession, living in an area situated much closer to, and liable to the influx of, Mediterranean populations with their separate lineages. We suggest that, at Upware, we are only dealing with one lineage, within which the proportions of the contained morphotypes varied with time. Effectively, this is a succession of biospecies, each evolving from the previous one.

Microconch adults of *Otosphinctes* and *Dichotomosphinctes* complete with aperture are rare in the Upware faunas, and thus maximum size, as used by Głowniak (2002), is not a criterion that can be applied to subdivide the Upware microconchs into successive species and sub-species. However, an attempt has been made to use graphical plots



**Fig. 3.** Rib curves of perisphinctids from the Dimmock's Cote Marl. 1, *Perisphinctes* (*Otosphinctes*) cf. *ouatius* Buckman; 2–3, *P. (O.)* cf. *arkelli* Głowniak; 4–6, *P. (Kranaosphinctes)* *ariprepes* Buckman; 7–8, *P. (Perisphinctes)* aff. *maximus* (Young & Bird). 1, SM X.50139.63; 2, SM X.50139.76; 3, SM X.50139.37; 4, SM X.50139.35; 5, SM X.50139.97; 6, SM X.50139.89; 7, SM X.50139.60; 8, SM X.50139.61.

342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410





of dimensions and rib counts of the microconchs to separate distinct morphological groups. Within the macroconchs *Kranaosphinctes* and *Perisphinctes s.s.*, statistical analysis is not possible, and morphospecific variants have been chosen to describe the range of variability present. The rib-density, including the rate of decrease in rib numbers per whorl with increasing shell diameter on variocostate macroconch final whorls, is a useful character for comparison with the macroconchs recorded by Arkell (1935–1948). Graphs representing each successive assemblage are included herein. Some rib numbers have been estimated, as not all specimens show completely preserved whorls. Several juvenile macroconchs have been collected but these are less informative.

Thus, within the whole Upware succession, we describe a series of successive populations, using established subgeneric and specific names to demonstrate the changes in the proportions of these morphotypes with time. The morphospecific names used here should only be taken as guides, the nature of the variation of the assemblage being more diagnostic as illustrated by the figured specimens.

Genus *Perisphinctes* Waagen 1869

Subgenus *Perisphinctes* Waagen 1869

Type species. *Ammonites variocostatus* Buckland 1836, designated by Arkell 1937, p. liii.

*Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) tumulosus* Buckman (Fig. 10C,D,F)

1927 *Perisphinctes tumulosus* sp. nov.; Buckman, pl. 714.

1936b *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) tumulosus* Buckman; Arkell, pp. 166, 173.

1938 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) chloroolithicus* (Gümbel); Arkell, pl. 18, figs 1a, b, 5a, b, text fig. 25.

**Material.** Six specimens, SM X.50139.79, -.86, -.90, -.91, -.94, -.96, from the Upware Limestone, Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c), all showing portions of the body-chamber, with the inner ribbing poorly seen.

**Measurements.** SM X.50139.91 (not figured): D (ad) *c.* 280 mm; at 265 mm, 0.21, 0.28 (0.20), 0.58, ribs *c.* 48 at 88 mm, *c.* 53 at 140 mm, *c.* 59 at 210 mm.

SM X.50139.96 (Fig. 10C,D): D (phg) 350 mm, D (ad) 460 mm; at 370 mm, 0.20, 0.34 (0.23), 0.65; ribs *c.* 58 at 75 mm, *c.* 60 at 192 mm, *c.* 44 at 305 mm, *c.* 17 at 450 mm.

**Description.** Giant forms, some mature at around 460 mm diameter (Fig 10C,D) with just over half a whorl of body-chamber (septate to around 350 mm). The maximum size is

very variable, and two specimens (SM X.50139.91, -.94; Fig. 10F) are apparently mature at only 300 mm, as is the holotype (Buckman 1927, pl. 714). The inner whorls are typically evolute, closely, sharply and regularly ribbed, with only faint, infrequent constrictions present. There are typically around 53 to 60 ribs on the final whorl before variocostation begins. There is then only a steady fall in the rib curve from 60 rpw at 200 mm to 20 rpw at 460 mm (Fig. 6).

The whorl section is flat sided up to 200 mm, when a rounded whorl section develops. Secondary ribs initially persist at this stage, as variocostation develops, but suddenly fade, and the venter becomes flat and smooth. The early part of the body chamber has widely spaced primary ribs and a rectangular section with a flattened venter. The final part of the body chamber, towards the mature aperture, develops a rounder section with more frequent ribs (Fig. 10C,D). This change in SM X.50139.94 (Fig. 10F) begins at about 220 mm; it is the coarsely ribbed stage that is much shorter in this specimen.

**Remarks.** The rib curve of the Upware specimens (Fig. 6) matches that of *P. tumulosus* quite closely. There is much less resemblance to *P. parandieri* de Loriol (*e.g.* Arkell 1938, text figs 28, 30, 31) and the neotype (Enay 1966, pl. 6). Though there is a similar ventral flattening of the whorl just before the end of septation so that the whorl breadth here is twice the whorl height, the ridge-ribs on the outer whorl are not as bold as in *P. parandieri* and are much more numerous.

*Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) parandieri* de Loriol (Fig. 11A–D)

1899 *Perisphinctes de Riaz* Siemiradzki (*nom. nov.* for *P. subrota* de Riaz, 1898, p. 31, pl. 14, *non* Choffat, 1893).

1903 *Perisphinctes parandieri* de Loriol, *pars*, pl. 7 (lectotype selected by Buckman, 1924, pl. 511B), *non* pl. 8.

1927 *Martelliceras orientale* Buckman, pl. 736A, B.

1939 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) parandieri* de Loriol; Arkell pp. 95, 97, text figs 28, 30, 31.


1963 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) parandieri* de Loriol; Malinowska, pp. 157–158, pls 39, 40.

1966 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) parandieri* de Loriol; Enay, pp. 357–363, pl. 5, figs 1–2.


**Material.** Two specimens (SM X.50139.92, -.95) from the Upware Limestone, Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c).

**Measurements.** SM X.50139.92 (Fig. 11A,B); D (max) *c.* 300 mm. D (phg) 215 mm; at 230 mm, 0.14, 0.30 (0.25), 0.67; ribs 53 at 150 mm, 54 at 180 mm, *c.* 38 at 230 mm, *c.* 31 at 255 mm.

**Fig. 4.** *P. (Otosphinctes)* and *P. (Kraoaosphinctes)* from the Dimmock's Cote Marl. (A) *Perisphinctes (Kraoaosphinctes) ariprepes* (Buckman) [juv. M]; SM X.50139.35; largely complete specimen lacking the last quarter of a whorl of body-chamber; natural size. (B) *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) cf. arkelli* Główniak. [?m]; SM X.50139.50; no suture visible; natural size. (C) *Perisphinctes (Kraoaosphinctes) aff. ariprepes* Buckman, trans. to *Perisphinctes (P.) tumulosus* (Buckman) [M]; SM X.50139.89; specimen largely complete including aperture. End of phragmocone not preserved.  $\times 0.5$ . (D) *Perisphinctes (Kraoaosphinctes) aff. maximus* (Young & Bird) [M]; SM X.50139.61; fragment of body-chamber including aperture;  $\times 0.7$ . Note: On this and following similar figures, the end of the phragmocone is marked by an X. Specimens that are wholly septate and portions of non-septate body-chambers are described as such in the plate descriptions; in all other cases, the presence and extent of suture lines cannot be determined.



**Fig. 5.** *P. (Otosphinctes)* and *P. (Kranaosphinctes)* from the Dimmocks Cote Marl. (A, E) *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes* (Buckman) [M]; SM X.50139.97; almost complete specimen, aperture broken away, inner whorls slightly crushed, Bed 1;  $\times 0.41$ . (B) *P. (Otosphinctes)* cf. *ouatius* Buckman, SM X.50139.63. (C, D) *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes)* aff. *maximus* (Young & Bird) [M]; SM X.50139.60; fragment of body-chamber;  $\times 0.50$ .



**Fig. 6.** Rib curves of perisphinctids from Bed 6 the Upware Limestone, Dimmock's Cote Quarry. 1, 3-4, 11, *P. (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell. 2, *P. (Otosphinctes)* sp. 5, *P. (Kraanosphinctes)* aff. *decurrens* Buckman. 6-7, 9, *Perisphinctes (D.) antecedens* Salfeld. 8, 10, 12, *P. (P.) tumulosus* Buckman. 13, *P. (P.) parandieri* de Loriol. 1, SM X.50139.53; 2, SM X.50139.26; 3, SM X.50139.47; 4, SM X.50139.71; 5, SM X.50139.28; 6, SM X.50139.87; 7, SM X.50139.44; 8, SM X.50139.91; 9, SM X.50139.29; 10, SM X.50139.94; 11, SM X.50139.42; 12, SM X.50139.96; 13, SM X.50139.92.

*Description.* Large forms, mature at over 350 mm diameter. The innermost whorls are not well exposed in the present specimens, but the rib curve appears to rise gently up to 180 mm, when it falls off rapidly (Fig. 6). The early whorl is relatively compressed with flat whorl sides (Fig. 11B). Ribbing is typically biplicate, branching at around 80-83% of the whorl height and with a noticeable forward slant when viewed laterally.

Variocostation takes place over half a whorl between 180 and 230 mm diameter and is extreme, producing widely spaced ridge-ribs before the end of septation. These sharp, gently curving ribs may be opposite each other (Fig. 11A), or may alternate in a zig-zag fashion (Fig. 11D). The whorl section at this stage is typically ventrally flattened with a broad, flat venter. Rib numbers plummet to only 17 at around 355 mm diameter (Fig. 6). The rib curve (Fig. 6:13) of SM X.50139.92 (Fig. 11A,B) matches very closely that of the lectotype.

*Discussion.* De Loriol (1903) figured two specimens as the new species *P. parandieri*. According to Arkell (1939, p. 106), these are so distinct from each other that they cannot be conspecific. Buckman (1924, pl. 511B) designated de Loriol's specimen with coarser ribbing on the inner whorls (de Loriol 1903, pl. 7) as lectotype. Enay (1966, pl. 5, fig. 3) designated the finely ribbed variant as "morphotype densicosté".

*Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) chloroolithicus* (Gümbel)  
(Figs 11G, 13E, 14B,D)

- 1865 *Ammonites chloroolithicus* Gümbel, p. 49.
- 1891 *Perisphinctes chloroolithicus* Gümbel, p. 121.
- 1912 *Perisphinctes chloroolithicus* (Gümbel); Klebelsberg, p. 197, pl. 18, figs 4a, b.
- 1930 *Perisphinctes chloroolithicus* (Gümbel); Dorn, p. 131, pl. 4, figs 1-3.

1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell; Arkell, pl. 14, fig. 2.

1938 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) chloroolithicus* (Gümbel); Arkell, pp. 95-104, pl. 18, figs 1-7, pl. 19, fig. 4, text figs 26, 27.

1966 *Perisphinctes* cf. *chloroolithicus* (Gümbel); Enay, pp 363-366, pl. 5, figs 4a, b.

*Material.* Three specimens: SM J359 (North Pit), SM J374 'South Pit', SM J3836 'New Pit', from Bed 9 by matrix, plus numerous inner whorl fragments not identifiable as micro or macroconchs; SM J380 ('Bridge Pit'), SM J41877 and SM X. 50139.39 (Dimmock's Cote Quarry).







*Measurements.* SM J359 (Fig. 11G): D (phg) 150 mm; at 153 mm, 0.26, 0.20, 0.52; ribs *c.* 61 at 93 mm, 57 at 153 mm.

SM J3836 (Fig. 14B); D (max) 353 mm; ribs 60 at 180 mm, 50 at 200 mm, 47 at 250 mm, 30 at 300 mm, 17 at 353 mm.

*Description.* Gümbel's holotype comprises only the inner whorls (Arkell 1938). These are densely ribbed, the rib curve rising rapidly from 30 rpw at 40 mm to 60-64 rpw at 160 mm (Fig. 12). Both Arkell (1938) and Enay (1966) considered the holotype to be the inner whorls of a coarsely ribbed, macroconch *Perisphinctes s.s.* On this interpretation, variocostation sets in rapidly, changing through simple, coarse primary ribs to bold, wedge-shaped ribs over a quarter of a whorl (Fig. 14B). Specimen SM J374 (Figs 13E and 14D) has the extremely wide, cuneiform and even flat topped ribs on the late phragmocone and early body chamber that distinguishes *P. chloroolithicus* from *P. parandieri* (Arkell 1938, p. 98). The ribbing on the mature stage of *P. parandieri* is much more pointed (Fig. 11D) and curves gently forward (Fig. 11B), without a marked wedge shape (Arkell 1939, p. 106). The rib curve of SM J3836 (Fig. 12:16) matches quite closely that of *P. chloroolithicus* as interpreted by Enay (1966).

549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617

618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686



*Remarks.* The species as interpreted here includes the variants from Upware and Normandy with extremely coarse ribbing on the body-chamber described by Arkell (1938, pp. 96, 97). Specimens from the Headington Shell Bed with less coarse ribbing on the body-chamber, described by Arkell (1938) as *P. chloroolithicus*, are included here in *P. tumulosus*.

*Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) holtonensis* Buckman  
(Figs 13A and 14A,C)

1927 *Dichotomosphinctes holtonensis* sp. nov.; Buckman  
pl. 715.

1939 *Perisphinctes (Arisphinctes) maximus* (Young &  
Bird); Arkell, p. 117.

1939 *Perisphinctes parandieri* de Loriol; Arkell,  
text-fig. 32.

1966 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) andelotensis*;  
Enay, pp. 366–371, pl. 9, figs 1a, b, 2a–c.

1966 *Perisphinctes (Perisphinctes) aff. andelotensis*;  
Enay, pp. 366–371, pl. 9, figs 3a, b.

?1995 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) dobrogensis*  
Simionescu; Gygi, pp. 34, 36, fig. 15.

*Material.* Four specimens: SM J363 (North Pit), SM J371 (?North Pit), SM J383 ('Bridge Pit'), SM X.50214 (Bridge Pit South), by matrix from Bed 9.

*Measurements.* SM X.50214 (Fig. 13A); D (phg-juv) 121 mm, D (max) 180 mm; at 160 mm, 0.23, 0.24 (0.22), 0.57; ribs 50 at 115 mm, 51 at 129 mm, c. 44 at 180 mm.

SM J363 (Fig. 14A,C); D (max) 180 mm; at 156 mm, 0.21, 0.28 (0.25), 0.61; ribs 40 at 180 mm.

*Description.* Buckman's holotype has the inner whorls poorly preserved. The present specimens show that the rib curve rises gently from 50 rpw at 60 mm to 56–60 rpw at 120 mm (Fig. 12). The stage of coarse primary ribbing separating the dense ribbing of the inner phragmocone from the coarse, wedge-shaped ribbing of the body-chamber is long, at least half a whorl, giving the rib curve a very gentle inverted U shape (Fig. 12:7 and 14). The downturn of the curve starts at between 120 and 140 mm, much earlier than in other species of *Perisphinctes s.s.* All the Upware specimens are situated on the graph very close to the line of Buckman's holotype.

*Remarks.* *P. holtonensis* is distinguished from *P. parandieri* by the earlier onset of variocostation. Arkell (1939, p. 111) could find nothing to separate *P. holtonensis* from *P. parandieri*, but unfortunately he mis-plotted the rib curve of *P. holtonensis* in his text-figure 108. The rib curve of *P. holtonensis* is much shallower than Arkell thought. Because of this, specimen SM J363, considered by Arkell to belong

to *Arisphinctes (=Kranaosphinctes)*, fits quite nicely as the inner whorls of *P. holtonensis*. The rib curve of Enay's *P. (P.) andelotensis* also matches that of the holotype of *P. holtonensis* very closely. The specimen figured as a *Dichotomosphinctes* by Gygi (1995) has modified ribs suggestive of an immature or incompletely preserved *Perisphinctes s.s.* Its rib curve matches very closely that of SM X.50214 (Fig. 13A).

Subgenus *Kranaosphinctes* Buckman 1924

Type species. *Kranaosphinctes kranaus* Buckman 1921, pl. 243.

*Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes* (Buckman)  
(figs 4A,C and 5A,E)

1924 *Arisphinctes ariprepes* sp. nov.; Buckman, p. 33, pl. 511A, B.

1939 *Perisphinctes (Arisphinctes) cotovui* Simionescu;  
Arkell, p. 126 (pars), figs 39–43; pl. 24, figs 3, 4, 5a, b;  
pl. 25, fig. 3 only, non text-figs 41, 42; pl. 25, fig. 1a–f.

2002 *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes*  
(Buckman); Główniak, pp. 344, 345, pl. 13, fig. 1,  
text-figs 17, 22 (contains full synonymy).

2006b *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes*  
(Buckman); Główniak, pp. 191–192.


*Material* Four specimens from the Dimmock's Cote Marl: a large, complete specimen 415 mm in diameter (SM X.50139.97) from Bed 1, a smaller specimen, 260 mm maximum diameter and thus a possible juvenile macroconch (SM X.50139.89) from beds 2 or 3, a nearly complete juvenile macroconch showing the inner whorls from beds 2 or 3 (SM X.50139.35), and a smaller body-chamber fragment (SM X.50139.48) from Bed 3.

*Measurements.* SM X.50139.35 (Fig. 4A): D (phg-juv) 110 mm, D (max) 167 mm; at 147 mm, 0.24, –, 0.56; ribs 26 at 28 mm, 37 at 45 mm, 43 at 80 mm, 51 at 140 mm, 53 at 167 mm.

SM X.50139.97 (Fig. 5A,E): D (max) 415 mm; at 335 mm, 0.23, 0.25 (0.21), 0.64; ribs 45 at 110 mm, 51 at 195 mm, 53 at 260 mm, 41 at 300 mm, 35 at 330 mm, 31 at 415 mm.

*Description.* SM X.50139.97 has half a whorl of mature body-chamber at 415 mm (Fig. 5A). It is septate to 315 mm, the last quarter of a whorl of body-chamber having broken away, making its maximum original diameter c. 470 mm. The specimen is a typical *Kranaosphinctes*, showing a gradual development of variocostation from a diameter of around 190 mm, some one and a half whorls before the final mature aperture. The inner whorls are regularly and sharply ribbed and variocostation develops by a gradual increase in the spacing of the primary ribs (Fig. 3). There is an associated decrease in sharpness, the ribs becoming more massive

**Fig. 7.** *P. (Otosphinctes)* and *P. (Dichotomosphinctes)* from Bed 6 of the Upware Limestone of Dimmock's Cote Quarry. All natural size. (A) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell [m]; SM X.50139.27. (B) *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) sp. A* [m]; SM X.50139.26. (C, D) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell [m]; SM X.50139.42. (E) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell [m]; SM X.50139.71; an almost complete whorl of body-chamber. (F) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld [m]; SM X.50139.44; body-chamber present but aperture broken away. (G) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell [m]; SM X.50139; fragment of body chamber.



**Fig. 8.** Plots of umbilicus/whorl height ratios for *P. (Dichotomosphinctes)* spp. from Bed 6 of the Upware Limestone of Dimmock's Cote Quarry. 1–5, *P. (D.) buckmani* Arkell. 6–9, *P. (D.) antecedens* Salfeld. 1, SM X.50139.47; 2, SM X.50139.53; 3, SM X.50139.71; 4, SM X.50139.42; 5, SM X.50139.27; 6, SM X.50139.44; 7, SM X.50139.88; 8, SM X.50139.87; 9, SM X.50139.29.

but not changing their basic shape. Towards the mature aperture, the ribs become slightly closer. Secondary ribs are absent on the final whorl, which has a distinctive flattened venter (Fig. 5E). A single specimen, 167 mm in diameter (septate to around 115 mm), appears to represent an almost complete juvenile macroconch (Fig. 4A). Ribbing is strong, and although dominantly biplicate, some triplicate ribs are present. The apparent forward curve of the ribs on the figured specimen is due to partial crushing of the body chamber. SM X.50139.89 may be a juvenile macroconch, being preserved to around 260 mm diameter, but septate to 200 mm, with wedge-shaped ribbing beginning at about 230 mm (Fig. 4C).

**Remarks.** The macroconchs are typical of a number of large *Kranaosphinctes* figured (as *Arisphinctes*) by Arkell (1939), including *P. (K.) cotovui* Simionescu (the holotype of which is now considered to be from the Upper Oxfordian Bimammatum Chronozone; J.H. Callomon, personal communication, 2005) and *P. (A.) ingens* (Young & Bird). All these forms have relatively close-ribbed body-chambers but, in the absence of good stratigraphical control on the source level of most specimens, discussions on synonymies and correlations are problematic. Głowniak (personal communication, 2007) has noted that the wedge-shaped ribs of SM X.50139.89 are more characteristic of *Perisphinctes s.s.* than *Kranaosphinctes*. This specimen thus marks a transition from the former into the latter subgenus. However, its rib curve is closer to that of *Kranaosphinctes* than *Perisphinctes s.s.* (Fig. 3:6). The rib curves of the Dimmock's Cote Marl macroconchs thus match quite well those of *P. ariprepes* as figured by Głowniak (2002, fig. 22), but the Upware specimens have bolder ribs and a steeper downturn of the rib curve at larger dimensions, more indicative of *Perisphinctes s.s.*

*Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) aff. maximus* (Young & Bird) (Figs 4D and 5C,D)

1828 *Ammonites maximus* sp. nov.; Young & Bird, 2nd edition, p. 255 (no figure).

1914 *Perisphinctes parandieri* de Loriol; Salfeld, p. 238, pl. 13, fig. 5.

1924 *Arisphinctes maximus* Young & Bird; Buckman, pl. 512 (holotype figured).

1939 *Perisphinctes (Arisphinctes) maximus* (Young & Bird); Arkell, pp. 112–118  
pl. 19, figs 1–3, pl. 20, figs 1–4, pl. 21, fig. 2, pl. 22, figs 1, 2, pl. 25, fig. 4, text figs 34, 35.

1972 *Perisphinctes (Arisphinctes) maximus* (Young & Bird); Malinowska, pp. 182–184, pl. 3.

**Material.** Two body-chamber fragments (SM X.50139.60, -.61) of a macroconch perisphinctid from the Dimmock's Cote Marl, probably from Bed 4.

**Description.** These body chamber fragments (Figs 4D and 5C,D) are close to *P. (K.) ariprepes*, but have more widely spaced primary ribs on the body-chamber than occur in *P. (D.) ariprepes*. The fauna of the highest Dimmock's Cote Marl thus includes specimens with this widely spaced rib style and a more rounded mature whorl section.

**Remarks.** The widely spaced ribbing and rounded whorl section suggest a comparison with *P. (A.) maximus* (Young & Bird), specifically specimens figured by Arkell (1939, pl. 19, figs 3 and pl. 20, figs 1, 2, 4). With more complete, accurately located material, it may be possible to define a discrete transient species at this level.


*Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) sp. aff. decurrens*  
Buckman (Fig. 10E)

1923 *Kranaosphinctes decurrens* sp. nov.; Buckman, pl. 449.

1939 *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) decurrens*  
Buckman; Arkell, pl. 38, fig. 4; pl. 39, figs 1–6;  
text-fig. 62.

1963 *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) decurrens*  
Buckman; Malinowska, p. 155, pl. 33, figs 157a, b.

1977 *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) decurrens*  
Buckman; Matyja, pl. 7, fig. 7.



**Fig. 9.** Rib curves and rib counts for *P. (Dichotomosphinctes)* spp. from Bed 6 of the Upware Limestone of Dimmock's Cote Quarry. 1–4, 8–10, *P. (D.) buckmani* Arkell. 5–7, 11–12, *P. (D.) antecedens* Salfeld. 1, SM X.50139.53; 2, SM X.50139.70; 3, SM X.50139.47; 4, SM X.50139.71; 5, SM X.50139.87; 6, SM X.50139.88; 7, SM X.50139.44; 8, SM X.50139.27; 9, SM X.50139.42; 10, SM X.50139.69; 11, SM X.50139.34; 12, SM X.50139.29.

**Material.** One largely complete juvenile specimen (SM X.50139.28) and one body-chamber fragment (SM X.50139.36) from the Upware Limestone, Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c).

**Measurements.** SM X.50139.28 (Fig. 10E): D (phg-juv.) 107, D (max) 138 mm, 0.6 wh bch; at 91 mm, 0.27, 0.32, 0.55; ribs 42 at 97 mm, *c.* 44 at 140 mm.

**Description.** The juvenile specimen (Fig. 10E) is well preserved, showing features characteristic of *Kranaosphinctes*, with bold primary ribbing, much less prominent bifurcating secondaries, a depressed whorl section and prominent, prorsiradiate constrictions with marked interruption of the ribbing. Constrictions are frequent, three or four per whorl, though not deep. Each is followed by a strong, simple rib whose trend is then followed by that of the primary ribbing. The whorl section is depressed, being 18 mm high at 70 mm diameter, with the whorl breadth 23 mm. Sutures are thin and difficult to make out, but the last septum in this specimen appears to be at 107 mm. The maximum preserved diameter of 140 mm appears to be close to the maximum diameter achieved by this juvenile; the last two ribs, visible on the reverse of the specimen, are both simple, but no aperture is preserved. Specimen SM X.50139.36 (not figured) is a body-chamber fragment from close to the aperture of an individual some 250–300 mm in diameter. It shows coarse, regularly spaced primary ribs passing strongly over the venter as simple ribs and with biplicate secondaries.

**Remarks.** SM X.50139.28 is comparable with specimens identified as *P. (K.) decurrens* by Arkell (1939), particularly the specimen illustrated in his plate 39, figure 4. This latter specimen has the markedly prorsiradiate primary ribbing that is particularly prominent at 120 mm in diameter in our specimen. However, Arkell's specimen has predominantly triplicate secondaries at this diameter, whereas almost all secondaries bifurcate in the Upware specimen. Another specimen of *P. (K.) decurrens* (Arkell 1939, pl. 39, figs 6a,b), though having much more rectiradiate ribbing than SM X.50139.28, does have predominantly biplicate secondaries at 120–130 mm.

It must be admitted that the rib curve of the Upware specimen (Fig. 6:5) does not have the falling off between 100 and 150 mm that characterizes *P. decurrens* (Arkell 1939, fig. 61) and is more like that of *P. (K.) kranaus* (Buckman). However, none of the specimens of *P. (K.) kranaus* figured by Arkell (1939, pl. 38), though prorsiradiate to some extent, have markedly prorsiradiate ribbing.

SM X.50139.36, a whorl fragment of whorl height 62 mm, has coarse secondary ribbing passing strongly over the venter close to the aperture in the manner of *P. (K.) bullingdonense* Arkell. Arkell's species came from the Antecedens Subchronozone, so a close match with the Upware specimens from the lower Parandieri Subchronozone is not to be expected. Główniak (personal communication, 2007) has noted the similarity of SM X.50139.28 to the inner whorls of some *Perisphinctes s.s.* from Poland. A further interesting new species of *Kranaosphinctes* was reported from the Antecedens Subchronozone by Callomon (1960).

#### Subgenus *Otosphinctes* Buckman, 1926

Type species. *Otosphinctes ouatius* Buckman, 1926, pl. 649, figs 1, 2.

*Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes)* cf. *ouatius* (Buckman) (Fig. 5B)

1926 *Otosphinctes ouatius* sp. nov.; Buckman, pl. 649, figs 1, 2.

1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) ouatius* (S. Buckman); Arkell, pp. 93–94, pl. 17, figs 1, 2, 5, 6, 10.

2002 *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) ouatius ouatius* (Buckman 1926); Główniak, pl. 5, fig. 3; pl. 6, figs 2–3; p. 9, figs 1–2; text-figs 5, 12, 14 (includes detailed synonymy).


**Material.** Two specimens (SM X.50139.57, -.63) from beds 2–4 of the Dimmock's Cote Marl.

**Measurements.** SM X.50139.63 (Fig. 5B): D (max) 36.5 mm; at 34 mm: 0.29, 0.37 (0.32), 0.47; 33 ribs.

**Description.** The specimens consist of internal moulds of parts of the inner whorls, well preserved in calcareous

825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893

894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962





concretions, but not showing sutures. The primary ribs are sharp, initially trifurcating, then bifurcating regularly after 25 mm diameter. The secondaries pass strongly over the venter with a slight forward sweep. A deep constriction is present in SM X.50139.63, followed by an oblique simple rib. The whorl section of this specimen is circular; that of the other specimen is slightly depressed, subquadrate.

*Remarks.* The presence of the deep constriction distinguishes the present species from *P. (O.) ouatius ouatoides* Głowniak. The circular to depressed whorl section distinguishes *P. (O.) ouatius ouatius* from *P. (O.) arkelli arkelli* Głowniak, the latter having an oval whorl section. However, there is considerable variation in the whorl section of Plicatilis Chronozone *Otosphinctes* (Głowniak 2002) and, in order to be certain of identifications, it is necessary to have adult specimens complete with aperture, something not present in any of the Upware *Otosphinctes*.

*Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) cf. arkelli* Głowniak (Fig. 4B)

1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) rotoides* Ronchadze; Arkell, pp. 90–93, pl. 16, figs 1–5, 7.

2000 *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) arkelli* sp. nov.; Głowniak, p. 153 (*pars*), text-fig. 10, pl. 5, figs 1–3.

2002 *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) arkelli arkelli* chronosubsp. nov.; Głowniak, p. 332, pl. 14, figs 2–3, text fig. 16.

*Material.* Four specimens (SM X.50139.25, -.37, -.50, -.76) from beds 2–4 of the Dimmock's Cote Marl.

*Measurements.* SM X.50139.76 (not figured): D (max) 37 mm; at 37 mm: 0.32, 0.27, 0.41; *c.* 35 ribs.

*Description.* The figured specimen (SM X.50139.50) is largely complete with body-chamber, the inner septate whorls being crushed; the specimen is thus probably immature. The ribbing is fine, moderately dense, bifurcating regularly, and passing strongly over the venter. The whorl section is oval. An oblique simple rib is present, with no noticeable constriction.

*Remarks.* This species is distinguished from *P. (O.) ouatius* by the absence of constrictions, combined with the slightly compressed, oval whorl section. It is distinguished from *P. (O.) arkelli wysoka* Głowniak by the presence of the oblique simple rib. Głowniak (2000) has pointed out that Arkell (1938) incorrectly allocated these microconchs to *P. (D.) rotoides* Ronchadze, now regarded as a Transversarium Chronozone *Dichotomoceras*. One specimen figured by Arkell (1938, pl. 16, fig. 6) as *P. rotoides* is 100 mm+ in diameter. It was allocated to *P. (O.) arkelli arkelli* by

Głowniak (2002). However, we consider that such specimens should be allocated to *P. (O.) arkelli wysoka*, and that *P. (O.) arkelli arkelli* should be used for specimens of 80–95 mm maximum diameter. Głowniak (personal communication, 2007) has noted that the presence of parabolic nodes in this specimen means that it is quite close to *P. ouatius*.

*Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) sp. A* (Fig. 7B)

?1977 *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) sp. A.* Matyja, pl. 8, fig. 7.

*Material.* Three fragmentary microconchs (SM X.50139.26, -.73, -.84) from the Upware Limestone, Sponge Bed (Bed 6a).

*Measurements.* SM X.50139.26 (Fig. 7B): D (max) 66 mm, sutures indistinct; 0.3 wh bch; at 59 mm; 0.28, 0.35, 0.50; ribs *c.* 48.

SM X.50139.73 (not figured): D (max) 42 mm, entirely septate. At 35 mm, 0.33, 0.36, 0.46; ribs 18 per half whorl at 42 mm.

*Description.* This is an evolute form, moderately densely ribbed in the early whorls, but becoming quite densely ribbed later. The primary ribs are strong, noticeably prorsiradiate, and bifurcate regularly into secondaries. The secondaries do not carry on the prorsiradiate trend, but cross the venter in a much more rectiradiate manner. The figured specimen, SM X.50139.26 (Fig. 7B), shows a marked, strongly prorsiradiate constriction.

*Remarks.* These very distinctive specimens, with their markedly depressed whorl section and prorsiradiate ribbing, appear to match very few species figured by previous workers. The best match is with *P. (O.) sp. A* of Matyja (1977), which has similar bold, prorsiradiate primary ribbing, but is slightly more evolute.

Subgenus ***Dichotomosphinctes*** Buckman 1926

Type species. *Perisphinctes antecedens* Salfeld 1914, designated by Buckman 1926, pl. 650.

*Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld (Figs 7F and 10A,B)

1914 *Perisphinctes cf. Wartae* Bukowski, *mutatio antecedens* Salfeld, p. 239, pl. 12, fig. 3.


*non* 1926 *Dichotomosphinctes antecedens* Buckman, pl. 650 (*P. buckmani* Arkell).


1936a *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld; Arkell, p. xlv, Pl. D.

1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld; Arkell, pp. 83–90, pl. 14, fig. 6; pl. 15, figs 1–6; pl. 16, fig. 8.

**Fig. 10.** *Otosphinctes*, *Dichotomosphinctes* and *Perisphinctes s.s.* from Bed 6 of the Upware Limestone of Dimmock's Cote Quarry. (A) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld, SM X.50139.87, x0.68. (B) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens* Salfeld [m]; SM X.50139.29; body-chamber including modified ribbing close to the aperture, natural size. (C, D) *Perisphinctes (P.) tumulosus* Buckman [M]; SM X.50139.96; poorly preserved adult specimen with modified ribbing close to the aperture; D shows the reduction in whorl thickness in the last quarter of a whorl up to the aperture; x0.17. (E) *Perisphinctes (Kraoaosphinctes) aff. decurrens* (Buckman) [juv. M]; SM X.50139.28; largely complete specimen with fragments of body chamber preserved; natural size. (F) *Perisphinctes (P.) tumulosus* Buckman, SM X.50139.94; x0.34.

1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062  
1063  
1064  
1065  
1066  
1067  
1068  
1069  
1070  
1071  
1072  
1073  
1074  
1075  
1076  
1077  
1078  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1099  
1100





**Fig. 12.** Rib curves of perisphinctids from the Upware Limestone, Bed 9. 1–6, 9, 11, 12–13, *Perisphinctes* (*Dichotomosphinctes*) aff. *dobrogensis* Simionescu; 7–8, 14–15, *P. (P.) chloroolithicus* Gumbel. 1, SM J382 ('New Pit'); 2, SM J53029 (Padney); 3, SM J349 (South Pit); 4, SM J18240 ('Bridge Pit'); 5, SM X.50139.40 (Dimmock's Cote Quarry); 6, SM J20256 (Bridge Pit North); 7, SM J371 (?North Pit); 8, SM J383 ('Bridge Pit'); 9, SM J48645 (Bridge Pit North); 10, SM J359 (North Pit); 11, SM J380 ('Bridge Pit'); 12, SM X.50139.39 (Dimmock's Cote Quarry); 13, BMNH C89588 (Bridge Pit North); 14, SM X.50214 (Bridge Pit South); 15, SM J363 (North Pit); 16, SM J3836 ('New Pit').

2006b *Perisphinctes* (*Dichotomosphinctes*) *antecedens* Salfeld; Głowniak, pp. 186–189, fig. 12.

2007 *Perisphinctes* (*Dichotomosphinctes*) cf. *antecedens* Salfeld; Głowniak & Wierzbowski, pp. 77–79, figs 40/1–2.

**Material.** Six specimens from the Upware Limestone, Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c): SM X.50139.29, -.34, -.43, -.44, -.87, -.88.

**Measurements.** SM X.50139.29 (Fig. 10B): D (max-ad) 123 mm; at 100 mm, 0.27, 0.26, 0.57; ribs *c.* 55 at 125 mm.

SM X.50139.44 (Fig. 7F): D (phg-?juv) 60 mm, D (max) 95 mm; 0.75 wh bch; at 91 mm, 0.28, 0.25, 0.47; ribs *c.* 45 at 79 mm; 47 at 93 mm.

SM X.50139.88 (not figured): D (max) 122 mm. D (phg-ad) 105 mm; 0.25 wh bch; at 122 mm, 0.26, 0.23, 0.53; at 92 mm, 0.29, 0.26, 0.53; ribs 42 at 79 mm, 45–46 at 92 mm, 54 at 122 mm.





**Description.** The specimens are sharply ribbed to the end, with predominantly bifurcate ribs with some intercalatories. The furcation point is at 75% to 80% of the whorl height. Some specimens show secondaries with a slight forward curve. Constrictions are occasionally present. The mature size is around 125–140 mm with the rib count increasing from 43 rpw at 65 mm to 55 at 125 mm (Fig. 6:6–7 and 9). Although no lappet is preserved, one specimen (SM X.50139.29, Fig. 10B) shows some simplification of

ribbing close to the mature aperture. The whorl section is typically rectangular with flattened sides, and the venter is broadly rounded. All specimens are characteristically evolute (Fig. 8:1–5), and are less densely ribbed than *P. buckmani* (Fig. 9).

**Discussion.** The holotype, refigured by Arkell (1936a, pl. D, fig. 3), is more evolute, with slightly higher bifurcation points and more markedly rectiradial ribbing than is present in most specimens of *P. (D.) antecedens* as usually interpreted (Arkell 1938, pl. 15, figs 1–6). The Upware specimens match the range of Arkell's specimens almost exactly. Specimen SM X.50139.29 (Fig. 10B) also resembles *P. (D.) auriculatus* Arkell, although the type of that species is slightly larger (150 mm). *P. auriculatus* is from Bed 6 at the Lamb and Flag Quarry, Kingston Bagpuize, near Oxford (Callomon 1960; Arkell 1938, 1948). Bed 6 at the Lamb and Flag Quarry is also the type horizon of the macroconch *P. (K.) kingstonensis* Arkell which, although having comparable inner whorls to those of the fauna of Bed 6 at Dimmock's Cote Quarry (e.g. Arkell 1939, pl. 32, fig. 8, pl. 33, fig. 3), includes quite a different mature morphology, being relatively closely ribbed to the end (Arkell 1939, pl. 32, fig. 7). This morphology may therefore suggest a lower stratigraphic level for *P. (D.) auriculatus* s.s. The specimen of *P. antecedens* figured by Głowniak & Wierzbowski (2007, fig. 40/1) is very close to one of those figured here (Fig. 10A).

**Fig. 11.** *Perisphinctes* s.s. and *Dichotomosphinctes* from the Upware Limestone. (A, B) *Perisphinctes* (*P.*) *parandieri* de Loriol [M]; SM X.50139.92; top of Bed 6b, Dimmock's Cote Quarry; inner whorls preserved as a mould, end of phragmocone and first quarter of body-chamber well preserved, ventral view of this part of the whorl in B;  $\times 0.5$ . (C, D) *Perisphinctes* (*P.*) *parandieri* de Loriol; Bed 6, Dimmock's Cote Quarry; SM X.50139.95;  $\times 0.39$ . (E) *Perisphinctes* (*Dichotomosphinctes*) aff. *dobrogensis* Simionescu [m]; SM J48645; matrix of Bed 9, Bridge Pit North; specimen includes at least a third of a whorl of body-chamber and modified ribs close to the aperture;  $\times 0.5$ . (F) *Perisphinctes* (*D.*) aff. *dobrogensis* Simionescu [?m]; SM J53029; matrix of Bed 9, 'The Dolveys, Padney'; no suture visible; natural size. (G) *Perisphinctes* (*P.*) *chloroolithicus* (Gumbel) [M]; SM J359; matrix of Bed 9, North Pit; completely septate inner whorls;  $\times 0.45$ ; partially figured (as *P. (D.) buckmani*) by Arkell (1938, pl. 14, fig. 2).


1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238



- Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell (Fig. 7A, C–E, G) 1239
- ?1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* sp. nov. Arkell, p. 79, pl. 14, figs 1a, b, 3a, b; pl. 17, figs 13a–c. 1240
- 1963 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) dybowski* Siemiradzki; Malinowska, pp. 66, 153, pl. 32, fig. 154, pl. 33, fig. 160. 1241
- 1966 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell; Enay, p. 478, pl. 28, fig. 5, pl. 29, figs 1, 2. 1242
- 1972 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell; Malinowska, pp. 186, 187, pl. 5. 1243
- ?1977 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) dybowski* Siemiradzki; Matyja, pl. 8, fig. 8. 1244
- 1990 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) antecessens* Salfeld; Gygi, p. 183, pl. 5, fig. 4. 1245
- 2006b *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell; Główniak, pp. 189–190, fig. 13. 1246
- 2007 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) cf. buckmani* Arkell; Główniak & Wierzbowski, pp. 79–82, figs 42/1–3. 1247
- Material.* Eight specimens (SM X.50139.27, -.41, -.42, -.47, -.53, -.69, -.70, -.71) from the Upware Limestone, Crinoid Bed, (beds 6b–c), Dimmock’s Cote Quarry. 1248
- Measurements.* SM X.50139.27 (Fig. 7A): D (phg-?ad) 57 mm, D (max) 84 mm; 0.66 wh bch; at 82 mm, 0.29, 0.26, 0.46; ribs *c.* 55 at 85 mm. 1249
- SM X.50139.42 (Fig. 7C,D): D (phg-?ad) 66 mm, D (max) 95 mm; 0.66 wh bch; at 89 mm, 0.28, 0.30, 0.49, ribs *c.* 59 at 90 mm. 1250
- Description.* The primary ribbing is fine, almost always bifurcating on the phragmocone, but with occasional simple ribs. The rib density increases from 40–50 at 50 mm to 60 at 100 mm (Fig. 6). Numerous simple ribs are present on the later body chamber. Constrictions are well seen in Figure 7C (SM X.50139.42) and faint in Figure 7E (SM X.50139.71), one per whorl. Coiling is quite involute for a *Dichotomosphinctes*, the ratio of umbilicus to whorl height varying from 1 to 1.4 at 50 mm, increasing to 1.8 at 80 mm (Fig. 8). The whorl section is sub-quadrate, slightly convergent. 1251
- Remarks.* The holotype of *P. buckmani* (Arkell, 1938, pl. 14, figs 1a, b) is a specimen of great size for a microconch (215 mm) with a nearly complete whorl of body-chamber and with little uncoiling or approximation of the ribbing close to the aperture. This suggests that it is more likely to be a juvenile macroconch rather than a microconch. The rib curve rises only gently (Fig. 9), much more typical of the inner whorls of a macroconch. However, additional specimens figured by Arkell (1938, pl. 14, fig. 3, pl. 17, figs 13a–c) are probable medium-sized microconchs and the species has been interpreted by continental authors as such; this approach is followed here. Specimens of *P. (D.) cf. buckmani* figured by Główniak & Wierzbowski (2007) are septate to a maximum of 90 mm, with maximum sizes of 120–130 mm, though probably still immature. Specimens figured by Główniak (2006b) and Malinowska (1972) both have maximum diameters just over 160 mm, and this seems to be a preferred maximum diameter for the species. The specimen figured by Gygi (1990) is over 200 mm in diameter, and again probably an immature macroconch. 1252
- Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) aff. dobrogensis* Simionescu (Figs 11E,F and 13D) 1253
- 1907 *Perisphinctes dobrogensis* sp. nov.; Simionescu, p. 157, pl. 3, fig. 2, (lectotype), pl. 5, fig. 4. 1254
- 1938 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) buckmani* Arkell, p. 80, fig. 19. 1255
- 1966 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) dobrogensis* Simionescu; Enay, p. 481, pl. 29, figs 3–5, text figs 140, 145. 1256
- 1995 *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) dobrogensis* Simionescu; Gygi, pp. 34, 36, fig. 14 only. 1257
- In the Crinoid Bed fauna, *P. (D.) buckmani* appears to be distinguished from *P. (D.) antecessens* by its distinctly smaller size (D-phg averaging 61 mm compared with D-phg 106 mm for *D. antecessens* from the same bed). However, this is clearly a preservational factor (see discussion on preservation in Section 1), one specimen of *P. (D.) buckmani* being 100 mm + in diameter (Fig. 7G). *P. (D.) buckmani* is more flat-sided and involute than *P. (D.) antecessens*, as shown in the plot of umbilicus/height ratio (Fig. 8). However, the specimen of *P. (D.) antecessens* shown in Figure 7F (SM X.50139.44), as plotted in Figure 8 (6), is intermediate in this respect, being involute in the inner whorls, but becoming round-whorled and coarsely ribbed on the outer body chamber. It clearly plots in the *antecessens* field in Figure 9 (7). The main distinguishing features are thus that, firstly, *P. (D.) antecessens* is round-whorled and does not have the flat, convergent sides of *P. (D.) buckmani*. Secondly, *P. (D.) antecessens* is less densely ribbed than *P. (D.) buckmani*; at 80 mm, the former has about 45 rpw, whereas most specimens of the latter have 55–60 rpw. This feature is quite clear in the rib density plot (Fig. 9). *P. (O.) arkelli wysokae* is similarly less densely ribbed, and more evolute, with less convergent sides. *P. (D.) buckmani* (figured as *P. (D.) dybowski* Siemiradzki) occurs in Poland along with *P. (O.)* sp. A in the ‘Grey Limestone’, close to the Plicatilis–Transversarium chronozonal boundary (Matyja 1977). Three specimens, regarded as immature microconchs, were figured by Główniak & Wierzbowski (2007). These specimens match those figured here very closely, particularly in density of ribbing and degree of involution. 1258

**Fig. 13.** Ammonites from the Upware Limestone, all in the matrix of Bed 9. (A) *Perisphinctes (P.) holtonensis* Buckman. [?M]; SM X.50214; Bridge Pit South; body-chamber nearly complete but specimen?immature macroconch;  $\times 0.46$ . (B) *Neocampylites* sp. aff. *henrici* (d’Orbigny) [M]; SM 18021; Bridge Pit North; completely septate inner whorls; natural size. (C) *Aspidoceras (Euaspidoceras) aff. paucituberculatum* Arkell [M]; SM J4732; North Pit; completely septate inner whorls,  $\times 0.5$ . (D) *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) aff. dobrogensis* Simionescu [?m]; SM X.50139.40; Dimmock’s Cote Quarry; septate inner whorls with last septum at 110 mm, natural size. (E) *Perisphinctes (P.) cf. chlorolithicus* Gümbel [M]; SM J374; ‘South Pit’; whorl fragment showing the end of the phragmocone and the initial part of the body-chamber;  $\times 0.51$ .

1308  
1309  
1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334  
1335  
1336  
1337  
1338  
1339  
1340  
1341  
1342  
1343  
1344  
1345  
1346  
1347  
1348  
1349  
1350  
1351  
1352  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357  
1358  
1359  
1360  
1361  
1362  
1363  
1364  
1365  
1366  
1367  
1368  
1369  
1370  
1371  
1372  
1373  
1374  
1375  
1376



1995 *Dichotomosphinctes* cf. *dobrogensis* (Simionescu);  
Beznosov & Mitta, pp. 46, 47, pl. 9, fig. 2.  
2007 *Perisphinctes* (*Dichotomosphinctes*) cf. *dobrogensis*  
Simionescu; Głowniak & Wierzbowski, p. 82,  
figs 43/1, 43/2

*Material.* Seven specimens (SM J349, 'South Pit'; SM J382, 'New Pit'; SM J18240, 'Bridge Pit'; SM J20256 and SM J48645, Bridge Pit North; SM J53029, Padney; SM X.50139.40, Dimmock's Cote Quarry), by matrix from Upware Limestone Bed 9.

*Measurements.* SM J382 (not figured): ribs *c.* 23 at 21 mm, *c.* 42 at 29 mm, *c.* 50 at 45 mm (septate inner whorls).

SM X.50139.40 (Fig. 13D): D (max) 115 mm, D (phg-?ad) 110 mm; at 70 mm, 0.29, 0.23, 0.50; ribs 42 at 45 mm, 53 at 67 mm, *c.* 58 at 75 mm, *c.* 75 at 105 mm.

SM J48645 (Fig. 11E): D (max) 155 mm; 0.3 wh bch; ribs *c.* 48 at 105 mm, *c.* 64 at 155 mm.

*Description.* These microconchs appear to mature at around 165 mm with between 65 and 80 ribs on the last whorl of phragmocone (Fig. 12). The ribs modify near the aperture, passing strongly over the venter, but no lappet is preserved (Fig. 11F). Constrictions are only occasionally present. The specimens are very evolute, with bifurcation points visible beneath the umbilical seam of the overlapping whorl (Fig. 13D).

*Remarks.* The microconchs from Bed 9 closely resemble *P. (P.) dobrogensis* Simionescu *sensu* Enay (1966, e.g. pl. 29, figs 3–5). Similar forms have been frequently referred to *P. (D.) buckmani* Arkell (e.g. Arkell 1938, pl. 14, fig. 2, pl. 17, fig. 3; Enay 1966, pl. 29, fig. 1), but *P. buckmani* is interpreted here as an earlier, more involute microconch. Some doubt exists as to the correct horizon of Simionescu's (1907, p. 157, pl. iii, fig. 2) original Romanian type which could indeed be a homeomorphic Upper Oxfordian form (G. Meléndez, personal communication, 1997). The interpretation of *P. (D.) dobrogensis* here follows Enay (1966) and is consistent with Polish interpretations of the same species (e.g. Matyja & Głowniak 1994; and E. Głowniak personal communication, 1997). The dense ribbing of the outer whorl of specimen SM X.50139.40 (Fig. 13D) matches *P. (D.) elizabethae* de Riaz (Enay 1966), but *P. (D.) aff. dobrogensis* is more evolute and less densely ribbed on the inner whorls. In this respect, the Upware specimens are slightly less densely ribbed in the inner whorls than those of *P. (D.) dobrogensis* figured by Głowniak & Wierzbowski (2007); these latter specimens are slightly more involute, not showing bifurcation points in the umbilicus.

*P. aff. dobrogensis* is readily distinguishable from *P. antecedens* by its typically finer ribbing with greater forward sweep of the secondary ribs over the venter and generally

more compressed whorl section. More evolute coiling, with bifurcation points visible beneath the umbilical seam, seems to be a variant present in both *P. antecedens* (Głowniak & Wierzbowski 2007, fig. 40/1), and in *P. aff. dobrogensis* (SM X.50139.40, Fig. 13D).

Family **Aspidoceratidae** Zittel 1895  
Subfamily **Aspidoceratinae** Zittel 1895  
Genus **Aspidoceras** Zittel 1868  
Subgenus **Euaspidoceras** Spath 1931

*Ammonites perarmatus* J. Sowerby, 1822; designated by Spath (1931, p. 326, footnote and pp. 588ff.).

*Aspidoceras (Euaspidoceras) sp. aff. paucituberculatum*  
Arkell (Fig. 13C)

1892 *Ammonites perarmatus* J. Sowerby; Roberts, p. 56.  
1937b *Aspidoceras (Euaspidoceras) paucituberculatum*  
Arkell; Arkell, p. 454.

1940 *Aspidoceras (Euaspidoceras) paucituberculatum*  
Arkell; Arkell, pp. 210–215, pl. 45, figs 2–5, pls 46, 47,  
fig. 74.

*Material.* Two specimens, comprising wholly septate inner whorls (SM J4732) from 'North Pit' and a giant and incomplete outer whorl (not collected) from Dimmock's Cote Quarry. Matrix indicates Bed 9 in both cases (Upware Limestone).

*Description.* Giant macroconch forms around 450 mm diameter when complete and septate to around 316 mm. Nucleii are relatively closely ribbed to around 60 mm diameter with paired umbilical and ventrolateral tubercles. Subsequent ornament develops as widely spaced round tubercles, which persist to the end of septation. Ornament on the body-chamber is much reduced and nodes not developed. Microconch not known.

*Discussion.* This is the first record of *Aspidoceras* from the Parandieri Subzone. The specimens from Upware most closely resemble *A. (E.) paucituberculatum* Arkell, the holotype of which (Arkell 1940, pl. 45, fig. 2) is from Bed 6 of the Highworth Limestone at Hangman's Elm Quarry, Highworth, Wiltshire. The fauna of that level predates *P. (Dichotomosphinctes) antecedens*, *P. (P.) maximus*, *Cardioceras (Maltoniceras) maltonense* and *C. (M.) highworthense* in Bed 8 at Highworth, the equivalent of the Crinoid Bed fauna, and therefore suggests that the type of *A. (E.) paucituberculatum* is older than the new specimens from Upware. The ornament of *A. (E.) perarmatum* differs from that of the Upware specimens in that its paired nodes are linked by blunt ribs on the middle whorls.

**Fig. 14.** *Perisphinctes s.s.* from the Upware Limestone, all in the matrix of Bed 9. (A, C) *Perisphinctes (P.) holtonensis* Buckman [M]; SM J363; 'South Pit'; suture indistinct, but shows end of the phragmocone and the initial part of the body-chamber;  $\times 0.72$ . (B) *Perisphinctes (P.) chloroolithicus* Gumbel [M]; SM J3836; 'New Pit'; no sutures visible;  $\times 0.44$ . Ventral view figured by Arkell (1938, fig. 27). (D) *Perisphinctes (P.) cf. chloroolithicus* Gumbel [M]; SM J374, matrix of Bed 9; Upware South Pit; ventral view of Figure 13E,  $\times 0.51$ .

1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475  
1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514

Boreal		Sub-Boreal		Sub-Mediterranean (Poland)		Sub-Mediterranean (France)	
Zone	Subzone	Zone	Subzone	Zone	Subzone	Zone	Subzone
Glosense (pars)	Ilovaiskii	Pumilus	Nunningtonense	Transversarium	Elizabethae	Transversarium (pars)	Luciaeformis
Tenuiserratum	Blakei		Parandieri		Buckmani		Parandieri
	Densiplicatum	Maltonense	Antecedens	Plicatilis	Arkelli	Plicatilis	Antecedens
Vertebrale		Vertebrale	Ouatius		Vertebrale		
			Paturattensis				

**Fig. 15.** Correlation of zones and subzones for the Middle Oxfordian of Britain (Boreal and Sub-Boreal), Poland (Sub-Mediterranean) and France (Sub-Mediterranean), after Sykes & Callomon (1979), Głowniak (2006a) and Cariou *et al.* (1997). Zones and subzones are used in the sense of Chronozones and Subchronozones, after Callomon (2003). The Middle–Upper Oxfordian boundary is taken higher on the continent than in the UK; above the Transversarium Zone in Poland and higher, above the overlying Bifurcatus Zone, in France.

### The correlation of the ammonite faunas of the Upware district

#### The perisphinctid faunas of the Upware ‘Corallian’

##### Three

distinct *Perisphinctes* populations can be recognized within the Upware ‘Corallian’ sequence:

**P1.** The fauna of the Dimmock’s Cote Marl Member consists of microconch species of the subgenus *Otosphinctes* (*P. (O.) ouatius*, *P. (O.) arkelli*) and large *Kranaosphinctes*-style macroconchs (*P. (K.) ariprepes*) showing a gradual development of variocostation. The presence also in the higher beds of forms comparable to *P. (K.) maximus* and *P. (P.) tumulosus* suggests that this may represent a separate fauna, but insufficient material is presently available to be certain of any stratigraphical distinction, and the recognition of separate faunas (P1a and P1b) must be regarded as provisional.

**P2.** Bed 6, the basal unit of the Upware Limestone Member, contains species of the microconch subgenus *Dichotomosphinctes* (*P. (D.) antecedens*, *P. (D.) buckmani*) plus species of the macroconch subgenus *Perisphinctes s.s.* (*P. (P.) parandieri*, *P. (P.) tumulosus*), which form a second very distinct fauna. The large size of the microconchs, with their rectangular whorl section, is very characteristic of *Dichotomosphinctes*, whereas the rapid development of variocostation and extremely depressed venter around the beginning of the body-chamber in the macroconchs indicates fully developed *Perisphinctes s.s.* Occasional specimens, however, still show the rounded whorl section of the inner whorls more distinctive of *Otosphinctes/Kranaosphinctes*.

**P3.** In Bed 9 of the Upware Limestone, forms comparable with *P. (D.) dobrogensis* are present, distinguished from *P. (D.) antecedens* by the finer ribbing on the body chamber and the gentle forward swing of the secondaries over the venter. Macroconchs are represented by *P. (P.) chloroolithicus* and *P. (P.) holtonensis*, with onset of variocostation much earlier than in Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c) specimens.

#### Position of the Upware faunas within the zonal and subzonal schemes for the Sub-Boreal and Sub-Mediterranean Middle Oxfordian

The subdivision of the English Middle Oxfordian into chronozones and subchronozones, as defined in this Sub-Boreal area, follows Callomon (1960), as modified by Sykes & Callomon (1979). The use of chronozones rather than biozones follows Callomon (2003). Two zones, the Plicatilis and Pumilus zones, are subdivided into the Vertebrale and Antecedens subchronozones, and the Parandieri and Nunningtonense subchronozones, respectively (Fig. 15).

The Vertebrale Subzone is well known. Two distinct faunas are present. In the lower Vertebrale Subchronozone, characterized by the Dorset Preston Grit and the Yorkshire Hambleton Oolite, Upper Leaf (Wright 1997), cardioceratids markedly outnumber perisphinctids. Generally, *Vertebriceras* is rare, *Subvertebriceras*, *Cardioceras s.s.* and *Scoticardioceras* making up much of the fauna, with perisphinctids represented by *P. (Liosphinctes) plicatilis* (J. Sowerby), *P. (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes* and *P. (Otosphinctes) ouatius*. In the upper Vertebrale Subzone, characterized by Bed 6 of the Highworth Limestone of Highworth (Arkell 1927) and the Seamer Snake Bed of Yorkshire (Wright 1972; Wright & Rawson 2014), *Kranaosphinctes*, *Otosphinctes* and *Liosphinctes* occur in abundance, with occasional *P. (Kranaosphinctes) maximus* and *P. (O.) arkelli*. *Scoticardioceras* and *Subvertebriceras* continue. *Vertebriceras* is common around Oxford, but rare elsewhere. Callomon (1960) suggested that *Goliathiceras* and *Aspidoceras* were confined to the subzone, but records from Upware and Yorkshire show that both continue into the Antecedens Subzone, and *Aspidoceras* into the Parandieri Subzone.

Following its introduction by Callomon (1960), the Antecedens Subchronozone has caused problems for subsequent workers (Głowniak 2002). The problem is that the time period represented by Callomon’s Antecedens Subchronozone represents the acme of the subgenera *Kranaosphinctes* and its microconch counterpart *Otosphinctes*, and also of *Liosphinctes* spp., which are all very distinct from the subzonal index *P. (D.) antecedens*. *P. antecedens* is grouped in *Dichotomosphinctes*, and this, with its macroconch counterpart *Perisphinctes s.s.*, represents



only a part of the *Antecedens* Subchronozone fauna; they only become a dominant element of the fauna in the overlying *Parandieri* Subchronozone. Thus, beds that have yielded only a few *P. antecedens* must be allocated to the *Antecedens* Subchronozone, and beds such as the Crinoid Bed, which yield numerous *P. antecedens*, must be allocated to the *Parandieri* Subchronozone. However, this was a problem inherent in the scheme when it was set up and Callomon (personal communication, 1997) has said that he could see no reason to change the current scheme.

Though Callomon (1960) did not designate a type section for the *Antecedens* Subchronozone, the Headington Shell Bed in the Beckley Sand Member of Headington or Magdalen Quarry, Oxford (Wright & Cox 2001), may be taken as typical. About equal proportions of *Otosphinctes* [m]/*Kranaosphinctes* [M] and *Dichotomosphinctes* [m]/*Perisphinctes* s.s. [M] are present, *P. (O.) arkelli* and *P. (K.) pickeringius* plus *P. (D.) buckmani* and *P. (P.) tumulosus* being prominent (Arkell 1935–1948). Though Callomon (1960) suggested that the Headington Shell Bed might be condensed, with a number of *Vertebrale* Subchronozone cardioceratids being present, the bulk of the Headington perisphinctids seem undoubtedly to give a proper representation of an *Antecedens* Subchronozone fauna. Even if the Headington Shell Bed is discounted, the fauna of the Highworth Limestone, Bed 8, of Highworth Quarries is very similar (Callomon 1960), with *P. (O.)* spp. and *P. (K.)* spp. plus *P. (D.)* spp. and *P. (P.)* spp. all recorded (Arkell 1935–1948).

The situation in the Jura is very similar (Enay 1966). Enay was able to recognize the *Antecedens* Subchronozone of the *Plicatilis* Chronozone with abundant *Kranaosphinctes* and *Otosphinctes* in addition to *P. (D.) antecedens*, overlain by the *Parandieri* Subchronozone with a fauna containing numerous *P. s.s.* and *Dichotomosphinctes*.

Similarly, a mixed fauna is present in the Upper Heersum Beds and Lower Korallenoolith in Germany. From the former, Arkell (1935–1948) recorded numerous species of *Kranaosphinctes*, plus *P. (O.) arkelli*, *P. (D.) antecedens*, *P. (D.) buckmani*, and *P. (P.) tumulosus* and *P. (P.) parandieri*, a mixture of forms which is so typical of the *Antecedens* Subchronozone shell beds around Oxford for instance (Callomon 1960). Główniak (2006b) attempted to confine all records of *Kranaosphinctes* and *Otosphinctes* to only a part of the Upper Heersum Beds, with the replacement of these subgenera by *P. (D.) antecedens*, and presumably also by *Perisphinctes* s.s., in the very highest Heersum Beds. However, even in the overlying Lower Korallenoolith, Arkell recorded *Kranaosphinctes* spp. in addition to *P. (D.) antecedens*. Both the Upper Heersum Beds and the Lower Korallenoolith clearly contain typical mixed *Antecedens* Subchronozone faunas. Główniak (2006a,b) proposed that the disappearance of *Kranaosphinctes/Otosphinctes*, and its replacement by *Dichotomosphinctes/Perisphinctes* s.s., marked the *Plicatilis*–*Transversarium* chronozonal boundary in Europe. This position is clearly untenable, as *Otosphinctes/Kranaosphinctes* occur together with *Dichotomosphinctes/Perisphinctes* s.s. at so many localities in Britain, France and Germany.

In Poland, therefore, Główniak (2002) was unable to use Callomon's (1960) scheme, as *P. antecedens* and *P. s.s.* are

unknown in beds that are apparently equivalent in age to Callomon's *Antecedens* Subzone. Glowniak (2002) subdivided the *Plicatilis* Chronozone by means of species of *Otosphinctes* (*P. (O.) patturattensis*, *P. (O.) ouatius* and *P. (O.) arkelli*). The Polish *Arkelli* Subzone is almost the direct equivalent of Callomon's *Antecedens* Subchronozone (Fig. 15), and *P. arkelli* is commonly found in these beds in England. The base of the Polish *Transversarium* Zone correlates with the lower part of the *Pumilus* Zone (Fig. 15). It is marked by the incoming in Poland of *P. s.s.* and *Dichotomosphinctes* (Glowniak's *Buckmani* Subzone).

Concerning the Upware succession, fauna P1 of the Dimmock's Cote Marl may belong to the *Antecedens* Subchronozone, *Perisphinctes* s.s. apparently making an early appearance alongside *Kranaosphinctes* and *Otosphinctes*. Fauna P2, the Crinoid Bed fauna, with its abundance of *P. s.s.* and *Dichotomosphinctes*, clearly belongs to the *Parandieri* Subchronozone. There is some indication of a change between Bed 6 and Bed 9, the Crinoid Bed (beds 6b–c) containing the moderately finely ribbed *P. (D.) buckmani* and *P. (D.) antecedens*, with the square-whorled *P. (P.) tumulosus* and *P. (P.) parandieri* as macroconchs, and Fauna P3 from Bed 9 containing the finely ribbed *P. (D.)* aff. *dobrogensis*, with the extremely depressed-whorled *P. chloroolithicus* and *P. holttonensis* as macroconchs. However, such a distinction is not seen in the Jura (Enay 1966), where densely ribbed *Dichotomosphinctes* spp. occur already in the *Antecedens* Subchronozone.

The *Parandieri* Subchronozone is thus marked by a reduction in importance of *Kranaosphinctes* morphs (very few are known), though *Kranaosphinctes*-like forms come into prominence in the later *Nunningtonense* Subchronozone of the Upper Calcareous Grit of Laysthorpe Quarry, Yorkshire (Wright 1996), as well as in the Upper Oxfordian *Cautisnigrae* Subchronozone of the *Clavellata* Formation of Dorset (Arkell 1935, 1937a), and in the *Pseudocordata* Chronozone of the Westbury and Marston Ironstones of Wiltshire (Arkell 1948; Wright 2003). These specimens are now regarded as forming a distinct group within *Perisphinctes* s.s. (Wright & Główniak, in prep. 2015).

The higher part of the Polish *Transversarium* Chronozone comprises an *Elizabethae* Subchronozone (index: *P. (D.) elizabethae* de Riaz); although clearly postdating Bed 9 at Upware, there are suggestions that elements of this fauna may be recognizable in the Upper Calcareous Grit of North Yorkshire (Wright 1996, p. 444, pl. 2, figs 2–3, pl. 4, fig. 3) and a tentative correlation of at least the higher part of the *Elizabethae* Subchronozone with the *Boreal* Upper Oxfordian *Ilovaiski* Subchronozone can be proposed (Główniak 2006a).

### Biohorizons

The sequence of early Middle Oxfordian ammonite faunas revealed by the new excavations at Upware provides valuable new detailed stratigraphic and taxonomic information. For the first time in Britain, a clear sequence of three or possibly four biohorizons (*sensu* Page 1995) can be recognized at this level (cardioceratid data from Wright & Page 2006).

1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1540  
1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577  
1578  
1579  
1580  
1581  
1582  
1583

1584 *Plicatilis Chronozone, Arkelli Subchronozone (Central*  
 1585 *Sub-Mediterranean); Plicatilis Chronozone, Antecedens*  
 1586 *Subchronozone (Sub-Boreal); Densiplicatum Chronozone,*  
 1587 **(A0: 5)** *Maltonense Subchronozone (Boreal)*

1588 Ia. *P. ariprepes* Biohorizon.

1589 Index: *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) ariprepes*  
 1590 Buckman.

1592 Reference: Bed 1, Dimmock's Cote Marl Member, West  
 1593 Walton Formation, Dimmock's Cote Quarry, Upware.

1594 Fauna: poorly characterized but includes giant typical  
 1595 *Kranaosphinctes* comparable to the type of *K. ariprepes*  
 1596 (Arkell 1939, pl. 24, figs 5a, b).

1598 Ib. *C. sopotense* – *P. aff. maximus* Biohorizon.

1599 Indices: *Cardioceras (Miticardioceras) sopotense*  
 1600 (Malinowska) and *Perisphinctes (Kranaosphinctes) sp. aff.*  
 1601 *maximus* (Young & Bird).

1602 Reference: beds 2–4, Dimmock's Cote Marl Member,  
 1603 West Walton Formation, Dimmock's Cote Quarry, Upware.

1604 Fauna: *Perisphinctes (Otosphinctes) aff. arkelli* and  
 1605 *P.(O.) ouatius* are common; *P. (Kranaosphinctes)* is fre-  
 1606 quent, including forms resembling both *P. (K.) ariprepes*  
 1607 Buckman and *P. (K.) maximus* (Young & Bird), and forms  
 1608 close to *P. (P.) tumulosus*. *C. (M.) sopotense* is abundant  
 1609 with rare *C. (Miticardioceras) tenuiserratum* (Oppel); other  
 1610 cardioceratids include *C. (Cawtoniceras) cawtonense*  
 1611 (Blake & Hudleston) and *C. (Subvertebriceras) zenaidae*  
 1612 (Ilovaisky). Rare *Neocampylites sp. aff. henrici* (d'Orbigny).

1615 *Transversarium Chronozone, 'Buckmani Subchronozone'*  
 1616 *(sensu Główniak 2002) (Sub-Mediterranean); Pumilus Chronozone,*  
 1617 *Parandieri Subchronozone (Sub-Boreal); Tenuiserratum Chronozone,*  
 1618 *Tenuiserratum Subchronozone (Boreal).*

1620 II. *P. tumulosus* Biohorizon.

1621 Index: *Perisphinctes (P.) tumulosus* Buckman.

1622 Reference: beds 6a–c, Upware Limestone Member, West  
 1623 Walton Formation, Dimmock's Cote Quarry, Upware.

1625 Fauna: frequent *P. (P.) tumulosus* and *P. (P.) parandieri*  
 1626 [M], with numerous *P. (D.) antecedens* and *P. (D.) buckmani*  
 1627 [m], rare *P. (Kranaosphinctes)* [M] and *P. (Otosphinctes)*  
 1628 [m], *Cardioceras* spp. including *C. (Scoticardioceras)*  
 1629 *excavatum* (J. Sowerby), *C. (Maltoniceras) maltonense*  
 1630 (Young & Bird), *C. (M.) highworthense* Arkell, *C.*  
 1631 *(Cawtoniceras) cawtonense* (Blake & Hudleston), *C.*  
 1632 *(Subvertebriceras) densiplicatum* Boden, *C. (S.) zenaidae*  
 1633 Ilovaiski and rare *C. (Miticardioceras) sopotense* and *C.*  
 1634 *(M.) tenuiserratum*.

1636 *Transversarium Chronozone, Elizabethae/Luciaeformis*  
 1637 *subchronozones (Submediterranean); Pumilus Chronozone,*  
 1638 *Parandieri Subchronozone (Sub-Boreal); Tenuiserratum*  
 1639 *Chronozone, Tenuiserratum Subchronozone (Boreal)*

1641 III. *P. aff. dobrogensis*–*C. tenuiserratum* Biohorizon.

1642 Indices: *Perisphinctes (Dichotomosphinctes) aff. dobro-*  
 1643 *gensis* Simionescu and *Cardioceras (Miticardioceras) ten-*  
 1644 *uiserratum* (Oppel).

1645 Reference: Bed 9, Upware Limestone Member, West  
 1646 Walton Formation, Dimmock's Cote Quarry, Upware.

1648 Fauna: *P. (P.) chloroolithicus* is common along with *P.*  
 1649 *(P.) holtonensis* [M], with *P. (D.) aff. dobrogensis*. *C.*  
 1650 *(Miticardioceras) tenuiserratum* is common, and other  
 1651 cardioceratids include rare *C. (M.) aff. sopotense*, also *C.*  
 1652

*(Maltoniceras) maltonense, C. (Subvertebriceras) densiplicatum, C. (S.) zenaidae* and *C. (Cawtoniceras) cawtonense*. Rare *Aspidoceras (Euaspidoceras) sp. aff. paucituberculatum* Arkell and *Neocampylites sp. aff. henrici* (d'Orbigny).

### Concluding remarks

The sequence at Upware is instructive as, virtually uniquely, it contains a mixture of Boreal forms and forms that spread from the Sub-Boreal Province into the Sub-Mediterranean Province. It therefore has great potential for aiding the construction of correlative links ('tie lines') between two distinct ammonite provinces, and resolving some of the outstanding questions of European Middle Oxfordian stratigraphy. The succession is also of great importance within Britain as it is the only known locality to yield a sequence of common faunas that are stratigraphically intermediate between the classic Corallian assemblages of Oxford, described by Arkell (1935–1948), and the later faunas of the Upper Calcareous Grit of Yorkshire, described by Wright (1996).

The importance of the Upware faunas in providing such links and increasing the understanding of the nature of the sequence of ammonite faunas in the rather disjointed and locally incomplete sequences of the British Middle Oxfordian cannot be overstated. The new stratigraphical information derived, and the correlations now possible, will significantly aid inter-regional and international studies of the complex history of Middle Oxfordian sedimentation and tectonics in northern and western Europe.

### Acknowledgements and Funding

Thanks are due to the helpful staff at Wicken Lime & Stone Co. for access to the quarry, and for assistance in collecting data and specimens, including pumping out deep sumps. Thanks are also due to Matt Riley, Mike Dorling and Rod Long of the Sedgwick Museum for assistance and the loan of specimens. The late Professor J.H. Callomon reviewed an earlier version of this manuscript, and careful note has been taken of his comments. The authors also wish to thank Dr Ewa Główniak (University of Warsaw, Poland) and Dr Guillermo Meléndez (University of Zaragoza, Spain) for valuable discussion on the identity and correlations of the perisphinctid faunas. Thanks are also due to the very careful refereeing by Beris Cox, John Cope and Peter Rawson, which has significantly improved the manuscript. The figures were drawn by Lynne Blything. Photographs were taken by Kevin d'Souza.

*Scientific editing by Peter Rawson*

### References

- Arkell, W.J. 1927. The Corallian rocks of Oxford, Berkshire and North Wiltshire. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, **216**, 67–181.
- Arkell, W.J. 1935–1948. *The ammonites of the English Corallian Beds*. Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London. 1935, Part 1, Issue 398, (part of Volume 88), pp. i–xxxii, 1–30, pls A, B, 1–6; 1936, Part 2, Issue 402, (part of Volume 89), pp. xxxiii–xlvi, 31–42, pls C, D, 7–10; 1937, Part 3, Issue 406, (part of Volume 90), pp. xlvii–liv, 43–67, pls E, F, 11–13, index; 1938, Part 4, Issue 410, (part of Volume 91), pp. 69–104, pls 14–18; 1939, Part 5, Issue 417, (part of Volume 93), pp. lv–lxiv, 105–190, pls 19–40; 1940, Part 6, Issue 418 (part of Volume 94), pp. lxx–lxxii, 191–216, pls 41–47; 1941, Part 7, Issue 423 (part of Volume 95), pp. lxxiii–lxxx, 217–238, pls 48–51; 1942, Part 8, Issue 426 (part of Volume 96), pp. 239–254, pls 52–55; 1943, Part 9, Issue 429 (part of Volume 97), pp. lxxxi–lxxxiv, 255–268, pls 56–60; 1945, Part 10, Issue 431 (part of Volume 98), pp. 269–296, pls 61–66; 1946, Part 11, Issue 435 (part of Volume 99), pp. 297–332, pls 67–70; 1947, Part 12, Issue 438 (part of Volume 100), pp. 333–350, pls 71–74; 1947, Part 13, Issue 442 (part of Volume 101), pp. 351–378, pls 75–78; 1948, Part 14, Issue 445 (part of Volume 102), pp. i–v, 379–420, title page and index.
- Arkell, W.J. 1936b. The ammonite zones of the Upper Oxfordian of Oxford, and the horizons of the Sowerby's and Buckman's types. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **92**, 146–187.
- Arkell, W.J. 1937b. The zonal position of the Elsworth Rock, and its alleged equivalent at Upware, Cambridgeshire. *Geological Magazine*, **74**, 445–458.

## Ammonite faunas of the Corallian Beds, Cambridgeshire

- Besenov, N.V. & Michailova, I.A. 1983. The evolution of the Jurassic-Cretaceous ammonoids. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, **269**, 733–737.
- Besnoso, H.V. & Mitta, V.V. 1995. *Oxfordian ammonites from Central Asia*. Privately printed by the authors, Moscow. (In Russian.)
- Bonarelli, G. 1894. Contributo alla conoscenza del Giura-Lias Lombardo. *Atti reale Accademia della Scienze Torino*, **30**, 18.
- Buckland, W. 1836. Geology and mineralogy considered with reference to natural theology. *Bridgewater Treatise*, **6**, 2 vols.
- Buckman, S.S. 1920–1921. *Type ammonites*, 3. Published by the author, London and Thame, 5–48.
- Buckman, S.S. 1923–1925. *Type ammonites*, 5. Published by the author, London and Thame, 5–48.
- Buckman, S.S. 1925–1927. *Type ammonites*, 6. Published by the author, London and Thame, 1–61.
- Buckman, S.S. 1927–1928. *Type ammonites*, 7. Published by the author, London and Thame, 1–78.
- Callomon, J.H. 1960. New sections in the Corallian Beds around Oxford, and the subzones of the Plicatilis Zone. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **71**, 177–208.
- Callomon, J.H. 1963. Sexual dimorphism in Jurassic ammonites. *Transactions of the Leicester Literary and Philosophical Society*, **57**, 21–56.
- Callomon, J.H. 1973. On Camptylites Rollier, 1922, and Neoprioceras Spath, 1928 (Ammonoidea, Jurassic). *Journal of Palaeontology*, **47**, 1003.
- Callomon, J.H. 1981. Dimorphism in ammonoids. In: House, M.R. & Senior, J.R. (eds) *The Ammonoidea. Systematics Association Special Volume*. Academic Press, London, **18**, 257–273.
- Callomon, J.H. 1985. The evolution of the Jurassic ammonite family Cardioceratidae. In: Cope, J.C.W. & Skelton, P.R. (eds) *Evolutionary Case Histories From the Fossil Record. Special Papers in Palaeontology*. The Palaeontological Association, London, **33**, 49–90.
- Callomon, J.H. 2003. Stratigraphic procedure – an essay review. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **114**, 263–269.
- Cariou, E., Enay, R., Atrops, F., Hantzpergue, P., Marchand, D. & Rioult, M. 1997. In: Cariou, E. & Hantzpergue, P. (eds) *Biostratigraphie du Jurassique Ouest-Européen et Méditerranéen: zonations parallèles et distribution des invertébrés et microfossiles*. Bulletin Centre Recherche Elf-Exploration Production, Mémoire Oxfordien, **17**, 79–86.
- Chandler, R. & Callomon, J.H. 2009. The Inferior Oolite at Coombe Quarry, near Mapperton, Dorset, and a new Middle Jurassic ammonite faunal horizon, Aa-3b, *Leioceras comptocostosum* n.biosp. in the Scissum Zone of the Lower Aalenian. *Proceedings of the Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society*, **130**, 99–132.
- Choffat, P. 1893. *Description de la faune Jurassique du Portugal. Classe des Céphalopodes. 1. Ammonites du Lusitanien de la Contrée de Torres-Vedras*. Imprimerie de l'Académie Royale des Sciences, Lisbonne, 1–82.
- Cope, J.C.W. 1967. The palaeontology and stratigraphy of the lower part of the Upper Kimmeridge Clay of Dorset. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History). Geology Series*, **15**, 1–79, pls 1–33.
- Dommergues, J.L., Page, K.N. & Meister, C. 1996. A detailed correlation of Upper Sinemurian (Lower Jurassic) ammonite biohorizons between Burgundy (France) and Britain. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy*, **30**, 61–73.
- Dorn, P. 1930. Die Ammoniten-Fauna des untersten Malm der Frankenalb. I, Die Perisphincten. *Palaeontographica*, **73**, 107–172.
- Enay, R. 1966. L'Oxfordien dans la moitié sud du Jura Français. *Nouvelles Archives du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle de Lyon*, **8**, 1–323.
- Fernandez-Lopez, S. 1995. Taphonomie et interpretation des paléoenvironnements. *Geobios, M.S.* **18**, 137–154.
- Fisher, J.-C., (ed.) 1994. *Révision critique de la Paléontologie Française d'Alcide d'Orbigny, 1 Céphalopodes Jurassiques*. Masson & Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 338.
- Głowniak, E. 2000. The *Platysphinctes* immigration event in the Middle Oxfordian of the Polish Jura Chain (Central Poland). *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **50**, 143–160.
- Głowniak, E. 2002. The ammonites of the family Perisphinctidae from the Plicatilis Zone (lower Middle Oxfordian) of the Polish Jura Chain (Central Poland); their taxonomy, phylogeny and biostratigraphic value. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **52**, 307–364.
- Głowniak, E. 2006a. Correlation of the zonal schemes at the Middle-Upper Oxfordian (Jurassic) boundary in the Submediterranean province: Poland and Switzerland. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **56**, 33–50.
- Głowniak, E. 2006b. The *Platysphinctes* immigration event: Biostratigraphic and palaeobiogeographic implications for the middle Oxfordian (Late Jurassic) seas of Central Europe (N.W. Germany, Poland). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, **241**, 155–201.
- Głowniak, E. & Wierzbowski, A. 2007. Taxonomical revision of the perisphinctid ammonites of the Upper Jurassic (Plicatilis to Planula zones) described by Józef Siemiradzki (1891) from the Kraków Upland. *Volumina Jurassica*, **5**, 31–137.
- Gümbel, C.W. 1865. Geognostische Verhältnisse der Fränkischen Alb. In: Riehl, W.H. von (ed.) *1860–1868, Bavaria: Landes- und Volkskunde des Königreichs Bayern*. Cotta, Munich, **3**, 751–824.
- Gümbel, C.W. 1891. Geognostische Beschreibung der Fränkischen Alb (Frankenjura). *Geognostische Beschreibung des Königreichs Bayern*, **4**, 121.
- Gygi, R.A. 1990. Oxfordian ammonite succession near Liesberg BE and Péry BE, northern Switzerland. *Eclogae geologicae Helveticae*, **83**, 177–199.
- Gygi, R.A. 1995. Datierung von Seichtwassersedimenten des Späten Jura in der Nordwestschweiz mit Ammoniten. *Eclogae geologica Helveticae*, **88**, 1–58.
- Kelly, S.R.A. 1985. Jurassic and Cretaceous deposits of Upware, Cambridgeshire (a review and excursion report). *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Norfolk*, **35**, 3–38.
- Kennedy, W.J. 2006. John Michael ('Jake') Hancock (1928–2004): A personal memoir. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **117**, 103–122.
- Kennedy, W.J. & Cobban, W.A. 1976. Aspects of ammonite biology, biogeography and biostratigraphy. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, **17**. The Palaeontological Association, London.
- Kleblsberg, R. von 1912. Die Perisphinctiden des Krakauer Unteroxfordien. *Beiträge zur Paläontologie Österreich-Ungarns*, **25**, 151–222.
- Lehman, U. 1981. *The Ammonites, Their Life and Their World*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. (Translated by J. Lettau)
- Loriol, P. De 1902–1904. Étude sur les mollusques et brachiopodes de l'Oxfordien supérieure et moyen du Jura lédonien. *Mémoire du Societé Paléontologique Suisse*, **29–31**, 1–298.
- Makowski, H. 1963. Problem of sexual dimorphism in ammonites. *Palaeontologica Polonica*, **12**, 1–92.
- Makowski, H. 1971. Some remarks on the ontogenetic development and sexual dimorphism in the Ammonoidea. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **21**, 321–340.
- Malinowska, L. 1963. Stratigraphy of the Oxfordian of the Czestochowa Jurassic on the basis of ammonites. *Biuletyn Instytutu Geologicznego Warszawa*, **36**, 1–165. (With English text.)
- Malinowska, L. 1972. The Middle Oxfordian Perisphinctidae of Zawodzie near Czestochowa (Poland). *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, **17**, 167–242. (With English text.)
- Matyja, B.A. 1977. The Oxfordian in the southwestern margin of the Holy Cross Mountains. *Acta Geologica Polonica*, **27**, 1–64.
- Matyja, B.A. & Glowniak, E. 1994. The ammonite succession of the Plicatilis and Transversarium Zones in the Submediterranean Middle Oxfordian of Poland. In: Atrops, F. (ed.) *4th Oxfordian and Kimmeridgian Working Group Meeting, Lyon and southeast France. June 13–18, 1994*. Guide Book and Abstract, University of Lyon, 11–12.
- Meléndez, G., Atrops, F., Bello, J., Brochwicz-Lewiński, W., Fözy, I., Pérez-Urresti, I., Ramajo, J. et al. 2009. The Oxfordian ammonite genus *Passendorferia* Brochwicz-Lewiński and the Tethyan subfamily Passendorferiinae Meléndez: Origin and palaeobiogeography. *Volumina Jurassica*, **7**, 113–134.
- Oppel, A. 1862–1863. *Über Jurassische Cephalopoden*. Paläontologische Mittheilungen aus dem Museum des königlich bayerischen Staates, part iii, 127–162 (1862), 162–266 (1863). Ebner & Seubert, Stuttgart.
- Orbigny, A. D' 1850. Paléontologie Française: Terrain Jurassique. 1. Céphalopodes. Victor Masson, Paris. *Livr.* **55–62**, 521–632.
- Page, K.N. 1986. A new section in the Elsworth Rock (Jurassic, Oxfordian) at Papworth Everard, near Cambridge, England. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **97**, 229–235.
- Page, K.N. 1995. Biohorizons and Zonules: Intra-Subzonal units in Jurassic ammonite stratigraphy. *Palaeontology*, **38**, 801–814.
- Page, K.N. 1996. Mesozoic ammonoids in time and space. In: Landman, N.H., Tanabe, K. & Davis, R. (eds) *Ammonoid Palaeobiology*. Plenum Press, 855–794. **[AQ: 6]**
- Page, K.N. 2008. The evolution and geography of Jurassic ammonites. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **119**, 35–57.
- Riaz, A. De 1898. *Description des Ammonites des Couches à Peltoceras transversarium (Oxfordien supérieur) de Trept (Isère)*. Paris and Lyon. **[AQ: 7]**
- Roberts, T. 1892. *The Jurassic Rocks of the Neighbourhood of Cambridge. Sedgwick Prize Essay for 1886*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Salfeld, H. 1914. Über einige stratigraphisch wichtige und einige seltene Arten der Gattung *Perisphinctes* aus dem oberen Jura Nordwestdeutschlands. *Jahresberichte des nieder Sächsischen geologischen Vereins*, **67**, 231–251.
- Siemiradzki, J. 1899. Monographische Beschreibung der Ammonitengattung. *Perisphinctes*. *Palaeontographica*, **14**, 69–352.
- Simionescu, I. 1907. Studii Geologice și Paleontologie din Dobrogea. 1. Fauna cefalopodelor jurasice dela Harșova. *Academia Română Publicatiunile Fondului Vasile Adamachi*, **21**, 1–97. **[AQ: 8]**
- Sowerby, J. 1812–1822. *The Mineral Conchology of Great Britain*. London.
- Spath, L.F. 1928. Revision of the Jurassic cephalopod fauna of Kachh (Cutch) part ii. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India (Palaeontologica Indica)*, **9**, Mem. 2.
- Spath, L.F. 1931. Revision of the Jurassic cephalopod fauna of Kachh (Cutch) parts iv and v. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India (Palaeontologica Indica)*, **9**, Mem. 2.
- Steinmann, G. 1890. *Elemente der Paläontologie bearbeitet: von Dr. Gustav Steinmann und Dr. Ludwig Döderlein*. Engelmann, Leipzig, 848.
- Sykes, R.M. & Callomon, J.H. 1979. The *Amoeboceras* zonation of the Boreal Upper Oxfordian. *Palaeontology*, **22**, 839–903.
- Waagen, W. 1869. Die Formenreihe des *Ammonites subradiatus*. *Benecke's Geognostische-Paläontologische Beiträge, Munich*, **2**, Heft, **2**, 181–256.
- Wright, J.K. 1972. The stratigraphy of the Yorkshire Corallian. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, **39**, 225–266.
- Wright, J.K. 1996. Perisphinctid ammonites of the Upper Calcareous Grit (Upper Oxfordian) of North Yorkshire. *Palaeontology*, **39**, 433–469.
- Wright, J.K. 1997. Cardioceratid ammonites from the Middle Oxfordian Vertebrata Subzone of North Yorkshire and Dorset. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, **51**, 251–258.

- 1722 Wright, J.K. 2003. New exposures of the Ampthill Clay near Swindon,  
1723 Wiltshire, and their significance within the succession of the Oxfordian/  
1724 Kimmeridgian boundary beds in southern England. *Proceedings of the  
Geologists' Association*, **114**, 97–121.
- 1725 Wright, J.K. 2012. Speciation in the cardioceratid ammonites of the Costicardia  
1726 Subzone (Cordatium Zone) of the Oxfordian of the Isle of Skye. *Scottish  
Journal of Geology*, **48**, 61–72.
- 1727 Wright, J.K. & Cox, B.M. 2001. British Upper Jurassic Stratigraphy (Oxfordian  
1728 to Kimmeridgian). In: Skevington, D. (ed.) *Geological Conservation Review  
Series No. 21*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.
- 1729 Wright, J.K. & Page, K.N. 2006. The cardioceratid ammonite fauna of the Upware  
1730 Limestone and Dimmock's Cote Marl (Upper Jurassic) in Cambridgeshire,  
1731 England. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, **56**, 65–75.
- 1732 Wright, J.K. & Rawson, P.F. 2014. The development of the Betton Farm Coral  
1733 Bed within the Malton Oolite Member (Upper Jurassic, Middle Oxfordian) of  
1734 the Scarborough District, North Yorkshire, UK. *Proceedings of the Yorkshire  
Geological Society*, **60**, 123–134.
- 1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1789  
1790
- Wright, J.K., Kelly, S.R.A. & Page, K.N. 2000. The stratigraphy of the  
'Corallian facies' Middle Oxfordian (Upper Jurassic) deposits at Upware,  
Cambridgeshire. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, **111**,  
97–110.
- Young, G.M. & Bird, J. 1822. *A geological survey of the Yorkshire coast;  
describing the strata and fossils occurring between the Humber and the Tees,  
from the Germany Ocean to the plain of York*. Whitby, 332. [AQ: 9]
- Young, G.M. & Bird, J. 1828. *A geological survey of the Yorkshire coast;  
describing the strata and fossils occurring between the Humber and the Tees,  
from the Germany Ocean to the plain of York*. 2nd ed. Whitby. [AQ: 10]
- Zittel, K.A. 1868. Cephalopoden der Stramberger Schichten. *Paläontologische  
Mittheilen Museum des königliches Bayerisches Staates*, **2**, 33–118.
- Zittel, K.A. 1881–1885. *Handbuch der Paläontologie: Abteilung 1,  
Paläozoologie Band II, Mollusca und Arthropoda*. Oldenbourg, Munich  
and Leipzig, 893.
- Zittel, K.A. 1895. *Grundzüge der Paläontologie (Paläozoologie)*. Oldenbourg,  
Munich and Leipzig, 971.