04 University of Plymouth Research Theses

01 Research Theses Main Collection

2016-05

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE ROLE OF FOOD TOURISM IN PROMOTING CHINESE REGIONS

CHEN, QIAN

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/4872

http://dx.doi.org/10.24382/4232 Plymouth University

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Appendices

Appendix 2.1 Identification of the research focuses in food tourism

Appendix 3.1 Historical development of Chinese food culture

Appendix 5.1 First draft of questionnaire survey (English version)

Appendix 5.2 First draft of questionnaire survey (Chinese version)

Appendix 5.3 Questionnaire survey for pilot study and main study (English version)

Appendix 5.5 Questionnaire survey for pilot study and main study (Chinese version)

Appendix 5.6 Independent samples test (for non-response bias)

Appendix 5.7 Test of normality and descriptive statistics

Appendix 6.1 Indication of the destinations that has food appeal

Appendix 6.2 Descriptive analysis in the pre-travel stage-food-related motivation

Appendix 6.3 Descriptive analysis in the pre-travel stage-information search

Appendix 6.4 Differences in attitudes on the information sources between different age groups

Appendix 6.5 Differences in attitudes on the top five information sources between different educational groups

Appendix 6.6 Descriptive analysis in the post-travel stage-food satisfaction

Appendix 6.7 Descriptive analysis in the post-travel stage-food-related behavioural intentions

Appendix 7.1 Test of mediation through bootstrapping

Appendix 2.1 Identification of the research focuses in food tourism

| Year | Author | Title | Methodology |
|-------|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| The i | nportance of food tou | Irism in regional identity and development | |
| 1998 | Bessière | Local development and heritage: traditional food and cuisine as tourist attractions in rural areas | Qualitative |
| 2003 | Boniface | Tasting tourism: Travelling for food and drink. | Qualitative |
| 2005 | Harrington | Defining gastronomic identity | Qualitative |
| 2007 | Fox | Reinventing the gastronomic identity of Croatian tourist destinations | Qualitative |
| 2008 | Everett and Aitchison | The role of food tourism in sustaining regional identity: A case study of Cornwall, South West England | Qualitative |
| 2009 | Henderson | Food tourism reviewed | Qualitative |
| 2009 | Green and Dougherty | Localizing linkages for food and tourism: culinary tourism as a community development strategy | Qualitative |
| 2011 | Lin, Pearson and Cai | Food as a form of destination identity: A tourism destination brand perspective | Qualitative |
| 2011 | Lee and Arcodia | The role of regional food festivals for destination branding | Qualitative |
| Touri | st's food consumption | and food experience | |
| 2004 | Quan and Wang | Towards a structural model of the tourist experience: An illustration from food experiences in tourism | Qualitative |
| 2004 | Cohen and Avieli | Food in tourism - Attraction and impediment | Qualitative |
| 2006 | Kivela and Crotts | Tourism and gastronomy: Gastronomy's influence on how tourists experience a destination | Quantitative |
| 2008 | Correia et al. | The determinants of gastronomic tourists' satisfaction: a second-order factor analysis | Quantitative |
| 2009 | Kivela and Crotts | Understanding travellers' experiences of gastronomy through etymology and narration. | Qualitative |
| 2009 | Kim, Eves and Scarles | Building a model of local food consumption on trips and holidays: A grounded theory approach | Qualitative |
| 2010 | Chang, Kivela and Mak | Food preferences of Chinese tourists | Qualitative |

| 2011 | Chang, Kivela and Mak | Attributes that influence the evaluation of travel dining experience: When East meets West | Qualitative |
|------|----------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 2010 | Kim, Goh and Yuan | Development of a multi-dimensional scale for measuring food tourist motivations | Quantitative |
| 2010 | Kim, Suh and Eves | The relationships between food-related personality traits, satisfaction, and loyalty among visitors attending food events and festivals | Quantitative |
| 2010 | Ryu and Han | Tourists' behavioural intention to try the local cuisine | Quantitative |
| 2011 | Kim, Kim and Goh | An examination of food tourist's behaviour: Using the modified theory of reasoned action. | Quantitative |
| 2012 | Kim and Eves | Construction and validation of a scale to measure tourist motivation to consume local food | Quantitative |
| 2012 | Mak, Lumbers, Eves and Chang | Factors influencing tourist food consumption | Qualitative |
| 2013 | Kim, Eves and Scarles | Empirical verification of a conceptual model of local food consumption at a tourist destination | Quantitative |
| 2013 | Chi, Chua, Othma and Ab Karim | Investigating the structural relationships between food image, food satisfaction, culinary quality, and behavioural intentions: The case of Malaysia | Quantitative |
| 2013 | Mkono, Markwell, and Wilson, | Applying Quan and Wang's structural model of the tourist experience: A Zimbabwean netnography of food tourism | Qualitative |
| 2014 | Björk and Kauppinen-Räisänen | Exploring the multi-dimensionality of travellers' culinary-gastronomic experiences | Quantitative |
| 2014 | Seo, Yun and Kim | Destination food image and intention to eat destination foods: a view from Korea | Quantitative |
| Mark | eting of food tourism | | |
| 2003 | Boyne, Hall and Williams | Policy, support and promotion for food-related tourism initiatives | Qualitative |
| 2003 | Frochot | An analysis of regional positioning and its associated food images in French tourism regional brochures | Qualitative |
| 2003 | du Rand, Health and Alberts | The role of local and regional food in destination marketing | Qualitative |
| 2006 | du Rand and Health | Towards a framework for food tourism as an element of destination marketing | Qualitative |

| 2006 | Hashimoto and Telfer | Selling Canadian culinary tourism: branding the global and the regional product | Qualitative |
|------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 2007 | Okumus, Okumus and McKercher | Incorporating local and international cuisines in the marketing of tourism destinations: The cases of Hong Kong and Turkey | Qualitative |
| 2008 | McKercher, Okumus and Okumus | Food tourism as a viable market segment: It's all how you cook the numbers! | Quantitative |
| 2009 | Kim, Yuan, Goh and Antun | Web marketing in food tourism: A content analysis of web sites in West Texas | Quantitative and Qualitative |
| 2010 | Harrington and Ottenbacher | Culinary tourism—A case study of the gastronomic capital | Qualitative |
| 2010 | Horng and Tsai | Government websites for promoting East Asian culinary tourism: A cross-national analysis | Qualitative |
| 2010 | Ab Karim and Chi | Culinary tourism as a destination attraction: An empirical examination of destinations' food image | Qualitative |
| 2011 | Lin, Pearson and Cai | Food as a form of destination identity: A tourism destination brand perspective. | Qualitative |
| 2012 | Horng and Tsai | Constructing indicators of culinary tourism strategy: An application of resource-based theory | Qualitative |
| 2012 | Horng and Tsai | Culinary tourism strategic development: an Asia Pacific perspective | Qualitative |
| 2012 | Horng and Tsai | Exploring marketing strategies for culinary tourism in Hong Kong and Singapore | Qualitative |
| 2012 | Sánchez-Cañizares and López-Guzmán | Gastronomy as a tourism resource: profile of the culinary tourist | Quantitative |
| 2012 | López-Guzmán and Sánchez-Cañizares | Culinary tourism in Córdoba (Spain) | Quantitative |
| 2012 | Beer, Ottenbacher and Harrington | Food tourism implementation in the Black Forest destination | Quantitative |
| 2013 | Silkes, Cai, and Lehto | Marketing to the culinary tourists (food experience at festivals as culinary tourism) | Qualitative |
| 2013 | Okumus, Kock, Scantlebury and Bendegul | Using local cuisines when promoting small Caribbean island destinations | Qualitative |

| Time Period | Dynasty | | Location | Main/ new food | Keystones |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 2,100 B.C | Pre-Qin | Yanhuang | / | Cereals, pig, lamb, fish, | Salt and oil appeared. |
| 221 B.C. | | | | cabbage, mustard | People know how to 'tune' the dish. |
| | | | | greens , oilseed rap, | It made people officially enter the cooking |
| | | | | peach, plum and pear | times. |
| | | Yao-Shun-Yu | / | Beef and lamb; | Spirits as drink came out |
| | | | | vegetarian came out; | |
| | | | | soup became popular | |
| | | Xia-Shang-Zhou | Below Mongolia, to the east of | Beef, lamb, pig, rabbit, | Sauce, vinegar, sugar and beverages came |
| | | | Congling and to the north of | venison, radish, melon, | out. |
| | | | Guangdong. | apricot, orange, and | Two meals a day |
| | | | | plum | |
| | | Spring and | Below Mongolia, to the east of | Cereals, vegetables, and | South and the North food schools came into |
| | | Autumn Period | Congling and to the north of | seafood | being. Emphasised the eating etiquette and |
| | | and the Warring | Guangdong. | | food hygiene. Culinary books came out. |
| | | States | | | |
| 221B.C-220 | Qin & Ha | an | Below Mongolia, to the east of | Noodle and different | Three meals a day replace the two meals |
| A.D | | | Congling and to the north of | kind of pancakes. | system. 'Lu, Chuan, Yue', three different |
| | | | Guangdong. | Lamb | cuisines formed |
| 220-589 | Three | Kingdoms, Jin, | Below Mongolia, to the east of | Southern: fish and rice | Stir-fried dishes are popular. |
| | Southern | and Northern | Congling and to the north of | Northern: meat and | Cuisine 'Su' began to appear. |
| | Dynastie | 5 | Guangdong. | wheat | |

Appendix 3.1 Historical Development of Chinese food culture

| Time Period | Dynasty | Location | Main/ new food | Keystones |
|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 589-907 | Sui and Tang Dynasties | Sui: east to the sea; south to the | Pancakes, rice, lamb, | Wide communication of food between |
| | | South Sea; west to east coast of | pig, chicken, duck, | China and abroad. |
| | | Tarim River; north to the Great | goose, and seafood. | First important food therapeutic monograph |
| | | Wall. | Vegetables and fruit | published. |
| 960-1279 | Song Dynasty | To the south of Yangtze River | A variety of venison. | Zhe and Hui, two major cuisines gradually |
| | | and to the east of Tibet. | Increase of vegetables | came into being. |
| 1279-1368 | Yuan Dynasty | East to the sea; South to the | Rice, vegetables and | Wine was popular all over the country. |
| | | South Sea Islands; northeast to | fruits. | |
| | | the Bering Strait; west to the | Lamb and pig. | |
| | | E'bi River; north to the Arctic | Different kinds of | |
| | | Ocean. | wheaten food | |
| 1368-1644 | Ming Dynasty | East to the sea; south to the | Corn and sweet potato | Eight major schools of cuisines gradually |
| | | South Sea Islands; west to | Goose | formed. |
| | | Kashmir; and north to the Great | | |
| | | Wall. | | |
| 1644-1912 | Qing Dynasty | Include mainland as well as | Beer, biscuit, coffee, | A full and formal banquet, combining |
| | | Manchuria, Inner Mongolia, | soda, gum and potato | Manchurian and Chinese delicacies |
| | | Outer Mongolia, Xinjiang and | | appeared. Beijing cuisine and Shanghai |
| | | Tibet. | | cuisine were becoming popular. Much |
| | | | | western food was brought to China. |
| 1921-1949 | The Period of the Republic | Include twenty-two provinces, | Sandwich, Coca-Cola, | A further increase of the exotic food |
| | of China | Inner and Outer Mongolia, | cocktails, chocolate, | products, influencing Chinese cuisine |
| | | Tibet, Qinghai. | burgers | |

Questionnaire ID :

Survey Location :

Appendix 5.1 Survey Questionnaire (First Draft) An investigation of the role of food tourism in promoting Chinese regions

Dear Sir/Madame,

I am a research student at the School of Tourism and Hospitality, Plymouth University in the UK. I am currently doing my research on investigating the role of food tourism in promoting Chinese regions tourists' perspective. I would be grateful if you could kindly spare 10 minutes of your valuable time in answering the next few questions. Your answers will be treated anonymously and confidentially. All your information will be used only for academic and research purpose. Thank you very much for your sincere help!

maint you very maen for your smeen

Screening Questions:

- 1. Are you a domestic tourist in this destination?
- 2. Have you experienced the destination food during this trip?

Section 1- Preferences of Chinese regional food

1. Please indicate your likelihood of visiting the following provinces due to their association with food. (1 means not likely, 7 means very likely)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Shandong | | | | | | | |
| Sichuan | | | | | | | |
| Guangdong | | | | | | | |
| Fujian | | | | | | | |
| Jiangsu | | | | | | | |
| Zhejiang | | | | | | | |
| Hunan | | | | | | | |
| Anhui | | | | | | | |
| Hubei | | | | | | | |
| Yunnan | | | | | | | |
| Shanxi | | | | | | | |
| Jilin | | | | | | | |
| Inner Mongolia | | | | | | | |
| Xinjiang | | | | | | | |
| Xizang | | | | | | | |

2. Please choose your three favourite Chinese cities in association with food.

| Beijing | Shenyang | |
|-----------|---------------|--|
| Tianjin | Changchun | |
| Shanghai | Ha'er bin | |
| Chongqing | Hohhot | |
| Jinan | Urumchi | |
| Chengdu | Shijia zhuang | |
| Guangzhou | Taiyuan | |
| Xiamen | Zhengzhou | |
| Nanjing | Yinchuan | |
| Hangzhou | Lanzhou | |
| Changsha | Xining | |
| Hefei | Kunming | |
| Wuhan | Guilin | |
| Guiyang | Haikou | |
| Xi'an | Lhasa | |
| Nanchang | | |

3. From your perspective, apart from the cities mentioned, are there any other destinations you think have appeal as a food attraction?

Yes_____

🗖 No

Don't know

Section 2- Food-related Motivation

4. Please indicate your agreement on the following statements regarding the role of food in affecting your motivation to visit Chinese destination (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Food plays an important role in my destination choice. | | | | | | | |
| Prior to my trip, I planned food choices to experience | | | | | | | |
| local culture. | | | | | | | |
| I decided on destinations to visit only based on the | | | | | | | |
| foods I wanted to experience. | | | | | | | |
| I usually do some research about the local food or | | | | | | | |
| restaurants prior to my trip. | | | | | | | |
| Prior to my trip, one of the things I anticipate is eating | | | | | | | |
| the food there. | | | | | | | |
| I like trying different styles or types of food during | | | | | | | |
| travel. | | | | | | | |

Section 3-Information Search

- 5. Please indicate your rating of the importance of the listed information sources
- (1 means not important at all, 7 means very important)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Overall importance of information sources | | | | | | | |
| Newspaper/magazines ads | | | | | | | |
| Brochures | | | | | | | |
| TV, Radio commercials | | | | | | | |
| Internet advertising | | | | | | | |
| Travel agency ads | | | | | | | |
| Outdoor media ads | | | | | | | |
| Newspaper/magazines news | | | | | | | |
| TV/Radio programs | | | | | | | |
| Websites information/travel news | | | | | | | |
| Social media (forums, blogs, twitter) | | | | | | | |
| Friends or families recommendation | | | | | | | |
| Word of mouth from other tourists | | | | | | | |
| Past experience or general knowledge | | | | | | | |

Section 4- Food Involvement

6. To you, tasting local food and getting involved with food-related activities, such as visiting restaurants with good ratings; reading articles or watching TV shows about local cuisine; visiting food festivals; and buying locally produced food products are.... Please indicate your rating based on your agreement with the following statements (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Are not desirable | | | | | | | | Are desirable |
| Are not interesting | | | | | | | | Are interesting |
| Are not appealing | | | | | | | | Are appealing |
| Are not stimulating | | | | | | | | Are stimulating |
| Are not wanted | | | | | | | | Are wanted |
| Are not valuable | | | | | | | | Are valuable |
| Are not exciting | | | | | | | | Are exciting |
| Do not mean a lot | | | | | | | | Mean a lot |

Section 5-Food Satisfaction

7. Please rate your agreement with the following statements based on your satisfaction of your overall food experience (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| The food experience gave me high satisfaction. | | | | | | | |
| My choice to taste the local cuisine was a wise one. | | | | | | | |
| The food experience gave me a sense of joy. | | | | | | | |
| The food experienced met my expectations. | | | | | | | |
| The food experienced exceeded my expectation. | | | | | | | |

Section 6- Food-related intentions

8. Please rate your agreement with the following statements regarding your post-travel behaviours related to food tourism (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I will buy local food products as souvenirs when I finish | | | | | | | |
| my travel. | | | | | | | |
| When I go back, I will try to buy the local food souvenirs | | | | | | | |
| online. | | | | | | | 1 |
| I will share my food tourism experience with my | | | | | | | |
| friends/families. | | | | | | | 1 |
| I will share my food tourism experience on the internet | | | | | | | 1 |
| (Weibo,forum, blog) | | | | | | | 1 |
| I would like to try to cook the nice food I had during my | | | | | | | 1 |
| travel when go back. | | | | | | | |
| I would like to know more about the food knowledge and | | | | | | | 1 |
| culture. | | | | | | | 1 |

Section 7-Destination Loyalty

9. Please rate your agreement with the following statements describing your destination loyalty (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I would like to recommend the destination to | | | | | | | |
| others. | | | | | | | |
| I will spread positive word of mouth about the | | | | | | | |
| destination. | | | | | | | |
| I will visit the destination again. | | | | | | | |

Section 8-Basic Information

10. Gender: A. Male B. Female

11. Your age: _____

12. Your education level:

A. Junior School or Below

C. Bachelor

B. Senior SchoolD. Master or Above

Thank you very much for your time!

Appendix 5.2. 探索美食旅游在中国旅游地推广中的作用的调查 问卷

尊敬的先生/女士:

您好! 我是一名在英国普利茅斯大学旅游酒店管理学院就读的博士生,现在正在 为了我的研究课题'探索美食旅游在中国旅游地推广中的作用'进行问卷调查。希望 现在能占用您宝贵的十分钟,帮我完成下面的问卷。我们保证您的回答将是匿名 和保密的,所有的信息只会用于学术研究。 再次感谢您的帮助!

筛选问题:

1.请问您是否是来此目的地旅游的国内旅游者?
 2.请问您在本次旅游中有品尝过当地的美食吗?

板块 1-对国内不同区域美食的喜好

1. 就美食而言,请您依次评价您对以下省份的喜爱。(1表示完全不喜欢,7表示非常喜欢).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 山东 | | | | | | | |
| 四川 | | | | | | | |
| 广东 | | | | | | | |
| 福建 | | | | | | | |
| 江苏 | | | | | | | |
| 浙江 | | | | | | | |
| 湖南 | | | | | | | |
| 安徽 | | | | | | | |
| 湖北 | | | | | | | |
| 云南 | | | | | | | |
| 陕西 | | | | | | | |
| 吉林 | | | | | | | |
| 内蒙古 | | | | | | | |
| 新疆 | | | | | | | |
| 西藏 | | | | | | | |

| 2. 姚天艮间日, | 用心起山小取音从时 | | |
|-----------|-----------|------|--|
| 北京 | | 沈阳 | |
| 天津 | | 长春 | |
| 上海 | | 哈尔滨 | |
| 重庆 | | 呼和浩特 | |
| 济南 | | 乌鲁木齐 | |
| 成都 | | 石家庄 | |
| 广州 | | 太原 | |
| 厦门 | | 郑州 | |
| 南京 | | 银川 | |
| 杭州 | | 兰州 | |
| 长沙 | | 西宁 | |
| 合肥 | | 昆明 | |
| 武汉 | | 桂林 | |
| 贵阳 | | 海口 | |
| 西安 | | 拉萨 | |
| 南昌 | | | |

2.就美食而言,请您洗出你最喜欢的三个城市。

3. 在您看来,除了以上提到的城市,您觉得中国的哪个城市对您最具有美食吸引 力?

□有_____

□ 没有 □ 不知道

板块 2. 与美食相关的旅游动机

4.以下陈述描述了美食在您选择该旅游目的地旅游所起的影响,请您根据自己的评 价,对下列陈述进行打分(1表示非常不同意,7表示非常同意).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 美食在我选择目的地旅游时扮演着重要的角色。 | | | | | | | |
| 在我的旅行前,我会为了体验当地的文化而计划我在 | | | | | | | |
| 行程中的食物选择。 | | | | | | | |
| 我会根据自己想去品尝的食物来决定出游目的地。 | | | | | | | |
| 我一般会在旅行前对当地的美食或者餐馆做一些搜 | | | | | | | |
| 索研究。 | | | | | | | |
| 在我的旅行前,我最期待的其中一件事情就是去品尝 | | | | | | | |
| 那里的食物。 | | | | | | | |
| 我喜欢在旅行中尝试不同种类,样式的食物。 | | | | | | | |

板块 3. 旅游信息搜索行为

5..以下所列出的不同的信息来源可能会影响您的旅游行为,请您依据自己的情况,对下列不同信息的影响力的重要性进行评价(1表示完全不重要,7表示非常重要)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 所有信息来源的总体重要性 | | | | | | | |
| 报纸/杂志广告 | | | | | | | |
| 宣传册 | | | | | | | |
| 电视,广播广告 | | | | | | | |
| 网络广告 | | | | | | | |
| 旅行社广告 | | | | | | | |
| 户外媒体广告,如灯箱等 | | | | | | | |
| 印刷媒体上得专栏或旅行新闻 | | | | | | | |
| 电视,广播节目或新闻 | | | | | | | |
| 网络资讯或新闻 | | | | | | | |
| 社交平台(论坛,博客,微博) | | | | | | | |
| 朋友或者家人的推荐 | | | | | | | |
| 其他旅游者的推荐 | | | | | | | |
| 过去的旅游经历或者总体了解 | | | | | | | |

板块 4-美食涉入性

6.请您根据自己的赞同程度,对以下陈述进行打分。

对你而言,品尝当地美食或者是参与当地美食的相关活动(比如去一些评价好的 餐馆,观看与当地美食相关的杂志,电视节目;参与当地美食节,购买当地的美食 产品...)

| 不喜欢的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 喜欢的 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 没兴趣 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 有兴趣 |
| 没有吸引力的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 有吸引力的 |
| 不能刺激我的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 能刺激我的 |
| 不是我想要的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 是我想要的 |
| 没有价值 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 有价值的 |
| 不能让我兴奋的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 能让我兴奋的 |
| 没有意义的 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 又意义的 |

板块5 对当地美食的满意度

7.请依据您对当地美食满意程度评价,对下列陈述依据您的赞同程度进行打分。 (1表示非常不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 当地的美食体验给我了我满足感。 | | | | | | | |
| 品尝当地的美食是一个明智的选择。 | | | | | | | |
| 当地的美食体验给了我一种愉悦感。 | | | | | | | |
| 当地的美食体验达到了我预想。 | | | | | | | |
| 当地的美食体验超出了我的预想,给了我惊喜。 | | | | | | | |

板块6旅游结束后涉及的美食活动

8.请对下列陈述依次指出您的赞同程度。(1表示非常不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 我会购买当地的美食特产作为纪念品带回家。 | | | | | | | |
| 回家以后,我会尝试从网上购买当地好吃的美食特产。 | | | | | | | |
| 我会和朋友/家人分享我的旅游美食体验 | | | | | | | |
| 我会在网络上和其他人分享我的旅游美食体验。(微博, 论坛,博客等) | | | | | | | |
| 我愿意回家尝试烹饪我在这次旅行中品尝过的美食。 | | | | | | | |
| 我愿意更多的了解有关当地的美食知识和文化。 | | | | | | | |

板块7 旅游目的地忠诚度

9.请依据您对该旅游地的忠诚度,依据您的赞同程度,对下列陈述进行打分。 (1表示非常不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 我会推荐别人去这个旅游地旅游 | | | | | | | |
| 我会对该旅游地进行正面的宣传 | | | | | | | |
| 我会再来该旅游地 | | | | | | | |

板块8 基本信息

| 10.性别: A.男 | B.女 | |
|--------------|-----|---------|
| 11. 请问您的年龄是: | | |
| 12.教育程度: | | |
| A.初中及初中以下 | | B.高中 |
| C .本科 | | D.硕士及以上 |
| | | |

Questionnaire ID:

Survey Location:

Appendix 5.3. Survey Questionnaire (Pilot Study & Main Survey)

An investigation of the role of food tourism in promoting Chinese regions

Dear Sir/Madame,

I am a research student at the School of Tourism and Hospitality, Plymouth University in the UK. I am currently doing my research on investigating the role of food tourism in promoting Chinese regions tourists' perspective. I would be grateful if you could kindly spare 10 minutes of your valuable time in answering the next few questions. Your answers will be treated anonymously and confidentially. All your information will be used only for academic and research purpose. Thank you very much for your sincere help!

Screening Question:

- 1. Are you a domestic tourist in this destination?
- 2. Have you experienced the destination food during this trip?

Section 1- Preferences of Chinese regional food

1.Please indicate your likelihood of visiting the following provinces due to their association with food. (1 means not likely, 7 means very likely)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Shandong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sichuan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guangdong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fujian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jiangsu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zhejiang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hunan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anhui | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hubei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yunnan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shanxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jilin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inner Mongolia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Xinjiang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Xizang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 2. Please choose | your three f | favourite | Chinese | cities in | association | with food |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| 2. 1 lease enouse | your uncer | avounte | Chinese | cities in | association | with 100u. |

| North east | Ha'er bin | 0 | | Qingdao | 0 | | Chongqing | 0 |
|---------------|-----------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------|---|
| | Changchun | 0 | | Nanjing | 0 | Couth | Chengdu | 0 |
| | Shenyang | 0 | | Hefei | 0 | South west | Guiyang | 0 |
| | Beijing | 0 | East | Shanghai | 0 | | Kunming | 0 |
| | Tianjing | 0 | | Hangzhou | 0 | | Lhasa | 0 |
| North | Shijia | 0 | | Nanchang | 0 | | Urumchi | 0 |
| | Taiyuan | 0 | | Xiamen | 0 | North | Lanzhou | 0 |
| | Hohhot | 0 | South | Guangzho | 0 | west | Yinchuan | 0 |
| | Zhengzhou | 0 | South | Guilin | 0 | west | Xining | 0 |
| Middle | Wuhan | 0 | | Haikou | 0 | | Xi'an | 0 |
| | Changsha | 0 | | | | | | |

3. From your perspective, apart from the cities mentioned, are there any other destination do you think would have the greatest appeal as a food attraction?

•Yes_____ •No • Don't Know

Section 2-Food-related Motivation

4. Please indicate the overall role of food in affecting your motivation for travel in Chinese regions (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Food plays an important role in my destination choice. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prior to my trip, I planned food choices to experience local culture. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I decided on destinations to visit only based on the foods I wanted to experience. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I usually do some research about the local food or restaurants prior to my trip. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prior to my trip, one of the things I anticipate is eating the food there. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I like trying different styles or types of food during travel. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Section 3-Information Search

5. Please indicate your rating of the importance of the listed information sources (1

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Overall importance of information sources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Newspaper/magazines ads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brochures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TV, Radio ads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Internet advertising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Travel agency ads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outdoor media ads | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Newspaper/magazines news | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TV, Radio news/programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Websites information/travel news | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social media (forums, blogs, twitter) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Friends or families recommendation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Word of mouth from other tourists | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Past experience/general knowledge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

means not important, 7 means very important)

Section 4- Involvement of local food

6.Please indicate your rating with the following statements. To you, tasting local food and getting involved with food-related activities, such as visiting good-rated restaurants; reading articles or watching TV shows about local cuisine; and buying locally produced food products are.... (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Are not interesting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are interesting |
| Are not appealing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are appealing |
| Are not valuable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are valuable |
| Are not exciting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are exciting |
| Are not stimulating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are stimulating |
| Are not desirable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are desirable |
| Are not wanted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Are wanted |
| Do not mean a lot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Mean a lot |

Section 5-Food Satisfaction

7. Please rate your agreement with the following statements based on your satisfaction of your overall food experience (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| The food experience gave me high satisfaction. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| My choice to taste the local cuisine was a wise one. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The food experience gave me a sense of joy. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The food experienced met my expectations. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The food experienced exceeded my expectation. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Section 6- Food-related intentions

8. Please rate your agreement with the following statements regarding your post-travel behaviours related to food tourism (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I will buy local food products as souvenirs when | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I finish my travel. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| When I go back, I will try to buy the local food | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| souvenirs online. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I will share my food tourism experience with | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| my friends/families. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I will share my food tourism experience on the | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| internet(Weibo, forum, blog) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I would like to try to cook the nice food I had | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| during my travel when go back. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I would like to know more about the food | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| knowledge and culture. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Section 7-Destination Loyalty

9. Please rate your agreement with the following statements describing your destination loyalty (1 means strongly disagree, 7 means strongly agree).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I would like to recommend the destination to others. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I will spread positive word of mouth about the destination. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I will visit the destination again. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Section 8- Basic Information

| 10. Gender: A. Male | B. Female | | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| 11. Your age: | A. below 26 | B. 26-35 | C.36-45 |
| | D. 46-55 | E.56-65 | F. Above 65 |

12. Your education level:

| A. Junior school or below | B. High School |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| C. College/University-diploma/degree | D. Postgraduate degree |

Thank you very much for your time.

Appendix 5.4. 探索美食旅游在中国旅游地推广中的作用的调查问卷

尊敬的先生/女士:

您好! 我是一名在英国普利茅斯大学旅游酒店管理学院就读的博士生,现在正在为了我的研究课题'探索美食旅游在中国旅游地推广中的作用'进行问卷调查。希望现在能占用您宝贵的十分钟,帮我完成下面的问卷。我们保证您的回答将是匿名和保密的,所有的信息只会用于学术研究。

再次感谢您的帮助!

筛选问题:

1.请问您是否是来此目的地旅游的国内旅游者?
 2.请问您在本次旅游中有品尝过当地的美食吗?

1. 就美食来说,请您依次指出您会去下列省份旅游的可能性。

| | 完全不 可能 | 不可能 | 不太 可能 | 中立 | 有点 可能 | 可能 | 很大 可能 |
|-----|-----------|-----|----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| 山东 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 四川 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 广东 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 福建 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 江苏 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 浙江 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 湖南 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 安徽 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 湖北 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 云南 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 陕西 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 吉林 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 内蒙古 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 新疆 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 西藏 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 2.就美食而言, | 请您从下列城市中选出你最喜欢的三个城市。 |
|----------|----------------------|
|----------|----------------------|

| | 哈尔滨 1 | 0 | | 12 青岛 | 0 | | 22 重庆 | 0 |
|-------|-------|---|----|-------|---|----------|--------|---|
| 东北 | 长春 2 | 0 | | 13 南京 | 0 | | 23 成都 | 0 |
| 地区 | 沈阳 3 | 0 | 华东 | 14 合肥 | 0 | 西南 地区 | 24 贵阳 | 0 |
| | 北京 4 | 0 | 地区 | 15 上海 | 0 | | 25 昆明 | 0 |
| 华北 | 天津 5 | 0 | | 16 杭州 | 0 | | 26 拉萨 | 0 |
| 中心地区 | 石家庄6 | 0 | | 17 南昌 | 0 | | 27 乌鲁木 | 0 |
| FE IZ | 太原 7 | 0 | | 18 厦门 | 0 | 西北 | 28 兰州 | 0 |
| | 8 呼和浩 | 0 | 华南 | 19 广州 | 0 | 地区 | 29 银川 | 0 |
| | 9 郑州 | 0 | 地区 | 20 桂林 | 0 | 地区 | 30 西宁 | 0 |
| 华中 | 10 武汉 | 0 | | 21 海口 | 0 | | 31 西安 | 0 |
| 地区 | 11 长沙 | 0 | | | | | | |

4.旅行前---以下陈述描述了美食在您选择该旅游目的地旅游所起的影响,请您根据 自己的赞同程度,对下列陈述进行打分(1表示极不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 极不 赞同 | 不赞 同 | 不太 赞同 | 中立 | 有点 赞同 | 赞同 | 非常 赞同 |
|---|----------|---------|----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| 美食在我选择目的地旅游时扮演 着重要的角色。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 在我的旅行前,我会提前计划好 去品尝当地的美食来更好的体验 当地的文化 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我会根据自己想去品尝的食物来 决定出游目的地。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我一般会在旅行前对当地的美食 或者餐馆做一些搜索研究。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 在我的旅行前,我最期待的其中 一件事情就是去品尝那里的食 物。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我喜欢在旅行中尝试不同种类, 样式的食物。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.以下所列出的不同的信息来源可能会影响您的旅游行为,请您依据自己的情况, 对下列不同信息的影响力的重要性进行评价(1表示完全不重要,7表示非常重要)

| | 完全 没影 响 | 没影 响 | 没太 多影 响 | 中立 | 有点 影响 | 有影 响 | 影响 非常 大 |
|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|----|----------|---------|---------------|
| 总体重要性 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 报纸/杂志广告 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 旅游宣传册 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 电视/电台广告 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 网络广告 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 旅行社的宣传广告 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 户外媒体广告,如灯箱等 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 报纸/杂志的旅游专栏,新闻 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 电视/广播节目或新闻 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 网上的旅游攻略,新闻资讯 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 社交平台资讯(微信/微博) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 朋友或者家人的推荐 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 其他旅游者的推荐 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 过去的旅游经验 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6. 旅行中—以下陈述描述了当地美食或者参与相关美食活动在您旅游过程中的重要性。请您根据自己的赞同程度,对以下陈述进行打分(1表示极不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | | 极不 赞同 | 不赞 同 | 不太 赞同 | 中 立 | 有点 赞同 | 赞同 | 非常 赞同 |
|-------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|----|----------|
| 对您来说: | 是很有趣的事情 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 品尝当地美食 或参与当地美 | 是很有吸引力的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 食的相关活动 | 是宝贵的经历 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (如参加美食 节;观看美食杂 | 是令人兴奋的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 志,美食电视 | 是能激发自己的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 节目;购买当地 | 是自己喜欢的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 的美食产品) | 是自己想要的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 是很有意义的 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

7. 旅行结束时——对当地美食的满意程度,请对下列陈述依次指出您的赞同程度 (1表示极不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 极不 赞同 | 不赞 同 | 不太 赞同 | 中立 | 有点 赞同 | 赞同 | 非常 赞同 |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----|----------|----|----------|
| 当地的美食体验给我了我满 足感。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 品尝当地的美食是一个明智 的选择。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 当地的美食体验给了我一种 愉悦感。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 当地的美食体验达到了我预 想。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 当地的美食体验超出了我的 预想,给了我惊喜 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

8. 旅游结束时---可能涉及的美食活动,请对下列陈述依次指出您的赞同程度 (1表示极不赞同,7表示非常赞同)

| | 极不 赞同 | 不赞 同 | 不太 赞同 | 中 立 | 有点 赞同 | 赞 同 | 非常 赞同 |
|--|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| 我会购买当地的美食特产作为纪 念品带回家。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 回家以后,我会尝试从网上购买当 地好吃的美食特产。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我会和朋友/家人分享我的旅游美 食体验 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我会在网络上和其他人分享我的 旅游美食体验。(微博,论坛,博 客等) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我愿意回家尝试烹饪我在这次旅 行中品尝过的美食。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我愿意更多的了解有关当地的美 食知识和文化。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 9.旅行结束时旅游目的地忠诚度, | 请对下列陈述依次指出您的赞同程度(1表示 |
|------------------|----------------------|
| 极不赞同,7 表示非常赞同) | |

| | 极不 赞同 | 不赞 同 | 不太 赞同 | 中 立 | 有点 赞同 | 赞 同 | 非常 赞同 |
|-----------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| 我会推荐别人来此地旅游。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我会对来此地旅游进行正面的宣传 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 我会再来此地旅游。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

基本信息:

10.性别: A.男 B.女

- 11.年龄: A. 小于 26B. 26-35 岁C.36-45 岁D. 46-55 岁E.56-65 岁F. 大于 65
- 12.教育程度:
 A.初中及初中以下
 B.高中

 C.大专、大学
 D.研究生及以上

Appendix 5.5 Pilot Study Findings

Construct 1: Food-related motivation

Table 5.9.1 below shows the corrected item-total correlations for the Food-related Motivation construct. The correlations for the construct's items range from 0.587 to 0.778, indicating no item is redundant.

| | Corrected | Cronbach's |
|---|-------------------|---------------|
| Reflective,6 items | Item-Total | Alpha if Item |
| | Correlation | Deleted |
| MOT1=Food plays an important role in my destination | ı .770 | .852 |
| choice. | | |
| MOT2=Prior to my trip, I planned food choices to experience | .763 | .855 |
| local culture. | | |
| MOT3=I decided on destinations to visit only based on the | .703 | .863 |
| foods I wanted to experience. | | |
| MOT4=I usually do some research about the local food or | .587 | .883 |
| restaurants prior to my trip. | | |
| MOT5=Prior to my trip, one of the things I anticipate is | .778 | .850 |
| eating the food there. | | |
| MOT6=I like trying different styles or types of food during | , .591 | .880 |
| travel. | | |

Table 5.9.2 Food-related motivation Construct Reliability Statistics

| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| .884 | 5.122 | 6 |

As seen from the Table 5.9.2 above, it is detected that the Cronbach's alpha for the Food-related Motivation construct is 0.884. It is above the suggested threshold of 0.7, which confirms the construct has sufficient reliability.

Construct 2: Information Search Construct

Table 5.9.3 below shows the corrected item-total correlations for the Information Search construct. The correlations for the construct's 12 items range from 0.507 to 0.706, which all exceed 0.30, indicating no item needs to be removed.

| | Corrected | Cronbach | ' s |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|
| Formative, 12 items | Item-Total | Alpha if | Item |
| | Correlation | Deleted | |
| IS1=Newspaper/Magazine AD | .6] | .6 | .898 |
| IS2=Tourist Brochure | .63 | 36 | .897 |
| IS3=TV/Radio AD | .70 |)6 | .894 |
| IS4=Internet AD | .69 | 96 | .895 |
| IS5=Travel Agency AD | .61 | 2 | .899 |
| IS6=Outdoor AD | .60 | 59 | .896 |
| IS7=Newspaper/Magazine News | .63 | 37 | .897 |
| IS8=TV/Radio News | .64 | 6 | .897 |
| IS9=Website News | .630 | | .898 |
| IS10=Social Media Information | .639 | | .897 |
| IS11=Friends/Family Recommendation | .51 | .9 | .902 |
| 1S12=Other Tourists' Recommendation | .52 | 28 | .902 |
| IS13=Past experience | .5(|)7 | .903 |

Table 5.9.3 Information Search (IS) Construct Item-Total Statistics

Table 5.9.4 Information Search Construct Reliability Statistics

| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| .905 | 4.24 | 13 |

It is viewed from Table 5.9.4 that the Cronbach's alpha for the Information Search construct is 0.905, which is above 0.7. Thus, it can be inferred that the Information Search construct employed in this study has sufficient reliability.

Construct 3: Food- Involvement

It is presented from Table 5.9.5 that the corrected item-total correlations for the items of the Food Involvement construct range from 0.620 to 0.792, indicating no item is redundant and has to be removed.

| | Corrected | Cronbach's | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|--|--|
| Reflective,8 items | Item-Total | Alpha | if Item | | |
| | Correlation | Deleted | | | |
| INVO1= Interesting | .73 | .731 | | | |
| INVO2= Appealing | .620 | .906 | | | |
| INVO3= Valuable | .792 | .892 | | | |
| INVO4= Exciting | .753 | .753 | | | |
| INVO5= Stimulating | .74 | .741 | | | |
| INVO6= Desirable | .720 | .720 | | | |
| INVO7= Wanted | .64 | .906 | | | |
| INVO8= Mean a lot | .694 | 1 | .901 | | |

Table 5.9.5 Food Involvement (INVO) Construct Item-Total Statistics

| Table 5.9.6 Food In | Table 5.9.6 Food Involvement Construct Reliability Statistics | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items | | | | | |
| .911 | 5.341 | 8 | | | | | |

Table 5.9.6 describes that the Cronbach's alpha for the Food Involvement construct is 0.911, which is above the suggested threshold of 0.7. It indicates that the construct has sufficient reliability.

Construct 4: Food Satisfaction

Table 5.9.7 below demonstrates that the corrected item-total correlations for the items of the Food Satisfaction construct range from 0.633 to 0.864. No item was found to be redundant.

| | Corrected | Cronbach's |
|--|-------------|------------|
| Reflective,5 items | Item-Total | Alpha if |
| | Correlation | |
| | | Deleted |
| SAT1= The food experience gave me high satisfaction. | .633 | .888 |
| SAT2= My choice to taste the local cuisine was a wise one. | .810 | .853 |
| SAT3= The food experience gave me a sense of joy. | .763 | .861 |
| SAT4= The food experienced met my expectations. | .864 | .835 |
| SAT5= The food experienced exceeded my expectation. | .636 | .894 |

Table 5.9.7 Food Satisfaction (SAT) Construct Item-Total Statistics

| Table 5.9.8 Food Satisfaction Construct Reliability Statistics | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items | | | |
| .891 | 5.471 | 5 | | | |

It is reviewed from Table 5.9.8 above that the Cronbach's alpha for the Food Satisfaction construct is 0.891, which is above the suggested threshold of 0.7. It indicates that the construct has sufficient reliability.

Construct 5: Food-related behavioural intentions

It is illustrated from Table 5.9.9 that the corrected item-total correlations for the Food-related Behavioural Intentions construct's items range from 0.488 to 0.756, no item was found to be redundant.

| Reflective, 6 items | Corrected Item-Total Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| BEIN1 =I will buy local food products a souvenirs when I finish my travel. | s .488 | .809 |
| BEIN2 =When I go back, I will try to buy the local food souvenirs online. | e .685 | .764 |
| BEIN3=I will share my food tourism experience with my friends/families. | e .756 | .755 |
| BEIN4=I will share my food tourism experience on the internet(Weibo,forum, blog) | e .535 | .797 |
| BEIN5 =I would like to try to cook the nice food had during my travel when go back home. | I .504 | .804 |
| BEIN6 =I would like to know more about the food knowledge and culture. | e .551 | .793 |

Table 5.9.9 Food-related behavioural intentions (BEIN) Construct Item-TotalStatistics

Table 5.9.10 Food-related Behavioural intentions Construct Reliability Statistics

| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| .816 | 4.803 | 6 |

Table 5.9.10 above shows that the Cronbach's alpha for the Food-related Behavioural Intentions construct is 0.891, which is above the suggested threshold of 0.7. It indicates that the construct has sufficient reliability.

Construct 6: Destination Loyalty

Table 5.9.11 illustrates the corrected item-total correlations for the Destination Loyalty construct's items range from 0.650 to 0.737, no item was found to be redundant and has to be removed.

 Table 5.9.11 Destination Loyalty (LOYA) Construct Item-Total Statistics

| Reflective,3 items | Corrected Item-Total Correlation | - | |
|--|--|---------|--|
| | | Deleted | |
| LOYA1=I would like to recommend the destination to others. | .706 | .760 | |
| LOYA2=I will spread positive word of mouth about the | .737 | .724 | |
| destination. | | | |
| LOYA3=I will visit the destination again. | .650 | .823 | |

| Table 5.9.12 Destination Loyalty Construct Re | liability Statistics |
|---|----------------------|
|---|----------------------|

| Cronbach's Alpha | Item Means | N of Items |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| .832 | 5.835 | 3 |

Table 5.9.12 presents that the Cronbach's alpha for the Destination Loyalty construct is 0.832, which is above the suggested threshold of 0.7. Thus, it can be inferred that the Destination Loyalty construct employed in this study has sufficient reliability.

Appendix 5.6 Independent Samples Test (for non-response bias)

| | | | | Leve | ne's | T-test | for Eq | uality of N | Aeans | |
|-------|------------------|----------------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | Test | for | | | | | |
| | | | | Equa | lity | | | | | |
| | | | | of | | | | | | |
| | | | | Varia | | | | Γ | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | F | Sig. | t | | 0 | Mean | Std.Error |
| | | | | | | | | (2-tailed) | Difference | Difference |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| MOT1 | Equal vari | iances assumed | [| 1.806 | 0.182 | 1.038 | 98 | 0.302 | 0.280 | 0.270 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 1.038 | 93.586 | 0.302 | 0.280 | 0.270 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| MOT5 | Equal vari | iances assumed | [| 0.565 | 0.454 | 1.190 | 98 | 0.237 | 0.360 | 0.303 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 1.190 | 94.714 | 0.237 | 0.360 | 0.303 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| MOT6 | Equal vari | iances assumed | | 0.448 | 0.505 | 0.811 | 98 | 0.419 | 0.200 | 0.247 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 0.811 | 91.412 | 0.419 | 0.200 | 0.247 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| IS1 | Equal vari | iances assumed | l | 0.066 | 0.797 | -1.240 | | 0.218 | -0.400 | 0.323 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | -1.240 | 97.302 | 0.218 | -0.400 | 0.323 |
| - | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| | | iances assumed | <u> </u> | 0.453 | 0.503 | -0.199 | | 0.843 | -0.060 | 0.301 |
| | Equal assumed | variances | not | | | -0.199 | 96.392 | 0.843 | -0.060 | 0.301 |
| IS6 | Equal vari | iances assumed | l | 0.417 | 0.520 | -1.643 | 98 | 0.104 | -0.460 | 0.280 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | -1.643 | 96.844 | 0.104 | -0.460 | 0.280 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| IS9 | Equal vari | iances assumed | l | 0.291 | 0.590 | -0.063 | 98 | 0.950 | -0.020 | 0.317 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | -0.063 | 96.156 | 0.950 | -0.020 | 0.317 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| IS10 | Equal vari | iances assumed | [| 0.677 | 0.413 | 0.301 | 98 | 0.764 | 0.100 | 0.333 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 1.376 | 95.208 | 0.172 | 0.340 | 0.247 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| INVO2 | Equal vari | iances assumed | l | 0.455 | 0.502 | 1.111 | 98 | 0.269 | 0.300 | 0.270 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 1.111 | 93.858 | 0.269 | 0.300 | 0.270 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | iances assumed | | 0.162 | | 1.510 | | | 0.380 | 0.252 |
| | Equal | variances | not | | | 1.510 | 95.262 | 0.134 | 0.380 | 0.252 |
| | assumed | | | | | | | | | |

| SAT3 | Equal variances assumed | 0.715 | 0.400 | 1.403 | 98 | 0.164 | 0.360 | 0.257 |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 1.403 | 94.218 | 0.164 | 0.360 | 0.257 |
| | | 0 1 2 2 | 0 726 | -0.371 | 00 | 0.711 | -0.100 | 0.269 |
| | Equal variances assumed | 0.125 | | | | | | |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -0.371 | 96.69 | 0.711 | -0.100 | 0.269 |
| BEIN1 | Equal variances assumed | 1.512 | 0.222 | 1.240 | 98 | 0.218 | 0.380 | 0.306 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 1.240 | 93.625 | 0.218 | 0.380 | 0.306 |
| BEIN3 | Equal variances assumed | 0.049 | 0.825 | -0.517 | 98 | 0.606 | -0.120 | 0.232 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | -0.517 | 97.003 | 0.606 | -0.120 | 0.232 |
| LOYA1 | Equal variances assumed | 0.145 | 0.704 | 0.224 | 98 | 0.823 | 0.060 | 0.267 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 0.224 | 95.691 | 0.823 | 0.060 | 0.267 |
| LOYA2 | Equal variances assumed | 0.078 | 0.780 | 0.077 | 98 | 0.939 | 0.020 | 0.259 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 0.077 | 97.13 | 0.939 | 0.020 | 0.259 |

Appendix 5.7 Test of normality and descriptive statistics

| | Descriptive Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | Skewnes | 8 | Kurtosis | | |
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Std. Error | Statistic | Std. Error | |
| MOT1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.22 | 1.455 | -0.739 | 0.059 | 0.081 | 0.119 | |
| MOT2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.39 | 1.350 | -0.881 | 0.059 | 0.509 | 0.119 | |
| MOT3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.62 | 1.573 | -0.27 | 0.059 | -0.626 | 0.119 | |
| MOT4 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.02 | 1.500 | -0.561 | 0.059 | -0.314 | 0.119 | |
| MOT5 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.16 | 1.565 | -0.715 | 0.059 | -0.198 | 0.119 | |
| MOT6 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.72 | 1.305 | -1.12 | 0.059 | 1.099 | 0.119 | |
| IS0 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.49 | 1.098 | -0.548 | 0.059 | 0.741 | 0.119 | |
| IS1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.31 | 1.579 | -0.552 | 0.059 | -0.453 | 0.119 | |
| IS2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.47 | 1.509 | -0.554 | 0.059 | -0.276 | 0.119 | |
| IS3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.87 | 1.531 | -0.72 | 0.059 | 0.097 | 0.119 | |
| IS4 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.60 | 1.547 | -0.503 | 0.059 | -0.31 | 0.119 | |
| IS5 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.15 | 1.554 | -0.203 | 0.059 | -0.582 | 0.119 | |
| IS6 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.15 | 1.512 | -0.285 | 0.059 | -0.457 | 0.119 | |
| IS7 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.80 | 1.529 | -0.677 | 0.059 | -0.004 | 0.119 | |
| IS8 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.01 | 1.511 | -0.867 | 0.059 | 0.373 | 0.119 | |
| IS9 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.16 | 1.541 | -0.86 | 0.059 | 0.197 | 0.119 | |
| IS10 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.07 | 1.573 | -0.836 | 0.059 | 0.099 | 0.119 | |
| IS11 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.90 | 1.309 | -1.474 | 0.059 | 2.128 | 0.119 | |
| IS12 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.39 | 1.434 | -0.996 | 0.059 | 0.749 | 0.119 | |
| IS13 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.63 | 1.041 | -0.653 | 0.059 | 0.512 | 0.119 | |
| INVO1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.43 | 1.287 | -0.869 | 0.059 | 0.718 | 0.119 | |
| INVO2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.42 | 1.289 | -0.819 | 0.059 | 0.437 | 0.119 | |
| INVO3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.34 | 1.279 | -0.64 | 0.059 | 0.051 | 0.119 | |
| INVO4 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.31 | 1.299 | -0.547 | 0.059 | -0.088 | 0.119 | |
| INVO5 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.96 | 1.384 | -0.374 | 0.059 | -0.246 | 0.119 | |
| INVO6 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.44 | 1.252 | -0.697 | 0.059 | 0.2 | 0.119 | |
| INVO7 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.33 | 1.317 | -0.598 | 0.059 | -0.151 | 0.119 | |
| INVO8 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.32 | 1.324 | -0.604 | 0.059 | -0.106 | 0.119 | |
| SAT1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.03 | 1.297 | -0.554 | 0.059 | 0.268 | 0.119 | |
| SAT2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.23 | 1.256 | -0.59 | 0.059 | 0.144 | 0.119 | |
| SAT3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.22 | 1.283 | -0.545 | 0.059 | 0.05 | 0.119 | |
| SAT4 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.90 | 1.339 | -0.338 | 0.059 | -0.105 | 0.119 | |
| SAT5 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.74 | 1.424 | -0.236 | 0.059 | -0.279 | 0.119 | |
| BEIN1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.30 | 1.343 | -0.844 | 0.059 | 0.564 | 0.119 | |
| BEIN2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.50 | 1.485 | -0.283 | 0.059 | -0.479 | 0.119 | |

| BEIN3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.61 | 1.177 | -0.933 | 0.059 | 0.769 | 0.119 |
|-------|------|---|---|------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| BEIN4 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.20 | 1.385 | -0.658 | 0.059 | 0.078 | 0.119 |
| BEIN5 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 4.75 | 1.501 | -0.438 | 0.059 | -0.2 | 0.119 |
| BEIN6 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.25 | 1.330 | -0.571 | 0.059 | -0.005 | 0.119 |
| LOYA1 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.36 | 1.273 | -0.898 | 0.059 | 0.931 | 0.119 |
| LOYA2 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.34 | 1.213 | -0.64 | 0.059 | 0.299 | 0.119 |
| LOYA3 | 1702 | 1 | 7 | 5.23 | 1.345 | -0.589 | 0.059 | 0.034 | 0.119 |

| City | Frequency | City | Frequency | City | Frequency |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Taipei | 28 | Pingyao | 2 | Jilong | 1 |
| Hong Kong | 24 | Yanji | 2 | Daqing | 1 |
| Suzhou | 20 | Zhangjia jie | 2 | Yichang | 1 |
| Sanya | 15 | Luzhou | 2 | Xuancheng | 1 |
| Dalian | 12 | Wenzhou | 2 | Changshan | 1 |
| Lijiang | 9 | Qinhuang dao | 2 | Changshu | 1 |
| Jinan | 9 | Mianyang | 2 | Ku'er le | 1 |
| Zhuhai | 9 | Xishuangbanna | 2 | Dezhou | 1 |
| Ningbo | 8 | Yuncheng | 2 | Chengde | 1 |
| Wuxi | 8 | Fuyang | 2 | Panzhi hua | 1 |
| Shaxian | 8 | Shangri-la | 2 | Rizhao | 1 |
| Shenzhen | 8 | Huangshi | 2 | Pu'er | 1 |
| Luoyang | 7 | Zhongshan | 2 | Zhuzhou | 1 |
| Macao | 7 | Wuzhen | 2 | Ge'er mu | 1 |
| Zhanjiang | 6 | Yichun | 2 | Shanwei | 1 |
| Zigong | 6 | Foshan | 2 | Hengshui | 1 |
| Dongguan | 4 | Nanning | 2 | Jining | 1 |
| Dali | 4 | Taizhou | 2 | Huainan | 1 |
| Mount Emei | 4 | Baoji | 2 | Huaian | 1 |
| Yangzhou | 4 | Yueyang | 2 | Wenling | 1 |
| Chaozhou | 4 | Changzhou | 2 | Xiangtan | 1 |
| Fuzhou | 4 | Yangjiang | 1 | Yantai | 1 |
| Zunyi | 4 | A'li | 1 | Putian | 1 |
| Shunde | 4 | Jingjiang | 1 | Xitang | 1 |
| Kaifeng | 3 | Huanggang | 1 | Chifeng | 1 |
| Datong | 3 | Huangshan | 1 | Chenzhou | 1 |
| Weihai | 3 | Dandong | 1 | Ordos | 1 |
| Yibin | 3 | Yiwu | 1 | Tongren | 1 |
| Nanchong | 3 | Xinyang | 1 | | |
| Xuzhou | 3 | Baotou | 1 | | |
| Shantou | 3 | Xianyang | 1 | | |
| Hengyang | 3 | Hami | 1 | | |
| Lianyun gang | 3 | Jiaxing | 1 | | |

Appendix 6.1 Indication of the destinations that has food appeal

| Appendix 6.2 Descriptive | e analysis in pre-visit s | stageFood-related motivation |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|

| Food-related motivation | SD | DI | SI | NE | WA | AE | SA | Mean |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| (MOT) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| MOT1=Food plays an | 30 | 65 | 103 | 298 | 367 | 482 | 357 | 5.22 |
| important role in my | | | | | | | | |
| destination choice. | (1.8) | (3.8) | (6.1) | (17.5) | (21.6) | (28.3) | (21.0) | |
| MOT2=Prior to my | 21 | 43 | 89 | 250 | 363 | 572 | 364 | 5.39 |
| trip, I planned food | | | | | | | | |
| choices to experience | (1.2) | (2.5) | (5.2) | (14.7) | (21.3) | (33.6) | (21.4) | |
| local culture. | | | | | | | | |
| MOT3=I decided on | 52 | 123 | 220 | 411 | 342 | 330 | 224 | 4.62 |
| destinations to visit | | | | | | | | |
| only based on the | (3.1) | (7.2) | (12.9) | (24.1) | (20.1) | (19.4) | (13.2) | |
| foods I wanted to | | | | | | | | |
| experience. | | | | | | | | |
| MOT4=I usually do | 32 | 83 | 144 | 344 | 348 | 451 | 300 | 5.02 |
| some research about | | | | | | | | |
| the local food or | (1.9) | (4.9) | (8.5) | (20.2) | (20.4) | (26.5) | (17.6) | |
| restaurants prior to | | | | | | | | |
| my trip. | | | | | | | | |
| MOT5=Prior to my | 40 | 88 | 125 | 284 | 324 | 457 | 384 | 5.16 |
| trip, one of the things I | | | | | | | | |
| anticipate is eating the | (2.4) | (5.2) | (7.3) | (16.7) | (19.0) | (26.9) | (22.6) | |
| food there. | | | | | | | | |
| MOT6=I like trying | 15 | 37 | 42 | 204 | 300 | 532 | 572 | 5.72 |
| different styles or | | | | | | | | |
| types of food during | (.9) | (2.2) | (2.5) | (12.0) | (17.6) | (31.3) | (33.6) | |
| travel. | | | | | | | | |

Note: the answer was based on a 7-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 7=strongly agree) SD- Strongly disagree, DI-Disagree, SI- Somewhat disagree, NE-Neutral, WA- Somewhat agree AE-Agree, and SA- Strongly agree

Appendix 6.3 Descriptive analysis in pre-visit stage---Information search

| Information | SD | DI | SI | NE | WA | AE | SA | Mean |
|---------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| source(IS) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| Newspaper/ | 134 | 119 | 225 | 300 | 522 | 327 | 75 | 4.31 |
| magazines ads | (7.9) | (7.0) | (13.2) | (17.6) | (30.7) | (19.2) | (4.4) | |
| Brochures | 90 | 110 | 217 | 327 | 509 | 355 | 94 | 4.47 |
| | (5.3) | (6.5) | (12.7) | (19.2) | (29.9) | (20.9) | (5.5) | |
| TV/Radio AD | 78 | 62 | 155 | 287 | 474 | 426 | 220 | 4.87 |
| | (4.6) | (3.6) | (9.1) | (16.9) | (27.8) | (25.0) | (12.9) | |
| Internet | 84 | 88 | 207 | 368 | 406 | 395 | 154 | 4.60 |
| advertising | (4.9) | (5.2) | (12.2) | (21.6) | (23.9) | (23.2) | (9.0) | |
| Travel agency ads | 109 | 150 | 297 | 414 | 378 | 259 | 95 | 4.15 |
| | (6.4) | (8.8) | (17.5) | (24.3) | (22.2) | (15.2) | (5.6) | |
| Outdoor media ads | 111 | 135 | 287 | 416 | 435 | 239(| 79 | 4.15 |
| | (6.5) | (7.9) | (16.9) | (24.4) | (25.6) | 14.0) | (4.6) | |
| Newspaper/ | 77 | 73 | 157 | 317 | 451 | 433 | 194 | 4.80 |
| Magazines news | (4.5) | (4.3) | (9.2) | (18.6) | (26.5) | (25.4) | (11.4) | |
| Television/ Radio | 69 | 68 | 107 | 266 | 453 | 494 | 245 | 5.01 |
| news | (4.1) | (4.0) | (6.3) | (15.6) | (26.6) | (29.0) | (14.4) | |
| Websites news | 54 | 73 | 115 | 241 | 376 | 504 | 339 | 5.16 |
| | (3.2) | (4.3) | (6.8) | (14.2) | (22.1) | (29.6) | (19.9) | |
| Social media | 64 | 85 | 116 | 244 | 389 | 498 | 306 | 5.07 |
| information | (3.8) | (5.0) | (6.8) | (14.3) | (22.9) | (29.3) | (18.0) | |
| Friends or families | 21 | 30 | 50 | 129 | 245 | 511 | 716 | 5.90 |
| recommendation | (1.2) | (1.8) | (2.9) | (7.6) | (14.4) | (30.0) | (42.1) | |
| Other Tourists' | 40 | 45 | 76 | 243 | 357 | 534 | 407 | 5.39 |
| Recommendation | (2.4) | (2.6) | (4.5) | (14.3) | (21.0) | (31.4) | (23.9) | |
| Past experience/ | 3 | 10 | 22 | 208 | 446 | 652 | 361 | 5.63 |
| general knowledge | (0.2) | (0.6) | (1.3) | (12.2) | (26.2) | (38.3) | (21.2) | |

Note: the answer was based on a 7-point Likert scale (1=not important at all, 7=extremely important) NI- Not important at all, LI-Low importance, SL-Slightly important, NE-Neutral, ML-Moderately important, VL-Very important, and EL- Extremely important

Appendix 6.4 Differences in attitudes on the information sources between different age groups

| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Squar e | F | Post-Hoc |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Friends/Family | Between Groups | 20.769 | 4 | 5.192 | 3.043** | N/A |
| Recommendation | Within Groups | 2895.812 | 1697 | 1.706 | | |
| | Total | 2916.580 | 1701 | | | |
| Past experience | Between Groups | 18.301 | 4 | 4.575 | 4.251** | |
| /general | Within Groups | 1826.387 | 1697 | 1.076 | | 56-65>18-25; |
| knowledge | Total | 1844.689 | 1701 | | | 56-65>36-45; 56-65>46-55 |
| Other Terristel | Between Groups | 22.805 | 4 | 5.701 | 2.783** | N/A |
| Other Tourists' Recommendation | Within Groups | 3476.810 | 1697 | 2.049 | | |
| Recommendation | Total | 3499.615 | 1701 | | | - |
| | Between Groups | 79.149 | 4 | 19.787 | 8.484*** | 18-25>46-55; |
| Website news | Within Groups | 3958.094 | 1697 | 2.332 | | 26-35>46-55 |
| | Total | 4037.243 | 1701 | | | |
| Social media | Between Groups | 154.556 | 4 | 38.639 | 16.168** * | 18-25>36-45 18-25>46-55; |
| Information | Within Groups | 4055.555 | 1697 | 2.390 | | 26-35>36- 45; |
| mormation | Total | 4210.111 | 1701 | | | 26-35>46-55; |
| Television/ | Between Groups | 30.085 | 4 | 7.521 | 3.225** | N/A |
| Radio news | Within Groups | 3958.104 | 1697 | 2.332 | | |
| | Total | 3988.189 | 1701 | | | |
| Television/ | Between Groups | 13.330 | 4 | 3.333 | 1.461n.s | N/A |
| Radio news | Within Groups | 3870.331 | 1697 | 2.281 | | |
| | Total | 3883.662 | 1701 | | | |
| Newspaper/ | Between Groups | 16.458 | 4 | 4.114 | 1.763n.s | N/A |
| Magazine News | Within Groups | 3959.816 | 1697 | 2.333 | | |
| | Total | 3976.273 | 1701 | | | |
| Internet | Between Groups | 46.068 | 4 | 11.517 | 4.854*** | 18-25>36-45; |
| advertising | Within Groups | 4026.050 | 1697 | 2.372 | | 26-35>36-45 |
| | Total | 4072.118 | 1701 | | | |
| Brochure | Between Groups | 14.139 | 4 | 3.535 | 1.555n.s | N/A |
| | Within Groups | 3857.452 | 1697 | 2.273 | | |
| | Total | 3871.591 | 1701 | | | |
| Newspaper/ | Between Groups | 10.907 | 4 | 2.727 | 1.093n.s | N/A |
| Magazine AD | Within Groups | 4232.294 | 1697 | 2.494 | | |
| | Total | 4243.201 | 1701 | | | |

| Outdoor media | Between Groups | 19.195 | 4 | 4.799 | 2.105n.s | N/A |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|------|-------|----------|-----|
| ads | Within Groups | 3869.087 | 1697 | 2.280 | | |
| | Total | 3888.282 | 1701 | | | |
| Travel agency | Between Groups | 26.217 | 4 | 6.554 | 2.725*** | N/A |
| ads | Within Groups | 4081.977 | 1697 | 2.405 | | |
| | Total | 4108.193 | 1701 | | | |
| **p<0.05, *** p<0 | 0.01. | | | | | |

Appendix 6.5 Differences in attitudes on the top five information sources between different educational groups

| | | Sum of | df | Mean | F | Post-Hoc |
|-----------------|----------------|----------|------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | | Squares | | Square | | |
| Friends/Family | Between Groups | 13.119 | 3 | 4.373 | 2.557n.s. | N/A |
| Recommendati | Within Groups | 2903.462 | 1698 | 1.710 | | |
| on | Total | 2916.580 | 1701 | | | |
| Past Experience | Between Groups | 3.691 | 3 | 1.230 | 1.135n.s | N/A |
| | Within Groups | 1840.998 | 1698 | 1.084 | | |
| | Total | 1844.689 | 1701 | | | |
| Other Tourists' | Between Groups | 14.659 | 3 | 4.886 | 2.381n.s. | N/A |
| Recommendati | Within Groups | 3484.956 | 1698 | 2.052 | | |
| on | Total | 3499.615 | 1701 | | | |
| Website News | Between Groups | 106.855 | 3 | 35.618 | 15.388** * | College/university > |
| | Within Groups | 3930.388 | 1698 | 2.315 | | Junior school |
| | Total | 4037.243 | 1701 | | | College/university |
| | | | | | | > |
| | | | | | | High school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | Junior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | High school |
| Social Media | Between Groups | 125.660 | 3 | 41.887 | 17.413** | College/university |
| Information | | | | | * | > |
| | Within Groups | 4084.452 | 1698 | 2.405 | | Junior school |
| | Total | 4210.111 | 1701 | | | College/university |
| | | | | | | > |
| | | | | | | Senior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | Junior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | D. C | 44.205 | 2 | 14705 | C 5 4 0 4 14 14 | Senior school |
| TV/Radio | Between Groups | 44.385 | 3 | | 6.543*** | College/university |
| News | Within Groups | 3839.277 | | 2.261 | | |
| | Total | 3883.662 | 1701 | | | Junior school |
| | | | | | | College/university |
| | | | | | | > Senior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | Junior school |
| | | <u> </u> | | | l | sumor school |
| | | | | | | |

| TV/Radio AD | Between Groups | 27.740 | 3 | 9.247 | 3.964*** | N/A |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | Within Groups | 3960.449 | 1698 | 2.332 | | |
| | Total | 3988.189 | 1701 | | | |
| Newspaper/Maga | Between Groups | 58.507 | 3 | 19.502 | 8.452*** | College/university |
| zine News | Within Groups | 3917.766 | 1698 | 2.307 | | > |
| | Total | 3976.273 | 1701 | | | Junior school |
| | | | | | | College/university |
| | | | | | | > |
| | | | | | | Senior school |
| Internet AD | Between Groups | 49.392 | 3 | 16.464 | 6.949*** | College/university |
| | Within Groups | 4022.726 | 1698 | 2.369 | | > |
| | Total | 4072.118 | 1701 | | | Senior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | Senior school |
| Tourist Brochure | Between Groups | 10.579 | 3 | 3.526 | 1.551n.s | N/A |
| | Within Groups | 3861.012 | 1698 | 2.274 | | |
| | Total | 3871.591 | 1701 | | | |
| Newspaper/Maga | Between Groups | 34.340 | 3 | 11.447 | 4.618*** | College/university |
| zine AD | Within Groups | 4208.861 | 1698 | 2.479 | | > |
| | Total | 4243.201 | 1701 | | | Senior school |
| | | | | | | Graduate school > |
| | | | | | | Senior school |
| Outdoor AD | Between Groups | 50.856 | 3 | 16.952 | 4.501*** | N/A |
| | Within Groups | 3837.426 | 1698 | 2.260 | | |
| | Total | 3888.282 | 1701 | | | |
| Travel Agency | Between Groups | 30.252 | 3 | 10.084 | 4.199*** | N/A |
| AD | Within Groups | 4077.941 | 1698 | 2.402 | | |
| | Total | 4108.193 | 1701 | 14.795 | | |
| **p<0.05, *** p<0 | 0.01, n.s. p>0.05 | | | | | |

| Appendix 6.6 Descriptive an | alysis in the post- trave | el stagefood satisfaction |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|

| Food Satisfaction | SD | DI | SI | NE | WA | AE | SA | Mean |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| SAT1=Overall, I'm | 26 | 41 | 81 | 453 | 403 | 497 | 201 | 5.03 |
| satisfied with the local | (1.5) | (2.4) | (4.8) | (26.6) | (23.7) | (29.2) | (11.8) | |
| food. | | | | | | | | |
| SAT2=My choice to | 13 | 35 | 70 | 387 | 378 | 565 | 254 | 5.23 |
| taste the local cuisine | (.8) | (2.1) | (4.1) | (22.7) | (22.2) | (33.2) | (14.9) | |
| was a wise one. | | | | | | | | |
| SAT3=The food | 15 | 32 | 79 | 391 | 384 | 518 | 283 | 5.22 |
| experience gave me a | (.9) | (1.9) | (4.6) | (23.0) | (22.6) | (30.4) | (16.6) | |
| sense of joy. | | | | | | | | |
| SAT4=The food | 27 | 40 | 131 | 503 | 384 | 407 | 210 | 4.90 |
| experienced met my | (1.6) | 2.4) | (7.7) | (29.6) | (22.6) | (23.9) | (12.3) | |
| expectations. | | | | | | | | |
| SAT5=The food | 38 | 61 | 159 | 561 | 321 | 347 | 215 | 4.74 |
| experienced exceeded | (2.2) | (3.6) | (9.3) | (33.0) | (18.9) | (20.4) | (12.6) | |
| my expectation. | | | | | | | | |

Note: the answer was based on a 7-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 7=strongly agree) SD- Strongly disagree, DI-Disagree, SI- Somewhat disagree, NE-Neutral, WA- Somewhat agree AE-Agree, and SA- Strongly agree

| Appendix 6.7 Descriptive analysis in the post-travel stagefood-related behavioural |
|--|
| intentions |

| Food-related | SD | DI | SI | NE | WA | AE | SA | Mean |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| behavioural intentions | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| BEIN=I will buy local | 26 | 41 | 85 | 281 | 393 | 562 | 314 | 5.30 |
| food products as | (1.5) | (2.4) | (5.0) | (16.5) | (23.1) | (33.0) | (18.4) | |
| souvenirs when I finish | | | | | | | | |
| my travel. | | | | | | | | |
| BEIN2=When I go | 54 | 113 | 240 | 444(2 | 360 | 356 | 135 | 4.50 |
| back, I will try to buy | (3.2) | (6.6) | (14.1) | 6.1) | (21.2) | (20.9) | (7.9) | |
| the local food souvenirs | | | | | | | | |
| online. | | | | | | | | |
| BEIN3=I will share my | 5 | 25 | 63 | 193 | 356 | 667 | 393 | 5.61 |
| food tourism experience | (5.3) | (1.5) | (3.7) | (11.3) | (20.9) | (39.2) | (23.1) | |
| with my friends/families | | | | | | | | |
| BEIN4=I will share my | 24 | 53 | 87 | 369 | 348 | 551 | 310 | 5.20 |
| food tourism experience | (1.4) | (3.1) | (5.1) | (21.7) | (20.4) | (30.0) | (18.2) | |
| on the internet | | | | | | | | |
| (Weibo, forum, blog) | | | | | | | | |
| BEIN5=I would like to | 64 | 57 | 176 | 457 | 349 | 385 | 214 | 4.75 |
| try to cook the nice food | (3.8) | (3.3) | (10.3 | (26.9) | (20.5) | (22.6) | (12.6) | |
| I had during my travel | | | | | | | | |
| when go back home. | | | | | | | | |
| BEIN6= I would like to | 18 | 33 | 85 | 384 | 358 | 497 | 327 | 5.25 |
| know more about the | (1.1) | (1.9) | (5.0) | (22.6) | (21.0) | (29.2) | (19.2) | |
| food knowledge and | | | | | | | | |
| culture. | | | | | | | | |

Note: the answer was based on a 7-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 7=strongly agree) SD- Strongly disagree, DI-Disagree, SI- Somewhat disagree, NE-Neutral, WA- Somewhat agree AE-Agree, and SA- Strongly agree

Appendix 7.1 Test of mediation through bootstrapping

| | Mediator | First Question | | Second Question | | Third | Mediation |
|--------|----------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| | variable | Direct | Significance | Indirect | Significance | Question | |
| | | effect | (p value) | effect | (p value) | (VAF%) | |
| | | (t value) | | (t | | | |
| | | | | value) | | | |
| MOT -> | IS | 0.573 | *** | 0.054 | *** | 8.6% | No |
| INVO | | (33.852) | (0.000) | (6.116) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| MOT -> | IS | 0.065 | NS | | | | |
| SAT | | (2.639) | | | | | |
| MOT -> | INVO | 0.065 | *** | 0.250 | *** | 80% | Full |
| SAT | | (11.041) | (0.000) | (3.359) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| MOT -> | IS | 0.275 | *** | 0.051 | *** | 15.6% | No |
| BEIN | | (11.305) | (0.000) | (5.734) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| MOT -> | INVO | 0.275 | *** | 0.124 | *** | 31.1% | Partial |
| BEIN | | (15.715) | (0.000) | (6.668) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| MOT -> | SAT | 0.275 | *** | 0.017 | ** | 5.8% | No |
| BEIN | | (10.596) | (0.000) | (2.166) | (0.030) | | Mediation |
| MOT -> | IS | -0.039 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (1.392) | | | | | |
| MOT -> | INVO | -0.039 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (0.215) | | | | | |
| MOT -> | SAT | -0.039 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (1.086) | | | | | |
| MOT -> | BEIN | -0.039 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (0.443) | | | | | |
| IS -> | INVO | 0.103 | *** | 0.070 | *** | 40% | Partial |
| SAT | | (6.789) | (0.000) | (6.425) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| IS -> | INVO | 0.151 | *** | 0.035 | *** | 19% | No |
| BEIN | | (7.661) | (0.000) | (4.969) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| IS -> | SAT | 0.151 | *** | 0.024 | *** | 14% | No |
| BEIN | | (7.472) | (0.000) | (3.776) | (0.000) | | Mediation |
| IS -> | INVO | 0.070 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (3.618) | | | | | |
| IS -> | SAT | 0.070 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (3.793) | | | | | |
| IS -> | BEIN | 0.070 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | (4.187) | | | | | |
| INVO-> | SAT | 0.217 | *** | 0.102 | *** | 32% | Partial |
| BEIN | | (10.564) | (0.000) | (7.682) | (0.000) | | Mediation |

| INVO-> | SAT | 0.051 | *** | 0.243 | NS | | |
|--------|------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----|-----------|
| LOYA | | (7.699) | (0.000) | (0.966) | | | |
| INVO-> | BEIN | 0.051 | NS | | | | |
| LOYA | | 2.777 | | | | | |
| SAT-> | BEIN | 0.556 | *** | 0.030 | *** | 5% | No |
| LOYA | | (22.9779) | (0.000) | (3.590) | (0.000) | | Mediation |