01 University of Plymouth Research Outputs

University of Plymouth Research Outputs

2010-01

What does doodling do?

Andrade, J

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/4701

10.1002/acp.1561 Applied Cognitive Psychology Wiley

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

What does doodling do?

Jackie Andrade

School of Psychology, University of Plymouth, U.K.

Address for correspondence: Prof Jackie Andrade
School of Psychology
University of Psymouth
Date Casse
Psymouth
PL4 KAA
Devon
UK
cmil: jantosle@phymouth.ac.ide
sci. +44 (0) 1722 584807

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Tim Perfect, Michael Verde, Jon May, Samantha Webber, Hayley Penton for their

comments.

Abstract

Doodling is a way of passing the time when borred by a locuse or telephone call. Does it improve or hinder attention to the primary task. To answer this question, 40 participants monitored an amountment of monitorm mode telephone reages for the masse of periode conting to a party. Half of the group was randomly assigned to a 'doodling' condition where they shaded printed shapes while linewing to the telephone call. The doodling group performed better on the monitoring task and recalled 29% more information on a surprise memory test. Unlike many dual task instruction, doodling while working on the beneficial. Putture measuch could test whether doodling aids cognitive performance by reducing daydreaming or helping maintain optimal levels of around.

(118 words)

What does doodling d

The cell centre has put you on hold yet again and you tear thinking about how good it would be to have a heldin, where you would like to visit ... then you estate that the person you have been wishing to speak to has already started talking and you howes' triags in anything they've usid. This scenario illustrates the textury for dayden-using to start in moments of boodman and, once started, to distinct attention from the task in hand. In such a situation some people mout to doodling, aiminosily darching patterns of figures marked to the primary task. It, is not know whether doodling impairs performance by destincing convoices from the primary task, is, as would be the case for most concurrent cognitive task, or whether it improves performance by adding concentration (Dr. & Schalter, 2000) and alarming around (Waton & K. Aco., 2007). This question the same one general issues is cognitive and applied psychology. Benedom is a very common expecience (Harin, 2000) and daydenoming is a common request, even in the laboratory (Smallwood & Schoder, 2006). A way of adding concentration would have implication for probablegal research ended as well as practical applications. Dual task designs are commonly used to pin-point specific cognitive resources needed to perform a task, but they fail to do this accurately if the effects of boredom are overlooked, Profumment electroment funds, location in disabilities and a surfacing or elevated anomal hereby that can be a hidden feature of single task countel conditions (Smallwood, O'Connor, Sudberly & Olossani, 2007).

This study is the first experimental test known to the author of the prediction that doctiling and concentration. Participants Instead to a monotonous mock telephone message. As audinory task was chosen so that docding would compete minimally for modality-specific resources. Participants monitored the message for specific, infrequent information and

atherwards attempted a suprise recult tee for that information and for incidental information. Performance was measured in terms of monitoring accuracy and memory, which was assumed to reflect the depth of processing of the monitored material. Rather than being attack to doubt for brethy, participants were asked to shade in printed shapes on the response-bate, which was very gain doubt to doubt for the popular depth of the popular depth of the depth of the response bates, which was the state of the popular depth of the depth of the

Participants and design

Method

Participants were 40 members of the MBC Applied Psychology Usit (now the Cignition and Brain Sciences Unity) participant panel, recruited from the general population and aged between IS and 55 years. They were good as small bonomium for shall grait. Participants were randomly assigned to the control (N = 20; 2 male) or docstling group (N = 20; 3 male). All participants monitored a sleighbour message and then attempted to second monitored and incidental information. Recall order was counterchanced across participants.

A mock telephone message was recorded onto audio casserte tape in a fairly monotone voice at an averages speaking rate of 227 words per minute, and played at a comfortable listening volume. The script included eight names of people attending a party, and names of three

people and one cut who could not attend (see Appendix). Eight place names were mentioned, along with much irrelevant material.

Participants in the doodling condition used a pencil to shade shapes of approximately 1 cm dameter printed on a piece of A4 paper, with 10 shapes per ow and alternating rows of squares and circles. A 4.5 cm wide murgin on the left hand side allowed space for writing the target information. Control participants wrote the target information on a lined piece of paper.

Descado

Participants were recruited just after fundating an unrelated experiment (on ways of giving discretions to different boustions) for another researcher, and adult if they would mind spending under five minutes helping with research. The interation was to enhance the bookmon of the tast by testing people who were already thinking about going bone. Participants were tested individually in a quiet and visually dail room. They were told:

"I am going to play you a tage. I want you to pertend that the speciate is a friend who has utophoned you to invite you to a party. The tage is rather dail but that's okey because I don't want you to remember any of it. I am wise down the names of people who will definishly or publishly be coming to heapy (excluding younds). I grow the names of those who can't come. Do not write anything else."

Participants in the doodling condition were also asked to shade in the squares and circles while listening to the tape. They were told "It doesn't matter how nearly or how quickly you do this - it is just something to help relieve the boredom."

Participants listened to the tape, which lasted two and a half minutes, and wrote down the names as instructed. When the tape fluidhed, the experimenter collected the response sheets, and engaged participants in conversation for 1 minute including an upology for mislending them about the memory test. Half the participants were then asked to recall the names of purty-goers and, when they had done that, of the places mentioned. The other half recalled the places first, followed by the names. During debriefing, puricipants were asked if they had

Paculto

Participans in the doodling group shaded a mean of JA-3 of the printed shapes on their response sheet (range 3 to 110). One participant did not doodle and was replaced. Participants in the control condition did not doodle. Three doodless and four controls suspected a memory test. Note said they actively tried to remember information.

Control participants convertly wrote down a near of 7.1 (SD = 1.1) of the eight names of party gener during the tape; for people made a false stame. Doodling participants correctly wrete a must of 7.3 (SD = 0.6) atmost of party-power, one person made one false alam. Humbles mis-harings, such as 'Gng for 'Cng', vero scored as covert. Other new names were covered a false alarms, including names mentioned on the tape in hers. Responses such as 'stad' were ignored. For analysis, monitoring performance was scored as the number of coveres tames minute false alarms. Non-partentive analysis are not because covers were not normally distributed. (Three doodlers and sine controls council for maximum of eight, Municitary performance in the closelling confidence in 7.3, 73. —0.4 was significantly higher than in the council condition (mean = 6.9, SD = 1.3). Mansa Whitney U = 124, p = 0.01 one simble.

Recall performance was scored separately for names and places, using the definitions of correct responses and false alarms above, with the addition that plausible mis-hearings had to be the same in the monitoring and recall phases (see Table). Overall, participants in the doodling condition resulted a mean of 7.5 pieces of information (names and places), 29% more than the mean of 5.8 seculied by the control group. Memory scores were entered into a 2 (doodling, control) by 2 (names, places) mixed measures ANOVA which confromed that the resultword names were resulted better than the insidented places, F(1, 30) \sim 4.09, ρ < 0.000. Recall was better for doodlers than controls, F(1, 33) \approx 6.09, ρ = 0.02, to the innaissent and for incidental information (interaction P = 1). Recoving that now participants who had suspected a text of date at lart the pattern of results (mine effect of group, F(1, 31) \approx 6.9, ρ = 0.01). Entirely monotology performance as a covariate made the group effect marginally significant, F(1, 37) \approx 3.8, ρ = 0.036.

Discussi

Participants who performed a shape shading task, intended as an analogue of unarradistic decoding, concentrated better on a mack telephone message than participants who lintend to the message with no concentrat task. This benefit was seen for monitoring performance and in across on a surprise memory best. When monitoring performance was used as a covariate, the group effects become marginally significant, is a not class whether decoding led to better recall simply because decodiens noticed more of the target names or whether it added memory directly by encouraging deeper processing of the material on the tape.

Two methodological features may have contributed to the beneficial effect of decoding by making the primary task-scene particularly being principates were received and tested immediately after they had finished a colleague's experiment. The intention was to test people when they were more prone to brondon than if they had just unfound at the thorastory, although we have no evidence that this was the case. Everyone was told that the upwould be did, to discovage them from assembling for something interesting in the material. The decoding task was described as 'just conceiling to relieve the brenches', to encourage

participants to do it in a fairly naturalistic, automatic fashion. The instructions contained no suggestion that it would improve cognitive performance. It remains to be discovered whether the benefits of the shading task extend to naturalistic doodling.

the bestifes of the shading task extent to numeritate destiling.

What mechanism might underline the effect of doodling on concentration? One possibility in that doodling simply helps to stabilize amount an optimal received, the people people swike or tracking the high best of autonomic around often associated with breedom (Landon, Schubert & Washbaus, 1972). Finter research using psychophysiological measures might pick up such effects. A more specific hypothesis in that doodling also concentration by reducing doptimizing, is intustions where doptimizing might he now derivatestal to preformance than doodling also concentration by reformance than doodling also concentrated by information than doodling also concentrated by reformance than doodling also concentrated by information than doodling also concentrated by information than doodling also concentrated by individual and the substitution of the size of

net-reported apparametry (Mason et al., 2017).

Supplementing recorst most offset in tasks with few cognitive demands (e.g., Autorbus, 1963), exception counted carestive resources (Smill-robus et al. Schooler, 2006; Teasdale, Prescut, Lisyd & Baddelsy, 1993) and impairs performance on primary cognitive tasks (Schooler & Elist, 1994); Smill-rosed, Bareniais, Lee et & Chousenia, 2007; Smill-rosed, Fahrman & Schooler, 2007; Fauctional Pasis imaging conform that dophecasing is associated with modal perforant cortex activation insulitar but downered during committee preclaiming (Blander et al.). 1997, considerant Fairs published even given with the distribution of the distribution of the conformation. Doculling may robotic disploraming timply by increasing the overall amount of cognitive resources regained, Inviving fewer free for disploraming. This explanation would fit

with Smallwood or al's (2007) hierarchy, in which mind washering occurs less frequently during tasks that demand genere interaction with and retention of externat atlantia. The message monitoring task used have eccouraged dupdrenning because the resource demand of the basic task was for. Because participants were not told about the forthcoming meaning seasons, set, they had lifts incretive to vasial; "demonstrated pulsaring and extension to the task. However, performance on the memory would have presumably benefited from deeper processing of the stimuli and greater time-on-task, it: less depletaming.

deeper processing of the stimuli and greater time on sad, is, less daydrasming.

A more operated hypothesis in that deciding prevent depletensing out simply by increasing the overall resource load but by engaging central executive resources in task distalation that would offerwise place low demands on working memory. New quite until writing memory loads reduce daydrasming (Festablet et al., 1993), A recondary satisface with the deciding should not engage the reduce daydrasming (Festablet et al., 1993), A recondary satisface because the a vicus-squaint task, adopting should not compact for working ferrosmance because it is a vicus-squaint task, adopting should not compact for working ferrosming resources needed for instaing as a lecture or stelphone conversation. Doubling in relatively influential controlled processing such as performance monitoring or inhabition of relevant information. Combining a visus-squaint task like deciding with an analysis of relevant information. Combining a visus-squaint task like deciding with an analysis and relative information. Combining a visus-squaint task like deciding with an analysis and the resource seconds to consolidate verbal and visus-squaint for term memory (Hoddeley, 1996), It is hypothesized that this continual but small central executive resource were for a frequent implantation type of performance that would be caused of central executive resource were for for depotenting.

The present finding that doodling aids concentration, and explaining the potential mechanism for this, has important implications. The extent to which secondary tasks have beneficial effects or fail to have predicted derimental effects in a 'file drawer problem', though a recent

paper by Roche et al (2007) reports unexpected benefits of secondary tasks on visuometer learning that were not due to increased around. Understanding the role of between and deplementing, and that disclose them, much allow a more complete complete analysis of task performance in the laboratory and in real-fife work and educational settings. (Smallwood, Schooler, 2006, Smillwood, Fallman & Schooler, 2007). Ways of maintaining settings to took are also important the contract of depressive mulations and worry, where mind wandering helps maintain dysphoric states (Smallwood, O'Conner et al., 2007).

Reference

- Antrobus, J. S. (1968). Information theory and stimulus-independent thought. British Journal of Psychology, 59, 423-430.
- Baddeley, A. D. (1996). Exploring the central executive. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 49A(1), 5-28.
- Binder, J. R., Frost, J. A., Hammeke, P. S., Bellgowan, S. F. Rao, S. M. & Cox, R. W.

 (1999). Conceptual processing during the conscious resting state: A functional MRI
 study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 11 30-93.
- Do., S. L. & Schallert, D. L. (2004). Emotions and classroom talk: Toward a model of the role of affect in students' experiences of classroom discussions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(4), 619-634.
- Harris, M. B. (2000). Correlates and characteristics of boredom proneness and boredom. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 30(3), 576-598.
- London, H., Schubert, D. S. P. & Washburn, D. (1972). Increase of autonomic arousal by boredom. *Journal of Abstornal Psychology*, 80(1), 29-36.
- Mason, M. F., Norton, M. I., Van Horn, J. D., Wegner, D. M., Graffon, S. T., & Macrae, C. N. (2007). Wandering minds: The default network and stimulus-independent thought. Science, 115, 393-395.
- Roche, R. A. P., Commins, S., Agnew, F., et al. (2007). Concurrent task performance enhances low-level visuomotor learning. Perception & Psychophysics, 69(4), 513-522.

- Selbert, P. S., & Ellis, H. C. (1991). Irrelevant thoughts, emotional mood states and cognitive performance. Memory and Cognition, 5, 907-513.
- Smallwood, J. S. & Schooler, J. W. (2006). The restless mind. Psychological Bulletin, 132(6), 946-958.
- Smilwood, J., Bancaia, S. F., Lowe, M., & Obonawin, M. C. (2003). Task unrelated thought whilst excoding information. Conv. isomers and Cognition, 12, 452-454.
 Smallwood, J., Fishman, D. J., & Schocker, J. W. (2007). Counting the cost of an absent mind. Mind windering as an underrocognized influence on educational performance. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14 (2), 230-236.
- Smallwood, J., O'Connor, R. C., Sudbery, M. V. & Obonsawin, M. (2007). Mind-wandering and dysphoria. Cognition and Emotion, 21(4), 816-842.
- Teadale, J. D., Proener, L., Lloyd, C. A. & Baddeley, A. D. (1993). Working memory and stimulus-independent thought: Effects of memory load and presentation rate. European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 5(4), 417-433.
- Wilson, K., & Kom, J. H. (2007). Attention during lectures: Beyond ten minutes. Teaching of Psychology, 34 (2), 85-89.

Table 1. Mean correct recall, false alarms and memory scores (correct minus false alarms) for names and places for the control and doodling groups (e standard deviation).

	Group		
		Control	Doodling
Names (monitored information)	Correct	43 (1.3)	5.3 (1.4)
	False alarms	0.4 (0.5)	0.3 (0.4)
	Memory score	4.0 (1.5)	5.1 (1.7)
Places (incidental information)	Correct	2.1 (0.9)	2.6 (1.4)
	False alarms	0.3 (0.6)	0.3 (0.4)
	Memory score	1.8 (1.2)	2.4 (1.5)

The boring telephone message: Monitored names are shown in **bold**, incidental places in

The Are you doing snything on Saturday? I'm having a birthdy perry and was hoping you could come. It's not actually up Shindhay, it's my sinter, James's She'll be 21. She's coming up forms. Leader for the weekend and I floungli it would be a nice susprise for her. I've also invoked her hydrician William and one of her old schoolificath. Chare, but she doesn't know that yet. Chine's humbard Night was going to join to be the has just found out that he has to go so a menting in Francisco that day and worth to back it mits. However, then the son to be the has just found out that he has to go so a menting in Francisco that day and worth to back it mits. However, the form of holds they, I can't before it has go so could already. And the evenings are really diversing in array't hey? Always, them is plant of quest dones if it mits. Bell you that I have reducemented the kinchen? It is mainly yellow - the wallpaper is yellow and so in the woodwork, although! I flounght is would be better to leave the ceiling white to make it look lighter. I've still got the coll have a siling of the cold bearings. And a provinced only to lear a first of the open of the moment. Do you remember Craig? I used to shave a flar with him when we were both working for that hasin in Gloine-zery. He has beingli a those in Cold-heave awo be the promises to had term of flown genderated once to lear's pure, Santer a look is going to be lear to the posterior of the promise to the late mention of flown genderated once to lear's pure, Santer, Joseph from norther too. She's the person I met at the portery class in Marlow lest year. Apparently the has got really good at it and may even be hoving on collisions of the work soon. Will you hade to be too. She's the person I met at the portery class in Marlow lest year. Apparently the has got really good at it and may even be hoving on collisions of the work soon. Will you had be to be though some form of the portery of the houng got the first provide the house down a good of the person I met at the portery cl

the road have promised to bring some of their homebrew. There are there of them sharing that home now. John, Trony and Phil. I think they were all st college together. Phil tenches as a primary school and 192 now and the other two communes to Persolverupic each day. I think they both work in the hospital there: I know Trony was training to be a some at one point so maybe he is qualified now. John can't come on Saturday because his pureurs are coming to stay for the weekends but Phil and Trony should be there. Trony has to pick their cits allow up from the vert so he may be a bit late. By the way, dell tell you about our beliday in Edithurge? It was a complete disaster. We were complien and it raised constantly. We spent most of the time in memorant, tripp to heep shy sat dates, to anther two work, Nelly so the handings alond. I was quite glid to get hock to work after that. Anyway, hope you can make it on Saturday - let me know if you want to stay over Bye!"