2016-04-28

Determination of phosphorus in natural waters: A historical review.

Worsfold, P

10.1016/j.aca.2016.02.047
Anal Chim Acta

Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation. Published in **Analytica Chimica Acta**, 918, 8-20 (2016) doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2016.02.047.

Determination of phosphorus in natural waters: A historical review

Paul Worsfold[1], Ian McKelvie[1,2], Phil Monbet[3]

[1] Biogeochemistry Research Centre, Plymouth University, Plymouth, Devon PL48AA, UK.

[2] School of Chemistry, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.

[3] Pole Mer Bretagne Atlantique, 40 rue Jim Sévellec, 29200 Brest, France

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce a virtual special issue that reviews the development of analytical approaches to the determination of phosphorus species in natural waters. The focus is on sampling and sample treatment, analytical methods and quality assurance of the data. The export of phosphorus from anthropogenic activities (from diffuse and point sources) can result in increased primary production and eutrophication, and potentially the seasonal development of toxic algal blooms, which can significantly impact on water quality. Therefore the quantification of phosphorus species in natural waters provides important baseline data for studying aquatic phosphorus biogeochemistry, assessing ecosystem health and monitoring compliance with legislation.

Keywords

Phosphorus, natural waters, water quality, sampling, sample treatment, analytical methods

1. Phosphorus biogeochemistry

Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient element that is used by all living organisms for growth and energy transport [1] and is often the limiting nutrient for primary production in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems [2-4]. The terrestrial environment is a major P reservoir, with $8.4x10^8$ – $40x10^8$ Tg in sediments, 96,000 – 200,000 Tg in soils (<60 cm deep) and 2,600 – 3,000 Tg in terrestrial biota [5]. In the aquatic environment the major reservoirs are the surface (0 – 300 m) ocean (2,700 Tg), the deep (300 – 3,000 m) ocean (87,000 Tg) and oceanic biota (50 – 140 Tg). The atmospheric environment is a relatively small reservoir (0.03 Tg) [5] but can be an important source for oligotrophic ecosystems [6]. The major P fluxes are between marine biota and ocean water, between soil biota and soil, from soil to the surface ocean and erosion / weathering of rocks. A schematic diagram of the aquatic phosphorus cycle, showing the major reservoirs and fluxes, is shown in Fig. 1.

The intensification of agriculture has resulted in a global demand for P of about 22 Tg y^{-1} from mined fossil phosphate resources [7], with minable reserves estimated at 10,000 – 20,000 Tg [5]. Current agricultural practices give rise to significant impacts on water quality due to P losses to water bodies, e.g. from agricultural run-off [8], e.g. elevated levels of P can lead to eutrophication [3, 9], harmful algal blooms, oxygen depletion and mortality of biota. Population growth and increasing industrialisation are also drivers of elevated P inputs to natural waters [10] from both diffuse and point (e.g. sewage treatment works) sources [11]. This has led to “cultural eutrophication”, which is the accelerated anthropogenic enrichment of the environment with nutrients and the concomitant production of undesirable effects [12].

Dissolved inorganic P (DIP), in the form of orthophosphate, is easily utilized by primary producers and is therefore the major bioavailable form of P, but some dissolved organic P (DOP) species can also be utilized [13, 14]. The fractionation and speciation of phosphorus are

therefore important factors when considering the impact of the element on water quality. In natural waters phosphorus can be found in various “dissolved” forms (operationally defined as the fraction that passes through a 0.2 or 0.45 µm filter [15]), mostly as inorganic orthophosphates and condensed or polyphosphates, but also as organic phosphates (e.g. nucleic acids, proteins, phospholipids, phosphoamides, sugar phosphates, inositol phosphates, aminophosphonates and organic phosphorus pesticides). “Particulate” P (defined as the fraction retained on a 0.2 or 0.45 µm filter [15]) can include clay and silt-associated organic and inorganic P, precipitates of authigenic origin and P-containing biological matter. Colloidal phosphorus is commonly referred to as the P fraction in the 1 nm – 1 µm size range [16-18] and hence both the operationally defined dissolved and particulate fractions can contain colloidal P. This fraction includes both organic and inorganic species of biological and/or mineral origin. The various operationally defined P fractions in natural waters, based on filtration and/or digestion, are shown in Fig. 2, together with examples of the types of phosphorus species found in these fractions.

The ultimate analytical challenge is therefore to develop reliable analytical methods that are sufficiently sensitive and accurate to determine the concentrations of individual phosphorus species in each of these fractions. Given the spatially and temporally dynamic nature of P transport, both frequent and widespread measurements are also desirable. For reliable measurements robust sampling strategies are also required [19]. In the longer term remote monitoring networks may overcome the need for sampling [20] but *in situ* sample treatment remains a challenge.

For water quality management purposes it is also informative to determine the loads [21, 22] and fluxes [23] of P species in water bodies in order to investigate, e.g. internal cycling processes [24], the restoration of eutrophic ecosystems [25] and the impacts of P runoff from land on the ecological status of receiving waters [26]. Long-term datasets (≥ 20 years), which

require routine monitoring, are useful for identifying non-hydrological variations in P concentrations and distributions, to contextualise contemporary datasets [27-29] and help to validate catchment models [30].

The potentially adverse impact of elevated phosphorus concentrations in natural waters has led to the inclusion of phosphorus standards in various national and international legislative frameworks and guidelines. In Europe the Water Framework Directive (WFD - 2000/60/EC) [31] covers river basins, estuaries and coastal margins and the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC) covers marine waters [32]. In the UK the specific legislation relating to phosphorus is discussed in reports by the UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive (UKTAG) [33, 34]. Revised standards proposed in the 2013 report [34] build on "*improvements in understanding of the relationship between phosphorus concentrations and the response of river plant communities*" and were derived from "*a new approach to setting phosphorus standards that produces site-specific estimates of natural phosphorus concentrations, taking account of a site's alkalinity and altitude*". The key driver for emerging legislative P concentrations for defining river water quality (high, good, moderate, poor or bad) is therefore a closer linkage with local biological responses. Reliable measurements of phosphorus species in natural waters, as discussed above, will be a prerequisite for further refinement of legislative guidelines for P.

2. Sampling and sample treatment

2.1 Sample collection

Prior to sample collection it is important to ensure that the sampling strategy is fit for purpose [19]. This includes the identification of appropriate sampling locations (with due regard to access and safety issues), the frequency of sample collection and the P species to be

110 determined. This requires a clear statement of the objectives of the sampling programme and
111 an understanding of P biogeochemistry and the stability of the P determinands [35]. The figures
112 of merit of the intended analytical methods should also be specified, e.g. detection limit, linear
113 range, selectivity, accuracy and precision, together with any constraints on time and cost, in
114 order to select the most appropriate sample collection and detection strategies [36]. Samples
115 can be collected manually (discrete grab samples or integrated cross-sectional samples) or by
116 deploying an automatic sampler for time series acquisition [37]. In the latter case, appropriate
117 quality assurance is necessary to allow for the fact that different samples will be stored
118 unfiltered for different lengths of time [38]. Replicate sampling at each location/time is strongly
119 recommended and in situations where only one sample can be collected, at least three sub-
120 samples should be analysed for robust quantification [39].
121
122 The sampling strategy should take account of temporal and spatial variability in P
123 concentrations and ensure that collected samples are representative of the water body being
124 sampled. Spatial variability is influenced by point and diffuse inputs, in-water processes (e.g.
125 plant, algal and bacterial turnover), mixing zones, thermal stratification with depth in lakes or
126 salinity stratification in estuaries. Temporal variability is influenced by seasonal (e.g. summer
127 base flow compared with higher autumn and winter flows) and short-term (e.g. rain events)
128 changes in river flow [40] and physico-chemical gradients (e.g. temperature and salinity) [41].
129
130 Clean sample containers and sample collection apparatus (including filters) are an essential
131 prerequisite for minimising contamination and most cleaning methods involve acid washing, e.g.
132 soaking items overnight in a nutrient free detergent, rinsing with ultra-pure water, followed by
133 soaking overnight in 10% HCl, and finally rinsing with ultra-pure water [42].
134 Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or high density polyethylene (HDPE) are the preferred materials
135 for containers but quartz, borosilicate glass, low density polyethylene and polypropylene have

also been used. The analytical requirements of the detection method will dictate the minimum sample volume. Use of containers with a larger size [43] and lower surface area to volume ratio [44] should minimise adsorptive losses. Cleaned sample containers should be rinsed three times with the sample prior to filling. When collecting samples it is good practice to utilise sample blanks to monitor the sampling process.

2.2 Sample pretreatment and storage

After the collection of representative water samples it is essential that they are effectively treated and stored in order to maintain sample integrity. Filtration is the most common form of sample pretreatment to separate the dissolved and particulate phases, as defined above. This step should ideally be carried out at the time of sample collection to prevent changes in P fractionation and speciation. Polycarbonate (e.g. Nuclepore®), cellulose acetate or cellulose acetate/nitrate 47 mm diameter membrane filters are commonly used but larger diameter and capsule filters have also been reported [45]. A 0.45 μm filter provides faster filtration than a 0.2 μm filter but the latter has the advantage of removing the majority of bacteria, picoplankton and colloidal species that could impact on dissolved P concentrations during storage [35, 46, 47]. Filtration can be carried out under vacuum or positive pressure but an excessive pressure gradient across the membrane can rupture algal cells, releasing intracellular contents into the sample, and/or disaggregate colloidal material. Highly turbid samples can lead to rapid clogging of the filter, particularly with smaller nominal pore size filters.

The characteristics of selected storage/preservation methods for the determination of phosphorus are summarised elsewhere [42, 44]. A variety of physical (e.g. refrigeration, freezing (-4°C), deep-freezing (-20°C)) and chemical (e.g. addition of chloroform, mercuric chloride) preservation techniques have been used to maintain the original phosphorus

concentration and speciation during storage but there is not a generic treatment protocol that is ideal for all environmental situations. Factors such as phosphorus concentration, water hardness, salinity, dissolved organic matter, particulate matter and biological conditions need to be considered. For example, in lowland chalk catchments freezing samples can lead to phosphate being co-precipitated with calcite when samples are thawed [42, 48, 49] and for such samples storage at 4 ^{o}C, with chloroform addition to prevent biological growth, was recommended [42].

2.3 Sample digestion

For the determination of total phosphorus (TP; unfiltered samples) and total dissolved phosphorus (TDP; filtered samples) it is necessary to convert all of the phosphorus-containing species into a detectable form. For natural waters the most common method of detection is the "molybdenum blue" chemistry with spectrophotometric detection which determines molybdate-reactive orthophosphate (PO_4^{3-}) using either a batch or flow-based approach (see §3.1) [50]. Inductively coupled plasma based methods (ICP-AES and ICP-MS) can also be used if the concentration of P is sufficiently high. The process of conversion, which involves the breaking of P-O-P, C-O-P and C-P bonds in condensed and organic P compounds is called *digestion* and is typically achieved by thermal oxidation with hydrolysis using either an autoclave, digestion block or microwave [51] or by UV photo-oxidation with or without heating.

Autoclaving is generally simple and quick, gives reproducible results and is carried out in sealed vessels to minimise contamination [44, 47]. Peroxydisulfate is the preferred oxidant and was first used for the determination of TP in seawater in the 1960s [52]. Since then a variety of peroxydisulfate methods, in either acidic [53] or basic [54] media, have been reported [47]. Autoclaving with alkaline peroxydisulfate, rather than acidic peroxydisulfate, is recommended

188 for the simultaneous determination of TP and total nitrogen (TN) and for the digestion of marine
189 waters due to the oxidation of chloride to free chlorine by peroxydisulfate in acidic media, which
190 reduces its oxidising power [55]. When alkaline peroxydisulfate digestion is used, autoclaving or
191 thermal heating should be continued until $S_2O_8^{2-}$ is converted to hydrogen sulphate (HSO_4^-; pKa
192 = 1.99) so that a low pH is reached in the latter stages of the digestion and acid hydrolysis of
193 condensed phosphate species is achieved [51]. An acidic peroxydisulfate method was reported
194 by Gales *et al.* [56] and simplified by Eisenreich *et al.* [57]. The method gives good recoveries
195 and is simple and easy to use and is therefore recommended for the determination of TP and
196 TDP in fresh waters [58].

197

198 UV photo-oxidation can be used for the digestion of marine and freshwaters [41, 59] but if the
199 sample contains condensed polyphosphates, heating with HCl or peroxydisulfate after UV
200 irradiation is recommended [60]. UV photo-oxidation also gives good recoveries when
201 incorporated into a flow injection (FI) manifold [61, 62]. Microwave digestion has also been used
202 in flow systems in conjunction with spectrophotometric detection [51, 63] and ICP-MS detection
203 [64].

204

205 The above methods provide a quantitative measurement of TP or TDP but if information on
206 specific classes of P compounds is required, a more selective method of sample treatment is
207 necessary, e.g. the use of phosphate cleaving enzymes such as acid and alkaline
208 phosphatases, and this is discussed in § 3.3.

209

210 ## 3. Analytical methods

211

212 ### 3.1 Dissolved reactive phosphorus

Most methods for phosphorus determination are based on the spectrophotometric detection of the intensely coloured phosphomolybdenum blue (PMB). This complex is formed by reaction of phosphate with acidified molybdate producing 12-molybdophosphosphoric acid (12-MPA), which is subsequently reduced to phosphomolybdenum blue [65], i.e.

$$PO_4^{3-} + 12\ MoO_4^{2-} + 27\ H^+ \rightarrow H_3PO_4\ (MoO_3)_{12} + 12\ H_2O \quad (1)$$

$$H_3PMo(VI)_{12}O_{40} + \text{Reductant} \rightarrow [H_4Mo(VI)_8Mo(V)_8O_{40}]^{3-} \quad \text{(Phosphomolybdenum blue)} \quad (2)$$

"Molybdate reactive" phosphorus (MRP) in the dissolved fraction is variously described as *dissolved reactive phosphorus* (DRP), *soluble reactive phosphorus* (SRP) and *filterable reactive phosphorus* (FRP) in recognition that this fraction may also include some acid labile, molybdate-reactive organic and condensed and colloid-associated phosphorus species. This can lead to overestimation of free phosphate [65]. While some have suggested that ion chromatography gives a better estimate of free orthophosphate [66] than DRP, Hens and Merx used gel filtration to demonstrate that in 0.45 µm filtered soil solution, both MRP and ion chromatographic P measurements overestimated the free orthophosphate concentration by up to 2.3- and 1.4-fold, respectively [67]. Despite this, DRP is still the most widely used surrogate measure of readily bioavailable P because it is practically convenient to measure.

A variety of reductants (e.g. ascorbic acid, tin(II) chloride, hydrazine sulfate, hydroquinone) and acids have been used in this reaction [50], giving rise to a range of PMB species with different absorbance spectra. Appropriate acid and molybdate concentrations are critical for the formation of PMB; for example, in low acidity conditions, non-linear colour development and self-reduction of the molybdate can occur [68]. The chemistry of phosphomolybdenum blue formation has been comprehensively reviewed by Nagul *et al.* [50].

The most cited and widely used method is that reported by Murphy and Riley [69] in 1962 which utilised ascorbic acid in the presence of Sb(III) as the reductant. When Sb(III) is present, the rate of formation of molybdenum blue is enhanced compared with ascorbic acid alone (although Sb does not act as a catalyst, as is often claimed), and Sb is incorporated into the complex as $[PSb_2Mo_{12}O_{40}]^-$ which has a λ_{max} at 880 nm. The optimum conditions for the formation of the molybdenum blue complex was further investigated by Going and Eisenreich [70]. This reaction is less sensitive to salt than others, and colour development is fairly independent of temperature [71], making it the preferred reaction for the determination of phosphorus in natural waters.

Tin(II) chloride has also been used as a reductant, especially for the determination of phosphate in freshwaters, because the reaction is rapid and the absorptivity is greater than that for ascorbic acid/Sb(III). In the reduction process, Sn(IV) is substituted for Mo(VI) in the PMB complex, to form α-$[Pmo_{10}Sn_2O_{37}]^{5-}$, shifting λ_{max} to 700 nm and enhancing the absorptivity. At this wavelength, absorbance can be measured using simple solid state detectors that utilise red light emitting diodes as the light source [72, 73], and this approach has been widely adopted for flow analysis systems intended for field application [74]. However, chloride inhibits the reduction process by complexing with Sn(IV), and a decrease in sensitivity of ca. 15% occurs in a seawater matrix compared with that of deionized water [75]. Despite this limitation, reduction with tin(II) chloride has been favoured for automatic flow analysis of non-saline waters because of the faster reaction kinetics and higher sensitivity.

In addition to the homogeneous reduction methods described above, an on-line UV photo-reduction method has recently been reported [76]. In this system molybdophosphate was reduced to phosphomolybdenum blue in the presence of a hydrogen bond donor (ethanol), using a simple Teflon® tube UV reactor in a FI system. Because the PMB produced by UV photo-reduction is transient, the reaction is best performed under the highly controllable and

267 reproducible conditions achieved using a flow system. Unstable chemical reducing reagents
268 such as ascorbic acid or tin(II) chloride are not required, making this approach more suitable for
269 longer term field based measurements.
270
271 Species that can interfere with the formation of the PMB complex include silicate, arsenate,
272 nitrite, nitrate, sulphide, chromium and copper [50, 77]. Silicate interference can be minimised
273 by careful adjustment of the acid and molybdate concentrations [71], and by the inclusion of
274 tartrate in the reagent mixture [78]. Interferences from arsenate can be eliminated by reduction
275 of As(V) to As(III) by the addition of sodium thiosulfate [71, 79].
276
277 Flow analysis techniques can be advantageous in treating or avoiding interferences in the
278 determination of DRP. For example, Grace *et al.* described a FI method for DRP measurement
279 in anoxic estuarine sediment pore waters, using on-line pre-oxidation of sulphide with
280 permanganate prior to spectrophotometric detection [80], in which the selectivity was not
281 compromised by the oxidation of organic P species commonly found in this matrix. Additionally,
282 the use of FI enabled the analysis to be performed without exposure of samples to the
283 atmosphere, thus avoiding changes in the redox condition.
284
285 An early (1982) application of FI to DRP measurement in marine systems involved the use of
286 reagent injection or “reverse” FI analysis [81]. In this approach, a defined volume of a combined
287 colorimetric reagent, containing ascorbic acid and antimonyl tartrate as the reductant, was
288 injected into a flowing stream of sample with heating to 50 ˚C. This approach resulted in
289 arguably higher sensitivity, more economical reagent use and a better suitability for on-line or
290 under way monitoring applications than conventional FI. A similar multiple reagent injection
291 approach (multi-commutation) was described by Lyddy-Meaney *et al.* [74] for DRP
292 determination in a portable FI system, using tin(II) chloride reduction at ambient temperature.
293 This system included on-line 0.2 µm tangential-flow filtration and a specially designed multi-

reflection optical flow cell that minimised Schlieren effects caused by variations in the refractive index of estuarine and marine waters [82]. The system was used for chemical mapping and spatial resolution of one measurement per 250 m was achieved at an injection rate 225 h^{-1} and a boat speed of 30 knots (Fig. 3).

Non-spectrophotometric detection methods involving the formation of molybdophosphoric acid have also been investigated for the determination of phosphate, e.g. amperometric detection of phosphate as molybdophosphate [83-86]. Determination of phosphate based on the fluorescence quenching of the molybdate ion association complex with fluorophores such as rhodamine B, rhodamine 6G or thiamine by phosphate is reportedly more sensitive than spectrophotometric methods based on phosphomolybdenum blue [87, 88]. For example, Frank *et al.* [89] demonstrated a sequential injection analysis system for DRP determination using the fluorescence quenching of rhodamine 6G-molybdate to achieve a LOD of 0.05 µM at a high sample throughput of 270 h^{-1}. This system was used effectively for surface water transect measurements in the North Sea, Wadden Sea and Elbe estuary. More recently, Kröckel *et al.* reported a reverse FI method using the same chemistry that achieved a LOD of 7 nM for phosphate measurements in seawater [90]. A FI method with chemiluminescence detection based on luminol oxidation by 12-MPA has also been used to determine phosphate in freshwaters [91].

The LOD of batch spectrophotometric phosphomolybdenum blue methods is typically in the low µg P L^{-1} range, e.g. the APHA standard methods publication quotes a LOD of about 3 µg P L^{-1} with tin(II) chloride reduction (0.1 µM) and 10 µg P L^{-1} with ascorbic acid reduction (0.3 µM) [92]. These LODs are inadequate for the determination of DRP in low nutrient (oligotrophic) waters. A number of approaches have therefore been described to enhance the sensitivity of spectrophotometric PMB methods, *viz*; by modification of the chromophore detected, e.g. by ion pairing of molybdophosphate with a dye, preconcentration by extraction (both liquid and solid

phase), ion exchange or coprecipitation, or the use of spectrophotometric cells with an extended optical path length.

As an alternative to the direct measurement of PMB, some authors have used the formation of ion association complexes between basic dyes and molybdophosphoric or vanadate-molybdophosphoric acid as the basis for DRP determination, with sensitivity arguably better than the PMB method [93]. However, a surfactant must be used to avoid precipitation of the ion-association complex, and this can be a major source of blank contamination [79].

Solvent extraction can be performed to enhance sensitivity, either by extracting molybdophosphate followed by reduction, e.g. with tin(II) chloride [92], or by extracting after the formation of PMB as described by Strickland and Parsons [94]. Solvents used include iso-propanol, n/iso-butanol, n/iso-butanol + benzene, n-hexanol and butyl acetate [95]. Detection limits at the sub-µg L^{-1} level were achieved for river water measurements by Motomizu and Oshima, who performed solvent extraction on the ion-association pair formed between molybdophosphoric acid and Malachite Green, without reduction [96].

Flow-based systems readily facilitate the automation of solid phase extraction methods, minimizing the use of solvent. For example, Liang *et al.* have reported an example of a solid phase extraction FI preconcentration technique that is suitable for detection of DRP in marine waters. This involved solid phase extraction of the phosphomolybdenum blue – cetyl trimethylammonium bromide ion pair on a C_{18} column followed by elution with ethanol and sulfuric acid before spectrophotometric detection, giving a detection limit of 1.6 nM but with a sample throughput of only 2 h^{-1} [95]. In a related approach, Nagul *et al.* used polymer inclusion membranes (PIMs) in combination with a FI manifold to perform on-line extraction of phosphate directly from low ionic strength fresh waters before stripping and detection as PMB. A detection limit of 0.04 µg P L^{-1} at a sampling rate of 5 h^{-1} was reported [97].

Ion exchange techniques in concert with flow analysis have also been described for preconcentration of phosphate in freshwaters. Freeman *et al.* [78] used a strong anion exchange resin (AG 1 X-8) in an FI manifold to preconcentrate as much as 3.2 mL of sample. Phosphate and silicate peaks were resolved when the column was eluted with 0.1 M KCl, and an LOD of 0.1 µg P L-1 was achieved with a sample throughput of 6 h^{-1}. Effective pre-concentration was achieved for samples containing less than 200 mg L^{-1} chloride, making the method suitable for most freshwaters. Udnan *et al.* reported a similar FI approach for the determination of DRP that used amperometric detection of phosphomolybdate after in-valve anion exchange preconcentration. A LOD of 0.18 µg P L^{-1} (6 nM) was achieved using a 2 min column loading time, but the method was limited to pristine freshwaters because the preconcentration was adversely affected at chloride concentrations ≥50 mg L^{-1} [98].

The MAGIC (MAGnesium Induced Coprecipitation) method proposed by Karl and Tien [99] has been utilized by chemical oceanographers for the determination of DRP in low-nutrient ocean waters. It involves the coprecipitation of phosphate when magnesium hydroxide (brucite) is precipitated by the addition of NaOH. Then, after separation by centrifugation, the precipitate is dissolved in 0.1 M HCl and the phosphate concentration determined as PMB. A modified method reported by Rimmelin and Moutin [100] gave a typical preconcentration factor of 25 and resulted in a detection limit of a 0.8 nM.

Enhancement of detection sensitivity can be achieved by the use of cuvettes with longer optical path lengths. In batch techniques using conventional spectrophotometers, the maximum cuvette length used was conventionally 100 mm. However, Ormaza-González and Statham described the use of a 600 mm long capillary cell with a red LED light source and phototransistor detector for the detection of PMB at low nM concentrations [101]. The development of low refractive index polymers such as Teflon® AF2400 has enabled the construction of liquid core waveguide

(LCW) cells that offer the possibility of even longer optical path lengths, and these can be readily configured as flow cells for use in flow analysis. For example, Gimbert *et al.* [102] evaluated the suitability of a 1000 mm LCW cell for detection of phosphate as tin(II)-reduced PMB at 710 nm using a FI manifold, and achieved a LOD of 10 nM (Fig. 4). Optimal results were obtained using an injection volume of 500 µL (twice the internal volume of the LCW cell) and background correction at 470 nm. Similarly Zhang *et al.* used a 2000 mm LCW cell in a segmented continuous flow system, with an LOD of 1.5 nM [103], for underway analysis of more than 1000 samples from the west Florida continental shelf and the oligotrophic Sargasso Sea [104]. As a generality, background correction or other compensation strategies should be used to avoid Schlieren effects in these LCW cells [73].

Passive sampling techniques such as Diffusive Gradient in Thin films (DGT) can be used for the *in situ* preconcentration of DRP [105-108]. Mohr *et al.* determined both DRP and low molecular weight organic P species such as adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and myo-inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6) using DGT with an iron oxide based binding gel [107]. Monbet *et al.* deployed both DGT and Diffusive Equilibrium in Thin films (DET) *in situ* to obtain high spatial resolution (mm scale) DRP sediment porewater profiles in two lagoons of the Gippsland Lakes (SE Australia). DRP concentrations were determined using the PMB method with tin(II) chloride in an automatic FI manifold [82] and the detection limit was 0.2 µg P L^{-1} (0.006 µM).

3.2 Total and total dissolved phosphorus

The determination of TP or TDP requires that the sample must first be digested to convert all P forms to detectable orthophosphate, as described in § 2.3, before detection, usually as PMB. Proposed methods should be thoroughly validated using model phosphorus compounds that range in stability from labile to refractory [109], certified reference materials and comparison with a reference method. Inductively coupled plasma – optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-

OES) can also be used for detection if concentrations are sufficiently high. For example, Van Moorleghem *et al.* reported detection limits of 6 µg P L^{-1} (0.2 µM), at 213.617 nm and 34 µg P L^{-1} (1.1 µM), at 178.221 nm [110].

A number of automatic methods, based mainly on flow analysis, have been described for the determination of TP and/or TDP. For example, Ayoagi *et al.* [111] used a 10 m long capillary digestor containing a Pt wire as catalyst in an FI system to perform thermal digestion with peroxydisulfate at 160 ˚C. Orthophosphate produced by digestion was detected using the Malachite green-molybdophosphate chemistry and a sample throughput of ca. 10 h^{-1} was achieved.

An alternative approach to direct heating was employed by Hinkamp and Schwedt who used a 7.6 m Teflon® digestion coil in a microwave oven for digestion of organic and condensed phosphates. Amperometric detection was performed in FI mode, with a limit of determination of 0.1 mg P L^{-1}, a precision of 3% RSD at 5 mg P L^{-1} and a sample throughput of 20 h^{-1} [112]. Benson *et al.* described the application of a flow analysis system in which digestion was performed continuously off-line in a 6 m Teflon® reactor. Digestate was passed through a microporous debubbler to remove gas bubbles prior to injection into a spectrophotometric FI system for detection of the PMB formed [63]. As an alternative to the use of continuous coil digestors, Almeida *et al.* [113] described the use of a micro-batch reactor for microwave TP digestion which was coupled with a multi-syringe FI analysis system.

Other automatic flow systems have used UV photo-oxidation either alone [114-116] or in combination with thermal digestion [115] for the determination of TP and TDP. However, while these methods were suitable for waste waters and freshwaters, Peat *et al.* found that for samples such as soil waters, acidic photo-oxidation was required to avoid interference from the higher concentrations of Fe and Al found in the matrix that complexed with phosphate [114].

Aminot and Kerouel [59] reported similar matrix problems in natural seawater using a segmented continuous flow system, presumably due to complexation with Ca and Mg ions, and suggested that sample dilution by a factor of 5 - 6 was required to obtain complete digestion. Gentle *et al.* found that the problem of incomplete digestion in seawater could be overcome across the full salinity range (0 – 35) in a FI system if photo-oxidation combined with thermal digestion when acidic peroxydisulfate was adopted. This FI system was capable of 115 measurements per hour, with a LOD of 1 µg P L^{-1} (0.03 µM), and used to perform 2499 underway TP measurements during a cruise in the coastal waters of Victoria, SE Australia [117].

3.3 Phosphorus speciation

The chemical species or forms of aquatic phosphorus can be determined using either *operational* or *functional* approaches. In the former, species are defined by the chemical operation involved, e.g. by the formation of PMB to give “molybdate reactive P” (*cf*. § 3.1), whereas in the latter, highly specific assays may be applied to quantify species with particular functionality, *e.g.* by specific enzymatic assays or chromatographic separation. The distinction between operational and functional measurement is illustrated by Baldwin [118] who compared spectrophotometric PMB (*operationally* defined) and ion chromatographic (*functionally* defined) methods to show that only a small fraction of the filterable MRP present in eutrophic waters was comprised of dissolved orthophosphate. The difference was ascribed to the hydrolysis of labile organic P or desorption from colloidal material [118] which occurred as an artefact of the PMB method.

The organic P fraction, which comprises nucleic acids, phosphoproteins and amino phosphoric acids, phospholipids, inositol phosphates, phosphonates and organic condensed P compounds, such as adenosine triphosphate, can be at least as abundant as inorganic P in some natural

waters [119, 120]. There is compelling evidence that in the absence of orthophosphate, some algae and cyanobacteria can utilize phosphorus from organic P compounds *via* enzymatic hydrolysis [5, 70, 121-127]. Consequently there is growing interest in methods for the determination of organic P [128], which hitherto was considered unavailable, and hence was ignored as a source of bioavailable P.

3.3.1 Operational speciation

The most common operational delineation of phosphorus species is that based on filtration to discriminate between the so-called dissolved or filterable fraction and particulate forms (*cf.* § 2.2 and Fig 2). Thereafter, digestion (with strong acids/bases and oxidants (*cf.* § 3.2), or dilute acid hydrolysis can be performed to obtain estimates of the amount of *total* or *condensed* phosphorus forms present within either the filterable or particulate size fractions. The *organic* fraction has conventionally been determined as the unreactive residual fraction after the reactive and condensed fractions have been subtracted from the total P concentration [92, 129].

A convenient, operational, non-specific measure of organic P can be achieved using alkaline UV photo-oxidation in a flow system with a low wattage lamp [59, 62, 116]. Under alkaline, rapid photo-oxidation conditions, minimal hydrolysis of condensed P occurs, and what is detected is the sum of (DOP + DRP). DOP is therefore determined by subtracting the DRP, with the caveat that DRP may already include some labile DOP.

3.3.2 Functional speciation

Strickland and Solorzano [130] and Herbes *et al.* [131] were among the first to determine alkaline phosphatase-hydrolysable phosphate in sea and lake waters as a means of measuring the phosphomonoester fraction. A similar approach has been applied using a suite of different

phospho-enzymes to characterise organic P in natural waters. Turner *et al.* [132] performed a series of enzymatic reactions utilising alkaline phosphomonoesterase (labile monoester P), phosphodiesterase + alkaline phosphomonoesterase (diester-P compounds) and phytase (inositol hexaphosphate) to characterise organic P in soil solution, and a similar approach was adopted by Monbet *et al.* in a study of coastal lake [133] and estuarine [13] waters using the FI manifold shown in Fig. 5.

The use of FI techniques with immobilised enzyme reactors enables convenient and rapid measurement of these enzymatically available organic P fractions. Shan *et al.* determined alkaline phosphatase-hydrolysable phosphorus using immobilized alkaline phosphatase from *E. coli* [134, 135], while similar approaches have been applied for phytase-hydrolysable phosphorus with 3-phytase [136] in natural waters using FI systems. However, since the detection step for all of these reactions involves the measurement of PMB, the enzymatic selectivity may be compromised because some labile P species may also be molybdate reactive. Ideally, a more selective technique such as ion chromatography could be used to overcome this deficiency.

Arguably chromatographic separations can be included in the category of “functional” techniques because the detected species are separated on the basis of their hydrophobicity or charge prior to detection using a variety of techniques. Ion chromatography (IC) with suppressed electrical conductivity detection is widely and routinely used for the quantification of orthophosphate in fresh waters. IC has also been applied to speciation of both inorganic and organic phosphorus moieties using a variety of post separation detection methods [137]. For example, separation of ortho-, di- and tri-phosphate in wastewaters was described by Jolley *et al.* using ion exchange chromatography with post column detection of PMB following autoclave digestion [138]. Interestingly, Jolley *et al.* did not detect any condensed phosphate in wastewaters. However, Halliwell *et al.* [139] using IC coupled to on-line post column digestion

using a FI system, demonstrated that the half-life of triphosphate was less than 10 h, thus accounting for its apparent absence [139]. Espinosa *et al.* [125] used a similar ion chromatographic approach, but with off-line TP digestion and detection, to study P speciation in soil leachate waters.

Ion chromatography has also been coupled with ICP-AES for the on-line determination of orthophosphate and glyphosate [140] and phosphite, hypophosphite, pyrophosphate and tripolyphosphate [141]. Similarly, mass spectrometry has been coupled with IC for the determination of hypophosphite, phosphite, and phosphate [142] and dialkyl phosphinate acids [143] in water. These hyphenated separation-detection techniques show the greatest potential for selective speciation of the plethora of organic and inorganic P species that may occur in natural waters (and wastewaters).

4. Quality assurance of phosphorus data

Phosphorus, particularly in the form of DRP, is a key determinand in many environmental monitoring programmes and it follows that accurate data are required to implement water quality management strategies and monitor compliance with environmental standards [47]. Total phosphorus (TP) is also determined, although less frequently than DRP, and is important for monitoring discharges from, e.g. wastewater treatment plants and determining P loads [29]. General guidelines on data quality can be found in ISO/IEC 17025 [144] and specific discussion of nutrient data quality (including P) in marine waters, together with a practical illustration of how to determine an uncertainty budget, is presented by Worsfold *et al.* [145].

Certified reference materials (CRMs) are an important component of any quality assurance programme for the determination of dissolved phosphorus in natural waters and this was

discussed by Aminot and Kérouel in 1995 [146]. A key challenge is to provide a stable natural water CRM and this has been achieved for seawater by the National Research Council Canada using gamma irradiation [147]. Their current seawater CRM for nutrients (MOOS-3) has a certified quantity value for phosphate of 1.60 ± 0.15 μmol L^{-1} based on analysis by spectrophotometry and ion exchange ICP-MS. The stability of a seawater reference material has also been investigated by the Meteorological Research Institute of Japan [148] and it has been used in intercomparison exercises to improve the comparability of oceanic orthophosphate determinations [149].

5. Conclusions and future perspectives

The role of phosphorus as an essential nutrient, coupled with the potential of excess phosphorus to have a negative impact on water quality, makes it crucial to have reliable analytical methods for the determination of phosphorus species in natural (and waste) waters. This requires appropriate methods for sample collection (minimising contamination and sample degradation) and sample treatment (e.g. filtration and digestion). Analytical methods for the determination of phosphorus are dominated by spectrophotometric methods based on direct detection of the intensely coloured phosphomolybdenum blue species, which determines the "molybdate reactive phosphorus" fraction and has typical detection limits in the low μg P L^{-1} range. Sensitivity can be enhanced by a variety of chemical (e.g. ion pair formation) and instrumental (e.g. use of long path length liquid core waveguides) means. Instrumental developments such as waveguides are a relatively low cost way to achieve detection limits in the nM range and, in conjunction with flow analysis methods, can be deployed at sea and in remote environments.

Phosphorus speciation provides an additional analytical challenge due to the need for increased selectivity and, often, lower detection limits. Speciation can be defined operationally (e.g. by filtration) or functionally. Functional selectivity can be achieved by the use of selective reagents (e.g. enzymes) or by prior separation (e.g. using ion chromatography). Combining separation strategies with powerful detection techniques such as mass spectrometry is the most promising way forward for a more complete characterisation and quantification of the dissolved phosphorus pool.

Rigorous quality assurance procedures are required in order to ensure compliance with the legislative requirements for phosphorus in natural waters as well as supporting water quality management strategies and biogeochemical studies. This in turn generates a need for stable, matrix specific reference materials that are certified for phosphorus species at environmentally relevant concentrations.

Abbreviations

12-MPA	12-molybdophosphoric acid
CRM	certified reference material
DET	Diffusive Equilibrium in Thin films
DGT	Diffusive Gradient in Thin films
DIP	dissolved inorganic P
DOP	dissolved organic P
DRP	dissolved reactive phosphorus
FI	Flow injection
FRP	filterable reactive phosphorus
HDPE	high density polyethylene
IC	ion chromatography
ICP-AES	inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry

ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
LCW	liquid core waveguide
LED	light emitting diode
LOD	limit of detection
MAGIC	MAGnesium Induced Coprecipitation
MRP	molybdate reactive phosphorus
P	phosphorus
PMB	phosphomolybdenum blue
PTFE	polytetrafluoroethylene
SRP	soluble reactive phosphorus
TDP	total dissolved phosphorus
TP	total phosphorus
UV	ultra-violet

Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the aquatic phosphorus cycle. Flux and reservoir data obtained from [5, 121, 150-158].



Fig. 2. The various operationally defined P fractions in natural waters, based on filtration and/or digestion.



Fig. 3 (a) Schematic diagram of a compact, portable FI analyser for the determination of phosphate. PP, peristaltic pump; TFF, 0.2 mm tangential flow filter; FS, differential flow splitter; PG, propellant gas and regulator; MC, mixing coil; FC, flow cell; V0, 2-way valve; V1, V2 and V3, miniature solenoid valves; R1, ammonium molybdate reagent; R2, tin(II) chloride reagent; Std, standard. (b) Phosphate concentrations obtained underway in Port Phillip Bay using the portable FIA system compared with those obtained for samples collected by hand and analysed in the laboratory. Adapted, with permission, from A.J. Lyddy-Meaney, P.S. Ellis, P.J. Worsfold, E.C.V. Butler and I. D. McKelvie, A compact flow injection analysis system for surface mapping of phosphate in marine waters, Talanta, 58 (2002) 1043-1053.



Fig. 4. FI manifold incorporating a liquid core waveguide (LWCC) for the determination of molybdate reactive phosphorus (top). Typical detector trace and calibration using standards in the range 0.01 to 1 µM PO_4-P obtained using a LWCC of 1 m path length. Error bars ± 3 standard deviations, n = 3 (bottom). Reproduced, with permission, from L.J. Gimbert, P.M. Haygarth, P.J. Worsfold, Determination of nanomolar concentrations of phosphate in natural waters using flow injection with a long path length liquid waveguide capillary cell and solid-state spectrophotometric detection, Talanta, 71 (2007) 1624-1628.





Fig. 5. Schematic of the experimental design for the speciation of dissolved organic phosphorus in natural waters. The left-hand side shows the assay preparation (with/without enzyme), incubation and surfactant addition. The centre describes the flow injection manifold used for DRP measurement. The right-hand side shows an example of triplicate peaks obtained with and without enzyme added. The difference represents the fraction of enzymatically hydrolysable P (EHP). Adapted, with permission, from P. Monbet , I.D. McKelvie , A. Saefumillah and P.J. Worsfold, A protocol to assess the enzymatic release of dissolved organic phosphorus species in waters under environmentally relevant conditions, Environmental Science and Technology, 41 (2007) 7479-7485. Copyright 2007, American Chemical Society.



References

[1] R.E. Hecky, P. Kilham, Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in freshwater and marine environments: a review of recent evidences of the effects of enrichment, Limnology and Oceanography, 33 (1988) 796-822.
[2] J.J. Elser, Phosphorus: A limiting nutrient for humanity?, Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 23 (2012) 833-838.
[3] G. Maier, R.J. Nimmo-Smith, G.A. Glegg, A.D. Tappin, P.J. Worsfold, Estuarine eutrophication in the UK: Current incidence and future trends, Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 19 (2009) 43-56.
[4] P.M. Vitousek, S. Porder, B.Z. Houlton, O.A. Chadwick, Terrestrial phosphorus limitation: mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions, Ecological Applications, 20 (2010) 5-15.
[5] K.C. Ruttenberg, The Global Phosphorus Cycle, Treatise on Geochemistry: Second Edition, Elsevier, 2013, pp. 499-558.
[6] U. Brunner, R. Bachofen, The biogeochemical cycles of phosphorus: A review of local and global consequences of the atmospheric input, Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry, 67 (1998) 171-188.
[7] L. Reijnders, Phosphorus resources, their depletion and conservation, a review, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 93 (2014) 32-49.
[8] P.M. Haygarth, H.P. Jarvie, S.M. Powers, A.N. Sharpley, J.J. Elser, J. Shen, H.M. Peterson, N.I. Chan, N.J. Howden, T. Burt, F. Worrall, F. Zhang, X. Liu, Sustainable phosphorus management and the need for a long-term perspective: the legacy hypothesis, Environmental science & technology, 48 (2014) 8417-8419.
[9] P.J.A. Withers, C. Neal, H.P. Jarvie, D.G. Doody, Agriculture and eutrophication: Where do we go from here?, Sustainability (Switzerland), 6 (2014) 5853-5875.
[10] K. Ashley, D. Cordell, D. Mavinic, A brief history of phosphorus: From the philosopher's stone to nutrient recovery and reuse, Chemosphere, 84 (2011) 737-746.
[11] M.J. Bowes, J.T. Smith, H.P. Jarvie, C. Neal, R. Barden, Changes in point and diffuse source phosphorus inputs to the River Frome (Dorset, UK) from 1966 to 2006, Science of the Total Environment, 407 (2009) 1954-1966.
[12] N.A. Serediak, E.E. Prepas, G.J. Putz, Eutrophication of freshwater systems, Treatise on Geochemistry: Second edition, Elsevier, 2013, pp. 305-323.
[13] P. Monbet, I.D. McKelvie, P.J. Worsfold, Dissolved organic phosphorus speciation in the waters of the Tamar estuary (SW England), Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73 (2009) 1027-1038.
[14] S.A. Sanudo-Wilhemy, A phosphate alternative, Nature, 439 (2006) 25-26.
[15] L.J. Gimbert, P.J. Worsfold, P.M. Haygarth, Processes affecting transfer of sediment and colloids, with associated phosphorus, from intensively farmed grasslands: Colloid and sediment characterization methods, Hydrological Processes, 21 (2007) 275-279.
[16] J. Buffle, G.G. Leppard, Characterization of aquatic colloids and macromolecules. 2. Key role of physical structures on analytical results, Environ. Sci. Technol., 29 (1995) 2176-2184.
[17] J. Buffle, G.G. Leppard, Characterization of aquatic colloids and macromolecules. 1. Structure and behavior of colloidal material, Environ. Sci. Technol., 29 (1995) 2169-2175.
[18] R. Kretzschmar, M. Borkovec, D. Grolimund, M. Elimelech, Mobile Subsurface Colloids and Their Role in Contaminant Transport, Advances in Agronomy, 66 (1999) 121-193.
[19] A.J. Horowitz, A review of selected inorganic surface water quality-monitoring practices: Are we really measuring what we think, and if so, are we doing it right?, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47 (2013) 2471-2486.
[20] A.J. Wade, E.J. Palmer-Felgate, S.J. Halliday, R.A. Skeffington, M. Loewenthal, H.P. Jarvie, M.J. Bowes, G.M. Greenway, S.J. Haswell, I.M. Bell, E. Joly, A. Fallatah, C. Neal, R.J. Williams, E. Gozzard, J.R. Newman, Hydrochemical processes in lowland rivers: Insights from in situ, high-resolution monitoring, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16 (2012) 4323-4342.
[21] I.G. Littlewood, C.D. Watts, J.M. Custance, Systematic application of United Kingdom river flow and quality databases for estimating annual river mass loads (1975-1994), Science of The Total Environment, 210-211 (1998) 21-40.

[22] C. Stamm, H.P. Jarvie, T. Scott, What's more important for managing phosphorus: Loads, concentrations or both?, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48 (2014) 23-24.
[23] H.P. Jarvie, C. Neal, P.J.A. Withers, D.B. Baker, R.P. Richards, A.N. Sharpley, Quantifying phosphorus retention and release in rivers and watersheds using extended End-Member Mixing Analysis (E-EMMA) J. Environ. Qual., 40 (2011) 492-504.
[24] P.J.A. Withers, H.P. Jarvie, Delivery and cycling of phosphorus in rivers: A review, Science of the Total Environment, 400 (2008) 379-395.
[25] M. Zamparas, I. Zacharias, Restoration of eutrophic freshwater by managing internal nutrient loads. A review, Science of the Total Environment, 496 (2014) 551-562.
[26] J.G. Ferreira, J.H. Andersen, A. Borja, S.B. Bricker, J. Camp, M. Cardoso da Silva, E. Garcés, A.S. Heiskanen, C. Humborg, L. Ignatiades, C. Lancelot, A. Menesguen, P. Tett, N. Hoepffner, U. Claussen, Overview of eutrophication indicators to assess environmental status within the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 93 (2011) 117-131.
[27] I.G. Littlewood, T.J. Marsh, Annual freshwater river mass loads from Great Britain, 1975-1994: estimation algorithm, database and monitoring network issues, Journal of Hydrology, 304 (2005) 221-237.
[28] J.C. Rozemeijer, J. Klein, H.P. Broers, T.P. van Tol-Leenders, B. van der Grift, Water quality status and trends in agriculture-dominated headwaters; a national monitoring network for assessing the effectiveness of national and European manure legislation in The Netherlands, Environ. Monit. Assess., 186 (2014) 8981-8995.
[29] A.D. Tappin, U. Mankasingh, I.D. McKelvie, P.J. Worsfold, Temporal variability in nutrient concentrations and loads in the River Tamar and its catchment (SW England) between 1974 and 2004, Environ. Monit. Assess., 185 (2013) 4791-4818.
[30] S.D.W. Comber, R. Smith, P. Daldorph, M.J. Gardner, C. Constantino, B. Ellor, Development of a chemical source apportionment decision support framework for catchment management, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47 (2013) 9824-9832.
[31] Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy, Official Journal of the European Communities, 43 (2000) L327/321.
[32] Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive), Official Journal of the European Union, 51 (2008) L164/119.
[33] UKTAG, UK Environmental standards and conditions (phase 1), 2008.
[34] UKTAG, Phophorus standards in rivers. Updated Recommendations., 2013, pp. 13.
[35] H.P. Jarvie, J.A. Withers, C. Neal, Review of robust measurement of phosphorus in river water: Sampling, storage, fractionation and sensitivity, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 6 (2002) 113-132.
[36] G. Hanrahan, P. Gardolinski, M. Gledhill, P. Worsfold, Environmental Monitoring of Nutrients, in: F.R. Burden, I.D. McKelvie, U. Förstner, A. Guenther (Eds.) Environmental Monitoring Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2002, pp. 8.1-8.16.
[37] P.M. Burke, S. Hill, N. Iricanin, C. Douglas, P. Essex, D. Tharin, Evaluation of preservation methods for nutrient species collected by automatic samplers, Environ. Monit. Assess., 80 (2002) 149-173.
[38] A.R. Kotlash, B.C. Chessman, Effects of water sample preservation and storage on nitrogen and phosphorus determinations: Implications for the use of automated sampling equipment, Water Research, 32 (1998) 3731-3737.
[39] I. Donohue, K. Irvine, Quantifying variability within water samples: The need for adequate subsampling, Water Research, 42 (2008) 476-482.
[40] M.J. Bowes, H.P. Jarvie, S.J. Halliday, R.A. Skeffington, A.J. Wade, M. Loewenthal, E. Gozzard, J.R. Newman, E.J. Palmer-Felgate, Characterising phosphorus and nitrate inputs to a rural river using high-frequency concentration-flow relationships, Science of the Total Environment, 511 (2015) 608-620.
[41] P.C.F.C. Gardolinski, P.J. Worsfold, I.D. McKelvie, Seawater induced release and transformation of organic and inorganic phosphorus from river sediments, Water Research, 38 (2004) 688-692.
[42] P.C.F.C. Gardolinski, G. Hanrahan, E.P. Achterberg, M. Gledhill, A.D. Tappin, W.A. House, P.J. Worsfold, Comparison of sample storage protocols for the determination of nutrients in natural waters, Water Research, 35 (2001) 3670-3678.
[43] P.M. Haygarth, C.D. Ashby, S.C. Jarvis, Short-term changes in the molybdate reactive phosphorus of stored soil waters, J. Environ. Qual., 24 (1995) 1133-1140.

[44] W. Maher, L. Woo, Procedures for the storage and digestion of natural waters for the determination of filterable reactive phosphorus, total filterable phosphorus and total phosphorus, Anal. Chim. Acta, 375 (1998) 5-47.
[45] G.E.M. Hall, G.F. Bonham-Carter, A.J. Horowitz, K. Lum, C. Lemieux, B. Quemerais, J.R. Garbarino, The effect of using different 0.45 µm filter membranes on 'dissolved' element concentrations in natural waters, Applied Geochemistry, 11 (1996) 243-249.
[46] A.J. Horowitz, K.A. Elrick, M.R. Colberg, The effect of membrane filtration artifacts on dissolved trace element concentrations, Water Research, 26 (1992) 753-763.
[47] P.J. Worsfold, L.J. Gimbert, U. Mankasingh, O.N. Omaka, G. Hanrahan, P.C.F.C. Gardolinski, P.M. Haygarth, B.L. Turner, M.J. Keith-Roach, I.D. McKelvie, Sampling, sample treatment and quality assurance issues for the determination of phosphorus species in natural waters and soils, Talanta, 66 (2005) 273-293.
[48] W.A. House, H. Casey, L. Donaldson, S. Smith, Factors affecting the coprecipitation of inorganic phosphate with calcite in hardwaters-I Laboratory studies, Water Research, 20 (1986) 917-922.
[49] W.A. House, H. Casey, S. Smith, Factors affecting the coprecipitation of inorganic phosphate with calcite in hardwaters-II Recirculating experimental stream system, Water Research, 20 (1986) 923-927.
[50] E.A. Nagul, S.D. Kolev, I.D. McKelvie, P.J. Worsfold, The molybdenum blue reaction for the determination of orthophosphate revisited: Opening the black box, Anal. Chim. Acta, 890 (2015
) 60-82.
[51] W. Maher, F. Krikowa, D. Wruck, H. Louie, T. Nguyen, W.Y. Huang, Determination of total phosphorus and nitrogen in turbid waters by oxidation with alkaline potassium peroxodisulfate and low pressure microwave digestion, autoclave heating or the use of closed vessels in a hot water bath: Comparison with Kjeldahl digestion, Anal. Chim. Acta, 463 (2002) 283-293.
[52] D.H. Menzel, N. Corwin, The measurement of total phosphorus in seawater based on the liberation of organically bound fractions by persulphate oxidation, Limnology and Oceanography, 10 (1965) 280-282.
[53] D.S. Jeffries, F.P. Dieken, D.E. Jones, Performance of the autoclave digestion method for total phosphorus analysis, Water Research, 13 (1979) 275-279.
[54] L. Woo, W. Maher, Determination of phosphorus in turbid waters using alkaline potassium peroxodisulphate digestion, Anal. Chim. Acta, 315 (1995) 123-135.
[55] J.J. Ridal, R.M. Moore, A re-examination of the measurement of dissolved organic phosphorus in seawater, Marine Chemistry, 29 (1990) 19-31.
[56] M.E. Gales Jr., E.C. Julian, R.C. Kroner, Method for quantitative determination of total phosphorus in water, American Water Works Association Journal, 58 (1966) 1363-1368.
[57] S.J. Eisenreich, R.T. Bannerman, D.E. Armstrong, A simplified phosphorus analysis technique, Environmental Letters, 9 (1975) 43-53.
[58] P.M. Haygarth, M.S. Warwick, W.A. House, Size distribution of colloidal molybdate reactive phosphorus in river waters and soil solution, Water Research, 31 (1997) 439-448.
[59] A. Aminot, R. Kérouel, An automated photo-oxidation method for the determination of dissolved organic phosphorus in marine and fresh water, Marine Chemistry, 76 (2001) 113-126.
[60] J. Golimowski, K. Golimowska, UV-photooxidation as pretreatment step in inorganic analysis of environmental samples, Anal. Chim. Acta, 325 (1996) 111-133.
[61] R.L. Benson, I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, Y.B. Truong, I.C. Hamilton, Determination of total phosphorus in waters and wastewaters by on-line UV/thermal induced digestion and flow injection analysis, Anal. Chim. Acta, 326 (1996) 29-39.
[62] I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, T.J. Cardwell, R.W. Cattrall, Spectrophotometric determination of dissolved organic phosphorus in natural waters using in-line photo-oxidation and flow injection, Analyst, 114 (1989) 1459-1463.
[63] R.L. Benson, I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, I.C. Hamilton, Determination of total phosphorus in waters and wastewaters by on-line microwave-induced digestion and flow-injection analysis, Anal. Chim. Acta, 291 (1994) 233-242.
[64] W. Maher, S. Forster, F. Krikowa, P. Snitch, G. Chapple, P. Craig, Measurement of trace elements and phosphorus in marine animal and plant tissues by low-volume microwave digestion and ICP-MS, Atomic Spectroscopy, 22 (2001) 361-370.
[65] I.D. McKelvie, D.M. Peat, P.J. Worsfold, Techniques for the quantification and speciation of phosphorus in natural waters, Analytical proceedings including Analytical Communications, 32 (1995) 437-445.

[66] M. Maruo, M. Ishimaru, Y. Azumi, Y. Kawasumi, O. Nagafuchi, H. Obata, Comparison of soluble reactive phosphorus and orthophosphate concentrations in river waters, Limnology, 17 (2016) 7-12.
[67] M. Hens, R. Merckx, The role of colloidal particles in the speciation and analysis of "dissolved" phosphorus, Water Research, 36 (2002) 1483-1492.
[68] P.G.W. Jones, C.P. Spencer, Comparison of several methods of determining inorganic phosphate in sea water, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 43 (1963) 251-273.
[69] J. Murphy, J.P. Riley, A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 27 (1962) 31-36.
[70] J.E. Going, S.J. Eisenreich, Spectrophotometric studies of reduced molybdoantimonylphosphoric acid, Anal. Chim. Acta, 70 (1974) 95-106.
[71] L. Drummond, W. Maher, Determination of phosphorus in aqueous solution via formation of the phosphoantimonylmolybdenum blue complex. Re-examination of optimum conditions for the analysis of phosphate, Anal. Chim. Acta, 302 (1995) 69-74.
[72] P.J. Worsfold, J.R. Clinch, H. Casey, Spectrophotometric Field Monitor for Water Quality Parameters. The Determination of Phosphate, Anal. Chim. Acta, 197 (1987) 43-50.
[73] W. Frenzel, I.D. McKelvie, Photometry, in: S.D. Kolev, I.D. McKelvie (Eds.) Advances in flow injection analysis and related techniques, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 311-342.
[74] A.J. Lyddy-Meaney, P.S. Ellis, P.J. Worsfold, E.C.V. Butler, I.D. McKelvie, A compact flow injection analysis system for surface mapping of phosphate in marine waters, Talanta, 58 (2002) 1043-1053.
[75] E.A. Nagul, I.D. McKelvie, S.D. Kolev, The nature of the salt error in the Sn(II)-reduced molybdenum blue reaction for determination of dissolved reactive phosphorus in saline waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 896 (2015) 120-127.
[76] E.A. Nagul, I.D. McKelvie, S.D. Kolev, The use of on-line UV photoreduction in the flow analysis determination of dissolved reactive phosphate in natural waters, Talanta, 133 (2015) 155-161.
[77] C. Neal, M. Neal, H. Wickham, Phosphate measurement in natural waters: Two examples of analytical problems associated with silica interference using phosphomolybdic acid methodologies, Science of The Total Environment, 251-252 (2000) 511-522.
[78] P.R. Freeman, I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, T.J. Cardwell, Flow-injection technique for the determination of low levels of phosphorus in natural waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 234 (1990) 409-416.
[79] O. Broberg, K. Pettersson, Analytical Determination of orthophosphate in water, Hydrobiologia, 170 (1988) 45-59.
[80] M. Grace, Y. Udnan, I. McKelvie, J. Jakmunee, K. Grudpan, On-line removal of sulfide interference in phosphate determination by flow injection analysis, Environmental Chemistry, 3 (2006) 19-25.
[81] K.S. Johnson, R.L. Petty, Determination of Phosphate in Seawater by Flow Injection Analysis with Injection of Reagent, Anal. Chem., 54 (1982) 1185-1187.
[82] P.S. Ellis, A.J. Lyddy-Meaney, P.J. Worsfold, I.D. McKelvie, Multi-reflection photometric flow cell for use in flow injection analysis of estuarine waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 499 (2003) 81-89.
[83] A.G. Fogg, S.P. Scullion, E. T.E., B.J. Birch, Adaptation of on-line reactions developed for use with flow injection with amperometric detection for use in disposable sensor devices: Reductive determination of phosphate as preformed 12-molybdophosphate in a capillary-fill device, Analyst, 115 (1990) 1277-1281.
[84] S.M. Harden, W.K. Nonidez, Determination of Orthophosphate by Flow Injection Analysis with Amperometric Detection, Anal. Chem., 56 (1984) 2218-2223.
[85] J.C. Quintana, L. Idrissi, G. Palleschi, P. Albertano, A. Amine, M. El Rhazi, D. Moscone, Investigation of amperometric detection of phosphate: Application in seawater and cyanobacterial biofilm samples, Talanta, 63 (2004) 567-574.
[86] A.V. Kolliopoulos, D.K. Kampouris, C.E. Banks, Rapid and Portable Electrochemical Quantification of Phosphorus, Analytical Chemistry, 87 (2015) 4269-4274.
[87] M. Kishida, T. Aoki, Determination of phosphate utilizing fluorescent reaction of thiamine with molybdovanadophosphate by flow injection analysis, Journal of Flow Injection Analysis, 15 (1998) 234-240.
[88] S. Motomizu, M. Oshima, N. Katsumura, Fluorimetric determination of phosphate in sea water by flow injection analysis, Analytical Science and Technology, 8 (1995) 843-848.
[89] C. Frank, F. Schroeder, R. Ebinghaus, W. Ruck, Using sequential injection analysis for fast determination of phosphate in coastal waters, Talanta, 70 (2006) 513-517.
[90] L. Kröckel, H. Lehmann, T. Wieduwilt, M.A. Schmidt, Fluorescence detection for phosphate monitoring using reverse injection analysis, Talanta, 125 (2014) 107-113.

[91] M. Yaqoob, A. Nabi, P.J. Worsfold, Determination of nanomolar concentrations of phosphate in freshwaters using flow injection with luminol chemiluminescence detection, Anal. Chim. Acta, 510 (2004) 213-218.
[92] APHA-AWWA-WEF, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 21 st ed., Centennial edition, Washington, 2005.
[93] S. Motomizu, Z.H. Li, Trace and ultratrace analysis methods for the determination of phosphorus by flow-injection techniques, Talanta, 66 (2005) 332-340.
[94] J.D.H. Strickland, T.R. Parsons, A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis, Bulletin 167, Second ed., Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa, 1972.
[95] Y. Liang, D. Yuan, Q. Li, Q. Lin, Flow injection analysis of ultratrace orthophosphate in seawater with solid-phase enrichment and luminol chemiluminescence detection, Anal. Chim. Acta, 571 (2006) 184-190.
[96] S. Motomizu, M. Oshima, Spectrophotometric determination of phosphorus as orthophosphate based on solvent extraction of the ion associate of molybdophosphate with malachite green using flow injection, The Analyst, 112 (1987) 295-300.
[97] E.A. Nagul, C. Fontàs, I.D. McKelvie, R.W. Cattrall, S.D. Kolev, The use of a polymer inclusion membrane for separation and preconcentration of orthophosphate in flow analysis, Anal. Chim. Acta, 803 (2013) 82-90.
[98] Y. Udnan, I.D. McKelvie, M.R. Grace, J. Jakmunee, K. Grudpan, Evaluation of on-line preconcentration and flow-injection amperometry for phosphate determination in fresh and marine waters, Talanta, 66 (2005) 461-466.
[99] D.M. Karl, G. Tien, MAGIC: a sensitive and precise method for measuring dissolved phosphorus in aquatic environments, Limnology and Oceanography, 37 (1992) 105-116.
[100] P. Rimmelin, T. Moutin, Re-examination of the MAGIC method to determine low orthophosphate concentration in seawater, Anal. Chim. Acta, 548 (2005) 174-182.
[101] F.I. Ormaza-González, P.J. Statham, Determination of Dissolved Inorganic Phosphorus in natural Waters at Nanomolar Concentrations using a Long Capillary Cell Detector, Anal. Chim. Acta, 244 (1991) 63-70.
[102] L.J. Gimbert, P.M. Haygarth, P.J. Worsfold, Determination of nanomolar concentrations of phosphate in natural waters using flow injection with a long path length liquid waveguide capillary cell and solid-state spectrophotometric detection, Talanta, 71 (2007) 1624-1628.
[103] J.Z. Zhang, J. Chi, Automated analysis of nanomolar concentrations of phosphate in natural waters with liquid waveguide, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36 (2002) 1048-1053.
[104] Q.P. Li, D.A. Hansell, J.Z. Zhang, Underway monitoring of nanomolar nitrate plus nitrite and phosphate in oligotrophic seawater, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 6 (2008) 319-326.
[105] S. Huo, J. Zhang, K.M. Yeager, B. Xi, J. Wang, Z. He, F. Wu, High-resolution profiles of dissolved reactive phosphorus in overlying water and porewater of Lake Taihu, China, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 21 (2014) 12989-12999.
[106] W. Li, L.Y. Lee, L.Y.L. Yung, Y. He, C.N. Ong, Combination of in situ preconcentration and on-site analysis for phosphate monitoring in fresh waters, Anal. Chem., 86 (2014) 7658-7665.
[107] C.W. Mohr, R.D. Vogt, O. Røyset, T. Andersen, N.A. Parekh, An in-depth assessment into simultaneous monitoring of dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) and low-molecular-weight organic phosphorus (LMWOP) in aquatic environments using diffusive gradients in thin films (DGT), Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts, 17 (2015) 711-727.
[108] P. Monbet, I.D. McKelvie, P.J. Worsfold, Combined gel probes for the in situ determination of dissolved reactive phosphorus in porewaters and characterization of sediment reactivity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42 (2008) 5112-5117.
[109] R. Kérouel, A. Aminot, Model compounds for the determination of organic and total phosphorus dissolved in natural waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 318 (1996) 385-390.
[110] C. Van Moorleghem, L. Six, F. Degryse, E. Smolders, R. Merckx, Effect of organic P forms and P present in inorganic colloids on the determination of dissolved P in environmental samples by the diffusive gradient in thin films technique, ion chromatography, and colorimetry, Anal. Chem., 83 (2011) 5317-5323.
[111] M. Aoyagi, Y. Yasumasa, A. Nishida, Rapid spectrophotometric determination of total phosphorus in industrial wastewaters by flow injection analysis including a capillary digestor, Anal. Chim. Acta, 214 (1988) 229-237.

[112] S. Hinkamp, G. Schwedt, Determination of total phosphorus in waters with amperometric detection by coupling of flow-injection analysis with continuous microwave oven digestion, Anal. Chim. Acta, 236 (1990) 345-350.
[113] M.I.G.S. Almeida, M.A. Segundo, J.L.F.C. Lima, A.O.S.S. Rangel, Multi-syringe flow injection system with in-line microwave digestion for the determination of phosphorus, Talanta, 64 (2004) 1283-1289.
[114] D.M.W. Peat, I.D. McKelvie, G.P. Matthews, P.M. Haygarth, P.J. Worsfold, Rapid determination of dissolved organic phosphorus in soil leachates and runoff waters by flow injection analysis with on-line photo-oxidation, Talanta, 45 (1997) 47-55.
[115] T. Pérez-Ruiz, C. Martínez-Lozano, V. Tomás, J. Martín, Flow-injection spectrofluorimetric determination of dissolved inorganic and organic phosphorus in waters using on-line photo-oxidation, Anal. Chim. Acta, 442 (2001) 147-153.
[116] O. Tue-Ngeun, P. Ellis, I.D. McKelvie, P. Worsfold, J. Jakmunee, K. Grudpan, Determination of dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) and dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) in natural waters by the use of rapid sequenced reagent injection flow analysis, Talanta, 66 (2005) 453-460.
[117] B.S. Gentle, P.S. Ellis, P.A. Faber, M.R. Grace, I.D. McKelvie, A compact portable flow analysis system for the rapid determination of total phosphorus in estuarine and marine waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 674 (2010) 117-122.
[118] D.S. Baldwin, Reactive 'organic' phosphorus revisited, Water Research, 32 (1998) 2265-2270.
[119] B.J. Cade-Menun, Using 31P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to characterize organic phosphorus in envirnmental samples, in: B.L. Turner, E. Frossard, D.S. Baldwin (Eds.), Cabi Publishing, 2005, pp. 21-44.
[120] D.M. Karl, K.M. Bjorkman, Phosphorus cycle in seawater: dissolved and particulate pool inventories and selected phosphorus fluxes, Methods in Microbiology 30 (2001) 239-270.
[121] R.A. Berner, J.L. Rao, Phosphorus in sediments of the Amazon River and estuary: Implications for the global flux of phosphorus to the sea, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 58 (1994) 2333-2339.
[122] J.B. Cotner, R.G. Wetzel, Uptake of dissolved inorganic and organic phosphorus compounds by phytoplankton and bacterioplankton, Limnology and Oceanography, 37 (1992) 232-243.
[123] S.T. Dyhrman, P.D. Chappell, S.T. Haley, J.W. Moffett, E.D. Orchard, J.B. Waterbury, E.A. Webb, Phosphonate utilization by the globally important marine diazotroph Triscodesmium, Nature, 439 (2006) 68-71.
[124] S.J. Eisenreich, J.E. Going, Extraction of reduced molybdophosphoric and molybdoantimonylphosphoric acids with oxygenated solvents, Anal. Chim. Acta, 71 (1974) 393-403.
[125] M. Espinosa, B.L. Turner, P.M. Haygarth, Preconcentration and separation of trace phosphorus compounds in soil leachate, J. Environ. Qual., 28 (1999) 1497-1504.
[126] D.Z. Halemejko, R. Chrost, The role of phosphatases in phosphorus mineralization during decomposition of lake phytoplankton blooms, Archiv. fur Hydrobiologie, 101 (1984) 489-502.
[127] H. Quiquampoix, D. Mousain, Enzymatic hydrolysis of organic phosphorus, in: B.L. Turner, E. Frossard, D.S. Baldwin (Eds.) Organic phosphorus in the environment, Cabi Publishing, 2005.
[128] P.J. Worsfold, P. Monbet, A.D. Tappin, M.F. Fitzsimons, D.A. Stiles, I.D. McKelvie, Characterisation and quantification of organic phosphorus and organic nitrogen components in aquatic systems: A Review, Anal. Chim. Acta, 624 (2008) 37-58.
[129] K. Robards, I.D. McKelvie, R.L. Benson, P.J. Worsfold, N.J. Blundell, H. Casey, Determination of carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen and silicon species in waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 287 (1994) 147-190.
[130] J.D. Strickland, L. Solorzano, Determination of monoesterase hydrolysable phosphate and phosphomonoesterase activity in sea water, in: H. Barnes (Ed.) Some contemporary studies in Marine Science, Allen & Unwin, London, 1966, pp. 665–675.
[131] S.E. Herbes, H.E. Allen, K.H. Mancy, Enzymatic characterization of soluble organic phosphorus in lake water, Science, 187 (1975) 432-434.
[132] B.L. Turner, I.D. McKelvie, P.M. Haygarth, Characterisation of water-extractable soil organic phosphorus by phosphatase hydrolysis, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 34 (2002) 27-35.
[133] P. Monbet, I.D. McKelvie, A. Saefumillah, P.J. Worsfold, A protocol to assess the enzymatic release of dissolved organic phosphorus species in waters under environmentally relevant conditions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41 (2007) 7479-7485.
[134] Y. Shan, I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, Characterization of immobilized Escherichia coli alkaline phosphatase reactors in flow injection analysis, Anal. Chem., 65 (1993) 3053-3060.
[135] N. Amini, I. McKelvie, An enzymatic flow analysis method for the determination of phosphatidylcholine in sediment pore waters and extracts, Talanta, 66 (2005) 445-452.

[136] I.D. McKelvie, B.T. Hart, T.J. Cardwell, R.W. Cattrall, Use of immobilized 3-phytase and flow injection for the determination of phosphorus species in natural waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 316 (1995) 277-289.
[137] V. Ruiz-Calero, M.T. Galceran, Ion chromatographic separations of phosphorus species: A review, Talanta, 66 (2005) 376-410.
[138] D. Jolley, W. Maher, P. Cullen, Rapid method for separating and quantifying orthophosphate and polyphosphates: Application to sewage samples, Water Research, 32 (1998) 711-716.
[139] D. Halliwell, J. Coventry, D. Nash, Inorganic monophosphate determination in overland flow from irrigated grazing systems, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 76 (2000) 77-87.
[140] Z.X. Guo, Q. Cai, Z. Yang, Determination of glyphosate and phosphate in water by ion chromatography - Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry detection, J. Chromatogr. A, 1100 (2005) 160-167.
[141] C. Valls-Cantenys, M. Iglesias, J.L. Todolí, V. Salvadó, Speciation of phosphorus oxoacids in natural and waste water samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1231 (2012) 16-21.
[142] R.A. Barco, D.G. Patil, W. Xu, L. Ke, C.S. Khachikian, G. Hanrahan, T.M. Salmassi, The development of iodide-based methods for batch and on-line determinations of phosphite in aqueous samples, Talanta, 69 (2006) 1292-1299.
[143] Y.M. Niu, Y. Liang, J.Y. Liu, J.F. Liu, Highly sensitive determination of dialkyl phosphinate acids in environmental samples by ion chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A, 1394 (2015) 26-35.
[144] ISO/IEC, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, 2005.
[145] P.J. Worsfold, R. Clough, M.C. Lohan, P. Monbet, P.S. Ellis, C.R. Quétel, G.H. Floor, I.D. McKelvie, Flow injection analysis as a tool for enhancing oceanographic nutrient measurements-A review, Anal. Chim. Acta, 803 (2013) 15-40.
[146] A. Aminot, R. Kérouel, Reference material for nutrients in seawater: stability of nitrate, nitrite, ammonia and phosphate in autoclaved samples, Mar. Chem., 49 (1995) 221-232.
[147] V. Clancy, S. Willie, Preparation and certification of a reference material for the determination of nutrients in seawater, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 378 (2004) 1239-1242.
[148] M. Aoyama, H. Ota, M. Kimura, T. Kitao, H. Mitsuda, A. Murata, K. Sato, Current status of homogeneity and stability of the reference materials for nutrients in seawater, Anal. Sci., 28 (2012) 911-916.
[149] M. Aoyama, S. Becker, M. Dai, H. Daimon, L.I. Gordon, H. Kasai, R. Kerouel, N. Kress, D. Masten, A. Murata, N. Nagai, H. Ogawa, H. Ota, H. Saito, K. Saito, T. Shimizu, H. Takano, A. Tsuda, K. Yokouchi, A. Youenou, Recent comparability of oceanographic nutrients data: Results of a 2003 intercomparison exercise using reference materials, Anal. Sci., 23 (2007) 1151-1154.
[150] J. Compton, D. Mallinson, C.R. Glenn, G. Filippelli, I.K. Föllm, G. Shields, Y. Zanin, Variations in the global phosphorus cycle, in: C.R. Glenn, L. Prevot-Lucas, J. Lucas (Eds.) Marine Authigenesis: From Global to MicrobialSociety for Sedimentary Geology, 2000, pp. 21-33.
[151] G.M. Filippelli, The global phosphorus cycle, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 2002.
[152] P.N. Froelich, M.L. Bender, N.A. Luedtke, G.R. Heath, T. Devries, The Marine Phosphorus Cycle, Am. J. Sci., 282 (1982) 474-511.
[153] B. Gumbo, Short-cutting the phosphorus cycle in urban ecosystems, Delft University of Technology, 2005, pp. 326.
[154] R.A. Jahnke, 14 The Phosphorus Cycle, International Geophysics, 1992, pp. 301-315.
[155] A. Lerman, F.T. Mackenzie, R.M. Garrels, Modeling of geochemical cycles: Phosphorus as an example, Memoir of the Geological Society of America, 1975, pp. 205-218.
[156] F.T. MacKenzie, L.M. Ver, C. Sabine, M. Lane, A. Lerman, C, N, P, S global biogeochemical cycles and modeling of global change, in: R. Wollast, F.T. MacKenzie, L. Chou (Eds.) Interactions of C, N, P and S cycles and global change, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, pp. 1-62.
[157] J.E. Richey, The phosphorus cycle, in: B. Bolin, R.B. Cook (Eds.) SCOPE 21 -The Major Biogeochemical Cycles and Their Interactions, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1983, pp. 51-56.
[158] V. Smil, Phosphorus: Global Transfers in Douglas, I. (Ed.) Causes and consequences of global environmental change, in: T. Munn (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Global Environmental Change John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 2002, pp. 536-542.