Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences

Novel use of portable gamma sensors to rapidly assess soil status and recovery in degraded East African agro-pastoral land

Blake, W

https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/22392

10.5194/egusphere-egu24-13351 Copernicus Publications

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



UNIVERSITY OF PLYMOUTH

ansformative Learning for Sustainable Liv Schumacher College

Novel use of portable gamma sensors to rapidly assess soil status and recovery in degraded East African agro-pastoral land

Combining sensor technology with citizen science to support soil and food security, combat the climate crisis, promote

- Wynants et al., 2020).
- rapidly assess soil health.



- to demonstrate the process linkage for full confidence in data 0 250 500 n

Conclusion and prospects

Will Blake, Aloyce Amasi, Claire Kelly, Shaun Lewin, Francis Mkilema, Furaha Msale, Kelvin Mtei, Linus Munishi, Mona Nasseri, Patrick Ndakidemi₂, and Alex Taylor₁

¹School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, UK (wi iam.blake@plymouth.ac.uk); 2Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, Arusha, Tanzania; 3 Schumacher College, Faculty of Ecological Design Thinking, Devon, UK

• Feedback from community members underpins the value of the sensor as a qualitative assessment tool e.g. using visual colour coding in the live data feed in the field. Quantitative comparison of sensor and laboratory data will permit protocols for ground-based and airborne (drone) gamma spectrometry for soil health evaluation at scale • Portable gamma spectrometry is a promising tool to support evaluation, mitigation and reversal

of soil erosion impact in rangeland socioecological systems.

measurements and soil composition.

References and acknowledgements Blake WH & Mtei KM (2021) 'Evaluating Soil Carbon as a Proxy for Erosion Risk in the Spatio-Temporal Complex Hydropower Catchment in Upper Pangani, Northern Tanzania' Earth 2, (4) 764-780 Blake WH. Rabinovich A. Wvnants M. Kelly C. Nasseri M. Ngondva I. Patrick A. Mtei K, Munishi L & Boeckx P (2018) 'Soil erosion in East Africa: an interdisciplinary approach to realising pastoral land management change' Environmental Research Letters 13. (12 Kelly C, Wynants M, Patrick A, Taylor A, Mkilema F, Nasseri M, Lewin S, Munishi L, Mtei K & Ndakidemi P (2022) 'Soils, Science and Community ActioN (SoilSCAN): A citizen science tool to empower community-led land nanagement change in East Africa' Environmental Research Letters 17 aylor A, Kalnins A, Koot M, Jackson R, Toloza A, Ahmed HS, Goddard R & Blake WH (2023) 'Portable gamma spectrometry for rapid assessment of soil texture, organic carbon and total nitrogen in agricultural soils' Journal

of Soils and Sediments 23, (6) 2556-2563

Wynants M, Kelly C, Mtei K, Munishi L, Patrick A, Rabinovich A, Nasseri M, Gilvear D, Roberts CN & Boeckx P (2019) 'Drivers of increased soil erosion in East Africa's agro-pastoral systems: changing interactions between the social, economic and natural domains' Regional Environmental Change

Part of a research programme funded by UK Natural Environment Research Council grant NE/R009309/1 and Research Council UK Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) grant NE/P015603/1; Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/T012560/1. The study represents a contribution to the joint UN FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Projects (CRP) 'D15017: Nuclear Techniques for a Better Understanding of the Impact of Climate Change on Soil Erosion in Upland Agroecosystems' and 'D1.50.18: Multiple Isotope Fingerprints to Identify Sources and Transport of Agro-Contaminants'.



r 1		Ŧ	8	Z	0	<_ex	clay	CE C	H 20	<_40	J.238	Th_232	CPS	
	pН	1.00	-0.16	-0.28	0.41	0.68	-0.17	0.76	0.46	-0.02	-0.19	-0.18	-0.17	
- 0.8	OC	-0.16	1.00	0.94	0.59	0.41	-0.22	-0.26	0.11	-0.45	-0.60	-0.74	-0.72	
- 0.6	TN	-0.28	0.94	1.00	0.55	0.34	-0.14	-0.46	0.08	-0.27	-0.42	-0.56	-0.52	
- 0.4	Р	0.41	0.59	0.55	1.00	0.76	-0.54	0.02	0.38	-0.25	-0.43	-0.46	-0.45	
- 0.2	K_ex	0.68	0.41	0.34	0.76	1.00	-0.50	0.27	0.45	-0.24	-0.38	-0.51	-0.48	
- 0	Clay	-0.17	-0.22	-0.14	-0.54	-0.50	1.00	0.01	-0.24	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.26	
0.2	CEC	0.76	-0.26	-0.46	0.02	0.27	0.01	1.00	0.23	-0.19	-0.17	-0.12	-0.16	
-0.2	H20	0.46	0.11	0.08	0.38	0.45	-0.24	0.23	1.00	-0.11	-0.09	-0.12	-0.12	
0.4	K_40	-0.02	-0.45	-0.27	-0.25	-0.24	0.24	-0.19	-0.11	1.00	0.72	0.64	0.77	
0.6	U.238	-0.19	-0.60	-0.42	-0.43	-0.38	0.23	-0.17	-0.09	0.72	1.00	0.78	0.88	
0.8	Th_232	-0.18	-0.74	-0.56	-0.46	-0.51	0.25	-0.12	-0.12	0.64	0.78	1.00	0.97	
-1	CPS	-0.17	-0.72	-0.52	-0.45	-0.48	0.26	-0.16	-0.12	0.77	0.88	0.97	1.00	