Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Biomedical Sciences

2024-01

Amplifying PCR productivity and environmental sustainability through shortened cycling protocols

Pedlar, M

https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/21992

10.1016/j.biochi.2024.01.013 Biochimie Elsevier BV

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Amplifying PCR productivity and environmental sustainability through shortened cycling protocols

Matthew Pedlar, Matthew J. Emery, Philip J. Warburton

PII: S0300-9084(24)00031-2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2024.01.013

Reference: BIOCHI 6670

To appear in: *Biochimie*

Received Date: 24 June 2023

Revised Date: 6 January 2024

Accepted Date: 19 January 2024

Please cite this article as: M. Pedlar, M.J. Emery, P.J. Warburton, Amplifying PCR productivity and environmental sustainability through shortened cycling protocols, *Biochimie* (2024), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2024.01.013.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier B.V.



\sim	urn	D	r	n	r		
U	uuu			Ρ		U	

- 1 Amplifying PCR productivity and environmental sustainability through
- 2 shortened cycling protocols
- 3

4 Authors

- 5 Matthew Pedlar¹, Matthew J. Emery² and Philip J. Warburton^{1*}
- ⁶ ¹School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK
- 7 ²School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK

8

- 9 *Corresponding author. Tel: (+44) 1752 584482; Fax: (+44) 1752 586788; E-mail:
- 10 philip.warburton@plymouth.ac.uk

11

12 Key words: PCR, 16S rRNA, thermal cycling, environmental sustainability

13

14

15 Abstract

16 Since its inception in the 1980s, advancements in PCR technology using improved 17 thermal cyclers, engineered DNA polymerases and commercial master mixes, have 18 led to increased PCR productivity. Despite these advancements, PCR cycling 19 protocols have largely remained unchanged over the same period. This study aimed 20 to systemically evaluate the effect of reduced PCR cycling parameters on amplicon 21 production. The 1466bp fragment from the 16S rRNA gene present in low-, medium-22 and high-CG bacteria was amplified using three commercially available PCR master 23 mixes. The shortest cycling parameters required to successfully amplify the 16S 24 fragment from all bacteria and master mixes comprised 30-cycles of 5 seconds 25 denaturation, 25 seconds annealing, and 25 seconds extension. While all produced 26 an amplicon with sufficient yield to enable downstream sequence analysis, the 27 PCRBIO Ultra Mix in conjunction with the shortened parameters was found to 28 achieve the highest amplicon yield across low-, medium- and high CG bacteria. 29 Comparing the run times to that of a typical 16S PCR protocol, the shortened cycling 30 parameters reduced the program duration by 46% and consumed 50% less 31 electricity, translating into increased productivity and helping to improve laboratory 32 environmental sustainability.

33

34

35 <u>1. Introduction</u>

36

37 Culturing techniques remain the gold standard for bacterial identification in clinical 38 microbiology. However, with some species requiring weeks to culture [1], targeted 39 culture methods potentially missing less common pathogenic species [2], and 40 biochemical testing not always able to discriminate between species [3], this can 41 lead to incomplete or delayed diagnoses that may impact patient care and result in 42 unnecessary antibiotic treatment [4].

43

PCR is an important clinical diagnostic tool for genetic microbial detection and 44 45 identification [5], with specific gene-targeted Real-Time PCR (RT-PCR) and 16S 46 rRNA gene sequencing being the most common methods [6]. RT-PCR is a quick and 47 effective tool for identifying bacterial species or antibiotic resistance genes, but it 48 relies on prior knowledge of target sequences and is limited in the breadth of targets 49 that can be identified in any one test. In contrast, 16S rRNA gene sequencing utilises 50 broad-species-range oligonucleotides that target conserved regions within the gene 51 to allow for simple and cost-effective genus/species level identification without prior 52 knowledge of the disease/infective agent [7,8] and for microbial community analysis 53 [9,10].

54

55 While rapid in comparison to bacterial culture, typical 16S PCR programs can take 56 over 2 hours to complete [11-13], during which time the machine is engaged and 57 cannot be used for other purposes. Advancements in polymerase engineering [14-58 16] and commercially produced master mixes have increased performance and 59 reduced reaction set up times. However, cycling protocols have largely remained

60 unchanged since the 1990s [11-13,17-19], with extension times of 60-180 seconds 61 still used for amplification during the extension stage. Tag polymerases are 62 reportedly capable of incorporating approximately 60 nucleotides per second at 70°C 63 [15, 20], suggesting it may be possible to amplify 1500bp in 25 seconds, translating into a shorter PCR program. While variations in PCR protocols have been published 64 65 [14,21-23], no study appears to have systemically evaluated the effects of modified 66 PCR cycling parameters on amplicon production. We present a new protocol with 67 shortened cycling times and reduced energy consumption that robustly and 68 reproducibly amplifies a 1466bp 16S rRNA gene fragment across a range of 69 bacterial species with varying genomic GC contents, resulting in increased 70 laboratory productivity and environmental sustainability.

71

72 2. Materials and Methods

73 <u>2.1 Bacteria and DNA extraction</u>

74 Bacillus subtilis (University of Plymouth strain). Escherichia coli K12 DH1 (DSM 75 4235) and Mycobacterium phlei (DSM 43070), with GC contents of ~36-40%, 50.8% 76 and 73% respectively, were cultured on BHI agar (Merck Life Science UK Limited, 77 Dorset, UK) aerobically at 37°C for 24-48 hours. DNA was extracted from a single 78 colony using the FastDNA[™] SPIN Kit for Soil and FastPrep-24 5G Bead Beating 79 Lysis System (MB Biomedical Irvine, CA, USA) according to the manufacturers 80 protocol with the following modification: centrifugation at 12,300xg using a Micro Star 12 centrifuge (VWR Lutterworth, Leicestershire, UK). DNA from E. coli NCTC 11560, 81 82 that had previously been amplified, was used as a positive control for PCR. DNA concentration was equalised to 45ng/µL <u>+</u>10% using a NanoDrop[™] ND1000 83

84 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific™ Waltham, MA, USA) and stored at -

85 20°C.

86

87 <u>2.2 PCR Amplification and Energy Monitoring</u>

Three commercial PCR master mixes were used: DreamTag Green PCR Master Mix 88 (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™] Waltham, MA, USA), MyTag[™] Red Mix (Meridian 89 90 Biosciences St. Modiin, Israel), and 2x PCRBIO Ultra Mix (PCR Biosystems London, UK). 50µL reactions were set up comprising 25µL of the respective PCR Master Mix, 91 92 1µL 27f 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' forward primer (10µM) and 1µL 1492r 93 5'-ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3' reverse primer (10µM) (Eurofins Genomics, 94 Ebersberg, Germany) [17], 22µL nuclease-free water (Thermo Scientific™), and 1µL 95 of bacterial DNA. Nuclease-free water (Thermo Scientific[™] ddH2O) was used as 96 negative control, while E. coli NCTC 11560 DNA was used as the positive control. All 97 reactions were carried out on GeneAmp PCR System 9700 automated thermocycler 98 (Marshall Scientific Hampton, NH, USA) on maximum temperature ramping speed 99 for all cycling programs (Table 1). All PCR amplicons were visualised on a 1% 100 agarose gel in 0.5x tris-acetate-EDTA buffer at pH8, pre-stained with 1x SYBR Safe 101 DNA Gel Stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™] Waltham, MA, USA), under UV trans-102 illumination. Power consumption was measured using a Plug-in mains power and 103 energy monitor (Maplin Electronics, Rotherham, UK).

104

105 <u>2.3 PCR Purification, Quantification and Sequencing</u>

106 PCR products were purified using the Monarch® PCR & DNA Clean Up Kit (5ng)

107 (New England BioLabs Ipswich, MA, USA) and eluted into 25µL nuclease-free water.

108 Amplicon concentration was quantified using the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer with the

109 dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™] Waltham, MA, USA). Amplicons 110 were sequenced at the Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, using 111 a 3730XL DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™] Waltham, MA, USA). DNA 112 sequences were checked using Chromas Lite version 2 (Technelysium Pty Ltd. 113 Australia). Sequence editing and alignments were undertaken using Bioedit v7.7.1 114 (Tom Hall, Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University; 115 https://thalljiscience.github.io/). 116 117 2.4 Statistics 118 Amplicon concentration data and PCR program parameters were collated in 119 Microsoft Excel (Version 3202) which was used to calculate the means and 120 differences from triplicate repeats of the Control (V0) and Final (V9) programs (Table 121 1). Data was analysed using Minitab (Version 19.1.1) to calculate descriptive 122 statistics and general interval charts. A two-sample Welch's t-test was used on each 123 of the nine Master Mix/Species combinations to determine significant difference 124 between Control and Final programs. An Interval Plot was generated using data from 125 all Master Mix/Species combinations to visualise the change in amplicon 126 concentration between control and final programs. 127

128 <u>3. Results</u>

The 1466bp fragment of the 16S rRNA gene, amplified by the 27f and 1492r oligonucleotide primers, was selected as a standard target gene to assess the effect of reduced PCR cycling times on amplicon production. PCR master mixes from three different manufacturers, in conjunction with DNA from *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Mycobacterium phlei*, was used to evaluate these changes across species with low-, medium- and high-CG genomes. All programs tested included the
standard 2-minute initial denaturation and 5-minute final extension step as part of the
overall run time.

137

138 A typical 32-cycle 16S control PCR (16S V0) comprising 30 seconds for 139 denaturation, 30 second for annealing, and 90 seconds for extension, was used as a 140 universal bacterial test program (Table 1). This took 117 minutes to complete and 141 consumed 0.28 kWh of electricity. Changes to the denaturation, annealing and 142 extension times were undertaken independently to ensure successful amplification, with products confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. For the extension step, a 143 144 reduction from 90 to 25 seconds (16S V3) resulted in amplicons of expected size for 145 all PCR master mixes and bacterial species, equating to a 72.2% time saving for this 146 step compared to the control program (16S V0). Attempts with a 20 second 147 extension step (16S V2) resulted in no amplification from *M. phlei* with MyTag, 148 although amplification was possible with the two other PCR master mixes. For the 149 annealing step, 25 seconds (16S V5) was the minimum time required for successful 150 amplification across all species and master mixes, representing a 16.7% time saving 151 for this step. Tests at 20 seconds (16S V4) were unsuccessful for *M. phlei* with 152 MyTaq. For the denaturation step, amplification was possible across all species and 153 master mixes with 5 seconds (16S V7), reduced from 30 seconds, and representing 154 an 83.5% time saving over that step in the control program.

155

Table 1. PCR programs tested with total cycling duration calculated by PCR System
9700 platform and includes a 2-minute initial denaturation and 5-minute final
extension step, to the nearest 10 seconds.

1	59	
---	----	--

PROGRAM	DENATURATIO N TIME (94 °C)	ANNEALING TIME (54 °C)	EXTENSION TIME (72 °C)	CYCLE NUMBER	TOTAL PROGRAM DURATION	CALCULATED CYCLE DURATION (EX TEMP RAMPING)
16S V0 (CONTROL)	0:30	0:30	1:30	32	1:57:00	1:27:00
16S V1	0:30	0:30	0:30	32	1:25:00	0:55:00
16S V2	0:30	0:30	0:20	32	1:19:00	0:49:40
16S V3	0:30	0:30	0:25	32	1:23:00	0:52:20
16S V4	0:30	0:20	1:30	32	1:52:00	1:21:40
16S V5	0:30	0:25	1:30	32	1:55:00	1:24:20
16S V6	0:10	0:30	1:30	32	1:46:00	1:16:20
16S V7	0:05	0:30	1:30	32	1:44:00	1:13:40
16S V8	0:05	0:25	0:25	28	0:59:00	0:32:40
16S V9 (FINAL)	0:05	0:25	0:25	30	1:03:00	0:34:30

160

161 Additional time saving was explored through reductions in cycle number. At 28-162 cycles (16S V8), the amplicon yield with MyTag and *M. phlei* was 6.34ng/µL based 163 on fluorometric analysis; this is insufficient for downstream analysis such as Sanger 164 sequencing. 30-cyles was found to be the minimum number needed to produce an 165 amplicon concentration sufficient for downstream analysis across the master mixes 166 and species combinations. The final program (16S V9) comprised 30-cycles of 167 denaturation for 5 seconds, annealing for 25 seconds and extension for 25 seconds, 168 with the standard 2-minute initial denaturation and a 5-minute final extension step. 169 This program took 63 minutes to complete and used 0.14kWh of electricity, resulting 170 in a 46.2% reduction in overall run time compared to the control program (16S V0) 171 and required 50% less electricity. Analysis of three independent replicates, for all 172 bacterial species and master mixes, found that mean amplicon concentration was 173 higher from the control program (16S V0) compared to the final program (16S V9) 174 (Figure 1), however, the difference was not significant except with *M. phlei* amplified 175 by DreamTag (P = 0.043) (Table 2). During triplication, amplicon concentration 176 varied by as much as \sim 75ng/µL (Figure 1), with the larger differences in yield often 177 observed from the control program (16S V0), while the final program (16S V9)

178 typically produced a lower but more consistent product. The reason for such

179 variability is unknown as the template DNA concentration was equilibrated.

180

Table 2. Comparison of mean amplicon yield and t-tests for Control and Final
program amplicon concentrations, grouped by species/master mix combinations (n =
3). *P<0.05 **Mann-Whitney U test performed for the *E. coli*-DreamTaq group due to
not having equal variance.

185

B. subtilis	Control Mean ±SEM (ng/μl)	Final Mean ±SEM (ng/μl)	Difference	Mean Loss of Yield (%)	T value	P value
DreamTaq	74.67 ±24.20	45.47 ±6.48	29.20	39.1	1.17	0.364
MyTaq	82.70 ±8.70	54.77 ±2.17	27.93	33.8	3.12	0.089
PCRBIO	87.03 ±19.00	76.80 ±4.21	10.23	11.8	0.53	0.651
E. coli				25		
DreamTaq	75.80 ±24.20	49.40 ±2.70	26.40	34.8	W value** 12.00	0.663
MyTaq	91.63 ±16.60	53.30 ±3.66	38.33	41.8	2.25	0.153
PCRBIO	93.93 ±10.30	71.13 ±13.70	22.80	24.3	1.33	0.277
M. phlei		0				
DreamTaq	40.73 ±5.46	14.40 ±5.59	26.33	64.6	3.37	0.043*
MyTaq	33.93 ±7.25	8.86 ±1.67	25.07	73.9	3.37	0.078
PCRBIO	71.70 ±22.40	51.00 ±6.99	20.70	28.9	0.88	0.471

186

187 Sanger sequenced amplicons from one control (16S V0) and one final (16S V9) set,

across all species and PCR master mixes, using both the 27f and 1492r

189 oligonucleotides, yielded sequence lengths of over 800 bp with no differences.

190 Aligned sequences showed 100% nucleotide identity between reciprocal species for

both programs and a corresponding match to those species in the Ribosomal

192 Database Project and NCBI database, indicating that the reduced cycling protocols

193 had no effect on sequence length or quality.

194

195 **<u>4. Discussion</u>**

PCR is now a ubiquitous tool within clinical and research laboratories for the detection of specific genes and species identification. While reagent technology and equipment have improved, cycling protocols have largely remained unchanged for decades. Running machines longer than necessary delays lifesaving information, reduces throughput potential and wastes energy. This study systematically evaluated cycling protocol modifications resulting in shorter run times that have the potential to increase the productivity and sustainability of PCR–based diagnostics.

203

204 The 1466bp fragment of the 16S rRNA gene amplified by the 27f and 1492r 205 oligonucleotides [17] was used as a template for this analysis, with programs 206 typically taking around 2 hours to complete depending on published protocols. The 207 final program (16S V9) implemented shortened cycling steps of 5, 25 and 25 208 seconds for denaturation, annealing and extension respectively, and successfully 209 yielded an amplicon within 63 minutes across high-, mid- and low-GC bacteria using 210 three different PCR master mixes. While a reduction in amplicon concentration was 211 seen, no significant difference was observed compared to the control program (16S 212 V0), except with *M. phlei* amplified using DreamTag. Of the three master mixes, 213 PCRBIO deliver an average amplicon yield closest to that observed when using the 214 control program (16S V0) and well above the minimum required for downstream 215 analysis, such as Sanger sequencing. The final optimised programme (16S V9) 216 differs from the general guidelines supplied by the various manufacturers, and it is 217 noted that this study specially focused on amplification of the 16S rRNA gene and 218 each manufacturer stipulates that parameters may require optimisation. The final 219 programme (16S V9) was shorter than suggested manufacturer guidelines for 220 DreamTag for all three cycling stages (denaturation, annealing and extension). In

221 contrast, the manufacture guidelines for MyTaq were shorter than our final protocol 222 (16S V9), however attempts to amplify from the high-GC *M. phlei* DNA proved 223 unsuccessful with a 20 second annealing step, but was possible for the other two 224 bacterial species, suggesting that the high-GC template was problematic at this 225 stage. The manufacturer guidelines for PCRBIO are shorter than the 16S V9 226 programme reported here, and trials with shorter cycling conditions (e.g., 20 seconds 227 for annealing in 16S V4) were still successful, suggesting this master mix could be 228 further optimised. However, this was not explored further here as the aim of this 229 study was to determine a minimum standardised protocol applicable to low-, 230 medium- and high-GC bacteria across multiple manufacturer master mixes. 231 232 Analysis of the amplicon concentration found it to be more consistent and 233 reproducible with the final program (16S V9) across all PCR master mixes compared 234 with the control program (16S V0), with a more consistent product potentially 235 benefitting any downstream analysis. Amplicon concentration varying by as much as 236 ~75ng/µL for the control program (16S V0) despite the initial template DNA being 237 equalised to 45ng/µL prior to all PCR reactions. The reason for the variability in 238 amplicon concentration using the standard protocol is unknown, though it is possible 239 that the optimised protocol may result in more targeted annealing of the primers and 240 reduced mis-annealing, but this is speculation and requires further study. In 241 summary, the final program (16S V9) reduces 16S PCR completion times by 46% 242 and uses 50% less electricity compared to the control program (16S V0), while 243 producing amplicons at a sufficient concentration for downstream analysis with no 244 discernible detriment. These modifications could allow for the optimisation of 245 laboratory workflows. Automated DNA extraction platforms, such as the EasyMAG®,

can complete a run in as little as 40 minutes [24], and when combined with a shorter
PCR protocol, could allow for multiple successive runs to be synchronised more
effectively and increase sample throughput.

249

250 The findings of this study have the potential for wider impact beyond amplifying the 251 16S gene and could be implemented for the analysis of other genes, leading to much 252 greater productivity, diagnostic potential, and environmental sustainability. For 253 example, Nasution et al [25] outline a protocol for amplifying the 533bp fragment of 254 the MecA gene in methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA). This consists of 30 cycles, 255 comprising 180 seconds for denaturation, 30 seconds for annealing and 60 seconds 256 for extension, and is estimated to take 165 minutes (including an estimated 30 257 minutes for temperature ramping). By applying the principles reported here, this 258 program could theoretically be reduced to approximately 57 minutes, equating to a 259 65% reduction in time and electricity usage. Times shorter than those outlined in the 260 final program (16S V9) resulted in amplification failure for *M. phlei*, likely due to its 261 high-GC genome, however they were still capable of amplifying the 16S fragment 262 from B. subtilis and E. coli. Other studies have also successfully utilised protocols 263 with annealing times as low as 10-15 seconds [10,26-27], suggesting tailored 264 programs with even shorter run times may be possible for known DNA templates, 265 such as species comprising <50% GC content or in the detection of known antibiotic 266 resistance genes such as MecA. Further optimisation through the examination of 267 oligonucleotide, magnesium and/or GC buffer concentrations may help further 268 reduce overall cycle duration.

269

270 Clinical and biomedical research laboratories are significant energy users [28] and 271 with the UK Government targeting net-zero carbon emission by 2050 [29], 272 sustainability and running costs are increasingly becoming prominent considerations 273 for any laboratory. Green laboratory initiatives including the Enabling 274 Environmentally Sustainable Biomedical Research forum [30], the Laboratory 275 Efficiency Assessment Framework [31] and trials seeking to reduce plastic waste 276 [32] are beginning to address concerns around sustainability and environmental 277 impact within research. Ultra-low temperature freezers have been shown to use 40% less energy when set to -70°C compared with -80°C, with no apparent detriment to 278 279 their contents [33]. This reduces energy usage and costs while increasing equipment 280 lifespan, leading to a more sustainable working practice. Consumers are also more 281 energy conscious following increasing global energy prices. Indeed, the 41% 282 increase in UK electricity prices in April 2022 was the largest monthly price increase 283 since 1988 [34] making the running of any laboratory equipment more expensive. 284 PCR is a common laboratory method. Shortened cycling protocols would use 285 substantially less electricity, translating into lower energy costs, potentially longer 286 machine shelf life, and improved sustainability, allowing organisations to make 287 cumulative savings towards sustainability initiatives.

288

PCR is a common technique in teaching on biomedical and biological-based programs. With undergraduate practical sessions typically having a duration of 2-3 hours, performing PCR and its downstream analysis is likely to span multiple sessions to accommodate the long run times. A PCR program of less than 60 minutes could enable the setup, running and gel electrophoresis within a single 3hour practical session, aiding continuity and improving educational pedagogy.

Furthermore, these principles would also positively impact research and diagnostics undertaken in low- and middle-income countries where limited access to equipment or an uninterrupted/intermittent electricity supply can hamper work. Reduced protocols would enable equipment and available electricity to be used more productively and efficiently, including the possibility to run portable thermal cyclers using battery or solar power for *in situ* analysis of samples in the field.

301

302 <u>5. Conclusion</u>

303 To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to systematically assess the impact 304 of shortened PCR cycling parameters on the amplification of the 16S rRNA gene in 305 low-, mid- and high-GC template DNA. The final modified program resulted in a 46% reduction in overall run time, with PCRBIO master mix achieving an amplicon 306 307 concentration comparable to the control program, though there were difficulties when 308 attempting to amplify from high-CG template DNA. For unknown templates, a 309 program with a 5-second denaturation step and 30 seconds for both the annealing 310 and extension steps would allow greater flexibility while only adding 4 minutes and 311 40 seconds to the overall run time, equating to 46% reduction compared to the 312 control program. In contrast, there is evidence programs could be reduced further for 313 known templates comprising <50% GC. Further research is required to examine the 314 limitations on consistent amplification capability when considering PCR-based 315 productivity and sustainability.

316

317 Author Contributions

318 The study was conceptualised, devised, and planned by P.J. Warburton. Data

319 generation, curation, analysis, and visualizations were carried out by all authors.

- 320 Writing of the original draft was performed by P.J. Warburton and M. Pedlar, all
- authors contributed to the reviewing and editing of the manuscript and approve the
- 322 final article.
- 323

324 Funding

- 325 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 326 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors and was supported by the School of Biomedical
- 327 Sciences, University of Plymouth.
- 328

329 **References**

- 330 [1] G.E. Pfyffer, F. Wittwer, Incubation time of mycobacterial cultures: how long is
- long enough to issue a final negative report to the clinician? J. Clin. Microbiol. 50

332 (2012) 4188–4189. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.02283-12.

- 333
- [2] R.F. de Boer, A. Ott, P. Güren, E. van Zanten, A. van Belkum, A.M.D. Kooistra-
- 335 Smid, Detection of Campylobacter Species and Arcobacter butzleri in Stool Samples
- by Use of Real-Time Multiplex PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 51 (2013) 253–259.
- 337 https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01716-12.
- 338
- [3] J.C. Lagier, S. Edouard, I. Pagnier, O. Mediannikov, M. Drancourt, D. Raoult,
- 340 Current and Past Strategies for Bacterial Culture in Clinical Microbiology. Clin.
- 341 Microbiol. Rev. 28 (2016) 208–236. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00110-14.
- 342

- 343 [4] A. Akram, M. Maley, I. Gosbell, T. Nguyen, R. Chavada, Utility of 16S rRNA PCR
- 344 performed on clinical specimens in patient management. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 57 (2017)
- 345 144–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2017.02.006.
- 346
- [5] D. Aggarwal, T. Kanitkar, M. Narouz, B.S. Azadian, L.S.P. Moore, N. Mughal,
- 348 Clinical utility and cost-effectiveness of bacterial 16S rRNA and targeted PCR based
- 349 diagnostic testing in a UK microbiology laboratory network. Sci. Rep. 14 (2020)
- 350 10(1):7965. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64739-1.
- 351
- 352 [6] Public Health England, SMI ID 1: introduction to the preliminary identification of
- 353 medically important bacteria and fungi from culture.
- 354 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/smi-id-1-preliminary-identification-of-
- 355 <u>medically-important-bacteria</u>, 2021 (accessed 15 October 2023)
- 356
- 357 [7] J.E. Clarridge, Impact of 16S rRNA Gene Sequence Analysis for Identification of
- 358 Bacteria on Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 17
- 359 (2004) 840–862. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.17.4.840-862.2004.
- 360
- 361 [8] R. Srinivasan, U. Karaoz, M. Volegova, J. MacKichan, M. Kato-Maeda, S. Miller,
- 362 R. Nadarajan, E.L. Brodie, S.V. Lynch, Use of 16S rRNA Gene for Identification of a
- 363 Broad Range of Clinically Relevant Bacterial Pathogens. PLoS One. 10 (2015)
- 364 e0117617. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117617.
- 365
- 366 [9] J.S. Johnson, D.J. Spakowicz, B-Y. Hong, L.M. Petersen, P. Demkowicz, L.
- 367 Chen, S.R. Leopold, B.M. Hanson, H.O. Agresta, M. Gerstein, E. Sodergren, G.M.

368	Weinstock, Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level
369	microbiome analysis. Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
370	019-13036-1.

- 371
- [10] S. Fujiyoshi, A. Muto-Fujita, F. Maruyama, Evaluation of PCR conditions for
- 373 characterizing bacterial communities with full-length 16S rRNA genes using a
- 374 portable nanopore sequencer. Sci. Rep. 10 (2020) 12580.
- 375 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69450-9.
- 376
- 377 [11] T.C. Lorenz, Polymerase Chain Reaction: Basic Protocol plus Troubleshooting

and Optimization Strategies. Journal of Visualized Experiments. J. Vis. Exp. 63

379 (2012) 3998. https://doi.org/10.3791%2F3998.

- 380
- 381 [12] Earth Microbiome Project, 16S Illumina Amplicon Protocol.
- 382 <u>https://earthmicrobiome.org/protocols-and-standards/16s/</u>, 2018 (accessed 15

383 October 2023).

384

- 385 [13] H.R.M. Dos Santos, C.S. Argolo, R.C. Argôlo-Filho, L.L. Loguercio, A 16S rDNA
- 386 PCR-based theoretical to actual delta approach on culturable mock communities
- revealed severe losses of diversity information. BMC. Microbiol. 19 (2019) 74.
- 388 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1446-2.

389

- 390 [14] J-Y. Wu, X-T. Jiang, Y-X. Jiang, S-Y. Lu, F. Zou, H-W. Zhou, Effects of
- 391 polymerase, template dilution and cycle number on PCR based 16 S rRNA diversity

- analysis using the deep sequencing method. BMC Microbiol. 10 (2010) 255.
- 393 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-10-255
- 394
- 395 [15] Thermo Fisher Scientific, PCR Setup Six Critical Components to Consider.
- 396 <u>https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/life-science/cloning/cloning-learning-</u>
- 397 <u>center/invitrogen-school-of-molecular-biology/pcr-education/pcr-reagents-</u>
- 398 <u>enzymes/pcr-component-considerations.html</u>, 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).
 399
- 400 [16] Z. Ouaray, S.A. Benner, M.M. Georgiadis, N.G.J. Richards, Building better
- 401 polymerases: Engineering the replication of expanded genetic alphabets. J. Biol.
- 402 Chem. 295 (2020) 17046-17059. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.rev120.013745
- 403
- 404 [17] W.G. Weisburg, S.M. Barns, D.A. Pelletier, D.J. Lane, 16S ribosomal DNA
- 405 amplification for phylogenetic study. J. bacterial. 173 (1991) 697–703.
- 406 https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.173.2.697-703.1991.
- 407
- 408 [18] Promega, PCR Amplification.
- 409 https://www.promega.co.uk/resources/guides/nucleic-acid-analysis/pcr-amplification/,
- 410 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).
- 411
- 412 [19] Merck (2023). Standard PCR Protocol.
- 413 https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/GB/en/technical-
- 414 documents/protocol/genomics/pcr/standard-pcr, 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).
- 415

- 416 [20] M.A. Innis, PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego,
- 417 Calif.: Acad. Press. 1994. ISBN: 9780080886718.
- 418
- 419 [21] R. Sipos, A.J. Székely, M. Palatinszky, S. Révész, K. Márialigeti, M. Nikolausz,
- 420 Effect of primer mismatch, annealing temperature and PCR cycle number on 16S
- 421 rRNA gene-targetting bacterial community analysis. FEMS. Microbiol. Eco. 60 (2007)
- 422 341–350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00283.x.
- 423
- 424 [22] M.A. Sze, P.D. Schloss, The Impact of DNA Polymerase and Number of Rounds
- 425 of Amplification in PCR on 16S rRNA Gene Sequence Data. mSphere. 4 (2019)
- 426 e00163-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00163-19.
- 427
- 428 [23] M.C. Witzke, A. Gullic, P. Yang, N.J. Bivens, P.R.F Adkins, A.C. Ericsson,
- 429 Influence of PCR cycle number on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing of low
- 430 biomass samples. J. Microbiol. Methods. 176 (2020) 106033.
- 431 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2020.106033
- 432
- 433 [24] K. Loens, K. Bergs, D. Ursi, H. Goossens, M. leven, Evaluation of NucliSens
- 434 easyMAG for Automated Nucleic Acid Extraction from Various Clinical Specimens. J.
- 435 Clin. Microbiol. 45 (2007) 421–425. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00894-06.
- 436
- 437 [25] G.S. Nasution, D. Suryanto, R.L. Kusumawati, Detection of meca gene from
- 438 methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus isolates of north sumatera. IOP Conf.
- 439 Series: Earth. Environ. Sci.130 (2018) 012026. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-
- 440 1315/130/1/012026.

441	
442	[26] R. D'Amore, U.Z. Ijaz, M. Schirmer, J.G. Kenny, R. Gregory, A.C. Darby, M.
443	Shakya, M. Podar, C. Quince, N. Hall, A comprehensive benchmarking study of
444	protocols and sequencing platforms for 16S rRNA community profiling. BMC
445	Genomics. 17 (2016) 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2194-9.
446	
447	[27] T.F. Somerville, C.E. Corless, H. Sueke, T. Neal, S.B. Kaye, 16S Ribosomal
448	RNA PCR Versus Conventional Diagnostic Culture in the Investigation of Suspected
449	Bacterial Keratitis. Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol. 9 (2020) 2.
450	https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.13.2.
451	
452	[28] United States Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Department of Energy,
453	Laboratories for the 21st Century: An Introduction to Low-Energy Design.
454	https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy08osti/29413.pdf, 2008 (accessed 15 October 2023).
455	
456	[29] UK Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, UK becomes first
457	major economy to pass net zero emissions law.
458	https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-becomes-first-major-economy-to-pass-net-
459	zero-emissions-law, 2019 (accessed 15 October 2023).
460	
461	[30] The Academy of Medical Sciences, Enabling greener biomedical research.
462	https://acmedsci.ac.uk/file-download/47605694, 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).

463

- 464 [31] UCL, LEAF Laboratory Efficiency Assessment Framework.
- 465 https://www.ucl.ac.uk/sustainable/leaf-laboratory-efficiency-assessment-framework,
- 466 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).
- 467
- 468 [32] D. Kuntin, How to... reduce your lab's plastic waste. The Biologist. 65 (2018) 28-
- 469 31.
- 470
- 471 [33] C. Drahl, A Matter of Degree. ACS Cent Sci. 4 (2018) 1294–1297.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.8b00705.
- 473
- 474 [34] P. Bolton, I. Stewart, Research Briefing: Domestic energy prices. House of
- 475 Commons Library. Number 9491 https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-
- 476 <u>briefings/cbp-</u>
- 477 <u>9491/#:~:text=The%20monthly%20increases%20in%20both,series%20going%20ba</u>
- 478 <u>ck%20to%201970</u>, 2023 (accessed 15 October 2023).
- 479
- 480 **Transparency declarations**
- 481 None to declare.
- 482
- 483

Figure legend

Figure 1. Amplicon concentration of the purified 16S rRNA PCR product amplified from *B. subtilis*, *E. coli* or *M. phlei* using either the 16S V0 control (C) or 16S V9 final (F) PCR program. Three independent replicates undertaken; a green circle represents DreamTaq, a red square represents MyTaq, and a purple diamond represents PCRBIO. The black horizontal dash indicates the mean amplicon concentration (n = 3) and * is p<0.05.

Journal Pression



Highlights

- Quicker and more environmentally sustainable PCR
- The 16S PCR program duration reduced by 46% compared to typically published protocols
- The 16S PCR program consumes 50% less electricity
- Amplicon produced from low-, mid-, and high-GC bacterial templates

Journal Proprior

Declaration of interest/conflict of interest

Authors have none to declare.

ounderegios