Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Biomedical Sciences

2023-08

What's really down the hospital plughole?

Butler, J

https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/20824

10.1016/j.jhin.2023.04.005 Journal of Hospital Infection Elsevier BV

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

- 1 What's really down the hospital plughole?
- James Butler^{1*} and Mathew Upton²
 ¹Department of Clinical and Biomedical Sciences, Exeter Medical School, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX1 2LU, UK.
- ²School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth, Plymouth,
 PL4 8AA, UK.
- 8
- 9 *Corresponding author: j.m.butler@exeter.ac.uk
- 10
- 11 Word count (excluding figure legend and references): 781
- 12

13

14 As reported in recent articles in this journal[1] and others, hospital wastewater 15 plumbing systems (WPS) are increasingly being highlighted as an important source of 16 nosocomial infections[2]. Potential interventions to mitigate these risks include 17 changes in patient management through to engineering solutions and modifications to 18 plumbing infrastructure, with recent calls to improve building guidance to mitigate the 19 impact of suboptimal designs on patients and healthcare staff[3]. The WPS is a 20 complex interlinked system of pipework that is intermittently filled with water containing 21 a multitude of solutes and solids. The interface between this system and the 22 environments we occupy is within sink traps, which connect sinks to waste flow in the 23 WPS periphery, preventing the flow of gases from the sewer to the sink and 24 surrounding environment. Sink traps in particular become heavily colonised with (and 25 act as a reservoir for) opportunistically pathogenic bacteria such as Pseudomonas 26 aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, Klebsiella spp., Citrobacter spp., Enterobacter 27 spp. and Serratia marcescens[2].

28

29 A key aspect of assessing the threats posed by WPS colonised with microbes in 30 clinical areas is accurate identification of the microbes present, because in some 31 cases devastating outbreaks have been caused by persistent, multidrug-resistant 32 strains[2]. The bacteria colonising WPS vary depending on differences in sink use and 33 opportunistic exposure to virulent or persistent species. Understanding the detail of 34 the WPS 'microbiome' will allow interventions to be targeted most effectively at high-35 risk sites (where virulent, multidrug-resistant or persistent species exist) and accurate characterisation tools could be used to assess the effectiveness of mitigation 36 37 measures following their introduction.

Culture-based methods have been used to investigate WPS microbes, but it is widely appreciated that these methods do not reflect the true microbial diversity in environmental samples. The use of 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing has led to a better appreciation of biodiversity in microbial ecology, but there are few publications describing its application to hospital sink traps. The approach has been used to 43 demonstrate that sinks 'bridge' clusters of *Enterobacteriaceae*, spreading bacteria
44 within and between hospital wards[4].

In proof-of-concept work, we have used long-read MinION sequencing (Oxford 45 Nanopore Technologies, ONT; https://nanoporetech.com) to characterise the 46 47 microbial populations in a hospital sink trap by sequencing the entire 16S rRNA gene, 48 which gives higher resolution than conventional 16S rDNA profiling of discrete 49 hypervariable regions. The sink trap was removed from an acute care ward at a UK hospital. Biofilm material was recovered using a sterile swab, and metagenomic DNA 50 extracted using the DNeasy[®] PowerSoil[®] kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the 51 manufacturer's instructions. DNA yield and purity were quantified using the Qubit[™] 52 dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, MA, USA) and a Nanodrop[™] spectrophotometer 53 54 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK), respectively. The ONT 16S barcoding kit (SQK-RAB204) and a R9.4.1/FLO-MIN106 flow cell were used according to the 55 56 manufacturer's instructions. Basecalling was performed by Guppy version 3.4.5 using 57 the Cloud Infrastructure for Big Data Microbial Bioinformatics (CLIMB) platform. 58 Following demultiplexing and quality filtering, there were 260523 reads, of which 260415 were identified to genus and species level by Kraken2. 59

The results are shown in Figure 1, indicating a number of detected organisms that have previously been implicated in nosocomial disease and outbreaks linked to WPS. These experimental results indicate that it is feasible to recover biofilm material from a sink trap, isolate DNA and profile the microbes present. It would be feasible to carry this out in near real-time[5]. Full metagenomic DNA sequencing can also be used to identify antimicrobial resistance (and virulence) related genes in samples, bringing an added level of insight into the microbial risks that may be present in sink traps[6].

67 The portability of the MinION platform is key as deployment on-site in clinical 68 environments is feasible for rapid monitoring in high-risk areas. Although costs are 69 currently prohibitive, multiplexing is possible using barcoded libraries so several sites could be analysed in one run, reducing per-site analysis costs. In addition, newer 70 71 advances in the ONT technology like the Flonale 72 (https://nanoporetech.com/products/flongle) allow analysis of small samples at a 73 current cost of \$90 per run. The technology has been used to analyse blood samples 74 spiked with clinical isolates, giving results for virulence genes and AMR-related targets 75 in 10 minutes to 3 hours[7]. The Flongle may also allow rapid characterisation of 76 environmental microbial populations using 16S rRNA gene sequencing[8].

A full cost-benefit analysis for the use of ONT-based microbial population profiling could provide evidence to justify its introduction in clinical areas. The early and accurate identification of high-risk pathogens in WPS in acute clinical areas could guide rapid deployment of mitigation measures to reduce or control outbreaks. Such interventions are necessary, given the risks posed by WPS microbial populations and 82 a more detailed investigation of the use of (portable) sequence-based methods in

83 clinical areas is justified.

84



85

- Figure 1: 16S rDNA amplicon survey of a hospital sink trap carried out with long-read
 MinION sequencing. Results are presented at genus-level as a proportion of total
- reads and the top 20 genera identified are shown.

89 **Conflicts of interest**

- 90 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
- 91

92 References

Garvey MI, Williams N, Gardiner A, Ruston C, Wilkinson MAC, Kiernan M, et 93 [1] al. The sink splash zone. J Hosp Infect 2023. 94 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2023.01.020 95

96

97 Volling C, Ahangari N, Bartoszko JJ, Coleman BL, Garcia-Jeldes F, Jamal AJ, [2]

98 et al. Are Sink Drainage Systems a Reservoir for Hospital-Acquired Gammaproteobacteria Colonization and Infection? A Systematic Review. Open 99

100 Forum Infect Dis 2020;8(2). https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa590

101

102 [3] Walker J, Inkster T, Weinbren M. Aspects and problems associated with the 103 water services to be considered in intensive care units. J Infect Prev 2023;24(2):60-104 4. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774231152716

- 105 106 Yano R, Shimoda T, Watanabe R, Kuroki Y, Okubo T, Nakamura S, et al. [4] 107 Diversity changes of microbial communities into hospital surface environments. J 108 Infect Chemother 2017;23(7):439-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2017.03.016
- 109 110 Tanaka H, Matsuo Y, Nakagawa S, Nishi K, Okamoto A, Kai S, et al. Real-[5] 111 time diagnostic analysis of MinION[™]-based metagenomic sequencing in clinical 112 microbiology evaluation: a case report. JA Clin Rep 2019;5(1):24. 113 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40981-019-0244-z
- 114

115 Martin C, Stebbins B, Ajmani A, Comendul A, Hamner S, Hasan NA, et al. [6] 116 Nanopore-based metagenomics analysis reveals prevalence of mobile antibiotic and 117 heavy metal resistome in wastewater. Ecotoxicology 2021:30(8):1572-85. 118 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-020-02342-w 119

120 Avershina E, Frye SA, Ali J, Taxt AM, Ahmad R. Ultrafast and Cost-Effective [7] 121 Pathogen Identification and Resistance Gene Detection in a Clinical Setting Using 122 Nanopore Flongle Sequencing. Front Microbiol 2022;13. 123 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.822402

- 124
- 125 Bouchiat C, Ginevra C, Benito Y, Gaillard T, Salord H, Dauwalder O, et al. [8]
- 126 Improving the Diagnosis of Bacterial Infections: Evaluation of 16S rRNA Nanopore Metagenomics in Culture-Negative Samples. Front Microbiol 2022;13.
- 127
- 128 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.943441