Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

Peninsula Medical School

2022-10-13

Real-world effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination in older adults: Cohort study using the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink

Streeter, AJ

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19805

10.1371/journal.pone.0275642 PLoS ONE Public Library of Science

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



Citation: Streeter AJ, Rodgers LR, Masoli J, Lin NX, Blé A, Hamilton W, et al. (2022) Real-world effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination in older adults: Cohort study using the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink. PLoS ONE 17(10): e0275642. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642

Editor: Surasak Saokaew, University of Phayao, THAILAND

Received: July 30, 2021

Accepted: September 21, 2022

Published: October 13, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Streeter et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data used in this study are owned by the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (www.cprd.com). Researchers can apply for data access from CPRD and submit a study protocol to the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for approval, using the information outlined in the Methods section of the manuscript. The authors had no special access privileges to the data that future researchers would not have.

Funding: This work was supported by the local NIHR Applied Research Collaboration "Pen ARC".

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Real-world effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination in older adults: Cohort study using the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink

Adam J. Streeter^{1,2,3}*, Lauren R. Rodgers³, Jane Masoli³, Nan X. Lin⁴, Alessandro Blé³, Willie Hamilton³, William E. Henley³

 Institute for Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Münster, Münster, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany, 2 Medical Statistics, Faculty of Health, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom,
Institute for Health Research, University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom, 4 Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

* streeter@exchange.wwu.de

Abstract

Background

The 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPV23) is recommended for UK older adults, but how age moderates effectiveness is unclear.

Methods

Three annual cohorts of primary-care patients aged \geq 65y from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink selected from 2003–5 created a natural experiment (n = 324,804), reflecting the staged introduction of the vaccine. The outcome was symptoms consistent with community-acquired pneumococcal pneumonia (CAP) requiring antibiotics or hospitalisation. We used the prior event rate ratio (PERR) approach to address bias from unmeasured confounders.

Results

Vaccinated patients had higher rates of CAP in the year before vaccination than their controls, indicating the potential for confounding bias. After adjustment for confounding using the prior event rate ratio (PERR) method, PPV23 was estimated to be effective against CAP for two years after vaccination in all age sub-groups with hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) of 0.86 (0.80 to 0.93), 0.74 (0.65 to 0.85) and 0.65 (0.57 to 0.74) in patients aged 65–74, 75–79 and 80+ respectively in the 2005 cohort. Age moderated the effect of vaccination with predicted risk reductions of 8% at 65y and 29% at 80y.

Conclusions

PPV23 is moderately effective at reducing CAP among UK patients aged \geq 65y, in the two years after vaccination. Vaccine effectiveness is maintained, and may increase, in the oldest age groups in step with increasing susceptibility to CAP.

The Medical Research Council (grant number G0902158) also funded William Henley and Nan Lin for their time on the project; and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) School for Public Health Research Aging Well programme (grant number: IS-SPH-0211-10100 - SPHR-SWP-AWP-PR2) was awarded to Alessandro Blé. Dr Jane Masoli is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), (NIHR Development and Skills Enhancement Fellowship, NIHR301445). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: Further to the competing interests section, Alessandro Blé has since joined Glaxo-Smith Kline and so "Alessandro Blé is currently an employee of Glaxo-Smith Kline (GSK) and declares that GSK had neither a role nor influence in the study design, data collection, preparation of the manuscript or decision to publish. The authors have no other potentially competing interests to declare. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products associated with this research to declare. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

Introduction

Pneumonia is a major cause of morbidity, hospitalization and associated mortality in older adults [1]. Since 2003, public health policy in the UK has recommended vaccination against streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) for adults aged ≥65y using the 23-valent polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccine (PPV23). The vaccination programme began in August 2003 with the PPV23 vaccine offered to adults aged \geq 80y. This was extended to adults aged \geq 75y in April 2004 and then finally to all adults aged \geq 65y in April 2005. PPV23 is recommended as a standard intervention for the elderly in many other countries across Europe and elsewhere. However, more data on PPV23 in older adults is needed while vaccination rates remain below target levels and changes in effectiveness with age among older age groups remain poorly understood [2]. Age-related decline in immune function may reduce the immunogenic response to vaccination, but it is not known by how much this may reduce effectiveness. Protection against pneumococcus may be more crucial amongst the oldest old, given rising susceptibility with age to pneumococcal infection. Four systematic reviews have been published since 2016 with divergent conclusions [3-8], and recently published evidence from observational data [9, 10], relying on older analytic methods [11] might not fully account for bias from unmeasured sources [12].

The Community-Acquired Pneumonia Immunization Trial in Adults (CAPITA), a largescale, population-based randomised conducted in the Netherlands, reported an efficacy of 46% against first episodes of vaccine-matched strains of community-acquired pneumonia and 75% against invasive pneumococcal disease among 84 496 adults aged \geq 65y [13] with no apparent waning in efficacy over the four year follow-up. However, the study lacked the power to draw conclusions on how efficacy might vary with the age of the vaccine recipient. Furthermore, the intervention was protein-conjugated polysaccharides from 13 serotypes (PCV13), a vaccine originally developed for young children but licensed since for use in adults primarily on the basis of immunogenicity studies. The effectiveness of PPV23, the vaccine offered to adults in many countries including the UK, has been reported for adults aged >65y in two studies using the test-negative case-control design. Vaccine effectiveness against all-cause pneumonia and vaccine-matched strains, respectively, was reported to be 23% and 34% in the study by Suzuki et al. [14]. In the study by Lawrence et al. [15], the adjusted vaccine effectiveness against vaccine-matched strains was reported to be 20%. However, without randomisation, both trials relied on a test-negative case-control design to mitigate against confounding. Suzuki et al., found effectiveness appeared to wane with time since vaccination, but the precision was too low to be definitive, while Lawrence et al. found this was maintained. The reported decrease in effectiveness with age in both studies was imprecise and not supported by statistical evidence.

To determine how effectiveness might change with age among older adults, we conducted a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records (EHRs) to assess real-world effectiveness in UK adults aged \geq 65y. The steep rise in vaccination rates resulting from the introduction of the vaccination programme for older adults from 2003 to 2005 provided the opportunity for a natural experiment and the incremental introduction by age group facilitated estimation of vaccine effectiveness within the key age sub-groups. The data were extracted from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) with linkage to Hospital Episode Statistics and Office of National Statistics data. Large EHR databases can afford larger sample sizes for the study of real-world effectiveness in small sub-groups than would typically be available in randomized trials, as well as facilitating the study of populations which, for ethical reasons, might otherwise be difficult to recruit into a trial.

Methods

Data and study design

We used routinely-collected electronic patient records from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) [16].

Three cohorts were studied, each relating to a single year of the phased introduction of PPV23 by age-group. The start and end dates for recruitment were chosen to capture peak uptake of vaccination near the beginning of the period: adults aged \geq 80y, vaccinated for the first time from 1st September 2003 to 31st August 2004; adults aged \geq 75y from 1st September 2004 to 31st August 2005; and adults aged \geq 65y from 1st May 2005 to 30th April 2006. The data comprised three age groups \geq 80y (all cohorts), 75-79y (2004 and 2005), and 65-74y (2005 only) (Fig 1). Patients had to be alive and registered at their general practice at the (index) date of vaccination. All adults that remained unvaccinated for the duration of the study period were designated as controls and matched to vaccinees, to the nearest age, and where possible the same gender and practice, solely for the purposes of assigning an index date rather than adjusting for confounding bias. The index date for each control was the vaccination date of the corresponding vaccine recipient. Each cohort was analysed separately. Any patients without data in the six years preceding recruitment were excluded from the cohort to mitigate against inclusion of patients, who had left their practice without de-registration.

The large uptake in vaccination during the years of policy implementation provided the basis for a natural experiment to adjust for unmeasured components of confounding in a two arm before-and-after study design. Patients were followed for up to two years from the index date, censoring on death or de-registration from their practice. A two year study follow-up period was the time interval over which the effect of the vaccine was found to be stable in previous studies [17] suggesting reasonable stability of unmeasured confounding effects over this period. By also collecting data on the two-year period prior to vaccination, during which all patients were unvaccinated, further adjustment could be made for unmeasured confounding through the framework of the prior event rate ratio (PERR) (Fig 2).





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.g001



Fig 2. Schematic of the study design. Patients vaccinated during a 1y recruitment window are selected and matched to controls by age, gender and general practice. Index dates of controls are mapped from the vaccination dates of vaccinees. Event times are compared for vaccinated and control patients during a 2y study period and a 2y prior period. The start of the prior period precedes recruitment by exactly 2y. Survival times may end with an event or be censored before the end of either period.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.g002

Outcomes and covariates

In the absence of routine testing for pneumococcal pneumonia in suspected cases, we utilized the clinical records to specify a real-world, composite outcome for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) comprising hospital admissions for suspected pneumococcal pneumonia and the prescription of antibiotics, amoxillin and doxycycline, species typically used for treating pneumonia, both qualified by the coded symptoms consistent with those of the disease [18, 19]. Hospitalisations were coded according to their ICD-10 classification. Patients were identified as being vaccinated with PPV23 using relevant codes in the CPRD immunisation file, supplemented with codes from the therapy file (S1 Appendix).

Adjustment for unmeasured confounding

Without randomization, vaccination status in observational studies of this type may be influenced by unmeasured confounders. Recent advances in quasi-experimental methods make it possible, under specific assumptions, to address directly bias that arises when relevant confounders are omitted [20, 21]. One such approach to enhancing the validity of observational studies is the Pairwise method [22], an extension by Yu et al. [23] and Lin and Henley [22] to the Prior Event Rate Ratio (PERR) method. The PERR method, originally proposed by Tannen and Weiner et al. [24, 25] is increasingly being used in vaccine effectiveness studies [26–28], and has previously demonstrated that the association between CAP and proton pump inhibitors can be attributed to confounding factors [29]. The PERR method adjusts the estimate for the study period as illustrated in Fig 2 with that from the prior. The Pairwise method makes the adjustment within each exposure group before estimating the adjusted effect of exposure and has been shown to be less sensitive to the presence of hidden covariates and censoring [30].

The moderating effect of age was evaluated in the 2005 cohort by applying the Pairwise method to the analysis of the interaction between age (as a linear effect) and vaccination status,

across the full age range of adults \geq 65y. In a further investigation into whether immunity was maintained over the length of follow-up, we also separately estimated the HR for vaccination against CAP, using the pairwise method, for the first and second year of follow-up by age group in each cohort (S1 Appendix).

Sensitivity analyses

The robustness of the adjustment for unmeasured confounding was assessed through analysis of a negative control outcome (NCO) (S1 Appendix). A valid NCO is one that shares the same potential sources of bias with the primary outcome but cannot plausibly be related to the treatment of interest. We chose ICD-coded hospital admissions for fractures (excluding thoracic injury) as a viable NCO. We make the assumption that this outcome may be directly caused by confounders for the effect of PPV on CAP, but not directly by CAP or PPV. The analysis of the PPV23 effect on fractures can be used as an indicator of the degree of any remaining bias after the pairwise adjustment.

The results were compared to those explicitly adjusted for measured confounding using inverse probability treatment weighting (IPTW) of the Cox regression models of the times until CAP by age group in each of the cohorts (S1 Appendix). This provided a check on the consistency of results, and thus the plausibility, of age-related effectiveness. We then applied the PERR method as an adjustment for residual confounding in the IPTW models [31–33].

Results

There was good concordance between the PPV uptake achieved by the end of 2005 in the study data and national vaccination rates reported by Public Health England (PHE—formerly the Health Protection Agency) for uptake by 31^{st} March 2006: In the extracted data, PPV uptake was 64.8%, 70.6%, 68.4% for age groups \geq 65y, 75-79y and 80y and older respectively; while PHE presented 64.4%, 68.9%, 68.1%, respectively for the same age groups.

Cohort characteristics

Cohort sizes increased with each study year as the vaccination programme was expanded; about half of each cohort comprised vaccinees with 47.1% in 2003, 41.3% in 2004 and 53.2% in 2005. Over 40% of the 2005 cohort were males, decreasing to about a third for the older 2003 cohort (Table 1; flowchart in Fig 3). The controls were at least two years older on average with fewer males, and a lower prevalence of disease, particular cardiovascular (S1 Table).

Cohort		2003 2004		2005			
Treatment group		Vaccinees Controls Vaccinees Controls		Vaccinees	Controls		
	Ν	25870	29087 30028 42625		104969	92225	
	% males 36.7% 28.5% 40		40.4%	31.8%	44.7%	40.3%	
	Mean age (SD)	84.5 (4.0)	85.9 (4.8)	3) 79.3 (4.3) 82.3 (5.8)		71.6 (5.4)	75.1 (8.1)
Deaths	% patients censored on death	15.4	31.6	9.1	23.4	4.1	13.3
Transfers out of practice (t.o.)	% patients censored for transferring out of practice	6.4	13.4	4.4	10.3	4.0	8.4
Outcomes in study period	% patients with CAP outcome	10.9	9.7	9.1	8.4	7.3	6.5
	% hospitalised pneumonia cases among outcomes	30.5	48.7	23.5	43.8	13.8	31.6
	% hospitalised pneumonia cases who died during study	68.1	81.3	58.7	75.8	50.0	69.9
Outcomes in prior period	% patients with CAP outcome	8.4	7.3	7.7	6.7	6.7	5.6
	% hospitalised pneumonia cases among outcomes	12.4	24.9	10.1	21.4	6.1	16.4

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.t001



Fig 3. Flowchart for the three cohorts 2003-2005.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.g003

The overall risk of the composite CAP outcome decreased from 10.2% in the 2003 cohort to 6.9% in the 2005 cohort, reflecting the younger age distribution for the later cohorts (Table 1). Both vaccinated and control patients were more likely to experience a CAP event in the study period than in the prior period. The proportion of hospitalisations for pneumococcal pneumonia among patients experiencing a prior or study end point tended to be greater for the controls, as high as 49% for those in the older 2003 cohort, while 31% for the vaccinees. However, the rates of CAP in the prior period were higher for patients that went on to be vaccinated

pneumococcal vaccination from 2005 to 2005, comprised adults aged over 799; from 75 to 799; and from 65 to 749.							
Cohort year	Age group	Hazard ratios (95% CI) of Treatment term					
		Prior	Study	Pairwise			
2003	80+	1.20 (1.13, 1.27)	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	0.68 (0.63, 0.74)			
2004	75–79	1.23 (1.14, 1.34)	1.12 (1.03, 1.20)	0.82 (0.72, 0.93)			
2004	80+	1.34 (1.23, 1.45)	1.07 (0.99, 1.15)	0.61 (0.54, 0.69)			
2005	65-74	1.37 (1.30, 1.44)	1.28 (1.22, 1.34)	0.86 (0.80, 0.93)			
2005	75-79	1.27 (1.16, 1.39)	1.08 (0.99, 1.17)	0.74 (0.65, 0.85)			
2005	80+	1.31 (1.20, 1.42)	1.07 (0.99, 1.15)	0.65 (0.57, 0.74)			

Table 2. Hazard ratios, adjusted for age and gender, presented for age-groups of the prior and study periods pertaining to each cohort, and their pairwise-adjusted estimates. Age groups, which were incrementally targeted for pneumococcal vaccination from 2003 to 2005, comprised adults aged over 79y; from 75 to 79y; and from 65 to 74y.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.t002

with PPV23 than for patients who remained unvaccinated, suggesting analysis of the study period could be biased without adjustment. One of the central assumptions of the PERR adjustment approach is that the occurrence of prior events does not influence the likelihood of future treatment. We note that in this study, outcomes in the prior period did not greatly differentiate subsequent vaccination status, at most by 4.8% in 2005 with 57.7% of patients with CAP being vaccinated, compared to 52.9% of those without CAP.

Control patients had higher mortality rates than vaccinated patients with 32% of the controls from the older 2003 cohort being censored on death compared to less than half that figure (15%) among the vaccinees. This imbalance increased with each year of recruitment, a trend that was tempered by the inclusion of younger patients in later cohorts, highlighted by the overall reduction in mortality from 19% to 7% per cohort by 2005. Those hospitalised for pneumonia were at the greatest risk of death, particularly in the older 2003 cohort (68% and 81% following hospital admissions in vaccine recipients and controls, respectively). Consistent with the high mortality rate following pneumonia hospitalisation, the proportion of outcomes resulting in hospitalisation was lower during the prior period than the study period, as patients needed to be alive after the prior period for subsequent selection to the study. In comparison to deaths, there were far fewer censored survival times due to deregistrations from the general practices.

PPV23 effectiveness by age

The hazard ratios (HRs) from the naïve Cox models (age and sex adjusted) from the study period were equal to 1 for the 2003 cohort, but greater for all age groups in the other cohort indicating an implausible, harmful effect of vaccination (Table 2). However, the HRs from the prior periods were all greater than the corresponding HRs of the study period–evidence of pre-existing confounding bias. Once the study period estimates were adjusted with the difference between the vaccine groups in the prior period through the Pairwise method, all HRs were below unity, indicating a protective effect of PPV23.

There was reasonable concordance between the pairwise-adjusted HRs for the 80+y age group across the cohorts, which varied from 0.61 (95% CI 0.54 to 0.69) in 2004 to 0.68 (95% CI 0.63 to 0.74) in 2003. In both the 2004 and 2005 cohorts, there was a clear trend towards greater effectiveness at older ages. PPV23 was effective against CAP in the 2005 cohort across all age groups with the HR for the 65-74y group at 0.86 (95% CI 0.80 to 0.93), lower for the 75-79y group at 0.74 (95% CI 0.65 to 0.85) and lower still for the 80+y group at 0.65 (95% CI 0.57 to 0.74) (Fig 4). The gradient of age-related effectiveness was greater for the 2004 cohort since the HR for the 75-79y group in the 2004 cohort was greater than the corresponding group in the 2005 group.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275642.g004

In the analysis of the moderating effect of age on vaccination for the 2005 cohort, a significant interaction term identified an increasing protective trend with age (p-value = 0.01). According to the HR of 0.98 (95% CI: 0.98 to 0.99) for the interaction term the estimated reduction in the rate of CAP in the vaccinated patients improved by 8% for every 5 year increase in age. Given the interaction and the HRs for the main effects of age, centered on 65y, (HR = 1.03) and vaccination (HR = 0.92), this equates to a risk reduction of 8% at 65y, 23% at 75y and 29% at 80y.

Discussion

This study has shown that vaccination with PPV23 is effective in protecting older adults aged 65 and above against pneumococcal community-acquired pneumonia in routine clinical practice. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first population study to establish that vaccine effectiveness is maintained, and may even increase, in the oldest age groups: the reduction in risk due to PPV23 vaccination was estimated to be about 15% in adults aged 65–74 and increased to 35–40% in adults aged 80 or above. This would indicate that while immunogenicity may be thought to weaken with age (immunosenescence), the vaccine can still provide protection against the age-related increase in the risk of CAP. We also found higher rates of comorbidity among vaccinated patients, suggesting vaccine take-up was higher amongst patients in closer contact with the health-care system. The vaccinated, being younger with fewer hospital admissions for CAP and having a lower fracture risk and mortality suggested PPV23 was administered to those more likely to benefit from long-term immunity to pneumococcal disease.

Our study has several strengths: firstly, our data source, the Clinical Practice Research Datalink, with current coverage of about 11 million patients, is representative for the general population of patients in the UK [34]. Using this database and adequate sample selection strengthens generalizability of our findings from 324,804 elderly patients, a population that is typically under-represented in RCTs due to many ethical and logistic barriers in recruitment.

The pairwise method used in this study has previously demonstrated the effectiveness of the influenza vaccine in reducing antibiotic prescribing, adjusting for confounding bias from unmeasured sources [27]. This method, equivalent to the PERR-ALT method, is a recent formulation of the PERR approach, which has also recently been used in respiratory medicine research [26, 29]. The pairwise method overcomes bias by fitting a paired Cox model to the prior and study periods and is less sensitive to bias from censoring and hidden covariates than the PERR. Although the randomized controlled trial (RCT) remains the gold standard for evidence, applying the PERR methods to retrospective cohorts has been shown to reproduce results from RCTs [24, 25, 35, 36].

A key assumption of the PERR and Pairwise approaches is that prior events do not influence the likelihood of future treatment. We found similar vaccination rates in patients with and without a suspected pneumonia event in the prior period suggesting this assumption was likely to be satisfied. A second main assumption required for PERR and Pairwise analyses is the lack of substantive time-dependent confounding. Bias arising from confounding may change due to declining health and increasing frailty in this population. Applying the PERR and Pairwise methods may fail to correct for bias, or even exacerbate the degree of bias, if the confounding bias varies between the prior and the study period. We tried to address this by limiting the follow-up to two years post-vaccination and by replicating results for the 74–79 and 80+ age sub-groups across multiple recruitment cohorts. However, even over a short period of follow-up, there was still a consistent drop in vaccine effectiveness by the second year, across the age groups in each cohort.

While we used the Pairwise method to account for confounding bias, including unmeasured sources, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis to test the validity of our results and robustness of assumptions. Adjusting for measured confounders alone through the weighted analysis suggested the presence of residual confounding, but subsequent adjustment with the PERR method indicated a clearer, protective effect of vaccination. The results were slightly more conservative than those from the Pairwise, but the age-related increase in effectiveness was nevertheless apparent. Analysis of hospital admissions for fractures, as an NCO in the Cox models indicated the same direction of significant bias in the prior and study periods. However, the effects were closer to the null and not significant after application of the pairwise model suggesting some success in the attenuation of bias.

Information on pneumococcal pneumonia serotypes was unavailable in the absence of routine testing in the UK, which was a limitation of the study. The choice of a composite outcome measure based on antibiotic prescriptions or first hospitalization for suspected pneumococcal pneumonia was less specific than what might be available in smaller studies with access to laboratory-confirmed outcomes and chest X-ray imaging; our outcome was developed with clinician input to reflect the manifestations of pneumococcal disease in clinical practice.

Test-negative case-control studies can greatly reduce misclassification of the outcome by utilising laboratory testing for influenza [37]. This design may also control some confounding bias by restricting the sampling frame to patients requiring laboratory testing following hospital admission [38]. However, this may then reduce the generalizability to more severe outcomes. Furthermore, unmeasured confounding may still persist [39]. Ultimately, the statistical power for the test-negative case-control design to study clinically interesting sub-groups is constrained by the absence of widespread laboratory testing. Two studies using the test-negative case-control design to investigate pneumococcal vaccine effectiveness were able to report serotype-specific vaccine effectiveness [14, 15], but lacked the power to resolve the important question of how PPV23 effectiveness varies by age group. In contrast, our study employed two

methods to adjust for unmeasured confounding, and compared these with a high-dimensional adjustment for measured confounders, across subgroups of age. We also employed a sensitivity analysis of an NCO to validate our results. The size of our study enabled a comparison of the effectiveness of PPV23 across age sub-groups.

The finding that effectiveness may increase with age in the two years following vaccination with PPV23 demonstrates an important role mitigating against age-related susceptibility to infection with CAP. While we found a non-significant decrease in effectiveness in the second year after vaccination, the study by Lawrence et al. [15] and the post-hoc analysis of the CAP-ITA trial [40] found that vaccine effectiveness does not decline with years since vaccination. This may support the vaccination of older adults before the onset of frailty. However, our finding of age-related increase in effectiveness in the two years following vaccination is consistent with those from an investigation into the immunogenic response to PPV23 among Japanese adults \geq 70y and may support re-vaccination of older adults to booster the immune response to CAP [41].

Conclusion

The control of pneumococcal pneumonia is a public health priority in countries with an ageing population, such as the UK, because of the higher risk in older age groups. Our study demonstrated a clear reduction in disease burden following the introduction of the UK policy of vaccinating older adults with PPV23. Crucially, we found that the vaccine remained effective, and may even increase in effectiveness, at older ages, supporting the targeting of the oldest old and most frail patients for PPV23 vaccination in order to reduce the burden of pneumococcal disease. The effect of immunosenescence should not be considered in isolation, but has to be set against the increased susceptibility of the oldest age groups when assessing vaccination effectiveness in real world populations. Hence oldest age group may derive the greatest benefit from PPV23, although immunity may nevertheless start to wane soon after vaccination. These findings have implications for the formulation of future pneumococcal vaccination policy in the UK and other countries.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Characteristics of study population for each cohort by pneumococcal vaccination status at cohort entry into study period. (DOCX)

S1 Appendix. Supplementary file of codes for exposure and outcomes; adjustment on observed confounders; sensitivity analysis; comparison of first and second year after vaccination.

(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Data curation: Alessandro Blé. Formal analysis: Adam J. Streeter. Investigation: Jane Masoli. Methodology: Nan X. Lin, William E. Henley. Supervision: William E. Henley. Writing – original draft: Adam J. Streeter. Writing – review & editing: Lauren R. Rodgers, Jane Masoli, Nan X. Lin, Alessandro Blé, Willie Hamilton, William E. Henley.

References

- Welte T, Torres A, Nathwani D. Clinical and economic burden of community-acquired pneumonia among adults in Europe. Thorax. 2012; 67: 71–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2009.129502</u> PMID: 20729232
- Thomas RE. Pneumococcal pneumonia and invasive pneumococcal disease in those 65 and older: Rates of detection, risk factors, vaccine effectiveness, hospitalisation and mortality. Geriatrics (Switzerland). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute; 2021. pp. 1–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ geriatrics6010013 PMID: 33557406</u>
- Moberley S, Holden J, Tatham DP, Andrews RM. Vaccines for preventing pneumococcal infection in adults. Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2013; 1: CD000422. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD000422.pub3 PMID: 23440780
- Diao W-Q, Shen N, Yu P-X, Liu B-B, He B. Efficacy of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in preventing community-acquired pneumonia among immunocompetent adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Vaccine. 2016; 34: 1496–503. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> vaccine.2016.02.023 PMID: 26899376
- Kraicer-Melamed H, O'Donnell S, Quach C. The effectiveness of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine 23 (PPV23) in the general population of 50 years of age and older: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Vaccine. 2016; 34: 1540–1550. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.024</u> PMID: 26899372
- Kraicer-Melamed H, O'Donnell S, Quach C. Corrigendum to "The effectiveness of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine 23 (PPV23) in the general population of 50 years of age and older: A systematic review and meta-analysis" (Vaccine (2016) 34(13) (1540–1550)). Vaccine. 2016. pp. 4083–4084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.06.045 PMID: 27329185
- Schiffner-Rohe J, Witt A, Hemmerling J, Von Eiff C, Leverkus FW. Efficacy of PPV23 in preventing pneumococcal pneumonia in adults at increased risk—A systematic review and meta-analysis. Chalmers JD, editor. PLoS One. 2016; 11: e0146338. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146338 PMID: 26761816
- Falkenhorst G, Remschmidt C, Harder T, Hummers-Pradier E, Wichmann O, Bogdan C. Effectiveness of the 23-Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine (PPV23) against Pneumococcal Disease in the Elderly: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 2017; 12: e0169368. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0169368 PMID: 28061505
- Djennad A, Ramsay ME, Pebody R, Fry NK, Sheppard C, Ladhani SN, et al. Effectiveness of 23-Valent Polysaccharide Pneumococcal Vaccine and Changes in Invasive Pneumococcal Disease Incidence from 2000 to 2017 in Those Aged 65 and Over in England and Wales. EClinicalMedicine. 2018; 6: 42– 50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.007 PMID: 31193709
- Su W-J, Chuang P-H, Chang L-Y, Lo H-Y, Chiang C-S, Wang E-T, et al. Application of the screening and indirect cohort methods to evaluate the effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination program in adults 75 years and older in Taiwan. BMC Infect Dis 2021 211. 2021; 21: 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12879-020-05721-0 PMID: 33423657</u>
- 11. Broome C V., Facklam RR, Fraser DW. Pneumococcal Disease after Pneumococcal Vaccination. N Engl J Med. 1980; 303: 549–552. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm198009043031003 PMID: 6995835
- Zhang X, Stamey JD, Mathur MB. Assessing the impact of unmeasured confounders for credible and reliable real-world evidence. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2020; 29: 1219–1227. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1002/pds.5117</u> PMID: 32929830
- Bonten MJM, Huijts SM, Bolkenbaas M, Webber C, Patterson S, Gault S, et al. Polysaccharide Conjugate Vaccine against Pneumococcal Pneumonia in Adults. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372: 1114–1125. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1408544 PMID: 25785969
- Suzuki M, Dhoubhadel BG, Ishifuji T, Yasunami M, Yaegashi M, Asoh N, et al. Serotype-specific effectiveness of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine against pneumococcal pneumonia in adults aged 65 years or older: a multicentre, prospective, test-negative design study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017; 17: 313–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30049-X PMID: 28126327
- Lawrence H, Pick H, Baskaran V, Daniel P, Rodrigo C, Ashton D, et al. Effectiveness of the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine against vaccine serotype pneumococcal pneumonia in adults: A case-control test-negative design study. PLoS Med. 2020; 17: e1003326. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/</u> journal.pmed.1003326 PMID: 33095759

- Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, Forbes H, Mathur R, Staa T van, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). Int J Epidemiol. 2015; 44: 827–836. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv098 PMID: 26050254</u>
- Pletz MW, Kamradt T, Welte T. Time to follow up when comparing studies of pneumococcal vaccines. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. Elsevier; 2017. pp. 244–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17) 30051-8
- Millett ERC, Quint JK, Smeeth L, Daniel RM, Thomas SL. Incidence of community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections and pneumonia among older adults in the United Kingdom: a population-based study. Heimesaat MM, editor. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e75131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0075131 PMID: 24040394
- Skull SA, Andrews RM, Byrnes GB, Campbell DA, Nolan TM, Brown G V., et al. ICD-10 codes are a valid tool for identification of pneumonia in hospitalized patients aged ≥65 years. Epidemiol Infect. 2008; 136: 232–240. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268807008564 PMID: 17445319
- Uddin MJ, Groenwold RHH, Ali MS, de Boer A, Roes KCB, Chowdhury MAB, et al. Methods to control for unmeasured confounding in pharmacoepidemiology: an overview. Int J Clin Pharm. 2016; 38: 714– 23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-016-0299-0 PMID: 27091131
- Streeter AJ, Lin NX, Crathorne L, Haasova M, Hyde C, Melzer D, et al. Adjusting for unmeasured confounding in nonrandomized longitudinal studies: a methodological review. J Clin Epidemiol. 2017; 87: 23–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.022 PMID: 28460857
- Lin NX, Henley WE. Prior event rate ratio adjustment for hidden confounding in observational studies of treatment effectiveness: a pairwise Cox likelihood approach. Stat Med. 2016; 35: 5149–5169. https:// doi.org/10.1002/sim.7051 PMID: 27477530
- Yu M, Xie D, Wang X, Weiner MG, Tannen RL. Prior event rate ratio adjustment: numerical studies of a statistical method to address unrecognized confounding in observational studies. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012; 21: 60–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3235 PMID: 22552981
- Weiner MG, Xie D, Tannen RL. Replication of the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study using a primary care medical record database prompted exploration of a new method to address unmeasured confounding. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2008; 17: 661–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1585</u> PMID: 18327857
- Tannen RL, Weiner MG, Xie D. Replicated studies of two randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: Further empiric validation of the "prior event rate ratio" to adjust for unmeasured confounding by indication. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2008; 17: 671–685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.</u> 1584 PMID: 18327852
- Young-Xu Y, Van Aalst R, M Mahmud S, Rothman KJ, Snider JT, Westreich D, et al. Relative Vaccine Effectiveness of High-Dose versus Standard-Dose Influenza Vaccines among Veterans Health Administration Patients. J Infect Dis. 2018; 217: 1718–1727. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy088</u> PMID: 29452380
- Rodgers LR, Streeter AJ, Lin N, Hamilton W, Henley WE. Impact of influenza vaccination on amoxicillin prescriptions in older adults: A retrospective cohort study using primary care data. Ramagopalan S V., editor. PLoS One. 2021; 16: e0246156. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246156 PMID: 33513169
- Streeter AJ, Rodgers LR, Hamilton F, Masoli JA, Ble A, Hamilton WT, et al. Influenza vaccination reduced myocardial infarctions in UK older adults: a prior event rate ratio study. J Clin Epidemiol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLINEPI.2022.06.018 PMID: 35817230
- Zirk-Sadowski J, Masoli JA, Delgado J, Hamilton W, Strain WD, Henley W, et al. Proton-Pump Inhibitors and Long-Term Risk of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Older Adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018; 66: 1332–1338. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15385 PMID: 29676433
- Lin NX, Logan S, Henley WE. Bias and sensitivity analysis when estimating treatment effects from the cox model with omitted covariates. Biometrics. 2013; 69: 850–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/biom.12096</u> PMID: 24224574
- Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. Constructing a Control Group Using Multivariate Matched Sampling Methods That Incorporate the Propensity Score. Am Stat. 1985; 39: 33–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1985.10479383</u>
- Robins J, Hernán M, Brumback B. Marginal Structural Models and Causal Inference in Epidemiology. Epidemiology. 2000; 11: 550–560. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3703997 https://doi.org/10. 1097/00001648-200009000-00011 PMID: 10955408
- **33.** Austin PC. The performance of different propensity score methods for estimating marginal hazard ratios. Stat Med. 2013; 32: 2837–2849. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5705 PMID: 23239115
- García Rodríguez LA, Pérez Gutthann S. Use of the UK General Practice Research Database for pharmacoepidemiology. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. Blackwell Science Ltd; 1998. pp. 419–425. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2125.1998.00701.x PMID: 9643612

- Tannen RL, Weiner MG, Xie D. Use of primary care electronic medical record database in drug efficacy research on cardiovascular outcomes: Comparison of database and randomised controlled trial findings. BMJ. 2009; 338: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b81 PMID: 19174434
- 36. Tannen R, Wang X, Yu M, Weiner MG, Xie D. A new "Comparative Effectiveness" assessment strategy using the THIN database: Comparison of the cardiac complications of pioglitazone and rosiglitazone. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2013; 22: 86–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3360 PMID: 23070833
- McElhaney JE, Mcneil SA. Test-negative design: The importance of laboratory-confirmed illness in estimating the effectiveness of influenza vaccine in older adults. Journal of Infectious Diseases. Narnia; 2017. pp. 399–401. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jix269 PMID: 28931239
- Sullivan SG, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Cowling BJ. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. Am J Epidemiol. 2016; 184: 345–353. https://doi. org/10.1093/aje/kww064 PMID: 27587721
- Sullivan SG, Cowling BJ, Greenland S. Frailty and influenza vaccine effectiveness. Vaccine. 2016; 34: 4645–4646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.08.003 PMID: 27578296
- Patterson S, Webber C, Patton M, Drews W, Huijts SM, Bolkenbaas M, et al. A post hoc assessment of duration of protection in CAPiTA (Community Acquired Pneumonia immunization Trial in Adults). Trials Vaccinol. 2016; 5: 92–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trivac.2016.04.004
- Kawakami K, Kishino H, Kanazu S, Toshimizu N, Takahashi K, Sterling T, et al. Revaccination with 23valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in the Japanese elderly is well tolerated and elicits immune responses. Vaccine. 2016; 34: 3875–3881. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.05.052</u> PMID: 27265450