01 University of Plymouth Research Outputs

University of Plymouth Research Outputs

2023-03

Analysis of Fibroblast Growth Factor 14 (FGF14) structural variants reveals the genetic basis of the early onset nystagmus locus NYS4 and variable ataxia

Ceroni, F

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19678

10.1038/s41431-022-01197-5 European Journal of Human Genetics Springer Science and Business Media LLC

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

BRIEF COMMUNICATION OPEN (Check for updates) Analysis of *Fibroblast Growth Factor 14* (*FGF14*) structural variants reveals the genetic basis of the early onset nystagmus locus NYS4 and variable ataxia

Fabiola Ceroni (1,2, Daniel Osborne (1,3, Samuel Clokie⁴, Dorine A. Bax¹, Emma J. Cassidy⁵, Matt J. Dunn⁶, Christopher M. Harris (1,7, Jay E. Self (1,3,8) and Nicola K. Ragge (1,4,8)

© The Author(s) 2022

Nystagmus (involuntary, rhythmical eye movements) can arise due to sensory eye defects, in association with neurological disorders or as an isolated condition. We identified a family with early onset nystagmus and additional neurological features carrying a partial duplication of *FGF14*, a gene associated with spinocerebellar ataxia type 27 (SCA27) and episodic ataxia. Detailed eye movement analysis revealed oculomotor anomalies strikingly similar to those reported in a previously described four-generation family with early onset nystagmus and linkage to a region on chromosome 13q31.3-q33.1 (NYS4). Since *FGF14* lies within NYS4, we revisited the original pedigree using whole genome sequencing, identifying a 161 kb heterozygous deletion disrupting *FGF14* and *ITGBL1* in the affected individuals, suggesting an *FGF14*-related condition. Therefore, our study reveals the genetic variant underlying NYS4, expands the spectrum of pathogenic *FGF14* variants, and highlights the importance of screening *FGF14* in apparently isolated early onset nystagmus.

European Journal of Human Genetics; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01197-5

INTRODUCTION

Congenital and early onset nystagmus (involuntary, repetitive oscillation of the eyes) typically manifests within the first months of life. It can be apparently isolated, associated with visual deficits, or seen in the context of numerous neurological disorders. Given the genetic and clinical heterogeneity of these conditions, detailed visual and neurological phenotyping, with analysis of supranuclear eye movements, can direct clinicians towards the underlying genetic causes [1, 2]. However, typical patterns of clinical features suggesting an underlying cause, such as those observed in Infantile Nystagmus Syndrome (INS) or cerebellar-type nystagmus, are not always present [3]. Whole-scale genetic testing is now assisting in diagnosing complex disorders such as nystagmus and, as described here, redefining phenotypes associated with individual gene-related conditions.

Here, we describe a father and son with nystagmus, early onset tremor, and motor difficulties, including mild ataxia. Array-CGH revealed that both individuals carry a partial duplication of *FGF14* (*Fibroblast Growth Factor 14*, OMIM: 601515). Heterozygous *FGF14* variants are associated with spinocerebellar ataxia type 27 (SCA27) [4] and episodic ataxia (EA) [5], although some individuals display milder phenotypes, including tremor without ataxia [5] or nystagmus with occasional episodes of vertigo and incoordination [6]. Detailed eye movement analysis revealed oculomotor anomalies strikingly similar to those described in a large dominant

pedigree with linkage to a locus on chromosome 13q31.3-q33.1 (NYS4, OMIM: 193003) [7, 8], containing *FGF14*. Herein, we revisited the original NYS4 pedigree and identified a heterozygous deletion disrupting *FGF14* and *ITGBL1* (*Integrin Subunit Beta Like 1*, OMIM: 604234), segregating with the disorder. Therefore, this study determines the genetic variant underlying NYS4 and highlights the importance of *FGF14* structural variants in milder forms of SCA27, including apparently isolated childhood nystagmus.

CASES AND METHODS

Families 1 and 2 were recruited to a national 'Genetics of Eye and Brain Anomalies study' (REC 04/Q0104/129). Informed consent was obtained according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Family 1: Copy Number Variant (CNV) screening was performed using a 60-mer oligo-array (8x60K International Standard Cytogenomic Array [ISCA] Consortium configuration [Oxford Gene Technology, Oxford, UK]). Paternal DNA was sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq and SureSelect Ataxia Panel v1 including *FGF14* (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Family 2: Whole genome sequencing (WGS) was performed using paired-end, 2×150 , and 30x coverage with an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (Theragen Bio, Republic of Korea). The presence of sequence variants in diagnostic ataxia or nystagmus genes was assessed (PanelApp panels "Hereditary ataxia and cerebellar anomalies -

Received: 27 April 2022 Revised: 2 September 2022 Accepted: 14 September 2022 Published online: 07 October 2022

¹Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK. ²Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ³Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ⁴West Midlands Regional Clinical Genetics Service and Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham Women's and Children's Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK. ⁵Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury District Hospital, Salisbury, UK. ⁶School of Optometry and Vision Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. ⁷Royal Eye Infirmary, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth, UK. ⁸These authors jointly supervised this work: Jay E. Self, Nicola K. Ragge. ^{IM}email: nragge@brookes.ac.uk




Fig. 1 Pedigrees of the two families with FGF14 structural variants. A Pedigree of family 1. The proband (II.3) is the third child of nonconsanguineous parents. Black-filled symbols indicate a SCA27 phenotype. B Pedigree of family 2, with individuals numbered according to recruitment order. Black-filled symbols represent individuals with eye movement anomalies. Individuals III.63 and III.64 were assessed through a video call. Question marks indicate individuals with affected status unknown. The obligate carrier status of individual II.10 is indicated by a black dot.

childhood onset" v6.28, "Albinism or congenital nystagmus" v1.5, "Infantile nystagmus" v1.3; https://panelapp.genomicsengland.co.uk/). Structural variants were identified using bbmap (https://sourceforge. net/projects/bbmap/). Breakpoints were identified from bbmapaligned files using the GRIDSS package [9] and validated by PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Both CNVs were evaluated according to the ACMG guidelines [10] using the ClinGen CNV Interpretation Calculator (https:// cnvcalc.clinicalgenome.org/cnvcalc/).

RESULTS

2

Family 1

An 8-year-old boy (II.3, Fig. 1A) was referred to the eye clinic with apparently isolated nystagmus since age 4 years. History and clinical examination revealed that he had mild developmental delay and had started walking after age 2 years. His visual acuity was within normal range (logMAR < 0.18 either eye). He had vertical upbeat nystagmus in primary position, horizontal gazeevoked nystagmus in side gazes and horizontal rebound nystagmus. Eye movement recordings showed that horizontal and upward smooth pursuits were absent, but downward smooth pursuits were present with reduced gain. His electroretinogram (ERG), visual evoked potentials (VEPs), and cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were normal. Subsequent neurological examination identified bilateral intention tremor, mild dysmetria, dysdiadochokinesis, and difficulties with heel-to-toe walking. He also had behavioural issues, including mood disorder and aggressiveness (Table 1, Supplemental Material).

His father (I.1) had poor balance, fine motor difficulties, and mood disorder. He had a history of tremor since childhood, initially attributed to asthma medication. He displayed mild left beating nystagmus in primary position, and eye movement recordings showed subtly asymmetric horizontal smooth pursuits. This was only evident on eye tracking with normal smooth pursuit response when moving the eyes to the left, but mildly reduced gain (the ratio of eye velocity to target velocity) when moving the eyes to the right. Neurological examination showed similar findings to the proband, including mild ataxia and mild intention tremor. His cranial MRI was normal (Table 1). The proband's two sisters and mother had no medical problems.

Array-CGH identified a partial *FGF14* duplication in both I.1 and II.3 between ~280 kb (chr13:102,535,482-102,815,349, hg19) and ~532 kb (chr13:102,379,344-102,911,282, hg19), which was absent from ClinVar (August 2022) and DECIPHER (April 15^{th} 2022 release). The two main isoforms of *FGF14*, *1A* (NM_004115) and

1B (NM_175929), differ with respect to their first exon, with the minimum coordinates of the duplication encompassing at least exon 1 of isoform 1A (Fig. 2A). Read depth analysis of next-generation sequencing data from the father and seven normal controls suggests that exons 2–3 are also included in the duplication. If the duplication is in tandem, this would potentially lead to a frameshift in isoform 1B. Given that *FGF14* is a haploinsufficient gene, the CNV would therefore be classified as pathogenic [10]. Sequencing data confirmed the absence of pathogenic *FGF14* single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the father.

Family 2

The NYS4 pedigree [7, 8] now consists of 17 affected individuals with eye movement anomalies (Fig. 1B). These include nystagmus (gaze-evoked, upbeat and rebound), poor or absent smooth pursuit, and hyperactive vestibulo-ocular reflex. II.16 and III.29 also manifested ataxia, while II.6, II.8, and III.35 had balance problems. II.10 and III.34 reported dizzy spells and mild coordination problems, respectively, without nystagmus. Strabismus and seizures were variably present. Clinical features are summarised in Table 1.

WGS of III.63 and III.64 did not detect pathogenic SNVs in known nystagmus or ataxia genes. However, a 161 kb heterozygous deletion within the NYS4 interval was identified in both individuals (chr13:102,250,764-102,412,039, hg19), encompassing 2 exons of *FGF14* and 4–5 exons of *ITGBL1* (depending on isoform) (Fig. 2A, B). This CNV was also absent from ClinVar (August 2022) and DECIPHER (April 15th, 2022 release). Segregation analysis by PCR showed the deletion was present in 12/12 affected and 0/9 unaffected individuals (Table 1). The deletion was classified as pathogenic according to the ACMG guidelines [10].


DISCUSSION

We identified *FGF14* structural variants in two families with early onset nystagmus and variable neurological and behavioural features: a partial duplication of *FGF14* in a two-generation family and a heterozygous 161 kb deletion disrupting *FGF14* and *ITGBL1* in a previously described four-generation pedigree. These data finally elucidate the genetic variant underlying NYS4, a locus previously linked to the vestibulocerebellar condition described in the latter family.

FGF14 encodes an intracellular fibroblast growth factor involved in multiple neuronal processes, including channel gating and neuronal excitability [11]. Individuals with pathogenic *FGF14* variants manifest EA or develop SCA27, a progressive cerebellar

Table 1. Clini	Clinical features of the two families described in this study	of the tw		Jescriben III un		and previously reported individuals carrying FGF14 deletions.	luviuuais		-01 14 UEIE	tions.			
Study	Indiv	FGF 14 status	Affected status	Age at last examination (y)	Oculomotor anomalies	omalies	Neurological features	l features				Neuroimaging	Development and psychiatric features
					Nystagmus	Others	Tremor	Ataxia	Balance	Other motor difficulties	Other	(MRI and/or CT)	
Current study - Family 1	11	DUP	۲	31	LN	Asymmetric horizontal SmP	Yes	Yes (mild)	Poor	Fine motor difficulties		Normal	Mood disorder
	ŝ	DUP	۲	ω	UN, horizontal GPN and RN	No horizontal and upward 5mP, no vertical saccades, horizontal OKR asymmetry	Yes		Frequent falls	Fine/gross difficulties, dysmetria, DDK	etria, DDK	Normal	Motor and speech delay, mood disorder, aggressiveness
Current study - Family 2	11	N/A	NE	Deceased	NE								
	1.2	WT	D	65	None								
	II.4	N/A	A	47	UN, GPN	SP					Seizures		
	11.6	DEL	A	46	UN, GPN	SP			Poor				
	11.7	N/A	n	43	None								
	II.8	DEL	A	40	UN, GPN				Poor				
	II.10	N/A	NA	37	None				Dizzy spells				
	11.11	WT	NE	36	NE								
	II.13	N/A	NE	35	NE								
	II.14	N/A	۷	33	UN, GPN, RN	SP							
	II.15	N/A	D	31	None								
	II.16	DEL	۷	54	UN, GPN	SP		Yes	Dizzy spells	Dysarthria (mild)			
	II.18	DEL	A	28	UN, GPN								
	III.21	N/A	A	26	GPN						Seizures		
	III.22	N/A	N	24	None								
	III.24	DEL	A	23	UN, GPN								
	III.25	N/A	D	12	None				Poor**				
	III.26	N/A	Л	12	None				Poor**				
	III.27	WT	D	15	None								
	III.28	DEL	A	14	UN, DN, GPN, RN	7						Normal	
	III.29	DEL	A	32	UN, DN, GPN	Ъ		Yes	Dizzy spells			Normal	Borderline personality disorder, depression
	III.31	N/A	A	21	GPN, unsteady upgaze	npgaze							
	III.32	N/A	NE	10	NE								
	III.33	WT	D	5									
	III.34	WT	D	25	None					Dyspraxia			Normal
	III.35	DEL	A ·	4	UN, GPN				Poor				
	III.36	DEL	A :	1.5	GPN								
	111.46 111.63	N I	* ∩	NE 17	NE Horizontal	SP divemetric sacrades			Normal				
	6	ł	¢	2	GPN	הי משוובנור שברמתבם							
	III.64	DEL	۷	15	Horizontal GPN	SP, dysmetric saccades			Normal				
	IV.37	WT	D	7	None								
	IV.38	WT	n	æ	None								
	IV.39	DEL	A	£	GPN	SP							

Table 1. cont	continued												
Study	Indiv	FGF 14 status	Affected status	Age at last examination (y)	Oculomotor anomalies	nomalies	Neurologi	Neurological features				Neuroimaging	Development and psychiatric features
					Nystagmus	Others	Tremor	Ataxia	Balance	Other motor difficulties	Other	(MRI and/or CT)	
	IV.40	N/A	n	5	None								
	IV.65	WT	*⊃	NE	NE								
	IV.66	WT	*0	NE	NE								
	IV.81	N/A	A*	NE	NE								
Tucker et al. 2013	Proband	DEL	۲	4.5	NR		Yes	Yes (mild)				Normal	IQ below average, speech delay, SE
Coebergh et al. 2014	Grand- mother	DEL	A	66	NR		Yes	Yes (mild)				Normal	Normal IQ
	Mother	DEL	A	NR	Yes		N			No tandem walking		Normal	Normal IQ
	Proband	DEL	٨	р	Horizontal and vertical GPN	SP, intrusive square wave jerks	°N N	Yes	Poor	Dysarthria, dysmetria, DDK	~	Normal	Normal IQ
Planes et al. 2015	Proband	DEL	۲	20	R	Delayed and slow saccades	Yes	Yes	Poor	1y: hypotonia, lower limb brisk tendon reflexes; 18 y: no tandem walking, dysmetria	Microcephaly	Atrophy (Crb, slowly progressive), cerebellar WM lesions	Moderate ID, speech delay
Amado et al. 201 <i>7</i>	Adopted twins	DEL	٩	4	Yes		Yes	Yes		Incoordination, dysarthria, dysmetria, DDK	dysmetria, DDK	Normal	Low IQ, memory and executive function impairment
Paucar et al. 2020	E	N/A	۲	83	Yes		Yes	Yes	Poor	Dysarthria, dysmetria		Atrophy (Cor), WM anomalies	NE
	11:2	DEL	۲	65	All directions	Weak horizontal and absent vertical OKN	sent vertical	Yes	Falls	Dysarthria, dysmetria, MPMC	ЧC	NE	SE
	Sill	DEL	A	63	Yes	Weak horizontal and vertical OKN	tical OKN	Yes	Poor	Dysarthria, dysmetria, MPMC	ИС	Atrophy (Cor, Crb, Cen)	Low cognitive profile, SE
	Ē	DEL	۲	48	Yes		Yes	Yes	Poor	Dysarthria, dysmetria	Hyporeflexia, polyneuropathy	Atrophy (Cor, Cen,Ver, Crb)	ID, SE, emotionally unstable personality disorder, psychosis, depression
	III:2	DEL	۲	39	GPN	Weak vertical and horizontal OKN	Yes	Yes		Congenital cervical dystonia, dysmetria, MPMC	ia, dysmetria,	Atrophy (Cor,Ver, Csp)	Low IQ, language delay, dyslexia, SE, ADHD
	L:VI	DEL	×	18	Vertical and GPN	Weak vertical OKN	Yes	Yes		Dyspraxia, dysmetria, MPMC	Febrile seizures	Normal	Low cognitive profile, dyscalculia, SE, ADHD, anger outbursts
Zech et al. 2021	Proband	DEL	۲	10	R	NR		Yes		Childhood-onset segmental dystonia, myoclonus			
A Affected, ADHD Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disc Downbeat Nystagmus, DUP FGF14 duplication, GPN C Imaging, N/A Not Available, NE Not Examined, NR Not Unaffected, UA Unassigned (examined but inconclusiv (not examined); **The twins had severe hearing loss problems have been linked to these early difficulties.	<i>HD</i> Attentiou stagmus, <i>DU</i> dot Available, Unassigned); **The twir t been linkec	Deficit H P FGF14 dt NE Not Ex (examinec is had sev to these	lyperactivity uplication, C amined, <i>NR</i> a but inconc ere hearing early difficu	A Affected, ADHD Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, <i>Cen</i> Central, Downbeat Nystagmus, <i>DUP FGF14</i> duplication, <i>GPN</i> Gaze evoked Nysta Imaging, <i>N/</i> A Not Available, <i>NE</i> Not Examined, <i>NR</i> Not Reported, <i>OKN</i> OF Unaffected, <i>UA</i> Unassigned (examined but inconclusive symptoms/signs (not examined); **The twins had severe hearing loss, needing hearing problems have been linked to these early difficulties.	entral, Cor Co A Nystagmus, XKN Optokine S(signs), UN L nearing aids,	rtical, <i>Crb</i> Cerebellum <i>ID</i> Intellectual Disabi tic Nystagmus, <i>OKR</i> O Ipbeat Nystagmus, <i>Ve</i> present since the nec	1, <i>Csp</i> Cervic lity, /Q Intel ptokinetic F r Vermis, <i>W</i> / natal perio	al spine, C ligence Qu eflex, <i>RN</i> F <i>M</i> White M d when th	T Compute lotient, LN lebound Ny etter, WT Wi etter, had sev	A Affected, ADHD Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, <i>Cen</i> Central, <i>Cor</i> Cortical, <i>Crb</i> Cerebellum, <i>Cs</i> Cervical spine, <i>CT</i> Computerised Tomography scan, <i>DDK</i> Dysdiadochokinesis, <i>DEL FGF1</i> 4 deletion, <i>DN</i> Downbeat Nystagmus, <i>DUP FGF1</i> 4 duplication, <i>GPN</i> Gaze evoked Nystagmus, <i>ID</i> Intellectual Disability, <i>IQ</i> Intelligence Quotient, <i>LN</i> Leftbeat Nystagmus, <i>MPMC</i> Minipolymyoclonus, <i>MRI</i> Magnetic Resonance Imaging, <i>NA</i> Not Available, <i>NE</i> Not Reported, <i>OKN</i> Optokinetic Nystagmus, <i>OKR</i> Optokinetic Reflex, <i>RN</i> Rebound Nystagmus, <i>SE</i> Special Education, <i>SmP</i> Smooth Pursuit, <i>SP</i> Saccadic Pursuit, <i>U</i> Unaffected, <i>UA</i> Unassigned (examined, <i>NR</i> Not Reported, <i>OKN</i> Optokinetic Nystagmus, <i>VKI</i> White Matter, <i>WT</i> Wild-Type (no <i>FGF1</i> 4 variant), y years. *Affected status reported by the family (not examined): **The twins had severe hearing loss, needing hearing aids, present since the neonatal period when they had severe complications requiring intensive care treatment. Their mild balance problems have been linked to these early difficulties.	h. DDK Dysdiadocl PMC Minipolymyc ucation, SmP Smo ucut, y years. *Affee airing intensive ca	nokinesis, <i>DEL FC</i> clonus, <i>MRI</i> Mag oth Pursuit, <i>SP</i> S, ted status repor te treatment. Th	<i>F14</i> deletion, <i>DN</i> jnetic Resonance accadic Pursuit, <i>U</i> ted by the family neir mild balance



ataxia frequently presenting with nystagmus, tremor, dysarthria, limb ataxia, and variably associated with psychiatric symptoms and cognitive impairment. Eighteen pathogenic variants have been reported to date, including six heterozygous deletions [12–17], three of which overlap that of family 2 (Fig. 2C). While translocations and deletions are likely to cause functional haploinsufficiency, the effect of duplications is harder to predict. The variant in family 1 is the first report of a partial *FGF14* duplication and affects between one and three exons. Depending on the localisation and orientation of the duplicated fragment, this

6

Fig. 2 Characterisation of the two *FGF14* structural variants identified in families 1 and 2. A UCSC schematic (GRCh37, hg19) showing *ITGBL1* and *FGF14*. The blue bar indicates the region spanned by the duplication (family 1); the thicker region of the bar shows the minimum duplicated interval. The red bar indicates the region spanned by the deletion (family 2). **B** Sequence chromatogram showing the breakpoints of the deletion identified in family 2. The deleted region overlaps with 4/4 *ITGBL1* isoforms (including exons 8–11 in isoform 1, exons 7–10 in isoforms 2 and 3, and exons 7–11 in isoform 4) and the last two exons of *FGF14-1A/1B*. The 5' boundary maps to an intronic region of *ITGBL1*, 114 bp from the nearest exon. The 3' boundary maps to *FGF14* intron 3. The sequence GTTT is present at both ends of the CNV and therefore cannot be definitively ascribed to either side of the breakpoint. **C** Schematic of the two *FGF14* isoforms 1A and 1B indicating the location of structural and sequence variants refer to *FGF14-1B* (NM_175929). Horizontal lines indicate the *FGF14* exons affected by structural variants. Arrows indicate the position of of the breakpoints.

variant could alter the production, folding, localisation and/or function of the protein.

SCA27 is characterised by early onset and slow progression (ataxia onset: 23.7 ± 16.7 years), with only 13.8% of patients developing severe gait impairment [18]. In family 2, nystagmus was the most frequent and consistent feature, while balance problems were more variably present. Of note, four of five affected members exhibiting unsteadiness or ataxia were age ≥ 30 years at their last examination, whereas those not exhibiting ataxia/balance problems were mostly younger when examined [8]. Therefore, young age of assessment together with the variable presentation of ataxic features may account for the absence of gait impairment among family 2 carriers of the *FGF14* deletion.

Phenotypic intra- and inter-familial variability is a hallmark of FGF14 variants [5, 18]. Family 2 expands this variability to include isolated nystagmus and milder clinical features. While III.63 had early onset nystagmus diagnosed by the age of three, her brother III.64 was initially reported as unaffected. Re-examination of III.64 on the basis of our genetic findings revealed a similar, but far more subtle, pattern of eye movement anomalies including horizontal gaze-evoked nystagmus, saccadic pursuit, and dysmetric saccades. Similarly, the affected status of II.10 was originally unassigned as she exhibited dizzy spells without nystagmus. While DNA was unavailable, the inheritance pattern of the deletion indicates that she is an obligate carrier, suggesting her phenotype represents an extremely mild form of SCA27. Therefore, family 2 supports an emerging model whereby mild phenotypes, including apparently isolated nystagmus, can result from variants in genes associated with ataxia [19].

Furthermore, this study highlights how detailed characterisation of oculomotor anomalies within a broader movement disorder can provide insights into the genetic basis of conditions such as SCA27. Early onset nystagmus with minimal or absent tremor and ataxia could be mistaken for other forms of nystagmus seen in infancy. In our families, the oculomotor pattern is mainly characterised by vertical nystagmus and horizontal gaze-evoked nystagmus with decelerating slow phases, which would be indicative of neurological nystagmus [20]. This supports some of the previous descriptions for *FGF14*-related conditions where details of eye movements are mentioned [12, 14]. However, since such detailed eye movement evaluation is rarely possible in routine clinical practice, particularly in children, we recommend the inclusion of *FGF14* on gene panels for childhood nystagmus.

In conclusion, our study identifies the genetic basis of NYS4, expands the spectrum of *FGF14* variants, refines the phenotypes of the associated oculomotor anomalies, and demonstrates the value of screening *FGF14* in children with apparently isolated early onset nystagmus.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The two variants described in this study have been submitted to the ClinVar repository (SCV002570104, SCV002570105).

REFERENCES

- Clark R, Blundell J, Dunn MJ, Erichsen JT, Giardini ME, Gottlob I, et al. The potential and value of objective eye tracking in the ophthalmology clinic. Eye. 2019;33:1200–2.
- Osborne D, Theodorou M, Lee H, Ranger M, Hedley-Lewis M, Shawkat F, et al. Supranuclear eye movements and nystagmus in children: A review of the literature and guide to clinical examination, interpretation of findings and ageappropriate norms. Eye. 2019;33:261–73.
- Self JE, Dunn MJ, Erichsen JT, Gottlob I, Griffiths HJ, Harris C, et al. Management of nystagmus in children: a review of the literature and current practice in UK specialist services. Eye. 2020;34:1515–34.
- van Swieten JC, Brusse E, de Graaf BM, Krieger E, van de Graaf R, de Koning I, et al. A mutation in the fibroblast growth factor 14 gene is associated with autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxia [corrected]. Am J Hum Genet. 2003;72:191–9.
- Piarroux J, Riant F, Humbertclaude V, Remerand G, Hadjadj J, Rejou F, et al. FGF14-related episodic ataxia: delineating the phenotype of Episodic Ataxia type 9. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2020;7:565–72.
- Choquet K, La Piana R, Brais B. A novel frameshift mutation in FGF14 causes an autosomal dominant episodic ataxia. Neurogenetics 2015;16:233–6.
- Harris CM, Walker J, Shawkat F, Wilson J, Russell-Eggitt I. Eye movements in a familial vestibulocerebellar disorder. Neuropediatrics 1993;24:117–22.
- Ragge NK, Hartley C, Dearlove AM, Walker J, Russell-Eggitt I, Harris CM. Familial vestibulocerebellar disorder maps to chromosome 13q31-q33: a new nystagmus locus. J Med Genet. 2003;40:37–41.
- Cameron DL, Schröder J, Penington JS, Do H, Molania R, Dobrovic A, et al. GRIDSS: sensitive and specific genomic rearrangement detection using positional de Bruijn graph assembly. Genome Res. 2017;27:2050–60.
- Riggs ER, Andersen EF, Cherry AM, Kantarci S, Kearney H, Patel A, et al. Technical standards for the interpretation and reporting of constitutional copy-number variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen). Genet Med. 2020;22:245–57.
- 11. Di ReJ, Wadsworth PA, Laezza F. Intracellular Fibroblast Growth Factor 14: Emerging risk factor for brain disorders. Front Cell Neurosci. 2017;11:103.
- 12. Tucker ME, Kalb FM, Escobar LF Infant Spinocerebellar Ataxia Type 27: Early Presentation Due To a 13q33.1 Microdeletion Involving the FGF14 Gene. J Genet Syndr Gene Ther. 2013;4:1–3.
- 13. Coebergh JA, Fransen van de Putte DE, Snoeck IN, Ruivenkamp C, van Haeringen A, Smit LM. A new variable phenotype in spinocerebellar ataxia 27 (SCA 27) caused by a deletion in the FGF14 gene. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2014;18: 413–5.
- Planes M, Rooryck C, Vuillaume ML, Besnard L, Bouron J, Lacombe D, et al. SCA27 is a cause of early-onset ataxia and developmental delay. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2015;19:271–3.
- Paucar M, Lundin J, Alshammari T, Bergendal Å, Lindefeldt M, Alshammari M, et al. Broader phenotypic traits and widespread brain hypometabolism in spinocerebellar ataxia 27. J Intern Med. 2020;288:103–15.
- Amado A, Blanco MO, Repáraz-Andrade A. Spinocerebellar Ataxia 27: clinical phenotype of twin sisters with FGF14 deletion. Neuropediatrics 2017;48:131.
- Zech M, Boesch S, Škorvánek M, Necpál J, Švantnerová J, Wagner M, et al. Clinically relevant copy-number variants in exome sequencing data of patients with dystonia. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2021;84:129–34.
- Groth CL, Berman BD. Spinocerebellar Ataxia 27: a review and characterization of an evolving phenotype. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov. 2018;8:534.
- Self J, Mercer C, Boon EM, Murugavel M, Shawkat F, Hammans S, et al. Infantile nystagmus and late onset ataxia associated with a CACNA1A mutation in the intracellular loop between s4 and s5 of domain 3. Eye. 2009;23:2251–5.
- Casteels I, Harris CM, Shawkat F, Taylor D. Nystagmus in infancy. Br J Ophthalmol. 1992;76:434–7.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the families for their participation in our study. We also thank Dr. Richard Holt for the support in the preparation of the manuscript, Dr. Lidiya Talbot and the West Midlands Regional Genetics Service for laboratory and administrative support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JES and NKR designed the study. FC, DO, JES and NKR wrote the manuscript. FC, SC and EJC performed data generation, analysis and interpretation. NKR, DO, MJD, JES and CMH performed clinical examinations of the families. DAB carried out research coordination. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from Baillie Gifford; Microphthalmia, Anophthalmia, and Coloboma Support (MACS) (www.macs.org.uk); Oxford Brookes University Health Innovation Fund (HEIF) and the Gift of Sight Charity.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ETHICS APPROVAL

The families included in this study were recruited to a national 'Genetics of Eye and Brain Anomalies study' (approved by the UK Regional Ethics Committee Cambridge-East, REC 04/Q0104/129). Informed consent was obtained according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

INFORMED CONSENT

Informed consent was obtained according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01197-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Nicola K. Ragge.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022