Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Biological and Marine Sciences

2022-10

Ecological correlates of extinction risk and persistence of direct-developing stream-dwelling frogs in Mesoamerica

Zumbado-Ulate, H

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19377

10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02197 Global Ecology and Conservation Elsevier

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Global Ecology and Conservation



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gecco

Ecological correlates of extinction risk and persistence of direct-developing stream-dwelling frogs in Mesoamerica

Hector Zumbado-Ulate^{a,b,*,1}, Kelsey Neam^{c,d,2}, Adrián García-Rodríguez^{e,3}, Leticia Ochoa-Ochoa^{f,4}, Gerardo Chaves^{g,5}, Jonathan E. Kolby^{d,h,6} Sofía Granados-Martínez^{1,7}, Andreas Hertz^{1,8}, Federico Bolaños^{g,k,9} Daniel Ariano-Sánchez^{1,m,10}, Robert Puschendorf^{n,11}, Catherine L. Searle^{a,12}

^a Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

^b Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

^f Museum of Zoology "Alfonso L. Herrera", Evolutionary Department, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México 04510, D.F., Mexico

g CIBET (Museo de Zoología), Universidad de Costa Rica, San Pedro, 11501–2060 San José, Costa Rica

h Honduras Amphibian Rescue & Conservation Center, Tela, Honduras

ⁱ Instituto Clodomiro Picado, Facultad de Microbiología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José 11501, Costa Rica

^j Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd., Boston, MA 02125, USA

^k Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San Pedro, 11501–2060 San José, Costa Rica

¹ Centro de Estudios Ambientales y Biodiversidad, Universidad del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala

m Department of Natural Sciences and Environmental Health, Faculty of Technology, Natural Sciences and Maritime Sciences, University of South-Eastern Norway, Bø, Telemark, Norway

Corresponding author at: Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA.

E-mail addresses: zumbadohector@gmail.com (H. Zumbado-Ulate), kneam@amphibians.org (K. Neam), garciar.adrian@gmail.com (A. García-Rodríguez), leticia.ochoa@ciencias.unam.mx (L. Ochoa-Ochoa), cachi13@gmail.com (G. Chaves), jonathankolby@gmail.com (J.E. Kolby), sofy2894@gmail.com (S. Granados-Martínez), ahertz@web.de (A. Hertz), federico.bolanos@ucr.ac.cr (F. Bolaños), dariano@uvg.edu.gt (D. Ariano-Sánchez), robert.puschendorf@plymouth.ac.uk (R. Puschendorf), searlec@purdue.edu (C.L. Searle).

- ORCID: 0000-0001-7039-9967
- 2 ORCID:0000-0003-1994-4346
- ³ ORCID: 0000–0002-9831–2963
- 4 ORCID 0000-0002-9846-4596
- ⁵ ORCID: 0000-0002-4301-6569
- ⁶ ORCID: 0000-0002-8241-4711
- ⁷ ORCID: 0000-0001-9989-3854
- ORCID: 0000-0003-1128-6684
- 9 ORCID: 0000-0002-7935-6418
- ¹⁰ ORCID: 0000–0003-4955–5018
- ¹¹ ORCID: 0000–0002-8105–171X
- ¹² ORCID: 0000–0002-6607–2299

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02197

Received 8 April 2022; Received in revised form 14 June 2022; Accepted 15 June 2022

Available online 17 June 2022

2351-9894/Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^c Global Wildlife Conservation, Austin, TX 78767, USA

^d IUCN Species Survival Commission, Amphibian Specialist Group, USA

e BioInvasions, Global Change, Macroecology Group, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Rennweg 14, 1030 Vienna, Austria

ⁿ School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Amphibian declines Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis Disease Habitat deterioration Habitat suitability maps Bange

ABSTRACT

Understanding the threats to wildlife across space and time is essential for developing effective conservation strategies. In Mesoamerica (i.e., the region that extends from Central Mexico to the most southern point in Panama) at least 40% of amphibian species declined between the late 1970s and the early 2000s. Most of these declines have been linked with the destruction of suitable habitats for amphibians as well as the introduction and spread of the fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, the causal agent of the disease known as chytridiomycosis. In this study, we quantified geographic and elevational ranges for direct-developing, stream-dwelling frog species (DSFS) in Mesoamerica. Within the range of each DSFS, we estimated the extent of suitable area for the occurrence of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis and the types of land use to quantify habitat deterioration. To date, 33% of DSFS remain undetected since 2005 or before. At the regional level (i.e., Mesoamerica), as in previous studies, we found that narrow geographic and elevational ranges increased vulnerability to extinction. Nevertheless, the ranges of 83% of DSFS were composed of 50% or more high-quality habitats between the 1980s and 2005, when most species declined. We also found that on average, 80% of the range of each species currently overlaps with predicted suitable areas for the occurrence of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. At the local and site level (i.e., focusing on two species ranges where extensive monitoring has been conducted even before decline occurred), we found that the present suitable habitat for Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis corresponded with the reduction in predicted habitat suitability and climatic niche of DSFS. We also found that the location of remnant populations can be predicted by environmental factors, which can help identify regions where remnant populations of these declined species and others with similar ecology may occur. Combined, results from our regional and local analyses support the idea that Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis was the main driver of DSFS declines and of the current lack of recent observations of fifteen DSFS. We used our results to propose specific actions for all DSFS included in this study. This study highlights the importance of considering multiple threats and spatial scales when assessing the status of declined and threatened species.

1. Introduction

Environmental threats can vary greatly across space, are scale-dependent, and can determine species ranges (Isbell et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2019). However, only a few studies have evaluated threats for entire vulnerable clades across spatial scales (Brittain et al., 2010; Stohlgreen et al., 2002). Among vertebrates, amphibians represent the most endangered class (Monastersky, 2014). According to the International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List of Threatened Species (hereafter 'IUCN Red List') over 56% of the approximately 8460 described species (Frost, 2021) are likely threatened by extinction (IUCN, 2019). Although threats to amphibians are considered individually, many amphibian species are facing multiple threats at the same time, which can increase extinction risk (Hof et al., 2011; but see Greenville et al., 2021). Thus, one of the main challenges scientists have is to identify the various threats to amphibians across landscapes and spatial scales, so that appropriate conservation actions can be implemented in regions where they will have the largest impact (Catenazzi, 2015).

Amphibians represent an ideal group on which to conduct threat assessments because species tend to exhibit discontinuous ranges across the landscape (Semlitsch and Bodie, 2003), and the threats to amphibians vary widely across spatial scales (Bosch et al., 2004; Grant et al., 2016). For example, the effects of introduced pathogens (Scheele et al., 2017) can be exacerbated by climate change, pollutants, and habitat deterioration (Becker et al., 2007; Rollins-Smith, 2017), and the range of pathogens and host species can be driven by processes that occur at different spatial scales (Chase et al., 2019; Keesing et al., 2010).

2. Amphibian declines in Mesoamerica

Mesoamerica (here defined as the geographic region that extends from Central Mexico to the most southern point in Panama) is a global hotspot of amphibian biodiversity and endemism (Savage, 2002; Wilson and Johnson, 2010) and is home to approximately 850 described amphibian species (AmphibiaWeb, 2020). However, the destruction and alteration of habitat have jeopardized many amphibian species in the region (Frías-Alvarez et al., 2010; Mayani-Parás et al., 2019; McCranie and Wilson, 2002; Savage, 2002). Furthermore, at least 40% of amphibian species have experienced declines even in seemingly undisturbed environments (Crawford et al., 2010; Whitfield et al., 2016). Many of these declines have been associated with the introduction and spread of epizootic lineages of the pathogenic fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (hereafter '*Bd*' Longcore et al., 1999; Rosenblum et al., 2013) between the late 1970s and early 2000s (Lips et al., 2008; Puschendorf et al., 2006a). During this *Bd* epizootic period (here defined as the period between the introduction of *Bd* in Mesoamerica -sometime in the mid-1970s or early 1980s- and 2005 when *Bd* became enzootic; Cheng et al., 2011), *Bd* rapidly spread across Mesoamerica causing massive die-offs of multiple species and even the collapse of entire amphibian communities (Crawford et al., 2010; Lips et al., 2006). Currently, several studies at the local (country) level (Bolom-Huet et al., 2019; Kilburn et al., 2010; Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2021a) suggest that *Bd* is now widespread and has not caused high rates of mortality since the early 2000s. For example, in a well-studied system in Panama, *Bd* seems to be endemic and persisting species may

H. Zumbado-Ulate et al.

have evolved a degree of resistance to the pathogen that allows them to coexist with *Bd*, but perhaps not recover rapidly from infection (Voyles et al., 2018). In this study, we considered 2005 or later as '*Bd* enzootic period' following spatiotemporal models that suggest that *Bd* was enzootic across Mesoamerica by 2005 (Cheng et al., 2011; Lips et al., 2008). Although *Bd* has been detected in all Mesoamerican countries, political, economic, and social reasons have historically prevented systematic sampling across the region and instead favored the collection of opportunistic data which ends up in searchable and shared databases in southern Mesoamerica (Costa Rica and Panama); this method of data collection is an important factor to be considered when using existing data to estimate threats across space.

In Mesoamerica, direct-developing, stream-dwelling frog species (hereafter 'DSFS') group within two phylogenetically related clades: the *Craugastor punctariolus* group (34 spp.) and the *C. milesi* group (12 spp.) (Hedges et al., 2008). All 46 DSFS are endemic to Mesoamerica and are regionally known as 'robber frogs' or 'stream frogs' (Campbell and Savage, 2000; Hedges et al., 2008). Once considered extremely common, DSFS catastrophically declined during the *Bd* epizootic period (Brem and Lips, 2008; Crawford et al., 2010; Lips et al., 2005, 2003; Ryan et al., 2008; Whitfield et al., 2016). Currently, 90% of DSFS are considered threatened according to the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2019). Similarly, the regional index of environmental vulnerability scores (EVS; Wilson and McCranie, 2004) shows that 98% of DSFS have the highest vulnerability to extinction (Wilson et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2015). Each of the 46 DSFS has been monitored and studied since the 1960s by the authors of this study and other scientists, and this information has been included in the most recent IUCN Red List workshops conducted in Mesoamerica in 2018 and 2019 (Rodríguez et al., 2019). Results from this workshop included the absence of field records for fifteen of our study species since 2005 or before despite exhaustive sampling. Also, these workshops have served to highlight the rediscovery of several DSFS species, including some believed to be extinct (Chaves et al., 2014; Jiménez and Alvarado, 2017; Köhler et al., 2012; Kolby and McCranie, 2009; Kubicki, 2016). However, in most of these cases, rediscoveries are represented by the observation of one or few individuals, which greatly differs from the high abundance of DSFS observed during pre-decline times (Campbell and Savage, 2000; McCranie and Wilson, 2002; Savage, 2002).

In this study, we aimed to examine the effect of natural and human-induced threats (range size, climatic suitability for pathogens, and habitat deterioration) in the current range of DSFS. At the regional level (i.e., Mesoamerica), we first quantified the geographic and elevational ranges of each of the 46 DSFS contained within the *C. punctariolus* group and the *C. milesi* group. We also estimated the suitable habitat for the occurrence of *Bd* and quantified types of land use during the *Bd* epizootic and enzootic periods in Mesoamerica. Based on previous studies that have shown high susceptibility of DSFS to *Bd*, and a history of population decline in seemingly pristine environments (Lips et al., 2003; Puschendorf et al., 2006b; Ryan et al., 2008; Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2019b), we predicted that the occurrence of *Bd* was the main driver of the decline of many DSFS since 2005 or before. At the local level, we predicted that the habitat suitability and climatic niche of two specific focal DSFS (*C. ranoides* and *C. taurus*) contracted after the introduction of *Bd*. We also identified biotic and abiotic factors that could predict the occurrence of remnant populations. This work will help understand the causes of the assumed local absence of declined species. Furthermore, it will provide specific actions to inform which regions and species are most likely to experience future declines and extinctions.

3. Methods

Our spatial analyses were set to the current range of DSFS in Mesoamerica (N 5–40°, W 70–118°). We hold the resolution of our raster layers constant (30 arc-s) and modified the extent (i.e., scope; Schneider, 2001). Our maps and geographic analyses were created with ArcMap 10.8 (ESRI® Redlands, CA, USA) and R 4.1.2 (R Development Core Team, 2021). We used shapefiles from Atlas Digital Costa Rica 2014 (Ortiz-Malavasi, 2014) and the Database of Global Administrative Areas (GADM; https://gadm.org/data.html). In all our analyses we used the World Geodetic System datum (WGS84) as the reference coordinate system.

3.1 Regional-level analyses

For each of the 46 DSFS (Supplementary materials 1: Fig. S1 and S2; Table S1), we estimated range size and quantified land use and the predicted suitable area for *Bd* within each species range polygon (see section 3.1.1).

3.2 Datasets

3.2.1. Range polygons

Updated species-range polygons for each of the 46 DSFS were provided by the IUCN Amphibian Specialist Group. The IUCN range polygons included the spatial information generated in the IUCN Red List workshops conducted in Mesoamerica in 2018 and 2019 (Rodríguez et al., 2019). Because IUCN has different classifications assigned to range polygons (Bland et al., 2017), we only considered the polygons corresponding to the extant and extinct range of our focal species and excluded those that represented regions of uncertain presence.

3.2.2. Bd occurrence dataset

To generate robust predictions of the climatic suitability of *Bd* across Mesoamerica, we exhaustively consulted peer-reviewed literature and compiled an initial presence-only dataset for *Bd* that included 181 occurrence points from Mesoamerica and 41 occurrence points from northern Colombia, southern United States, and Cuba (Supplementary materials 1: Fig. S3; Table S2). We

cleaned our dataset by checking typos, removing unreferenced records, cross-checking geographic coordinates, and removing coordinates with a geographic inaccuracy > 1000 m and duplicates using the R packages 'scrubr' (Chamberlain, 2022) and 'CoordinateCleaner' (Zizka et al., 2019). To reduce the effect of spatial clustering caused by opportunistic sampling, especially in southern Mesoamerica (Costa Rica and Panama), we filtered and excluded the occurrence points that were separated by a distance > 15 km with the R package 'spThin' using 100 random repetitions (Aiello-Lammens et al., 2015). Our final dataset consisted of 133 filtered occurrence points.

3.2.3. Regional abiotic dataset

We downloaded the 19 BIOCLIM variables (Booth et al., 2014) from WorldClim v2.1 (Fick and Hijmans, 2017) and the 16 environmental raster layers for ecological modeling 'ENVIREM' (Title and Bemmels, 2018), both at a spatial resolution of 30 arc-s. The BIOCLIM variables have been widely used to predict the distribution of *Bd*, which facilitates the comparison of our predictions with other regional models (e.g., Bolom-Huet et al., 2019; Puschendorf et al., 2009; Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2021a). Similarly, the ENVIREM dataset has been shown to potentially improve the performance of habitat suitability maps that use BIOCLIM variables. Following Title and Bemmels (2018), we excluded the ENVIREM layers 'aridityIndexThornthwaite' (which is redundant with the 'climaticMoistur-eIndex'), and 'monthCountByTemp10' (which is categorical). For data extraction, we cropped the 33 environmental layers with the R package 'raster' (Hijmans et al., 2015). We used the R package 'usdm' (Naimi, 2015) to detect collinearity among predictors by quantifying and selecting the predictors which had a variance inflation factor < 3 and pair-wise correlations < 0.6. We retained eight environmental predictors in our abiotic dataset: 'isothermality', 'maximum temperature of warmest month', 'precipitation seasonality', 'precipitation of warmest quarter', 'mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of driest quarter', 'mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of warmest quarter', and 'sum of mean monthly temperature for months with mean temperature greater than 5 °C multiplied by number of days' (Supplementary materials 1: Table S3).

3.3. . Threat quantification

3.3.1. Species range size

Narrow-ranged species have been associated with an increased risk of extinction compared with wide-ranged species (Gaston and Fuller, 2009). Therefore, we were interested in determining whether narrow ranges (geographic and elevational ranges) correlated with those species lacking records in the field since 2005 or before (i.e., species that may be extinct). We used the 'calculate geometry' GIS tool to estimate the area in km² contained within the minimum convex polygon of each species-specific IUCN range polygon (Nilsen et al., 2008) as a proxy of the geographic range. The elevational range inhabited by each species was defined as the difference between the upper and lower elevation limits (e.g., White and Bennett, 2015; Yu et al., 2017). The elevation limits for each of the 46 DSFS species were obtained from the IUCN Red List website (https://www.iucnredlist.org).

3.3.2. Habitat suitability of Bd in Mesoamerica

We were interested in identifying if declined species' ranges substantially overlapped with the predicted suitable habitat for *Bd* in Mesoamerica compared to species that persisted. For this, we built a habitat suitability map of *Bd* in Mesoamerica during the enzootic period (2005 and after) and estimated the extent of suitable habitat (hereafter 'ESH') (Brooks et al., 2019) for *Bd* within the range polygons of each of the 46 DSFS. We were not able to predict the range of *Bd* during the epizootic period (mid-1970s to 2005) due to the lack of uniform sampling in most of Mesoamerica and global methodical limitations to detect low levels of *Bd* before the 2000s. However, evidence shows that *Bd* spread southwards in Mesoamerica during the *Bd* epizootic period, especially across mountain ecosystems (Cheng et al., 2011; Lips et al., 2008; Phillips and Puschendorf, 2013). Although other habitat suitability maps have predicted the climatic suitability of *Bd* in Mesoamerica (e.g., James et al., 2015; Ron, 2005), we have included a more comprehensive and updated collection of occurrence points than previous models, used more robust methods to improve the accuracy of our predictions, and followed strict criteria to control spatial clustering of species records caused by unbalanced sampling, spatial autocorrelation, and multicollinearity (see below).

Our predictions of suitability were generated with the MaxEnt algorithm (Phillips et al., 2006) implemented through the R package 'ENMeval' (Muscarella et al., 2014). We obtained 36 candidate models using the *Bd* occurrence dataset (section 3.2.2), the regional abiotic dataset (section 3.2.3), and the following settings: partition method = 'block'; random points = 10000; algorithm = maxent.jar; regularization of multiplier values = 0.5-3 with increments of 0.5; feature classes = L, LQ, H, LQHP, LQHPT. We selected the model with the highest value of average test of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC mean). If two or more candidate models had the same AUC mean value, we selected the model with the lowest omission rate at minimum training presence (orMTP; Radosavljevic and Anderson, 2014).

Our model predictions were transformed into raster objects with the R package 'dismo' (Hijmans et al., 2021). With the logistic output of our habitat suitability maps, we generated absence-presence maps of the potential range of *Bd* in Mesoamerica using the equal training sensitivity and specificity threshold (Liu et al., 2005). We transformed our predictive absence-presence maps of *Bd* into shapefiles and calculated the ESH of *Bd* in Mesoamerica in square kilometers (km²) using a projected coordinate system (WGS84).

3.3.3. Suitable habitat for DSFS

Habitat loss has been associated with increased extinction risk in amphibians (Becker et al., 2007). We aimed to determine if suitable habitats for declined DSFS (i.e., old-growth and secondary forest ecosystems) substantially decreased from the *Bd* epizootic to

enzootic periods. We estimated the land use within the range polygon of each of the 46 DSFS during both the *Bd* epizootic and enzootic periods. For this, we downloaded 'The Human Footprint 2018 Release' (Sanderson et al., 2002; Venter et al., 2016) at a spatial resolution of 30 arc-s. This dataset consists of two raster layers that quantify the cumulative human pressure on terrestrial ecosystems in 1993 (within the *Bd* epizootic period) and 2009 (within the *Bd* enzootic period). The human pressure is measured using eight variables: built-up environments, population density, electric power infrastructure, crop lands, pasture lands, roads, railways, and navigable waterways (Venter et al., 2016). In each raster, scores ranging from 0 to 50 are assigned to each cell, with the highest values representing cells with the highest human footprint. To build an accurate categorical representation of the land-use in Mesoamerica, we superimposed land-use layers of Central America (Ortiz-Malavasi, 2014) on both human footprint layers and reclassified the raster values into four categories according to each cell score: suitable habitat for DSFS (old-growth and secondary forest ecosystems, 0–10), intermediate disturbance (small forest patches, croplands, and pastures; 11–20), rural development (21–30), and urban development (31–50). We transformed both reclassified (i.e., categorized) 1993 and 2009 human footprint layers into vector data (i.e., shapefiles). Then, we overlaid both shapefiles to quantify changes in land use during these 16 years (Supplementary materials 1: Fig. S4).

3.4. Assessing threat risk

We conducted multiple logistic regression using stepwise, backward selection (Hosmer et al., 2013) to examine the current known occurrence of focal DSFS using binomial response variables (detected or undetected). 'Undetected' species were all species lacking records in the field since 2005 or before. Here, we are not assuming undetected species as extinct, however, all focal DSFS have been extensively searched for in the last four decades (IUCN, 2019), so we are certain that those species have declined and remain undetectable throughout their known range. The year 2005 was set according to models that suggest that *Bd* likely became enzotic across Mesoamerica by 2005 (Cheng et al., 2011; Lips et al., 2008). To deal with quasi-perfect separation we followed a Bayesian analysis with non-informative prior assumptions (Gelman et al., 2008) using the R package 'arm' (Gelman et al., 2021). Output models were ranked according to Akaike's information criterion (Burnham and Anderson, 2004).

Most of our predictors consisted of continuous variables to improve model performance and avoid arbitrary categorization (Hosmer et al., 2013; Worster et al., 2007). We used 1) the area of minimum convex polygon, 2) the elevational range inhabited by a species, 3) the percent of overlap of *Bd* with the range of each DSFS, 4) the percent of suitable habitat for DSFS within the range of each focal species during the *Bd* epizootic period, and 5) clade (*C. punctariolus* or *C. milesi* group). Before running the logistic regression, we ran a correlation test between continuous predictors to identify high multicollinearity (pair-wise correlations > 0.6). Since all pair-wise correlations were below our threshold value we did not exclude any predictor.

3.5. Local, species-range level analyses

We wanted to quantify historical niche dynamics of *Bd* within the specific range of DSFS. Since these analyses require extensive long-term field data including detection of *Bd* across the species' ranges, we were only able to use data from two species that fully meet these criteria: the 'dry forest robber frog' (*C. ranoides*), and the 'Golfito robber frog' (*C. taurus*). Therefore, we used a bounding box that included Costa Rica, and neighboring areas in Nicaragua and Panama (N 7–14°, W 80–88°). Due to the considerably large amount of detail needed to describe our methods, we have created a separate document (Supplementary materials 2) to ensure the repeatability of our approaches.

3.6. Focal species

The 'dry forest robber frog' is a subspecies of *C. ranoides* (Supplementary materials 2: Fig. S1a) that was historically distributed across the Santa Elena Peninsula and the Guanacaste Volcanic range in Costa Rica; although its historical distribution could be wider (Puschendorf et al., 2019). Currently, this species is known only from scattered remnant populations across the Santa Elena Peninsula (Puschendorf et al., 2019, 2009; Sasa and Solórzano, 1995; Zumbado-Ulate and Willink, 2011). The 'Golfito robber frog,' *C. taurus* (Supplementary materials 2: Fig. S1b), was historically distributed from Dominical in central Pacific Costa Rica to the Burica Peninsula, a region that includes extreme southeastern Costa Rica and the eastern part of the Chiriquí Province of Panama. Currently, it is only known in a small portion of the Burica Peninsula (Chaves et al., 2014). Extensive search efforts have been conducted across its range, especially in the localities of Rincón de Osa, Golfito, and along 47 streams and tributaries across Paso de la Danta Biological Corridor, where it remains undetected (Chaves et al., 2014; Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2021b).

3.6.1. DSFS dataset

We compiled an initial presence-only dataset for both focal species (historical dataset) using occurrence data from 1960 to 2020 obtained from the Museo de Zoología at Universidad de Costa Rica, the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org; https://doi. org/10.15468/dl.c7yupy for *C. ranoides* and https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.dnmjrd for *C. taurus*), and new occurrence points generated from expeditions conducted during this study. Then, we created a new dataset (present dataset) by including only the observations recorded during the *Bd* enzootic period. In total, we compiled 88 records for the dry forest robber frog (30 observations during the *Bd* enzootic period) and 286 records for the Golfito robber frog (19 observations during the *Bd* enzootic period). We used the same methods described in section 3.2.2 to clean both datasets independently; but this time we used a spatial filter of 1 km to reduce spatial clustering, matching the resolution of the climatic layers of the 'local abiotic dataset' (section 3.6.2.). Our final DSFS dataset consisted of 124 occurrence points: 31 for the dry forest robber frog (23 used to model the historical range and eight to model the present range

H. Zumbado-Ulate et al.

during the *Bd* enzootic period) and 93 for the Golfito robber frog (79 to model the historical range and 14 to model the present range during the *Bd* enzootic period).

3.6.2. Local abiotic dataset

To select environmental predictors at the local level, we followed the same methods described in section 3.2.3. Our final local abiotic dataset consisted of six environmental predictors: isothermality, temperature annual range, precipitation of the wettest month, precipitation of driest month, minimum temperature of the warmest month, and mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of driest quarter (Supplementary materials 2: Table S1).

3.7. Local environment

Although our two focal species have declined in most of their range, they persist in remnant population in some stream networks of Costa Rica (Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2019a, 2014). Therefore, we characterized the biotic and abiotic environment in sites with and without remnant populations to identify environmental conditions that might predict the location of remnant populations of DSFS and other species with similar ecology.

3.7.1. Fieldwork

We conducted six comparative field surveys on six stream networks in six regions of Costa Rica: the Santa Elena Peninsula, Rincón de la Vieja Volcano, Uvita, Rincón de Osa, Golfito, and the Punta Burica Peninsula during the dry seasons of 2017 and 2018 (Supplementary materials 2: Fig. S2). Our field trips were scheduled during the dry season to maximize the collection of biotic and abiotic data. During the rainy season, tropical stream networks highly increase in volume and flow speed, reducing the detectability of DSFS and making sampling extremely dangerous (Savage, 2002).

3.7.2. Survey transects

In each study stream network, we selected one or two streams where the two focal species were common before the *Bd* epizootic period and surveyed 25 m linear transects to search for the focal species and to collect environmental data. The total number of transects varied according to the accessibility of each study stream (Supplementary materials 2: Table S2). Transects were surveyed twice a day, in the morning starting at 9 am and in the evening starting at 6 pm. The duration of each survey varied according to the amount of data collected.

3.7.3. Biotic environment

High community heterogeneity has been associated with suppression of pathogen transmission in susceptible species (i.e., dilution effect Schmidt and Ostfeld, 2001). Therefore, we aimed to determine if remnant populations of both focal species of DSFS occur in amphibian communities with high heterogeneity. For this, we counted individuals of all amphibian species that were visually or acoustically detected across the transects, in both morning and evening surveys (Supplementary materials 2: Table S3 and S4). For acoustic detection, we searched for individuals calling from rocks or vegetation within the transect. Once an individual was found, we confirmed the species and added the data to our counts. Using the data from our counts, we estimated the community diversity (H) using Hill numbers (q = 1; Norris and Pollock, 1998; Chao et al., 2014) and the community heterogeneity (J) using the Shannon evenness index (Krebs, 1989; Norris and Pollock, 1998). We also characterized abiotically each transect by measuring the following variables in its midpoint: stream width and depth (as a proxy of water volume in m³ by multiplying width x depth x 25), flow speed (m/s), percent of canopy cover, air and water temperature (°C), percent of relative humidity, water pH, and elevation (m) (Supplementary materials 2).

3.8. Threat quantification

3.8.1. Reduced habitat suitability of DSFS

We aimed to identify if geographic range contraction occurred for both focal DSFS after the introduction of *Bd*. Therefore, we generated habitat suitability maps for both focal DSFS with two scenarios: 1) 'no decline' (using all collection of occurrence points) which assumes no local extinctions and generates the historical habitat suitability; and 2) 'decline' (i.e., known present range from 2005 which matches the *Bd* enzootic period). To generate our predictions, we used the DSFS dataset (section 3.6.1), the local abiotic dataset (section 3.6.2), and the same methods used to predict the habitat suitability of *Bd* in Mesoamerica (section 3.3.2).

However, we used the 'N-1 Jackknife' as the method of data partition in three of our sets of predictions, aiming to maximize the limited available information when species occurrences < 25 (Muscarella et al., 2014). The block method was used to predict the suitability of the Golfito robber frog with the 'no decline' scenario (occurrences = 79). For both focal species, we generated absence-presence maps and calculated the ESH for both scenarios as described in section 3.3.2.

3.8.2. Niche contraction

We aimed to determine if climatic niche contraction occurred for both focal DSFS after the introduction of *Bd*. We used the R package 'humboldt' to convert the geographic space of both focal DSFS into environmental space (Supplementary materials 2). Then, we estimated the density of occurrences as probability distributions defined over the multivariate climatic niche (hereafter 'Z_d') (Broennimann et al., 2012, 2007; Petitpierre et al., 2012) with the R package 'ecospat' (Di Cola et al., 2017). We estimated Z_d for the



Fig. 1. a) Map showing gradients of climatic suitability for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) in Mesoamerica; b) the extent of suitable area for *Bd* (beige region) comprises 41.6% of the total area of Mesoamerica.

historical and present distributions of the two focal DSFS and *Bd* during the enzootic period. We were not able to obtain Z_d for epizootic *Bd* because the number of occurrence points was < 5 within the focal study species' ranges before 2005.

We estimated niche overlap with the Schoener's *D* overlap index (Schoener, 1968), which varies between 0 (no overlap) and 1 (identical niches). We predicted low similarity between the historical and present distributions of both focal DSFS. We used a niche similarity test to quantify niche divergence. The niche similarity test also reports a *D* value that varies between 0 (different niches) and 1 (identical niches). We predicted niche divergence after the introduction of *Bd* for the two focal DSFS. We also estimated niche unfilling (hereafter 'contraction'), niche expansion, and niche stability (Warren et al., 2008). We predicted that 1) the climatic niche of both focal species contracted after the introduction of *Bd*, 2) the climatic niche of enzootic *Bd* expanded within the environmental

Table 1

Range area and elevational distribution of 46 species of direct-developing, stream-dwelling frogs in Mesoamerica. For each species, we present the area of minimum polygon convex (A_{MCP}) as a proxy of the geographic area, the lower and upper elevation limits, and the percent of a species range that overlaps with 1) enzootic *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*), 2) suitable habitat (i.e., old-growth and secondary forests; SH), 3) intermediate disturbance (ID), 4) rural development (RD), and 5) urban development (UD) during the *Bd* epizootic period (Ep) and the *Bd* enzootic period (En).

Species	A _{MCP} (km ²)	Elevation limits (m)	% of overlap								
-			SH	-	ID		RD		UD		Bd
			Ер	En	Ep	En	Ep	En	Ep	En	En
Craugastor punctariolus group											
Craugastor amniscola	6271.8	600-1000	60	62	37	32	2	3	1	4	28
Craugastor anciano ^a	102	1400-1840	90	85	10	15	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor angelicus	1517.6	656-1680	63	49	34	53	2	7	1	1	100
Craugastor aurilegulus	1801.6	50-1550	88	86	10	10	1	3	0	1	92
Craugastor azueroensis	1017.5	61–940	88	88	12	10	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor berkenbuschii	66867.2	80-1900	54	67	41	30	4	3	1	2	40
Craugastor brocchi	8172.1	1200-2000	71	78	29	21	1	1	0	0	95
Craugastor catalinae ^a	560.5	1219-1800	86	80	10	40	2	1	2	0	100
Craugastor charadra	3339.5	30-1370	79	69	19	26	2	2	0	0	83
Craugastor emleni	416.3	800-2000	65	65	34	32	1	2	0	1	100
Craugastor escoces	605.6	1100-2100	68	57	27	44	3	7	2	0	100
Craugastor evanesce	5692.7	80-709	89	85	11	15	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor fleischmanni	2706 1	1050-2286	31	24	33	34	9	14	27	29	100
Craugastor inachus	794.4	500-1400	41	27	43	49	15	20	1	4	3
Craugastor laevissimus	38730.8	100-1700	64	71	33	25	2	2	1	2	74
Craugastor merendonensis ^a	15	150-200	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	100
Craugastor obesus ^a	3534	400-1450	94	01	6	8	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor olanchano ^a	641 4	1180_1350	94	95	6	5	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor palanaua	8664 7	300 350	77	03	22	7	0	0	0	0	2
Craugastor pechorum	5049.4	150_680	100	00	0	1	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor pelorus	240.2	48 1700	80	9/	15	11	5	3	0	2	70
Craugastor pogo	13.8	760 1100	0	0	12	11	97	22	0	26	0
Craugastor pozo	6368.6	150 1170	03	04	7	6	0	0	0	0	66
Craugastor pupetariolus	2016.0	E00 1000	93 00	94 01	10	10	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor punctarious	5910.0	0 1200	00 70	51 E0	12	22	0	5	0	2	07
Craugustor ranoides	54091.9	0-1300	70	61	23	32	2	5	2	3	9/
Craugastor myacobairachus	383.4 4054 1	950-1800	77	70	21	37	1	2	1	0	100
Craugastor rivulas	4934.1	200, 2000	74	70	23	29	1	1	1	1	40
Craugustor rugulosus	02351.1	200-2000	//	//	21	20	1	2	1	1	43
Craugastor rupinius	19504.8	400-1760	32	30	50	50	9	8	4	6	54
	/621./	0-900	93	91	/	8	1	0	0	0	/2
Craugastor sandersoni	7781.8	0-1160	89	88	10	12	1	0	0	0	48
Craugastor taurus	5958.1	25-525	64	54	31	37	5	8	0	1	100
Craugastor vocalis	167352.9	60-2150	86	84	13	14	1	1	1	1	26
Craugastor vulcani	1769.8	0-1200	42	89	58	11	0	0	0	0	41
Craugastor milesi group											
Craugastor adamastus"	5.8	600–650	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor chrysozetetes	16.2	880-1130	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor cruzia	14.72	1520	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor daryi ^a	499.2	1475–2290	18	52	79	48	3	1	0	0	100
Craugastor epochthidius ⁴	2250.3	150–1460	97	98	3	2	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor fecundus ^a	138.2	200–1260	99	93	1	8	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor matudai	63.9	1500-2100	85	50	14	31	1	4	0	15	73
Craugastor milesi	94.3	1050–1841	87	74	14	24	0	2	0	0	100
Craugastor myllomyllon ^a	20.4	875	26	78	75	22	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor omoaensis ^a	34.7	760–1150	74	41	26	58	0	1	0	0	99
Craugastor saltuarius ^a	648.1	1550-1800	100	99	0	1	0	0	0	0	100
Craugastor stadelmani	818.8	1125-1900	100	97	1	3	0	0	0	0	93

^a not seen in \geq 15 years

H. Zumbado-Ulate et al.

space of both focal species, and 3) the existence of a reduced environmental space where enzootic *Bd* and current distributions of both focal DSFS co-occur, suggesting the existence of environmental refugia where *Bd* and DSFS can coexist. All observed distributions from the niche equivalency test were compared with null distributions generated from 100 randomly simulated values to determine if the observed niche differences are larger than those expected when comparing random niche distributions. All calculations were implemented with 'ecospat'.

3.8.3. Local environmental conditions

To identify the climatic conditions that might predict the occurrence of remnant populations of focal DSFS, we generated buffers (radius = 10 km) around each of the six study stream networks and generated 500 random points with no replacement within each buffer. For each point, we extracted values for all six environmental predictors of the local abiotic dataset (section 3.6.2) to achieve a full climatic description of the six study stream networks. 722 random points were projected on the ocean surface (pixels with missing values); therefore they were automatically excluded from the analysis. We compared networks using a principal component analysis (PCA) generated with the R package 'FactoMineR' (Lê et al., 2008). The results of the PCA were interpreted and visualized with the R package 'FactoExtra' (Kassambara and Mundt, 2017). Similarly, to identify microhabitat conditions that might favor the occurrence of remnant populations of focal DSFS, we first quantified collinearity among stream predictors as shown in section 3.2.2. We retained six stream predictors (elevation, water pH, volume, flow speed, percent of canopy cover, and community heterogeneity; Supplementary materials 2: Table S2). We also compared the study networks using a principal component analysis (PCA) as described earlier in this section.



Fig. 2. a) Habitat suitability map of two focal direct-developing, stream-dwelling species assuming no decline (using all historical collection of occurrences. The upper left polygon shows the predicted historical climatic suitability for the dry forest robber frog (subspecies of *Craugastor ranoides*). The bottom right polygon displays the predicted historical climatic suitability for the Golfito robber frog (*C. taurus*); b) the climatic suitability of both focal species decreased assuming the current extinction of undetected populations; c) under a no decline scenario, the extent of suitable (ESH) habitat is approximately 2600 Km² for the dry forest robber frog and 6200 Km² for the Golfito robber frog; d) assuming current extinction of declined populations, the ESH habitat has reduced in 85% for the dry forest robber frog and 95% for the Golfito robber frog.

4. Results

4.1. Regional-level analyses

Overall, 46% of DSFS had geographic ranges smaller than 1000 km², with 21% between 1000 and 5000 km², and 33% larger than 5000 km² (max. *C. vocalis* 170,000 km²). The elevational range inhabited by a species was greater than 1000 m for 17 DSFS, but only seven of those species had an elevational range greater than 1500 m (max. *C. vocalis* 2200 m). The habitat suitability maps for *Bd* in Mesoamerica derived from our most robust model (FC = LQ, RM = 3, AUC= 0.82; OrMTP = 0.05; Fig. 1) showed high suitability for *Bd* across the Sierra Madre Oriental and the Sierra Madre Occidental range in México, the Sierra de las Minas in Guatemala, and most of Costa Rica and Panamá. Intermediate suitability was predicted across the lowlands of Nicaragua, Honduras, and southwestern México (Fig. 1a). The environmental predictors with the highest permutation (Supplementary materials 1: Table S3) were 'max temperature of warmest month' (74.6%), and 'precipitation of warmest quarter' (14.4%), and suggested the most suitable areas for *Bd* occur in areas with high precipitation (> 2000 mm) and temperatures below 25 °C.

The ESH of Bd in Mesoamerica during the enzootic period was approximately 850,000 km² (41.6% of Mesoamerica; Fig. 1b). On average, 80% of the range of each species overlapped with the predicted suitable habitat for Bd. This overlap was greater than 90% in 31 species, 15 of which have been undetected since 2005 or before (Table 1). Furthermore, 72% of suitable area for Bd during the enzootic period occurred in old-growth and secondary forests, which is considered suitable habitat for DSFS, and 23% in intermediate



Fig. 3. Niche dynamics of the dry forest robber frog *Craugastor ranoides* and the Golfito robber frog (*C. taurus*) assuming extinction of multiple populations driven by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) during the *Bd* enzootic period (i.e., based on post-2005 records). White areas represent the full environmental space of the dry forest robber frog and the Golfito robber frog. a) The current climatic niche of *C. ranoides* (light gray area) has contracted 91% compared to the historical niche (blue area). b) The climatic niche of *Bd* (beige area) expanded 91% within the environmental space of *C. ranoides*. c) The current climatic niche of *C. taurus* (light gray area) has contracted 76% compared to the historical niche (blue area). d) The climatic niche of *Bd* (beige area) expanded 91% within the environmental space of *C. taurus* (light gray area) has contracted 76% compared to the historical niche (blue area). d) The climatic niche of *Bd* (beige area) expanded 87% within the environmental space of *C. taurus*. The blue region shows the climatic space occupied by *C. taurus* where *Bd* is predicted to be absent. For both focal species, the centroid has shifted in position and direction towards dry environmental conditions. Light blue dots show the spatial location of extinct populations and black dots the location of remnant populations. In Figs. 3b and 3d, the purple dots show the spatial location of sites where *Bd* has been found and black dots show the location of remnant populations of DSFS.

disturbance habitats, which may be inhabited by some DSFS (e.g., C. amniscola).

In our combined threats assessment, our most robust model (Supplementary materials 1: Table S4) fit significantly better than an empty model ($X^2 = 29.9$, df = 3, p < 0.001) and showed that current species undetectability significantly increased with *Bd* overlap and clade (the *C. milesi* group is more vulnerable).

4.2. Local-level analyses

The habitat suitability maps (Fig. 2) derived from our most robust models (Supplementary materials 2: Table S5) showed that the current ESH of the dry forest robber frog reduced from 2597.5 km² to 397.4 km² (85% of the historical suitable range) after the introduction of *Bd*. The suitable habitat for this species was restricted to the tropical dry forest of the Santa Elena Peninsula in Costa Rica. Similarly, the ESH of the Golfito robber frog decreased from 6200 km² to 328.2 km² (95% of the historical suitable range) under a *Bd*-driven scenario. The suitable habitat for this species was limited to the Burica Peninsula in Costa Rica and Panama. The predictors with the highest permutation importance in our habitat suitability maps (Supplementary materials 2: Table S1) were 'precipitation of driest month' for the dry forest robber frog and 'mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of driest quarter' for the Golfito robber frog and 'mean monthly potential babitats for both focal DSFS species are restricted to dry and warm regions, even during the rainy season when *Bd* prevalence might increase (Whitfield et al., 2017).

Our estimations of niche overlap between the historical and present distributions of the dry forest robber frog and the Golfito robber frog (D = 0.09 and D = 0.23, respectively) and niche similarity (D = 0.05 and D = 0.15, respectively) suggested the current niche of the dry forest robber frog has diverged from the historical niche and the centroid is now oriented towards dry conditions. The observed differences in niche dynamics were higher than expected if compared with random niches only for the dry forest robber frog (P = 0.04) (Supplementary materials 2: Table S6). We also found a strong contraction in the climatic niche of both focal DSFS after the spread of *Bd*. For the dry forest robber frog, the climatic niche contracted 91% when compared with the historical climatic niche (Fig. 3a). This contraction corresponded with 91% of niche expansion of *Bd* within the climatic niche of the dry forest robber frog. The current shared environmental space between the dry forest robber frog and *Bd* was estimated at 8.5% (Fig. 3b). Similarly, the Golfito robber frog experienced a reduction of 87% in the climatic niche (Fig. 3c), which also coincided with 76% of expansion of *Bd* within the climatic niche dry forest robber frog and *Bd* was estimated at 12% (Fig. 3d).

The highest loadings of our local environmental predictors in our PCAs (Fig. 4; Supplementary materials 2: Table S7 and Table S8) suggested that the location of remnant populations of focal DSFS (temperature annual range = 0.82 in PC1; minimum temperature of



Fig. 4. The Study stream networks: a) Climatic characterization of study locations using six predictors: 'isothermality' (BIO3), 'temperature annual range' (BIO7), 'precipitation of the wettest month' (BIO13), 'precipitation of driest month' (BIO14), 'minimum temperature of the warmest month' (mTW), and 'mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of driest quarter' (PETDQ); b) microhabitat characterization of study transects using six predictors: elevation, pH, community heterogeneity (J), volume, canopy cover, and flow speed. Localities: Golfito, Punta Burica Peninsula (PB), Rincón de Osa (Rincon), Rincón de la Vieja Volcano (RV), Santa Elena Peninsula (SE) and Uvita.

the warmest month = 0.86 and the mean monthly potential evapotranspiration of the driest quarter = 0.75 in PC2) were associated with dry and warm climatic conditions. Regarding the microhabitat predictors, our second PCA suggested that remnant populations of focal DSFS were associated with high community heterogeneity (PC1, -0.91) in the Santa Elena Peninsula, and water pH > 8 (PC2, 0.91) in the Punta Burica Peninsula.

5. Discussion

Amphibian conservation is a global priority, especially after the accelerated global decline of amphibian populations during the last 40 years (Mendelson et al., 2019). Therefore, it is essential to measure the impact of anthropogenic threats across the landscape (Cohen et al., 2016) to develop specific management plans for threatened species. Here, we focused on evaluating the threat risk and current known occurrence of 46 DSFS. For our analyses, we used a combination of published data and new data derived from fieldwork conducted across Mesoamerica. Our study is innovative and relevant to other amphibian clades, especially direct-developing and stream-associated amphibians, and can be replicated in different taxa.

At the regional level (Mesoamerica), we found evidence that suggests that *Bd* was a primary cause of the decline of most DSFS during the *Bd* epizootic period (Supplementary materials 1: Table S4). We found that *Bd* is predicted to be widespread across the historical and present ranges of most DSFS (Fig. 2). Additionally, our results suggest that the species within the *Craugastor milesi* group are more vulnerable to extinction than the species within the *C. punctariolus* group, which might be linked to their narrow range size or genetic effects. Species with narrow range sizes (geographic and elevational) have been commonly associated with low competitive ability, low aptness to adapt to novel environments, and low capacity to tolerate environmental variability (Gaston and Fuller, 2009; Lawton, 1993; White and Bennett, 2015). Similarly, low genetic diversity has been linked to reduced evolutionary potential, and elevated extinction risk (Spielman et al., 2004). At the local level, our results suggest that the spread of *Bd* has caused contraction of the geographic range and climatic niche of two focal DSFS, and that variation in biotic and abiotic conditions within their original ranges has allowed remnant populations to coexist with this pathogen in some habitats (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Our findings suggest that using different spatial scales when conducting threat assessments could allow scientists to obtain a more accurate picture of the threats and status of endangered taxa.

We found that the geographic ranges of 81% of the species within the *C. punctariolus* group and 91% within the *C. milesi* group contained at least 50% of suitable habitat for DSFS (old-growth and secondary forest cover; Table 1) during the *Bd* epizootic period. These findings suggest that habitat loss was unlikely to be the primary driver of declines in DSFS. However, the increase of 65% of urban development in Mesoamerica between the *Bd* epizootic and enzootic periods (approximately 20,000 km², Fig. 2) might also have affected populations that historically inhabited areas close to human settlements (Campbell and Savage, 2000; McCranie and Wilson, 2002), and some changes in habitat quality may be responsible for range contractions.

Our analyses at the local level allowed us to assess the persistence of two focal DSFS using methods that are less accurate at a larger scale. We found that the spread of *Bd* during the enzootic period coincided spatially and temporally with the climatic niche contraction and the extinction of most populations of the dry forest robber frog and the Golfito robber frog across their historical ranges (Fig. 3, Supplementary materials 2: Table S6). Our suitability and climatic niche predictions suggest that seasonal dry and semidry conditions have the largest impact on the present suitable habitat of both DSFS (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). This finding coincides with other studies that have suggested that the persistence of remnant populations of *C. ranoides* and *C. taurus* is associated with dry and warm regions that constrain the growth of *Bd* (Chaves et al., 2014; Granados-Martínez et al., 2021; Puschendorf et al., 2009; Zumbado-Ulate et al., 2014). Here we provide new evidence that high community heterogeneity (e.g., Schmidt and Ostfeld, 2001; Searle et al., 2011) and abiotic conditions outside of the optimal range for *Bd* transmission (e.g., pH 6–8; Piotrowski et al., 2004) could also be suppressing pathogen transmission in locations where remnant populations exist (Fig. 4, Supplementary materials 2: Table S8). Future field and laboratory studies are needed to assess if these environmental factors alone or in combination with other factors are constraining the spread of *Bd* in environmental refugia from decline (Puschendorf et al., 2011).

5.1. Conservation implications

We consider the conservation of this neglected group of endangered amphibians a regional priority; therefore, we have created a separate document (Supplementary materials 3) that reports the species' rediscoveries and provides specific conservation actions for each of the 46 focal species. These actions have been reviewed by conservation experts, including those within the IUCN Amphibian Specialist Group. We have included general conservation actions (e.g., long-term monitoring of remnant populations in specific locations, threat quantification, and mitigation) as well as highly specific actions depending on the status of each species (e.g., *Ex-situ* reproduction, expeditions to specific targeted regions). The recent rediscoveries of several DSFS are a beacon of hope that other species are surviving and suggest partial recovery and host-pathogen coexistence (e.g., Whitfield et al., 2017). Thus, new studies are needed to determine if these rediscoveries are linked to environmental factors that reduce pathogen transmission (Puschendorf et al., 2011; Scheele et al., 2017) or eco-evolutionary rescue (i.e., rapidly evolved, reduced susceptibility to *Bd*) (Christie and Searle, 2018; DiRenzo et al., 2018). Here we report the rediscovery of a remnant population of *C. amniscola* at the state park of La Pera, in Chiapas, México (Supplementary materials 1: Fig. S2).

6. Conclusions

Results of this work can be used to make field inventories more effective by identifying priority areas for locating remnant, or even undiscovered, populations of DSFS. However, because a remnant population cannot be assumed to represent a recovering population (Mendelson et al., 2019), a long-term commitment to monitoring and conservation interventions is required to ensure persistence. For example, management actions that create or preserve habitat refugia from chytridiomycosis and target other threatening processes such as habitat loss, have great potential for supporting species' recovery (Skerratt et al., 2016). These conservation efforts will become increasingly important as future shifts in environmental conditions or other emerging threats may trigger a re-emergence of *Bd*, resulting in further declines or extinctions (Scheele et al., 2017). Furthermore, this study can be used as a reference for the evaluation of other direct-developing, stream-dwelling species, or even other Neotropical amphibian groups that were also decimated by *Bd* (e.g., *Atelopus* spp., *Isthmohyla* spp.).

Studies that assess threat risk across different spatial scales are needed to develop more successful conservation strategies and target species that need urgent attention. Our fine-resolution analyses were effective for assessing threats to species that have relatively small ranges (Schwartz, 1999; Wiens, 1989). The results of this work can be integrated with important conservation tools, such as the IUCN Red List, that serve as valuable inputs into setting conservation priorities. Considering the key role that the IUCN Red List plays at the global and regional levels, it is imperative that the extinction risk assessments and their underlying data are updated regularly. The expanded understanding of disease-related declines in DSFS presented in this study can be used to improve the quality of data in IUCN Red List assessments for these species, many of which have been undetected for long periods and for which data are scarce.

Funding

This work was supported by the Graduate School at Purdue University, IN, USA, the Purdue Climate Change Research Center (PCCRC), IN, USA, a), a National Science Foundation grant to CLS (DEB: 1856710) VA, USA, and IDEA WILD, CO, USA.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in The Purdue University Research Repository (PURR) at http://doi.org/10.4231/E3V1-GT04 (reference https://purr.purdue.edu/publications/4078)

Acknowledgments

We thank J.D. Holland, D. Minchella, and X.E. Bernal whose comments helped improve and clarify this manuscript. Our field protocols were approved by the National System of Conservation Areas of Costa Rica (SINAC, 001–2012), the Comisión Nacional para la Gestión de la Biodiversidad (CONAGEBIO, R-019–2016-OT, R-023–2016-OT, R-057–2016-OT, R-060–2016-OT), and the Purdue Animal Care and Use Committee (PACUC, 1604001392).

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02197.

References

Aiello-Lammens, M.E., Boria, R.A., Radosavljevic, A., Vilela, B., Anderson, R.P., 2015. spThin: an R package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological niche models. Ecography 38, 541–545. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01132.

AmphibiaWeb, 2020. AmphibiaWeb [WWW Document]. URL (https://amphibiaweb.org) (accessed 12.3.20).

Becker, C.G., Fonseca, C.R., Haddad, C.F.B., Batista, R.F., Prado, P.I., 2007. Habitat split and the global decline of amphibians. Science 318, 1775–1777. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1149374.

Bland, L.M., Keith, D.A., Miller, R.M., Murray, N.J., Rodríguez, J.P. (Eds.), 2017. Guidelines for the application of IUCN Red List of ecosystems categories and criteria. IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature, Gland, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2016.RLE.1.en.

Bolom-Huet, R., Pineda, E., Díaz-Fleischer, F., Muñoz-Alonso, A.L., Galindo-González, J., 2019. Known and estimated distribution in Mexico of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, a pathogenic fungus of amphibians. Biotropica 51, 731–746. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12697.

Booth, T.H., Nix, H.A., Busby, J.R., Hutchinson, M.F., 2014. BIOCLIM: the first species distribution modelling package, its early applications and relevance to most current MaxEnt studies. Divers. Distrib. 20, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12144.

Bosch, J., Boyero, L., Martínez-Solano, Í., 2004. Spatial scales for the management of amphibian populations. Biodivers. Conserv. 13, 409–420. https://doi.org/ 10.1023/B:BIOC.0000006506.18453.c9.

Brem, F., Lips, K., 2008. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection patterns among Panamanian amphibian species, habitats and elevations during epizootic and enzootic stages. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 81, 189–202. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao01960.

Brittain, C.A., Vighi, M., Bommarco, R., Settele, J., Potts, S.G., 2010. Impacts of a pesticide on pollinator species richness at different spatial scales. Basic Appl. Ecol. 11, 106–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.11.007.

Broennimann, O., Treier, U.A., Müller-Schärer, H., Thuiller, W., Peterson, A.T., Guisan, A., 2007. Evidence of climatic niche shift during biological invasion. Ecol. Lett. 10, 701–709. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01060.x.

Broennimann, O., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Pearman, P.B., Petitpierre, B., Pellissier, L., Yoccoz, N.G., Thuiller, W., Fortin, M.-J., Randin, C., Zimmermann, N.E., Graham, C. H., Guisan, A., 2012. Measuring ecological niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 21, 481–497. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00698.x.

Brooks, T.M., Pimm, S.L., Akçakaya, H.R., Buchanan, G.M., Butchart, S.H.M., Foden, W., Hilton-Taylor, C., Hoffmann, M., Jenkins, C.N., Joppa, L., Li, B.V., Menon, V., Ocampo-Peñuela, N., Rondinini, C., 2019. Measuring terrestrial area of habitat (AOH) and its utility for the IUCN Red List. Trends Ecol. Evol. 34, 977–986. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.tree.2019.06.009.

Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2004. Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociol. Methods Res. 33, 261–304. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/00491241042686444.

Campbell, J.A., Savage, J.M., 2000. Taxonomic reconsideration of Middle American frogs of the *Eleutherodactylus rugulosus* group (Anura: Leptodactylidae): a reconnaissance of subtle nuances among frogs. Herpetol. Monogr. 14, 186–292. https://doi.org/10.2307/1467048.

Catenazzi, A., 2015. State of the World's Amphibians. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 40, 91–119. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102014-021358. Chamberlain, S., 2022. scrubr: Clean Biological Occurrence Records.

Chao, A., Gotelli, N.J., Hsieh, T.C., Sander, E.L., Ma, K.H., Colwell, R.K., Ellison, A.M., 2014. Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. Ecol. Monogr. 84, 45–67. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0133.1.

Chase, J.M., McGill, B.J., Thompson, P.L., Antão, L.H., Bates, A.E., Blowes, S.A., Dornelas, M., Gonzalez, A., Magurran, A.E., Supp, S.R., Winter, M., Bjorkman, A.D., Bruelheide, H., Byrnes, J.E.K., Cabral, J.S., Elahi, R., Gomez, C., Guzman, H.M., Isbell, F., Myers-Smith, I.H., Jones, H.P., Hines, J., Vellend, M., Waldock, C., O'Connor, M., 2019. Species richness change across spatial scales. Oikos 128, 1079–1091. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05968.

Chaves, G., Zumbado-Ulate, H., García-Rodríguez, A., Gómez, E., Vredenburg, V.T., Ryan, M.J., 2014. Rediscovery of the critically endangered streamside frog, *Craugastor taurus* (Craugastoridae), in Costa Rica. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 7, 628–638. https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291400700404.

- Cheng, T.L., Rovito, S.M., Wake, D.B., Vredenburg, V.T., 2011. Coincident mass extirpation of neotropical amphibians with the emergence of the infectious fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 9502–9507. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1105538108.
- Christie, M.R., Searle, C.L., 2018. Evolutionary rescue in a host-pathogen system results in coexistence not clearance. Evol. Appl. 11, 681-693. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/eva.12568.
- Cohen, J.M., Civitello, D.J., Brace, A.J., Feichtinger, E.M., Ortega, C.N., Richardson, J.C., Sauer, E.L., Liu, X., Rohr, J.R., 2016. Spatial scale modulates the strength of ecological processes driving disease distributions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, E3359 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521657113.
- Crawford, A.J., Lips, K.R., Bermingham, E., 2010. Epidemic disease decimates amphibian abundance, species diversity, and evolutionary history in the highlands of central Panama. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 13777–13782. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914115107.
- Di Cola, V., Broennimann, O., Petitpierre, B., Breiner, F.T., D'Amen, M., Randin, C., Engler, R., Pottier, J., Pio, D., Dubuis, A., Pellissier, L., Mateo, R.G., Hordijk, W., Salamin, N., Guisan, A., 2017. Ecospat: an R package to support spatial analyses and modeling of species niches and distributions. Ecography 40, 774–787. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02671.
- DiRenzo, G.V., Zipkin, E.F., Grant, E.H.C., Royle, J.A., Longo, A.V., Zamudio, K.R., Lips, K.R., 2018. Eco-evolutionary rescue promotes host–pathogen coexistence. Ecol. Appl. 28, 1948–1962. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1792.
- Fick, S.E., Hijmans, R.J., 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 37, 4302–4315. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/joc.5086.
- Frías-Alvarez, P., Zúñiga-Vega, J.J., Flores-Villela, O., 2010. A general assessment of the conservation status and decline trends of Mexican amphibians. Biodivers. Conserv. 19, 3699–3742. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-010-9923-9.

Frost, D.R., 2021. Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. Version 6, 1.

- Gaston, K.J., Fuller, R.A., 2009. The sizes of species' geographic ranges. J. Appl. Ecol. 46, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01596.x.
- Gelman, A., Jakulin, A., Pittau, M.G., Su, Y.-S., 2008. A weakly informative default prior distribution for logistic and other regression models. Ann. Appl. Stat. 2, 1360–1383. https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOAS191.

Granados-Martínez, S., Zumbado-Ulate, H., Searle, C.L., Oliveira, B.F., García-Rodríguez, A., 2021. Niche contraction of an endangered frog species driven by the amphibian chytrid fungus. EcoHealth 18, 134–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-021-01525-z.

Grant, E.H.C., Miller, D.A.W., Schmidt, B.R., Adams, M.J., Amburgey, S.M., Chambert, T., Cruickshank, S.S., Fisher, R.N., Green, D.M., Hossack, B.R., Johnson, P.T.J., Joseph, M.B., Rittenhouse, T.A.G., Ryan, M.E., Waddle, J.H., Walls, S.C., Bailey, L.L., Fellers, G.M., Gorman, T.A., Ray, A.M., Pilliod, D.S., Price, S.J., Saenz, D., Sadinski, W., Muths, E., 2016. Quantitative evidence for the effects of multiple drivers on continental-scale amphibian declines. Sci. Rep. 6, 25625. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep25625.

Greenville, A.C., Newsome, T.M., Wardle, G.M., Dickman, C.R., Ripple, W.J., Murray, B.R., 2021. Simultaneously operating threats cannot predict extinction risk. Conserv. Lett. 14, e12758 https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12758.

Gelman, A., Su, Y.-S., Yajima, M., Hill, J., Pittau, M.G., Kerman, J., Zheng, T., Dorie, V., 2021. arm: Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Version 1.12-2.

Hedges, S.B., Duellman, W.E., Heinicke, M.P., 2008. New World direct-developing frogs (Anura: Terrarana): molecular phylogeny, classification, biogeography, and conservation. Zootaxa 1737, 1–182. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1737.1.

Hijmans, R.J., Van Etten, J., Cheng, J., Mattiuzzi, M., Sumner, M., Greenberg, J.A., Lamigueiro, O.P., Bevan, A., Racine, E.B., Shortridge, A, 2015. raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. Version 2.4-20.

Hijmans, R.J., Phillips, S., Leathwick, J., Elith, J., 2021. Dismo: Species distribution modeling. Version 1, 3-5.

Hof, C., Araújo, M.B., Jetz, W., Rahbek, C., 2011. Additive threats from pathogens, climate and land-use change for global amphibian diversity. Nature 480, 516–519. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10650.

Hosmer, D.W., Lemeshow, S., Sturdivant, R.X., 2013. Applied logistic regression, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), 2019. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019–1. [WWW Document]. URL (https://www.iucnredlist.org/en).

Isbell, F., Gonzalez, A., Loreau, M., Cowles, J., Díaz, S., Hector, A., Mace, G.M., Wardle, D.A., O'Connor, M.I., Duffy, J.E., Turnbull, L.A., Thompson, P.L.,

Larigauderie, A., 2017. Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales. Nature 546, 65–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22899. James, T.Y., Toledo, L.F., Rödder, D., da Silva Leite, D., Belasen, A.M., Betancourt-Román, C.M., Jenkinson, T.S., Soto-Azat, C., Lambertini, C., Longo, A.V., Ruggeri, J., Collins, J.P., Burrowes, P.A., Lips, K.R., Zamudio, K.R., Longcore, J.E., 2015. Disentangling host, pathogen, and environmental determinants of a

recently emerged wildlife disease: Lessons from the first 15 years of amphibian chytridiomycosis research. Ecol. Evol. 5, 4079–4097. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ecc3.1672.

Jiménez, R., Alvarado, G., 2017. Craugastor escoces (Anura: Craugastoridae) reappears after 30 years: rediscovery of an "extinct" Neotropical frog. Amphib. -Reptil. 38, 257–259. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00003102.

Johnson, E.E., Escobar, L.E., Zambrana-Torrelio, C., 2019. An ecological framework for modeling the geography of disease transmission. Trends Ecol. Evol. 34, 655–668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.03.004.

Johnson, J.D., Mata-Silva, V., Wilson, L.D., 2015. A conservation reassessment of the Central American herpetofauna based on the EVS measure. Amphib. Reptile Conserv. 9, 1–94.

Kassambara, A., Mundt, F., 2017. Package 'factoextra.'. Extr. Vis. Results Multivar. Data Anal. 76.

Keesing, F., Belden, L.K., Daszak, P., Dobson, A., Harvell, C.D., Holt, R.D., Hudson, P., Jolles, A., Jones, K.E., Mitchell, C.E., Myers, S.S., Bogich, T., Ostfeld, R.S., 2010. Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious diseases. Nature 468, 647–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09575. Kilburn, V.L., Ibáñez, R., Sanjur, O., Bermingham, E., Suraci, J.P., Green, D.M., 2010. Ubiquity of the pathogenic chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, in anuran communities in Panamá. EcoHealth 7, 537–548. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-010-0634-1.

Köhler, G., Batista, A., Carrizo, A., Hertz, A., 2012. Field notes on *Craugastor azueroensis* (Savage, 1975) (Amphibia: Anura: Craugastoridae). Herpetol. Notes 5, 157. Kolby, J.E., McCranie, J.R., 2009. Discovery of a Surviving Population of The Montane Streamside Frog *Craugastor milesi* (Schmidt). In: Herpetol. Rev, 40, p. 282. Krebs, C.J., 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper & Row, New York.

Kubicki, B., 2016. Rediscovery of the Critically Endangered frog, Craugastor angelicus, in Costa Rica. Mesoam. Herpetol. 3, 1070–1071.

Lawton, J.H., 1993. Range, population abundance and conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 8, 409-413. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(93)90043-0.

Lê, S., Josse, J., Husson, F., 2008. FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 25, 1-18.

Lips, K.R., Reeve, J.D., Witters, L.R., 2003. Ecological traits predicting amphibian population declines in Central America. Conserv. Biol. 17, 1078–1088. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01623.x.

Lips, K.R., Burrowes, P.A., Mendelson, J.R., Parra-Olea, G., 2005. Amphibian declines in Latin America: Widespread population declines, extinctions, and impacts. Biotropica 37, 163–165. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2005.00023.x.

Lips, K.R., Brem, F., Brenes, R., Reeve, J.D., Alford, R.A., Voyles, J., Carey, C., Livo, L., Pessier, A.P., Collins, J.P., 2006. Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 103, 3165–3170. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506889103.

- Lips, K.R., Diffendorfer, J., Mendelson, J.R., Sears, M.W., 2008. Riding the wave: reconciling the roles of disease and climate change in amphibian declines. PLoS Biol. 6, e72 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060072.
- Liu, C., Berry, P.M., Dawson, T.P., Pearson, R.G., 2005. Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. Ecography 28, 385–393. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03957.x.
- Longcore, J.E., Pessier, A.P., Nichols, D.K., 1999. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. Mycologia 91, 219–227. https://doi.org/10.2307/3761366.
- Mayani-Parás, F., Botello, F., Castañeda, S., Sánchez-Cordero, V., 2019. Impact of habitat loss and mining on the distribution of endemic species of amphibians and reptiles in Mexico. Diversity 11, 210. https://doi.org/10.3390/d11110210.

McCranie, J.R., Wilson, L.D., 2002. The Amphibians of Honduras. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Mendelson, J.R., Whitfield, S.M., Sredl, M.J., 2019. A recovery engine strategy for amphibian conservation in the context of disease. Biol. Conserv. 236, 188–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.025.

Monastersky, R., 2014. Life-a status report. Nature 516, 158. https://doi.org/10.1038/516158a.

Muscarella, R., Galante, P.J., Soley-Guardia, M., Boria, R.A., Kass, J.M., Uriarte, M., Anderson, R.P., 2014. ENMeval: An R package for conducting spatially independent evaluations and estimating optimal model complexity for Maxent ecological niche models. Methods Ecol. Evol. 5, 1198–1205. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/2041-210X.12261.

Naimi, B., 2015. usdm: Uncertainty analysis for species distribution models. Version 1.1-15.

- Nilsen, E.B., Pedersen, S., Linnell, J.D.C., 2008. Can minimum convex polygon home ranges be used to draw biologically meaningful conclusions? Ecol. Res. 23, 635–639. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-007-0421-9.
- Norris, J.L., Pollock, K.H., 1998. Non-parametric MLE for Poisson species abundance models allowing for heterogeneity between species. Environ. Ecol. Stat. 5, 391–402. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009659922745.
- Ortiz-Malavasi, E., 2014. Atlas Digital Costa Rica. Instituto Tecnologico de CR.
- Petitpierre, B., Kueffer, C., Broennimann, O., Randin, C., Daehler, C., Guisan, A., 2012. Climatic niche shifts are rare among terrestrial plant invaders. Science 335, 1344. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215933.
- Phillips, B.L., Puschendorf, R., 2013. Do pathogens become more virulent as they spread? Evidence from the amphibian declines in Central America. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 280, 20131290 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1290.
- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Model. 190, 231–259. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026.
- Piotrowski, J.S., Annis, S.L., Longcore, J.E., 2004. Physiology of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a chytrid pathogen of amphibians. Mycologia 96, 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2005.11832990.
- Puschendorf, R., Bolaños, F., Chaves, G., 2006a. The amphibian chytrid fungus along an altitudinal transect before the first reported declines in Costa Rica. Biol. Conserv. 132, 136–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.03.010.
- Puschendorf, R., Castañeda, F., McCranie, J.R., 2006b. Chytridiomycosis in wild frogs from Pico Bonito National Park, Honduras. EcoHealth 3, 178–181. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10393-006-0026-8.
- Puschendorf, R., Carnaval, A.C., VanDerWal, J., Zumbado-Ulate, H., Chaves, G., Bolaños, F., Alford, R.A., 2009. Distribution models for the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Costa Rica: proposing climatic refuges as a conservation tool. Divers. Distrib. 15, 401–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00548.x.
- Puschendorf, R., Hoskin, C.J., Cashins, S.D., McDonald, K., Skerratt, L.F., Vanderwal, J., Alford, R.A., 2011. Environmental refuge from disease-driven amphibian extinction. Conserv. Biol. 25, 956–964. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01728.x.
- Puschendorf, R., Wallace, M., Chavarría, M.M., Crawford, A.J., Wynne, F., Knight, M., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., Palmer, C.V., Price, S.J., 2019. Cryptic diversity and ranavirus infection of a critically endangered Neotropical frog before and after population collapse. Anim. Conserv. 22, 515–524. https://doi.org/10.1111/ acv.12498.
- R Development Core Team, 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Radosavljevic, A., Anderson, R.P., 2014. Making better MAXENT models of species distributions: Complexity, overfitting and evaluation. J. Biogeogr. 41, 629–643. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12227.
- Rodríguez, J., Chaves, G., Neam, K., Luedtke, J., Carrillo, J., Bolaños, F., Matamoros, Y., 2019. Taller de Evaluación de las Necesidades de Conservación de Anfibios Arca de los Anfibios y de la Lista Roja de la UICN: Un Esfuerzo para la Segunda Evaluación Global de Anfibios. UICN SSC and CPSG Mesoamérica, San José, Costa Rica.
- Rollins-Smith, L.A., 2017. Amphibian immunity-stress, disease, and climate change. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 66, 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.07.002.
 Ron, S.R., 2005. Predicting the distribution of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the New World. Biotropica 37, 209–221. https://doi.org/
 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2005.00028 x
- Rosenblum, E.B., James, T.Y., Zamudio, K.R., Poorten, T.J., Ilut, D., Rodriguez, D., Eastman, J.M., Richards-Hrdlicka, K., Joneson, S., Jenkinson, T.S., Longcore, J.E., Parra Olea, G., Toledo, L.F., Arellano, M.L., Medina, E.M., Restrepo, S., Flechas, S.V., Berger, L., Briggs, C.J., Stajich, J.E., 2013. Complex history of the
- amphibian-killing chytrid fungus revealed with genome resequencing data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 9385–9390. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300130110.
 Ryan, M.J., Lips, K.R., Eichholz, M.W., 2008. Decline and extirpation of an endangered Panamanian stream frog population (*Craugastor punctariolus*) due to an outbreak of chytridiomycosis. Biol. Conserv. 141, 1636–1647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.04.014.
- Sanderson, E.W., Jaiteh, M., Levy, M.A., Redford, K.H., Wannebo, A.V., Woolmer, G., 2002. The Human Footprint and the Last of the Wild: The human footprint is a global map of human influence on the land surface, which suggests that human beings are stewards of nature, whether we like it or not. BioScience 52, 891–904. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0891:THFATL]2.0.CO;2.
- Sasa, M., Solórzano, A., 1995. The reptiles and amphibians of Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica, with comments about the herpetofauna of xerophytic areas. Herpetol. Nat. Hist. 3, 113–126.
- Savage, J.M., 2002. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Costa Rica: a Herpetofauna Between Two Continents, Between Two Seas. The University of Chicago Press,, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Scheele, B.C., Foster, C.N., Banks, S.C., Lindenmayer, D.B., 2017. Niche contractions in declining species: Mechanisms and consequences. Trends Ecol. Evol. 32, 346–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.013.

- Schmidt, K.A., Ostfeld, R.S., 2001. Biodiversity and the dilution effect in disease ecology. Ecology 82, 609–619. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99014.x. Schneider, D.C., 2001. The rise of the concept of scale in ecology: The concept of scale is evolving from verbal expression to quantitative expression. BioScience 51, 545–553. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051/0545:TROTCOI2.0.CO:2.
- Schoener, T.W., 1968. The Anolis lizards of Bimini: Resource partitioning in a complex fauna. Ecology 49, 704–726. https://doi.org/10.2307/1935534. Schwartz, M.W., 1999. Choosing the appropriate scale of reserves for conservation. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 30, 83–108. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.

ecolsys.30.1.83.

Searle, C.L., Biga, L.M., Spatafora, J.W., Blaustein, A.R., 2011. A dilution effect in the emerging amphibian pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 16322–16326. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108490108.

Semlitsch, R.D., Bodie, J.R., 2003. Biological criteria for buffer zones around wetlands and riparian habitats for amphibians and reptiles. Conserv. Biol. 17,

- 1219–1228. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.02177.x. Skerratt, L.F., Berger, L., Clemann, N., Hunter, D.A., Marantelli, G., Newell, D.A., Philips, A., McFadden, M., Hines, H.B., Scheele, B.C., Brannelly, L.A., Speare, R., Versteegen, S., Cashins, S.D., West, M., 2016. Priorities for management of chytridiomycosis in Australia: saving frogs from extinction. Wildl. Res. 43, 105–120.
- Spielman, D., Brook, B.W., Frankham, R., 2004. Most species are not driven to extinction before genetic factors impact them. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101, 15261–15264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403809101.
- Stohlgreen, T.J., Chong, G.W., Schell, L.D., Rimar, K.A., OTSUKI, Y., Lee, M., Kalkhan, M.A., Villa, C.A., 2002. Assessing vulnerability to invasion by nonnative plant species at multiple patial scales. Environ. Manag. 29, 566–577. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-001-0006-2.

Title, P.O., Bemmels, J.B., 2018. ENVIREM: an expanded set of bioclimatic and topographic variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological niche modeling. Ecography 41, 291–307. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02880.

- Venter, O., Sanderson, E.W., Magrach, A., Allan, J.R., Beher, J., Jones, K.R., Possingham, H.P., Laurance, W.F., Wood, P., Fekete, B.M., Levy, M.A., Watson, J.E., 2016. Global terrestrial human footprint maps for 1993 and 2009. Sci. Data, 160067. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.67.
- Voyles, J., Woodhams, D.C., Saenz, V., Byrne, A.Q., Perez, R., Rios-Sotelo, G., Ryan, M.J., Bletz, M.C., Sobell, F.A., McLetchie, S., Reinert, L., Rosenblum, E.B., Rollins-Smith, L.A., Ibáñez, R., Ray, J.M., Griffith, E.J., Ross, H., Richards-Zawacki, C.L., 2018. Shifts in disease dynamics in a tropical amphibian assemblage are not due to pathogen attenuation. Science 359, 1517. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4806.
- Warren, D.L., Glor, R.E., Turelli, M., 2008. Environmental niche equivalency versus conservatism: Quantitative approaches to niche evolution. Evolution 62, 2868–2883. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00482.x.
- White, R.L., Bennett, P.M., 2015. Elevational Distribution and Extinction Risk in Birds. PLOS ONE 10, e0121849. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121849.
 Whitfield, S.M., Lips, K.R., Donnelly, M.A., 2016. Amphibian decline and conservation in Central America. Copeia 104, 351–379. https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-15-300.

Whitfield, S.M., Alvarado, G., Abarca, J., Zumbado-Ulate, H., Zuñiga, I., Wainwright, M., Kerby, J., 2017. Differential patterns of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection in relict amphibian populations following severe disease-associated declines. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 126, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao03154. Wiens, J.A., 1989. Spatial scaling in ecology. Funct. Ecol. 3, 385–397. https://doi.org/10.2307/2389612.

- Wilson, L.D., Johnson, J.D., 2010. Distributional patterns of the herpetofauna of Mesoamerica, a biodiversity hotspot. Conserv. Mesoam. Amphib. Reptil 30, 235.
 Wilson, L.D., McCranie, J.R., 2004. The conservation status of the herpetofauna of Honduras. Amphib. Reptile Conserv 3, 6–33. https://doi.org/10.1514/journal. arc.0000012.
- Wilson, L.D., Johnson, J.D., Mata-Silva, V., 2013. A conservation reassessment of the amphibians of Mexico based on the EVS measure. Amphib. Reptile Conserv. 7, 97–127.

Worster, A., Fan, J., Ismaila, A., 2007. Understanding linear and logistic regression analyses. Can. J. Emerg. Med. 9, 111–113.

- Yu, F., Groen, T.A., Wang, T., Skidmore, A.K., Huang, J., Ma, K., 2017. Climatic niche breadth can explain variation in geographical range size of alpine and subalpine plants. Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci. 31, 190–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2016.1195502.
- Zizka, A., Silvestro, D., Andermann, T., Azevedo, J., Duarte Ritter, C., Edler, D., Farooq, H., Herdean, A., Ariza, M., Scharn, R., Svantesson, S., Wengström, N., Zizka, V., Antonelli, A., 2019. CoordinateCleaner: Standardized cleaning of occurrence records from biological collection databases. Methods Ecol. Evol. 10, 744–751. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13152.
- Zumbado-Ulate, Héctor, Searle, C.L., Chaves, G., Acosta-Chaves, V., Shepack, A., Salazar, S., García-Rodríguez, A., 2021. Assessing suitable habitats for treefrog species after previous declines in Costa Rica. Diversity 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13110577.

Zumbado-Ulate, H., Willink, B., 2011. Craugastor ranoides (NCN) Geographic distribution. Herpetol. Rev. 42, 236.

- Zumbado-Ulate, H., Bolaños, F., Gutiérrez-Espeleta, G., Puschendorf, R., 2014. Extremely low prevalence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in frog populations from Neotropical dry forest of Costa Rica supports the existence of a climatic refuge from disease. EcoHealth 11, 593–602. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-014-0967-
- Zumbado-Ulate, H., García-Rodríguez, A., Searle, C.L., 2021a. Species distribution models predict the geographic expansion of an enzootic amphibian pathogen. Biotropica 53, 221–321. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12863.
- Zumbado-Ulate, H., García-Rodríguez, A., Vredenburg, V.T., Searle, C.L., 2019a. Infection with Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis is common in tropical lowland habitats: Implications for amphibian conservation. Ecol. Evol. 9, 4917–4930. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5098.
- Zumbado-Ulate, H., Nelson, K.N., García-Rodríguez, A., Chaves, G., Arias, E., Bolaños, F., Whitfield, S.M., Searle, C.L., 2019b. Endemic infection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Costa Rica: Implications for amphibian conservation at regional and species level. Diversity 11, 129. https://doi.org/10.3390/d11080129.