

2022-06-23

Using information available at the time of donor offer to predict kidney transplant survival outcomes: a systematic review of prediction models

Riley, S

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19220>

10.3389/ti.2022.10397

Transplant International

Frontiers Media

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Supplementary material

Using information available at the time of donor offer to predict kidney transplant survival outcomes: a systematic review of prediction models

Search strategy

Key	Search term
Embase	
1	*kidney transplantation/ OR ((kidney OR renal) AND transplant*) NOT ((liver OR heart OR pancreas) ADJ1 transplant*).tw.
2	*graft failure/ OR ((graft OR allograft) ADJ1 (failure OR loss)).tw. OR (survival OR death OR mortality).tw.
3	(predict* OR prognos* OR risk).tw. ADJ3 (tool* OR calculat* OR model* OR algorithm OR scor* OR index OR probabilit*).tw.
4	1 AND 2 AND 3
MEDLINE	
1	*Kidney Transplantation/ OR ((kidney OR renal) AND transplant*) NOT ((liver OR heart OR pancreas) ADJ1 transplant*).tw.
2	*Graft Survival/ OR ((graft OR allograft) ADJ1 (failure OR loss)).tw. OR (survival OR death OR mortality).tw.
3	(predict* OR prognos* OR risk).tw. ADJ3 (tool* OR calculat* OR model* OR algorithm OR scor* OR index OR probabilit*).tw.
4	1 AND 2 AND 3
Web of Science	
1	ts= ((kidney OR renal) AND transplant*) NOT ((liver OR heart OR pancreas) NEAR/0 transplant*)
2	ts= ((graft OR allograft) NEAR/0 (failure OR loss)) OR ts=(survival OR death OR mortality) ts=(predict* OR prognos* OR risk)
3	NEAR/2 ts=(tool* OR calculat* OR model* OR algorithm OR scor* OR index OR probabilit*)
4	1 AND 2 AND 3

tw: terms are searched in titles and abstracts; ADJx: terms are adjacent with x-1 words between them; ts: terms are searched in titles and abstracts; NEAR/x: terms are adjacent with x words between them.

Table S1: Search strategy in each database from their respective dates of inception until April 8th 2021.

Data extraction

1. Source of data
2. Participants
 - 2.1 Description (e.g. location, participant age, participant sex)
 - 2.2 Study dates
3. Outcomes of interest
 - 3.1 Type and definition of outcome (death, graft failure)
 - 3.2 Prediction horizon
4. Candidate predictors
 - 4.1 Number, type (e.g. donor, recipient, transplant) and list of variables (e.g. donor/recipient age, HLA mismatch, cold ischaemia time)
 - 4.2 Incorporation of variables in modelling (e.g. dichotomised, continuous, linear/non-linear transformation)
5. Sample size
 - 5.1 Number of participants
 - 5.2 Number of outcome events
 - 5.3 Events per variable
 - 5.4 Sample size calculation performed
6. Missing data
 - 6.1 Number of participants with missing predictor or outcome values
 - 6.2 Number of missing data by variable
 - 6.3 Handling of missing values (e.g. complete-case analysis, imputation)
7. Model development
 - 7.1 Modelling methods (e.g. Cox model, flexible parametric survival model, competing risks model)
 - 7.2 Modelling assumptions satisfied
 - 7.3 Methods for selection of predictors variables for inclusion and during multivariate modelling
 - 7.4 Criteria used for selection of predictors
 - 7.5 Number of predictors in final model
 - 7.6 Shrinkage/penalty methods used (e.g. uniform, penalised, global)
8. Model performance
 - 8.1 Calibration (e.g. calibration plots/slope)
 - 8.2 Discrimination (e.g. C-statistic, Royston's D)
 - 8.3 Classification measures
9. Model evaluation
 - 9.1 Internal validation methods (e.g. development vs test set, bootstrap, cross validation)
 - 9.2 External validation methods (e.g. different geographical location or time period)
10. Results
 - 10.1 Final model presented including coefficients estimated, baseline survival etc.
 - 10.2 Any alternative presentation of model (e.g. web-based prediction tool, conversion to risk score, nomogram)
11. Interpretation and discussion
 - 11.1 Intended use for model (e.g. clinical utilisation)
 - 11.2 Comparison with other studies

Table S2: Items extracted from eligible studies. Table built based on the CHARMS checklist.

Summary of discrimination by predictor: all-cause graft failure





Figure S1: Discrimination of models to predict all-cause graft failure by predictors used. Predictors: donor characteristics, recipient characteristics and transplant process.

Summary of discrimination by predictor: death-censored graft failure



Summary of discrimination by predictor: patient survival




Figure S3: Discrimination of models to predict patient survival by the types of predictors used. Predictors: donor characteristics, recipient characteristics and transplant process.

Summary data: all-cause graft failure

Study	Model number	Model type	Location	Sample size	Predictor type	Discrimination	Value	Calibration	Value(s)/comment
Kasiske, 2010	1	Development and validation	US	41363	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.649	Calibration slope	1.04
Kasiske, 2010	2	Development and validation	US	41363	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	~0.636	Calibration slope	1.04
Massie, 2016	1	Development and validation	US	106019	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.59, 95% CI (0.55, 0.62)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	3	Development and validation	US	10086	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.63, 95% CI (0.61, 0.66)	Calibration plot	Adequate
Molnar, 2017	6	Development and validation	US	10086	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.61, 95% CI (0.59, 0.63)	Calibration plot	Good
Rose, 2018	1	Development and validation	Canada	785	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.63, 95% CI (0.58, 0.67)	None	None
Rose, 2018	2	Development and validation	Canada	785	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.64, 95% CI (0.61, 0.71)	None	None
Watson, 2011	1	Development and validation	United Kingdom	7620	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.62, (SE 0.011)	None	None
Yang, 2019	1	Development and validation	US	39108	Donor only	Time-dependent AUC	0.673	None	None

Yang, 2019	2	Development and validation	US	39108	Recipient only	Time-dependent AUC	0.742	None	None
Zhong, 2019	1	Development and validation	US	156069	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.652	None	None
Clayton , 2019	7	Validation only	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.60, 95% CI (0.58, 0.62)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	10	Validation only	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.58, 95% CI (0.56, 0.60)	None	None
Massie, 2016	2	Validation only	US	69994	Donor only	C-statistic	0.58, 95% CI (0.54, 0.61)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	9	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.57, 95% CI (0.54, 0.59)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	12	Validation only	US	5042	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.62, 95% CI (0.60, 0.64)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	15	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.61, 95% CI (0.58, 0.63)	None	None
Rehse, 2019	3	Validation only	Germany	416	Donor only	AUC	0.53	None	None
Rehse, 2019	4	Validation only	Germany	416	Donor only	AUC	0.54	None	None
Rehse, 2019	6	Validation only	Germany	889	Donor only	AUC	0.65	None	None
Watson, 2011	2	Validation only	United Kingdom	3050	Donor only	C-statistic	0.63	None	None

Clayton , 2019	8	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/Tx	Harrell's C	0.62, 95% CI (0.60, 0.64)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	9	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	Harrell's C	0.66, 95% CI (0.64, 0.67)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	11	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/Tx	Harrell's C	0.61, 95% CI (0.59, 0.63)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	12	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	Harrell's C	0.65, 95% CI (0.63, 0.67)	None	None
Young, 2018	1	Validation only (adjusted)	Canada	1299	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	C-statistic	0.59	None	None

Table S3: Characteristics of models from included studies with all-cause graft failure as an outcome.

Summary data: death-censored graft failure

Study	Model number	Model type	Location	Sample size	Predictor type	Discrimination	Value(s)	Calibration	Value(s)/comment
Haller, 2020	2	Development and validation	Norway	837	Donor/Recipient/Tx	Optimism-corrected C-statistic	0.66	Calibration slope	Very good
Molnar, 2017	2	Development and validation	US	10086	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.63, 95% CI (0.60, 0.66)	Calibration slope	Good
Molnar, 2017	5	Development and validation	US	10086	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.59, 95% CI (0.56, 0.63)	Calibration slope	Good
Munivenkata ppa, 2008	1	Development and validation	US	259	Donor only	AUC	0.74	None	None
Udomkarnjanun, 2020	2	Development and validation	Thailand	6662	Donor/Recipient/Tx	Harrell's C	0.69, 95% CI (0.66, 0.71)	Hosmer-Lemeshow test	5yr: p=0.466 10 yr: p=0.182
Clayton , 2019	1	Validation only	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.63, 95% CI (0.60, 0.65)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	4	Validation only	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.59, 95% CI (0.56, 0.61)	None	None

Jackson, 2020	1	Validation only	US	140	Donor only	AUC	0.45	None	None
Jackson, 2020	2	Validation only	US	140	Donor only	AUC	0.81	None	None
Jackson, 2020	3	Validation only	US	140	Donor only	AUC	0.63	None	None
Jackson, 2020	4	Validation only	US	140	Donor only	AUC	0.59	None	None
Molnar, 2017	8	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.59, 95% CI (0.57, 0.62)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	11	Validation only	US	5042	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.66, 95% CI (0.64, 0.69)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	14	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.54, 95% CI (0.50, 0.57)	None	None
Philosophe, 2014	1	Validation only	US	140	Donor only	AUC	0.81	None	None
Philosophe, 2014	2	Validation only	US	56	Donor only	AUC	0.72	None	None
Rehse, 2019	1	Validation only	Germany	416	Donor only	AUC	0.55	None	None
Rehse, 2019	2	Validation only	Germany	416	Donor only	AUC	0.6	None	None
Rehse, 2019	5	Validation only	Germany	889	Donor only	AUC	0.66	None	None

Clayton , 2019	2	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/Tx	Harrell's C	0.65, 95% CI (0.63, 0.67)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	3	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	Harrell's C	0.70, 95% CI (0.67, 0.72)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	5	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/Tx	Harrell's C	0.63, 95% CI (0.60, 0.65)	None	None
Clayton , 2019	6	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	6405	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	Harrell's C	0.68, 95% CI (0.65, 0.70)	None	None
Young, 2018	2	Validation only (adjusted)	Canada	1299	Donor/ Recipient/ Tx	C-statistic	0.59	None	None

Table S4: Characteristics of models from included studies with the outcome death-censored graft failure.

Summary data: patient survival

Study	Model number	Model type	Location	Sample size	Predictor type	Discrimination	Value(s)	Calibration	Value(s)/comment
Bae, 2019	1	Development and validation	US	120818	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.637	None	None
Baskin-Bey, 2007	1	Development and validation	US	47535	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.692	None	None
Bui, 2019	1	Development and validation	US	72839	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.7	None	None
Bui, 2019	2	Development and validation	US	72839	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.71	None	None
Bui, 2019	3	Development and validation	US	53242	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.702	None	None
Bui, 2019	4	Development and validation	US	53242	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.707	None	None
Haller, 2020	1	Development and validation	Norway	837	Donor/Recipient/Tx	Optimism-corrected C-statistic	0.77	Calibration plot	Good
Jassal, 2005	1	Development and validation	Canada	6324	Donor only	C-statistic	0.7	None	None
Molnar, 2017	1	Development and validation	US	10086	Donor/Recipient	C-statistic	0.70, 95% CI (0.67, 0.73)	Calibration plot	Good

Molnar, 2017	4	Development and validation	US	10086	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.70, 95% CI (0.67, 0.72)	Calibration plot	Adequate
Udomkarnjananun, 2020	1	Development and validation	Thailand	6662	Donor/Recipient/Tx	Harrell's C	0.64, 95% CI (0.59, 0.68)	Hosmer-Lemeshow test	5 yr: p=0.252 10 yr: p=0.851
Calvillo-Arbizu, 2018	2	Validation only	Spain	2734	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.63	None	None
Clayton , 2014	1	Validation only	Australia and New Zealand	4983	Recipient only	Harrell's C	0.67, SE 0.011	None	None
Coca, 2020	1	Validation only	Spain	935	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.57	None	None
Coca, 2020	3	Validation only	Spain	935	Recipient only	Harrell's C	0.71	None	None
Molnar, 2017	7	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.66, 95% CI (0.63, 0.69)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	10	Validation only	US	5042	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.68, 95% CI (0.65, 0.70)	None	None
Molnar, 2017	13	Validation only	US	5042	Recipient only	C-statistic	0.70, 95% CI (0.67, 0.72)	None	None
Clayton , 2014	2	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	4983	Donor/Recipient	Harrell's C	0.68, SE 0.011	None	None

Clayton , 2014	3	Validation only (adjusted)	Australia and New Zealand	4983	Donor/ Recipient	Harrell's C	0.69, SE 0.011	None	None
Coca, 2020	2	Validation only (adjusted)	Spain	935	Donor/ Recipient	Harrell's C	0.646	None	None
Coca, 2020	4	Validation only (adjusted)	Spain	935	Recipient only	Harrell's C	0.735	None	None

Table S5: Characteristics of models from included studies with patient survival as the outcome.

Summary data: other

Study	Model number	Model type	Location	Sample size	Predictor type	Discrimination	Value(s)	Calibration	Value(s)/comment
Tiong, 2009	1	Development and validation	US	20085	Donor/Recipient/Tx	C-statistic	0.71	Calibration plot	Good
Calvillo-Arbizu, 2018	1	Validation only	Spain	2734	Donor only	Harrell's C	0.56	None	None

Table S6: Characteristics of models from included studies for graft failure with no information on how death was handled.