Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

Peninsula Medical School

2018-01-01

## Correction: An alternative surgical approach reduces variability following filament induction of experimental stroke in mice (doi: 10.1242/dmm.029108)

Trotman-Lucas, M

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/18336

10.1242/dmm.033407
Disease Models and Mechanisms
The Company of Biologists

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



## **CORRECTION**

## Correction: An alternative surgical approach reduces variability following filament induction of experimental stroke in mice (doi: 10.1242/dmm.029108)

Melissa Trotman-Lucas, Michael E. Kelly, Justyna Janus, Robert Fern and Claire L. Gibson

Errors were published in a table in Dis. Model. Mech. (2017). 10, 931-938 (doi: 10.1242/dmm.029108).

The corrected table (Table 1) is below and the original article has been changed correspondingly.

Table 1. Results of a power analysis conducted to calculate required group sizes for detecting a significant reduction in lesion volume between a control group (traditional or new approach, data below) and a 'predicted test' group

Approach	Lesion volume (mm³; mean±s.d.)	Power	Significance level	Anticipated difference	Group size required
CCA ligated (traditional approach) CCA repaired (new approach)	94.08±53.79	0.8	0.05	30%	n=58
	51.73±22.78	0.8	0.05	30%	n=35

The table shows the results if we assume a power of 0.8, a significance level of 0.05 and predict a 30% reduction in lesion volume between the control group (traditional or new approach) and 'predicted test' group. Results are shown for the two approaches for inducing filament MCAO i.e. CCA ligated and CCA repaired to determine if there is a difference in the number of animals required. For each approach, equal variance is assumed between the control and test group.