Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Nursing and Midwifery

2019-06

Machine learning methods in predicting chemotherapy-induced neutropenia in oncology patients using clinical data

Holborow, A

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/18251

10.7861/clinmedicine.19-3-s89 Clinical Medicine Royal College of Physicians

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Help





Machine learning methods in predicting chemotherapy-induced neutropenia in oncology patients using clinical data

Alexander Holborow, Bryony Coupe, Mark Davies and Shangming Zhou

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.19-3s-s89

Clin Med June 2019

ARTICLE FIGURES & DATA INFO & METRICS

Introduction

Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia (CIN) incidence varies depending upon diagnosis and treatment regimen; however, in patients with solid tumours it has been reported to be as high as 15–22 %. ^{1,2} The resulting dose adjustments or omissions can result in significant deviation from optimal treatment regimes, with the potential for failure to achieve expected rates of remission or duration of survival. ³ Prophylactic granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) can effectively reduce the incidence of CIN in these patients; however, prescription of CSF to all patients is not cost effective. ⁴

Existing models of CIN risk are based primarily on treatment regimen with additional consideration of individual patient factors, including age, disease, and performance status.⁵

Prophylactic G-CSF is routinely recommended if the expected risk of severe neutropenia is ≥20%; however, individual risk is difficult to quantify in the absence of an agreed mechanism.⁶

1 of 4 24/01/2021, 14:21

Due to the early adoption of electronic chemotherapy prescribing, a rich source of historical patient data has been developed relating to chemotherapy regimen and a subset of key variables. Modern data mining methods, incorporating machine learning approaches, can be utilised to analyse these data with the aim of producing more accurate, personalised predictions of the risk of neutropenia. We performed a comparison of several machine learning algorithms with a logistic regression of CIN risk in patients undergoing chemotherapy for solid tumours.

Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of 15,119 patients aged 18 years or older with a diagnosis of cancer between 1 January 2000 and 31 December 2018 who were treated at the Singleton Cancer Centre in Swansea, South Wales, and whose data was recorded utilising the ChemoCare system.

Variables extracted included age, sex, cancer type, use of G-CSF, chemotherapy treatment regimen including dose and treatment date, total cycle number, history of prior chemotherapy, as well as several laboratory values (those from routine full blood count, liver function test and bone profile testing). Computed variables included presence or absence of neutropenia, defined as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) of $<1 \times 10^9/L$.

Utilising these variables, we trained logistic regression, random forest, support vector machine, artificial neural network, naive Bayes and K-nearest neighbour algorithms in classification utilising the cycle 1 data with the presence or absence of a neutropenic event as the outcome variable. Data normalisation, binarisation and discretisation were performed where necessary. All models were optimised utilising parameter tuning and variable selection where appropriate. Error estimation was performed utilising tenfold cross-fold validation with three repeats, with algorithm performance tested on an external test dataset.

Results and discussion

Each of the algorithms achieved a comparable level of accuracy in predicting a neutropenic event (Table 1). The best overall performance was achieved using the random forest algorithm (77.22% validation and 73.24% test). The random forest algorithm also had the lowest loss in performance when applied to the test dataset.

Table 1.

Validation and test predictions by the different algorithms.

PDF

VIEW POPUP

Help

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that integrating data mining and machine learning approaches with

2 of 4 24/01/2021, 14:21

routinely collected clinical data can be useful in developing classification algorithms that may aid clinical decision making. Utilising the best-performing algorithm, random forest, we have developed a web application that can offer individual risk predictions at point of care. With further improvements and validation, such a tool could be used to target G-CSF to those patients at greatest risk of neutropenia.

© Royal College of Physicians 2019. All rights reserved.

References

- 1. 4de Melo Gagliato D, Celloso Medrado Santos JP, Dino Cossetti RJ et al. Febrile neutropenia risk with adjuvant docetaxel and cyclophosphamide (TC) chemotherapy regimen in two Brazilians cancer centers. *J Integr Oncol* 2017;6:195. Google Scholar
- 2. Weycker D, Li X, Edelsberg J et al. Risk and consequences of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in patients with metastatic solid tumors. *J Oncol Pract* 2015;11:47–54. <u>Abstract/FREE Full Text</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 3. ANagel CI, Backes FJ, Hade EM et al. Effect of chemotherapy delays and dose reductions on progression free and overall survival in the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2011;124:221–4. Google Scholar
- 4. Rajan S, Carpenter WR, Stearns SC, Lyman GH. Short-term costs associated with primary prophylactic G-CSF use during chemotherapy. *Am J Manag Care* 2013;19:150–9. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 5. ⊿Dang CT, Fornier MN, Hudis CA. Risk models for neutropenia in patients with breast cancer. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2003;17(11 Suppl 11):14–20. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 6. Pawloski PA, Thomas AJ, Kane S et al. Predicting neutropenia risk in patients with cancer using electronic data. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2017;24:e129–35. Google Scholar

▲ Back to top

No related articles found.

3 of 4 24/01/2021, 14:21

Google Scholar

- ▶ Cited By...
- ▶ More in this TOC Section
- Similar Articles

Navigate this Journal

Journal Home

Current Issue

Ahead of Print

Archive

Related Links

ClinMed - Home

FHJ - Home



Home Journals Contact us Advertise



Follow Us:





Copyright © 2020 by the Royal College of Physicians

PDF

24/01/2021, 14:21