

2021-12

# The role of bias in a letter acuity identification task: a noisy template model.

Schmidtman, Gunnar

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/18184>

---

PERCEPTION

---


*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

## BACKGROUND

- Previous studies suggest biases towards certain letters in acuity measurements [1-4]
- Here we measured visual acuity for 10 Sloan letters at central and paracentral ( $\pm 3^\circ$  eccentricity) visual field locations.
- Further, we analysed the data for biases towards certain letters
- The aim of the current study was to develop a model to quantify such biases

## METHODS


- N=10 (naïve subjects)
- Visual acuity measurements for Sloan letters
- Central and  $3^\circ$  eccentricity in upper and lower visual field (vertical meridian).




- Data were split into three groups:
  - The top 2 (most used) letters
  - The bottom 2 (least used)
  - The 6 letters with intermediate usage

## MODEL


- A “noisy template” model was derived to distinguish biases from differences in visibility



Template resps for 200 trials: Unbiased




Template resps for 200 trials: Biased



- The Noisy Template Model:
  - A without biases
  - B with biases
- Biases (or sensitivity) are ordered from negative to positive with a mean of zero.
- Free model parameters are: Baseline sensitivity, Bias gradient (Bgdt), Sensitivity gradient (Sgdt)

## RESULTS

- Results show that observers had different individual letter biases consistent across the whole range of sizes
- Preferred letters were called more frequently and others less frequently than expected (group averages range from 4% to 20% across letters, where the unbiased rate was 10%)
- The over- and under-calling decreased at larger letter sizes, but was well-predicted by templates having fixed additive response bias: with stronger inputs (larger letters) there is less opportunity for bias to influence which template gives the biggest response



- A fit of the two-factor (bias and sensitivity) model
- B the model was re-computed with Sgdt = 0
- C the model was re-computed with Bgdt = 0
- Comparison of row B with C strongly suggests that:
  - Bias (in row B) was the major contributing factor to the variation in letter usage
  - Variation in sensitivity across letters (row C) played a much smaller role, mainly at the larger letter sizes at the periphery

## CONCLUSION

- Results show that biases are responsible for the observed differences in letter usage in the letter acuity measurements with Sloan letters
- In the future, it will be important to investigate whether the observed response biases are likely to have a meaningful effect on clinical measures of visual performance

## REFERENCES

1. Barhoom, H., Joshi, M. R., & Schmidtman, G. (2021). The effect of response biases on resolution thresholds of Sloan letters in central and paracentral vision. *Vision Research*, 187, 110-119.
2. Candy, T. R., Mishoulam, S. R., Nosofsky, R. M., & Dobson, V. (2011). Adult discrimination performance for pediatric acuity test optotypes. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*, 52(7), 4307-4313.
3. Coates, D. R. (2015). *Quantifying crowded and uncrowded letter recognition*. University of California, Berkeley.
4. Hamm, L. M., Yeoman, J. P., Anstice, N., & Dakin, S. C. (2018). The Auckland Optotypes: an open-access pictogram set for measuring recognition acuity. *Journal of vision*, 18(3), 13-13.