Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Health Professions

2021-04-07

UK Dietitians' views on Communication Skills for Behaviour Change: A 10 year follow up survey

Whitehead, K

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/17042

10.1111/jhn.12903

Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics
Wiley

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

UK Dietitians' views on Communication Skills for Behaviour Change: A 10 year follow up survey.

Kirsten Whitehead¹ and Tracey Parkin²

1. Kirsten.whitehead1@nottingham.ac.uk, University of Nottingham, Division of

Food, Nutrition and Dietetics, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leics.

LE12 5RD (address where work was undertaken). Current address 84 Hillside

Road, Beeston, Nottingham, NG9 3AT. 07703335790 (no fax).

2. Tracey.parkin@plymouth.ac.uk, University of Plymouth, School of Health

Professions, Plymouth, Pl6 8BH

Corresponding author: Kirsten Whitehead

Key words

Communication skills, behaviour change, dietetics, cross sectional survey, training,

Conflict of interests, source of funding and authorship.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding for the administration of the survey was received from the BDA General Education

Trust.

KW, TP, and Judy Swift revised the survey. TP and KW analysed the data. Both KW and TP

wrote the first draft, reviewed, and commented on the subsequent drafts of the manuscript

and approved the final version submitted for publication.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was received from the School of Sociology and Social Policy, University of

Nottingham (BIO-1718-0001).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr Judy Swift for supporting the revision of the questionnaire and for converting it to the online format. We would also like to thank all the BDA members who completed the survey.

Abstract

Background

In 2007, a survey of UK dietitians identified that dietitians were positive about the use of Communication Skills for Behaviour Change (CSBC) in practice but barriers to implementation of skills were acknowledged. This follow up survey aimed to explore current perceptions of CSBC and compare with the previous survey.

Methodology

A cross-sectional on-line survey of British Dietetic Association (BDA) members views of CSBC was undertaken. Results for full members are presented. Quantitative data was analysed descriptively. Qualitative data was subject to either content, or inductive thematic analysis.

Results

A 9.4% response rate (n=729) was achieved. Respondents were predominately female (n=684, 95.1%) and worked in the NHS (n=634, 87.4%). They were positive about the importance of CSBC in practice (n=714, 99.5%). Pre-registration training had been completed by 346 respondents (48.7%).

Post-registration training had been undertaken by 520 (74.7%) respondents and 514 of these (99.6%) had implemented training into practice, with few barriers identified. Perception of ability to use skills had increased with 513 (83.6%) respondents rating their skills as excellent/very good, compared to 62% previously. The majority (n=594, 93.7%) reported that post-registration training was necessary, skills should be regularly reviewed (n=456, 74.5%) and 235 (51.9%) respondents suggested this be mandatory. In contrast, some suggested skill review was not a priority, would be difficult to administer and stressful.

Conclusions

Perception of importance of CSBC remains high. Although perceived ability to apply CSBC has increased, perceived need for post-registration training is high, with respondents' favouring mandatory training.

Key words

Introduction

Patient-centred care has been referred to as a set of skills that activate patient participation, promote shared decision making, seek patient views and opinions, and facilitate a collaborative and supportive approach to care (1-4). Patient-centred care is essential to support health related behaviour change (5). Literature remains ambiguous on what specific communication skills are required to support patient-centred care (6-7), however, recently a tool designed to measure patient-centred care in dietetic practice has been developed, based on review of literature as well as patient and dietitian views (8). Further testing is required but this tool may provide the clarity needed to support training and development ofhese skills in dietitians. A survey of United Kingdom (UK) dietitians conducted in 2007, provided insight into the communication skills for behaviour change (CSBC) dietitians deemed necessary to support patient-centred care (9). CSBC were defined as including, but not limited to, 'skills which enable clients to make appropriate choices, express their thoughts and feelings, feel heard and understood, feel valued, respected and supported'. The survey revealed a high level of perceived importance attached to CSBC, but respondents indicated that more training to support skill development was required (9). Pre-registration education standards and training documents reflect the importance of CSBC as a core competency requirement for dietitians (10-11), however individual Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) may teach skills differently leading to variation in patient-centred care. The survey also identified barriers to applying skills in practice including lack of time to implement skills, lack of confidence in skill use, and lack of support from colleagues/management ⁽⁹⁾, suggesting that additional support is required in the workplace. Patient perceptions of dietetic care support the importance of CSBC and patient-centred care including the adoption of a flexible approach, to allow individual preferences to be considered (12-21). Lack of CSBC, contributes to patients' perceptions of lack of empathy, resulting in lower agreement on choices made and potentially contributing to poor engagement (6, 21-22). Dietitians' inability to support patients in making their own decisions appears to have a negative impact on shared decision making (23), creating a power imbalance that requires addressing if patient self-care is to improve (7, 23-4). In contrast, supportive collaborative approaches result in greater adherence (25), enhanced self-care and improved health outcomes (25-28).

Overall, patient views suggest that there is a range of practice, but that improvements could still be made in the delivery of patient-centred care in dietetics (16-17). Dietitians' awareness of their communication style and its impact on patient outcomes must therefore be enhanced, from pre-registration education (both at HEIs and on placement) and into practice. How best to support skill development remains

unclear. Some evidence indicates that positive attitudes towards learning communication skills declines as student dietetic training progresses (29) but recently a study has suggested that student attitudes to experiential learning with simulated patients is more positive (30). There have also been developments in the use of technology to support learning (31) which may impact on skill development, but more data is required to substantiate how best to deliver training to support skill enhancement.

Dietitians perceive their ability to deliver CSBC to be high (9,32), however perceived skill can vary considerably from true proficiency (33-37) and may contribute to variations seen in patient outcomes (38). Validated tools to assess CSBC are therefore required to identify skill level, support skill development and application in practice (7,39). Cultural norms (6,40) and time constraints (7,9,41-42) have been highlighted as potential barriers to the implementation of CSBC in the workplace. This suggests that further training and support is required to establish and develop CSBC skills once qualified.

The literature indicates that patient-centred care remains important to dietitians and patients. Understanding of what patient-centred care means in dietetic <="" span="" style="font-family: "Times New Roman";">Where there is lack of patient-centred care there may be negative impacts on patients, and dietitians have expressed a desire for further training in CSBC to support their delivery of patient-centred care.

Since 2007 there have been many developments in policy, standards, and evidence base, all indicating the importance of improved communication skills and patient-centred approach in healthcare. It is not known how much of this has been implemented into pre- and post-registration training of dietitians or if barriers have changed. This follow up survey therefore, aimed to explore current perceptions of UK dietitians towards CSBC training and use of these skills in practice, and to ascertain if barriers to implementation of skills have changed since 2007 (9). Identifying what barriers, if any, exist, may help direct future training in CSBC and the development of patient-centred care in practice.

Methods

This cross-sectional survey of British Dietetic Association (BDA) members utilised the questionnaire from the previous BDA members' survey completed in October 2007 ⁽⁹⁾. The survey was divided into sections including demographic information, experiences of both pre- and post-registration training, views on what pre- and post-registration training should include, importance of CSBC and the impact of these skills on practice, barriers to their implementation and personal training needs. Small revisions to the original questionnaire included the creation of more pre-coded responses, based both on previous responses, and recent developments, such as web-based training packages. Most questions required tick box

answers, but there were some open questions requiring respondents to write their views. Although open questions require greater respondent effort, and not all will respond (42), they can provide explanation for closed question responses and deeper insight into respondent views that may not otherwise be captured. The revised questionnaire was converted into a web-based survey, using Survey Monkey https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/. Skip logic was applied. (Supplementary information 1, survey questions).

Information about the survey, including the link, was sent electronically, with a covering email, in January 2018, via the BDA, to all members (n=9116 all members; n=7743 full members). One reminder was sent, and the survey remained open for one month. Results for full members are presented.

Data was downloaded from Survey Monkey and analysed using SPSS, version 24 (IBM). Quantitative data was checked for errors and any items that could not be validated were removed. Chi square tests were used to look for differences in categorical data. Five-point Likert scales were used to ascertain importance (i.e., 1 was very important, 5 was not at all important) and responses were dichotomised to give an overall positive (1 and 2 combined) or negative (4 and 5 combined) view of importance. Qualitative data was analysed in one of two ways. Content analysis was applied to short responses, for example, when respondents were asked to list skills included in CSBC. Responses to individual open questions encouraging more expansive answers, for example, 'How do you feel personally about having your communication skills for behaviour change assessed?' were analysed manually, using inductive thematic analysis (43) due to the volume and depth of the data obtained. Answers to each specific question were combined into one document, were read, reread, and coded systematically. Themes were developed, refined and discussed between two researchers (TP and KW) to achieve agreement. Qualitative data for open questions is presented alongside quantitative data relating to the same section of the questionnaire.

Funding for survey administration was received from the BDA General Education Trust (October 2017). Ethical approval was received from the School of Sociology and Social Policy, University of Nottingham (BIO-1718-0001).

Results

This survey was completed by 729/7743 full BDA members, a response rate of 9.4%. As some respondents did not complete every question, pairwise deletion was applied. Percentages refer to the number of respondents who completed that specific question. Numbers in [] brackets after quotes are the respondent survey number.

Respondent characteristics

Respondents were from across the UK, most commonly England (n=551, 76.6%), Scotland (n=89, 12.4%), Northern Ireland (n=43, 6.0%), Wales (n=34, 4.7%) and other (n=2, 0.3%) (10 missing data). The majority were female (n=684, 95.1%) with 31 males (4.3%) and 4 (0.6%) preferred not to say (10 missing data).

Respondents' experience ranged from <5 years qualified (n=144, 19.9%) to 40 years or more (n=7, 1.0%) since qualification, the most common group being those who had worked between 10 and 20 years (n=192, 26.5%). Most worked in the National Health Service (NHS) (n=634, 87.4%, 4 missing data). Additional work areas included freelance (n=24, 3.3%), public health (n=19, 2.6%), education (n=14, 1.9%) and industry (n=7, 1.0%). Others (n=27, 3.7%) worked in training, media, nursing homes, private companies, research and third sector organisations. Of the 632 respondents, most were employed as band 6 (n=263, 41.6%) and band 7 (n=241, 38.1%) dietitians, with fewer band 8 (n=67, 10.6%) and band 5 (n=60, 9.5%). The most common specialisms were paediatrics (n=97, 15.3%), diabetes (n=86, 13.5%) and mental health (n=71, 11.2%) with 82 (12.9%) having a non-specialist caseload. Many (n=453, 63.0%) estimated that they spent between 26-75% of their time with one-to-one client contact, but 55 (7.6%) had none (10 missing data). Most respondents worked 0.81-1 whole time equivalents (n=454, 63.2%) (11 missing data).

Importance of Communication Skills for Behaviour Change

Respondents were positive about the importance of CSBC with 714 (99.5%) rating them as important and no-one rating them as not important (n=3, 0.5% neutral) (12 missing data). They were positive about the importance of CSBC for undertaking an accurate assessment of the client (n=588, 95.3%) and for taking a diet history (n=539, 87.4%).

A few respondents reported that CSBC were not applicable to some parts of practice, however, the majority were positive about the impact of CSBC in many aspects including relationship with clients (n=610, 98.7%), client satisfaction (n=606, 98.7%), ability to cope with challenging clients (n=602, 97.9%), confidence with client interviews (n=588, 95.9%) and in perceiving improvements in client clinical outcomes (n=587, 95.6%) (Table 1). The least positive was 409 (66.6%) respondents believing CSBC to be important for time keeping in client interviews. There were 15 comments relating to concern with time keeping, for example, "Using communication skills for behaviour change takes significantly longer and does not fit into NHS allocated appointment times" [465].

Over 96% respondents also reported that CSBC were important for different components of consultations. These included being able to communicate at an appropriate level for individual clients (n=612, 100%), listening attentively (n=613, 100%), recognising and responding appropriately to verbal communication (n=609, 99.8%) and greeting clients appropriately whilst putting them at ease (n=612, 99.7%). Most respondents were positive regarding their own CSBC skills, with 513 (83.6%) rating them as one or two on

a five-point rating scale (i.e. one is excellent, five is very poor). No-one rated themselves as very poor, but 101 (16.5%) were less confident about their skill level, rating them as three or four.

Pre-registration training undertaken

Dedicated training in CSBC as part of pre-registration education had been received by 346 respondents (48.7%), 268 (37.7%) had not received training, and 97 (13.6%) respondents did not recall (18 missing data). Length of time since qualifying was significantly related to report of pre-registration training in CSBC (χ^2 (2, n=711) =56.122, p<0.001), with 76.8% of those graduating <10 years ago indicating that training had been received, compared to 41.7% who trained >10 years ago.

The predominant methods of teaching experienced at university were lectures, observation of a skill demonstration and role-play, including those observed by peers or tutors, with feedback (Table 2). On clinical placement, teaching methods were mostly consultations with real patients, with some observations of skill demonstration.

Preferences for pre-registration training

Pre-registration preferences varied (Table 3). Consultations with real patients were thought more appropriate for placement (n=370, 57.7%, 88 missing data). Apart from role-play with a real patient, other methods were thought more appropriate in university, or for both settings, rather than placement only. Some respondents stated that the training methods indicated were inappropriate in either setting, most commonly role-play with a real patient (n=109, 17.4%), role-play with formal assessment (OSCE) (n=59, 9.6%), audio-recorded roleplay with playback (n=53, 8.4%) and online training packages such as DIET-COMMS (31) (n=44, 7.6%). There were 42 other highly variable comments, which included reference to using a variety of methods in both locations; for example, "Currently I think a number of techniques can be employed but practice and feedback to provide guidance is essential. Some lectures are needed to help set the scene" [264]. Additionally, responses suggested ensuring that tutors are skilled before assessing students, for example, "Perhaps tutors need peer assessing in their delivery of feedback on role-play/communications skills courses?" [568], and some respondents were unaware of online training packages such as DIET-COMMS (n=6) for example, "I can't comment on DIET COMMS training package I haven't seen it" [600]. Most respondents (n=386, 60.7%) conveyed that the responsibility for teaching CSBC in pre-registration educatio should be 50:50 between HEI and placement.

Dietitians were asked to identify, in their own words, the core communication skills that should be included in pre-registration education (558 comments). Three themes were identified. Firstly, the majority of responses related to naming specific communication skills important for building relationships with patients, such as active listening, reflections,

paraphrasing, open questions and empathy, for example "Reflective listening/paraphrasing to allow build-up of rapport with the patient" [673] (Supplementary information 2, terms included and counts of skills identified). Secondly, approaches, techniques and strategies which can be used to support behaviour change were identified, such as confidence scaling, readiness to change and working with resistance, for example, "...assessing readiness to change, exploring and explaining skills, compare ideal with actual, rolling with resistance and negotiation." [559]. Thirdly, personal attributes and interpersonal skills were highlighted, for example "Qualities including being non-judgemental, accepting, empathic, compassionate" [606].

Post-registration training undertaken

When asked about post-registration training undertaken in CSBC, 520 (74.7%) had received training, 162 (23.3%) had not and 14 (2.0%) had no recollection. Those who trained >10 years ago were significantly more likely to have had post-registration (84.1%) than those who had trained within the last 10 years (37.0%) (χ^2 (2, n=696) =141.696, p<0.001). The types of training received were predominantly attending a formal training session or course (n=497), self-directed learning (n=228), observing/shadowing colleagues (n=226), and having a consultation observed by peers with informal feedback (n=181). Fewer people had audio-/video-recorded consultations for self-reflection (n=90), consultations observed by tutors with informal feedback (n=89) or used any kind of online training programme (n=9).

Of those accessed who had post-registration training (n=520),the majority (n=514, 99.6%), stated they had applied some of their learning into practice and two (0.4%) said they had not (4 missing data). There was no difference between those qualified <10 years (98.8%) and those <10 years ago (100%) (Fishers exact, p=0.112). The only reason identified for being unable to implement training (n=1) was that the training undertaken did not equip the dietitian to change practice. The perceived impacts of post-registration training on practice (Table 4) were positive although some respondents stated that post-registration training in CSBC was inapplicable to specific aspects of practice.

Recommendations for post-registration training

Most respondents (n=594, 93.7%) stated that post-registration training in CSBC was needed (95 missing data). Those who trained <10 years ago were significantly less likely to state that most dietitians needed post-registration (89.7%) than those who had trained >10 years ago (94.7%) (χ^2 (1, n=634) =4.274, p=0.039). A range of advanced skills and strategies were deemed appropriate for post-registration training, including motivational interviewing (MI) (n=495), cognitive behavioural strategies (CBS) (n=421) and mindfulness (n=346). Work-based learning was highly rated (n=416) and training incorporating both theory and

skills (n=433) was more highly rated than either theory-based (n=58) or skills-based (n=283) learning.

Half of the respondents (n=318, 50.9%) stated that CSBC were more relevant to specific dietetic roles than others and 307 (49.1%) disagreed. Those who had trained <10 years ago were more likely to believe that these skills were more relevant to some roles than others (58.9%) in comparison to those who trained >10 years ago (48.9%) (χ^2 (1, n=625) =3.952, p=0.047). When asked to explain their answers, three themes were identified (56 comments). Firstly, that individual training needs differ, for example, "The type of post-registration training required is dependent on the individual and the amount of training received preregistration as well as the area of work i.e. oncology requires highly specialised communication skills where CBT, mindfulness and motivational interviewing is key whereas CBTmay be less relevant to paediatrics" [476]. Secondly, were described as so important that they should be incorporated into all post-registration training, for example, "Ibelieve that communication skills should be weaved through every aspect of post-graduate training to increase client adherence to therapeutic nutritional diets." [73]. Thirdly, the need for constant review or refresher sessions was highlighted, with some suggesting these should be formal or mandatory, for example, "I think that it should be mandatory that updates/refreshers are undertaken every few years to ensure "competency" and sharpening of skills as it is easy to slip into bad habits. The refreshers would re-focus peoples' attention on their communication skills" [226], and "I think there should be a baseline level of skill that should be maintained permanently post registration with the option to increase skill level as job role requires but alongside support to maintain these higher level skills year on year" [55].

Respondents who stated that dietitians do not need post-registration training in CSBC were asked to explain their reasoning. Two key themes were identified (40 comments). Firstly, that it should be covered in pre-registration education, for example, "This should be part of the dietetic training at university." [548] and secondly, that skills are learned on the job and by experience, rather than by going on courses, for example "Because we learn the basic skills during pre-registration and then these skills are developed through experience and practice, not formal training" [133].

Respondents (n=513, 83.6%) reported they would personally benefit from further training, particularly in more advanced skills such as CBS (n=417), MI (n=402) and mindfulness (n=362). Those who had trained >10 years ago were less likely to feel that they would benefit from further training (81.12%) than those who trained <10 years ago (93.4%) (χ^2 -1 n=614) =10.612, p=0.001). The most popular type of training desired (in line with what they stated post-registration training should include) was mixed (theory with opportunities for skill practice) (n=332) as opposed to solely skills-based (n=211) or theory-based (n=52) learning. The most popular options for training delivery methods were external

courses (n=365) and work-based learning (n=347), followed by online training packages (n=299) and self-directed learning (n=190). Comments (n=16) were variable and included personal preferences for options, but the main theme was being unable to secure time or funding for external courses, even though face-to-face training was preferred, for example, "Difficult to get external courses funded and agreed to but better if it could be that route. Just have to be realistic in the current NHS financial situation". [516].

When asked if individual practitioners should have their CSBC reviewed regularly post-registration, the majority 456 (74.5%) agreed they should (117 missing data). There was no

registration, the majority 456 (74.5%) agreed they should (117 missing data). There was no significant difference in views depending on when respondents qualified (p=0.755). Of those who supported skill review, 218 (48.1%) stated it should be voluntary and 235 (51.9%) that it should be mandatory (3 missing data). Those more recently qualified were more likely to support voluntary (62.9%) than those qualified >10 years ago (44.5%) (χ 2(1, n=453) =9.715, p=0.002). The most popular timeframes for skill review were every 2 (n=145, 32.0%), 3 (n=133, 29.4%) or 5 (n=109. 24.1%) years (276 missing data).ing this method in research and practice. This survey was not designed to assess skills but has shown mixed views as to whether assessment of CSBC should be considered in practice or not. Although over half of respondents indicated that it should be mandatory, there were almost as many resistant to the idea, which may be barrier to its implementation. Video recording, although facilitating objective assessment and reflection, may lead to a higher level of anxiety for some dietitians, however there is little evidence to say that video recording has a detrimental impact on consultations, with patients reporting positive attitudes if the goal is improved healthcare communication (55). Importantly, recording enables fidelity checking, to assess whether training received has led to the desired changes in skill use in practice (56-7). With concerns being raised about cost of training, both financial and with time, further evaluation of training options to ascertain the most effective, and cost-effective options is needed.

Future studies could ascertain the most effective and acceptable training methods, both prepost-registration, that are practical, affordable, and supportive. Understanding the graduate skill level, across HEIs with different teaching methods and course structures, would provide an insight into the effectiveness of pre-registration CSBC training. To provide robust measure CSBC validated tools should be used to and/or patient-centred care alongside patient outcomes such as satisfaction, adherence to agreed goals and clinical in settings, including secondary outcomes. Research care, telehealth, group education and non-NHS areas of practice, to reflect the broadening scope of dietetics, is needed.

Strengths and Limitations

The survey targeted all BDA members and many responded, but the response rate was only 9.4%. Respondents may have been interested in CSBC, therefore biasing the sample. It was not possible, however, to make further contacts to increase response rate. Cross-sectional surveys are also subject to recall bias, but they enable large numbers of people to be accessed and are relatively economical in relation to time and resource 42.

A paper survey may have achieved a greater response, but online surveys are less costly, easier to administer, accessible, decrease time required for data entry and decrease the risk of data entry error by researchers.

Missing data may also have affected results obtained. Why some respondents failed to answer all questions is unknown, but the questionnaire took 15-20 minutes to complete, which may have caused fatigue towards the end (58). As the aim was to repeat the previous survey, shortening the questionnaire was inappropriate.

Both authors have undertaken teaching and research in the area of CSBC which will have impacted on the interpretation of the qualitative data (59). To reduce this, authors reviewed qualitative data independently before discussing, and reaching agreement on identified themes. Reflexivity, however, must be acknowledged.

Statistical analysis between the two surveys was not attempted due to the cross-sectional survey design and resultant differences in the survey population. However, as a proxy, comparisons were made between those qualified since the previous survey (<10 years) and those who would have been qualified when the previous survey was undertaken (>10 years ago). Comparisons, although less robust, do provide some insight into trends over the tenyear period.

This survey has only considered dietitians views and is therefore not providing a true picture of skill level or, importantly, patient views (60). Patient views would provide greater insight into how skills should be delivered in practice and their impact on outcomes (27-28) and further research is needed in this area.

Conclusions

This survey has identified that dietitians continue to view CSBC as important in dietetic practice. There appears to have been a shift since the previous survey with perceived ability to apply CSBC increasing, alongside reported increased in pre-registration training in CSBC. Fewer barriers to implementation of skills were identified. There is support for mandatory skill review post-registration. However, financial constraints and time barriers continue to be perceived as barriers to post-registration training. Questions regarding the best way to implement evidence-based pre- and post-registration training in CSBC remain, and cost effective, pragmatic methods that enhance dietitians' skill development in a supportive way, are required.

Transparency Declaration.

The lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported. The reporting of this work is compliant with STROBE guidelines. The lead author affirms that no important aspects of the study have been omitted and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

References

- 1. Epstein RM, Franks P, Fiscella K *et al.* (2005) Measuring Patient-centred communication in patient –physician consultations: Theoretical and practical issues', *Soc Sci Med.* **61**, 1516-1528.
- 2. Lewin SA, Skea ZC, Entwistle V *et al.* (2001) Interventions for providers to promote a patient -centred approach in clinical consultations, *Cochrane Db Syst Rev*, Issue **4**. Art. No.: CD003267. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD003267.
- 3. Mead N. & Bower P. (2000) Patient-centredness: a conceptual framework and review of the empirical literature. *Soc Sci Med* **51**, 78-81.
- 4. Rees S & Williams A (2009) Promoting and supporting self-management for adults living in the community with physical chronic illness: A systematic review of the effectiveness and meaningfulness of the patient-practitioner encounter. *JBI Libr Syst Rev* **7**, 492-582.
- National Institute of Clinical excellence (NICE) (2014) Behaviour change: individual approaches Public health guideline (PH49) Available from https://www.nice.org.uk/Guidance/PH49
- 6. <u>MacLellan D</u> & <u>Berenbaum S</u> (2007) Canadian dietitians' understanding of the client-centered approach to nutrition counseling. *J Am Diet Assoc* **107**, 1414-7.
- 7. <u>Sladdin I, Ball L, Bull C et al.</u> (2017) Patient-centred care to improve dietetic practice: an integrative review. <u>J Hum Nutr Diet</u> **30**, 453-470.
- 8. Sladdin I, Gillespie BM, Ball L *et al.* (2019) Development and psychometric testing of an inventory to measure patient-centred care in dietetic practice-dietitian version. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **32**, 535-546.
- 9. Whitehead K, Langley-Evans SC, Tischler V et al. (2009) Communication skills for behaviour change in dietetic consultations. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **22**, 493-500.
- 10. British Dietetic Association (2013) A curriculum framework for pre-registration education and training of dietitians. [Online] Available at: https://www.bda.uk.com/uploads/assets/21c05601-2060-49aa-b687428baff66043/preregcurriculum.pdf
- 11. Health & Care Professions Council. (2013). *Standards of Proficiency Dietitians*. *Available at:* https://www.hcpc-uk.org/globalassets/resources/standards/standards-of-proficiency---dietitians.pdf

- 12. <u>Ball L</u>, <u>Davmor R</u>, <u>Leveritt M</u> *et al*. (2016) Understanding the nutrition care needs of patients newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes: a need for open communication and patient-focussed consultations. <u>Aust J Prim Health</u>. **22**, 416-422.
- 13. <u>Brotherton</u> AM & Abbott J (2008) <u>Patient perceptions of clinical decision making for percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy placement</u> *J Hum Nutr Diet* **21**, 382-383.
- 14. <u>Burden ST, Stamataki Z, Hill J et al.</u> (2016) <u>An exploration of food and the lived experience of individuals after treatment for colorectal cancer using a phenomenological approach. J Hum Nut Diet **29**, 137-145.</u>
- 15. Cant RP, & Aroni RA (2008) Exploring dietitians' verbal and nonverbal communication skills for effective dietitian-patient communication. *J Hum Nutr Diet*, **21**, 502-511.
- 16. Endevelt, R. & Gesser-Edelsburg, A (2014). A qualitative study of adherence to nutritional treatment: perspectives of patients and dietitians. *Patient Prefer Adherence*, **8**, 147-154.
- 17. <u>Hancock RE</u>, <u>Bonner G</u>, <u>Hollingdale R</u> *et al*. (2012) 'If you listen to me properly, I feel good': a qualitative examination of patient experiences of dietetic consultations. <u>J Hum</u> *Nutr Diet* **25**, 275-84.
- 18. <u>Hazzard E, Barone L, Mason M</u> *et al.* (2017). Patient-centred dietetic care from the perspectives of older malnourished patients. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **30**, 574-587
- 19. <u>Madden AM</u>, Riordan AM, Knowles L (2016) <u>Outcomes in coeliac disease: a qualitative exploration of patients' views on what they want to achieve when seeing a dietitian</u>. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **29**, 607-616.
- 20. Merriweather LJ, Salisbury LG, Walsh TS et al. (2016) Nutritional care after critical illness: a qualitative study of patients' experiences. J Hum Nutr Diet 29, 127-36.
- 21. Vaillancourt H, Légaré F, Gagnon M-P *et al.* (2014b) Assessing patient's involvement in decision making during the nutritional consultation with a dietitian. *Health Expect* **17**, 545-554.
- 22. Parkin T, de Looy A, Farrand P (2014) <u>Greater professional empathy leads to higher</u> agreement about decisions made in the consultation. *Patient Educ Couns* **96**,144-50.
- 23. Lawn S, Delany T, Sweet L *et al.* (2014) <u>Control in chronic condition, self-care</u> management: how it occurs in the health worker-client relationship and implications for client empowerment. *J Adv Nurs* **70**, 383-94.
- 24. Beverly EA, Ritholz MD, Brooks KM, *et al.* (2012) A qualitative study of perceived responsibility and self-blame in type 2 diabetes: reflection of physicians and patients. *J Gen Intern Med* **2799**, 1180-7.
- 25. Gherman A, Schnur J, Montgomery G *et al.* (2011) How are adherent people more likely to think? A meta-analysis of health beliefs and diabetes self-care. *Diabetes Educ* **37**, 392-408.

- 26. Edelman S, Belton A, Down S *et al.* (2019) Physician-patient communication at prescription of an additional oral drug for type 2 diabetes and its links to patient outcomes- New findings form the global IntroDia® study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **149**, 89-97.
- 27. Peimani M, Nasli-Esfahani E, Sadeghi R (2018) Patient' perceptions of patient-provider communication and diabetes care: A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *Chronic Illn.* 1742395318782378 ISSN: 1745-9206.
- 28. Polonsky WH, Capehorn M, Belton A *et al.* (2017) Physician-patient communication at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and its links to patient outcomes: New results from the global IntroDia® study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **12**, 265-274.
- 29. Power BT & Lennie SC (2012) Pre-registration dietetic students' attitudes to learning communication skills. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **25**, 189-97.
- 30. Knight A., Baldwin C., Reidlinger D.P. &Whelan K. (2020) Communication skills teaching for student dietitians using experiential learning and simulated patients. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. **33**, 601-613.
- 31. DIET-COMMS training package https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/dietcomms/
- 32. <u>Lu AH</u>, & <u>Dollahite J</u> (2010) Assessment of dietitians' nutrition counselling self-efficacy and its positive relationship with reported skill usage. <u>J Hum Nutr Diet</u> **23**, 144-53.
- 33. Brug J, Spikmans F, Aartsen C *et al.* (2007) Training Dietitians in Basic Motivational Interviewing Skills Results in Changes in Their Counseling Style and in Lower Saturated Fat Intakes in Their Patients, *J Nutr Educ Behav*, **39**, 8-12.
- 34. Kruger J & Dunning D (1999) Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments, *J Pers Soc Psychol*, **77**, 1121-1134.
- 35. Parkin T & Skinner TC (2003) Discrepancies between patient and professionals recall of an outpatient consultation. *Diabet Med* **20**, 909-914.
- 36. Pill R, Rees ME, Stott NC *et al.* (1999) Can nurses learn to let go? Issues arising from an intervention designed to improve patient's involvement in their own care *J Adv Nurs* **29**, 1492-1499.
- 37. Parry R (2008). Are interventions to enhance communication performance in allied health professionals effective, and how should they be delivered? Direct and indirect evidence. *Patient Educ Couns*, **73**:186-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2008.05.029.
- 38. Dwamena F, Holmes-Rovner M, Gaulden CM *et al.* (2012) Interventions for providers to promote a patient-centred approach in clinical consultations. *Cochrane Db Syst Rev* Issue 12. Art. No.: CD003267. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003267.pub2.
- 39. <u>Deschênes SM</u>, <u>Gagnon MP</u>, <u>Légaré F</u> *et al*. (2013) Psychosocial factors of dietitians' intentions to adopt shared decision making behaviours: a cross-sectional survey. <u>PLoS</u> One. **8**: e64523.

- 40. <u>Desroches S</u>, <u>Lapointe A</u>, <u>Deschênes SM</u> *et al.* (2011) Exploring dietitians' salient beliefs about shared decision-making behaviors. <u>Implement Sci.</u> **6**, 57.
- 41. Vaillancourt H, Légaré F, Gagnon M-P *et al.* (2014a) Exploration of shared decision-making processes among dieticians and patients during a consultation for the nutritional treatment of dyslipidaemia. *Health Expect* **18**, 2764-2775.
- 42. Bowling A (2014) Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services, 4th edition. Maidenhead, Open University Press.
- 43. Braun, V. & Clarke, V (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* **3**, 77-101.
- 44. Jahal Z, Cox, A, Goel N et al., (2018). Communication skills in the pharmacy profession: A cross-sectional survey of UK registered pharmacists and pharmacy educators. *Pharmacy* **6**:132; https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmacy6040132.
- 45. Fragkos, KC & Crampton PES (2019) The effectiveness of teaching clinical empathy to Medical students: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials *Acad Med* **95**, 947-957.
- 46. Parkin T & Collinson A (2019) Observations on the relationship between the dietetic objective structured clinical examination and placement outcome. *Nutr Diet* **76**, 628-633.
- 47. Whitehead KA, Langley-Evans SC, Tischler VA et al. (2014) Assessing communication skills in dietetic consultations: the development of the reliable and valid DIET-COMMS tool. <u>J Hum Nutr Diet</u> 27 Suppl, 321-32.
- 48. Moore PM, Rivera S, Bravo-Soto GA, *et al.*, (2018). Communication skills training for healthcare professionals working with people who have cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2018, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD003751. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003751.pub4.
- 49. Commissioning Board Chief Nursing Officer and DH Chief Nursing Adviser (2012). Compassion in Practice Nursing, Midwifery and Care Staff. Our Vision and Strategy. Published by www.commissioningboard.nhs.uk.
- 50. Department of Health (2010b). Essence of care benchmarks for communication. London: The Stationery Office Limited.
- 51. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2012). Patient experience in adult NHS services (CG138). London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.
- 52. Laidlaw, AH (2009). Social anxiety in medical students: implications for communication skills teaching. *Med Teach* **31**, 649-654.
- 53. Cant, R. & Aroni, R (2008a). From competent to proficient; nutrition education and counselling competency dilemmas experienced by Australian clinical dietitians in education of individuals. *Nutr Diet*, **65**, 84-89.
- 54. Notaras, S., Smythe, K., Mak, M. & Whitehead, K (2020). Implementation of a peer review program using the validated DIET-COMMS tool to assess dietitians'

- communication skills in the workplace. *Nutr Diet*. Article DOI: 10.1111/1747-0080.12635
- 55. Parry R, Pino M, Faull C, et al., (2016). Acceptability and design of video-based research on healthcare communication: Evidence and recommendations. *Patient Educ Couns.* **99**:1271-84. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2016.03.013. Epub 2016 Mar 17. PMID: 27066862.
- 56. Mathiesen AS, Egerod I, Jensen T, *et al.* (2019) Psychosocial interventions for reducing diabetes distress in vulnerable people with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 12:19-33.
- 57. <u>Frost H, Campbell P, Maxwell M et al.</u> (2018) Effectiveness of Motivational Interviewing on adult behaviour change in health and social care settings: A systematic review of reviews. <u>PLoS. 2018 One.</u> 8;13(10): e0204890.
- 58. McColl, E., Jacoby, A., Thomas, L *et al.* (2001). Design and use of questionnaires: a review of best practice applicable to surveys of health service staff and patients. *Health Technol Assess* **5**: No. 31. Southampton: National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment.
- 59. Pope C (2019) *Qualitative Research in Health Care, 4th edition*. Ebook. Wiley Professional, Reference and Trade (Wiley K&L), Chichester.
- 60. The NHS constitution for England (2021), GOV.UK https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-nhs-constitution-for-england

Table 1. Importance of CSBC for different aspects of practice.

Aspect of practice	Important		3 (Neutral)		Not important		Not applicable		Missing data
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Relationship with clients	610	98.7	6	1	1	0.2	1	0.2	111
Relationship with colleagues	498	81.4	85	13.9	19	3.1	10	1.6	117
Job satisfaction	544	88.8	60	9.8	4	0.7	5	0.8	116
Client satisfaction	606	98.7	6	1.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	115
Client DNA* rates	439	71.7	120	19.6	33	5.3	20	3.3	117
Client clinical outcomes	587	95.6	24	3.9	2	0.3	1	0.2	115
Confidence in client interviews	588	95.9	21	3.4	0	0	4	0.7	116
Time keeping in client interviews	409	66.6	148	24.1	43	7.0	14	2.3	115
Ability to cope with challenging clients	602	97.9	9	1.5	2	0.4	2	0.3	114

^{*}Did not attend

Table 2. Methods of teaching CSBC experienced pre-registration.

Method	A	A lot Some		N	one	Missing data	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N
		At un	iversit	y			
Lectures	47	14.5	267	82.2	11	3.4	21
Observing a demonstration of skill (either by video or	36	11.1	253	78.3	34	10.5	23
live)							
DIET-COMMS online	3	1.0	19	6.1	289	92.9	35
training package	3	1.0	1)	0.1	20)	72.7	33
Role-play (an opportunity to	84	25.9	226	69.8	14	4.3	22
practice skills)				0,10			
Role-play: audio-recorded	13	4.1	88	27.8	215	68	30
and listened back							
Role-play: video-recorded	11	3.5	145	45.6	162	50.9	28
and watched back		100	210			170	
Role-play: observed by	61	18.9	210	65.2	51	15.8	24
peers, followed by							
information feedback	20	10.4	222	70.5	<i></i>	17.1	21
Role-play: observed by	39	12.4	222	70.5	54	17.1	31
tutor, followed by informal feedback							
	23	7.3	133	42.1	160	50.6	30
Role-play with formal assessment (e.g. Objective	23	1.3	133	42.1	100	30.0	30
Structured Clinical							
Examination (OSCE))							
Examination (CSCE))	Oı	n clinica	l nlace	ement			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Lectures/tutorials	8	2.6	83	26.9	217	70.5	38
Observing a demonstration	76	24.5	91	29.4	143	46.1	36
of skill (either a video or	, 0		, 1	_, .	1.0	.0.1	
live)							
Consultations with real	228	70.6	80	24.8	15	4.6	23
patients							
DIET-COMMS online	0	0	7	2.3	303	97.7	36
training package							
Role-play (an opportunity to	21	6.8	110	35.4	180	57.9	35
practice skills)							
Role-play: audio-recorded	1	0.3	6	1.9	301	97.7	38
and listened back							
Role-play: video-recorded	0	0	6	1.9	303	98.1	37
and watched back							
Role-play: observed by	17	5.5	53	17.0	241	77.5	35
peers, followed by informal							
feedback							

Role-play: observed by tutor, followed by informal	30	9.7	59	19.0	221	71.3	36
Role-play with formal assessment (e.g. OSCE)	8	2.6	14	4.6	285	92.8	39

Table 3. Respondents' views on teaching methods to use in pre-registration education.

Teaching Method		Setting for delivery of teaching					Тоо	Missina	
	В	oth	Univ	ersity	Pla	cement		ching nappropriate	Missing data
	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	n
Lectures	166	26.2	431	69.1	3	0.5	33	5.2	96
Consultations with real patients	256	39.9	2	0.3	370	57.7	13	2.0	88
Observing a demonstration of skill (video or live)	477	74.1	151	23.4	15	2.3	1	0.2	85
Online training packages (e.g. DIET-COMMS)	360	62.0	165	28.4	12	2.1	44	7.6	148
Role-play (an opportunity to practice skills)	468	73.0	161	25.1	7	1.1	5	0.7	88
Role-play: with a real patient	241	38.4	85	13.5	193	30.7	109	17.4	101
Role-play: audio- recorded and listened back	258	41.0	306	48.6	12	1.9	53	8.4	100
Role-play: video- recorded and watched back	253	39.9	357	56.3	13	2.1	11	1.7	95
Role- play: observed by peers, followed by informal feedback	349	55.6	222	35.4	33	5.3	24	3.8	101
Role- play: observed by tutor, followed by informal feedback	336	52.8	276	43.4	16	2.5	8	1.3	93
Role-play with formal assessment (e.g. OSCE)	177	28.7	366	59.4	14	2.3	59	9.6	113

Table 4. Impact of post-registration training on practice.

Aspect of practice	Imj	proved	No change Got worse Not applicable			Missing data			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	data
Your relationship with clients	475	95.0	20	4.0	0	0	5	1.0	14
Your relationship with colleagues	322	64.4	171	34.2	1	0.2	6	1.2	14
Your job satisfaction	421	84.2	77	15.4	0	0	2	0.4	14
Client satisfaction	457	92.4	26	5.3	0	0	12	2.4	19
Client DNA* rates	168	34.0	219	44.3	2	0.4	105	21.3	20
Client clinical outcomes	397	80.7	62	12.6	0	0	33	6.7	22
Your confidence in client interviews	459	92.0	34	6.8	1	0.2	5	1.0	15
Your time keeping in client interviews	226	45.4	178	35.7	82	16.5	12	2.4	16
Your ability to cope with challenging clients	466	93.4	28	5.6	0	0	5	1.0	15

^{*}Did not attend

Supplementary information 1

Survey questions

Question	Response format
Which type of BDA membership do you have?	Pre-coded, used to check that the respondent had clicked on the right link for their type of membership.
How many years have you been qualified as a dietitian?	Pre-coded, tick box. Less than 5 years, 5 years or more but less than 10, 10 years or more but less than 20, 20 years or more but less than 30, 30 years or more but less than 40, 40 years or more.

What is your main area of work?	Pre-coded, tick which applies e.g. NHS, Freelance, Public health,
	education, industry,
Other, please specify	Free text
Which Band are you currently employed on?	Pre-coded, bands 4 -8.
What is your main specialist area of work?	Pre-coded, tick which applies e.g. Paediatrics, Diabetes, Mental
	health, nutrition support, Non- specialist etc.
What percentage of your current working hours would	Pre-coded, tick box.
you estimate to be one-to one client contact?	0, 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75%, 75-100%
How many hours (in whole time equivalents) do you	Pre-coded, tick box
normally work each week?	0-0.2, 0.21-0.4, 0.41-0.6, 0.61-0.8, 0.81-1.
What is your gender?	Pre-coded, tick box, Male, Female,
	non-binary, prefer not to say
In which country to you work?	Pre-coded, tick box
England, Scotland, Northern Ireland, Wales, Republic of	
Ireland, Other, please specify	
Did you have any dedicated training in 'communication	Pre-coded, tick box
skills for behaviour change' as part of your pre-	Yes, no, do not recall
registration training?	
At University, did this include	Pre-coded, a lot, some, none.
Lectures	
Observing a demonstration of skills (either a video or live)	
DIET-COMMS online training package	
Role play (an opportunity to practice skills)	
Role play that was audio recorded and listened back	
Role play that was video recorded and watched back	
Role play that was observed by peers, followed by	
informal feedback	
Role play that was observed by tutor, followed by	
informal feedback	
Role play with formal assessment (e.g. OSCE)	Space for comments
Other activities, including other online training	
packages.	
On placement did this include	Pre-coded, a lot, some, none.
Lecture/tutorial	
Observing a demonstration of skills (either a video or	
live)	
Consultations with real patients	
DIET-COMMS online training package	
Role play (an opportunity to practice skills)	
Role play that was audio recorded and listened back	
Role play that was video recorded and watched back	
Role play that was observed by peers, followed by informal feedback	
Role play that was observed by tutor, followed by	
informal feedback	

Rela plan with formal accessment (a.g. OSCE)	Conservation of the contract o
Role play with formal assessment (e.g. OSCE)	Space for comments
Other activities, including other online training	
packages.	December 1911 by Many and the set
Have you had any dedicated training in	Pre-coded, tick box, Yes, no, do not
'communication skills for behaviour change' as part of	recall
your post-registration training?	
Which of the following did this include? (Please select	Pre-coded
all that apply)	
Attending a formal training session or course	
Observing/shadowing colleagues	
DIET-COMMS online training package	
Self-directed learning (e.g. extensive reading, online	
research)	
Other activities including other online training	
packages	
Consultation that was audio/video recorded, and	
watch back for self-assessment/reflection	
Consultation that was observed by peers, followed by	
informal feedback	
Consultation that was observed by tutor, followed by	
informal feedback.	
Have you been able to put some of your learning into	Pre-coded, Yes, No
practice?	
What effect do you think this has had on your work?	Pre-coded responses
Your relationship with clients	Improved a lot, Improved a
Your relationship with colleagues	little, No change, A bit worse, A lot
Your job satisfaction	worse, N/A
Client satisfaction	
Client DNA rates	
Client clinical outcomes	
Your confidence in client interviews	
Your time-keeping in client interviews	
Your ability to cope with challenging clients	
Why were you unable to put your training into	Pre-coded, tick box
practice? (please select all that apply)	
Lack of support from colleagues/management	
Lack of time	
The training did not equip me to change my practice	
Frightened of clients' responses	
Don't think these skills are relevant for my practice	
Don't find the approach helpful	
Wasn't confident with my skills	Facelori
Other, please specify,	Free text
Which teaching methods do you think we should be	Pre-coded, select either,
using to teach pre-registration dietetic students	University, Placement or Both
communication skills for behaviour change?	
Lectures	
Consultations with real patients	
Observing a demonstration of skills (either a video or	
live)	
Online training package (such as DIET-COMMS)	

	<u></u>
Role play (an opportunity to practice skills)	
Role play with a real patient	
Role play that was audio recorded, and listened back	
Role play that was video recorded, and watched back	
Role play that was observed by peers, followed by	
informal feedback	
Role play that was observed by tutor, followed by	
informal feedback	
Role play with formal assessment (e.g. OSCE)	
Other activities, including other online training	
packages.	
Overall, what proportion of responsibility do you think	University: Placement
should be given for teaching communication skills for	0:100, 25:75, 50:50, 75:25, 100:0
behaviour change in pre-registration training?	
What do you consider are the core communication	Free text
skills for behaviour change that a graduate dietitian	
should have in order to be fit for practice? Please	
describe.	
Do you feel that most dietitians need post-registration	Pre-coded, tick box, Yes, No
training on communication skills for behaviour change?	
Which of these training opportunities do you feel most	Pre-coded, tick box
post-registration dietitians need? Please select all that	
apply	
Theory based (not including opportunities for skill	
practice)	
Skills based (minimal theory, focus on skill practice)	
Work-based (e.g. departmental updates)	
Informal peer review with colleagues	
Formal assessment of core communication skills in	
the work place to further identify training needs	
Advanced application: Motivational Interviewing	
techniques	
Advance application: Cognitive Behavioural Strategies	
Advanced application: Mindfulness	
Other, please specify	Free text
	Frankrit
Please explain why you feel that most dietitians DO	Free text
NOT need post-registration training on communication	
skills for behaviour change.	Due and add tink how You No.
Do you think that communication skills for behaviour	Pre-coded, tick box, Yes, No
change are more relevant for some dietetic roles than	
others?	Free tout
Please explain	Free text.
How important do you think it is for dietitians to use	5-point Likert scale from 'very
good communication skills for behaviour change when	important' to 'not at all important'.
working with clients? Please rate	N/A.
Which of the following do you think communication	5-point Likert scale from 'very
skills for behaviour change are important for?	important' to 'not at all important'.
Relationship with clients	N/A.
Relationship with colleagues	
Job satisfaction	

Client satisfaction	
Client DNA rates	
Client clinical outcomes	
Confidence in client interviews	
Time-keeping in client interviews	
Ability to cope with challenging clients	
Other, please specify	Free text.
How important do you think good communication skills	5-point Likert scale from 'very
for behaviour change are in obtaining an accurate diet	important' to 'not at all important'.
history?	
How important do you think good communication skills	5-point Likert scale from 'very
for behaviour change are in obtaining an accurate	important' to 'not at all important'.
dietetic assessment of the client?	
How would you rate you own communication skills for	5-point Likert scale from 'Excellent'
behaviour change?	to 'Very poor'.
The following is a list of skills which may be part of	5-point Likert scale from 'very
successful client interviews. Please rate how important	important' to 'not at all important'.
these aspects are to you in client interviews.	N/A.
Greeting a client appropriately and putting them at	
their ease	
Communicate at an appropriate level for individual	
client	
Listening attentively	
Appropriate non-verbal communication	
Paraphrasing the client's story	
Recognising and responding appropriately to verbal	
communication	
Do you feel you would benefit from training in	Pre-coded, tick box.
communication skills for behaviour change? (Please	·
select all that apply)	
Theory based (not including opportunities for skill	
practice)	
Skills based (minimal theory, focus on skill practice)	
Mixed (theory with opportunities for skill practice)	
Work-based (e.g. departmental updates)	
Informal peer review with colleagues	
Formal assessment of core communication skills in the	
workplace to further identify training needs	
Other please specify	Free text
Do you feel you would benefit from training in	Pre-coded, tick box.
advanced application of communication skills? (Please	·
select all that apply)	
Motivational Interviewing techniques	
Cognitive Behavioural Strategies	
Mindfulness	
Other (Please specify)	Free text
How would you like further training to be delivered	Pre-coded, tick box.
(Please select all that apply)	
External courses	
Work-based	
Self-directed	

Online training packages	
Other, please specify.	Free text
It has been suggested that individual practitioners should have their communication skills for behaviour change reviewed regularly post-registration. Do you agree with this suggestion?	Pre-coded, tick box, Yes, No.
Should the regular post-registration review of communication skills for behaviour change	Pre-coded, tick box, voluntary, mandatory.
be voluntary or mandatory? Please explain	Free text
How often would you recommend review to occur?	Pre-coded, tick box, every 2, 3, 4, 5 years
Why do you disagree with regular post-registration review of communication skills for behaviour change?	Free text
How do you feel personally about having your communication skills for behaviour change assessed?	Free text
In your opinion, is the culture within the dietetic profession likely to be supportive of formal assessment of communication skills for behaviour change?	Free text
And finally, is there anything you would like to say about communication skills for behaviour change in the dietetic profession?	Free text.

Supplementary information 2. Terms included and counts of skills identified

Summary table for themes identified indicating which terms were part of which theme. Percentages indicated in the table are the number of times a theme was identified divided by responses N=1831. This indicates how significant a part of the feedback that theme was.

Specific	Specific techniques	Specific	Behaviour	Process (P)
skills/behaviou	(T)	behaviours	change	
rs identified		(B)	approaches,	
(S)	21% (387/1831)		models,	

550/		8%	strategies	7%
55% (1012/1831)		(138/1831)	suggested (theory)	(122/1831)
(1012/1051)			9% (166/1831)	
Listening	Conflict resolution	Empathy	Basic counselling	Set clear
Active listening	Dealing with	(dealing	skills	boundaries
Reflection	challenging	with	therapeutic	Agenda
/picking up	patients/families	emotions)	alliance,	setting –
cues / verbal	Ambiguity/ resistance	Uncondition	advanced	eliciting
feedback	Motivate and explore	al positive	counselling skills	patient topic
Summarising	motivation to change	regard Non-	working with	and cues
paraphrasing	Explore readiness to	· -	complex behaviours	Addressing
Questions open and closed,	change Confidence scaling	judgemental		patient.
non-leading,	Importance	Equal power base	health coaching empowerment	Introduce
clarifying,	Negotiate change	Genuineness	mindfulness	consultation
probing and	Check understanding	compassion	MI	expectations
reflective	Rapport building-	acceptance	Patient	(assumptions
Minimal	interpersonal skills-	respect good	focused/patient)
encouragers	putting patient at ease	attitude	centred	Fact finding
Eye contact	L	patience	Solution focused	written
Silence	Barriers to change	dignity	therapy	letters
Acknowledgem	(difficult behaviours)	Supportive	NLP	communicati
ent	Support relapse	engagement	CBT	on treatment
Non-verbal	Self-management	Demonstrate	Stages of change	lanes
communication	Avoid jargon – simple	understandin	Behaviour	Diet history
/body language	explanations clarity	g	change	(typical day)
Listen for	concise information	Supportive	theory/psycholog	Time and
change talk	communication	encouragem	ical	time
Affirmations	appropriate level,	ent –	underpinnings	management
	clear and	confidence		– effective
	understandable	to achieve		communicati
	Strategies for non-	/reassurance Self-		on under
	compliance Problem solving			pressure Consent
	Goal setting	awareness Demonstrate		Knowledge
	Agreed goals-	understandin		Structure of
	SMART – realistic	g		consultation
	SMART action plans	Facilitating		Maintain
	Clear goals and	Responds to		structure and
	intentions	needs of		flow of
	Patient goals and	patient –		consultation
	strategies	hears their		Be able to
	Shared information	voice		open and
	Involved in decision	Not just		close
	collaborative support	advice		consultation
	and guidance	(recognise		Consult with
	personalised advice	belief		basic
	tailored advice	systems and		patients,
		values of		weight

	1	ı	T	I
	relevant advice	patient		management
	information exchange	perspective,		and type 2
	Choice	follow pt.		diabetes
	Breaking bad news,	story habit)		Telephone
	discussing difficult	Patience		skills
	topics	Manage		Refer onto
	Appropriate	patient-		others
	information	negotiate		Factual
	Advice giving	persuasion		Speaking and
	Guided options(CHOI	Assessing		reading
	CE)	Resilience		Professionali
	Teach and teach back	ego deal		sm
	skills	with		Awareness
		emotionally		soft
		challenging		limitations
		topics		
		(difficult		
		patients) /		
		non-		
		compliance		
		Confidence		
		in skill use		
These were men	tioned as things not to	do, 6 responses	s in total	
(although sympa	athy as listed as a skill)	_		
		sympathy	Prescriptive	
			advice	
			Exchange	
			ideas, don't offer	
			Skills needed for	
			groups and	
			individuals	
			Help Recall	
			Don't interrogate	

Supplementary information 3.

Why do dietitians disagree with regular post-registration review of communication skills for behaviour change?"

Theme	Subthemes	Nodes	Respondent nu mbers	Example quotes
How it should happen	Either is or should be	Manager should assess	9	"Already should be addressed within work

		42 50 67 456	/2422
done in	Done as part of work	13, 50 67 156	supervision/PADRs and
reviews	supervision	373 402 518	CPD and there is a limit
		568	to the number of hoops
	Done via	13, 41, 67, 85,	we should be asked to
	PDPR/appraisals	89 208 393 469	jump through" (13)
		488 632656	"In a well worked
	Done as CPD	13 96 156 199	department it should be
		207 208 224	recognised if you are
		287 504	struggling with these
	Provide evidence of	24 156	skills without having to
	development		formally review
	Do it through HCPC	99 209 224 366	them" (96)
	audit	639 696	
	Teams/departments	163 511 530	"should be part
	recognise training	634	of supervision, self devel
	needs		opment, CPD etc" (156)
	Work based support	204	"Very experienced in this
	Good departments	96	and get feedback from
	should recognise		colleagues, patients via
	those struggling with		evaluations,
	skills		verbal" (250)
	Already assessed	464	"Part of HCPC
	within PR		standards/professional
	Might become an	546	practice which are
	additional tool for		already
	performance review		monitored" (639)
	Positive about being	223	momeorea (655)
	assessed by other		
	HCPs		
	Should be part of	626	
	interview process		
	Already monitored	639	
	through HCPC	033	
	Experienced in being	250	
	reviewed.	230	
Individuals	Self-	106 243 287	"Each professional
are	moderation/regulatio	377 523	should be responsible for
responsible	n	377 323	their own learning and
for	Individual	106 243 400	level of training
identifying	responsibility to	504 528 530	required" (243)
their	develop/maintain	531 568 707	
training	skills-	722	"I think most people are
needs		122	able to spot their own
riccus	professionalism/auto		deficiencies." (379)
	nomous practitioners	277 454	"All areas in dietetic
	Reflection/self-review	377 454	practice need to be up to
	Most people can spot	379	date but professionals
	their own deficiencies	44.4	registered with the HCPC
	Trust people to	414	should be trusted to
	comply with needs of		should be trusted to
	their role		

		Should be doing it already	280	comply with the needs for their role." (414)
	Outcome based indic	Use CARE documentation	397	"I think you pick this up at annual reviews with staff as how they communicate is reflected in outcomes, complaints and compliments" (89) "I think when you reach certain level in your career you do not need review unless there has been complaints against you" (562)
	ated need for training	Let the patients decide	88	
		When a concern has been raised	435 480 562	
		csBC performance reflected in outcomes, complaints and compliments	89	
		Should be dependent on skills	292	
		Should be outcome focused	672	
Consideration s for practicalities:	How to build in training	Not formal/regular review	163 207 243 287 373 468 500 530	"It should not be a formal review but there should be formal
Time and money, how	and/or review?	Not blanket review for everyone	292 488 632	education offered" (207) "These skills can be
to build in training and		Provide training before assessing	432 570 702	reviewed as part of supervision or peer
review, how to make		regular Regular review useful	413 686 626	support to reduce anxiety around
it supportive and		for some Make part of regular	41 199, 243	assessment and promote healthy discussion about
objective.		training/updates	·	how to further improve
		Make it peer support/review	50 447 669	skills." (50) "I do think post
		Promote healthy discussions	50	registration training should be available, but
		Refresher courses/regular updates	98 122 199 207 311 373 468 683	no formal assessment" (468)
		Regular feedback is important	289	"If we need it to qualify then it shouldn't need to
		One off after set time Have a best practice	686 377 470	be reassessed. Though it may be helpful to support best
		approach MI and CBT	556	practice." (470)
		Not realistic to continuously assess	297	
	Time and money	Difficult to access training	289	"Further reviews of skills would be too
	for training	cost	570	burdensome on busy
	are in short supply therefore	Too much else to do/busy/additional work	13 322 366 570	NHS staff." (366)

		T		I
	this needs	Time commitment	237 277 298	"I think as we all have
	to be		413	busy workloads time
	considered.	Need cost effective	570	may also be an
		methods of training		issue." (570)
		Online module would	707	"There are so many
		be helpful-mandatory		post registration reviews
		Too little time for CPD	609	and so little CPD time
		Another thing to	197 308	available to Dietitians I
		do/be checked		feel it would mean more
		on/box ticking		Dietitians would leave
		Departments will not	522	the profession" (609)
		have the capacity		// L. LICC. L
	Must be supportive	Not necessarily supportive	136	"Very difficult to measure, how would you
	and	How would you	145	deem someone as
	objective	decide on	143	incompetent?" (145)
	Objective			
		incompetence? Too subjective	182 527	"I would like to know
		•		how this would be
		Unlikely to happen	179	assessed before
		What is regular review?	196 570	agreeing" (508)
		lone	233	"I think it would be
		worker/freelance		difficult to assess against
		How would it be	251 461 508	a standard, and also
		reviewed	721	problematic identifying
		Depends in format	299 413	assessors" (632)
		and regularity	233 .13	"We don't have a
		How you do this	363	consistent basis for
		effects whether		assessment for students
		should happen or		so feel it would be even
		not?		more difficult to apply to
		Difficult to manage	448 641	qualified staff." (717)
		Difficult to assess	632	
		against a standard	332	
		Impractical	717	
		Who would do review	19 316 520 570	
			632	
		Undertaking regular	67	
		review would be		
		impossible		
		Difficult to assess	124 145 527	
		Currently inadequate	702	
		support for training	702	
		No consistent basis	717	
		for assessment	, ±,	
Teaching and	Training	Undergraduate	24 108 287 569	"You should be
learning of	and review	should be/is enough	635 648 656	competent before
CSBC post-	of CSBC	More emphasis on	296 414 432	registration" (108)
registration is	should	undergraduate		
not a priority	be covered	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		"Expect a certain level of
, ,				ability on qualification

Should be covered at	at the undergradu ate level			and would not expect this to deteriorate significantly "(569)
undergraduat e levels once	Review of	No-one likely to fail	461	"For some roles would
learnt don't n	CSBC is not	Don't lose skills	307	not be appropriate or necessary. For example, specialist ITU dietitians who would rarely need to use those skills." (119)
eed reviewing Why should	necessary or appropriate	Wouldn't make any difference	311 347	
this be reviewed ove		Not always applicable or necessary	12, 96, 114, 164 374 483 562	
r and above			683 698 713	"It's not really a skill you
other skills and		Way of being, not a skill that becomes unlearnt	475	lose, just something you can improve on I think" (307)
knowledge would cause		I don't need it	728	. ,
stress and anxiety as		Should be assessing other skills	366	"It would seem like a lot of hassle for something
suggesting no		Depends on individual roles	48 119 124 159 223 281, 284	that I can't imagine anyone failing" (461)
			325 354 422	"It would depend on the
			432 626 687	relevance of the
		Not appropriate for all settings	119, 159	role" (687) "have been practising
		Sounds like a test	429	for several years with
		We should naturally	88 447 480	good rapport with my
		progress with experience		clients" (728)
		Skills are always there	651	
		once learnt		
		You develop if you practice	107 374	
		Each to their own. Everyone has their own way	98 511	
	Review of	Additional	48 50 98 136	"I don't think dietitians
	CSBC would	pressure/stress	149 209 265	will like itthere is
	be too	•	298 266 373	already too much
	stressful.		379 465 511	pressure on staying up
			684	to date, CPD, mandatory
		Hassle	461	training etc and we do
		Need to manage	50	not need more pressures
		anxiety		in the current
		Poor retention	465 609	climate." (511)
		rates/people would		"If implementing skills
		leave the profession	F11	daily and experienced
		They won't like it	511	clinicians, why put the
		Insulting	24	extra pressure on members of staff." (684)

Why should review of CSBC be prioritised over other skills and	Nothing else regularly assessed/reviewed Too many other hoops to jump through/not a priority	20 213 393 648 13 511 518 569	"We don't review any other part of our skills or knowledge base, so why single out this skill" (213)
knowledge which are not assessed.	More important things to spend time on/not more important than other things	169 286 477	"There are more important things to spend time auditing such as overall professionalism and knowledge appropriate to role" (169)
	Other elements of CPD should be prioritised/assessed as well	322 414 706	"We currently don't review any of our skills e.g. calculating nutritional requirements so I don't feel this should be any different." (706)