

2021-03

mHealth as a primary mode of intervention for women at risk of, or diagnosed with, gestational diabetes

Edwards, KJ

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/16977>

10.11124/jbies-20-00151

JBI Evidence Synthesis

Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health)

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

1 Acceptance date: 28.07.2020

2 **Review title**

3 mHealth as a primary mode of intervention for women at risk of, or diagnosed with, gestational
4 diabetes: a systematic scoping review protocol

5 **Abstract**

6 **Objective:** To synthesize current knowledge on the use of mHealth as a primary mode of intervention
7 for the prevention and management of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and its long-term
8 implications among women at risk of, or diagnosed with, GDM.

9 **Introduction:** Prevention and management of GDM and its associated adverse outcomes are of
10 paramount importance to both maternal and infant health. However, women with experience of GDM
11 report several barriers to effective disease management and lifestyle change. Supporting women
12 through use of mHealth technology may help overcome these barriers. Recent evidence suggests
13 mobile apps may be useful for prevention and management of GDM, however less is known about the
14 broader application of mHealth from preconception to interconception.

15 **Inclusion criteria:** Studies considered for inclusion are those focused on the use of mHealth as
16 primary mode of intervention for the prevention and management of GDM and its long-term
17 implications among, women at risk of, or diagnosed with, GDM. Studies will be limited to those
18 published in English.

19 **Methods:** The following Databases will be searched: MEDLINE (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCO), EMBASE
20 (Ovid), Cochrane Database (Wiley), Scopus, and TRIP. Unpublished studies and grey literature will
21 be searched using Open Grey, ISRCTN Registry, ClinicalTrials.gov, EU Clinical Trials register and
22 ANZCTR. Two reviewers will independently screen abstracts. Reviewers will assess full texts of
23 selected citations against the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements will be discussed with a third
24 reviewer. Data will be extracted and presented in diagrammatic or tabular form with an accompanying
25 narrative in line with review objectives.

26 **Keywords:** GDM; mHealth; digital health; mobile applications; gestational diabetes

27 **Abstract Word Count:** 250.

28 **Total manuscript word count:** 2113

29 Introduction

30 Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) has been defined as 'carbohydrate intolerance resulting in
31 hyperglycemia of variable severity with onset or first recognition during pregnancy'.¹ Despite a lack of
32 consensus regarding screening and diagnostic criteria, there is widespread agreement that the
33 prevalence of GDM is increasing worldwide.² In the United Kingdom (UK) an estimated 16 out of
34 every 100 women will develop GDM.³ Development of fetal macrosomia, or birthweight greater than
35 4000g, is a key perinatal consequence of GDM and is associated with increased likelihood of birth
36 injuries, caesarean delivery, and shoulder dystocia.⁴ Infants are also more likely to experience
37 respiratory distress syndrome, neonatal hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, polycythemia, and
38 hypocalcemia.⁴ Both genetic and environmental risk factors play a role in the pathogenesis of GDM.⁵
39 High maternal body mass index (BMI) ($\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$) and prior GDM are both independently associated
40 with increased GDM risk as well as longer term adverse outcomes such as development of type 2
41 diabetes.^{6,7}

42 Preventing GDM onset by tackling modifiable lifestyle factors has shown mixed results regarding
43 effectiveness.⁸ However, a recent meta-analysis of data from 11,487 pregnant women concluded that
44 lifestyle interventions implemented before 15 weeks gestation were able to reduce the risk of GDM by
45 20%.⁹ For women who already have a GDM diagnosis, the importance of effectively managing the
46 condition is central for reducing the likelihood of adverse outcomes. For those who had mild GDM
47 (defined as a fasting glucose level of less than 5.3mmol/l, and two or three timed glucose
48 measurements exceeding established thresholds), dietary intervention, self-monitoring of blood
49 glucose and insulin therapy significantly reduced the risk of macrosomia compared to those who
50 received standard care.¹⁰ Reoccurrence of GDM is thought to arise in 30% to 84% of subsequent
51 pregnancies, making the interconception and postpartum periods key windows of opportunity to
52 reduce the likelihood of future GDM pregnancies, as well as providing women with interventions
53 aimed at preventing potential type 2 diabetes onset.^{2,11}

54 While it is clear that effectively preventing and managing GDM is crucial for improving maternal and
55 infant outcomes, women report difficulties in managing the condition once diagnosed, as well as
56 making lifestyle modifications, particularly postpartum.^{12,13} Women with previous diagnosis of GDM
57 encounter a unique set of barriers to engaging in face-to-face lifestyle interventions, including time
58 and financial constraints, childcare duties, fatigue and lack of motivation.¹³ Thus, delivery of care via
59 telephone or through internet has been suggested as an optimal way of supporting this population.¹⁴

60 mHealth has been defined as the "use of mobile and wireless technologies, such as mobile phones
61 and personal digital assistants (PDAs), to support the achievement of health objectives".¹⁵ Commonly
62 used mHealth technologies include smartphone apps, wearable sensors, and social media use. It is
63 estimated that 79% of adults in the UK own a smartphone, with ownership as high as 95% for 16-24
64 year olds.¹⁶ The average monthly consumption of mobile network data in the UK has increased by
65 25% since 2018, suggesting people are increasingly accessing the internet through their mobile

66 phones.¹⁶ Pregnant and postpartum women are high users of mobile phone devices and increasingly
 67 rely on social media and mobile apps as sources of pregnancy and health information.¹⁷ The use of
 68 apps during pregnancy has been found to be feasible and acceptable among women, however,
 69 because of heterogeneity in interventions, comparators and outcome measures, it is difficult to draw
 70 conclusions on the effects of apps on maternal knowledge, behavior change and perinatal health
 71 outcomes.^{18,19}

72 Diabetes self-management and remote monitoring was one of the earliest focuses for the application
 73 of mHealth.²⁰ However, interventions aimed specifically at supporting women with GDM have
 74 significantly lagged in comparison. However, the use of technology in GDM care has evolved in
 75 recent years, most notably in the domain of smartphone-facilitated remote blood glucose monitoring,
 76 telehealth for supervision of glycemic control during pregnancy and text messaging reminders for
 77 diabetic screening postpartum.^{21,22,23}

78 The most recent scoping review by Chen et al.²⁴ of mobile apps for gestational diabetes, consolidated
 79 knowledge around functionality, implementation, impact, and role of health literacy. The review
 80 included 12 articles focusing on seven different mobile apps, aimed at the prevention and
 81 management of GDM. The authors concluded that mobile apps have the potential to help prevent
 82 GDM and improve GDM management, however, the impact of mobile apps on relevant outcomes
 83 needs to be addressed using larger scale randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Additionally the
 84 authors suggested that health literacy should be considered more readily during mobile app
 85 development and evaluation in order to increase usability and engagement. Nikolopoulos et al.
 86 recently published a literature review aiming to identify and appraise major mobile apps for GDM that
 87 were tested and evaluated by clinical studies published in MEDLINE and Scopus.²⁵ The review
 88 included 19 studies focused on three apps, and concluded that apps for blood glucose monitoring
 89 were a practical and useful way of tackling the growing burden of GDM. While both these reviews
 90 demonstrate promising support for mobile apps for use in GDM care, particularly during pregnancy,
 91 we aim to broaden the scope of this knowledge by conducting a scoping review focused on all types
 92 of mHealth (rather than just apps), that are available to support women at risk of or diagnosed with
 93 GDM.

94 The objective of this scoping review is, therefore, to provide an overview of the extent of knowledge
 95 related to the use of mHealth as primary mode of intervention for the prevention and management of
 96 GDM and its long-term implications among women at risk of or diagnosed with GDM. We aim to
 97 determine what kind of evidence is available and identify gaps for future research. We aim to better
 98 understand how mHealth interventions have been evaluated, the timing and context of their
 99 implementation, and their purpose of use. We also aim to summarize study key findings and outcome
 100 measures.

101 A preliminary search of PROSPERO, MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and
 102 the JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports was conducted and no current

103 or underway systematic or scoping reviews on the topic were identified. To the best of our knowledge,
 104 the protocol outlined for this scoping review is the first to address the concept of mHealth for GDM,
 105 across the full pregnancy journey from preconception, pregnancy, postpartum and interconception.

106 **Review question**

107 What is known about using mHealth as a primary mode of intervention for the prevention and
 108 management of GDM and its long-term implications among women at risk of and diagnosed with,
 109 gestational diabetes?

110 **Inclusion criteria**

111 **Participants**

112 The review will consider studies that include women who are at risk of GDM, currently have or have
 113 previously had a diagnosis of GDM. We acknowledge that women who have a history of diabetes
 114 (type1 or type 2) will experience diabetes during pregnancy, however, because the focus of this
 115 review will be on GDM, we will exclude studies primarily focused on women with pre-existing Type 1
 116 or Type 2 diabetes. Because we wish to understand use of mHealth among women with a previous
 117 diagnosis of GDM (inter-conception and postpartum periods) we will consider studies that include
 118 participants of any age.

119

120 **Concept**

121 This review will consider studies examining mHealth for GDM. mHealth has been defined as the use
 122 of mobile and wireless technologies to support the achievement of health objectives.¹⁵ We will include
 123 studies examining a range of mHealth technologies including, but not limited to, smartphone apps,
 124 wearable sensors such as smartwatches, and social media use. As mHealth technologies continue to
 125 be developed at a rapid pace, any newly emerging technologies that appear in the literature between
 126 protocol development and study selection will also be considered for inclusion. Studies focused on
 127 telehealth or telemedicine for GDM care, will be excluded as these have been systematically reviewed
 128 elsewhere.²⁶ In cases where studies include mHealth as one component of a broader interventional
 129 approach, mHealth must be the primary mode of intervention delivery to be considered for inclusion in
 130 this review.

131 **Context**

132 This review will consider studies that are conducted in any geographical location. Possible settings of
 133 mHealth use among women with experience of GDM include diabetes clinics, other hospital settings,
 134 primary care, community care and at home. With no commonly established implementation route, we
 135 aim include all settings in this review. With reference to our aim of understanding mHealth use for
 136 GDM before, during and after pregnancy we will consider studies that examine mHealth during
 137 preconception, pregnancy, inter-conception and postpartum periods. Studies published in English will

138 be included. We propose no limit on study date as mHealth is a relatively new concept and we aim to
139 ensure the retrieval of all relevant studies.

140 **Types of Sources**

141 This scoping review will consider both experimental and quasi-experimental study designs including
142 randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, before and after studies and interrupted
143 time-series studies. Study protocols will also be considered for inclusion. Any systematic reviews that
144 meet the inclusion criteria will be retrieved and their original source papers will be searched for
145 eligibility for inclusion.

146 In addition, analytical observational studies including prospective and retrospective cohort studies,
147 case-control studies and analytical cross-sectional studies will be considered for inclusion. This
148 review will also consider descriptive observational study designs including case series, individual case
149 reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies for inclusion. Qualitative studies will also be
150 considered that focus on qualitative data including, but not limited to, designs such as
151 phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, qualitative description, action research and feminist
152 research.

153 **Methods**

154 The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute
155 methodology for scoping reviews.^{27,28}

156 **Search strategy**

157 The search strategy will aim to locate both published and unpublished studies. An initial limited search
158 of Scopus and MEDLINE was undertaken to identify articles on the topic. The text words contained in
159 the titles and abstracts of relevant articles, and the index terms used to describe the articles were
160 used to develop a full search strategy for MEDLINE (see Appendix I). The search strategy, including
161 all identified keywords and index terms, will be adapted for each included information source. The
162 reference list of all studies selected for critical appraisal will be hand searched for additional studies.

163 **Information sources**

164 The databases to be searched include MEDLINE (via Ovid), CINAHL (via EBSCOhost, USA),
165 EMBASE (via Ovid), Cochrane Database (via Wiley, USA) Scopus, and TRIP. Sources of
166 unpublished studies and grey literature to be searched using Open Grey, ISRCTN Registry,
167 ClinicalTrials.gov, EU Clinical Trials register and ANZCTR.

168 **Study selection**

169 Following the search, all identified citations will be collated and uploaded into Endnote X8, 2018
 170 (Clarivate Analytics, PA, USA) and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts will then be screened by
 171 two independent reviewers (KE, KM) for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review.
 172 Potentially relevant studies will be retrieved in full and their citation details imported into the Joanna
 173 Briggs Institute System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI
 174 SUMARI; Joanna Briggs Institute, Adelaide, Australia).²⁹ The full text of selected citations will be
 175 assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria by two independent reviewers (KE, KM). Reasons for
 176 exclusion of full text studies that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be recorded and reported in the
 177 systematic scoping review. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers at each stage of the
 178 study selection process will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer (JS). The results
 179 of the search will be reported in full in the final systematic scoping review and presented in a
 180 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram.³⁰

181 **Data Extraction**

182 Data will be extracted from full papers included in the scoping review by two independent reviewers
 183 (KE, KM) using the draft data extraction table available in Appendix II. This draft data extraction table
 184 is adapted from the JBI results extraction instrument. Data extracted will be tabulated and include the
 185 following: Author, year of publication, origin, study design, intervention, implementation context, and
 186 key findings related to the review objectives. The draft data extraction table may be modified and
 187 revised as necessary during the process of extracting data from each included study. Any
 188 modifications will be detailed in the full scoping review report. Any disagreements that arise between
 189 the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer (JS). Authors of papers will
 190 be contacted to request missing or additional data, where required.

191 **Data Presentation**

192 Data extracted from included full text articles will be presented in diagrams and/or tables in a way that
 193 supports the objective of our planned review. We anticipate the results tabulated will include study
 194 design, type and purpose of mHealth intervention, study sample (e.g. women at risk, diagnosed
 195 during pregnancy, postpartum after diagnosis), key findings. Tabulated and/or charted results will be
 196 accompanied by a narrative summary that will describe how the results relate to the review question
 197 and objective.

198 **Funding**

199 The development of this protocol has not received funding.

200 **Conflicts of interest**

201 All authors declare no conflict of interest.

202 **References**

- 203 1. World Health Organization. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and
204 intermediate hyperglycemia- report of a WHO/IDF consultation. [Internet]. 1999. Available from:
205 www.who.int/diabetes/publications/diagnosis/diabetes199/en/index.html
206
- 207 2. Kim C, Berger DK, Chamany S. Recurrence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*.
208 2007;30 (5):1314–9.
- 209 3. Diabetes UK. Everyday life with gestational diabetes [Internet]. 2019. Available from:
210 www.diabetes.org.uk/gestational-donate
211
- 212 4. Reece EA. The fetal and maternal consequences of gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Matern*
213 *Neonatal Med*. 2010;23(3):199–203.
- 214 5. Chen L, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ. The worldwide epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus -
215 Present and future perspectives. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2012;8(4):228–36.
- 216 6. Landon MB, Mele L, Spong CY, Carpenter MW, Ramin SM, Casey B, et al. The relationship
217 between maternal glycemia and perinatal outcome. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;117(2):218–24.
218
- 219 7. Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational
220 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2009;37:1773–9.
221
- 222 8. Oteng-Ntim E, Varma R, Croker H, Poston L, Doyle P. Lifestyle interventions for overweight and
223 obese pregnant women to improve pregnancy outcome: Systematic review and meta-analysis.
224 *BMC Med*. 2012;10:10–2.
- 225 9. Song C, Li J, Leng J, Ma RC, Yang X. Lifestyle intervention can reduce the risk of gestational
226 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes Rev*. 2016;17(10):960–9.
227
- 228 10. Gabbe S, Landon M, Warren-Boulton E, Fradkin J. Promoting Health after GDM: A National
229 Diabetes Education Program Call to Action. *Obs Gynecol*. 2013;119(1):171–6.
230
- 231 11. Tieu J, Shepherd E, Middleton P, Crowther CA. Interconception care for women with a history of
232 gestational diabetes for improving maternal and infant outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
233 2017;(8).
- 234 12. Carolan-Olah M, Steele C, Krenzin G. Development and initial testing of a GDM information
235 website for multi-ethnic women with GDM. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2015;15(1):1–9.
236
237
238
239
240
241

- 242 13. Nicklas JM, Zera CA, Ellen WS, Abdul-Rahim ZS, Rudloff ND, Levkoff SE. Identifying postpartum
 243 intervention approaches to prevent type 2 diabetes in women with a history of gestational
 244 diabetes. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2011;11:23.
 245
- 246 14. Phelan S, Brannen A, Erickson K, Diamond M, Schaffner A, Munzo-Christian K, et al. “Fit
 247 Moms/Mamas Activas” internet-based weight control program with group support to reduce
 248 postpartum weight retention in low-income women: study protocol for a randomized controlled
 249 trial. *Trials*. 2015;16(59).
 250
- 251 15. World Health Organisation. mHealth: New horizons for health through mobile technologies:
 252 second global survey on eHealth. [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
 253 https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe_mhealth_web.pdf
 254
- 255 16. Ofcom. Communications Market Report: Bitesize. [Internet]. 2016. Available from:
 256 <http://www.ofcom.org.uk/research/cm/cmr08/>
 257
- 258 17. Lee Y, Moon M. Utilization and content evaluation of mobile applications for pregnancy, birth, and
 259 child care. *Journal of Healthc Inform Res*. 2016;22(2):73–80.
 260
- 261 18. Overdijkink SB, Velu AV, Rosman AN, van Beukering MD, Kok M, Steegers-Theunissen RP. The
 262 usability and effectiveness of mobile health technology–based lifestyle and medical intervention
 263 apps supporting health care during pregnancy: Systematic review. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*.
 264 2018;6(4):1–13.
 265
- 266 19. Daly LM, Horey D, Middleton PF, Boyle FM, Flenady V. The effect of mobile app interventions on
 267 influencing healthy maternal behavior and improving perinatal health outcomes: Systematic
 268 review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018;20(8): e10012.
 269
- 270 20. Istepanian RSH, Al-anzi TM. m-Health interventions for diabetes remote monitoring and self
 271 management: clinical and compliance issues. *mHealth*. 2018;4:4–4.
 272
- 273 21. Mackillop L, Hirst JE, Bartlett KJ, Birks JS, Clifton L, Farmer AJ, et al. Comparing the efficacy of a
 274 mobile phone-based blood glucose management system with standard clinic care in women with
 275 gestational diabetes: Randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*. 2018;6(3).
 276
- 277 22. Rasekaba TM, Furler J, Young D, Liew D, Gray K, Blackberry I, et al. Using technology to support
 278 care in gestational diabetes mellitus: Quantitative outcomes of an exploratory randomised control
 279 trial of adjunct telemedicine for gestational diabetes mellitus (TeleGDM). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*.
 280 2018;142:276–85.
 281
- 282 23. Heatley E, Middleton P, Hague W, Crowther C. The DIAMIND study: postpartum SMS reminders
 283 to women who have had gestational diabetes mellitus to test for type 2 diabetes: a randomised
 284 controlled trial - study protocol. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13:92.

285
 286 24. Chen Q, Carbone ET. Functionality, Implementation, Impact, and the Role of Health Literacy in
 287 Mobile Phone Apps for Gestational Diabetes: Scoping Review. *JMIR diabetes*. 2017;2(2):e25.
 288
 289 25. Nikolopoulos M, Karampela I, Antonakos G, Tzortzis E, Stratigou T, Diomidous M, et al. Mobile
 290 Phone Applications for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: Appraisal and Perspectives. *Studies in*
 291 *Health Technology and Informatics*. 2019;262:39–42.
 292
 293 26. Rasekaba TM, Furler J, Blackberry I, Tacey M, Gray K, Lim K. Telemedicine interventions for
 294 gestational diabetes mellitus: Asystematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res and Clin*
 295 *Pract*. 2015;110:1-9.
 296
 297 27. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, Kahlil H, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Parker D. Guidance for
 298 conducting systematic scoping reviews. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. 2015;13(3):141-146.
 299
 300 28. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Khalil H, Parker D. Chapter 11: Scoping
 301 Reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors). *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual*
 302 [Internet]. Adelaide: Joanna Briggs Institute, 2017 [cited 30 Mar 2020]. Available from:
 303 <https://reviewersmanual.joannabriggs.org/>
 304
 305 29. Munn Z, Aromataris E, Tufanaru C, Stern C, Porritt K, Farrow J, et al. The development of
 306 software to support multiple systematic review types: the Joanna Briggs Institute System for the
 307 Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI). *Int J Evid Based*
 308 *Healthc*. 2019;17(1):36-43.
 309
 310 30. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
 311 meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339.

312 **Appendix I: Search strategy**

313 Ovid MEDLINE(R) and In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations 1946 to April 02, 2020

314 Search conducted on 03.04.2020

#	Searches	Results
1	Diabetes, Gestational/	10407
2	"gestational diabet*" .ab,kf,ti.	14724
3	GDM.ab,kf,ti.	7201
4	(pregnancy adj3 diabetes).ab,kf,ti.	5535
5	((pregnan* or gestation* or maternal) adj3 glucose intolerance).ab,kf,ti.	358

6	((pregnan* or gestation* or maternal) adj3 impaired glucose tolerance).ab,kf,ti.	318
7	(hyperglyc#emia adj3 pregnan*).ab,kf,ti.	159
8	(hyperglyc#emia adj3 gestation*).ab,kf,ti.	54
9	(maternal adj2 hyperglyc#emia).ab,kf,ti.	127
10	1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9	20345
11	Telemedicine/	21670
12	telemedicine.ab,kf,ti.	12006
13	(ehealth or "e health").ab,kf,ti.	6180
14	(mhealth or "m health").ab,kf,ti.	4817
15	("mobile health" or "mobile technolog*").ab,kf,ti.	5827
16	("digital health" or "digital technolog*").ab,kf,ti.	3209
17	Smartphone/	4038
18	(smartphone* or "smart phone*").ab,kf,ti.	11639
19	Cell Phone/	8334
20	("cell* phone*" or "mobile phone*").ab,kf,ti.	11222
21	Mobile Applications/	5456
22	("mobile app" or "mobile apps" or "mobile application*").ab,kf,ti.	4384
23	Text Messaging/	2716
24	"text messag*".ab,kf,ti.	4010
25	Social Media/	7260
26	"social media".ab,kf,ti.	10701
27	(website* or online or internet).ab,kf,ti.	169350
28	(whatsapp or facebook or twitter or instagram).ab,kf,ti.	6038
29	Internet/	71659
30	Computers, Handheld/	3535
31	("personal digital assistant" or PDA).ab,kf,ti.	11765
32	(tablet* adj3 (comput* or device*)).ab,kf,ti.	1632
33	bluetooth.ab,kf,ti.	1082
34	"monitoring device*".ab,kf,ti.	3609
35	"wireless device*".ab,kf,ti.	400
36	(smartwatch* or "smart watch*").ab,kf,ti.	403
37	("fitness tracker*" or fitbit*).ab,kf,ti.	694
38	Fitness Trackers/	480

39	11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38	282726
40	10 and 40	250

315 **Appendix II: Data extraction instrument**

Main category	Subcategory	Description
1. Authors		
2. Title		
3. Journal		
4. Year of publication		
5. Origin/country of origin		
6. Description of study	Type	Specify the type of study (e.g. Review, study protocol)
	Design	Specify the study design (e.g. RCT, qualitative study, quasi-experimental)
	Objective	Describe the study objective(s)
	Population	Describe the study population (e.g. at risk, pregnant, postpartum women) and their geographical location
	Outcome	State what the primary and secondary study outcomes are, where applicable
7. Intervention implementation	Comparator	Describe the comparator intervention used, where applicable
	Timing	Specify if the study states the timing of intervention implementation (e.g. Preconception, during pregnancy (weeks gestation), postpartum)
	Context	Specify if the study focuses on intervention delivery in a particular care setting (e.g. Primary, secondary, community care) Describe how and by whom the intervention is delivered
8. Description of the intervention	Type	Describe the type of intervention (e.g. app, wearable, social media,)
	Purpose	Describe the stated purpose of the intervention (e.g. Information giving, behavioural change, BGL monitoring, weight management)
	Length/intensity	Describe for how long and how often the intervention is delivered
	Theoretical background	Describe the theoretical background included in intervention development, where applicable

<p>9. Key findings</p>	<p>Engagement</p> <p>Usage/adoption/adherence</p> <p>User experience</p> <p>Intervention feasibility</p>	<p>Describe where applicable, study findings on engagement of intervention (e.g. motivation to use intervention, time spent using intervention)</p> <p>Barriers and facilitators to intervention use/adoption among stakeholders</p> <p>Description of study reporting on user experience (e.g. satisfaction, perception)</p> <p>Barriers and facilitators to study implementation (e.g. recruitment, retention, study processes, study burden)</p>
------------------------	--	---