

2020-09-09

Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition

Logan, A

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/16395>

10.11124/jbisrir-d-18-00005

JBI Evidence Synthesis

Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health)

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

1 This is the 'postcopy' final edited version of this manuscript, in
2 accordance with the green open access policy.

3

4 **Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to**
5 **treat orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and**
6 **people with a neurological condition: a systematic**
7 **review**

8

9 Angela Logan ^{1,2,3} Jennifer Freeman ^{1,3} _ Jillian Pooler ⁴ _ Bridie Kent ^{3,5} Hilary Gunn ¹ _ Sarah
10 Billings ⁶ Emma Cork ⁷ _ Jonathan Marsden ^{1,3}

11

12

13 ¹School of Health Professions, Faculty of Health, Peninsula Allied Health Centre, Plymouth University,
14 Plymouth, UK, ²Stroke and Neurology Therapy Team, Cornwall Partnership Foundation NHS Trust,
15 Camborne Redruth Community Hospital, Cornwall, UK, ³The University of Plymouth Centre for
16 Innovations in Health and Social Care: A JBI Centre of Excellence, ⁴Faculty of Health, Peninsula
17 Medical and Dentistry Schools, Plymouth, PL6 8BU, UK, ⁵School of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of
18 Health, Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK, ⁶Stroke Rehabilitation Unit, Livewell Southwest, Mount
19 Gould Hospital, Plymouth, UK, and ⁷Stroke Rehabilitation Department, Northern Devon Healthcare
20 Trust, Northern Devon District Hospital, Barnstaple, UK
21

22

23

Abstract

24 **Objective:** The objective of this review was to summarize the best available evidence regarding the
25 effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat orthostatic hypotension (OH) in elderly
26 people and people with a neurological condition.

27 **Introduction:** Orthostatic hypotension is common in elderly people and people with a neurological
28 condition and can interfere with or limit rehabilitation. Non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH
29 could allow for longer and earlier mobilization, which is recommended in national clinical guidelines
30 for rehabilitation in the acute or sub-acute phase following stroke or other neurological conditions.

31 **Inclusion criteria:** The review considered people aged 50 years and older, and people aged 18 years
32 and elderly people with a neurological condition. Non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH
33 included compression garments, neuromuscular stimulation, physical counter-maneuvers, aerobic or
34 resistance exercises, sleeping with head tilted up, increasing fluid and salt intake, and timing and size
35 of meals. The comparator was usual care, no intervention, pharmacological interventions, or other
36 non-pharmacological interventions. Outcome measures included systolic blood pressure, diastolic
37 blood pressure, heart rate, cerebral blood flow, observed/perceived symptoms, duration of standing or
38 sitting in minutes, tolerance of therapy, functional ability, and adverse events/effects.

39 **Methods:** Databases for published and unpublished studies available in English up to April 2018 with
40 no lower date limit were searched. Critical appraisal was conducted using standardized instruments
41 from JBI. Data were extracted using standardized tools designed for quantitative studies. Where
42 appropriate, studies were included in a meta-analysis; otherwise, data were presented in a narrative
43 form due to heterogeneity.

44 **Results:** Forty-three studies—a combination of randomized controlled trials (n=13), quasi-
45 experimental (n=28), case control (n=1), and case report (n=1)—were included. A total of 1084
46 participants met the criteria for inclusion. Meta-analysis was conducted for seven studies, which
47 concluded that electrical stimulation, lower limb compression, and resistance exercise training were
48 favorable in treating or reducing OH. However, the 95% confidence intervals for standardized mean
49 difference crossed zero, and the confidence intervals in resistance exercise training were wide.
50 Physical maneuvers such as leg crossing, leg muscle pumping/contractions, and bending forward
51 improved orthostatic hypotension. Abdominal compression improved OH. Sleeping with head up in
52 combination with pharmacological treatment was more effective than sleeping with head up alone.
53 Eating smaller, more frequent meals was effective. Drinking 480 mL of water increased blood
54 pressure.

55 **Conclusions:** The review found mixed results for the effectiveness of non-pharmacological
56 interventions to treat OH in people aged 50 years and older, and people with a neurological condition.
57 There are several non-pharmacological interventions effective in treating OH, but not all have resulted
58 in clinically meaningful changes in outcome. Some may not be suitable for people with moderate to

59 severe disability; therefore, it is important for clinicians to consider the patient’s abilities and
 60 impairments when considering which non-pharmacological interventions to implement.

61 **Key words:** Elderly, older adults; neurological condition; non-pharmacological treatment; orthostatic
 62 hypotension

63 **JBIR Evid Synth. 2020;18(4):??-??**

64 **Summary of Findings**

Resistance exercise compared to usual care for treating orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition				
Bibliography: Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition: a systematic review. JBIR Evid Synth. 2020;18(4):??-??.				
Outcomes	№ of participants (studies) Follow-up	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Anticipated absolute effects	
			Risk with usual care	Risk difference with resistance exercise
Change in systolic blood pressure from supine to one-minute standing or 60 degrees head-up tilt Change in systolic blood pressure assessed with mmHg Follow-up: range 8 weeks to 12 weeks	148 (1 RCT, 2 experimental)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW ^{a,b,c}	-	SMD 0.86 SD lower (CI: 2.34 lower to 0.63 higher)
CI: confidence interval; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standardized mean difference				
GRADE Working Group grades of evidence				
High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect				
Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different				
Low certainty: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect				
Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect				
<i>Explanations</i>				
a. Before and after study, non-blinded, or blinding of investigators not reported.				
b. Variation in intervention: supervised sessions in Brilla; training performed four days per week in Zion, three days per week in Brilla, twice a week in Kanegusuku.				
c. Small sample size in Zion, one-third of which were withdrawn during the intervention phase.				

65

66

Electrical stimulation compared to usual care for treating orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition					
Bibliography: Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition: a systematic review. JBI Evid Synth. 2020;18(4):??-??.					
Outcomes	№ of participants (studies) Follow-up	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects	
				Risk with usual care	Risk difference with electrical stimulation
Change in mean arterial blood pressure when moving from supine to one-minute standing or a vertical position on a tilt table following electrical stimulation compared with no intervention, robotic stepping, and electrical stimulation combined with robotic stepping compared with no intervention (change in mean arterial blood pressure when moving from supine to one-minute standing or a vertical position on a tilt table (MAP change)) assessed with: mmHg	268 (2 observational studies)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW ^{a,b,c}	-	-	SMD 0.24 SD lower (0.54 lower to 0.07 higher)
* The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).					
CI: Confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standardized mean difference					
GRADE Working Group grades of evidence					
High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect					
Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different					
Low certainty: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect					
Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect					
<i>Explanations</i>					
a. Observational study. No allocation concealment in Kuznetsov, participants randomized on day of admission					
b. Heterogeneity in population, (e.g. stroke or spinal cord injury) and length of intervention (up to 30 days in Kuznetsov versus all testing on one-day Yoshida)					
c. Yoshida made conclusions based on muscle strength and cerebral blood flow, they did not make any conclusions about effect of intervention on orthostatic reactions, yet this was one of their objectives.					

Compression bandaging compared to usual care for treating orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition					
Bibliography: Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat orthostatic hypotension in elderly people and people with a neurological condition: a systematic review. JBI Evid Synth. 2020;18(4):??-??.					
Outcomes	№ of participants (studies) Follow-up	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Relative effect (95% CI)	Anticipated absolute effects	
				Risk with usual care	Risk difference with compression bandaging
Change in mean arterial blood pressure when moving from supine to one-minute in sitting following compression bandaging compared with no intervention (Change in mean arterial blood pressure from supine to one-minute in sitting (MAP change)) assessed with: mmHg	252 (2 observational studies)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW ^{a,b,c}	-	-	SMD 0.16 SD lower (0.41 lower to 0.09 higher)
* The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).					
CI: Confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standardized mean difference					
<p>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence</p> <p>High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect</p> <p>Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different</p> <p>Low certainty: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect</p> <p>Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect</p> <p><i>Explanations</i></p> <p>a. Observational study (residual confounding, evidence)</p> <p>b. Before and after study, long-term effects not investigated. Study investigated seating induced orthostatic hypotension and did not measure effects in standing</p> <p>c. Lead author and multiple co-authors were the same in both papers.</p>					

69

70 **Introduction <level 1 heading>**

71 Orthostatic (postural) hypotension (OH) is a common clinical phenomenon in elderly people and
 72 people with a neurological condition.¹⁻⁴ The consensus definition is a sustained drop of at least 20
 73 mmHg in systolic blood pressure (sBP) and/or at least 10 mmHg in diastolic blood pressure (dBP)
 74 within three minutes of moving from supine to standing, or following head-up tilt to at least 60
 75 degrees.^{5,6}

76 Orthostatic hypotension has both non-neurogenic and neurogenic causes and can be acute or
 77 chronic.⁶ Non-neurogenic causes fall into three categories: hypovolemia (reduced blood volume),
 78 cardiac pump failure, and venous pooling. Neurogenic OH is associated with neurological diseases
 79 and can be caused by abnormalities in either the central nervous system (e.g. stroke, spinal cord
 80 injury, Parkinson’s disease) or peripheral nervous system (e.g. Guillain Barré syndrome, diabetic
 81 neuropathy)⁷.

82 Orthostatic hypotension can cause a variety of symptoms and is a common cause of syncope
 83 (transient loss of consciousness, rapid onset, and short duration) that may contribute to morbidity,
 84 disability, and even death because of the potential risk of substantial injury.⁵ Other characteristic
 85 symptoms include dizziness/light-headedness and pre-syncope; weakness, fatigue, and lethargy;
 86 palpitations and sweating; visual disturbances (including blurring, enhanced brightness, and tunnel
 87 vision); hearing disturbances (including impaired hearing, crackles, and tinnitus); and neck pain
 88 (occipital/para-cervical and shoulder region), low back pain, or precordial pain.^{8,9} These symptoms
 89 relate to the degree of the fall in blood pressure and hypoperfusion (reduced blood flow) of the brain
 90 and other organs, and can vary in severity.

91 The prevalence of OH in elderly people (defined for this review as age 50 years and older¹⁰) is high,
 92 both in the United Kingdom (UK) and internationally, but varies depending on the characteristics of
 93 the population studied. It is more prevalent in elderly people who are hospitalized and institutionalized
 94 (up to 68%)¹¹ than in those living in the community (30%),¹² likely a reflection of the multiple disease
 95 processes, including neurological and cardiac conditions, as well as the type and number of
 96 prescribed medications. In addition, orthostatic changes in BP become more exaggerated after
 97 prolonged immobilization.¹³ The prevalence of OH in people with neurological conditions is also high.
 98 Systematic reviews have concluded that OH occurs in approximately 40% of people with Parkinson’s
 99 disease² and 50% to 82% of people with spinal cord injury, depending on the level of lesion.³ It is also
 100 common in people with stroke,^{14,14} occurring in up to 52%.⁴ Given that stroke predominantly occurs in
 101 elderly people, it is possible that the prevalence of OH post-stroke may be much higher. This aligns
 102 with current European guidelines, which highlight that OH is underdiagnosed.⁵

103 The presence of OH can interfere with and limit rehabilitation, especially after stroke and spinal cord
 104 injury where early mobilization (out-of-bed activities such as sitting, standing, and walking within 48

105 hours) is recommended.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Early mobilization has demonstrated improved functional outcomes¹⁷;
 106 however, studies of early mobilization in people with acute stroke excluded participants from the
 107 intervention arm if they had OH on three consecutive occasions.^{18,19} Given the high incidence of OH
 108 in this population, this exclusion criterion could impact recruitment rates and generalizability of the
 109 findings of trials of early mobilization interventions, and ultimately influence the number of people
 110 potentially benefitting from these interventions.

111 The risk of harm with OH must be acknowledged and addressed. In acute and sub-acute stroke, OH
 112 has the potential to cause additional brain damage both in the area surrounding the stroke
 113 (penumbra) and throughout the brain due to hypoperfusion, a consequence of impaired cerebral
 114 autoregulation.²⁰ This may result in increased disability and mortality. Considering this risk of harm, it
 115 is surprising that current guidelines for the management of people with stroke^{15,21,22} do not provide
 116 guidance on managing OH.

117 The goal of managing OH is to increase the patient's standing BP without also increasing their resting
 118 BP and specifically to reduce OH symptoms, increase the time the patient can stand, and improve the
 119 patient's ability to perform activities of daily living.²³ Currently, there is no specific intervention that
 120 achieves all of these goals, despite the multitude of pharmacological and non-pharmacological
 121 interventions available. A recent systematic review highlighted that although there were multiple
 122 pharmacological interventions available in the UK, Europe, and the United States of America (USA),
 123 there are few high-quality data as to which intervention the best.²⁴ Furthermore, the review concluded
 124 that there are limited data on the benefits of long-term pharmacological interventions in people with
 125 OH in terms of the effects on postural BP changes as well as symptom relief. The burden of
 126 pharmacological interventions also warrants consideration. People with stroke and elderly people are
 127 more likely to have multimorbidity²⁵ and thus are at risk of polypharmacy (taking five medications or
 128 more).²⁶ Therefore, identifying non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH in elderly people and
 129 people with stroke is paramount.

130 Reviews²⁷ and guidelines^{5,28} from the USA and Europe for the management of OH recommend non-
 131 pharmacological interventions as first-line treatment before progressing to pharmacological
 132 interventions. However, people with neurological conditions often have complex needs and severe
 133 disability, which means that some non-pharmacological interventions may not be appropriate. For
 134 example, undertaking physical maneuvers requires a specific level of mobility and balance, and
 135 functional electrical stimulation may be contraindicated due to other medical conditions or skin frailty.
 136 Therefore, these guideline recommendations cannot be automatically translated to people with
 137 neurological conditions, which underpins the rationale for this review. Non-pharmacological
 138 interventions for OH, such as compression garments, are used to treat OH in elderly people and
 139 those with spinal cord injury and Parkinson's disease,^{29,30} and could be applicable to people with
 140 stroke. However, non-pharmacological interventions are not commonly used, and there is a lack of
 141 clear guidance on their use. In line with this, previous reviews of standing in people with neurological

142 conditions³¹ have highlighted this as a research priority for people with OH, for elderly people, and
143 adults with a neurological disease.³²

144 An initial search of the literature in MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, Cochrane Database of Systematic
145 Reviews, and PROSPERO identified one systematic review from Canada examining studies that
146 evaluated non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH.³³ However, this review was broad, covering
147 various patient populations and not restricted to elderly people or people with a neurological condition.
148 Furthermore, the review did not focus on any specific outcomes, such as impact on mobilization or
149 functional ability, thus identifying the need for a systematic review in this area. This review, together
150 with a systematic review of pharmacological interventions,²⁴ will allow the development of a protocol
151 to enable the assessment and treatment of OH in elderly people and people with neurological
152 conditions.

153 Review question <level 1 heading>

154 **What is the evidence base** for non-pharmacological interventions in treating orthostatic hypotension
155 (OH) in elderly people and people with a neurological condition?

156 Review objectives

157 The objectives of the review are to determine the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions
158 for OH in elderly people and people with a neurological condition.

159 Inclusion criteria <level 1 heading>

160 Participants <level 2 heading>

161 The current review considered studies that included participants who were:

- 162 • Diagnosed with OH by a medical professional using criteria such as the International
163 Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10)³⁴
164 AND
- 165 • Classified as elderly (defined as 50 years or over). Currently, there is no consensus definition
166 of “elderly,” “older,” or “old people,” with 50 years accepted as the definition of elderly
167 people based on the World Health Organization Older Adult Health and Ageing in Africa
168 project¹⁰
169 AND/OR
- 170 • Aged 18 years and over with progressive or sudden, non-progressive neurological condition
171 of the central nervous system; peripheral nervous system conditions were excluded.

172 Participants receiving treatment for acute or chronic OH were included, which encompassed
173 treatment carried out in hospitals, outpatient clinics, in-patient rehabilitation units, and the community
174 (either in their own homes, or in a residential or nursing home setting).

175 Interventions <level 2 heading>

176 The review considered studies that evaluated non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH. These
177 included compression garments (e.g. lower limb compression stockings or abdominal corset);
178 neuromuscular stimulation; physical maneuvers (e.g. squatting and bending at the waist) and
179 isometric exercises (muscle contractions against a resistant that are not associated with any
180 movement of the limb) for arms, lower limbs, and abdominal muscles during standing; raising the
181 head of bed at night time; or increasing fluid and salt intake. However, a full systematic search
182 identified additional interventions that were considered (e.g. frequency and size of meals).
183 Interventions of any duration, frequency, or intensity were considered.

184 Comparators <level 2 heading>

185 The review considered studies that compared the non-pharmacological interventions listed previously
186 with usual care, no intervention, pharmacological interventions, and/or other non-pharmacological
187 interventions.

188 Outcomes <level 2 heading>

189 Outcomes considered included sBP and/or dBP (both sBP and/or dBP in lying and standing using
190 manual or automated device); time to symptoms and time to recovery; resting heart rate (HR) using
191 manual or automatic device; cerebral blood flow using transcranial Doppler or correlation
192 spectroscopy and others; observed and/or perceived symptoms; duration of standing or sitting in
193 minutes; tolerance of therapy (e.g. ability to participate in therapy as measured by length and
194 frequency of sessions); function/activities of daily living; other outcomes not previously identified; and
195 adverse events/effects where this information was provided.

196 Types of studies <level 2 heading>

197 This review considered experimental and epidemiological study designs including randomized
198 controlled trials (RCTs), non-RCTs, quasi-experimental, before and after studies, prospective and
199 retrospective cohort studies, and case-control studies. In addition, descriptive epidemiological study
200 designs, including case series, and individual case reports were also considered.

201 **Methods <level 1 heading>**

202 This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology for systematic reviews
 203 of effectiveness³⁵ and according to an *a priori* protocol (PROSPERO CRD42020167022).³⁶

204 **Search strategy <level 2 heading>**

205 The initial search was carried out in January 2017 and updated in April 2018, and aimed to find both
 206 published and unpublished studies. A three-step search strategy was utilized. An initial limited search
 207 of MEDLINE, AMED, CINAHL, and Embase was undertaken, followed by analysis of the text words
 208 contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe the articles. A second
 209 search using all identified keywords and index terms was then undertaken across all included
 210 databases. Third, the reference lists of all studies that met the inclusion criteria were searched for
 211 additional studies. The search was restricted to studies published in English, as team members were
 212 unable to translate other languages. There were no date limits.

213 **Information sources <level 3 heading>**

214 Databases that were searched included MEDLINE (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), Cochrane Central Register
 215 of Controlled Trials, CINAHL, AMED (EBSCO), PEDro, ClinicalTrials.gov, and OpenGrey. A search
 216 for unpublished studies was carried out in Google Scholar and Conference Papers Index. Appendix I
 217 provides the search strategy from all databases.

218 **Study selection <level 2 heading>**

219 Following the search, all identified citations were uploaded into EndNote X8 (Clarivate Analytics, PA,
 220 USA) bibliographic software³⁷ and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts were screened by two
 221 independent reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review. The full text of
 222 potentially eligible studies was retrieved and assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria by two
 223 independent reviewers. The details of studies that met the inclusion criteria were imported into the JBI
 224 System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI; JBI,
 225 Adelaide, Australia).³⁸ Any disagreements that arose between the reviewers were resolved through
 226 discussion, or with a third reviewer.

227 **Assessment of methodological quality <level 2 heading>**

228 Selected studies were critically appraised by two independent reviewers for methodological quality
 229 using the standardized critical appraisal instruments from JBI for the following study types:
 230 randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, case control studies, and case reports.³⁹
 231 Disagreements were resolved through discussions, negating the requirement for a third reviewer. In

232 accordance with the aim to be as comprehensive as possible, all studies meeting the inclusion criteria
 233 were included in the review, regardless of the quality score.

234 Data extraction <level 2 heading>

235 Quantitative data were extracted from papers using the standardized data extraction tool available in
 236 JBI SUMARI³⁹ by two independent reviewers (AL, JM, JP, JF, SB, and EC). The data extracted
 237 included specific details about the interventions, populations, study methods, outcomes of
 238 significance, and specific objectives.

239 Authors of papers were contacted to request missing or additional data where required. Thirteen
 240 authors were contacted, and responses were received from five.

241 Data synthesis <level 2 heading>

242 Due to the variability and heterogeneity in the parameters of the papers presented, it was not possible
 243 to include all papers in the meta-analyses. For papers not included in the meta-analyses, data are
 244 presented as mean +/- standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated, alongside the narrative
 245 summary.

246 Outcomes for papers included in the meta-analyses were as follows: the change in mean arterial BP
 247 between supine and maximum upright stand or tilt (depending on what the studies measured) at the
 248 earliest measurement point (e.g. selecting measurements at one minute rather than two minutes, if
 249 both available). Where mean arterial pressure was not available, it was calculated with constant
 250 proportions between dBP and sBP: mean arterial pressure = 1/3 sBP + 2/3 dBP (mmHg).⁴⁰ Where
 251 dBP data were not available, the change in sBP was used.

252 Results, where possible, were pooled in statistical meta-analysis using JBI SUMARI. Effect sizes were
 253 expressed as standardized mean differences and their 95% confidence intervals calculated for
 254 analysis. Treatment effects were interpreted using Hedge's G magnitude of the standardized mean
 255 difference⁴¹. Standardized mean difference takes into account measurement variability, unlike the
 256 mean difference. [Mean difference has greater statistical power and can be used if the minimally
 257 important change \(MIC\) is known⁴². However, there is no MIC for OH and the standardized mean
 258 difference is more therefore felt to be generalizable⁴².](#) Heterogeneity was assessed statistically using
 259 the standard chi-squared and I^2 tests. The choice of random effects model and methods for meta-
 260 analysis were based on the guidance by Tufanaru et al.⁴³ There were insufficient individualized data
 261 to conduct subgroup analyses,³⁹ and insufficient number of studies to generate a funnel plot.⁴⁴

262 **Assessing certainty in the findings <level 2 heading>**

263 A Summary of Findings was created using GRADEpro software (McMaster University, ON, Canada)
 264 for all studies included in the meta-analysis. The GRADE approach for grading the quality of evidence
 265 was followed.⁴⁵ The Summary of Findings presents the following information, where appropriate:
 266 absolute risks for treatment and control, estimates of relative risk, and a ranking of the quality of the
 267 evidence based on study limitations (risk of bias), indirectness, inconsistency, imprecision, and
 268 publication bias.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ The following outcomes were considered critical and were included in the
 269 Summary of Findings: mean arterial blood pressure and systolic blood pressure.

270 **Results <level 1 heading>**

277 **Study inclusion <level 2 heading>**

278 The results of the search and study selection process are presented in Figure 1.⁴⁹ A total of 4481
 279 potentially relevant studies were identified. Table 5 shows the total number of relevant studies
 280 identified for each database. Of those, 1080 were duplicates. From the remaining 3401 records, 3316
 281 were excluded after title and abstract assessment. The eligibility of 85 full-text articles were assessed,
 282 42 of which were excluded. The methodological quality of the remaining 43 studies were assessed,
 283 which included 13 randomized control trials, 28 quasi-experimental studies, one case-control study
 284 and one case report.

285 <Insert Figure 1 here> Reference for PRISMA⁵⁰

286

287 Methodological quality <level 2 heading>

288 Methodological quality in all studies varied, but were included to provide a comprehensive review.

289 Table 1 presents the critical appraisal of the 13 RCTs included in the systematic review. True
 290 randomization was not used in one study, unclear in six studies, and used in six studies (Q1).
 291 Concealed allocation to treatment was used in five studies and unclear in four studies (Q2). Quality
 292 criteria relating to blinding of participants, clinicians, and researchers scored poorly in most studies
 293 (Q4 and Q5). Follow-up was either complete, or strategies to address incomplete follow-up were
 294 utilized in nine studies (Q8), and nine studies analyzed participants in the groups to which they were
 295 randomized (Q9).<Insert Table 1 here>

296 Table 2 presents the results of the critical appraisal for eligible quasi-experimental studies. Cause and
 297 effect was clear in all but one study (Q1). In five studies, Q2 and Q3 were not applicable because
 298 there were no comparisons made. There was no control group in nine of the 28 studies (Q4).
 299 Question 7 was deemed not applicable to one study because no comparisons were made.

300 <Insert Table 2 here>

301 Confounding factors were not identified (Q6) and no strategies to deal with confounding factors were
 302 present (Q7) in the case-control study. All other aspects of methodological quality were met (Table
 303 3).<Insert Table 3 here>

304

305 Table 4 presents the critical appraisal results for the eligible case report. The post-intervention clinical
 306 condition was not clearly described (Q5), but all other aspects of methodological quality were
 307 reported.

308 <Insert Table 4 here>

309 Characteristics of included studies <level 2 heading>

310 Date of publication of studies ranged from 1984 to 2018, and all were published in English. In the
 311 following sections, the main features of these studies are summarized. Detailed information about the
 312 settings, participants, methods, interventions, outcomes, and results are provided in Appendix II.

313 Study settings <level 3 heading>

314 Twenty of the included studies were undertaken in Europe (four in United Kingdom⁵¹⁻⁵⁴, one in
 315 Ireland⁵⁵, six in the Netherlands,⁵⁶⁻⁶¹ three in Italy,⁶²⁻⁶⁴ two in Germany,^{65,66} one in Austria,⁶⁷ one in
 316 France,⁶⁸ and two in Switzerland^{69,70}. Of the remaining 22 studies, 10 were undertaken in the USA,<sup>71-
 317 80</sup>, three in Israel,⁸¹⁻⁸³ two in Canada,^{84,85} two in Brazil,^{86,87} one in India,⁸⁸ one in Malaysia,⁸⁹ one in
 318 Russia,⁹⁰ one in New Zealand,⁹¹ and one in Australia⁹² and it was unclear in which country one study
 319 was undertaken.⁹³ The interventions described were undertaken in hospital settings,<sup>53,54,61,63,69,70,78,81-
 320 83,92</sup> rehabilitation facilities,^{62,64,65,68,76,77,88-90} outpatient clinics or centers,^{51,55,58,71,75,85,86}

321 laboratories,^{52,56,57,59,60,66,72,79,84,91} or community settings.^{67,73,74,80,87} It was unclear which setting on
 322 study was conducted in⁹³.

323 Participants <level 3 heading>

324 The 43 studies analyzed included a total of 1,069 participants, ranging from one (case report study⁶¹)
 325 to 128 (quasi-experimental study⁹⁰). The age range of all participants was reported in 20 studies<sup>51,53,56-
 326 61,67-69,72,75,76,79,80,84,85,89,92</sup> and was from 18 to 89 years. Twenty-two studies<sup>52,54,55,62-65,70,71,73,74,77,78,81-
 327 83,86-88,90,91,93</sup> reported mean age. One study reported the age range for participants with autonomic
 328 failure and the mean age for nine participants with idiopathic OH.⁶⁶ There was a total of 440 elderly
 329 (≥50 years) participants.

330 Approximately 48% of all participants were male. Six studies included only males,^{61,68,76,78,89,91} 16
 331 studies included more males than females,^{51,53,58,64,65,67,69,72,77,79,84,85,87,88,92,93} two studies had an equal
 332 number of males and females,^{54,59} two studies reported the gender of participants with
 333 OH/neurological conditions but did not report gender for control participants.^{60,71}

334 Studies in this systematic review included participants with OH (n=362), stroke (n=170), spinal cord
 335 injury (n=86), Parkinson's disease (n=57), brain injury (n=28), brain hemorrhage (n=18), syncope
 336 (n=21), familial dysautonomia (n=17), primary autonomic/autonomic failure (n=52), multi-system
 337 atrophy (n=31), cardiac arrhythmias (n=10), dizziness/palpitations (n=27), infectious diseases (n=21),
 338 pulmonary edema (n=10), acute coronary syndrome (n=11), decompensated heart failure (n=18),
 339 other conditions (n=39), and also healthy control participants (n=91). Four of the 1,069 participants
 340 had both spinal cord injury and OH, and others had multiple conditions.

341 Inclusion criteria for studies varied. Fourteen studies had OH as an inclusion criterion with a specific
 342 definition: 10 studies defined OH as a decrease in sBP of >20mmHg or a decrease in dBP >10mmHg
 343 after a change in posture.^{55,62,67,74,80-83,88,89} Other definitions were a decrease in sBP >30mmHg or a
 344 decrease in dBP >15mmHg⁷²; sBP decrease by at least 40mmHg or dBP decrease by at least
 345 30mmHg⁹³; a decrease in sBP of >30mmHg or a decrease in dBP >20mmHg⁷⁵, and progressive
 346 decrease in BP below a value of 90mmHg.⁶³ Eleven studies had OH as an inclusion criterion but did
 347 not provide a definition of OH.^{51-54,56-60,66,73} Nine studies had an age inclusion criterion of elderly
 348 people: ≥50 years,⁸⁷ ≥60 years,^{55,80,82,83} or ≥65 years^{62,70,81} or specified an age range⁷⁴ (60 to 85
 349 years) Nineteen studies had neurological conditions as an inclusion criterion.^{61,64,65,67-72,76-78,84,85,87-90,92}

350 Interventions/comparators <level 3 heading>

351 Eight non-pharmacological interventions for OH were identified under two general categories: physical
 352 modalities (exercise, electrical stimulation, compression, physical counter-maneuvers, compression
 353 and physical counter-maneuvers, and sleeping with head up) and dietary measures (food and fluid
 354 intake), which aim to treat OH by raising standing blood pressure without raising supine blood
 355 pressure to increase the time people can stand and improve their ability to perform activities of daily
 356 living.

357 **Review findings <level 2 heading>**

358 *Physical modalities <level 3 heading>*

359 **Exercise**

360 Exercise included aerobic training using a cycle ergometer, resistance/strength training using
 361 resistance bands or weights, passive stepping using robotic tilt tables, and upper limb exercises. Nine
 362 studies included in this systematic review evaluated the effects of exercise on OH. Participants
 363 included in these studies had spinal cord injury,⁷⁸ brain injury,^{62,64,65,69,74,80,86,87} brain haemorrhage,⁶⁹
 364 stroke,⁶⁹ neurocardiogenic syncope,⁸⁶ Parkinson's disease,⁸⁷ and OH.^{62,74,80,62,88,90}

365 Four studies^{64,65,78,86} used tilt tables, three of which^{64,65,86} used robotic tilt tables where participants
 366 with brain injury undertook passive stepping while being tilted up to 65 degrees^{64,65} and 70 degrees.⁸⁶
 367 Duration of intervention periods were different: two sessions of sequential testing⁶⁵ (intended duration
 368 of testing in minutes not reported) and 24 sessions, each 30 minutes, three times per week.⁶⁴ The
 369 fourth study⁷⁸ used a tilt table and included participants with spinal cord injury who performed upper
 370 limb exercises while being tilted up to 70 degrees.

371 The effect of aerobic physical training was used in one study⁸⁶ for participants with neuro-cardiogenic
 372 syncope. Participants undertook a 12-week supervised program of moderate aerobic intensity training
 373 using a cycle ergometer. Training took place twice a week and lasted for 35 minutes, and patients
 374 were instructed to perform two additional unsupervised sessions.

375 One study⁶⁹ examined the effect of passive stepping at 30, 50, and 70 degrees using a robotic tilt
 376 table, automated cycling in supine versus standard care (defined as mobilization with
 377 physiotherapist), for patients with severe brain injury.

378 Four studies^{62,74,80,87} examined the effects of resistance training on elderly people with OH. In one
 379 study,⁶² participants performed 10 full extensions of the ankle, knee, and hip joints of both limbs
 380 starting from 60 degrees flexion of hips and 90 degrees flexion of knee and ankle joints against a
 381 resistance band (6-kg load) that participants positioned under the soles of their forefeet and firmly
 382 held at both ends while supine in bed prior to standing up. Participants in the three remaining
 383 studies^{74,80,87} undertook a home-based resistance program, which incorporated exercises for both
 384 upper and lower limbs. These three studies were included in a meta-analysis (Figures 2, 3, and 4).⁷⁸

385 Six studies^{62,64,69,78,86,87} in the exercise category had a control group, which consisted of tilting
 386 only,^{62,64,78} standard care,^{69,87} and stretching and light walking.⁸⁶ In one study⁶⁵ participants acted as
 387 their own controls in a randomized crossover design. Two studies included in the meta-analysis
 388 (Figure 2) did not include a control group.^{74,80,62,88}

389 <Insert Figure 2 here>

390 **Electrical stimulation**

391 Electrical stimulation is a technique that uses low energy electrical pulses to artificially generate a
 392 muscle contraction of paralyzed muscles. When used in a functional context to elicit patterns of
 393 movement, it is also referred to as functional electrical stimulation. The studies included in this review
 394 used electrical stimulation of upper limbs, lower limbs, and abdomen.

395 Seven studies examined the effects of electrical stimulation on OH.^{71,76,77,84,85,89,90} Participants in one
 396 study⁹⁰ had a stroke; the other six studies included people with spinal cord injury. Two papers^{71,77}
 397 reporting on the same study included the same sample and examined the same experimental
 398 interventions, differing slightly in the measured outcomes. They compared upright stationary standing
 399 versus upright dynamic standing using functional electrical stimulation, both using a standing
 400 apparatus for 30 minutes on the same day. Another repeated measures study⁷⁶ positioned
 401 participants in multiple tilt angles (0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 degrees), four minutes at each angle followed
 402 by four minutes recovery, repeated with and without electrical stimulation to the lower limb (bilateral
 403 knee extensors and ankle plantar flexor muscles). One study⁸⁹ included two participants who
 404 underwent four weeks of electrical stimulation to trunk and lower-limb muscles (rectus abdominis,
 405 quadriceps, hamstrings, and gastrocnemius muscles), four times per week for one hour per day. One
 406 study⁸⁴ tested the capacity of electrical stimulation, applied transcutaneously over the spinal cord
 407 (approximately corresponding to the T8 spinal segment) to manage OH in participants with spinal
 408 cord injury. Two studies^{85,90} used a robotic tilt table and electrical stimulation to compare passive
 409 stepping and passive stepping combined with electrical stimulation. These were included in a meta-
 410 analysis (Figure 3).

411 <Insert Figure 3 here>

412 Use of control group varied: three studies included a control group,^{48,65,71} two studies^{55,68} had no
 413 control group, and participants acted as their own controls in one study.^{64,86}

414 **Compression**

415 Compression involves using various types of bandages and garments on different body parts,
 416 commonly the lower limbs and abdomen. Fourteen studies^{51,57,61,63,67,68,72,75,81-83,88,91,92} examined the
 417 effect of compression on OH. Participants were elderly with OH,^{51,63,72,81-83} had acute stroke,⁸⁸
 418 Parkinson's disease,⁶⁷ neurogenic OH,^{75,63} autonomic dysfunction,⁵⁷ healthy older and younger
 419 adults⁹¹ spinal cord injury.^{61,68,92}

420 Two studies,^{63,88} both RCTs, examined the effect of compression to both the abdomen and lower
 421 limbs. In one study,⁶³ elderly participants with OH wore ankle to thigh bandages for 10 minutes, then
 422 an abdominal bandage was added for a further 10 minutes. Participants then wore leggings, which
 423 covered from the mid foot to the abdomen, for one month at home. Authors reported that these were
 424 worn daily but did not report any recommendations or report usage from follow-up data. In the other

425 study,⁸⁸ participants with acute stroke wore a pneumatic abdominal binder and pneumatic calf
 426 compression for six consecutive sessions for approximately 15 minutes during progressive incline on
 427 a tilt table.

428 Four studies^{57,67,72,92} examined the effect of abdominal compression on OH. Participants with
 429 Parkinson's disease⁶⁷ were enrolled into a randomized crossover trial. They wore an abdominal
 430 binder or placebo binder. Participants then wore an abdominal binder every day (time not specified)
 431 for four weeks. The second study,⁷² a randomized crossover trial, assessed the effects of a
 432 conventional or patient-controlled adjustable abdominal binder on OH. Binders were worn for
 433 approximately 10 minutes during the testing period. The third study⁵⁷ tested the effect of abdominal
 434 compression (maximal pressure protocol 1 and graded compression protocol 2) of participants with
 435 autonomic dysfunction. The fourth study⁹² enrolled participants with spinal cord injury into a
 436 randomized crossover trial. Participants wore an abdominal binder (pressure not reported) daily (time
 437 not specified) for six months.

438 Three studies examined the effect of lower limb compression during tilt tabling,^{51,61,75} all of which used
 439 different compression garments and different pressures on different body parts. In one study,⁷⁵
 440 participants with neurogenic OH underwent tilt testing with and without compression applied to calves,
 441 thighs, and abdomen using an inflatable G-suit to evaluate the impact of compression of different
 442 body parts on orthostatic BP and tolerance. Another study,⁶¹ a case report, tested one participant with
 443 spinal cord injury on a tilt table with and without inflatable external leg compression to bilateral lower
 444 limbs. The third study⁵¹ tested elastic compression hosiery (tights covering the legs and abdomen)
 445 fitted to bilateral lower limbs in elderly participants.

446 One study⁶⁸ examined the effects of a wheelchair ergometer with and without graduated compression
 447 stockings. Participants with spinal cord injury used the wheelchair ergometer twice: once with garment
 448 compression stockings and once without a week later. Additional details are presented in Appendix II.

449 One study⁹¹ examined the effect of compression leggings at normal body temperature and a long-
 450 sleeved and legged, two piece, tube-lined perfusion suit at elevated body temperature in healthy
 451 elderly people and younger adults.

452 Three studies⁸¹⁻⁸³ examined the effect of lower limb compression bandages from ankle to thigh on
 453 OH. All three studies included elderly participants who were hospitalized due to acute medical
 454 conditions,⁸¹ decompensated heart failure,⁸² and OH.⁸³ In all three studies, compression bandages
 455 were applied along both legs from ankle to thigh before sitting without compression and repeated with
 456 compression. In one study,⁸¹ compression was approximately 30mmHg at the ankle. The remaining
 457 two studies used 40mmHg compression⁸² and 30-40mmHg compression⁸³ at the ankle. The latter two
 458 studies were included in a meta-analysis (Figure 4).

459 <Insert Figure 4 here>

460 In 10 studies, participants acted as their own controls,^{51,57,67,68,72,75,81-83,910} two studies had a control
 461 group,^{63,88} and two studies had no control group.^{61,92}

462 **Physical counter-maneuvers**

463 Physical counter-maneuvers are specific movements or exercises such as squatting, leg crossing, or
 464 tensing specific muscles with the aim of increasing standing BP and reducing OH. Five
 465 studies^{56,58,60,73,93} were identified in the literature search that examined the effect of physical counter-
 466 maneuvers on OH, varying in the number and type of maneuvers performed. Participants in these
 467 studies had OH due to pure autonomic failure,^{56,58,60} neurogenic OH,⁷³ and familial dysautonomia.⁹³

468 One study⁷³ examined the use of multiple physical counter-maneuvers for three to four months
 469 following a training period. Training consisted of four training sessions in the laboratory performing the
 470 physical counter-maneuvers. Participants were then asked to perform the three selected maneuvers
 471 at home for three to four months when symptomatic.

472 In one study,⁶⁰ all participants performed leg-crossing and squatting in a fixed order. Participants
 473 stood for 10 minutes maximum or until symptoms occurred, then performed leg-crossing in standing
 474 for 30 seconds then resumed normal standing. When BP dropped again, participants squatted for 30
 475 seconds then resumed the normal standing position.

476 In one study,⁵⁶ participants performed nine different maneuvers, each for one minute serially,
 477 separated by 30 to 60 seconds of standing. Participants were asked to sit on seats of varying heights
 478 (48 cm, 38 cm, 20 cm), with or without leg-crossing, squatting, and standing in a crossed-leg position
 479 with or without additional contraction of the lower limb muscles. All maneuvers were repeated twice
 480 and performed in a random order.

481 One study⁹³ examined the effect of four physical counter-maneuvers (bending forward, squatting, and
 482 leg crossing in a random order) in 17 participants with familial dysautonomia. Participants also
 483 completed a fourth physical counter-maneuver using abdominal compression.

484 One study⁵⁸ compared leg muscle pumping or tensing for one minute, commencing after two minutes
 485 of active standing, compared to active standing only.

486 Three studies did not include a control group,^{56,73,93} and two studies included a control group.^{58,60}

487 **Physical counter-maneuvers and compression**

488 Two studies used a combination of physical counter-maneuvers and compression. One study⁵⁷⁸⁰
 489 examined the effects of abdominal compression and physical maneuvers in participants with
 490 neurogenic hypotension. Participants maintained a standing position with the abdominal binder and
 491 then performed physical maneuvers in standing while wearing an anti-gravity suit. For nine

492 participants, the duration of standing was extended (duration not specified) by standing without
 493 crossed legs or abdominal compression. As soon as a stable low BP was obtained for 30 seconds,
 494 the counter-maneuvers were repeated for 90 seconds, followed by a short period of normal standing.
 495 Nine participants (unclear if it was the same nine who undertook extended standing) performed two
 496 active standing maneuvers; external abdominal compression was applied by elastic binder.

497 Another study⁹³ compared the effect of physical counter-maneuvers, one of which was abdominal
 498 compression. Participants performed four counter-maneuvers (bending forward, squatting, leg
 499 crossing, abdominal compression) in a randomized order and abdominal compression using an
 500 inflatable belt. Outcomes were measured before and after

501 **Sleeping head-up tilt**

502 One study⁵⁵ examined the effect of sleeping with the head of the bed elevated by six inches for six
 503 weeks. The control group received no intervention. Another study⁵⁹ examined the effect of sleeping
 504 with the head of bed elevated with and without pharmacological intervention and 2,000mL water per
 505 day. There was no control group, but there was a control period during the first week.

506

507 *Dietary measures <level 3 heading>*

508 **Food intake**

509 Studies in this category examined the size and frequency of meals and their effect on OH. Three
 510 studies^{53,70,79} examined the effect of food intake on OH.

511 One study⁷⁰ tested participants with Parkinson's disease and 10 age-matched controls over two
 512 consecutive days to examine the change in sBP induced by meals. They also compared the impact of
 513 orthostatic sBP response in participants with Parkinson's disease with that of control participants.

514 One study⁷⁹ examined the effect of meal size and the time of day on OH in elderly and young healthy
 515 participants.

516 The final study⁵³ examined the effect of meal size and number of meals in people with autonomic
 517 failure. All participants underwent the same conditions: the first day participants ate three meals
 518 versus the second day (at least one day apart) when participants ate six meals. Total calorie intake
 519 was the same over both days.

520 Participants acted as their own controls in two studies,^{53,70} and one study did not include a control
 521 group.⁷⁹

522 **Fluid intake**

523 Ingesting water to increase BP and attenuate OH was examined in three studies.^{52,54,66} Participants in
 524 all three studies drank 480 mL of fluid; however, additional variables such as food intake and exercise
 525 were also studied.

526 One study⁵² examined the effect of ingesting water before exercise on OH. All participants had severe
 527 pre-exercise OH and underwent the same testing using a cycle ergometer. Testing was undertaken
 528 on two separate occasions, one in which participants drank 480 mL distilled water.

529 A second study⁶⁶ examined the effect of water ingestion and food intake. All participants underwent
 530 two protocols. In protocol 1, participants drank 480 mL of tap water; in protocol 2, participants drank
 531 480mL of water immediately before eating the test meal.

532 The final study⁵⁴ in the category examined the effects of drinking 480 mL distilled water. All
 533 participants had autonomic failure and underwent the same testing: standing BP was measured
 534 before, and 15 and 35 minutes after ingesting of 480 mL distilled water.

535 Two studies^{52,66} did not include a control group, and in the third study⁸⁷ participants acted as their own
 536 controls.

537

538 Follow-up and measurement intervals <level 2 heading>

539 Follow-up periods varied: 30 days,⁷¹ one month,⁵⁶ four weeks,^{50,68} eight weeks,^{62,88,59} 12 weeks,^{52,58}
 540 three to four months,⁶¹ and 14 months.⁸¹

541 Outcomes <level 2 heading>

542 A wide variety of outcome measures were used in the included studies. Several outcomes included in
 543 this review were not identified a priori and have been highlighted in the limitations section. The most
 544 common objective outcomes were sBP and/or dBP, (used in all 43 studies) and HR,<sup>52-59,61-66,68-75,78-
 545 81,84,86-93</sup> cardiac output,^{52,54-58,68,71,75,77,91,93} and stroke volume.^{52,54-58,61,68,71,77,84,85,90,91,93} Other objective
 546 outcomes included the following: total peripheral resistance,^{52,54-57,71,77,91,93} mean arterial
 547 pressure,^{55,71,77,84,89,91-93} mean BP,^{56,58-60,67,69,73,85,93} oxygen saturations,^{61,81,82} respiratory rate,⁶⁹
 548 resistance index,^{73,90} HR variability,⁶⁸ stroke index,⁷³ cardiac index,^{61,73,75} maximum power output,⁶⁸
 549 maximum systolic velocity,⁹⁰ minimum diastolic velocity,⁹⁰ end diastolic index,⁷³ peripheral resistance
 550 index,⁷⁵ end diastolic volume index,⁷⁵ pulsatility index,⁹⁰ systemic vascular resistance,⁵⁸ inferior caval
 551 vein,⁵⁷ femoral vein,⁵⁷ rate pressure product,⁷⁷ perfusion index,⁶¹ cerebral blood flow,^{69,90} blood
 552 velocity of middle and posterior cerebral artery,⁸⁴ cerebral vascular resistance,⁹¹ calf impedance,⁹³
 553 electrocardiographic RR-intervals,^{87,93} Valsalva maneuvers,^{66,87} hyperventilation test,⁶⁶ cold pressor
 554 test,^{52,66} oxygen uptake,⁶⁸ end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide,⁹¹ peak expiratory flow,⁹² forced
 555 expiratory flow,⁹² forced vital capacity,⁹² voice measures,⁹² interruption of verticalization,⁶⁵ maximal
 556 cardiopulmonary exercise test,⁸⁶ fluid balance,^{55,59} esophageal temperature,⁹¹ venous blood and
 557 plasma samples,⁶⁹ and edema.⁵⁵⁴⁹

558 Observed or perceived orthostatic symptoms were measured using a variety of methods. The most
 559 common method was self-report,^{53-56,59,60,76,81-83,85,89} where participants described their symptoms. One
 560 study⁸⁴ asked participants to rank their symptoms from one to 10. Other studies used formal outcome
 561 measures: Specific Symptom Scale Questionnaire for Orthostatic Intolerance,⁶³ global symptomatic
 562 improvement score,⁷³ Orthostatic Symptom Scale,⁷² severity of OH symptoms,⁷⁵ orthostatic
 563 tolerance,⁵⁹ Symptom Change Scale,⁷² Orthostatic Hypotension Questionnaire,⁶⁷ OH Daily Activity
 564 Scale,⁶⁷ and OH Symptom Assessment.⁶⁷

565 Two studies measured maximum standing time.^{52,59} Three studies used measures of disability and
 566 function: Timed Up and Go Test,⁸⁰ Barthel Index⁹⁰ and modified Rankin Scale.⁸⁸ Other measures
 567 were measures of muscle strength,^{52,66,80,87,90} one repetition maximum,^{74,87} and electromyography of
 568 leg muscles.⁸⁵

569 *Physical modalities <level 3 heading>*

570 **Exercise interventions** delivered during tilt tabling demonstrated mixed results. Greater tolerance of
 571 verticality and reduced occurrence of OH was observed in three studies.^{64,65,78} Passive stepping using
 572 robotic tilt tables was effective at reducing the number of OH symptoms,^{64,65} and this was also
 573 observed when performing upper limb exercises during verticalization.⁷⁸ A 12-week aerobic training
 574 program⁸⁶ resulted in an increase in orthostatic tolerance and reduction of positive head-up tilt tests.
 575 Lower limb resistance exercises⁶² were the least effective, resulting in minimal reduction in an initial
 576 fall in sBP when moving from supine to standing. No significant absolute or relative difference was
 577 observed in any of the BP components with passive cycling or passive stepping.⁶⁹ However, there
 578 was a higher difference in arterial BP in both the intervention groups compared with standard
 579 physiotherapy. Three studies^{74,80,87} investigating the effects of resistance exercise training were
 580 included in a meta-analysis (Figure 2), which concluded that resistance exercise training was
 581 favorable compared to no intervention. A large mean effect size of -0.86 was observed favouring the
 582 intervention however the 95% confidence intervals for the standardized mean difference crossed zero
 583 and were wide (-2.34-+0.63). Figure 2 also shows show significant heterogeneity ($P<0.0001$) of
 584 studies.

585 *Conclusion of effectiveness:* Exercise interventions may improve orthostatic tolerance, but there were
 586 no statistically significant results with any of the exercise interventions.

587 **Electrical stimulation** was favorable but not statistically significant in the five studies not included in
 588 the meta-analysis. In these studies, participants using electrical stimulation could stand for longer and
 589 had reductions in OH,^{71,77} demonstrated a longer tolerance time during head-up tilt,⁸⁹ and had
 590 normalized BP.^{76,84} The overall outcome of the two studies^{85,90} included in the meta-analysis (Figure
 591 3) showed that a combination electrical stimulation and robotic stepping was more effective than
 592 robotic stepping only or control.

593 *Conclusion of effectiveness:* Results for the studies not included in the meta-analysis favored the
 594 intervention of electrical stimulation, but were not statistically significant. A comparison of robotic
 595 stepping and electrical stimulation (ROBO-FES) with robotic stepping (ROBO) showed a small effect
 596 size (-0.32) favouring ROBO-FES but with 95% CI that cross zero (-0.80 to 0.17). A comparison of
 597 robotic stepping and electrical stimulation (ROBO-FES) with control showed a small effect size (-0.44)
 598 favouring ROBO-FES but with 95% CI that cross zero (-0.92 to 0.04). **Compression** demonstrated
 599 positive results for elderly people with OH and people with neurogenic OH. Two studies^{63,88} concluded
 600 that combined lower limb and abdominal compression improved orthostatic stability in elderly people
 601 and people with stroke.

602 Abdominal compression^{57,67,72} was shown to reduce OH in elderly people with neurogenic OH.
 603 Abdominal compression significantly reduced BP fall upon tilting⁶⁷ compared to placebo. Symptoms of
 604 OH decreased significantly at the four-week follow-up. Abdominal compression was effective at
 605 attenuating OH compared with no abdominal compression,⁷² and symptoms were not affected by type
 606 of binder. There was no statistically significant difference with or without abdominal binder⁹²; however,
 607 mean arterial BP was higher with the abdominal binder at six weeks and at six months.

608 Three lower limb compression studies reported positive results. Maximum improvement was observed
 609 with all three combinations of compression (calves, thighs and abdomen),⁷⁵ and abdominal
 610 compression alone significantly reduced OH ($P < 0.005$). Similarly, a significant improvement was
 611 observed in elderly people with OH wearing elastic hosiery tights,⁵¹ with a reduction of OH at one
 612 minute ($P < 0.01$) and at two minutes ($P < 0.005$). The spinal cord injury case report⁶¹ demonstrated that
 613 the individual was able to remain in the upright position for longer, allowing improved mobilization
 614 during physiotherapy while wearing the inflatable external leg compression. The inflatable external leg
 615 compression succeeded in improving pre-syncope symptoms and preventing OH for several hours.

616 Participants with spinal cord injury demonstrated an increase in sympathetic activity and a decrease
 617 in parasympathetic activity after maximal exercise while wearing graduated compression stockings
 618 using the wheelchair cycle ergometer.⁶⁸

619 Lower limb compression stockings⁹¹ caused a passive physical resistance that, upon standing,
 620 delayed the maximal drop in mean arterial pressure in both younger adults and elderly people. The
 621 authors of the study concluded that compression stockings appeared to reduce venous pooling;
 622 however, the total peripheral resistance increased in elderly participants in minute 6. There were no
 623 differences between groups when heat and orthostatic stress were combined.

624 Lower limb compression bandages⁸¹ decreased OH symptoms in participants who were medically
 625 unwell, including 14 with stroke. Approximately 55% of participants experienced symptoms in the un-
 626 bandaged group. Significant changes were observed in the un-bandaged group compared to the
 627 bandaged group, with significantly greater incidence of palpitations, tachycardia, and decline of
 628 oxygen saturation over time ($P < 0.04$, $P < 0.03$, $P < 0.03$, respectively). The authors did not report results
 629 for sBP or dBP. Results from the two studies^{82,83} included in the meta-analysis (Figure 4) favor

630 compression bandaging, but the effect size was small and the 95% confidence interval cross zero (-
631 0.16 95% CI -0.41 to 0.09).

632 *Conclusion of the effectiveness:* Lower limb and abdominal compression,^{51,63,88} lower limb
633 compression,^{51,61,68,81-83,91} and abdominal compression^{57,67,72,92} are effective in improving OH;
634 however, not all studies were statistically significant.

635 **Physical counter-maneuvers** were deemed effective in reducing OH in people with neurogenic OH,
636 if performed correctly.⁷³ Squatting produced the most dramatic change in arterial BP, resulting in
637 longer standing time improved. The follow-up survey identified that the use of the maneuvers varied
638 from once to 11 times per day (3.83 ± 3.1 maneuvers per day). However, the follow-up survey was
639 conducted via telephone; therefore, it was unknown whether participants were performing maneuvers
640 correctly.

641 Leg crossing and squatting⁶⁰ improved standing BP in people with autonomic failure. After leg
642 crossing, all participants stood for 10 minutes or more (pre-intervention standing times not provided).
643 Time in standing after squatting was not reported.

644 Leg crossing and leg muscle contractions⁵⁶ resulted in higher standing BP than without leg muscle
645 contraction. Leg crossing while sitting on 48-cm and 38-cm chairs demonstrated an increase in sitting
646 BP in people with pure autonomic failure.

647 Leg muscle pumping (tiptoeing and leg crossing)⁵⁸ had different effects on OH in people with
648 autonomic failure. Tiptoeing did not change BP after one minute in the patient group, but the
649 normative group showed an increase in BP. Leg-crossing increased BP in both groups initially, which
650 was more pronounced in the normative group.

651 Physical manoeuvres⁹³ that significantly increased mean BP included bending forward ($P < 0.005$),
652 squatting ($P < 0.002$), and abdominal compression ($P < 0.04$) but not leg crossing. Squatting and
653 abdominal compression also induced a significant increase in cardiac output during squatting
654 ($P < 0.02$) and during abdominal compression ($P < 0.014$).

655 *Conclusion of effectiveness:* Physical counter-maneuvers,^{60,73,93} leg crossing,^{56,60,93} leg muscle
656 pumping/contractions,⁵⁶ squatting and bending forward⁹³ improved OH, while tiptoeing did not.⁵⁸ Leg
657 crossing while sitting on 48-cm and 38-cm chairs demonstrated an increase in sitting BP.⁵⁶

658 **A combination of abdominal compression and physical counter-manoeuvres** had a significant
659 effect on standing BP in one study ($P < 0.05$ ⁵⁷) and significant increase in cardiac output in another
660 study ($P = 0.02$ ⁷²). However, there were no significant differences in the effect of abdominal
661 compression on the diameter of caval or femoral veins, or compression and arterial pressure
662 response.⁵⁷

663 *Conclusion of the effectiveness:* A combination of abdominal compression and physical counter-
664 maneuvers is effective in treating OH.

665 **Sleeping with head up** reduced BP after one minute of standing ($P<0.01$ for sBP).⁵⁹ Four of the six
 666 participants adopted this intervention. Combined treatment (sleeping with head up and
 667 pharmacological treatment using fludrocortisone) was most effective, significantly reducing OH
 668 symptoms in all patients ($P<0.001$) and increasing the maximal standing period to at least 10 minutes
 669 compared to 35 to 170 seconds pre-treatment. Sleeping with head up at six inches for six weeks had
 670 no effect on OH symptoms and BP.⁵⁵

671 *Conclusion of the effectiveness:* Sleeping with head up in combination with fludrocortisone was more
 672 effective than sleeping with head up alone.

673 *Dietary measures <level 3 heading>*

674 **Food intake** had a negative effect on BP in elderly people and people with neurogenic OH.
 675 Participants with Parkinson's disease had a significant ($P<0.01$) postprandial sBP drop in supine
 676 position compared to healthy controls.⁷⁰ There was a greater fall in sBP with passive versus active
 677 standing in both groups, with a greater postprandial fall in the group with Parkinson's disease. The
 678 authors reviewed one meal (lunch) and did not look at all meals throughout an entire day or collect
 679 data on the size of meals participants usually ate with those provided in the study.

680 Post-meal BP was lower in all positions⁵³ (lying sBP $P<0.005$, lying dBP $P<0.02$, sitting dBP $P<0.07$,
 681 standing dBP $P<0.06$) after three large meals. Compared to six meals, sBP and dBP between meals
 682 reached lower levels on the three-meal study day. Fewer symptoms were reported during the six-
 683 meal study day.

684 Post-meal supine BP was significantly lower ($P<0.02$) in elderly participants.⁷⁹ Supine sBP and dBP
 685 were significantly higher ($P<0.15$ and $P<0.001$) in the elderly group, but standing sBP and dBP were
 686 similar between groups.

687 *Conclusion of effectiveness:* Eating smaller, more frequent meals as opposed to larger, less frequent
 688 meals resulted in significantly higher supine, sitting, and standing BP and improved OH symptoms in
 689 people with autonomic failure, people with neurogenic OH, elderly people, and people with
 690 Parkinson's disease.

691 **Fluid intake** prior to standing had a positive effect on OH in various positions. Five minutes after
 692 drinking water, there was a significant rise in BP in the supine position ($P<0.05$).⁵² With exercise there
 693 was a clear fall in BP, which occurred even after water ingestion. Blood pressure remained low after
 694 exercise but was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) after water intake, resulting in better tolerance of post-
 695 exercise standing. Drinking water improved orthostatic tolerance post-exercise. Standing prior to
 696 water ingestion caused a significant fall ($P<0.01$) in BP in all participants.⁶⁶ After water ingestion, there
 697 was a rise in seated BP. Seated and standing BP at 15 and 35 minutes after water ingestion was
 698 significantly higher ($P<0.01$ and $P<0.001$) than before water, with an improvement in orthostatic
 699 symptoms.

700 Drinking 480 mL of water at room temperature in less than five minutes improved standing BP and
 701 orthostatic tolerance in people with autonomic failure.⁵⁴ The response was similar in patients with

702 multiple system atrophy and those with pure autonomic failure. Water ingested before a meal
 703 attenuated postprandial hypotension in these patients. Drinking water also attenuated orthostatic
 704 tachycardia in people with idiopathic orthostatic intolerance.

705 *Conclusion of effectiveness:* Ingestion of water increased BP in supine,⁷⁰ sitting,⁷⁸ and standing.^{78,87}
 706 Water ingested prior to a meal also attenuated postprandial hypotension.⁸⁷

707 Discussion <level 1 heading>

708 This review set out to examine the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH in
 709 elderly people and people with a neurological condition.

710 Although the literature contained many non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH in these
 711 populations, the review highlighted a heterogeneity of methods. The inclusion criteria included some
 712 participants who did not have a formal diagnosis of OH prior to entering a study. Many studies
 713 included participants with neurological conditions such as Parkinson’s disease, brain injury, stroke
 714 and spinal cord injury, but did not specify OH as an inclusion criterion. This may be because OH in
 715 neurological conditions is associated with central autonomic dysfunction or the absence of vein blood
 716 pump related to lower limb paralysis. Additionally, periods of immobility or prolonged bed rest, which
 717 can cause physiological changes such as diminished sympathetic activity,⁹⁴ in combination with
 718 hypovolemia, may also predispose some individuals with neurological conditions to OH. However,
 719 authors did not explicitly provide this as a rationale for their chosen sample.

720 Thirty-one percent of studies specified OH in their inclusion criteria, but there was heterogeneity in the
 721 definitions used, and only 26% provided a definition, which makes meaningful comparison difficult.
 722 The most commonly used definition was a sustained drop in sBP of at least 20 mmHg and/or dBP of
 723 at least 10 mmHg following a change of posture, which was applied to various postures (standing,
 724 tilting [ranging from 15 to 90 degrees tilt angles], or sitting). Other variations used a higher
 725 threshold.^{72,75,93} Using higher thresholds could result in participants being missed during screening. It
 726 also raises the question as to whether the lack of standardization observed in these studies is
 727 mirrored in clinical practice. The time points at which BP was measured also varied from
 728 immediately^{62,63} to up to 10 minutes^{74,90} of being upright. Further, the definition of “being upright”
 729 varied from 60 to 90 degrees on a tilt table or self-initiated standing, and authors did not acknowledge
 730 or discuss the differences between active and passive standing. Verticalization using a tilt table does
 731 not fully replicate the physiology of active standing because the exercise reflex and the mechanical
 732 squeeze on the venous capacitance and arterial resistance vessels are less.⁹⁵ Therefore, OH may
 733 occur more frequently with tilt-table testing.⁹⁶

734 Cerebral hypoperfusion is acknowledged in clinical guidelines^{5,28} as a common cause of syncope or
 735 transient loss of consciousness,⁹⁷ which is likely to impact standing time and symptoms experienced.
 736 Monitoring cerebral blood flow is important in people with acute or sub-acute stroke, because
 737 autoregulation is impaired following stroke.²⁰ Two recent meta-analyses^{98,99} concluded that OH was
 738 independently associated with a significantly higher risk of developing coronary heart disease,

739 cardiovascular disease, and heart failure. Despite the relative importance of maintaining cerebral
 740 blood flow when standing upright, it was measured in only two studies. One study⁶⁹ monitored
 741 cerebral blood flow, but only in participants with sub-arachnoid hemorrhage and not in participants
 742 with ischemic stroke or severe brain trauma. The authors acknowledged the potential risk of impairing
 743 cerebral blood flow during mobilization but did not provide a rationale for only monitoring participants
 744 with sub-arachnoid hemorrhage. Additionally, they did not provide any data on cerebral blood flow.
 745 The second study⁹⁰ included 104 participants with stroke (128 recruited but 28 dropped out), all of
 746 whom had cerebral blood flow measured pre- and post-training. Cerebral blood flow was reduced
 747 $\leq 10\%$, but participants were asymptomatic. Asymptomatic OH is more common than symptomatic
 748 OH,¹⁰⁰ which means clinicians may be unaware of the potential risk of further brain damage when
 749 these patients are being mobilized or undergoing therapy. As well as measuring cerebral blood flow,
 750 future work should investigate what is a clinically important drop in cerebral blood flow.

751 **Which interventions worked? <level 2 heading>**

752 Overall, the results were mixed. Although effect sizes often favored the intervention in individual
 753 studies, meta-analyses of three interventions were statistically non-significant. The mean effect sizes
 754 were either large (resistance exercise) or small (electrical stimulator or compression bandaging) and
 755 in all cases the 95% confidence intervals for the standardized mean difference crossed the line of no
 756 effect as highlighted in the forest plots in Figures 2, 3, and 4. In general the sample sizes were small
 757 and although there were differences seen these are small and this has potentially been influenced by
 758 the small sample sizes. Of the additional interventions reviewed, physical counter-maneuvers and
 759 fluid intake produced favorable results. It is important to consider the feasibility and practicality of
 760 these interventions if they are to be implemented into clinical practice.

761 Physical counter-maneuvers were favorable in reducing OH, for example, but people with balance
 762 and mobility problems may find many of the physical maneuvers challenging, and their risk of falling
 763 increased. Additionally, performing these physical maneuvers requires the ability to stand and move
 764 between sitting and standing, and people with moderate and severe disability would often not be able
 765 to perform these movements without mechanical or physical assistance. Exercise was favorable, but
 766 the changes measured were not statistically significant. The resistance training programs may also be
 767 unsuitable for people with moderate to severe disability. Several studies used robotic tilt tables and
 768 automated cycle ergometers to passively move lower limbs during verticalization, which may be more
 769 suitable for people with neurological conditions who have moderate to severe disability. When
 770 considering implementation into practice, it is important to consider how accessible this equipment is;
 771 robotic tilt tables, for instance, are not routinely available in clinical practice.

772 Other interventions that may be suitable for people with moderate to severe disability are
 773 compression and electrical stimulation. Compression garments, such as compression stockings, may
 774 allow repeated safe standing or sitting. They can be used in conjunction with tilt tables and standing
 775 frames to facilitate orthostatic tolerance, and are commonly used in spinal cord rehabilitation.¹⁰¹ In
 776 stroke, current clinical guidelines recommend intermittent pneumatic compression or graded

777 compression stockings of lower limbs as thromboembolism prophylaxis.¹⁰² Therefore, abdominal
 778 binders may be more appropriate as they would not interfere with this. Furthermore, abdominal
 779 binders may be easier for healthcare providers to monitor skin integrity, and they provide less risk of
 780 skin damage. However, abdominal binders are contraindicated for people receiving nutritional support
 781 via a gastrostomy tube, because the binder would compress the tube and may cause pain and skin
 782 damage. Additionally, people with moderate to severe disability may need assistance to don and doff
 783 compression garments.

784 Electrical stimulation is an adjunctive intervention commonly used in clinical practice to treat muscle
 785 impairment.¹⁰³ Contraindications for electrical stimulation include poor skin integrity, significant
 786 autonomic dysreflexia in incomplete spinal cord injury above T6, and uncontrolled epilepsy.¹⁰⁴ Only
 787 one study provided this information.⁸⁹ None of the studies discussed the contraindications of using
 788 electrical stimulation in clinical practice. However, contractions induced by electrical stimulation of
 789 lower limb muscles may activate the skeletal muscle pump as effectively as voluntary contractions of
 790 these muscles in people without weakness or disability as a result of stroke or neurological
 791 impairment. This may allow patients to stand earlier or for longer during rehabilitation sessions or
 792 performing activities of daily living. The two studies included in the meta-analysis investigating the
 793 effectiveness of electrical stimulation versus control had small sample sizes and within these there
 794 were significant variability. Although the studies indicate a better absolute effect using electrical
 795 stimulation, this is not reflected in the meta-analysis. This is due to the variability and the small
 796 sample size.

797 Water ingestion had a positive effect on OH and would be suitable for many people. However, stroke
 798 and degenerative neurological conditions can cause swallow impairments¹⁰⁵; therefore, ingesting
 799 water quickly may be unsafe or challenging for these people due to risk of aspiration and aspiration
 800 pneumonia. Further, people who have incontinence or reduced mobility that affects their ability to get
 801 to the toilet may be reluctant to undertake this intervention. This intervention would also be unsuitable
 802 for people who have fluid restriction due to other medical conditions. All three studies tested water
 803 ingestion on a one-off basis making the accumulative effects unknown.

804 Long-term follow-up and prolonged intervention regimes were lacking in most studies. Therefore, it is
 805 unknown whether OH improves over time with repeated application of a specific non-pharmacological
 806 intervention and whether improvements are sustained, alleviating the need for further intervention
 807 over the longer term. None of the studies evaluated instantaneous versus training effects (e.g.
 808 repeated interventions) of the different OH interventions. For example, an abdominal binder improved
 809 OH when it was worn for four weeks,⁶⁷ but because there was no follow-up beyond this point, it was
 810 not known if symptoms returned once it was no longer worn. This warrants different trial designs with
 811 longer follow-up periods.

812 Determining long-term effects is important because studies suggest the cardiovascular system can
 813 adapt over time to develop orthostatic tolerance. In spinal cord injury, for example, these adaptations
 814 may be due to changes in Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone activity.^{106,107} Further, adaptations in the

815 central control of autonomic functions have been identified in healthy animals with prolonged exercise
816 training and may occur over time and with training in people with OH.¹⁰⁸

817 There may be a difference in the short- and long-term effects of the interventions between conditions.
818 Where there is direct damage to autonomic centers, such as in multiple system atrophy¹⁰⁹ and
819 Parkinson's disease,¹¹⁰ the potential for adaptive changes may be limited. In contrast, there may be
820 greater potential for central and neuro-hormonal adaptive changes in the elderly and in people after
821 stroke, where causes may be more linked to paralysis and long-term immobility. This highlights the
822 need for future studies to stratify participants according to both their condition and stage or severity of
823 disease. Different conditions have different pathophysiological mechanisms underlying OH¹¹¹⁻¹¹³ and
824 thus potentially different short- and long-term effects of an intervention.

825 **Limitations <level 2 heading>**

826 The primary limitation of this review was the heterogeneity of methods of the studies included.

827 Significant variability between studies Most studies had small sample sizes, which limits
828 generalizability of the results. The methodological quality of the included studies varied. For RCTs,
829 randomization was not used or unclear, and blinding in most RCTs was low. Some quasi-
830 experimental studies did not include a comparator or control group. The number of participants
831 included in the meta-analyses varied: electrical stimulation (n=38⁹⁰ and n=10⁸⁵), compression (n=53⁸²
832 and n=73⁸³), and exercise (n=53⁷⁴; n=14⁸⁷; n=8⁸⁰). The certainty of the evidence was very low for all
833 studies included in the meta-analysis, thus any translation into practice must be tentative. Subgroup
834 analysis was not possible due to insufficient number of studies included in the meta-analysis, as well
835 as inconsistency of reported demographics, medications, and severity of neurological condition using
836 disease-specific validated outcome measures.

837 Outcomes not previously identified in the protocol development were identified through this systematic
838 review process, and the authors recognize this as a protocol deviation: cardiac output,<sup>52,54-
839 58,68,71,75,77,91,93</sup> and stroke volume.^{52,54-58,61,68,71,77,84,85,90,91,93} Other objective outcomes included the
840 following: total peripheral resistance,^{52,54-57,71,77,91,93} mean arterial pressure,^{55,71,77,84,89,91-93} mean
841 BP,^{56,58-60,67,69,73,85,93} oxygen saturations,^{61,81,82} respiratory rate,⁶⁹ resistance index,^{73,90} HR variability,⁶⁸
842 stroke index,⁷³ cardiac index,^{61,73,75} maximum power output,⁶⁸ maximum systolic velocity,⁹⁰ minimum
843 diastolic velocity,⁹⁰ end diastolic index,⁷³ peripheral resistance index,⁷⁵ end diastolic volume index,⁷⁵
844 pulsatility index,⁹⁰ systemic vascular resistance,⁵⁸ inferior caval vein,⁵⁷ femoral vein,⁵⁷ rate pressure
845 product,⁷⁷ perfusion index,⁶¹ cerebral blood flow,^{69,90} blood velocity of middle and posterior cerebral
846 artery,⁸⁴ cerebral vascular resistance,⁹¹ calf impedance,⁹³ electrocardiographic RR-intervals,^{87,93}
847 Valsalva maneuvers,^{66,87} hyperventilation test,⁶⁶ cold pressor test,^{52,66} oxygen uptake,⁶⁸ end-tidal
848 partial pressure of carbon dioxide,⁹¹ peak expiratory flow,⁹² forced expiratory flow,⁹² forced vital
849 capacity,⁹² voice measures,⁹² interruption of verticalization,⁶⁵ maximal cardiopulmonary exercise
850 test,⁸⁶ fluid balance,^{55,59} esophageal temperature,⁹¹ venous blood and plasma samples,⁶⁹ and
851 edema.⁵⁵

852 This review was further limited by the inclusion of only English language studies.

853 **Conclusion <level 1 heading>**

854 This review found mixed results for the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to treat OH
 855 in people 50 years and older and people with a neurological condition. The settings, participants,
 856 outcomes, study designs, and intervention types were heterogeneous, resulting in an inability to
 857 include all studies in a meta-analysis. There are several non-pharmacological interventions that may
 858 be effective in treating OH (electrical stimulation, lower limb and abdominal compression, physical
 859 maneuvers, resistance exercise training, eating smaller and more frequent meals, and drinking 480
 860 mL water), but not all have resulted in clinically meaningful changes in outcome. However, those
 861 studies included in the meta-analyses were non-significant, and the 95% confidence intervals for the
 862 standardized mean difference crossed the line of no effect and were wide. Sleeping with head up in
 863 combination with pharmacological treatment was more effective than sleeping with head up alone.
 864 Some interventions may not be suitable for people with moderate to severe disability (e.g. they may
 865 be unable to stand to perform physical maneuvers or perform resistance training due to weakness).
 866 Thus, it is important for clinicians to consider a patient's abilities and impairments when choosing
 867 which non-pharmacological interventions to implement.

868 **Recommendations for practice <level 2 heading>**

869 The findings of this systematic review have several implications for clinicians working with people with
 870 neurological conditions and elderly people in both inpatient and community settings. Although not
 871 statistically significant, meta-analysis concluded that electrical stimulation, lower limb compression,
 872 and resistance exercise training were favorable in reducing OH, although the GRADE certainty of
 873 evidence was very low for all three physical modalities. These modalities could be implemented into
 874 rehabilitation sessions for people with stroke, people with neurological conditions, and elderly people.

875 Many rehabilitation units have cycle ergometers (e.g. MOTomed, Thera Trainers), which patients
 876 could use while sitting out, even in specialist wheelchairs. However, depending on the severity of
 877 disability, some patients may need supervision to optimize safety. Additionally, many rehabilitation
 878 units also have access to functional electrical stimulation (e.g. Microstim), which could be
 879 incorporated into standing practice to increase the duration of standing and optimize physical activity
 880 during rehabilitation sessions. Clinicians need to check whether patients have any contraindications
 881 (e.g. spinal cord injury above T6, uncontrolled epilepsy, poor skin integrity, cognitive problems).

882 When OH is problematic, lower limb compression and abdominal binders could be used, both within
 883 and outside of rehabilitation sessions, to optimize physical activity. Abdominal binders are easier to
 884 don and doff than lower limb compression stockings, making them easier for patients to use
 885 independently. For those who require assistance with compression, education of clinicians, carers,
 886 and family members is required so that patients can use them within and outside of rehabilitation
 887 sessions, and in the community setting.

888 The applicability of water ingestion for people with neurological conditions has been acknowledged. If
 889 patients have been screened by speech and language therapists and deemed to have no swallow
 890 impairment, sipping water may be a useful way of managing OH during standing practice.

891 This review suggests a range of non-pharmacological interventions may be effective in managing OH.
 892 Most do not require specialist equipment and training; therefore, the cost of implementation is likely to
 893 be minimal. Importantly, from a practical perspective, many of these interventions can be
 894 implemented inside or outside of rehabilitation sessions. However, the patient's physical abilities and
 895 impairments (e.g. cognitive impairment, severity of disability, swallow impairment) should be
 896 considered when selecting a non-pharmacological intervention.

897 **Recommendations for future research <level 2 heading>**

898 This systematic review highlighted heterogeneity in measurement of non-pharmacological
 899 interventions to treat OH. Lack of a standardized approach to measurement in OH trials makes
 900 consolidation of the body of knowledge difficult, which may negatively impact on effective
 901 interventions being implemented into clinical practice. A consensus is required when measuring BP at
 902 specific time points during standing or verticalization. Further, a consensus is required for measuring
 903 OH in people with neurological conditions who have impaired mobility and reduced standing times.
 904 Additionally, a core set of outcome measures and standardized time points would facilitate pooling of
 905 results in meta-analyses to enable more accurate conclusions to be drawn.

906 Standardization of inclusion criteria is required to ensure that all participants enrolled in OH
 907 intervention studies have OH, either by testing during screening or from a formal diagnosis. Improved
 908 consistency of methodology reporting, as recommended by the Consolidated Standards of Reporting
 909 Trials guidelines,¹²² is also recommended. Consistency of reporting demographics, medications, and
 910 severity of neurological condition using disease-specific validated outcome measures would allow
 911 subgroup analysis.

912 **Funding**

913 This systematic review presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health
 914 Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the
 915 NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

916 **Conflicts of interest**

917 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

918

919 **References**

920 1. Low PA, Singer W. Management of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension: an update. *Lancet Neurol.*
 921 2008; 7(5):451-8.
 922 2. Velseboer DC, de Haan RJ, Wieling W, Goldstein DS, de Bie RM. Prevalence of orthostatic
 923 hypotension in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parkinsonism Relat D.*
 924 2011; 17(10):724-9.
 925 3. Krassioukov A, Eng JJ, Warburton DE, Teasell R. A systematic review of the management of
 926 orthostatic hypotension after spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2009; 90(5):876-85.
 927 4. Kong K, Chuo AM. Incidence and outcome of orthostatic hypotension in stroke patients undergoing
 928 rehabilitation. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2003; 84(4):559-62.
 929 5. Lahrmann H, Cortelli P, Hilz M, Mathias CJ, Struhal W, Tassinari M. Chapter 33: Orthostatic
 930 hypotension. In: Gilhus NE, Barnes MP, Brainin M (Editors). *European Handbook of Neurological*
 931 *Management.* 2nd ed. Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.
 932 6. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, Benditt DG, Benarroch E, Biaggioni I, et al. Consensus
 933 statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural
 934 tachycardia syndrome. *Autonom Neurosci.* 2011; 161(1-2):46-8.
 935 7. Berger MJ, Kimpinski K. A practical guide to the treatment of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension.
 936 *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2014; 41(2):156-63.
 937 8. Mathias CJ, Kimber JR. Postural hypotension: Causes, clinical features, investigation, and
 938 management. *Annual Rev Med.* 1999; 50:317-36.
 939 9. Naschitz JE, Rosner I. Orthostatic hypotension: framework of the syndrome. *Postgrad Med J.* 2007;
 940 83(983):568-74.
 941 10. World Health Organization. Definition of an older or elderly person. Geneva, Switzerland: World
 942 Health Organization; 2010.
 943 11. Weiss A, Grossman E, Beloosesky Y, Grinblat J. Orthostatic hypotension in acute geriatric ward:
 944 is it a consistent finding? *Arch Intern Med.* 2002; 162(20):2369-74.
 945 12. Tilvis RS, Hakala SM, Valvanne J, Erkinjuntti T. Postural hypotension and dizziness in a general
 946 aged population: a four-year follow-up of the Helsinki Aging Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1996;
 947 44(7):809-14.
 948 13. Kauffman TL, Barr JO, Moran ML. Geriatric rehabilitation manual. 2nd ed. New York: Churchill
 949 Livingstone Elsevier; 2007.
 950 14. Perlmutter LC, Sarda G, Casavant V, Mosnaim AD. A review of the etiology, associated
 951 comorbidities, and treatment of orthostatic hypotension. *Am J Ther.* 2013; 20(3):279-91.
 952 15. Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. Royal College of Physicians. National Clinical Guideline for
 953 Stroke. 5th edition. 2016 [23/01/208]. Available from: [https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-](https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/stroke-guidelines)
 954 [policy/stroke-guidelines.](https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/stroke-guidelines)
 955 16. Multidisciplinary Association of Spinal Cord. Clinical Guideline for Standing Adults Following
 956 Spinal Cord Injury. Multidisciplinary Association of Spinal Cord; 2013.
 957 17. Disrens K, Moreira T, Hirt L, Faouzi M, Grujic J, Bieler G, et al. Early mobilization out of bed after
 958 ischaemic stroke reduces severe complications but not cerebral blood flow: a randomized controlled
 959 pilot trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2012; 25(5):451-9.
 960 18. Bernhardt J, Dewey H, Thrift A, Collier J, Donnan G. A very early rehabilitation trial for stroke
 961 (AVERT): phase II safety and feasibility. *Stroke.* 2008; 39(2):390-6.
 962 19. Ferrarello F, Deluca G, Pizzi A, Baldini C, Iori F, Marchionni N, et al. Passive standing as an
 963 adjunct rehabilitation intervention after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Physiother.* 2015;
 964 5(2).
 965 20. Jordan JD, Powers WJ. Cerebral autoregulation and acute ischemic stroke. *Am J Hypertens.*
 966 2012; 25(9):946-50.
 967 21. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) Executive Committee EWC. Guidelines for management of
 968 ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack 2008. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2008; 25(5):457-507.
 969 22. Adams HP, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, Bhatt DL, Brass L, Furlan A, et al. Guidelines for the early
 970 management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart
 971 Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular
 972 Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality
 973 of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: The American Academy of
 974 Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. *Circulation.* 2007;
 975 115(20):e478-534.
 976 23. Figueroa JJ, Basford JR, Low PA. Preventing and treating orthostatic hypotension: as easy as A,
 977 B, C. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2010; 77(5):298-306.

- 978 24. Ong AC, Myint PK, Shepstone L, Potter JF. A systematic review of the pharmacological
979 management of orthostatic hypotension. *Intert J Clin Pract.* 2013; 67(7):633-46.
- 980 25. Gallacher KI, Batty GD, McLean G, Mercer SW, Guthrie B, May CR, et al. Stroke, multimorbidity
981 and polypharmacy in a nationally representative sample of 1,424,378 patients in Scotland:
982 implications for treatment burden. *BMC Med.* 2014; 12:151.
- 983 26. Fulton MM, Allen ER. Polypharmacy in the elderly: a literature review. *J Am Acad Nurse
984 Practitioners.* 2005; 17(4):123-32.
- 985 27. Perlmutter LC, Sarda G, Casavant V, O'Hara K, Hindes M, Knott PT, et al. A review of orthostatic
986 blood pressure regulation and its association with mood and cognition. *Clin Auton Res.* 2012;
987 22(2):99-107.
- 988 28. Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, Blanc J-J, Brignole M, Dahm JB, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis
989 and management of syncope. The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope of the
990 European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2009; 30:2631-71.
- 991 29. Gillis DJ, Wouda M, Hjeltnes N. Non-pharmacological management of orthostatic hypotension
992 after spinal cord injury: a critical review of the literature. *Spinal Cord.* 2008; 46(10):652-9.
- 993 30. Thompson P, Wright J, Rajkumar C. Non-pharmacological treatments for orthostatic hypotension.
994 *Age Ageing.* 2011; 40(3):292-3.
- 995 31. Newman M, Barker K. The effect of supported standing in adults with upper motor neurone
996 disorders: a systematic review. *Clin Rehabil.* 2012; 26(12):1059-77.
- 997 32. Frith J, Bashir AS, Elliott CS, Newton JL. Public and patient research priorities for orthostatic
998 hypotension. *Age Ageing.* 2014; 43(6):865-8.
- 999 33. Mills PB, Fung CK, Travlos A, Krassioukov A. Nonpharmacologic management of orthostatic
1000 hypotension: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2015; 96(2):366-75.e6.
- 1001 34. World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems
1002 (ICD-10).* Geneva, Switzerland; 2016.
- 1003 35. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Aromataris E, Campbell J, Hopp L. Chapter 3: Systematic reviews of
1004 effectiveness. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors). *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual
1005 [Internet].* Adelaide: JBI; 2017 [14/05/2018]. Available from:
1006 <https://reviewersmanual.joannabriggs.org/>
- 1007 36. Logan A, Marsden J, Freeman J, Kent B. Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions in
1008 treating orthostatic hypotension in the elderly and people with a neurological condition: a systematic
1009 review protocol. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep.* 2017; 15(4):948-60.
- 1010 37. Thomas Reuters. *EndNote X7.* 2016.
- 1011 38. Munn Z, Aromataris E, Tufanaru C, Stern C, Porritt K, Farrow J, et al. The development of
1012 software to support multiple systematic review types: the Joanna Briggs Institute System for the
1013 Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI). *Int J Evid Based
1014 Healthc.* 2019; 17(1):36-43.
- 1015 39. Aromataris E, Munn Z. Chapter 1: JBI systematic reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors).
1016 *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual [Internet].* Adelaide: JBI; 2017 [14/05/2018]. Available
1017 from: <https://reviewersmanual.joannabriggs.org/>
- 1018 40. Smulyan H, Sheehe PR, Safar ME. A preliminary evaluation of the mean arterial pressure as
1019 measured by cuff oscillometry. *Am J Hypertens.* 2008; 21(2):166-71.
- 1020 41. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Stephenson M, Aromataris E. Fixed or random effects meta-analysis?
1021 Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*
1022 2015; 13(3):196-207.
- 1023 42. Macaskill P, Walter SD, Irwig L. A comparison of methods to detect publication bias in meta-
1024 analysis. *Stat Med.* 2001; 20(4):641-54.
- 1025 43. Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines:
1026 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2011; 64(4):401-6.
- 1027 44. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Santesso N, Helfand M, Vist G, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 12.
1028 Preparing summary of findings tables-binary outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2013; 66(2):158-72.
- 1029 45. Guyatt GH, Thorlund K, Oxman AD, Walter SD, Patrick D, Furukawa TA, et al. GRADE guidelines:
1030 13. Preparing summary of findings tables and evidence profiles-continuous outcomes. *J Clin
1031 Epidemiol.* 2013; 66(2):173-83.
- 1032 46. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-
1033 GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2011; 64(4):383-94.
- 1034 47. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D; The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for
1035 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009; 6(7): e1000097.

- 1036 48. Faghri PD, Yount J. Electrically induced and voluntary activation of physiologic muscle pump: a
 1037 comparison between spinal cord-injured and able-bodied individuals. *Clin Rehabil.* 2002; 16(8):878-
 1038 85.
- 1039 49. Fan CW, Walsh C, Cunningham CJ. The effect of sleeping with the head of the bed elevated six
 1040 inches on elderly patients with orthostatic hypotension: an open randomised controlled trial. *Age*
 1041 *Ageing.* 2011; 40(2):187-92.
- 1042 50. Fanciulli A, Goebel G, Metzler B, Sprenger F, Poewe W, Wenning GK, et al. Elastic abdominal
 1043 binders attenuate orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord Clin Pract.* 2016;
 1044 3(2):156-60.
- 1045 51. Figueroa JJ, Singer W, Sandroni P, Sletten DM, Gehrking TL, Gehrking JA, et al. Effects of
 1046 patient-controlled abdominal compression on standing systolic blood pressure in adults with
 1047 orthostatic hypotension. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2015; 96(3):505-10.
- 1048 52. Kanegusuku H, Silva-Batista C, Peçanha T, Nieuwboer A, Silva ND, Costa LA, et al. Effects of
 1049 progressive resistance training on cardiovascular autonomic regulation in patients with Parkinson
 1050 disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2017; 98(11):2134-41.
- 1051 53. Gorelik O, Fishlev G, Almozni-Sarafian D, Alon I, Weissgarten J, Shteinshnaider M, et al. Lower
 1052 limb compression bandaging is effective in preventing signs and symptoms of seating-induced
 1053 postural hypotension. *Cardiology.* 2004; 102(4):177-83.
- 1054 54. Luther MS, Krewer C, Muller F, Koeing E. Comparison of orthostatic reactions of patients still
 1055 unconscious within the first three months of brain injury on a tilt table with and without integrated
 1056 stepping a prospective, randomized crossover pilot trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2008; 22(12):1034.
- 1057 55. Phillips AA, Squair JW, Sayenko DG, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko Y, Krassioukov AV. An
 1058 autonomic neuroprosthesis: noninvasive electrical spinal cord stimulation restores autonomic
 1059 cardiovascular function in individuals with spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma.* 2018; 35(3):446-51.
- 1060 56. Podoleanu C, Maggi R, Brignole M, Croci F, Incze A, Solano A, et al. Lower limb and abdominal
 1061 compression bandages prevent progressive orthostatic hypotension in elderly persons: a randomized
 1062 single-blind controlled study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006; 48(7):1425-32.
- 1063 57. Rocca A, Pignat JM, Berney L, Jöhr J, Van de Ville D, Daniel RT, et al. Sympathetic activity and
 1064 early mobilization in patients in intensive and intermediate care with severe brain injuries: a
 1065 preliminary prospective randomized study. *BMC Neurol.* 2016; 16:169.
- 1066 58. Takahagi VCM, Costa DC, Crescencio JC, Gallo Junior L. Physical training as non-
 1067 pharmacological treatment of neurocardiogenic syncope. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2014; 102(3):288-94.
- 1068 59. Taveggia G, Ragusa I, Trani V, Cuva D, Angeretti C, Fontanella M, et al. Robotic tilt table reduces
 1069 the occurrence of orthostatic hypotension over time in vegetative states. *Int J Rehabil Res.* 2015;
 1070 38(2):162-6.
- 1071 60. Vijayakumar K, Karthikbabu S, Aishwarya S. Effects of pneumatic abdominal binder and calf
 1072 compression versus elastic compression bandaging on orthostatic hypotension in patients with acute
 1073 stroke: A randomized clinical trial. *Neurorehab Neural Re.* 2012; 26(6):693-4.
- 1074 61. Bouvette CM, McPhee BR, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Low PA. Role of physical countermeasures in
 1075 the management of orthostatic hypotension: efficacy and biofeedback augmentation. *Mayo Clin*
 1076 *Proceed.* 1996; 71(9):847-53.
- 1077 62. Brilla LR, Stephens AB, Knutzen KM, Caine D. Effect of strength training on orthostatic
 1078 hypotension in older adults. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil.* 1998; 18(4):295-300.
- 1079 63. Denq JC, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Giuliani M, Felten J, Convertino VA, Low PA. Efficacy of
 1080 compression of different capacitance beds in the amelioration of orthostatic hypotension. *Clin Auto*
 1081 *Res.* 1997; 7(6):321-6.
- 1082 64. Elokda AS, Nielsen DH, Shields RK. Effect of functional neuromuscular stimulation on postural
 1083 related orthostatic stress in individuals with acute spinal cord injury. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2000;
 1084 37(5):535-42.
- 1085 65. Faghri PD, Yount JP, Pesce WJ, Seetharama S, Votto JJ. Circulatory hypokinesia and functional
 1086 electric stimulation during standing in persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 2001;
 1087 82(11):1587-95.
- 1088 66. Gorelik O, Almozni-Sarafian D, Litvinov V, Alon I, Shteinshnaider M, Dotan E, et al. Seating-
 1089 induced postural hypotension is common in older patients with decompensated heart failure and may
 1090 be prevented by lower limb compression bandaging. *Gerontol.* 2009; 55(2):138-44.
- 1091 67. Gorelik O, Shteinshnaider M, Tzur I, Feldman L, Cohen N, Almozni-Sarafian D. Factors
 1092 associated with prevention of postural hypotension by leg compression bandaging. *Blood Pressure.*
 1093 2014; 23(4):248-54.

- 1094 68. Hamzaid NA, Tean LT, Davis GM, Suhaimi A, Hasnan N. Electrical stimulation-evoked
 1095 contractions blunt orthostatic hypotension in sub-acute spinal cord-injured individuals: two clinical
 1096 case studies. *Spinal Cord*. 2015; 53(5):375-9.
- 1097 69. Henry R, Rowe J, O'Mahony D. Haemodynamic analysis of efficacy of compression hosiery in
 1098 elderly fallers with orthostatic hypotension. *Lancet*. 1999; 354(9172):45-6.
- 1099 70. Humm AM, Mason LM, Mathias CJ. Effects of water drinking on cardiovascular responses to
 1100 supine exercise and on orthostatic hypotension after exercise in pure autonomic failure. *J Neurol*
 1101 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2008; 79(10):1160-4.
- 1102 71. Kuznetsov AN, Rybalko NV, Daminov VD, Luft AR. Early poststroke rehabilitation using a robotic
 1103 tilt-table stepper and functional electrical stimulation. *Stroke Res Treat*. 2013.
- 1104 72. Loew F, Gauthey L, Koerffy A, Herrmann FR, Estade M, Michel JP, et al. Postprandial
 1105 hypotension and orthostatic blood pressure responses in elderly Parkinson's disease patients. *J*
 1106 *Hypertens*. 1995; 13(11):1291-7.
- 1107 73. Lopes P, Figoni SF, Perkash I. Upper limb exercise effect on tilt tolerance during orthostatic
 1108 training of patients with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehab*. 1984 May;65(5):251-253. 1984.
- 1109 74. Lucas RAI, Ainslie PN, Morrison SA, Cotter JD. Compression leggings modestly affect
 1110 cardiovascular but not cerebrovascular responses to heat and orthostatic stress in young and older
 1111 adults. *Age*. 2012; 34(2):439-49.
- 1112 75. Mader SL. Effects of meals and time of day on postural blood pressure responses in young and
 1113 elderly subjects. *Arch Int Med*. 1989; 149(12):2757-60.
- 1114 76. Puvi-Rajasingham S, Mathias CJ. Effect of meal size on postprandial blood pressure and on
 1115 postural hypotension in primary autonomic failure. *Clin Auton Res*. 1996; 6(2):111-4.
- 1116 77. Rimaud D, Calmels P, Pichot V, Bethoux F, Roche F. Effects of compression stockings on
 1117 sympathetic activity and heart rate variability in individuals with spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med*.
 1118 2012; 35(2):81-8.
- 1119 78. Shannon JR, Diedrich A, Biaggioni I, Tank J, Robertson RM, Robertson D, et al. Water drinking as
 1120 a treatment for orthostatic syndromes. *Am J Med*. 2002; 112(5):355-60.
- 1121 79. Smit AA, Hardjowijono MA, Wieling W. Are portable folding chairs useful to combat orthostatic
 1122 hypotension? *Ann Neurol*. 1997; 42(6):975-8.
- 1123 80. Smit AA, Wieling W, Fujimura J, Denq JC, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Akarriou M, et al. Use of lower
 1124 abdominal compression to combat orthostatic hypotension in patients with autonomic dysfunction.
 1125 *Clin Auton Res*. 2004; 14(3):167-75.
- 1126 81. Ten Harkel ADJ, van Lieshout JJ, Wieling W. Treatment of orthostatic hypotension with sleeping
 1127 in the head-up tilt position, alone and in combination with fludrocortisone. *J Intern Med*. 1992;
 1128 232(2):139-45.
- 1129 82. Ten Harkel AD, van Lieshout JJ, Wieling W. Effects of leg muscle pumping and tensing on
 1130 orthostatic arterial pressure: a study in normal subjects and patients with autonomic failure. *Clin Sci*.
 1131 1994; 87(5):553-8.
- 1132 83. Tutaj M, Marthol H, Berlin D, Brown CM, Axelrod FB, Hilz MJ. Effect of physical
 1133 countermeasures on orthostatic hypotension in familial dysautonomia. *J Neurol*. 2006; 253(1):65-72.
- 1134 84. van Lieshout JJ, Harkel AD, Wieling W. Physical manoeuvres for combating orthostatic dizziness
 1135 in autonomic failure. *Lancet*. 1992; 339(8798):897.
- 1136 85. Wadsworth BM, Haines TP, Cornwell PL, Rodwell LT, Paratz JD. Abdominal binder improves lung
 1137 volumes and voice in people with tetraplegic spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehab*. 2012;
 1138 93(12):2189-97.
- 1139 86. Yoshida T, Masani K, Sayenko DG, Miyatani M, Fisher JA, Popovic MR. Cardiovascular response
 1140 of individuals with SCI to functional electrical stimulation and passive stepping. *Top Spinal Cord Inj*
 1141 *Rehab*. 2013; 19(1):40-1.
- 1142 87. Young TM, Mathias CJ. The effects of water ingestion on orthostatic hypotension in two groups of
 1143 chronic autonomic failure: multiple system atrophy and pure autonomic failure. *J Neurol Neurosurg*
 1144 *Psychiatry*. 2004; 75(12):1737-41.
- 1145 88. Zion AS, De Meersman R, Diamond BE, Bloomfield DM. A home-based resistance-training
 1146 program using elastic bands for elderly patients with orthostatic hypotension. *Clin Auton Res*. 2003;
 1147 13(4):286-92.
- 1148 89. Helmi M, Lima A, Gommers D, van Bommel J, Bakker J. Inflatable external leg compression
 1149 prevents orthostatic hypotension in a patient with a traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. *Future*
 1150 *Cardiol*. 2013; 9(5):645-8.
- 1151 90. Galizia G, Abete P, Testa G, Vecchio A, Corrà T, Nardone A. Counteracting effect of supine leg
 1152 resistance exercise on systolic orthostatic hypotension in older adults. *J Am Geri Soc*. 2013;
 1153 61(7):1152-7.

- 1154 91. Kamiya A, Michikami D, Fu Q, Iwase S, Hayano J, Kawada T, et al. Pathophysiology of orthostatic
 1155 hypotension after bed rest: paradoxical sympathetic withdrawal. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*.
 1156 2003; 285(3):H1158-67.
- 1157 92. Wieling W, Krediet CT, van Dijk N, Linzer M, Tschakovsky ME. Initial orthostatic hypotension:
 1158 review of a forgotten condition. *Clin Sci*. 2007; 112(3):157-65.
- 1159 93. Ravits JM. AAEM minimonograph #48: autonomic nervous system testing. *Muscle Nerve*. 1997;
 1160 20(8):919-37.
- 1161 94. Mussi C, Ungar A, Salvioli G, Menozzi C, Bartoletti A, Giada F, et al. Orthostatic hypotension as
 1162 cause of syncope in patients older than 65 years admitted to emergency departments for transient
 1163 loss of consciousness. *J Gerontol A: Biol Med Sci*. 2009; 64A(7):801-6.
- 1164 95. Ricci F, Fedorowski A, Radico F, Romanello M, Tatasciore A, Di Nicola M, et al. Cardiovascular
 1165 morbidity and mortality related to orthostatic hypotension: a meta-analysis of prospective
 1166 observational studies. *Euro Heart J*. 2015; 36(25):1609-17.
- 1167 96. Angelousi A, Girerd N, Benetos A, Frimat L, Gautier S, Weryha G, et al. Association between
 1168 orthostatic hypotension and cardiovascular risk, cerebrovascular risk, cognitive decline and falls as
 1169 well as overall mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hypertens*. 2014; 32(8):1562-71;
 1170 discussion 71.
- 1171 97. Ricci F, De Caterina R, Fedorowski A. Orthostatic hypotension: epidemiology, prognosis, and
 1172 treatment. *J Am Coll Card*. 2015; 66(7):848-60.
- 1173 98. Consortium for Spinal Cord Medicine. Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism in Individuals with
 1174 Spinal Cord Injury: Clinical Practice Guidelines for Health Care Providers, 3rd ed.: Consortium for
 1175 Spinal Cord Medicine. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil*. 2016; 22(3):209-40.
- 1176 99. Dennis M, Caso V, Japp Kappelle L, Pavlovic A, Sandercock P. European Stroke Organisation
 1177 (ESO) Guidelines for prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism in immobile patients with acute
 1178 ischaemic stroke. *Euro Stroke Org*. 2016.
- 1179 100. Nussbaum EL, Houghton P, Anthony J, Rennie S, Shay BL, Hoens AM. Neuromuscular
 1180 electrical stimulation for treatment of muscle impairment: critical review and recommendations for
 1181 clinical practice. *Physiotherapy Canada*. 2017; 69(5):1-76.
- 1182 101. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. Guidance for the Clinical Use of Electrophysical Agents.
 1183 2006.
- 1184 102. Altman KW, Richards A, Goldberg L, Frucht S, McCabe DJ. Dysphagia in stroke,
 1185 neurodegenerative disease, and advanced dementia. *Otolaryng Clin N Am*. 2013; 46(6):1137-49.
- 1186 103. Johnson RH, Park DM, Frankel HL. Orthostatic hypotension and the renin-angiotensin system in
 1187 paraplegia. *Paraplegia*. 1971; 9(3):146-52.
- 1188 104. Mathias CJ, Christensen NJ, Frankel HL, Peart WS. Renin release during head-up tilt occurs
 1189 independently of sympathetic nervous activity in tetraplegic man. *Clin Sci*. 1980; 59(4):251-6.
- 1190 105. Martins-Pinge MC. Cardiovascular and autonomic modulation by the central nervous system
 1191 after aerobic exercise training. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2011; 44(9):848-54.
- 1192 106. Wenning GK, Geser F, Krismer F, Seppi K, Duerr S, Boesch S, et al. The natural history of
 1193 multiple system atrophy: a prospective European cohort study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2013; 12(3):264-74.
- 1194 107. Jain S, Goldstein DS. Cardiovascular dysautonomia in Parkinson disease: from pathophysiology
 1195 to pathogenesis. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2012; 46(3):572-80.
- 1196 108. Claydon VE, Steeves JD, Krassioukov A. Orthostatic hypotension following spinal cord injury:
 1197 understanding clinical pathophysiology. *Spinal Cord*. 2006; 44(6):341-51.
- 1198 109. Weaver LC, Fleming JC, Mathias CJ, Krassioukov AV. Disordered cardiovascular control after
 1199 spinal cord injury. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2012; 109:213-33.
- 1200 110. Arnold AC, Shibao C. Current concepts in orthostatic hypotension management. *Curr Hypertens*
 1201 *Rep*. 2013; 15(4):304-12.
- 1202 111. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting
 1203 parallel group randomised trials. *J Pharmacol Pharmacother*. 2010; 1(2):100-7.

1204

1205 **Appendix I: Search strategy**

1206 Each of the databases listed below were searched individually as part of one search in January 2017.

1207 The search was repeated on April 26 and 27, 2018 to update the search prior to submission for

1208 publication. Limiters for all searches: English language. The number of relevant studies identified in

1209 each database is shown in Table 5. The number of records identified (number of hits for each search

1210 formula) for each database is shown in the results column on the right-hand side of each table. **Advice**

1211 **was sought from an information scientist and guidance given to report it in the following ways:**

1212

1213 **MEDLINE (Ovid)**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension AB	641
S2	hypotension, orthostatic/ MeSH, AB	843
S3	postural adj2 hypotension AB	88
S4	orthostasis AB	138
S5	dizziness/ MeSH AB	2367
S6	"low blood pressure" AB	322
S7	hypotension/ MeSH AB	5181
S8	vascular adj2 response AB	645
S9	"autonomic dysfunction" AB	1519
S10	"cerebral blood flow" or "cerebral bloodflow" AB	5119
S11	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S9 OR S10	16,541
S12	Elder* OR "older people" OR "older person" OR aged/ OR ageing OR aging OR senior OR geriatric AB	485,281
S13	S11 AND S12	2436
S14	"non-pharmacological treatment*" OR "nonpharmacological treatment*" OR "non pharmacological treatment*" AB	455
S15	"non-pharmacological management" OR "nonpharmacological management" OR "non pharmacological management" AB	79
S16	"non-pharmacological intervention*" OR "nonpharmacological intervention*" OR "non pharmacological intervention*" AB	547
S17	14 OR 15 OR 16	1081
S18	Physical AB	218,418
S19	S13 AND S18	396
S20	S11 AND S12 AND S17	9
S21	S11 AND S17	19
S22	compression adj2 garment* AB	90
S23	compression adj2 stocking* AB	411
S24	compression adj2 bandag* AB	386

S25	compression adj2 wrap* AB	8
S26	stockings, compression/ AB	321
S27	abdominal binder AB	13
S28	S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27	1229
S29	S13 AND S28	11
S30	S11 AND S28	23
S31	S13 AND S17	8
S32	rehabilitation AB	954
S33	S13 AND S32	0
S34	S11 AND S32	1
S35	exercise AB	12,723
S36	S35 AND S11	56
S37	S11 AND "physical maneuvers" or "physical man*" or "physical measures" AB	25
S38	diet AB and S11	0
S39	fluid AND S11	0
S40	water AND S11	0
S41	meals AND S11	0
S42	food AND S11	0
S43	vasovagal AND management OR treatment AB	0
S44	S11 AND "head up" OR "head-up" AB	16
S45	S11 and "electrical stimulation" AB	2
S47	Parkinson* OR Alzheimer* OR dementia OR "multiple sclerosis" OR "motor neuron*" OR stroke AB	188,454
S47	exp stroke/ MeSH AB	25,475
S48	exp neurodegenerative disease*/ MeSH AB	52,263
S49	exp dementia/ MeSH AB	24,907
S50	exp multiple sclerosis/ MeSH AB	16,962
S51	exp cerebrovascular disorder/ MeSH AB	47,426
S52	exp brain ischemia/ MeSH AB	19,725
S53	exp spinal cord injuries/ MeSH AB	19,147
S54	exp brain injuries/ MeSH AB	15,167
S55	craniocerebral trauma/ MeSH AB	2014
S56	exp central nervous system disease/ MeSH AB	197,427
S57	exp brain damage, chronic/ MeSH AB	22,074
S58	Parkinson* adj2 disease AB	20,277
S59	S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58	197,363
S60	S11 AND S59	2689
S61	S11 AND S17 AND S59	8

S62	S11 AND intervention OR treatment	962
S63	S11 AND "functional electrical stimulation" OR FES OR "electrical stimulation" OR "neuromuscular stimulation"	0

1214

1215 **CINAHL (EBSCO)**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension AB	745
S2	hypotension, orthostatic/ MeSH, AB	1011
S3	postural adj2 hypotension AB	163
S4	orthostasis AB	89
S5	dizziness/ MeSH AB	3615
S6	"low blood pressure" AB	1220
S7	hypotension/ MeSH AB	6579
S8	vascular adj2 response AB	640
S9	"autonomic dysfunction" AB	992
S10	"cerebral blood flow" or "cerebral bloodflow" AB	2769
S11	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S9 OR S10	11,975
S12	Elder* OR "older people" OR "older person" OR aged/ OR ageing OR aging OR senior OR geriatric AB	770,143
S13	S11 AND S12	11,975
S14	"non-pharmacological treatment*" OR "nonpharmacological treatment*" OR "non pharmacological treatment*" AB	586
S15	"non-pharmacological management" OR "nonpharmacological management" OR "non pharmacological management" AB	160
S16	"non-pharmacological intervention*" OR "nonpharmacological intervention*" OR "non pharmacological intervention*" AB	319
S17	14 OR 15 OR 16	909
S18	Physical AB	254,613
S19	S13 AND S18	399
S20	S11 AND S12 AND S17	7
S21	S11 AND S17	13
S22	compression adj2 garment* AB	2039
S23	compression adj2 stocking* AB	411
S24	compression adj2 bandag* AB	3136
S25	compression adj2 wrap* AB	38
S26	stockings, compression/ AB	411
S27	abdominal binder AB	32
S28	S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27	4812
S29	S13 AND S28	14
S30	S11 AND S28	40

S31	S13 AND S17	7
S32	rehabilitation AB	83,688
S33	S13 AND S32	142
S34	S11 AND S32	3469
S35	exercise AB	60,566
S36	S35 AND S11	9
S37	S11 AND "physical maneuvers" or "physical man*" or "physical measures" AB	1
S38	diet AB and S11	2
S39	fluid AND S11	10
S40	water AND S11	4
S41	meals AND S11	1
S42	food AND S11	5
S43	vasovagal AND management OR treatment AB	2
S44	S11 AND "head up" OR "head-up" AB	1038
S45	S11 and "electrical stimulation" AB	2130
S47	Parkinson* OR Alzheimer* OR dementia OR "multiple sclerosis" OR "motor neuron*" OR stroke AB	67,201
S47	exp stroke/ MeSH AB	29,841
S48	exp neurodegenerative disease*/ MeSH AB	1208
S49	exp dementia/ MeSH AB	15,734
S50	exp multiple sclerosis/ MeSH AB	5738
S51	exp cerebrovascular disorder/ MeSH AB	861
S52	exp brain ischemia/ MeSH AB	478
S53	exp spinal cord injuries/ MeSH AB	6811
S54	exp brain injuries/ MeSH AB	9820
S55	craniocerebral trauma/ MeSH AB	16
S56	exp central nervous system disease/ MeSH AB	335
S57	exp brain damage, chronic/ MeSH AB	465
S58	Parkinson* adj2 disease AB	2403
S59	S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58	731,686
S60	S11 AND S59	1054
S61	S11 AND S17 AND S59	1
S62	S11 AND intervention OR treatment	1018
S63	S11 AND "functional electrical stimulation" OR FES OR "electrical stimulation" OR "neuromuscular stimulation"	3

1216

1217 **Embase (Ovid)**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension AB	12,134
S2	hypotension, orthostatic/ MeSH, AB	8751
S3	postural adj2 hypotension AB	8453
S4	orthostasis AB	1235
S5	dizziness/ MeSH AB	59,620
S6	"low blood pressure" AB	1999
S7	hypotension/ MeSH AB	83,977
S8	vascular adj2 response AB	4
S9	"autonomic dysfunction" AB	13,763
S10	"cerebral blood flow" or "cerebral bloodflow" AB	33,465
S11	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S9 OR S10	193,841
S12	Elder* OR "older people" OR "older person" OR aged/ OR ageing OR aging OR senior OR geriatric AB	2,361,227
S13	S11 AND S12	36,198
S14	"non-pharmacological treatment*" OR "nonpharmacological treatment*" OR "non pharmacological treatment*" AB	4063
S15	"non-pharmacological management" OR "nonpharmacological management" OR "non pharmacological management" AB	2120
S16	"non-pharmacological intervention*" OR "nonpharmacological intervention*" OR "non pharmacological intervention*" AB	5964
S17	14 OR 15 OR 16	4625
S18	Physical AB	141,178
S19	S13 AND S18	179
S20	S11 AND S12 AND S17	44
S21	S11 AND S17	169
S22	compression adj2 garment* AB	2493
S23	compression adj2 stocking* AB	3196
S24	compression adj2 bandag* AB	2009
S25	compression adj2 wrap* AB	2695
S26	stockings, compression/ AB	1615
S27	abdominal binder AB	138
S28	S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27	154,794
S29	S13 AND S28	1408
S30	S11 AND S28	5437
S31	S13 AND S17	44
S32	rehabilitation AB	45,333
S33	S13 AND S32	108
S34	S11 AND S32	10,191
S35	exercise AB	93,653

S36	S35 AND S11	7912
S37	S11 AND "physical maneuvers" or "physical man*" or "physical measures" AB	103
S38	diet AB and S11	65
S39	fluid AND S11	936
S40	water AND S11	140
S41	meals AND S11	1206
S42	food AND S11	1057
S43	vasovagal AND management OR treatment AB	
S44	S11 AND "head up" OR "head-up" AB	1040
S45	S11 and "electrical stimulation" AB	2167
S47	Parkinson* OR Alzheimer* OR dementia OR "multiple sclerosis" OR "motor neuron*" OR stroke AB	282,575
S47	exp stroke/ MeSH AB	128,930
S48	exp neurodegenerative disease*/ MeSH AB	14,461
S49	exp dementia/ MeSH AB	82,503
S50	exp multiple sclerosis/ MeSH AB	9104
S51	exp cerebrovascular disorder/ MeSH AB	47,059
S52	exp brain ischemia/ MeSH AB	8,9618
S53	exp spinal cord injuries/ MeSH AB	46,684
S54	exp brain injuries/ MeSH AB	105,520
S55	craniocerebral trauma/ MeSH AB	11,371
S56	exp central nervous system disease/ MeSH AB	19,412
S57	exp brain damage, chronic/ MeSH AB	1562
S58	Parkinson* adj2 disease AB	6701
S59	S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58	705,295
S60	S11 AND S59	27,078
S61	S11 AND S17 AND S59	56
S62	S11 AND intervention OR treatment	947
S63	S11 AND "functional electrical stimulation" OR FES OR "electrical stimulation" OR "neuromuscular stimulation"	9

1218

1219 **AMED (EBSCO)**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension AB	43
S2	hypotension, orthostatic/ MeSH, AB	42
S3	postural adj2 hypotension AB	12
S4	orthostasis AB	6

S5	dizziness/ MeSH AB	275
S6	"low blood pressure" AB	28
S7	hypotension/ MeSH AB	177
S8	vascular adj2 response AB	36
S9	"autonomic dysfunction" AB	78
S10	"cerebral blood flow" or "cerebral bloodflow" AB	98
S11	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S9 OR S10	666
S12	Elder* OR "older people" OR "older person" OR aged/ OR ageing OR aging OR senior OR geriatric AB	15,557
S13	S11 AND S12	91
S14	"non-pharmacological treatment*" OR "nonpharmacological treatment*" OR "non pharmacological treatment*" AB	73
S15	"non-pharmacological management" OR "nonpharmacological management" OR "non pharmacological management" AB	16
S16	"non-pharmacological intervention*" OR "nonpharmacological intervention*" OR "non pharmacological intervention*" AB	22
S17	14 OR 15 OR 16	87
S18	Physical AB	26,973
S19	S13 AND S18	28
S20	S11 AND S12 AND S17	0
S21	S11 AND S17	0
S22	compression adj2 garment* AB	26
S23	compression adj2 stocking* AB	21
S24	compression adj2 bandag* AB	20
S25	compression adj2 wrap* AB	3
S26	stockings, compression/ AB	26
S27	abdominal binder AB	6
S28	S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27	76
S29	S13 AND S28	0
S30	S11 AND S28	0
S31	S13 AND S17	0
S32	rehabilitation AB	40,721
S33	S13 AND S32	41
S34	S11 AND S32	5
S35	exercise AB	16,599
S36	S35 AND S11	2
S37	S11 AND "physical maneuvers" or "physical man*" or "physical measures" AB	0
S38	diet AB and S11	2
S39	fluid AND S11	0
S40	water AND S11	2

S41	meals AND S11	0
S42	food AND S11	0
S43	vasovagal AND management OR treatment AB	0
S44	S11 AND "head up" OR "head-up" AB	0
S45	S11 and "electrical stimulation" AB	5
S47	Parkinson* OR Alzheimer* OR dementia OR "multiple sclerosis" OR "motor neuron*" OR stroke AB	9734
S47	exp stroke/ MeSH AB	5851
S48	exp neurodegenerative disease*/ MeSH AB	156
S49	exp dementia/ MeSH AB	1699
S50	exp multiple sclerosis/ MeSH AB	1204
S51	exp cerebrovascular disorder/ MeSH AB	109
S52	exp brain ischemia/ MeSH AB	40
S53	exp spinal cord injuries/ MeSH AB	3294
S54	exp brain injuries/ MeSH AB	3074
S55	craniocerebral trauma/ MeSH AB	2
S56	exp central nervous system disease/ MeSH AB	69
S57	exp brain damage, chronic/ MeSH AB	12
S58	Parkinson* adj2 disease AB	27
S59	S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58	15,637
S60	S11 AND S59	163
S61	S11 AND S17 AND S59	0
S62	S11 AND intervention OR treatment	14
S63	S11 AND "functional electrical stimulation" OR FES OR "electrical stimulation" OR "neuromuscular stimulation"	0

1220

1221 **PEdRo (Physiotherapy Evidence Database)**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension	19
S2	hypotension, orthostatic	19
S3	postural adj2 hypotension	6
S4	orthostasis	2
S5	dizziness	273
S6	"low blood pressure"	413
S7	hypotension	50
S8	vascular adj2 response	53
S9	"autonomic dysfunction"	36
S10	"cerebral blood flow" or "cerebral bloodflow"	0

S11	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S9 OR S10	421
S12	Elder* OR "older people" OR "older person" OR aged/ OR ageing OR aging OR senior OR geriatric	
S13	S11 AND S12	
S14	"non-pharmacological treatment*" OR "nonpharmacological treatment*" OR "non pharmacological treatment**"	87
S15	"non-pharmacological management" OR "nonpharmacological management" OR "non pharmacological management"	73
S16	"non-pharmacological intervention*" OR "nonpharmacological intervention*" OR "non pharmacological intervention**"	94
S17	S14 OR S15 OR S16	315
S18	S11 AND S17	0
S19	S11 AND compression	3
S20	S11 AND electrical stimulation	4
S21	stroke OR neurodegenerative disease* OR dementia OR multiple sclerosis OR neurological OR Parkinson* OR motor neuron*	
S22	S11 AND S21	2

1222

1223 **Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials**

Search ID #	Search formula	Records retrieved
S1	orthostatic adj2 hypotension: TI, AB, KW	1287
S2	"non-pharmacological" OR "nonpharmacological" OR "non pharmacological" OR treatment OR intervention OR management: TI, AB, KW	1751
S3	compression: TI, AB, KW	5616
S4	S1 AND S2	22
S5	S4 AND S3	20

1224

1225 **Clinical Trials Register**

Search formula	Records retrieved
(orthostatic hypotension OR orthostatic; hypotension, neurogenic OR orthostatic; hypotension, dysautonomia) AND (treatment OR intervention OR management): TI, AB, KW	134

1226

1227 **OpenGrey**

Search formula	Records retrieved
abstract: (orthostatic hypotension OR postural hypotension OR low blood pressure OR cerebral blood flow) AND abstract: (nonpharmacological OR non-pharmacological OR non pharmacological) AND abstract: (intervention OR treatment OR management)	396

1228 TI: Title; AB: Abstract; KW: keyword

Appendix II: Characteristics of included studies

Eight non-pharmacological interventions for orthostatic hypotension were identified under two general categories: physical modalities (exercise, electrical stimulation, compression, compression and physical counter-maneuvers, physical counter-maneuvers, and sleeping with head up) and dietary measures (food and fluid intake).

Physical modalities

Exercise

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Experimental intervention	Control intervention	Outcomes measured	Description of main results
Luther et al., 2008 ⁶⁵ Randomized crossover pilot trial using sequential testing	Germany, neuro-rehabilitation unit	N=9 unconscious within first three months of brain injury; (5 male) Mean age: 51 (± 20) years	Tilt table with an integrated stepping device Intervention and control delivered in a random order on different days one week between testing	Conventional tilt table	Primary: interruption of verticalization due to a syncope or presyncope symptoms such as tachypnea, tachycardia, pallor, or increase in sweating Secondary: state of consciousness according to Coma Recovery Scale-Revised; influence of treatment on muscle tone using Modified Ashworth Scale	There were significantly more incidences of presyncope on the conventional tilt table ($P<0.05$) at tilts of 50 or 70 degrees compared to the tilt table with integrated stepping. The binominal test as a cross-check showed significantly more treatment discontinuations on the conventional tilt table than on the tilt table with integrated stepping ($P=0.031$).
Takahagi et al., 2014 ⁸⁶	Brazil, outpatient	N=21 recurrent neuro-cardiogenic syncope with	N=11 undertook aerobic physical training using	N=10 undertook 15 minutes of stretching and	Resting and training HR, VO ₂ peak, VO ₂ anaerobic threshold,	The training group exhibited a tendency for higher peak HR, with VO ₂ peak and VO ₂ anaerobic

Randomized controlled trial		had positive head-up tilt test (3 male) Mean age: 32 (\pm 10) years in intervention group; 26 (\pm 8) years in control group	cycle ergometer for 12 weeks Two supervised sessions plus two unsupervised sessions	15 minutes of light walking for 12 weeks 2 supervised sessions	sBP and dBP before and after 12-week training	threshold than the control group. The training group exhibited a statistically significant difference ($P<0.01$) in syncope episodes between pre- and post-intervention. There was a significant difference ($P<0.05$) in the number of negative head-up tilt (72.7% in the training group versus 30% in the control group).
Taveggia et al., 2015 ⁶⁴ Randomized controlled trial	Italy, neuro-rehabilitation unit	N=12 with vegetative state or minimally conscious state 3-18 months after acute acquired brain injury (8 male) Mean age: 65 (\pm 8) years in intervention group; 63 (\pm 16) years in control group	N=6 tilted to 65 degrees with a robotic tilt table system performing 18 steps per minute of the lower limbs (hip and knee flexion) for 30 minutes three times a week for 24 sessions	N=6 tilted to 65 degrees for 30 minutes with no lower-limb movement	HR and BP monitored at every tilt angle Coma recovery scale and level of consciousness scale pre- and post treatment; OH occurrence and length	n=4 withdrawn due to medical events. Intervention group showed a progressive reduction in OH during treatment; n=3 showed a complete absence of OH at the end of rehabilitation therapy. Control group showed more serious OH after 24 sessions of treatment. BP readings not reported, but the group tilted with robotic stepping experienced less OH during verticalization (48 and 4 seconds) compared to the control group (120 and 187 seconds).
Rocca et al., 2016 ⁶⁹ Randomized controlled trial	Switzerland, inpatient hospital	N=30 (n=14 sub-arachnoid hemorrhage; n=4 severe brain trauma; n=4 intra-parenchymal hemorrhage, n=2 ischemic stroke, n=3 brain anoxia, n=3 other (17 male) Age range: 18-88 years Mean age: 54.2 years	N=10 passive cycling in supine (protocol 2) N=10 passive stepping with robotic tilt table (protocol 3)	N=10 standard physiotherapy (protocol 1)	sBP and dBP, HR, respiratory rate, cerebral blood flow for participants with sub-arachnoid hemorrhage, venous blood, and blood plasma samples	No significant absolute or relative difference in any of the BP components with passive cycling or passive stepping.

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Brilla et al., 1998 ⁷⁴ Quasi-experimental	USA, community	N=24 elderly with OH (a subset of n=53 participants from a larger study on a high-resistance strength training in elderly people; all 24 showed orthostatic hypotension in the pre-test) (7 male) Mean age: 71 (±5.8) years	All participants underwent 8 weeks heavy resistance, progressive strength training program, upper and lower limbs. Participants were discontinued from the study if more than two consecutive sessions were missed.	i) Resting BP in supine, sitting, and standing positions ii) Resting HR in supine, sitting, and standing positions iii) Response to orthostatism in rising from supine after 10 minutes and rising from a chair after five minutes	Significant changes ($P<0.05$) in supine dBP (+3.2 mmHg), sitting systolic BP (-3.9 mmHg), and standing HR (+4.9 beats per minute). In response to orthostatic challenge, significant ($P<0.05$) improvements in sBP (+9.7 mmHg), dBP (+4.7 mmHg) and HR (+3.2 beats per minute) for the rise from chair, and in dBP (+6.7 mmHg) rise from cot. Gains in strength were also noted.
Zion et al., 2003 ⁸⁰ Quasi-experimental	USA, community	N=12 orthostatic hypotension. Only 8 completed the protocol. N=8 (4 male) Age range: 63to 81 years	N=8 completed an 8-week home-based resistance-training program using elastic resistance bands. 10 exercises (incorporating upper and lower limbs) were assigned and customized to each participant.	Orthostatic testing: ECG and beat-to-beat BP continuously monitored and recorded. BP in supine, seated, standing one minute, standing two minutes, and during tilt table testing weeks 1 and 8 at rest, 60-degree tilt, end tilt. Muscle strength testing (isometric and dynamic) Functional test of gait and mobility (Timed Up and Go Test) at baseline and 8 weeks	At 8 weeks, significant increases occurred in dynamic strength in the chest press ($P<0.017$), quadriceps extension ($P<0.017$), and leg press ($P<0.025$); no significant differences occurred in isometric strength or in BPs. Functional mobility increased in seven out of eight participants. No falls during the investigation period.

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Experimental group	Control group	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Kanegusuku et al., 2017 ⁸⁷ Randomized controlled trial	Brazil, community	N=30 Parkinson's disease and n=16 healthy controls (33 male) Age range: 67 (± 8); (Parkinson's Disease Training Group)	Parkinson's disease progressive resistance training	Parkinson's disease control group Healthy controls	sBP, HR, RR intervals (Valsalva maneuver and orthostatic stress), muscle strength using one repetition maximum.	Compared with baseline, sBP fall was significantly reduced in the Parkinson's disease training group (14 ± 11 mmHg versus -6 ± 10

		Age range: 63 (±8) (Parkinson's Disease Control Group) Age range: 68 (±10) (healthy controls)				mmHg; Parkinson's disease control: -12 ± 10 mmHg versus 11 ± 10 mmHg; interaction $P<0.05$ In addition, after 12 weeks, these parameters in the Parkinson's disease training group achieved values similar to those in the health control group.)
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Group A description and sample	Group B description and sample	Exposures/variables measured	Description of main results
Galizia et al., 2013 ⁶² Case control study	Italy, rehabilitation hospital	N=42 elderly (subset of n=90 diagnosed with orthostatic hypotension and proceeded to "active phase") (4 male) Mean age: 76.8 (±7.9) years	N=21 performed 10 full extensions of the ankle, knee, and hip joints of both limbs against a resistance band (6 kg load) held under their feet while supine in bed	N=21 underwent testing, but did not perform any exercise prior to testing BP and HR	sBP and dBP after 10 minutes supine rest, immediately upon standing up, and after one, three and five minutes standing, HR, self-report orthostatic symptoms. Pre- and post-intervention. Medications taken at time of testing and intervention were recorded.	The reduction of sBP was significantly smaller ($P<0.01$) at each time interval after standing up in the exercise group than in the control group (10 mmHg in the exercises group versus 27 mmHg in the control group), but no difference observed in dBP or HR. Trend towards fewer OH symptoms in the exercise group compared to control during active testing (not significant).

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Lopes et al., 1984 ⁷⁸	USA, inpatient in Veterans	N=12 spinal cord injury (12 male)	N=6 experimental group performed upper limb exercises while undergoing	BP and HR at 30 secs, 1.5, 2.5, three, four and five-minute intervals during tilt training.	Pre-test termination angle score homogenous between experimental

<p>Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>Administration Medical Center</p>	<p>Experimental group: n=5 with quadriplegia; n=1 with paraplegia; mean time since injury 7.2 weeks Mean age: 40.3 years Control group: n=6 with quadriplegia; mean time since injury 8.2 weeks Mean age: 22.5 years</p>	<p>orthostatic training (tilted from 0 to 70 degrees at 10-degree increments at five-minute intervals on a tilt table) N=6 control group underwent orthostatic training (tilted from 0 to 70 degrees at 10 degree increments at five-minute intervals on a tilt table)</p>	<p>Each participant received a score 1-10 depending on step level at which they experienced OH (at which time the test was terminated). Credit was given for partial completion of time specified at each angle by awarding 0.2 of a score for each 30-second period completed.</p>	<p>and control groups ($P<0.1$). Mean differences in the termination angle. Active, reciprocal, bilateral extremity exercise does not result in a significant change in tolerance of progressively higher vertical angles of tilt. The experimental group did not show increases in BP or demonstrate improved orthostatic tilt tolerance over the control group. Control group mean BP was 122/70 mmHg and experimental group was 117/76 mmHg.</p>
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--	---	---

Electrical stimulation

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Faghri and Yount, 2002 ⁷¹ Randomized controlled trial Repeated measures	USA, laboratory	N=14 SCI (n=7 paraplegic, n=7 tetraplegic; n=4 incomplete, n=10 complete) (11 male) n=15 healthy able-bodied (gender not reported) Mean age at SCI: 35 (±9.41) years Mean age able-bodied: 29 (±6) years	Fourteen SCI participants used a standing system: stationary standing for 30 minutes and dynamic standing using FES for 30 minutes. Four electrodes, balanced symmetrical biphasic waveform with 35 Hz frequency, duty cycles of 11 seconds on, 60 seconds off for channel 1 (tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscles) and 7 seconds on and 64 seconds off for channel 2 (quadriceps and hamstrings muscle groups). Fifteen able-bodied participants performed stationary standing for 30 minutes and voluntary tiptoe contractions during 30 minutes of standing.	Stroke volume, cardiac output, HR, sBP, dBP, TPR, MAP: measured in sitting, standing, after five minutes and 30 minutes of standing in all participants for both static and dynamic standing.	Significant reduction in sBP and dBP ($P<0.05$) and MAP during stationary standing in SCI subjects, while maintained in able-bodied. All BP values were maintained to pre-standing levels in SCI group during standing with FES. No changes in any variables for able-bodied participants. SCI participants demonstrated significant reductions ($P<0.05$) in all hemodynamic values for stationary standing at five and 30 minutes (able bodied-reduction in SV and cardiac output at 30 minutes); compared to no change at five minutes when standing with FES, but at 30 minutes standing with FES there was significant increase in hemodynamic values (HR and SV); able-bodied group maintained at five minutes, but at 30 minutes dynamic standing cardiac output decreased and HR increased.

Study	Country and Setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Faghri et al., 2001 ⁷⁷ Quasi-experimental	USA, rehabilitation hospital	N=14: SCI (n=7 paraplegic, n=7 tetraplegic; n=4 incomplete, n=10)	SCI participants used a standing system for stationary standing for 30 minutes and dynamic standing using FES for 30 minutes (active standing)	Central hemodynamic responses of SV, cardiac output, HR, MAP, TPR, and rate pressure product during supine, sitting, and standing	Overall, the tetraplegic group had a significantly lower sBP ($P=0.013$) and MAP ($P=0.048$) than the paraplegic group during passive standing. These differences were not detected during active standing.

<p>Repeated measures</p>		<p>complete) (11 male) n=15 healthy able-bodied (gender not reported) Mean age SCI: 35 (± 9.41) years Mean age able-bodied: 29 (± 6) years</p>	<p>group). Four electrodes, balanced symmetrical biphasic waveform with 35 Hz frequency, duty cycles of 11 seconds on, 60 seconds off for channel 1 (tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscles) and 7 seconds on and 64 seconds off for channel 2 (quadriceps and hamstrings muscle groups). Able-bodied participants performed stationary standing for 30 minutes and voluntary tiptoe contractions during 30 minutes of standing (passive standing group). With versus without order was counterbalanced.</p>	<p>positions, and every five minutes during the 30 minutes standing.</p>	<p>Moving from sitting to standing sBP increased 1.6% in the active standing group compared to a decrease in sBP of 8% in the passive standing group. When data were pooled from both groups and the overall groups response to active and passive standing were compared, the results showed that cardiac output, SV, and BP significantly decreased ($P < 0.05$) during 30 minutes of passive standing, whereas TPR significantly increased ($P < 0.05$). All hemodynamic variables were maintained during 30 minutes of active standing, and there were increases in rate pressure product and HR after 30 minutes of active standing.</p>
<p>Elokda et al., 2000⁷⁶ Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>USA, rehabilitation hospital</p>	<p>N=5 SCI, 2 to 4 weeks post-injury (n=2 tetraplegic and n=3 paraplegic) (all male) Age range: 26-36 years</p>	<p>All participants underwent repeated measures: supine on tilt table with feet in contact with footboard. Six minutes rest at 0 degrees for baseline measurements, followed by six 4-minute stages for each tilt angle (0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 degrees), followed by a four minute recovery. Repeated with and without electrical stim (biphasic waveform, 20 Hz frequency without any ramping, alternating 2 seconds on and 4 seconds off periods of the quadriceps and ankle plantar flexors during the tilting procedure) Test terminated if sBP < 60 or dBP < 40 or severe orthostatic</p>	<p>sBP, dBP, and HR taken at one-minute intervals during resting and tilting procedures (tilt angles: 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 degrees). Subjective perception of orthostatic tolerance measured at one-minute intervals during tilting.</p>	<p>sBP showed a progressive decrease with increasing tilt angle without the electrical stimulation. The electrical stimulation treatment appeared to attenuate the rate of sBP decrease. sBP at each degree of tilt with functional neuromuscular stimulation was higher. dBP was lower for all tilt angles without functional neuromuscular stimulation.</p>

			symptoms reported (fainting/headache).		
Hamzaid et al. 2015 ⁸⁹ Quasi-experimental	Malaysia, rehabilitation ward in medical center and at one subject's residence after discharge	N=2 sub-acute SCI (C7), 2 weeks since injury (both male) Age: 62 and 65 years	Both participants underwent four weeks of ES therapy, four times per week for one hour per day. ES was applied on the rectus abdominis, quadriceps, hamstrings, and gastrocnemius with 35 Hz frequency, pulse width 250 μs. The current amplitude was increased incrementally from 0 mA to the maximum tolerated by patients, which was 130 mA.	sBP and dBP pre- and post-testing, every minute 0 to 65 degrees on tilt table, MAP and HR were obtained during pre-test and post-tests with and without ES-evoked muscle contractions. Symptom Scale Questionnaire for Orthostatic Intolerance was conducted during all tests to measure their OH symptoms.	Subject A improved his orthostatic symptoms, but did not recover from clinically defined OH based on the 20-minute duration requirement. With concurrent ES therapy, 60 degrees head-up tilt BP was 89/62 mmHg compared with baseline BP of 115/71 mmHg. Subject B fully recovered from OH demonstrated by BP of 105/71 mmHg during the 60-degrees head-up tilt compared with baseline BP of 124/77 mmHg. Both patients demonstrated longer tolerance time during head-up tilt with concomitant ES (subject A: pre-test = four minutes, post-test without ES = six minutes, post-test with ES = 12 minutes; subject B: pre-test = four minutes, post-test without ES = 28 minutes, post-test with ES = 60 minutes).
Kuznetsov et al., 2013 ⁹⁰ Quasi-experimental	Russia, inpatient stroke rehabilitation unit	N=128 mild or moderate stroke 4.6 ± 1.2 days post-stroke (56 male) Mean age: 58.3 (±1.2) years	N=38 were treated with ROBO-FES (robotic tilt table and functional electrical stimulation) for 30 days. A six channel stimulator was used and electrodes placed over biceps femoris, quadriceps femoris and gastrocnemius of either leg. Stimulation was synchronized with robotic leg movements varying between 5 and 100 mA. N=35 were treated with ROBO (robotic tilt table only) N=31 were the control group N=24 dropped out	British Medical Research Council Strength Scale, sBP and dBP, SV, cerebral blood flow using transcranial Doppler ultrasonography, Barthel Index for activities of daily living, pulsatility index, resistance index. All measures taken at baseline and post-intervention	None of the participants in the ROBO or ROBO-FES groups had OH or orthostatic reactions when put into a vertical position, but 52% of the control participants showed OH. Did not state whether participants were doing any standing/vertical activities in the usual therapy as part of their rehabilitation.
Yoshida et al., 2013 ⁸⁵ Quasi-experimental	Canada, outpatient rehabilitation center	N=10 SCI; n=4 cervical, n=6 thoracic ; 1-29	N=10 underwent the same testing and acted as their own control. Tilted head-up to 70 degrees from supine; four 10-	Participant report of any symptoms of orthostatic hypotension, such as headache, dizziness, and	sBP decreased significantly during head-up tilt and increased significantly during dynamic FES, especially toward the end. dBP increased significantly during passive

		<p>years since injury (6 male) Age range: 27-59 years</p>	<p>minute conditions involved, with a 10-minute rest between each condition: 1) passive head-up tilt with no intervention, 2) passive stepping using a motorized stepper (described in intervention section) 3) isometric FES of leg muscles (described in intervention section), and 4) dynamic FES of leg muscles combined with passive stepping (described in intervention section). FES was applied to four muscle groups: tibialis anterior, hamstring, quadriceps femoris and triceps surae of both legs. Stimulation was bipolar and biphasic, with a maximum pulse width of 300 μs and stimulation frequency of 40 Hz.</p>	<p>light-headedness. Inferior vena cava imaging in the transverse plane. Electromyography signals of the leg muscles were recorded only during passive stepping because cyclic passive movements of the legs can induce rhythmical electromyography activities. Beat-to-beat BP recorded non-invasively every minute for 10 minutes during each of the testing conditions.</p>	<p>stepping and dynamic FES. Mean BP increased significantly only during dynamic FES. Statistical significance data for individual conditions not provided. However, results of a three-way ANOVA demonstrate that sBP, mean BP, and HR all increased significantly ($P=0.004$, $P=0.006$ and $P=0.026$) during FES. Passive stepping significantly increased sBP, dBP, and mean BP ($P=0.009$, $P=0.182$, $P=0.0102$). The effects of FES on SV and mean BP were greater than those of passive stepping. When combined, FES and passive stepping did not interfere with each other, but did not synergistically increase SV or mean BP. Thus, the present study suggests that FES delivered to lower limbs can be used in individuals with SCI to help them withstand orthostatic stress.</p>
<p>Phillips et al. 2018⁸⁴ Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>Canada, laboratory</p>	<p>N=5 SCI; n=4 cervical, n=1 thoracic), 3 years post-injury, (3 male), all with OH Age range: 23-32 years</p>	<p>All participants underwent the same testing, attending two testing sessions separated by at least one day. Once OH occurred, transcutaneous stimulation was applied to the skin between thoracic 7-8 spinous processes. The stimulation was delivered at 30Hz as monophasic, 1-ms pulses, to provide afferent input to the region of the spinal cord where sympathetic preganglionic neuron cell bodies are located. The current was increased from 10 mA until BP normalized, up to a maximum</p>	<p>Following 10 minutes of rest in supine, the test began with 15 minutes of supine measurements, after which participants were passively moved to the sit-up position and supported while sBP, dBP, and HR measurements were recorded for an additional 15 minutes. Participants ranked their symptoms of nausea/dizziness one to 10 (10 being most severe) each minute of the test</p>	<p>During the orthostatic challenge, all individuals experienced hypotension characterized by a 37 ± 4 mm Hg decrease in sBP, a $52 \pm 10\%$ reduction in cardiac contractility, and a $23 \pm 6\%$ reduction in cerebral blood flow (all $P<0.05$), along with severe self-reported symptoms. Electrical stimulation completely normalized BP, cardiac contractility, cerebral blood flow, and abrogated all symptoms. Non-invasive transcutaneous electrical spinal cord stimulation may be a viable therapy for restoring autonomic cardiovascular control after SCI.</p>

			70 mA, and maintained for at least one minute. Electromyography of the lower-limb skeletal muscles was recorded to confirm skeletal muscle contractions were not occurring and therefore the pressor responses were not attributed to the skeletal muscle pump of the venous vasculature.		
--	--	--	---	--	--

Compression

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Experimental intervention	Control intervention	Outcomes measured	Description of main results
Podoleanu et al, 2006 ⁶³ Single-blinded randomized controlled trial Participants were blinded to treatment; computer (blocked per center) randomized sequential order of treatments	Italy, hospital clinic	N=21 elderly persons with symptomatic progressive OH (9 male) Mean age: 70 (± 11) years	All 21 underwent same testing conditions. Elastic compression bandage applied over the legs (40-60 mmHg at the ankles and 30-40 mmHg at the hips) for 10 minutes, then an abdominal bandage was added for a further 10 minutes (20-30 mmHg). The modified Italian tilt protocol was used, consisting of 60 degrees passive tilting for 20 minutes followed by 0.4 mg nitroglycerine challenge for a further 20 minutes	During active sham treatment, the same elastic bandages were applied (5 mmHg overall).	SSS-OI (baseline and one-month post-treatment with elastic leg compression stockings. sBP and HR: supine and 60 degrees, pre- and post-leg bandage phase, end of leg plus abdomen phase	In the intervention group, 90% of all participants remained asymptomatic versus 53% in the control group (<i>P</i> <0.02). During the month before evaluation, the mean SSS-OI score was 35.2 (± 12.1) with dizziness, weakness and palpitations accounting for 64% of the total score. The SSS-OI score decreased to 22.5 (±11.3) after one month of therapy (<i>P</i> <0.01). In the control group, sBP decreased from 125 (±18) mmHg immediately after tilting to 112 (±25) mmHg after 10 minutes of sham leg bandaged and to 106 (± 25) mmHg after 20 minutes despite the addition of sham abdominal bandage. In comparison, active therapy group

			when the passive phase failed to induce syncope. Participants were trained and wore compression stockings (40-60 mmHg at ankles and 30-40 mmHg at hips) for one month after testing.			BP was 129 (\pm 19) mmHg, 127 \pm 17 mmHg (P <0.003vs control), and 127 (\pm 21) mmHg (P <0.002 vs. control).
Vijayakumar et al., 2012 ⁸⁸ Randomized controlled trial	India, department of rehabilitation medicine	N=26 acute/sub-acute stroke (duration < 4 weeks post-stroke) with OH (18 male) Mean age: 59.77 (\pm 17.03) years in intervention group and 63.33 (\pm 15.83) in control group	N=13 received pneumatic abdominal binder 40 mmHg pressure and pneumatic calf compression 30 mmHg pressure	N=13 received elastic compression bandaging from the metatarsal head to the popliteal fossa in a single layer spiral manner with overlap	Number of days taken to attain orthostatic stability modified Rankin scale to measure independence of specific tasks. Hemodynamic responses, measuring sBP and dBP, and HR parameters at 0, 30, 45, and 60 degrees tilt.	The percentage of participants <4 weeks post-stroke wearing pneumatic abdominal binder and pneumatic calf compression achieving orthostatic stability was significant on the third (50%; P <0.019) and sixth day (100%; P <0.007). No significant difference in the modified Rankin scale scores between groups.
Fanciulli et al., 2016 ⁶⁷ Single-blind crossover RCT	Austria, community	N=15 with Parkinson's disease and orthostatic hypotension; (8 male) Age range: 66-75 years	After 3 days of testing, all participants received an elastic abdominal binder (20 \pm 2 mm Hg pressure). Binder worn for two hours and then assessed (pre- and post). After a one-day interval abdominal binder (20 \pm 2 mmHg) worn daily (daytime) for four weeks.	All participants underwent baseline tilt-testing, wore an abdominal binder (20 \pm 2 mm Hg pressure) or placebo binder (3 \pm 2 mm Hg pressure applied on the abdominal wall) for two hours, re-tested on the tilt table and subsequently removed the binder. After one-day washout, the tests were repeated to ensure all participants	<i>Primary:</i> mean BP changes at 3, 5, and 10 minutes in supine, 60 degrees verticalization and active standing. <i>Secondary:</i> Orthostatic Hypotension Questionnaire, Orthostatic Hypotension Symptom Assessment and Orthostatic Hypotension Daily Activity Scale scores after 4-week open-label period.	Compared to the placebo binder, the abdominal binder was associated with an increase of the third minute tilt mean BP by 10 \pm 10.2 mmHg; +3.5, +14.5 (P <0.006). During the open-label phase, 12 patients wore the abdominal binder an average of 5.6 \pm 0.6 days/week, 50% to 75% of daytime. At 4-week follow-up, the Orthostatic Hypotension Questionnaire score decreased by -2.2 points (P <0.003), the Orthostatic Hypotension Symptom Assessment (OHSA)

				had been tested in both abdominal and placebo binder. Participants then wore an abdominal binder (20 ± 2 mmHg pressure applied on the abdominal wall) every day during the daytime for four weeks		sub score by -1.7 points (<i>P</i> < 0.003) and the Orthostatic Hypotension Daily Activity Scale by -3.9 (<i>P</i> < 0.007). No side effects occurred during the crossover phase.
Wadsworth, 2012 ⁹² Randomized crossover trial	Australia, large university-affiliated referral hospital	N=14, SCI T5 or above Age range: 18-73 years	Abdominal binder fitted to provided firm support around the abdomen from the anterior superior iliac crest to the costal margin of the rib cage (no mmHg pressure provided). Participants wore the abdominal binder daily for the duration of the trial (6 months) N=3 participants ceased wearing the binder daily. No data provided on daily wearing time.	Participants acted as their own controls and underwent testing in both conditions	MAP Respiratory measures (peak expiratory flow, forced expiratory flow, forced vital capacity) Voice measures Measured at three time points: six weeks, three and six months, in supine and sitting in own wheelchair.	There was no statistically significant improvement in MAP, maximal expiratory pressure or sound pressure level. Overall, an abdominal binder resulted in a statistically significant improvement in forced vital capacity (<i>P</i> <0.005), forced expiratory volume in one second (<i>P</i> <0.05), peak expiratory flow (<i>P</i> <0.02), maximal inspiratory pressure (<i>P</i> <0.01), and maximum sustained vowel time (<i>P</i> <0.01).
Gorelik et al., 2004 ⁸¹ Randomized crossover trial	Israel, hospital inpatient	N=61 admitted with acute medical conditions requiring bed rest (n=11 acute coronary syndrome; n=10 pulmonary edema; n=14 cerebrovascular accident; n=21	All participants underwent testing with or without compression bandages applied to both legs from ankle to thigh before moving from supine to seating. Extensible bandages were used obtain a uniform pressure of		BP, ECG tracing, HR, O ₂ saturation, dizziness, and palpitations were recorded prior to seating and during one, three, and five minutes in sitting.	Prevalence of postural hypotension was identical in the unbandaged versus bandaged state (55.7%). However, dizziness, palpitations, accelerated HR, and decreased O ₂ saturation over five minutes were more prevalent in the unbandaged versus bandaged state (<i>P</i> <0.01, <i>P</i> <0.001, <i>P</i> <0.05,

		infectious diseases; other n=5) (41 male) Mean age: 77.8 (±9.7) years	about 30 mmHg. The bandages were stretched along both legs so that the designed rectangles were transformed into squares.			<i>P</i> <0.001, respectively). In the unbandaged state, presence versus absence of OH was associated with significantly greater incidence of palpitations, tachycardia and decline of O ₂ saturation over time (<i>P</i> < 0.04, <i>P</i> <0.03, <i>P</i> <0.03, respectively).
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Gorelik et al., 2009 ⁸² Quasi-experimental	Israel, inpatient medical department	N=108 acute decompensated heart failure (n=53 postural hypotension, n=27 dizziness and/or palpitations, n=10 cardiac arrhythmias) (48 male) Mean age: 75.1 (± 8.3) years	Compression extensible bandages were applied along both legs from the ankle to the thigh before seating. The bandages were stretched so that the designed rectangles were transformed into squares to obtain a uniform pressure (40 mm Hg at the ankle). All participants underwent the same testing: two sessions. Day 1 bandages but without compression. Day 2 compression bandages of approximately 40 mmHg pressure. Participants assisted from supine to sitting, not standing	BP, HR, O ₂ saturation, and the occurrence of dizziness or palpitations were recorded prior to, and during one, three, and five minutes in sitting.	Compression bandages prevented postural hypotension in 21 of 49 patients and decreased the degree of postural BP fall (<i>P</i> <0.001). sBP and dBP were higher in the bandaged group following one minute of sitting (sBP: 146.6 ± 31.1 mmHg bandaged versus 141 ± 28.1 mmHg unbandaged; <i>P</i> =0.055; dBP: 72 ± 13.9 mmHg bandaged versus 68.7 ± 16.9 mmHg unbandaged; <i>P</i> =0.05) and following five minutes of sitting (sBP: 147 ± 28.6 mmHg bandaged versus 141 ± 27.1; <i>P</i> =0.03; dBP: 72.4±14.6 bandaged versus 67.9 ± 17.4 mmHg unbandaged; <i>P</i> =0.01).
Gorelik et al., 2014 ⁸³ Quasi-experimental	Israel, hospital inpatient	N=73 bedridden for ≥8 hours with diagnosed OH with various acute medical conditions (22 male)	Before moving from supine into sitting, compression extensible bandages were stretched along both legs from the ankle to the end of the thigh, so that the designed rectangles became transformed into squares, to	sBP and dBP, heart rhythm with ECG, self-report symptoms of OH in supine and at one, three and five minutes of sitting.	Compared with the non-bandaged state, OH was registered in only 53.4% of bandaged participants (<i>P</i> <0.001). Moreover, the appearance of OH symptoms decreased to 34.2% (<i>P</i> <0.001). On the second day (bandaged), supine dBP values were higher in the persisting 82.1 ±

		Mean age: 75.2 (±9.0) years	obtain a uniform pressure of about 30-40 mmHg at the ankle. All participants underwent same testing: day 1 no compression, day 2 compression.		18 mmHg versus non-persisting OH group 73.6 ± 14 mmHg (<i>P</i> <0.027). In the bandaged state, OH symptoms were significantly reduced in the non-persisting OH group 35.3% (<i>P</i> <0.003). In participants with persistent OH, sBP was significantly increased wearing bandages (147.0 ± 28 mmHg) versus unbandaged (136.2 ± 26.5 mmHg) (<i>P</i> <0.004).
Henry et al., 1999 ⁵¹ Quasi-experimental	United Kingdom, outpatient falls clinic	N=10 elderly with OH (6 male) Age range: 62-89 years (mean 77.2 years)	All participants underwent same testing: supine rest then positioned into standing 90 degrees upright with tilt table for 3 minutes, then positioned into supine. Elastic compression hosiery (tights) fitted to bilateral lower limbs.	sBP and dBP, HR in supine; one, two and three minutes in supine and 90 degrees tilt. Authors refer to the fact that "orthostatic dizziness was abolished in seven out of 10 participants" but do not report how this was measured.	Short-term efficacy with compression hosiery in elderly people with symptomatic OH and a history of falls. (Not reported if OH was reason for falling or other factors.)
Denq et al., 1997 ⁷⁵ Quasi-experimental	USA, clinic	N=14 confirmed diagnosis of OH (n=3 multiple system atrophy; n=9 PAF; n=2 diabetic autonomic neuropathy) (5 male) Age range: 31-78 years	All participants underwent testing from supine to 80 degrees head-up tilt ± compression (40 mmHg) to calves/thighs/abdomen and all compartments combined to evaluate the impact compression of different body parts on orthostatic BP and tolerance.	Visual analogue scale (numerical) rating change in symptoms and duration of stand with compression garments; sBP and dBP in supine and 80 degrees verticalization, HR, end diastolic volume index, cardiac output index, and peripheral resistance index. Visual analogue scale of severity of symptoms after each session.	Head-up tilt with compression resulted in significant improvement (<i>P</i> <0.001) of sBP 115.9 ± 7.4 mmHg compared to 89.6 ± 7.0 mmHg without compression. Maximum improvement was with all combinations of compression. Abdominal compression alone was the only single compartment to significantly reduce OH (<i>P</i> <0.01). Participants reported that a combination of all compartments was most efficacious in reducing symptoms.
Lucas et al., 2012 ⁹¹ Quasi-experimental	New Zealand, Laboratory	N=12 healthy elderly people and younger adults N=6 elderly people (6 male)	All 12 participants stood up rapidly (<5 seconds) and remained free standing for three minutes, then returned to supine for six minutes and repeated the supine-to-stand protocol.	Esophageal temperature, skin temperature, blood flow velocity, arterial BP (beat-to-beat changes in BP), cardiac output from HR and SV, TPR in supine and standing.	All participants completed the normothermic and passive heat conditions of both control and compression leggings. No difference in supine or initial seconds in standing in either groups. In minute 6 of standing, those wearing compression leggings in the

		<p>Mean age: 70 (± 4) years</p> <p>N=6 younger adults (6 male)</p> <p>Mean age: 29 (± 4) years</p>	<p>Standing was terminated and participants positioned supine with legs elevated if presyncope symptoms presented. This was completed at normal body temperature and repeated at elevated body temperature (0.5 degrees Celsius). In the elevated temperature trials participants wore long-sleeved and legged, two-pieced, tube-lined perfusion suit. Passive heating was achieved by circulating warm water through the suit and wrapping participants in reflective foil blanket with periodic warm water circulation to maintain the elevated temperature.</p>		<p>normothermia increased TPR in older participants but dropped in younger participants. In contrast, standing and heated, wearing compression leggings lowered TPR in elderly people and younger adults.</p>
<p>Rimaud et al., 2012⁶⁸ Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>France, department of physical medicine and rehabilitation</p>	<p>N=9 SCI (n=4 above T6, n=5 below T6), at least two years post injury (9 male) Age range: 25-54 years</p>	<p>All participants performed two maximal wheelchair exercise tests using a wheelchair ergometer (increasing Watts every 2 minutes) without and with garment compression stockings (21 mmHg (ankle) to 15 mmHg (calf)). The progressive wheelchair test started with a 30-minute rest period using the wheelchair ergometer to stabilize various cardiorespiratory variables. This was followed by a six-minute warm up at a constant speed with no load. The load was then increased by 10W increments for low-level paraplegics and 5W for high-level paraplegics, every</p>	<p>VO₂/VCO₂ measurement during test, sBP, dBP, finger arterial pressure, SV, Q, TPR, HR variability, wavelet high and low and very low frequency power. All tested pre- and post-exercise sitting in wheelchair, without garment compression stockings and with garment compression stockings. Norepinephrine and epinephrine</p>	<p>No differences in VO₂, W max, HR, or BP with or without GCS. Significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) low-frequency wavelet and lower high-frequency wavelet (high-frequency wavelet is a parasympathetic marker) with garment compression stockings 3 to 8 minutes post exercise. Decrease in mean relative risk with garment compression stockings immediately after exercise. Norepinephrine at rest was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) with garment compression stockings. Epinephrine and norepinephrine both increased with exercise with and without garment compression stockings.</p>

			two minutes until volitional exhaustion, or the subjects were unable to maintain the required speed.		
Helmi et al., 2013 ⁶¹ Case report	Netherlands, intensive care unit	N=1 post-operative cervical C3/C4 laminectomy tetraplegic with clinically significant compromise of cardiovascular control, (male) Age: 61 years	Tilt-table testing from supine 0 to 45 degrees and 60 degrees and back to supine without, and then with inflatable external leg compression to bilateral lower limbs (calf and thigh).	sBP and dBP in 0, 45, and 60 degrees tilt, SV index, HR, perfusion index, peripheral perfusion tissue oxygenation.	With the application of 15 mmHg pressure during 45 and 60 degrees head up, SV index and HR were maintained, and no presyncope symptoms occurred. With the external leg compression constantly inflated with a pressure of 15 mmHg, the participant could remain in the upright position and could be mobilized during physiotherapy wearing inflatable external leg compression. External leg compression succeeded in improving presyncope symptoms and preventing OH for several hours.

Physical counter-maneuvers

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Ten Harkel et al., 1994 ⁵⁸ Randomized crossover trial	Netherlands, academic medical center	N=13 (n=7 orthostatic hypotension (n=4 PAF, n=1 Hodgkin's disease, n=1 medulla oblongata bleed, n=1 multiple sympathectomies); n=6 healthy controls (11 male) Age range: 20-65 years	Intervention group: leg muscle pumping (tiptoeing) or tensing (leg crossing) for one minute, started after 2 minutes of active standing. Maneuvers were performed in a random order, each for one minute, with one minute of quiet standing in between. Control group: active standing	sBP and dBP, HR, SV, cardiac output, peripheral resistance assessed before standing (10 seconds) and after one minute of tiptoe, quiet standing and leg crossing assessed over 10 seconds at first and after one and two minutes in standing.	Mean BP, sBP, and dBP significantly decreased in patient group ($P<0.01$) following one minute of standing; systemic vascular resistance did not change from supine; rise in HR seen mainly in patients due to non-PAF patients. Tiptoeing did not result in a clear distinction between initial and sustained effects between PAF and non-PAF patients. Leg-crossing induced an initial increase in BP, SV, and cardiac output both in normal participants and patients with PAF and non-PAF. There was a small increase in MAP of only 13 mmHg in the patient group, but the

					authors suggested that this increase is of a similar magnitude to the changes seen in pharmacological interventions such as fludrocortisone, erythropoietin, and midodrine.
Bouvette et al., 1996 ⁷³ Quasi-experimental	USA, laboratory and community	N=9 with neurogenic OH (n=5 PAF; n=3 autonomic neuropathy; n=1 multiple system atrophy) (4 male) Mean age: 53 (± 18) years	N=9 underwent four training sessions in the laboratory, then performed the physical counter-maneuvers at home for 3-4 months Physical counter-maneuvers: squatting, genuflexion-contraction, leg crossing, knee flexion, toe raise, neck flexion, abdominal contraction, thigh contraction. Session 1: all physical counter-maneuvers performed in random order. Sessions 2 and 3 three: biofeedback training on three maneuvers selected by the participant that provided the best symptomatic relief to optimize performance. Session 4: practicing maneuvers without feedback.	Global Symptomatic Improvement Score: judging the effectiveness of physical counter-maneuvers; improvement in orthostatic BP and continued improvement with follow-up telephone survey. BP measured at baseline, before and after each counter-maneuver.	Global Symptomatic Improvement Score: The findings support the hypothesis that physical counter-maneuvers can significantly increase BP. Squatting produced the most dramatic change in arterial pressure (40.6 ±23.2 mmHg) (P<0.0004). Continued improvement: Standing time improved by 8.33 ± 5.8 mmHg minutes. Participants reported continued counter-maneuver performance of 3.83 ± 3 .1 mmHg maneuvers per day. Biofeedback training: statistically significant improvement seen with genuflexion-contraction (P<0.002), leg crossing (P<0.016), and thigh contraction (P<0.007) after three or four 45-minute sessions.
van Lieshout et al., 1992 ⁶⁰ Quasi-experimental	Netherlands, outpatient	N=13 (n=7 hypoadrenergic OH; n=6 healthy) OH (4 male); age range 18-65 years N=6 healthy controls (gender not reported); age range: 28-34 years	All participants performed exercises in fixed order: stand up, cross legs, squat. Stand for 10 minutes maximum or until symptoms occur. Then cross legs for 30 seconds, then resume standing. Squat when "BP became low again" (BP cut off not described).	sBP and dBP measured in all three positions.	Standing without any physical maneuvers, five out of seven participants reported orthostatic dizziness within 10 minutes (four unable to remain standing). After leg-crossing, all participants could stand for 10 minutes or more with a difference of 14 mmHg (SD ± 6) in mean BP. A larger increase in sBP was observed with squatting with a difference of 44 mmHg (SD ± 18).
Smit et al., 1997 ⁵⁶	Netherlands, laboratory	N=8 OH (n=5 autonomic failure,	Each participant sat on seats of varying heights (48 cm, 38 cm, 20	sBP and dBP, HR, cardiac output, SV, and TPR.	BP was higher in sitting than standing. Due to increase in SV and cardiac output. Lower

Quasi-experimental		n=2 post-acute panautonomic neuropathy, n=1 post-extensive sympathectomy) (3 male) Age range: 35-70 years	cm) and performed different maneuvers such as squatting, crossing legs, and standing in a crossed-leg position.	All measures taken: Standing: with and without contraction of crossed legs Sitting: with and without leg-crossing sat on Derby chair, Fishing chair, and footstool Squatting	chairs associated with high increment in BP. Crossing legs on the Derby chair produced greater increment in sBP and dBP ($P<0.01$; mean change 16 mmHg and 9 mmHg no leg crossing and 49 mmHg and 25 mmHg with leg crossing). Standing crossing legs increased sBP and dBP significantly ($P<0.01$; 14 mmHg and 7 mmHg standing and no leg crossing versus 29 mmHg and 15 mmHg crossing legs in standing) and in seven out of eight of the participants, produced a higher increase than sitting on the Derby chair. Sitting on a fishing chair was perceived as most comfortable. Derby chair was deemed unstable/did not relieve symptoms/small seat. Low stool was hard to stand up from; uncomfortable or experienced dizziness on standing.
Tutaj et al., 2006 ⁹³ Quasi-experimental	Country not reported (author was contacted),	N=17 familial dysautonomia (9 male) Mean age: 26.4 (± 12.4) years	Physical counter-maneuvers: bending forward, squatting, leg crossing, and abdominal compression using an inflatable belt. Counter-maneuvers were initiated after standing up, when sBP had fallen by 40 mmHg or dBP had fallen by 30mmHg, or presyncope had occurred.	HR, sBP, and dBP, mean BP, cardiac output, TPR, and calf volume	Mean BP increased significantly ($P<0.005$) during bending forward 20.0 mmHg, squatting ($P<0.002$) 50.8 mmHg and abdominal compression 5.8 mmHg ($P<0.04$) but not during leg-crossing. Squatting and abdominal compression also induced a significant increase in cardiac output during squatting ($P<0.02$) 18.1 mmHg and during abdominal compression 7.6 mmHg ($P<0.014$).

Physical counter-maneuvers and compression

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Smit et al., 2004 ⁵⁷	Netherlands, laboratory	N=23 neurogenic orthostatic	All participants performed Protocol 1: evaluated in a 40 to	sBP and dBP in supine, 40 degrees head-up-tilt, 15	Protocol 1 (n=7) head-up tilt from supine. Compression resulted in an increase in BP

Quasi-experimental		hypotension (n=4 PAF, n=7 multiple system atrophy, n=8 progressive autonomic neuropathy, n=3 subacute panautonomic neuropathy, n=1 OH post extensive sympathectomies) (10 male) Age range: 35-79 years	60 degree head-up tilt position, the effect of abdominal compression on caval vein and femoral diameter, arterial BP, and hemodynamics wearing an anti-gravity suit. Protocol 2: anti-gravity suit standing, then standing and legs crossed with 20 mmHg abdominal compression, 40 mmHg abdominal compression All participants performed protocol 3: investigated the ability to maintain standing BP by an elastic binder (9 or 12 inch), compression 15-20 mmHg	seconds preceding compression, first 15 seconds of 40 mmHg lower abdominal compression, last 15 seconds of 40 mmHg compression, and 5 seconds after compression and in standing with graded pressure 20/40 mmHg ± leg crossing. HR, cardiac output, TPR, and changes in the inferior caval and femoral vein diameter.	with increase in SV and cardiac output and no change in peripheral resistance diameter of veins, decreases caval vein but femoral vein increases. Protocol 2 (n=12) binding and countermeasures increased BP, but there was no significant difference between conditions. Protocol 3 n=9 significant increase in BP ($P<0.05$; 11 mmHg sBP and 6 mmHg dBP) and increase SV (13% $P<0.05$) and cardiac output (12% $P<0.05$) and reduction in peripheral resistance (-7% $P<0.05$) Elastic abdominal compression increased standing BP with 15/6 mmHg (range -3/3 to 36/14, $P<0.05$).
--------------------	--	---	---	---	--

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Experimental intervention	Control intervention	Outcomes measured	Description of main results
Figueroa et al., 2015 ⁷² Randomized crossover trial	USA, laboratory	N=13 with neurogenic orthostatic hypotension, (n=5 PAF; n=4 multiple system atrophy; n=2 Parkinson's disease; n=1 post-radiation baroreflex failure; n=1 autoimmune autonomic neuropathy. (7 male) Age range: 62-79 years Participants were ambulatory and able to stand for at least 3 minutes without	Abdominal compression and physical maneuvers All participants performed four maneuvers: moving from supine to standing without abdominal compression; moving from supine to standing with either a conventional or adjustable abdominal binder; application of subject-determined maximum pressure tolerable while	All participants moved from supine to standing without abdominal compression, then with either a conventional or adjustable abdominal binder. The adjustable binder involved the application of participant determined maximum pressure tolerable while standing. Participants were asked to stand up and adjust the	<i>Primary:</i> Continuous sBP, dBP, and HR in supine, and standing <i>Secondary:</i> Orthostatic Symptom Scale (visual analogue scale); Symptom Change Scale (visual analogue scale)	Standing without abdominal compression resulted in a large orthostatic fall (change in sBP, -57 mmHg) and severe orthostatic intolerance (Orthostatic Symptom Scale, 5 points). Compared with no abdominal binding, 10 mmHg of abdominal compression while supine prior to rising was effective in attenuating OH with both the conventional (change in sBP, -50 mmHg; interquartile range, -33 to -70 mmHg; $P<0.03$) and pull string (change in sBP, -46 mmHg;

		developing pre-syncope.	standing; and while still erect, subsequent reduction of compression to a level the subject believed to be tolerable for a prolonged period.	compression to a maximal tolerable level, and then subsequently reduce the compression to a level the subject believed to be tolerable for a prolonged period. It was unclear if this was immediate or within a specific time of standing.		interquartile range, -34 to -75 mmHg; $P < 0.01$) binders.
--	--	-------------------------	--	--	--	---

Sleeping with head up

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Ten Harkel et al., 1992 ⁵⁹ Quasi-experimental	Netherlands, academic center (two patients were tested on an outpatient basis, while the other four were admitted to hospital)	N=6 hypoadrenergic OH (3 male) Age range: 23-65 years	Each patient received a diet containing 150-200 mmol sodium and a minimal water intake of 2000 mL per day. This three-week study was divided into one-week phases. To assess changes during the steady state alone, the first three days of each week were excluded from the analysis; values were presented as the average for the last four days of each period. Interventions were delivered in one-week blocks. In the first week (control), they did not have medication (apart from two bedridden patients because of severe OH who had 0.1 mg fludrocortisone) or head tilt. In the second week, all patients started to sleep in the 12 degrees head-up tilt position. During the third week treatment involved a combination of sleeping in the head-up tilt position and fludrocortisone administered at 2200 hours.	Orthostatic tolerance as measured by Orthostatic Disability Score; maximum standing time, terminated either at the onset of severe orthostatic dizziness or after a maximum period of 10-minute standing; sBP, dBP, and mean BP) for control; head-up tilt; head-up tilt and fludrocortisone, and follow-up; fluid balance (changes in total body water content assessed by measuring changes in body weight). Follow-up at 14 months.	Combined treatment reduced orthostatic dizziness in all patients ($P < 0.001$), and increased the maximal standing period to at least 10 minutes. Head-up tilt alone (n=4) reduced the BP decrease after 1 minute of standing from -64/-42/-25 ± 27/21/17 mmHg to -53/-37/-23 ± 31/24/20 mmHg ($P < 0.01$ for sBP). The addition of fludrocortisone to head-up tilt (head-up tilt/fludrocortisone) (n=5) further reduced the BP decrease after one minute of standing from -63/-40/-24 ± 20/12/11 mmHg to -21/-19/-8 ± 12/10/5 ($P < 0.05$ for sBP, mean and dBP). BP at maximal standing time increased during combined treatment 58/47/42 ± 9/8/7 mmHg initially to 95/69/57 ± 27/22/20 mmHg ($P < 0.05$ for sBP and mean BP), and remained unchanged during the 14-month (range 8-70 month) follow-up period.
Fan et al., 2011 ⁵⁵ Randomized controlled trial	Ireland, community	N=100 with OH Mean age: 76 years	Intervention group n=66, control group n=34 Intervention group had the head of their bed elevated six inches with blocks for six weeks.	Hemodynamic variables: sBP, dBP (24-hour ambulatory blood pressure), MAP, HR, cardiac output, SV, TPR. Plus weight, frequency of dizziness, 24-	Sleeping with head up six inches for six weeks was tolerated by participants and both groups reported overall improvement and had fewer episodes of dizziness per week before versus after ($P=0.0039$). Participants sleeping with head up were more likely to

				<p>hour urinary sodium and volume, and presence of ankle edema.</p>	<p>have leg edema. Compliance to the treatment was 77%. However, there were no significant differences between the two groups in hemodynamic variables. Changes in sBP between pre and post were: -1.45 mmHg supine and 1.98 mmHg standing; dBP 2.42 mmHg supine and 2.61 mmHg standing, MAP 0.94 mmHg sitting and 2.07 mmHg standing. Study concluded that sleeping head up six inches for six weeks is not recommended as an outpatient treatment for OH in elderly people.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

Dietary measures

Food intake

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
Loew F et al., 1995 ⁷⁰ Quasi-experimental	Switzerland, teaching hospital	N=10 Parkinson's disease (n=2 diagnosed with OH) (5 male) Mean age: 81.6 (± 9.02) years N=10 age matched hospital in-patient controls (3 male) Mean age: 85.3 (± 5.23) years	Testing over two consecutive days. Day 1: BP monitoring every 30 minutes in supine between 0800 and 1800 hours. Supine sBP readings were used before the start of lunch and 60 minutes after a normal 2500 kj lunch to measure the postprandial sBP change Day 2: participants received their usual breakfast and pursued their usual activities in the ward (newspaper reading or rest). Participants with Parkinson's disease received their usual physio mid-morning and afternoon, orthostatic BP in active standing and head-up tilting tests performed after a normal 2500 kj lunch (lunch eaten in sitting). All participants underwent orthostatic tests: active standing and head-up tilting tests, performed 60 and 40 minutes before the start of lunch.	sBP, HR in supine, active standing and head-up tilt testing both pre- and post-prandial. dBP was recorded but not presented in the literature. Day 1 BP testing in supine, day 2 testing in sitting.	Participants with Parkinson's disease had a significant ($P<0.01$) postprandial sBP drop from 154.3 ± 26.2 to 127.7 ± 18.1 mmHg in supine position compared to healthy controls; in participants with Parkinson's disease, the drop was moderately correlated to orthostatic sBP responses and significantly correlated to the pre-prandial supine baseline systolic BP. There was a greater fall of sBP with passive than with active standing with both groups, which was greater in the PD group. No difference in orthostatic HR responses between groups.
Mader SL, 1989 ⁷⁹ Observational	USA, clinical research center	N=26 healthy elderly and young adults (16 male)	All participants underwent the same testing: three recumbent BPs measured two minutes apart after five minutes supine	sBP and dBP, HR	Supine BP: elderly had higher sBP and dBP. HR was higher in both groups after meals. Standing sBP and dBP was similar between groups. The younger group had higher HR

		<p>N=10 healthy young Age range: 19-31 years</p> <p>N=16 healthy elderly Age range: 55-78 years</p>	<p>rest. The subject stood up and BP was measured one minute later. Protocol was performed beginning at 2:30 p.m. on admission and 1:00 p.m. the following day at discharge. Readings included first thing in the morning 7:00 am, before and after meals, mid-morning, mid-afternoon, mid evening and bedtime (10:30 p.m.). Postural BP protocol was performed 45 minutes after the beginning of each meal.</p>		<p>with standing. Elderly people showed drop pre- to post-meal BP (not seen in young). HR was higher post-meal in both groups. After overnight rest, standing BP was the same.</p>
<p>Puvi-Rajasingham S and Mathias CJ, 1996⁵³ Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>United Kingdom, inpatient</p>	<p>N=7 primary autonomic failure with severe OH (n=3 PAF with no other neurological deficit; n=4 Shy-Drager syndrome (multiple system atrophy) (4 male) Age range: 45-69 years</p>	<p>All participants underwent same conditions: first day 3 meals, versus second day (at least one day apart) 6 meals. Total calorie intake was the same.</p>	<p>BP monitoring and self-initiated readings after five minutes of lying, sitting, or standing. With only three meals, participants have extra set of positional recordings (six in total same as a six-meal day). Participants kept a symptom diary.</p>	<p>Regardless of meal the size, drop in BP with positional change was similar but three meals showed a significantly lower BP in all positions than six meals (131 mmHg after large meals and 151 mmHg after small meals $P<0.005$). Between meals, a larger drop in BP was seen with three meals (sBP: 88 mmHg versus 104 mmHg, $P<0.002$; dBP: 48 mmHg versus 63 mmHg, $P<0.0001$). Fewer OH symptoms were experienced with six meals.</p>

Fluid intake

Fluid intake and exercise

Study	Country and setting	Participant characteristics	Groups	Outcomes measured	Main description of results
-------	---------------------	-----------------------------	--------	-------------------	-----------------------------

<p>Humm AM et al., 2008⁵²</p> <p>Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>United Kingdom, laboratory</p>	<p>N=8 PAF diagnosed with OH, all able to walk (3 male) Mean age: 63.9 (±6.1) years</p>	<p>All participants underwent same testing: supine 10 minutes rest, standing 10 minutes, rest in supine, exercises in supine (pedal ergometer), rest in supine, standing five minutes. Identical protocol followed on two separate occasions: one of which participants drank 480 mL distilled water at room temperature within five minutes after first stand. Participants were randomly assigned to start with the protocol without water (n=3) and with water (n=5) with on average 10.8 days between the two assessments. Participants were asked to drink the water within five minutes.</p>	<p>sBP and dBP in supine one (rest), standing one (five minutes standing), supine two (rest), cycling (exercise), supine three (rest), stand two. HR, subjective perception of hypotension related symptoms.</p>	<p>All participants had severe OH pre-exercise with prompt recovery of BP in supine. Five minutes after drinking water, there was a significant ($P<0.05$) rise in BP in supine position. With exercise there was a clear fall in BP (sBP: 42.1 ± 24.4 mmHg and dBP: 25.9 ± 10.0 mmHg) with a modest risk in HR; this occurred even after water ingestion. sBP remained low after exercise but was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) after water intake two and five minutes of standing (74.5 ± 32.9 mmHg) and 74.3 ± 28.4 mmHg without water versus 103.5 ± 34.4 mmHg 89.0 ± 16.7 mmHg with water), resulting in better tolerance of post-exercise standing.</p>
<p>Shannon et al., 2002⁶⁶</p> <p>Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>Germany, laboratory</p>	<p>N=18 primary autonomic failure (n=9 PAF, n=9 multiple system atrophy) (12 male) Age range: 35-70 years Plus an additional n=9 females with idiopathic orthostatic intolerance Mean age: 36 (±4) years</p>	<p>Participants drank 120 mL, 240 mL, and 480 mL of tap water at room temperature (20 degrees Celsius) in less than five minutes on separate days. All participants underwent Protocol 1: drinking 480 mL of tap water at room temperature (20 degrees C) in less than 5 minutes. All participants underwent Protocol 2: consumption of standardized high carbohydrate breakfast.</p>	<p>Blood pressure (supine, seated, upright); HR</p> <p>Protocol 1: seated, BP, and HR measured every five minutes for 30 minutes, standing, returned to seated for a further 35 minutes with BP and HR taken every five minutes, standing BP determined.</p> <p>Protocol 2: seated for 30 minutes and BP and HR taken every five minutes, participants ate a meal, then BP and HR taken every five minutes for 90 minutes while seated. Repeated twice (once with water before the meal, one meal only).</p>	<p>Before water drinking, seated BP was $117 \pm 23/67 \pm 10$ mmHg, which fell to $83 \pm 20/53 \pm 11$ mmHg after one minute of standing. Thirty-five minutes after drinking 480 mL of water at room temperature in less than five minutes, seated BP increased to $150 \pm 25/78 \pm 13$ mmHg and after standing for one minute BP was $114 \pm 30/66 \pm 18$ mmHg. Pre-meal BP $138 \pm 41/77 \pm 17$ mmHg. Within 20 minutes after starting to eat, BP decreased, reaching a nadir of $43 \pm 36/20 \pm 13$ mmHg below baseline after 90 minutes. Drinking 480 mL of water in less than five minutes prior to a test meal, BP increased with a peak that was $36 \pm 23/9 \pm 10$ mmHg above baseline after 20 minutes ($P<0.001$ ANOVA compared to meal with no water). Drinking water attenuated orthostatic tachycardia in patients with idiopathic</p>

				Valsalva maneuver, hyperventilation, cold pressor, hand grip.	orthostatic intolerance (123 ± 23 beats per minute at baseline to 108 ± 21 beats per minute after water drinking.) (Inconsistencies in reported number of participants between the methods and participant characteristics.)
Young and Mathias, 2004 ⁵⁴ Quasi-experimental	United Kingdom, inpatient neurological hospital	N=14 chronic autonomic failure and severe OH (n=7 multiple system atrophy) (4 male) Mean age: 62 (±9.5) years (n=7 PAF) (3 male) Mean age: 59 (±10) years	All 14 participants underwent the same testing: standing BP and HR were measured before and 15 and 35 minutes following ingestion of 480 mL distilled water within five minutes. Patients remained seated for 15 minutes after water ingestion, with beat to beat cardiovascular indices measured with the Portapres 2 device with subsequent Modelflow analysis.	sBP and dBP before, and 15 and 35 minutes after ingestion of 480 mL distilled water. Calculation of cardiac output, TPR, and SV using Modelflow analysis.	Standing prior to water ingestion caused a significant fall in sBP in all patients (110.6 ± 25.1 mmHg seated and 79.5 ± 21.5 mmHg standing; <i>P</i> <0.01). After water ingestion, standing sBP was significantly higher (<i>P</i> <0.001) at 15 minutes (101.0 ± 23.3 mmHg) and 35 minutes (99.6 ± 24.0 mmHg), with an improvement in orthostatic symptoms. The time to first significant rise in seated BP occurred at five minutes post-water ingestion in PAF and at 13 minutes in multiple system atrophy. These increases were accompanied by increases in total minutes post peripheral resistance, reaching significance by five minutes in PAF and 13 minutes in multiple system atrophy. There were no significant changes in cardiac output, SV, or ejection fraction.

BP: blood pressure; dBP: diastolic blood pressure; ECG: electrocardiogram; FES: functional electrical stimulation; HR: heart rate; O₂: oxygen; OH: orthostatic hypotension; PAF; pure autonomic failure; RR: intervals between successive heartbeats; sBP: systolic blood pressure; SCI: spinal cord injury; SSS-OI: Specific Symptom Scale Questionnaire for Orthostatic Intolerance; SV: stroke volume; TPR: total peripheral resistance; VCO₂: maximum rate of expired carbon dioxide; VO₂: maximum rate of oxygen consumption

Table 1: Critical appraisal results of eligible randomized controlled trials

Citation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13
Fanciulli et al ⁶⁷	U	N	Y	U	N	N	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Faghri & Yount ⁷¹	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Figuroa et al ⁷²	U	U	Y	N	N	U	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Fan et al ⁵⁵	U	U	Y	N	U	U	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Kanegusuku ⁸⁷	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Gorelik et al ⁸¹	U	U	Y	N	N	U	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Luther et al ⁶⁵	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Phillips et al ⁸⁴	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Podoleanu et al ⁶³	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Rocca et al ⁶⁹	Y	Y	N	N	N	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Takahagi et al ⁸⁶	U	U	Y	N	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Taveggia et al ⁶⁴	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Vijayakumar et al ⁸⁸	U	Y	Y	U	U	U	Y	U	U	Y	U	Y	Y
Total %	46.15	38.46	76.92	15.38	7.69	7.69	100.0	69.23	69.23	100.0	92.3	100.0	84.61

Y = Yes, N = No, U = Unclear; JBI critical appraisal checklist for randomized controlled trials: Q1 = Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?; Q2 = Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?; Q3 = Were treatment groups similar at baseline?; Q4 = Were participants blind to treatment assignment?; Q5 = Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?; Q6 = Were outcome assessors blind to treatment assignment?; Q7 = Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?; Q8 = Was follow-up complete, and if not, were strategies to address incomplete follow-up utilized?; Q9 = Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?; Q10 = Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?; Q11 = Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?; Q12 = Was appropriate statistical analysis used?; Q13 = Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?

Table 2 presents the results of the critical appraisal for eligible quasi-experimental studies. Cause and effect was clear in all but one study. In five studies, Q2 and Q3 were not applicable because there were no comparisons made. There was no control group in nine of the 28 studies. Q7 was deemed not applicable to one study because no comparisons were made.

Table 2: Critical appraisal results of eligible quasi-experimental studies

Citation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9
Bouvette et al ⁷³	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	U	U	Y
Brilla et al ⁷⁴	Y	N/A	N/A	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Denq et al ⁷⁵	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Elokda et al ⁷⁶	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Faghri & Yount ⁷⁷	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Gorelik et al ⁸²	Y	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Gorelik et al ⁸³	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hamzaid et al ⁸⁹	Y	N/A	N/A	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Henry et al ⁵¹	Y	N/A	N/A	N	N	U	Y	Y	U
Humm et al ⁵²	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kuznetsov et al ⁹⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Loew et al ⁷⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lopes P et al ⁷⁸	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lucas RAI et al ⁹¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mader SL ⁷⁹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Puvi-Rajasingham & Mathias ⁵³	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Rimaud, D. et al ⁶⁸	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Shannon JR, et al ⁶⁶	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Smit AAJ ⁵⁶	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y
Smit AA ⁵⁷	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ten Harkel et al ⁵⁹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Ten Harkel et al ⁵⁸	Y	Y	U	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tutaj et al ⁹³	Y	N/A	N/A	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
van Lieshout et al ⁶⁰	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y
Wadsworth et al ⁹²	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Yoshida et al ⁸⁵	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Young & Mathias ⁵⁴	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Zion et al ⁸⁰	Y	N/A	N/A	N	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y
%	94.12	79.41	64.71	61.76	94.12	79.41	94.12	88.24	97.10

Y = Yes, N = No, U = Unclear, N/A – not applicable; JBI critical appraisal checklist for quasi-experimental studies; Q1 = Is it clear in the study what is the 'cause' and what is the 'effect' (i.e., there is no confusion about which variable comes first)?; Q2 = Were the participants included in any comparisons similar?; Q3 = Were the participants included in any comparisons receiving similar treatment/care, other than the exposure or intervention of interest?; Q4 = Was there a control group?; Q5 = Were there multiple measurements of the outcome both pre and post the intervention/exposure?; Q6 = Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?; Q7 = Were the outcomes of participants included in any comparisons measured in the same way?; Q8 = Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?; Q9 = Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Table 3 presents the critical appraisal results for the eligible case report study. The intervention was not clearly described but all other aspects of methodological quality were reported.

Table 3: Critical appraisal results of eligible case report

Citation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8
Helmi ⁶¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
%	100.0	33.33	100.0	66.66	66.66	100.0	66.66	100.0

Y = Yes, N = No, U = Unclear; JBI critical appraisal checklist for case report studies; Q1 = Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?; Q2 = Was the patient's history clearly described and presented as a timeline?; Q3 = Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?; Q4 = Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?; Q5 = Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clearly described?; Q6 = Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?; Q7 = Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?; Q8 = Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?

Confounding factors were not identified and not strategy to deal with confounding factors in the case control study. All other aspects of methodological quality were met as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Critical appraisal results of eligible case control study

Study	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Galizia et al ⁶²	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Total %	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Y = Yes, N = No, U = Unclear; JBI critical appraisal checklist for case control study; Q1 = Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?; Q2 = Were cases and controls matched appropriately?; Q3 = Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?; Q4 = Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?; Q5 = Was exposure measured in the same way for cases and controls?; Q6 = Were confounding factors identified?; Q7 = Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?; Q8 = Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?; Q9 = Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?; Q10 = Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

References

1. Low PA, Singer W. Management of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension: an update. *Lancet Neurol.* 2008; 7(5):451-8.
2. Velseboer DC, de Haan RJ, Wieling W, Goldstein DS, de Bie RM. Prevalence of orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders.* 2011; 17(10):724-9.
3. Krassioukov A, Eng JJ, Warburton DE, Teasell R. A systematic review of the management of orthostatic hypotension after spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation.* 2009; 90(5):876-85.
4. Kong K-H, Chuo AM. Incidence and outcome of orthostatic hypotension in stroke patients undergoing rehabilitation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.* 2003; 84:559-62.
5. Lahrmann H, Cortelli P, Hiltz M, Mathias CJ, Struhal W, Tassinari M. Chapter 33 Orthostatic hypotension: in *European Handbook of Neurological Management.* 2nd ed. 2011.
6. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, Benditt DG, Benarroch E, Biaggioni I, et al. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. *Autonomic Neuroscience.* 2011; 161(1-2):46-8.
7. Berger MJ, Kimpinski K. A practical guide to the treatment of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences.* 2014; 41(2):156-63.
8. Mathias CJ, Kimber JR. Postural hypotension: Causes, clinical features, investigation, and management. *Annual Review of Medicine.* 1999; 50:317-36.
9. Naschitz JE, Rosner I. Orthostatic hypotension: framework of the syndrome. *Postgraduate Medical Journal.* 2007; 83(983):568-74.
10. World Health Organization. Definition of an older or elderly person. Geneva, Switzerland.2010.
11. Weiss A, Grossman E, Beloosesky Y, Grinblat J. Orthostatic hypotension in acute geriatric ward: is it a consistent finding? *Archives of Internal Medicine.* 2002; 162(20):2369-74.
12. Tilvis RS, Hakala SM, Valvanne J, Erkinjuntti T. Postural hypotension and dizziness in a general aged population: a four-year follow-up of the Helsinki Aging Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society.* 1996; 44(7):809-14.
13. Kauffman TL, Barr JO, Moran ML. Geriatric rehabilitation manual. 2nd ed. ed. Edinburgh ; New York: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2007.
14. Perlmutter LC, Sarda G, Casavant V, Mosnaim AD. A review of the etiology, associated comorbidities, and treatment of orthostatic hypotension. *American Journal of Therapeutics.* 2013; 20(3):279-91.
15. Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. Royal College of Physicians,. National Clinical Guideline for Stroke Fifth edition. <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/stroke-guidelines2016>.
16. Multidisciplinary Association of Spinal Cord. Clinical Guideline for Standing Adults Following Spinal Cord Injury. Multidisciplinary Association of Spinal Cord; 2013.
17. Disrens K, Moreira T, Hirt L, Faouzi M, Grujic J, Bieler G, et al. Early mobilization out of bed after ischaemic stroke reduces severe complications but not cerebral blood flow: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation.* 2012; 25(5):451-9.
18. Bernhardt J, Dewey H, Thrift A, Collier J, Donnan G. A very early rehabilitation trial for stroke (AVERT): phase II safety and feasibility. *Stroke.* 2008; 39(2):390-6.
19. Ferrarello F, Deluca G, Pizzi A, Baldini C, Iori F, Marchionni N, et al. Passive standing as an adjunct rehabilitation intervention after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physiotherapy.* 2015; 5(2).
20. Jordan JD, Powers WJ. Cerebral autoregulation and acute ischemic stroke. *American Journal of Hypertension.* 2012; 25(9):946-50.
21. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) Executive Committee EWC. Guidelines for management of ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack 2008. *Cerebrovascular Diseases.* 2008; 25(5):457-507.
22. Adams HP, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, Bhatt DL, Brass L, Furlan A, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: The American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. *Circulation.* 2007; 115(20):e478-534.

23. Figueroa JJ, Basford JR, Low PA. Preventing and treating orthostatic hypotension: As easy as A, B, C. *Cleveland Clinical Journal of Medicine*. 2010; 77(5):298-306.
24. Ong AC, Myint PK, Shepstone L, Potter JF. A systematic review of the pharmacological management of orthostatic hypotension. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2013; 67(7):633-46.
25. Gallacher KI, Batty GD, McLean G, Mercer SW, Guthrie B, May CR, et al. Stroke, multimorbidity and polypharmacy in a nationally representative sample of 1,424,378 patients in Scotland: implications for treatment burden. *BMC Medicine*. 2014; 12:151.
26. Fulton MM, Allen ER. Polypharmacy in the elderly: a literature review. *Journal of the American Association of Academic Nurse Practitioners*. 2005; 17(4):123-32.
27. Perlmutter LC, Sarda G, Casavant V, O'Hara K, Hindes M, Knott PT, et al. A review of orthostatic blood pressure regulation and its association with mood and cognition. *Clinical Autonomic Research*. 2012; 22(2):99-107.
28. Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, Blanc J-J, Brignole M, Dahm JB, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope. The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *European Heart Journal* 2009; 30:2631-71.
29. Gillis DJ, Wouda M, Hjeltnes N. Non-pharmacological management of orthostatic hypotension after spinal cord injury: a critical review of the literature. *Spinal Cord*. 2008; 46(10):652-9.
30. Thompson P, Wright J, Rajkumar C. Non-pharmacological treatments for orthostatic hypotension. *Age and Ageing*. 2011; 40(3):292-3.
31. Newman M, Barker K. The effect of supported standing in adults with upper motor neurone disorders: a systematic review. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2012; 26(12):1059-77.
32. Frith J, Bashir AS, Elliott CS, Newton JL. Public and patient research priorities for orthostatic hypotension. *Age & Ageing*. 2014; 43(6):865-8.
33. Mills PB, Fung CK, Travlos A, Krassioukov A. Nonpharmacologic Management of Orthostatic Hypotension: A Systematic Review. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2015; 96(2):366-75.e6.
34. World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10)*. Geneva, Switzerland 2016.
35. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Aromataris E, Campbell J, Hopp L. Chapter 3: Systematic reviews of effectiveness. *The Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual* [Internet]. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z editors. Adelaide, Australia. : Joanna Briggs Institute; 2017.
36. Logan A, Marsden J, Freeman J, Kent B. Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions in treating orthostatic hypotension in the elderly and people with a neurological condition: a systematic review protocol. *JBIG Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*. 2017; 15(4):948-60.
37. Thomas Reuters. *EndNote X7*. 2016.
38. Munn Z, Aromataris E, Tufanaru C, Stern C, Porritt K, Farrow J, et al. The development of software to support multiple systematic review types: the Joanna Briggs Institute System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBIG SUMARI). *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 2019; 17(1):36-43.
39. Aromataris E, Munn Z. Chapter 1: JBIG Systematic Reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors). *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual*. The Joanna Briggs Institute. 2017.
40. Smulyan H, Sheehe PR, Safar ME. A preliminary evaluation of the mean arterial pressure as measured by cuff oscillometry. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 2008; 21(2):166-71.
41. Hedges L. Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. 1981 (Summer); 6(2):107-28.
42. Takeshima N, Sozu T, Tajika A, Ogawa Y, Hayasaka Y, Furukawa TA. Which is more generalizable, powerful and interpretable in meta-analyses, mean difference or standardized mean difference? *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2014; 14:30.
43. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Stephenson M, Aromataris E. Fixed or random effects meta-analysis? Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 2015; 13(3):196-207.
44. Macaskill P, Walter SD, Irwig L. A comparison of methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2001; 20(4):641-54.
45. Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2011; 64(4):401-6.
46. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Santesso N, Helfand M, Vist G, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 12. Preparing summary of findings tables-binary outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2013; 66(2):158-72.

47. Guyatt GH, Thorlund K, Oxman AD, Walter SD, Patrick D, Furukawa TA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 13. Preparing summary of findings tables and evidence profiles-continuous outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2013; 66(2):173-83.
48. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction- GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2011; 64(4):383-94.
49. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *British Medical Journal* 2009; 339.
50. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics*. 2010; 1(2):100-7.
51. Henry R, Rowe J, O'Mahony D. Haemodynamic analysis of efficacy of compression hosiery in elderly fallers with orthostatic hypotension. *Lancet*. England: 1999; p. 45-6.
52. Humm AM, Mason LM, Mathias CJ. Effects of water drinking on cardiovascular responses to supine exercise and on orthostatic hypotension after exercise in pure autonomic failure. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2008; 79(10):1160-4.
53. Puvi-Rajasingham S, Mathias CJ. Effect of meal size on postprandial blood pressure and on postural hypotension in primary autonomic failure. *Clinical Autonomic Research*. 1996; 6(2):111-4.
54. Young TM, Mathias CJ. The effects of water ingestion on orthostatic hypotension in two groups of chronic autonomic failure: multiple system atrophy and pure autonomic failure. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*. 2004; 75(12):1737-41.
55. Fan CW, Walsh C, Cunningham CJ. The effect of sleeping with the head of the bed elevated six inches on elderly patients with orthostatic hypotension: an open randomised controlled trial. *Age & Ageing*. 2011; 40(2):187-92.
56. Smit AA, Hardjowijono MA, Wieling W. Are portable folding chairs useful to combat orthostatic hypotension? *Annals of Neurology*. 1997; 42(6):975-8.
57. Smit AA, Wieling W, Fujimura J, Denq JC, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Akarriou M, et al. Use of lower abdominal compression to combat orthostatic hypotension in patients with autonomic dysfunction. *Clinical Autonomic Research*. 2004; 14(3):167-75.
58. Ten Harkel AD, van Lieshout JJ, Wieling W. Effects of leg muscle pumping and tensing on orthostatic arterial pressure: a study in normal subjects and patients with autonomic failure. *Clinical Science (London, England)*. 1994; 87(5):553-8.
59. Ten Harkel ADJ, Van Lieshout JJ, Wieling W. Treatment of orthostatic hypotension with sleeping in the head-up tilt position, alone and in combination with fludrocortisone. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1992; 232(2):139-45.
60. Van Lieshout JJ, Harkel AD, Wieling W. Physical manoeuvres for combating orthostatic dizziness in autonomic failure. *The Lancet*. 1992; 339(8798):897.
61. Helmi M, Lima A, Gommers D, van Bommel J, Bakker J. Inflatable external leg compression prevents orthostatic hypotension in a patient with a traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. *Future Cardiology*. 2013; 9(5):645-8.
62. Galizia G, Abete P, Testa G, Vecchio A, Corrà T, Nardone A. Counteracting Effect of Supine Leg Resistance Exercise on Systolic Orthostatic Hypotension in Older Adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2013; 61(7):1152-7.
63. Podoleanu C, Maggi R, Brignole M, Croci F, Incze A, Solano A, et al. Lower limb and abdominal compression bandages prevent progressive orthostatic hypotension in elderly persons a randomized single-blind controlled study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC)*. 2006; 48(7):1425-32.
64. Taveggia G, Ragusa I, Trani V, Cuva D, Angeretti C, Fontanella M, et al. Robotic tilt table reduces the occurrence of orthostatic hypotension over time in vegetative states. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*. 2015; 38(2):162-6.
65. Luther MS, Krewer C, Muller F, Koeing E. Comparison of orthostatic reactions of patients still unconscious within the first three months of brain injury on a tilt table with and without integrated stepping a prospective, randomized crossover pilot trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2008; 22(12):1034.
66. Shannon JR, Diedrich A, Biaggioni I, Tank J, Robertson RM, Robertson D, et al. Water drinking as a treatment for orthostatic syndromes. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2002; 112(5):355-60.
67. Fanciulli A, Goebel G, Metzler B, Sprenger F, Poewe W, Wenning GK, et al. Elastic Abdominal Binders Attenuate Orthostatic Hypotension in Parkinson's Disease. *Movement Disorders Clinical Practice*. 2016; 3(2):156-60.

68. Rimaud D, Calmels P, Pichot V, Bethoux F, Roche F. Effects of compression stockings on sympathetic activity and heart rate variability in individuals with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine*. 2012; 35(2):81-8.
69. Rocca A, Pignat JM, Berney L, Jöhr J, Van de Ville D, Daniel RT, et al. Sympathetic activity and early mobilization in patients in intensive and intermediate care with severe brain injuries: a preliminary prospective randomized study. *BMC Neurology*. 2016; 16:169.
70. Loew F, Gauthey L, Koerffy A, Herrmann FR, Estade M, Michel JP, et al. Postprandial hypotension and orthostatic blood pressure responses in elderly Parkinson's disease patients. *Journal of Hypertension*. 1995; 13(11):1291-7.
71. Faghri PD, Yount J. Electrically induced and voluntary activation of physiologic muscle pump: a comparison between spinal cord-injured and able-bodied individuals. *Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2002; 16(8):878-85.
72. Figueroa JJ, Singer W, Sandroni P, Sletten DM, Gehrking TL, Gehrking JA, et al. Effects of Patient-Controlled Abdominal Compression on Standing Systolic Blood Pressure in Adults With Orthostatic Hypotension. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2015; 96(3):505-10.
73. Bouvette CM, McPhee BR, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Low PA. Role of physical countermeasures in the management of orthostatic hypotension: Efficacy and biofeedback augmentation. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 1996; 71(9):847-53.
74. Brilla LR, Stephens AB, Knutzen KM, Caine D. Effect of strength training on orthostatic hypotension in older adults. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*. 1998; 18(4):295-300.
75. Denq JC, Opfer-Gehrking TL, Giuliani M, Felten J, Convertino VA, Low PA. Efficacy of compression of different capacitance beds in the amelioration of orthostatic hypotension. *Clinical Autonomic Research*. 1997; 7(6):321-6.
76. Elokda AS, Nielsen DH, Shields RK. Effect of functional neuromuscular stimulation on postural related orthostatic stress in individuals with acute spinal cord injury. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*. 2000; 37(5):535-42.
77. Faghri PD, Yount JP, Pesce WJ, Seetharama S, Votto JJ. Circulatory hypokinesia and functional electric stimulation during standing in persons with spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2001; 82(11):1587-95.
78. Lopes P, Fighi SF, Perkash I. Upper limb exercise effect on tilt tolerance during orthostatic training of patients with spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1984 May;65(5):251-253. 1984.
79. Mader SL. Effects of meals and time of day on postural blood pressure responses in young and elderly subjects. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1989; 149(12):2757-60.
80. Zion AS, De Meersman R, Diamond BE, Bloomfield DM. A home-based resistance-training program using elastic bands for elderly patients with orthostatic hypotension. *Clinical Autonomic Research*. 2003; 13(4):286-92.
81. Gorelik O, Fishlev G, Almoznino-Sarafian D, Alon I, Weissgarten J, Shteinshnaider M, et al. Lower Limb Compression Bandaging Is Effective in Preventing Signs and Symptoms of Seating-Induced Postural Hypotension. *Cardiology*. 2004; 102(4):177-83.
82. Gorelik O, Almoznino-Sarafian D, Litvinov V, Alon I, Shteinshnaider M, Dotan E, et al. Seating-induced postural hypotension is common in older patients with decompensated heart failure and may be prevented by lower limb compression bandaging. *Gerontology*. 2009; 55(2):138-44.
83. Gorelik O, Shteinshnaider M, Tzur I, Feldman L, Cohen N, Almoznino-Sarafian D. Factors associated with prevention of postural hypotension by leg compression bandaging. *Blood Pressure*. 2014; 23(4):248-54.
84. Phillips AA, Squair JW, Sayenko DG, Edgerton VR, Gerasimenko Y, Krassioukov AV. An Autonomic Neuroprosthesis: Noninvasive Electrical Spinal Cord Stimulation Restores Autonomic Cardiovascular Function in Individuals with Spinal Cord Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma*. 2018; 35(3):446-51.
85. Yoshida T, Masani K, Sayenko DG, Miyatani M, Fisher JA, Popovic MR. Cardiovascular response of individuals with SCI to functional electrical stimulation and passive stepping. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation*. 2013; 19(1):40-1.
86. Takahagi VCM, Costa DC, Crescencio JC, Gallo Junior L. Physical training as non-pharmacological treatment of neurocardiogenic syncope. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia*. 2014; 102(3):288-94.
87. Kanegusuku H, Silva-Batista C, Peçanha T, Nieuwboer A, Silva ND, Costa LA, et al. Effects of Progressive Resistance Training on Cardiovascular Autonomic Regulation in Patients With Parkinson Disease: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2017; 98(11):2134-41.

88. Vijayakumar K, Karthikbabu S, Aishwarya S. Effects of pneumatic abdominal binder and calf compression versus elastic compression bandaging on orthostatic hypotension in patients with acute stroke: A randomized clinical trial. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*. 2012; 26(6):693-4.
89. Hamzaid NA, Tean LT, Davis GM, Suhaimi A, Hasnan N. Electrical stimulation-evoked contractions blunt orthostatic hypotension in sub-acute spinal cord-injured individuals: two clinical case studies. *Spinal Cord*. 2015; 53(5):375-9.
90. Kuznetsov AN, Rybalko NV, Daminov VD, Luft AR. Early poststroke rehabilitation using a robotic tilt-table stepper and functional electrical stimulation. *Stroke Research and Treatment*. 2013:no pagination.
91. Lucas RAI, Ainslie PN, Morrison SA, Cotter JD. Compression leggings modestly affect cardiovascular but not cerebrovascular responses to heat and orthostatic stress in young and older adults. *Age*. 2012; 34(2):439-49.
92. Wadsworth BM, Haines TP, Cornwell PL, Rodwell LT, Paratz JD. Abdominal binder improves lung volumes and voice in people with tetraplegic spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2012; 93(12):2189-97.
93. Tutaj M, Marthol H, Berlin D, Brown CM, Axelrod FB, Hilz MJ. Effect of physical countermeasures on orthostatic hypotension in familial dysautonomia. *Journal of Neurology*. 2006; 253(1):65-72.
94. Kamiya A, Michikami D, Fu Q, Iwase S, Hayano J, Kawada T, et al. Pathophysiology of orthostatic hypotension after bed rest: paradoxical sympathetic withdrawal. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 2003; 285(3):H1158-67.
95. Wieling W, Krediet CT, van Dijk N, Linzer M, Tschakovsky ME. Initial orthostatic hypotension: review of a forgotten condition. *Clinical Science (London, England)*. 2007; 112(3):157-65.
96. Ravits JM. AAEM minimonograph #48: autonomic nervous system testing. *Muscle & Nerve*. 1997; 20(8):919-37.
97. Mussi C, Ungar A, Salvioli G, Menozzi C, Bartoletti A, Giada F, et al. Orthostatic hypotension as cause of syncope in patients older than 65 years admitted to emergency departments for transient loss of consciousness. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences & Medical Sciences*. 2009; 64A(7):801-6.
98. Ricci F, Fedorowski A, Radico F, Romanello M, Tatasciore A, Di Nicola M, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality related to orthostatic hypotension: a meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *European Heart Journal*. 2015; 36(25):1609-17.
99. Angelousi A, Girerd N, Benetos A, Frimat L, Gautier S, Weryha G, et al. Association between orthostatic hypotension and cardiovascular risk, cerebrovascular risk, cognitive decline and falls as well as overall mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Hypertension*. 2014; 32(8):1562-71; discussion 71.
100. Ricci F, De Caterina R, Fedorowski A. Orthostatic Hypotension: Epidemiology, Prognosis, and Treatment. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology (JACC)*. 2015; 66(7):848-60.
101. Consortium for Spinal Cord Medicine. Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism in Individuals with Spinal Cord Injury: Clinical Practice Guidelines for Health Care Providers. *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation*. 2016; 22(3):209-40.
102. Dennis M, Caso V, Japp Kappelle L, Pavlovic A, Sandercock P. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) Guidelines for prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism in immobile patients with acute ischaemic stroke. *European Stroke Organisation*; 2016.
103. Nussbaum EL, Houghton P, Anthony J, Rennie S, Shay BL, Hoens AM. Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation for Treatment of Muscle Impairment: Critical Review and Recommendations for Clinical Practice. *Physiotherapy Canada*. 2017; 69(5):1-76.
104. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. *Guidance for the Clinical Use of Electrophysical Agents*. 2006.
105. Altman KW, Richards A, Goldberg L, Frucht S, McCabe DJ. Dysphagia in stroke, neurodegenerative disease, and advanced dementia. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 2013; 46(6):1137-49.
106. Johnson RH, Park DM, Frankel HL. Orthostatic hypotension and the renin-angiotensin system in paraplegia. *Paraplegia*. 1971; 9(3):146-52.
107. Mathias CJ, Christensen NJ, Frankel HL, Peart WS. Renin release during head-up tilt occurs independently of sympathetic nervous activity in tetraplegic man. *Clinical Science (London, England)*. 1980; 59(4):251-6.
108. Martins-Pinge MC. Cardiovascular and autonomic modulation by the central nervous system after aerobic exercise training. *Brazilian Journal of Medicine and Biological Research*. 2011; 44(9):848-54.

109. Wenning GK, Geser F, Krismer F, Seppi K, Duerr S, Boesch S, et al. The natural history of multiple system atrophy: a prospective European cohort study. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2013; 12(3):264-74.
110. Jain S, Goldstein DS. Cardiovascular dysautonomia in Parkinson disease: from pathophysiology to pathogenesis. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 2012; 46(3):572-80.
111. Claydon VE, Steeves JD, Krassioukov A. Orthostatic hypotension following spinal cord injury: understanding clinical pathophysiology. *Spinal Cord*. 2006; 44(6):341-51.
112. Weaver LC, Fleming JC, Mathias CJ, Krassioukov AV. Disordered cardiovascular control after spinal cord injury. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*. 2012; 109:213-33.
113. Arnold AC, Shibao C. Current concepts in orthostatic hypotension management. *Current hypertension reports*. 2013; 15(4):304-12.