

2020-10-10

Hydroelastic interaction between water waves and an array of circular floating porous elastic plates

Zheng, S

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/16029>

10.1017/jfm.2020.508

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

Cambridge University Press (CUP)

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Title:

Hydroelastic interaction between water waves and an array of circular floating porous elastic plates

Journal:

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

Author names and affiliations:

Siming Zheng^{1,*}, Michael H. Meylan², Guixun Zhu¹, Deborah Greaves¹, Gregorio Iglesias^{3,1}

1 School of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom

2 School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan 2308, Australia

3 MaREI, Environmental Research Institute & School of Engineering, University College Cork, Cork P43 C573, Ireland

* Email address for correspondence: siming.zheng@plymouth.ac.uk

<https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2020.508>

Received 25 December 2019; revised 5 June 2020; accepted 18 June 2020

Hydroelastic interaction between water waves and an array of circular floating porous elastic plates

Siming Zheng^{1†}, Michael H. Meylan², Guixun Zhu¹,
Deborah Greaves¹ and Gregorio Iglesias^{3,1}

¹School of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus,
Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom

²School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan 2308,
Australia

³MaREI, Environmental Research Institute & School of Engineering, University College Cork,
Cork P43 C573, Ireland

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

A theoretical model based on linear potential flow theory and an eigenfunction matching method is developed to analyse the hydroelastic interaction between water waves and multiple circular floating porous elastic plates. The water domain is divided into the interior and exterior regions, representing the domain beneath each plate and the rest, which extends towards infinity horizontally, respectively. Spatial potentials in these two regions can be expressed as a series expansion of eigenfunctions. Three different types of edge conditions are considered. The unknown coefficients in the potential expressions can be determined by satisfying the continuity conditions for pressure and velocity at the interface of the two regions, together with the requirements for the motion/force at the edge of the plates. Apart from the straightforward method to evaluate the exact power dissipated by the array of porous elastic plates, an indirect method based on Green's theorem is determined. The indirect method expresses the wave-power dissipation in terms of Kochin functions. It is found that wave-power dissipation of an array of circular porous elastic plates can be enhanced by the constructive hydrodynamic interaction between the plates, and there is a profound potential of porous elastic plates for wave-power extraction. The results can be applied to a range of floating structures but have special application in modelling energy loss in flexible ice floes and wave-power extraction by flexible plate wave-energy converters. (doi:10.1017/jfm.2020.508)

Key words: wave–structure interactions, surface gravity waves, wave scattering

1. Introduction

In recent years, due to industrial and residential applications, the demand for the development and utilisation of artificial marine structures nearshore and offshore has increased significantly (Lamas-Pardo *et al.* 2015). Among the wide variety of nearshore and offshore artificial structures, some can be identified as floating porous elastic plates with small draught relative to their horizontal dimensions, e.g., floating flexible breakwaters (Michailides & Angelides 2012), artificial floating vegetation fields (Kamble &

† Email address for correspondence: siming.zheng@plymouth.ac.uk

Patil 2012) and extensive aquaculture farms (Wang & Tay 2011). The floating elastic plate model is the basis for understanding this process (Squire 2020). In particular, the scattering characteristics are best analysed by considering multiple ice floes to account for interactions (Bennetts *et al.* 2010; Montiel *et al.* 2015*a*, 2016; Montiel & Squire 2017). However, these elastic plate scattering models cannot account for the loss of energy, and there are several models which propose that a porous or equivalent layer can account for the observed energy loss (Zhao & Shen 2018; Sutherland *et al.* 2019). These models motivate the study of flexural deformations of floating porous elastic plates subject to water waves and to evaluate carefully the wave-energy dissipation caused by their porosity.

The water–wave scattering of floating elastic plates has been comprehensively investigated by numerous researchers, and there are several reviews that relate to this topic (e.g., Squire 2008, 2011, 2020). To evaluate the interaction of waves with a horizontal floating semi–infinite elastic plate, Sahoo *et al.* (2001) used the analytic representation based on the eigenfunction expansion method of Fox & Squire (1994), in the context of two–dimensional (2-D) linear potential flow theory. The influence of various edge conditions, i.e., a free edge, a simply supported edge and a built–in edge, on the hydrodynamic behaviour was investigated. The free–edge condition was shown to result in the maximum plate deflection. Squire & Dixon (2000) studied wave propagation across a narrow straight–line crack in an infinite thin plate floating on water of infinite depth with a Green’s function model. The reflection and transmission coefficients were observed to depend significantly on the wave frequency. Evans & Porter (2003) provided an explicit solution for the wave scattering of an infinite thin plate with a crack for finite water depth. They obtained a more straightforward approach by splitting the higher–order conditions to be satisfied at the edge of each plate into the sum of even and odd solutions. These models (Squire & Dixon 2000; Evans & Porter 2003) for the single-crack problem were later extended to an elastic plate with multiple cracks (Squire & Dixon 2001; Porter & Evans 2006), but where all the plates have identical properties. Then, Kohout *et al.* (2007) studied a 2-D fluid covered by a finite number of elastic plates, which were of arbitrary characteristics. Williams & Porter (2009) introduced an eigenfunction expansion method based on deriving an integral equation, which was then solved using the Galerkin technique, to determine the problem of wave scattering by two semi–infinite plates. These two semi–infinite plates can have different properties, including variable submergence following Archimedes’ principle. A similar problem was later investigated by Zhao & Shen (2013) in which the plates were considered to have viscoelastic material properties. More recently, Kalyanaraman *et al.* (2019) considered wave interactions with a land–attached elastic plate of constant thickness and non–zero draught. The solution was found to be strongly influenced by the draught. Koley *et al.* (2018) investigated wave scattering of a flexible plate composed of porous materials floating in water of finite and infinite depths employing the Green’s function procedure. The porosity was modelled using Darcy’s law, and the porous–effect parameter was taken as a complex number to account for both the resistance and inertia effects. The dissipation of the wave power due to structural porosity reduced the wave transmission on the lee side of the plate, which led to the creation of a tranquil zone.

In order to understand the hydroelastic problem of elastic plates floating in ocean waves when the plate length along the crest line of the incident waves is not much larger than the wavelength, three–dimensional effects must be considered. Meylan & Squire (1996) studied the behaviour of a solitary, circular, flexible ice floe brought into motion by the action of long-crested sea waves. Two independent methods were developed in their model, i.e., an expansion in the eigenfunctions of a thin circular plate, and the

89 more general method of eigenfunctions used to construct a Green's function for the
90 plate, enabling a check to be carried out on the model. Zilman & Miloh (2000) developed
91 a three-dimensional closed-form solution based on the angular eigenfunction expansion
92 method for water-wave interaction with a circular thin elastic plate floating in shallow
93 water. Their method was based on the roots of the dispersion equation. Since the shallow-
94 water approximation was considered, only three roots in the plate-covered region and
95 one root in open water were required in their model. The potential was matched at the
96 edge of the plate, and the plate boundary conditions were applied to solve the wave
97 scattering problem. Peter *et al.* (2004) extended the earlier study (Zilman & Miloh 2000)
98 to a theoretical solution for a circular elastic plate floating in finite-depth water, i.e.,
99 without the restriction of the shallow-water approximation. Therefore, more roots of the
100 dispersion equation for both the plate-covered region and the open-water region were
101 required. The potential throughout the water depth, rather than at a point, was matched
102 and the plate boundary conditions were applied. Since the plate geometry was circular
103 (Zilman & Miloh 2000; Peter *et al.* 2004), the angular eigenfunctions can be decoupled.
104 Hence each angular eigenfunction can be solved separately, and the matching problem
105 becomes 2-D, similar to the method of Sahoo *et al.* (2001) and others. Montiel *et al.*
106 (2013*a,b*) reported a series of wave basin experiments and analytical simulations that
107 investigated the flexural response of one or two circular floating thin elastic plates to
108 monochromatic waves. The plate-plate hydrodynamic interactions were observed in the
109 two-plate tests. Recently, Meylan *et al.* (2017) carried out an analytical study on wave
110 scattering by a circular floating porous elastic plate. A quantity proportional to the
111 energy dissipated by the plate due to porosity was calculated by integrating the far-field
112 amplitude functions, but the exact dissipated power was not given. The hydroelastic
113 characteristics of elastic plates in other situations, such as a horizontal elastic plate
114 submerged in the water (Mahmood-Ul-Hassan *et al.* 2009; Mohapatra *et al.* 2018*a*), a
115 submerged horizontal flexible porous plate (Behera & Sahoo 2015; Renzi 2016; Mohapatra
116 *et al.* 2018*b*), submerged multilayer horizontal porous plate breakwaters (Fang *et al.*
117 2017), multiple floating elastic plates with a body floating or submerged in the water (Li
118 *et al.* 2018*a,b*) have also been investigated.

119 The methods used to calculate the scattering from a single body can be extended to
120 multiple bodies, but there is a rapid growth in the computational cost. For this reason,
121 methods based on a scattering matrix (or diffraction transfer matrix) have been developed
122 to solve for multiple floating bodies, using the theory of Kagemoto & Yue (1986). This
123 has been particularly true for the case of floating elastic plates used to model ice floes.
124 The first application of this theory was by Peter & Meylan (2004) and this remains
125 the only application of the theory to ice floes where they were not assumed circular.
126 The circular floe case has been extended in a number of steps, first by considering arrays
127 (Peter & Meylan 2009; Bennetts *et al.* 2010) and then to random layers using a quasi-2-D
128 representation (Montiel *et al.* 2015*a*, 2016; Montiel & Squire 2017).

129 Although water-wave interaction with floating elastic plates has been widely stud-
130 ied, most of these plates were non-porous. Until now only a few research works on
131 porous elastic plates have been reported, among which the investigation carried out
132 by Koley *et al.* (2018); Meylan *et al.* (2017); Zheng *et al.* (2020) was focused on
133 a single porous elastic plate. For an array of such porous elastic plates, especially
134 with the individual plates deployed close to one another, the hydrodynamic interaction
135 between them can significantly influence their responses. To the best of the authors'
136 knowledge, the hydrodynamic interaction between multiple floating porous elastic plates
137 has not been investigated yet. In this paper, a theoretical model is developed based on
138 linear potential flow theory and an eigenfunction matching method to investigate wave




FIGURE 1. Schematic of an array of circular floating porous elastic plates: (a) side view; (b) plan view.

139 scattering by multiple circular floating porous elastic plates with three different types of
 140 edge conditions, i.e., free edge, simply supported edge and clamped edge. Two methods
 141 for evaluating the exact power dissipated by the array of porous plates are proposed.

142 The rest of this paper is organised as follows. §2 outlines the mathematical model
 143 for wave scattering problem. §3 presents the theoretical solutions of spatial velocity
 144 potentials in the water domain. The methods for evaluating the scattered far-field
 145 amplitude function and power dissipation are supplied in §4. Validation of the present
 146 theoretical model is presented in §5. The validated model is then applied to carry out a
 147 multiparameter study, the results of which can be found in §6. Finally, conclusions are
 148 outlined in §7.

149 2. Mathematical model

150 The scattering problem of an array of circular floating porous elastic plates is consid-
 151 ered (Fig. 1). The water domain is divided into two parts, (a) interior region, i.e., the re-
 152 gion beneath each plate and (b) the exterior region, i.e., the remainder extending towards
 153 infinite distance horizontally. A Cartesian coordinate system $Oxyz$ is applied to describe
 154 the wave scattering problem with $z = 0$ at the mean water surface and Oz pointing
 155 upwards. Here, N local cylindrical coordinate systems $O_n r_n \theta_n z$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$
 156 are also introduced corresponding to the n -th plate (see Fig. 1b). Additionally, one more
 157 cylindrical coordinate system $Or_0\theta_0z$ (not plotted in Fig. 1) is defined with its origin
 158 coinciding with the Cartesian coordinate system. The mean wetted surface of the n -th
 159 plate is denoted by Ω_n .

160 An array of circular porous elastic plates are set in motion by a plane incident wave.
 161 The water is assumed to be homogeneous, inviscid and incompressible, and its motion
 162 irrotational and time harmonic with a prescribed angular frequency ω . The velocity
 163 potential in the fluid domain can be expressed as $\text{Re}[\phi(x, y, z)e^{-i\omega t}]$, where ϕ is the
 164 complex spatial velocity potential, i denotes the imaginary unit and t is the time.

165 The spatial velocity potential ϕ is a solution of the governing equations

$$(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2)\phi = 0 \quad \text{in the fluid domain} \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\partial_z \phi = 0, \quad \text{on } z = -h \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$-\omega^2\phi + g\partial_z\phi = 0, \quad \text{on } z = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

166 at the water surface of the exterior region.

The floating porous elastic plate is modelled as a thin plate of constant thickness and shallow draft, which is assumed to be in contact with the water at all times following Meylan (2002). Kirchhoff–Love thin–plate theory, modified to include porosity, is used to model the plate motions. The velocity potential is coupled to the plate displacement function via kinematic and dynamic conditions, respectively,

$$\partial_z\phi = -i\omega\eta^{(n)} + ic\phi, \quad g[\chi\Delta^2 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma]\eta^{(n)} - i\omega\phi = 0, \quad \text{for } \Omega_n \quad (2.4)$$

where $\eta^{(n)}$ denotes the complex vertical displacement of the lower surface of the n -th plate; g represents the acceleration of gravity; $c = \omega K\rho/(\mu h)$ denotes the porosity parameter, in which K represents the permeability of the plate, ρ and μ are the density and dynamic viscosity of water, respectively; γ and χ denote the mass per unit area and the flexural rigidity of the plate, respectively, scaled with respect to the water density; Δ is the Laplacian operator in the horizontal plane. With the employment of the Laplace equation as given in Eq. (2.1), the kinematic and dynamic conditions as given in Eq. (2.4) can be combined into

$$(\omega^2/g)\phi = [\chi\partial_z^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma](\partial_z - ic)\phi. \quad (2.5)$$

167 Additionally, in the far–field horizontally, the scattered wave potential, $\phi_S = \phi - \phi_I$,
168 where ϕ_I is the velocity potential of the undisturbed incident waves whose expression
169 will be given in §3, is subject to the Sommerfeld radiation condition.

170 The boundary conditions at the edge of each plate should be satisfied as well, which
171 are dependent on the type of plate edge. In this paper, three different edge types, i.e., a
172 clamped edge, a simply supported edge and a free edge, are considered.

For a clamped edge, both displacement and slope vanish at the edge, providing

$$\eta^{(n)} = 0 \text{ and } \partial_n\eta^{(n)} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

173 where $\eta^{(n)}$ can be expressed in terms of ϕ by using the first component of Eq. (2.4) and
174 ∂_n represents the derivative operator corresponding to the normal vector on the edge
175 $\vec{n} = (\cos\alpha_n, \sin\alpha_n)$, in which α_n is a function of the parameter s defining locations on
176 the boundary of the n -th plate (Meylan *et al.* 2017).

For a simply supported edge, both displacement and moment vanish at the edge, providing

$$\eta^{(n)} = 0 \text{ and } F_M^{(n)} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$F_M^{(n)} = \Delta\eta^{(n)} - (1-v)\left(\partial_s^2\eta^{(n)} + \frac{d\alpha_n}{ds}\partial_n\eta^{(n)}\right) = \frac{\partial^2\eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n^2} + \frac{v}{R_n^2}\frac{\partial^2\eta^{(n)}}{\partial\theta_n^2} + \frac{v}{R_n}\frac{\partial\eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n}, \quad (2.8)$$

177 in which v denotes the Poisson ratio, ∂_s represents the derivative operator corresponding
178 to the tangential vector on the plate edge $\vec{s} = (-\sin\alpha_n, \cos\alpha_n)$.

For a free edge, both moment and shearing stress vanish at the edge, providing

$$F_M^{(n)} = 0 \text{ and } F_V^{(n)} = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_V^{(n)} &= \partial_n \Delta \eta^{(n)} + (1 - \nu) \partial_s \partial_n \partial_s \eta^{(n)} \\ &= \frac{\partial^3 \eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n^3} + \frac{(2 - \nu)}{R_n^2} \frac{\partial^3 \eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n \partial \theta_n^2} + \frac{1}{R_n} \frac{\partial^2 \eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n^2} - \frac{(3 - \nu)}{R_n^3} \frac{\partial^2 \eta^{(n)}}{\partial \theta_n^2} - \frac{1}{R_n^2} \frac{\partial \eta^{(n)}}{\partial r_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

3. Theoretical solution to velocity potentials

The velocity potentials in the exterior region and interior region beneath the n -th plate are denoted by ϕ_{ext} and $\phi_{\text{int}}^{(n)}$, respectively. Expressions for them are given as follows.

3.1. Exterior region

Here

$$\phi_{\text{ext}} = \phi_{\text{I}} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(n)} H_m(k_l r_n) Z_l(z) e^{im\theta_n}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the accumulative term denotes the scattered wave potential, ϕ_{S} ; $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$ are the unknown coefficients to be determined; $Z_l(z) = \frac{\cosh[k_l(z+h)]}{\cosh(k_l h)}$; $k_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_l \in i\mathbb{R}^+$ for $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ support the propagating waves and evanescent waves, respectively, and they are the positive real root and the infinite positive imaginary roots of the dispersion relation for the exterior region

$$\omega^2 = gk_l \tanh(k_l h); \quad (3.2)$$

H_m is the Hankel function of the first kind of the m -th order; ϕ_{I} denotes the undisturbed incident wave velocity potential, which can be expressed as

$$\phi_{\text{I}}(x, y, z) = -\frac{igA}{\omega} Z_0(z) e^{ik(x \cos \beta + y \sin \beta)}, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\phi_{\text{I}}(r_n, \theta_n, z) = -\frac{igA}{\omega} Z_0(z) e^{ik(x_n \cos \beta + y_n \sin \beta)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} i^m e^{-im\beta} J_m(kr_n) e^{im\theta_n}, \quad (3.3b)$$

where Eqs. (3.3a) and (3.3b) are written in the general Cartesian coordinate system $Oxyz$ and the local cylindrical coordinate systems $O_n r_n \theta_n z$, respectively, in which J_m denotes the Bessel function of the m -th order. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3.1), i.e., the accumulation term, represents the scattered wave potential, $\phi_{\text{S}} = \phi - \phi_{\text{I}}$, as mentioned in §-2, which is subject to the Sommerfeld radiation condition.

After using Graf's addition theorem for Bessel functions (Abramowitz & Stegun 1972; Zheng *et al.* 2018, 2019), Eq. (3.1) can be rewritten in the cylindrical coordinates $O_n r_n \theta_n z$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{ext}}(r_n, \theta_n, z) &= \phi_{\text{I}} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(n)} H_m(k_l r_n) Z_l(z) e^{im\theta_n} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j \neq n}}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(j)} Z_l(z) \sum_{m'=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{m'} H_{m-m'}(k_l R_{n,j}) J_{m'}(k_l r_n) e^{i(m\alpha_{j,n} - m'\alpha_{n,j})} e^{im'\theta_n} \\ &\text{for } r_n < \min_{\substack{j=1, N; \\ j \neq n}} R_{n,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

188

3.2. Interior region

Here

$$\phi_{\text{int}}^{(n)}(r_n, \theta_n, z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{m,l}^{(n)} J_m(\kappa_l r_n) Y_l(z) e^{im\theta_n}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$ are the unknown coefficients to be determined; $Y_l = \frac{\cosh[\kappa_l(z+h)]}{\cosh(\kappa_l h)}$; κ_l for $l = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are the roots of the dispersion relation for the interior region

$$[\chi \kappa_l^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma][\kappa_l \tanh(\kappa_l h) - ic] = \omega^2/g. \quad (3.6)$$

189

For $c = 0$, $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\kappa_l \in i\mathbb{R}^+$ for $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ can be obtained, which support the propagating waves and evanescent waves, respectively. The remaining two roots, κ_{-2} and κ_{-1} , support damped propagating waves, and satisfy $\kappa_{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^+ + i\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\kappa_{-2} = -\kappa_{-1}^*$, in which $*$ denotes the complex conjugate. For $c \neq 0$, the structure of κ_l is perturbed. Generally, neither pure real nor pure imaginary roots exist, and the symmetry between κ_{-2} and κ_{-1} is not valid either (Meylan *et al.* 2017). The method to compute them efficiently is given in Meylan *et al.* (2017) and Zheng *et al.* (2020).

190

Note that the spatial velocity potentials as given in Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5) already satisfy all the governing equation and boundary conditions as listed in §-2, except at the plate edges. In addition, continuity of pressure and the radial velocity at the interfaces between the exterior region and interior regions should also be satisfied. These continuity conditions can be expressed as follows.

191

(i) Continuity of pressure at the boundary $r_n = R_n$:

$$\phi_{\text{ext}} \Big|_{r_n=R_n} = \phi_{\text{int}}^{(n)} \Big|_{r_n=R_n}, \quad -h < z < 0. \quad (3.7)$$

192

(ii) Continuity of radial velocity at the boundary $r_n = R_n$:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\text{ext}}}{\partial r_n} \Big|_{r_n=R_n} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{int}}^{(n)}}{\partial r_n} \Big|_{r_n=R_n}, \quad -h < z < 0. \quad (3.8)$$

193

The continuity conditions, i.e., Eqs. (3.7)–(3.8), together with the edge type dependent edge conditions, i.e., Eq. (2.6), (2.7) or (2.9), can be used to derive a complex linear matrix equation by using the orthogonality characteristics of $Z_l(z)$ and $e^{im\theta_n}$, and the eigenfunction–matching method. The unknown coefficients $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$ and $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$ can then be calculated by solving the complex linear matrix equation. Detailed derivation and calculations for the unknown coefficients are given in Appendix A.

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

4. Far-field coefficients, Kochin functions and wave-power dissipation

201

202

We present here two derivations of the wave-power dissipation due to the porosity.

203

204

4.1. Wave-power dissipation–direct method

The energy dissipated by the N plates due to the porosity, P_{diss} , can be calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{diss}} &= \frac{c}{2\rho\omega} \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} |p|^2 ds = \frac{\rho\omega c}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} |\phi|^2 ds \\ &= \frac{\rho\omega c}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left| \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{m,l}^{(n)} J_m(\kappa_l r_n) e^{im\theta_n} \right|^2 ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

210

where p denotes the hydrodynamic pressure under the plates, $p = i\omega\rho\phi$.

The dimensionless quantity of P_{diss} can be defined by

$$\eta_{\text{diss}} = kP_{\text{diss}}/P_{\text{in}}, \quad (4.2)$$

in which P_{in} is the incoming wave power per unit width of the wave front given by

$$P_{\text{in}} = \frac{\rho g A^2}{2} \frac{\omega}{2k} \left(1 + \frac{2kh}{\sinh(2kh)} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

4.2. Wave-power dissipation–indirect method

211

We present here another, more general, derivation of the power dissipation identity.

212

213

214

In this expression, we use the very general equations of motion which govern a floating elastic plate of arbitrary geometry.

Firstly, let us consider the far-field coefficients and Kochin functions. In the fluid domain, far away from an array of porous elastic plates, only the propagating modes exist in the scattered waves. With the asymptotic forms of H_m for $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H_m(kr_0) = \sqrt{2/\pi} e^{-i(m\pi/2+\pi/4)} (kr_0)^{-1/2} e^{ikr_0} \quad \text{for } r_0 \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

where k is employed to represent k_0 for simplification, the scattered wave potential, i.e., the accumulative term in Eq. (3.1), can be rewritten as

$$\phi_S = \sqrt{2/\pi} Z_0(z) \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,0}^{(n)} e^{-i(m\pi/2+\pi/4)} (kr_n)^{-1/2} e^{ikr_n} e^{im\theta_n}, \quad r_0 \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

which can be further expressed in the global polar coordinate system $O_0 r_0 \theta_0 z$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_S &= \sqrt{2/\pi} (kr_0)^{-1/2} e^{ikr_0} Z_0(z) \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,0}^{(n)} e^{-ikR_{0,n} \cos(\alpha_{0,n}-\theta_0)} e^{-i(m\pi/2+\pi/4)} e^{im\theta_0} \\ &= A_R(\theta_0) (kr_0)^{-1/2} e^{ikr_0} Z_0(z), \quad r_0 \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where A_R is the so-called far-field coefficient that is independent of r_0 and z , and can be expressed as

$$A_R(\theta_0) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,0}^{(n)} e^{-ikR_{0,n} \cos(\alpha_{0,n}-\theta_0)} e^{-i(m\pi/2+\pi/4)} e^{im\theta_0}. \quad (4.7)$$

The Kochin function, H_R , which is a scale version of the far-field coefficient, can be obtained from A_R as follows (Falnes 2002):

$$\begin{aligned} H_R(\theta_0) &= \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-i\pi/4} A_R(\theta_0) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,0}^{(n)} e^{-ikR_{0,n} \cos(\alpha_{0,n}-\theta_0)} (-i)^{m+1} e^{im\theta_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

In the water domain enclosed by $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_N \cup \Omega_R$, free water surface and the sea bed, using Green's theorem (Falnes 2002; Flavià & Meylan 2019), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\oint \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial n} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right) ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial z} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) ds + \iint_{\Omega_R} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) ds = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

215 where Ω_R represents an envisaged vertical cylindrical control surface with its radius
 216 denoted by $r_0 = R_0$, which is large enough to enclose all the plates.

With utilisation of the first component of Eq. (2.4), Eq. (4.9) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left[i\omega \left(\phi \eta^{(n)*} + \phi^* \eta^{(n)} \right) - 2ic|\phi|^2 \right] ds + \iint_{\Omega_R} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) ds = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

217 We are setting out to show that the accumulation of the terms within the first
 218 parentheses in Eq. (4.10) vanishes.

The response of the n -th plate can be expressed by a series of natural modes of vibration of the plate *in vacuo* as (Meylan *et al.* 2017)

$$\eta^{(n)} \approx \sum_{q=1}^Q u_q^{(n)} \eta_q^{(n)}, \quad (4.11)$$

where the modes $\eta_q^{(n)}$ satisfy the eigenvalue problem for the biharmonic operator

$$\Delta^2 \eta_q^{(n)} = \lambda_q \eta_q^{(n)}, \quad (4.12)$$

219 together with the edge conditions as given in §-2; $\eta_q^{(n)}$ are orthogonal for different
 220 eigenvalues λ_q , and Q denotes the truncated numbers of the infinite modes.

The dynamic motion of the plates can be coupled with the hydrodynamics by

$$\left(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{C} - \frac{\omega^2}{g} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{u} = i\omega\rho \iint_{\Omega_{\text{sum}}} \phi \mathbf{n} ds, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\Omega_{\text{sum}} = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_N$, \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{M} are $(NQ) \times (NQ)$ square matrices that represent stiffness, hydrostatic–restoring and mass matrices, respectively,

$$\mathbf{K} = \left\langle \chi \lambda_q \right\rangle_{(n-1)Q+q} ; \quad \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}; \quad \mathbf{M} = \gamma \mathbf{I}, \quad (4.14)$$

in which $\langle c_i \rangle_j$ denotes a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries c_i at the position (j, j) , \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix and

$$\mathbf{u} = \left[u_q^{(n)} \right]_{(n-1)Q+q}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \left[\eta_q^{(n)} \right]_{(n-1)Q+q}, \quad (4.15)$$

221 where $[c_i]_j$ represents a vector with entries c_i at the j -th row.

With the employment of Eqs. (4.11) and (4.13), it can be proved that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left(\phi \eta^{(n)*} + \phi^* \eta^{(n)} \right) ds = \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left(\phi \sum_{q=1}^Q u_q^{(n)*} \eta_q^{(n)} + \phi^* \sum_{q=1}^Q u_q^{(n)} \eta_q^{(n)} \right) ds \\ & = \iint_{\Omega_{\text{sum}}} \left\{ -\phi(\mathbf{x}) \left[\left(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{C} - \frac{\omega^2}{g} \mathbf{M} \right)^{-1} i\omega\rho \iint_{\Omega_{\text{sum}}} \phi^*(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{n}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) d\bar{s} \right]^T \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \left[\left(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{C} - \frac{\omega^2}{g} \mathbf{M} \right)^{-1} i\omega\rho \iint_{\Omega_{\text{sum}}} \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{n}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) d\bar{s} \right]^T \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right\} ds \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where we used the symmetry of the matrix $\left(\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{C} - \frac{\omega^2}{g}\mathbf{M}\right)^{-1}$ and reversed the order of integration.

Therefore, Eq. (4.10) reads

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} \left(-2ic|\phi|^2\right) ds + \iint_{\Omega_R} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right) ds = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

hence the power dissipation can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{diss}} = \frac{\rho\omega c}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \iint_{\Omega_n} |\phi|^2 ds = \frac{\rho\omega}{4i} \iint_{\Omega_R} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right) ds, \quad (4.18)$$

which, from the view of energy identities, presents an approach to evaluate the power dissipation based on the spatial potentials in the exterior region.

When $r_0 = R_0 \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (4.18) holds as well with the control surface Ω_R replaced by Ω_∞ , i.e., $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$. An expression for the integral in Eq. (4.18) in terms of Kochin functions (Falnes 2002) is

$$\iint_{\Omega_\infty} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r_0} - \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_0}\right) ds = \frac{2iAgD(kh)}{\omega k} \text{Re}[H_R(\beta)] - \frac{iD(kh)}{2\pi k} \int_0^{2\pi} |H_R(\theta_0)|^2 d\theta_0, \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$D(kh) = \left[1 + \frac{2kh}{\sinh(2kh)}\right] \tanh(kh). \quad (4.20)$$

Therefore, the power dissipated by the array of porous elastic plates can be evaluated by using an indirect method based on Kochin functions

$$P_{\text{diss}} = \frac{\rho\omega D(kh)}{k} \left(\frac{Ag}{2\omega} \text{Re}[H_R(\beta)] - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |H_R(\theta_0)|^2 d\theta_0\right). \quad (4.21)$$

Compared with the straightforward method, i.e., Eq. (4.1), which includes the surface integrals over all the plates with both propagating and evanescent waves considered, the indirect method as given in Eq. (4.21) consists of only one angular integral regardless of the number of plates, and uses the propagating waves only to achieve an accurate evaluation of the wave-power dissipation. Moreover, Eq. (4.21) is derived without any employment of the ‘‘circular–shape’’ restriction, therefore the indirect method applies to the floating porous elastic plates with non–circular shapes as well. Finally, the existence of two different identities gives a method to check the accuracy of the numerical solution, in much the same way that energy conservation can be used in the case of a floating body which does not dissipate energy.

5. Validation

If the spacing between the porous elastic plates is large, the hydrodynamic interaction between them can be neglected. Therefore the response of every plate will be close to that of the plate in isolation. Figure 2 presents the comparison of the displacements of a circular porous elastic plate in isolation (Meylan *et al.* 2017) and a pair of the same plates arranged far away from one another, where c , χ and γ are non–dimensionalised with respect to the water depth as $\bar{c} = ch$, $\bar{\chi} = \chi/h^4$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma/h$, respectively. Additionally, the energy dissipated due to porosity as a function of \bar{c}/N is provided in Fig. 3, where E is a quantity proportional to the wave-energy dissipated due to the porosity, which was




FIGURE 2. (a)–(c) Displacements of a circular plate in isolation (Meylan *et al.* 2017), where a typo of the incident wave direction existed (i.e., β was typed as 0 rather than π); (d)–(f) and (g)–(i) displacements of plate-1 and plate-2 in a pair of plates (present results) at $t = 0$ for different porosity parameter $\bar{c} = 0, 0.5$ and 1.0 . ($R_1 = R_2 = R$, $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $y_1/R = -y_2/R = 50$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$ and $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$, free edge.)

245 calculated by integrating the far-field amplitude functions based on a coupled boundary-
 246 element and finite element method (Meylan *et al.* 2017). The present results agree well
 247 with those of Meylan *et al.* (2017) and Zheng *et al.* (2020).

248 We have also compared our model with the experimental data in the case of non-
 249 porous plates. Montiel *et al.* (2013a) carried out a series of wave basin experiments on
 250 a pair of circular floating elastic plates and observed strong hydrodynamic interaction
 251 between them. One of the cases tested by Montiel *et al.* (2013a), is plotted in Fig. 4,
 252 where four motion tracking markers were placed on each plate. Figure 5 illustrates the
 253 theoretical and experimental deflection of the four markers for the two plates. The results
 254 show that the present conceptual model can be used to predict the response of the two
 255 elastic plates accurately and that it provides insights into the interaction between the
 256 two plates.

257 In addition to the comparison of the present theoretical results with the published data,
 258 wave-power dissipation by two porous elastic plates is evaluated by using both direct and
 259 indirect methods (Fig. 6). The excellent agreement of the results (Fig. 6), together with
 260 those plotted in Figs. 2, 3 and 5 gives clear validation of the present theoretical model for




FIGURE 3. Wave-power dissipation by floating circular plates with a free edge versus the porosity parameter for $R/h = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$ and $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$ (lines: present results with $N = 2$, $R_1 = R_2 = R$, $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $y_1/R = -y_2/R = 50$; symbols: Zheng *et al.* (2020) and Meylan *et al.* (2017) with $N = 1$).




FIGURE 4. Deployment of two circular elastic plates. Four markers are labelled in each plate for reference. ($\bar{c} = 0$, $\bar{\chi} = 3.55 \times 10^{-4}$, $\bar{\gamma} = 2.79 \times 10^{-3}$, free edge.)




FIGURE 5. Deflection of (a) marker 1; (b) marker 2; (c) marker 3 and (d) marker 4 for the two-plate arrangement as given in Fig. 4, as a function of frequency. Each figure contains the present theoretical results and the experimental data (Montiel *et al.* 2013a) associated with both plates. ($\bar{c} = 0$, $\bar{\chi} = 3.55 \times 10^{-4}$, $\bar{\gamma} = 2.79 \times 10^{-3}$, free edge.)




FIGURE 6. Wave-power dissipation of two plates with different edge conditions evaluated by using direct method (lines) and indirect method (symbols): (a) variation of η_{diss} with \bar{c} for $\beta = \pi/6$; (b) variation of η_{diss} with β for $\bar{c} = 1.0$. ($N = 2$, $-x_1/h = x_2/h = 3.0$, $y_1 = y_2 = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

6. Results and discussion

6.1. Effect of porosity and incident wave direction

The response of an array of circular floating porous elastic plates and their performance in terms of wave-power dissipation are strongly affected by both the porosity, \bar{c} , and the incident wave direction, β . In this subsection, a pair of plates deployed along the x -axis with $R/h = 2.0$, $R_{1,2}/h = 6.0$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$ and $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$ is taken as an example to examine the influence of \bar{c} and β . Figure 7 presents how η_{diss} varies with the incident wave direction β and also with the porosity parameter \bar{c} for the cases with free edges, simply supported edges and clamped edges.

When $\bar{c} \rightarrow 0$, the plates become non-porous and no power will be dissipated. When $\bar{c} \rightarrow \infty$, on the other hand, there is no resistance to flow by the plate, and in this limit, there is also no dissipation of power. For this reason, there exists an optimal porosity parameter \bar{c} to maximise the dissipated wave power. As shown in Fig. 7, for any given wave incident direction, the more strictly the plate edge is constrained, the larger the optimal \bar{c} for maximising wave-power dissipation. Although η_{diss} varies dramatically with the change of \bar{c} for $\bar{c} < 0.5$ for all the three cases, it becomes less sensitive to \bar{c} for $1.0 < \bar{c} < 4.0$ compared with $\bar{c} < 1.0$, especially for the simply supported and clamped edge cases.

For the pair of plates with a fixed porosity, the wave-power dissipated is minimum when incident waves propagate along the two plates, i.e., $\beta = 0$. This minimal case results from the significant reduction of the wave power dissipated by the leeward plate due to the ‘‘shadowing effect’’ of the wave-ward plate. For $R_{1,2}/h = 6.0$, as β increases from 0 towards $\pi/2$, η_{diss} first increases and then decreases after reaching its peak value, regardless of the types of edge conditions. The wave incident direction corresponding to the maximum wave-power dissipation, as illustrated in Fig. 7 remains around $\beta/\pi = 0.3$ for all three cases. The largest wave-power dissipation in terms of η_{diss} for these cases are 15.79, 12.24 and 11.63, occurring at $(\bar{c}, \beta/\pi) = (1.05, 0.30)$, $(1.35, 0.31)$ and $(2.10, 0.32)$, respectively.

6.2. Effect of the distance between the plate centres

The distance between the plate centres is a pivotal parameter affecting the response and wave-power dissipation of an array of porous elastic plates. The two plates, as studied in




FIGURE 7. Contour plot for the variation of η_{diss} as a function of porosity parameter \bar{c} and incident wave direction β : (a) free edge; (b) simply supported edge; (c) clamped edge. ($N = 2$, $-x_1/h = x_2/h = 3.0$, $y_1 = y_2 = 0$, $R/h = 2$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

294 §–6.1, with their centre distance $R_{1,2}/h$ ranging from 5.0 to 8.0, together with different
 295 porosity parameters in wave condition $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, are examined in this
 296 section, the results of which are plotted in Fig. 8.

297 In the computed range of \bar{c} and $R_{1,2}/h$ there are two peaks of η_{diss} , one occurring at
 298 $R_{1,2}/h = 5.0$ and the other at $R_{1,2}/h = 8.0$, in which the former one is higher than the aft
 299 one regardless of the types of plate edge condition. More specifically, the largest values of
 300 η_{diss} are 16.49, 12.79, 12.38, for the free, simply supported and clamped cases, occurring
 301 at $(\bar{c}, R_{1,2}/h) = (1.25, 5.0)$, $(2.25, 5.0)$ and $(3.00, 5.0)$, respectively, which are caused by
 302 the hydrodynamic interaction between the plates – the so-called array effect. Different
 303 regimes of wave interaction with the pair of plates are obtained as the spacing changes.
 304 The second peak is an effect of constructive interference, which can be analysed from
 305 the infinite array problem (see e.g., Peter *et al.* (2006)). As $R_{1,2}/h$ continues to increase
 306 until it is large enough, hydrodynamic interaction between the plates will be negligible,
 307 and each of the plates will ultimately work as a plate working in isolation (see §–5).
 308 Case studies will be carried out with the centre distance between two adjacent plates as
 309 $R_{j,j+1}/h = 5.0$ due to the corresponding larger wave-power dissipation compared with
 310 the other values of $R_{j,j+1}/h$.

311

6.3. Effect of the number of plates

312 Figure 9 presents the variation of the wave-power dissipation of a line array of porous
 313 elastic plates in terms of η_{diss}/N with the porosity parameter \bar{c} for $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$
 314 and $R_{j,j+1}/h = 5.0$.

315 For $\bar{c} < 0.25$, the curves of η_{diss}/N with different values of N nearly overlap with
 316 each other, denoting the negligible impact of the number of plates in the array on wave-
 317 power dissipation. This is a case of the long array behaviour (see e.g., Montiel *et al.*
 318 (2015b)) being well approximated by a small array. For the rest of the computed range
 319 of \bar{c} , i.e., $\bar{c} > 0.25$, the $\eta_{\text{diss}}/N - \bar{c}$ curve rises with an increase of N . The most significant
 320 improvement of η_{diss}/N occurs when N increases from 1 to 2. For larger values of N ,
 321 the increase in η_{diss}/N is weaker. This holds for all the edge conditions, i.e., free edges,
 322 simply supported edges and clamped edges, as plotted in Fig. 9. For instance, in the
 323 free-edge case with $\bar{c} = 1.0$, the η_{diss}/N corresponding to $N = 1 \sim 5$ are 7.40, 8.19, 8.45,




FIGURE 8. Contour plot for the variation of η_{diss} as a function of porosity parameter \bar{c} and distance between the centres of the plates $R_{1,2}$: (a) free edge; (b) simply supported edge; (c) clamped edge. ($N = 2$, $-x_1/h = x_2/h = 0.5R_{1,2}/h$, $y_1 = y_2 = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)




FIGURE 9. Variation of η_{diss}/N with porosity parameter \bar{c} for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) free edge; (b) simply supported edge; (c) clamped edge. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

324 8.56 and 8.63, with the increasing percentage 10.7%, 3.1%, 1.3% and 0.9%, respectively.
 325 It can also be observed that the more plates the array contains, the larger the value of
 326 \bar{c} required to achieve maximum wave-power dissipation. The peak value of η_{diss}/N and
 327 the corresponding optimal \bar{c} for the array consisting of different numbers of plates with
 328 different edge conditions are listed in Table 1.

329 Figure 10 presents the frequency response of the wave-power dissipation of an array
 330 of porous elastic plates in terms of η_{diss}/N for $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$. For the free-edge
 331 condition (Fig. 10a), the η_{diss}/N increases monotonically as kR increases from 0 towards
 332 8.0 regardless of the plate numbers included in the array. While for the $N = 5$ cases with
 333 the simply supported and the clamped-edge conditions (Figs. 10b and 10c), a flat valley
 334 can be observed around $kR = 6.0$. As shown in Fig. 10, the array which contains more
 335 plates is found to lead to a larger value of η_{diss}/N for the whole computed range of wave

TABLE 1. The peak value of wave-power dissipation and the corresponding optimal porosity parameter, $(\eta_{\text{diss}}/N, \bar{c})$, for the array consisting of different number of plates with different edge conditions. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

Edge condition	$N = 1$	$N = 2$	$N = 3$	$N = 4$	$N = 5$
free	(7.40, 1.0)	(8.25, 1.2)	(8.55, 1.3)	(8.69, 1.4)	(8.79, 1.4)
simply supported	(5.21, 1.7)	(6.40, 2.2)	(6.82, 2.5)	(7.02, 2.6)	(7.15, 2.6)
clamped	(4.95, 2.5)	(6.19, 3.0)	(6.63, 3.2)	(6.84, 3.2)	(6.97, 3.3)




FIGURE 10. Variation of η_{diss}/N with wave number kR for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) free edge; (b) simply supported edge; (c) clamped edge. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

336 conditions, except for the very long waves, e.g., $kR < 1.0$, where, on the contrary, the
 337 largest value of η_{diss}/N is obtained when $N = 1$. Similar to the results illustrated in Fig.
 338 9, the frequency response of η_{diss}/N as given in Fig. 10 indicates that for most of the
 339 computed range of wave conditions, e.g., $kR > 1.5$, the most apparent increment of the
 340 wave-power dissipation in terms of η_{diss}/N is obtained when N increases from 1 to 2.

341 The variation of η_{diss}/N with incident wave direction β in the range of $0 \leq \beta \leq 0.5\pi$ for
 342 different numbers of plates in the array, N , with $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$ is plotted in Fig.
 343 11. As expected, the wave power dissipated by a single circular porous elastic plate, i.e.,
 344 $N = 1$, is independent of β , regardless of the edge conditions. For the cases with $N \geq 2$,
 345 an overall growth of η_{diss}/N is observed as β increases from 0 to 0.5π . For β varying from
 346 a specified value, e.g., 0.29π for the free-edge condition, to 0.5π , the more plates included
 347 in the array, the larger wave-power dissipation per plate, η_{diss}/N , becomes. Whereas when
 348 β is smaller than the specified value, the number of plates plays a negative role in the
 349 wave-power dissipation. It means that for the incident direction roughly perpendicular to
 350 the row of plates, the hydrodynamic interaction between the plates plays a constructive
 351 role in dissipating wave power. Moreover, this effect gets stronger as more plates are
 352 included in the array. However, if the incident waves propagate along the row of plates,
 353 a destructive effect of hydrodynamic interaction on wave-power dissipation is obtained,
 354 and the negative influence gets stronger correspondingly as the number of plates in the
 355 array increases. This is reasonable from the point of view of the shadow effect. The front




FIGURE 11. Variation of η_{diss}/N with incident wave direction β for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) free edge; (b) simply supported edge; (c) clamped edge. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

plate creates a shadow, and the plates behind it do not respond as much. The more plates included in the array, the stronger the shadow effect for the plates at the back.

To demonstrate the effect of the number of plates on their response, the plate deflections for different edge conditions for various values of N with $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$ are plotted in Figs. 12–14. For the sake of simplicity, only the results of the first half of the plates in the array are displayed, including the middle one if N is odd.

As shown in Fig. 12, for the isolated single plate with free-edge condition, the largest deflection ($|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{max}}/A = 0.93$) occurs at the front edge, i.e., the wave-ward edge. Moreover, there is an internal region near the leeward edge, where the response is weaker than the other regions of the plate, with the smallest deflection $|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{min}}/A = 0.02$. When another plate with the same physical properties is placed nearby (i.e., $N = 2$), the weak response internal region shifts towards the array side slightly. The largest and smallest deflection (i.e., $|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{max}}/A = 0.99$ and $|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{min}}/A = 0.03$) are both larger than those for $N = 1$. What is more, apart from the largest deflection at the front edge, there is a second peak response ($|\eta^{(n)}|/A = 0.78$) observed at the edge close to the other plate, which is excited by the hydrodynamic interaction between them and contributes to the increase of η_{diss}/N . For the three-plate array, the side plates response is similar to those of the array with $N = 2$. The central plate holds a larger overall deflection with $|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{max}}/A = 1.05$, $|\eta^{(n)}|_{\text{min}}/A = 0.07$ and two other peak responses ($|\eta^{(n)}|/A = 0.79$) occurring at the edges close to the two side plates. As N increases, responses of the two side plates remain approximately the same, as do the remaining plates in the middle.

Similar changes also apply to the array of plates with a simply supported or clamped-edge condition as shown in Figs. 13 and 14. In contrast to the free-edge condition, the largest deflection for the simply supported and clamped-edge conditions occurs in the interior of the plate. For the cases of simply supported and clamped edge conditions with $N \geq 3$, there is an obvious valley of the deflection contour at the central region of each plate except the two side plates, and this valley disappears for the plate with a free-edge condition.

In this paper, a porosity parameter is used to consider the resistance effect induced by the porosity. In fact, this “resistance effect” acts in much the same way as the “damping effect”, which has been widely employed to simulate the power takeoff (PTO) of wave-




FIGURE 12. Deflection of the plates with a free edge in different cases for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) $N = 1$; (b) $N = 2$; (c) $N = 3$; (d) $N = 4$; (e) $N = 5$. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)




FIGURE 13. Deflection of the plates with a simply supported edge in different cases for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) $N = 1$; (b) $N = 2$; (c) $N = 3$; (d) $N = 4$; (e) $N = 5$. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

387 energy converters (WECs). It should be pointed out that the present model for porous
 388 elastic plates may be used to simulate the performance of elastic plate-shaped WECs,
 389 provided that a special PTO system is designed, which satisfies the surface boundary
 390 condition, i.e., Eq. (2.4) or Eq. (2.5). Indeed, the surface boundary condition employed
 391 here is similar to the one Renzi (2016) derived for a piezoelectric plate WEC and also the
 392 one Garnaud & Mei (2010) derived for arrays of small buoys. Thus, the corresponding
 393 wave-power dissipation can be used to denote the corresponding wave-power absorption of
 394 the elastic plate-shaped WECs being consumed by the PTO damping. For a conventional




FIGURE 14. Deflection of the plates with a clamped edge in different cases for different number of plates in the array, N : (a) $N = 1$; (b) $N = 2$; (c) $N = 3$; (d) $N = 4$; (e) $N = 5$. ($(x_{j+1} - x_j)/h = 5.0$, $y_j = 0$, $R/h = 2.0$, $\bar{c} = 1.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, $\bar{\chi} = \bar{\gamma} = 0.01$.)

single WEC consisting of an axisymmetric rigid body with heave motion as the only mode of oscillation, the maximum relative absorption width, i.e. η_{diss} , is 1.0 (see, e.g., Budal & Falnes (1975); Evans (1976)). Note that when a porous elastic plate works as a WEC, $\eta_{\text{diss}} > 2.0$ and even $\eta_{\text{diss}} > 4.0$ are obtained over a large range of circumstances, such as porosity parameters (Fig. 9), wave frequencies (Fig. 10) and incident wave direction (Fig. 11), absorbing more than twice, and even four times, as much wave power as a conventional heaving cylinder can ever achieve. The wave-power absorption can be further enhanced when several elastic circular plates deployed in an array due to the constructive hydrodynamic interaction between them (i.e., the wave power absorbed by the array is larger than that produced by those plates working in isolation), indicating the profound potential of elastic plates for wave-power extraction.

A typical case of an elastic plate-shaped WEC is the piezoelectric plate WEC, which consists of piezoelectric layers bonded to both faces of a flexible substrate. The tension variations at the plate-water interface can be converted into a voltage by the piezoceramic layers owing to the piezoelectric effect, and in this way, the elastic motion excited by water waves is transformed into useful electricity (see e.g., Renzi (2016)).

7. Conclusions

A theoretical model based on linear potential flow theory and the eigenfunction matching method has been developed to investigate the interaction of waves with an array of circular floating porous elastic plates. This model can be used to represent artificial marine structures, such as floating flexible breakwaters, artificial floating vegetation fields, and large aquaculture farms with small draught relative to their horizontal dimension. It also provides a possible model for ice floes or flexible plate WECs in which the energy dissipation or wave-power absorption and scattering can be included in a unified way. Graf's addition theorem was applied to consider the hydrodynamic interaction between the plates. The edge condition of the plates can be free, simply supported or clamped.

The response of a pair of porous/non-porous elastic plates predicted by the present

theoretical model agreed well with the published theoretical and experimental data, which gave confidence in the current model for solving wave scattering by an array of circular floating porous elastic plates.

Using Green's theorem, it has been proved that the exact wave power dissipated by the plates due to porosity can be evaluated indirectly by using the spatial potentials in the exterior region in terms of the Kochin functions, without consideration of the evanescent waves. This indirect method was shown to produce the same wave-power dissipation as the straightforward method, which takes the area integrals of the unit area dissipated power over all plates with the effect of both propagating and evanescent waves included. The excellent agreement between them gives confidence in the ability of the present theoretical model to calculate wave dissipation by multiple circular floating porous elastic plates.

A multiparameter impact analysis was carried out by applying the validated theoretical model. The main findings are as follows.

(i) For a pair of plates with $R/h = 2.0$, $R_{1,2}/h = 6.0$ and $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, the wave incident direction corresponding to the maximum wave-power dissipation remains around $\beta/\pi = 0.3$ for all the three different edge conditions.

(ii) In the computed range of \bar{c} (i.e., $\bar{c} < 4.0$) and $R_{1,2}/h$ (i.e., $5.0 \leq R_{1,2}/h \leq 8.0$) with $R/h = 2.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$, $\beta = \pi/2$, the largest η_{diss} occurs at $R_{1,2}/h = 5.0$ regardless of the types of the plate edges.

(iii) For a row of plates with $R/h = 2.0$, $R_{j,j+1}/h = 5.0$, $h\omega^2/g = 2.0$ and $\beta = \pi/2$, the $\eta_{\text{diss}}/N - \bar{c}$ curve rises with the increase of N . The most significant improvement of η_{diss}/N occurs when N increases from 1 to 2. This also applies to the frequency response of η_{diss}/N with $\bar{c} = 1.0$.

(iv) For the incident waves incoming roughly perpendicular to the row of plates, hydrodynamic interaction between the plates plays a constructive role in dissipating wave power, and the effect strengthens with more plates included in the array. By contrast, if the incident waves propagate along the row of plates, a destructive effect of hydrodynamic interaction on wave-power dissipation is obtained, and the negative influence becomes stronger as the array size increases.

(v) There is a profound potential of elastic plates for wave-power extraction provided that a special PTO system is designed. An elastic plate-shaped WEC is found to capture more than twice, and even four times, as much wave power as a conventional axisymmetric heaving cylinder can ever achieve over a large range of circumstances. Due to the constructive hydrodynamic interaction between the plates in an array, wave-power absorption of the plates can be further enhanced.

Finally, we note that the present theoretical model is developed in the framework of potential flow theory; hence it may not be suitable for the extreme wave-structure interactions.

The research was supported by Intelligent Community Energy (ICE), INTERREG V FCE, European Commission (Contract No. 5025), and Open Research Fund Program of State Key Laboratory of Ocean Engineering (Shanghai Jiao Tong University)(Grant No. 1916). The authors are grateful to Dr. Fabien Montiel, from University of Otago, New Zealand, for kindly providing their valuable experimental data. The third author gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the China Scholarship Council (Grant No. 201806060137).

Declaration of Interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

471

Appendix A. Derivation process of the formulas and calculation for the unknown coefficients $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$ and $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$

472

Here we take the case of an array of circular floating porous elastic plates with free-edge condition as an example to show how to determine the unknown coefficients $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$ and $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$. Inserting the expression of the spatial potentials for both the exterior and interior regions, i.e., Eqs. (3.4)–(3.5), into continuity conditions at the interfaces and the free-edge boundary conditions, Eqs. (2.9) and (3.7)–(3.8), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{igA}{\omega}Z_0(z)e^{ik(x_n \cos \beta + y_n \sin \beta)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} i^m e^{-im\beta} J_m(kR_n)e^{im\theta_n} \\
& + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(n)} H_m(k_l R_n) Z_l(z) e^{im\theta_n} \\
& + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq n}}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(j)} Z_l(z) \sum_{m'=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{m'} H_{m-m'}(k_l R_{n,j}) J_{m'}(k_l R_n) e^{i(m\alpha_{j,n} - m'\alpha_{n,j})} e^{im'\theta_n} \\
& = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{m,l}^{(n)} J_m(\kappa_l R_n) Y_l(z) e^{im\theta_n}, \quad -h < z < 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{igA}{\omega}Z_0(z)e^{ik(x_n \cos \beta + y_n \sin \beta)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} i^m e^{-im\beta} k J'_m(kR_n)e^{im\theta_n} \\
& + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(n)} k_l H'_m(k_l R_n) Z_l(z) e^{im\theta_n} \\
& + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq n}}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{m,l}^{(j)} k_l Z_l(z) \sum_{m'=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{m'} H_{m-m'}(k_l R_{n,j}) J'_{m'}(k_l R_n) e^{i(m\alpha_{j,n} - m'\alpha_{n,j})} e^{im'\theta_n} \\
& = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{m,l}^{(n)} k_l J'_m(\kappa_l R_n) Y_l(z) e^{im\theta_n}, \quad -h < z < 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{m,l}^{(n)} f_M(n, m, l)}{\chi \kappa_l^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma} e^{im\theta_n} = 0, \tag{A3}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{m,l}^{(n)} f_V(n, m, l)}{\chi \kappa_l^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma} e^{im\theta_n} = 0, \tag{A4}$$

where

$$f_M(n, m, l) = R_n^2 \kappa_l^2 J_m''(\kappa_l R_n) - m^2 v J_m(\kappa_l R_n) + R_n \kappa_l v J_m'(\kappa_l R_n), \tag{A5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_V(n, m, l) &= R_n^3 \kappa_l^3 J_m'''(\kappa_l R_n) - (2 - v) R_n m^2 \kappa_l J_m'(\kappa_l R_n) \\
&+ R_n^2 \kappa_l^2 J_m''(\kappa_l R_n) - (v - 3) m^2 J_m(\kappa_l R_n) - R_n \kappa_l J_m'(\kappa_l R_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

After multiplying both sides of Eqs. (A 1)–(A 2) by $Z_\zeta(z)e^{-i\tau\theta_n}$, integrating in $z \in [-h, 0]$ and $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi]$ and using their orthogonality characteristics, Eqs. (A 1)–(A 2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tau,\zeta}^{(n)} H_\tau(k_\zeta R_n) A_\zeta + \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j \neq n}}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,\zeta}^{(j)} A_\zeta (-1)^\tau H_{m-\tau}(k_\zeta R_{n,j}) J_\tau(k_\zeta R_n) e^{i(m\alpha_{j,n} - \tau\alpha_{n,j})} \\ - \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{\tau,l}^{(n)} J_\tau(\kappa_l R_n) Y_{l,\zeta} = \frac{igA}{\omega} \delta_{0,\zeta} A_\zeta e^{ik(x_n \cos \beta + y_n \sin \beta)} i^\tau e^{-i\tau\beta} J_\tau(k R_n), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tau,\zeta}^{(n)} k_\zeta H'_\tau(k_\zeta R_n) A_\zeta + \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j \neq n}}^N \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{m,\zeta}^{(j)} A_\zeta (-1)^\tau H_{m-\tau}(k_\zeta R_{n,j}) k_\zeta J'_\tau(k_\zeta R_n) e^{i(m\alpha_{j,n} - \tau\alpha_{n,j})} \\ - \sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} B_{\tau,l}^{(n)} \kappa_l J'_\tau(\kappa_l R_n) Y_{l,\zeta} = \frac{igA}{\omega} \delta_{0,\zeta} A_\zeta e^{ik(x_n \cos \beta + y_n \sin \beta)} i^\tau e^{-i\tau\beta} k J'_\tau(k R_n), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

where

$$A_l = \int_{-h}^0 Z_l^2(z) dz = \frac{\sinh(k_l h) \cosh(k_l h) + k_l h}{2k_l \cosh^2(k_l h)}, \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

$$Y_{l,\zeta} = \int_{-h}^0 Y_l(z) Z_\zeta(z) dz = \frac{\kappa_l \sinh(\kappa_l h) \cosh(k_\zeta h) - k_\zeta \cosh(\kappa_l h) \sinh(k_\zeta h)}{(\kappa_l^2 - k_\zeta^2) \cosh(\kappa_l h) \cosh(k_\zeta h)}. \quad (\text{A } 10)$$

In a similar way, after multiplying both sides of Eqs. (A 3)–(A 4) by $e^{-i\tau\theta_n}$ and integrating in $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi]$, Eqs. (A 3)–(A 4) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{\tau,l}^{(n)} f_M(n, \tau, l)}{\chi \kappa_l^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma} = 0, \quad (\text{A } 11)$$

$$\sum_{l=-2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{\tau,l}^{(n)} f_V(n, \tau, l)}{\chi \kappa_l^4 + 1 - (\omega^2/g)\gamma} = 0. \quad (\text{A } 12)$$

473 In order to evaluate the unknown coefficients $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$ and $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$, we truncate all infinite
 474 series of vertical eigenfunctions at L , i.e., $(L + 1)$ terms ($l = 0, 1, \dots, L$) for $A_{m,l}^{(n)}$
 475 and $(L + 3)$ terms ($l = -2, -1, 0, 1, \dots, L$) for $B_{m,l}^{(n)}$, and we take $(2M + 1)$ terms
 476 ($m = -M, \dots, 0, \dots, M$), resulting in $2N(2M + 1)(L + 2)$ unknown coefficients to be
 477 determined. After taking $(\tau = -M, \dots, 0, \dots, M)$ and $(\zeta = 0, 1, \dots, L)$ in Eqs. (A 7)–
 478 (A 8) and (A 11)–(A 12), a $2N(2M + 1)(L + 2)$ -order complex linear equation matrix is
 479 obtained, which can be used to determine the exact same number of unknown coefficients.
 480 Here, M and L should be chosen large enough to lead to accurate results. In all the
 481 theoretical computations as given in this paper, $M = 10$ and $L = 10$ are used.

REFERENCES

482 ABRAMOWITZ, M. & STEGUN, I. A. 1972 *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas,*
 483 *graphs, and mathematical tables.* Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office.

- 484 BEHERA, H. & SAHOO, T. 2015 Hydroelastic analysis of gravity wave interaction with submerged
485 horizontal flexible porous plate. *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **54**, 643–660.
- 486 BENNETTS, L. G., PETER, M. A., SQUIRE, V. A. & MEYLAN, M. H. 2010 A three-dimensional
487 model of wave attenuation in the marginal ice zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research:
488 Oceans* **115** (C12043), 1–17.
- 489 BUDAL, K. & FALNES, J. 1975 A resonant point absorber of ocean-wave power. *Nature* **256**,
490 478–479.
- 491 EVANS, D. V. 1976 A theory for wave-power absorption by oscillating bodies. *Journal of Fluid
492 Mechanics* **77** (1), 1–25.
- 493 EVANS, D. V. & PORTER, R. 2003 Wave scattering by narrow cracks in ice sheets floating on
494 water of finite depth. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **484**, 143–165.
- 495 FALNES, J. 2002 *Ocean waves and oscillating systems: linear interactions including wave-energy
496 extraction*. Cambridge university press.
- 497 FANG, Z., XIAO, L. & PENG, T. 2017 Generalized analytical solution to wave interaction
498 with submerged multi-layer horizontal porous plate breakwaters. *Journal of Engineering
499 Mathematics* **105** (1), 117–135.
- 500 FLAVIÀ, F. FÀBREGAS & MEYLAN, M. H. 2019 An extension of general identities for 3d
501 water-wave diffraction with application to the diffraction transfer matrix. *Applied Ocean
502 Research* **84**, 279–290.
- 503 FOX, C. & SQUIRE, V. A. 1994 On the oblique reflexion and transmission of ocean waves at
504 shore fast sea ice. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A:
505 Physical and Engineering Sciences* **347** (1682), 185–218.
- 506 GARNAUD, X. & MEI, C. C. 2010 Bragg scattering and wave-power extraction by an array of
507 small buoys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
508 Sciences* **466** (2113), 79–106.
- 509 KAGEMOTO, H. & YUE, D. K. P. 1986 Interactions among multiple three-dimensional bodies
510 in water waves: an exact algebraic method. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **166**, 189–209.
- 511 KALYANARAMAN, B., BENNETTS, L. G., LAMICHHANE, B. & MEYLAN, M. H. 2019 On the
512 shallow-water limit for modelling ocean-wave induced ice-shelf vibrations. *Wave Motion*
513 **90**, 1–16.
- 514 KAMBLE, R. & PATIL, D. 2012 Artificial floating island: solution to river water pollution in
515 india. case study: rivers in pune city. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on
516 Environmental, Biomedical and Biotechnology, Dubai, UAE*, pp. 136–140.
- 517 KOHOUT, A. L., MEYLAN, M. H., SAKAI, S., HANAI, K., LEMAN, P. & BROSSARD, D.
518 2007 Linear water wave propagation through multiple floating elastic plates of variable
519 properties. *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **23**, 649–663.
- 520 KOLEY, S., MONDAL, R. & SAHOO, T. 2018 Fredholm integral equation technique for
521 hydroelastic analysis of a floating flexible porous plate. *European Journal of Mechanics -
522 B/Fluids* **67**, 291 – 305.
- 523 LAMAS-PARDO, M., IGLESIAS, G. & CARRAL, L. 2015 A review of very large floating structures
524 (VLFS) for coastal and offshore uses. *Ocean Engineering* **109**, 677–690.
- 525 LI, Z. F., WU, G. X. & JI, C. Y. 2018a Interaction of wave with a body submerged below an
526 ice sheet with multiple arbitrarily spaced cracks. *Physics of Fluids* **30** (5), 057107.
- 527 LI, Z. F., WU, G. X. & JI, C. Y. 2018b Wave radiation and diffraction by a circular cylinder
528 submerged below an ice sheet with a crack. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **845**, 682–712.
- 529 MAHMOOD-UL-HASSAN, MEYLAN, M. H. & PETER, M. A. 2009 Water-wave scattering by
530 submerged elastic plates. *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*
531 **62** (3), 321–344.
- 532 MEYLAN, M. H. 2002 Wave response of an ice floe of arbitrary geometry. *Journal of Geophysical
533 Research* **107** (C1), 3005.
- 534 MEYLAN, M. H., BENNETTS, L. G. & PETER, M. A. 2017 Water-wave scattering and energy
535 dissipation by a floating porous elastic plate in three dimensions. *Wave Motion* **70**, 240–
536 250.
- 537 MEYLAN, M. H. & SQUIRE, V. A. 1996 Response of a circular ice floe to ocean waves. *Journal
538 of Geophysical Research* **101** (C4), 8869–8884.
- 539 MICHAELIDES, C. & ANGELIDES, D. C. 2012 Modeling of energy extraction and behavior of a
540 flexible floating breakwater. *Applied Ocean Research* **35**, 77–94.

- 541 MOHAPATRA, S. C., SAHOO, T. & GUEDES SOARES, C. 2018a Interaction between surface
542 gravity wave and submerged horizontal flexible structures. *Journal of Hydrodynamics*
543 **30** (3), 481–498.
- 544 MOHAPATRA, S. C., SAHOO, T. & GUEDES SOARES, C. 2018b Surface gravity wave interaction
545 with a submerged horizontal flexible porous plate. *Applied Ocean Research* **78**, 61–74.
- 546 MONTIEL, F., BENNETTS, L. G., SQUIRE, V. A., BONNEFOY, F. & FERRANT, P. 2013a
547 Hydroelastic response of floating elastic discs to regular waves. Part 2. modal analysis.
548 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **723**, 629–652.
- 549 MONTIEL, F., BONNEFOY, F., FERRANT, P., BENNETTS, L. G., SQUIRE, V. A. & MARSAULT,
550 P. 2013b Hydroelastic response of floating elastic discs to regular waves. Part 1. wave
551 basin experiments. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **723**, 604–628.
- 552 MONTIEL, F. & SQUIRE, V. A. 2017 Modelling wave-induced sea ice break-up in the marginal
553 ice zone. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering*
554 *Sciences* **473** (2206), 20170258.
- 555 MONTIEL, F., SQUIRE, V. A. & BENNETTS, L. G. 2015a Evolution of directional wave spectra
556 through finite regular and randomly perturbed arrays of scatterers. *SIAM Journal on*
557 *Applied Mathematics* **75** (2), 630–651.
- 558 MONTIEL, F., SQUIRE, V. A. & BENNETTS, L. G. 2015b Reflection and transmission of ocean
559 wave spectra by a band of randomly distributed ice floes. *Annals of Glaciology* **56** (69),
560 315–322.
- 561 MONTIEL, F., SQUIRE, V. A. & BENNETTS, L. G. 2016 Attenuation and directional spreading
562 of ocean wave spectra in the marginal ice zone. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **790**, 492–522.
- 563 PETER, M. A. & MEYLAN, M. H. 2004 Infinite-depth interaction theory for arbitrary floating
564 bodies applied to wave forcing of ice floes. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **500**, 145–167.
- 565 PETER, M. A. & MEYLAN, M. H. 2009 Water-wave scattering by vast fields of bodies. *SIAM*
566 *Journal on Applied Mathematics* **70** (5), 1567–1586.
- 567 PETER, M. A., MEYLAN, M. H. & CHUNG, H. 2004 Wave scattering by a circular elastic plate
568 in water of finite depth: a closed form solution. *International Journal of Offshore and*
569 *Polar Engineering* **14** (2), 81–85.
- 570 PETER, M. A., MEYLAN, M. H. & LINTON, C. M. 2006 Water-wave scattering by a periodic
571 array of arbitrary bodies. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **548**, 237–256.
- 572 PORTER, R. & EVANS, D. V. 2006 Scattering of flexural waves by multiple narrow cracks in ice
573 sheets floating on water. *Wave Motion* **43** (5), 425–443.
- 574 RENZI, E. 2016 Hydroelectromechanical modelling of a piezoelectric wave energy converter.
575 *Proceedings of the Royal Society A-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences* **472**,
576 20160715.
- 577 SAHOO, T., YIP, T. L. & CHWANG, A. T. 2001 Scattering of surface waves by a semi-infinite
578 floating elastic plate. *Physics of Fluids* **13** (11), 3215.
- 579 SQUIRE, V. A. 2008 Synergies between VLFS hydroelasticity and sea-ice research. In
580 *The Eighteenth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*, pp. 1–13.
581 International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers.
- 582 SQUIRE, V. A. 2011 Past, present and independent hydroelastic challenges in the polar and
583 subpolar seas. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical*
584 *and Engineering Sciences* **369** (1947), 2813–2831.
- 585 SQUIRE, V. A. 2020 Ocean wave interactions with sea ice: a reappraisal. *Annual Review of Fluid*
586 *Mechanics* **52**, 37–60.
- 587 SQUIRE, V. A. & DIXON, T. W. 2000 An analytic model for wave propagation across a crack in
588 an ice sheet. *International Journal of Offshore and Polar Engineering* **10** (03), 173–176.
- 589 SQUIRE, V. A. & DIXON, T. W. 2001 How a region of cracked sea ice affects ice-coupled wave
590 propagation. *Annals of Glaciology* **33**, 327–332.
- 591 SUTHERLAND, G., RABAULT, J., CHRISTENSEN, K. H. & JENSEN, A. 2019 A two layer model
592 for wave dissipation in sea ice. *Applied Ocean Research* **88**, 111–118.
- 593 WANG, C. M. & TAY, Z. Y. 2011 Very large floating structures: applications, research and
594 development. *Procedia Engineering* **14**, 62–72.
- 595 WILLIAMS, T. D. & PORTER, R. 2009 The effect of submergence on the scattering by the
596 interface between two semi-infinite sheets. *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **25** (5), 777–
597 793.

- 598 ZHAO, X. & SHEN, H. H. 2013 Ocean wave transmission and reflection between two connecting
599 viscoelastic ice covers: An approximate solution. *Ocean Modelling* **71**, 102–113.
- 600 ZHAO, X. & SHEN, H. H. 2018 Three-layer viscoelastic model with eddy viscosity effect for
601 flexural-gravity wave propagation through ice cover. *Ocean Modelling* **131**, 15–23.
- 602 ZHENG, S., ANTONINI, A., ZHANG, Y., GREAVES, D., MILES, J. & IGLESIAS, G. 2019 Wave
603 power extraction from multiple oscillating water columns along a straight coast. *Journal*
604 *of Fluid Mechanics* **878**, 445–480.
- 605 ZHENG, S., MEYLAN, M. H., FAN, L., GREAVES, D. & IGLESIAS, G. 2020 Wave scattering by a
606 floating porous elastic plate of arbitrary shape: a semi-analytical study. *Journal of Fluids*
607 *and Structures* **92**, 102827.
- 608 ZHENG, S., ZHANG, Y. & IGLESIAS, G. 2018 Wave-structure interaction in hybrid wave farms.
609 *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **83**, 386–412.
- 610 ZILMAN, G. & MILOH, T. 2000 Hydroelastic buoyant circular plate in shallow water: a closed
611 form solution. *Applied Ocean Research* **22**, 191–198.