Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Biological and Marine Sciences

2019-04

Phototactic tails: Evolution and molecular basis of a novel sensory trait in sea snakes

CroweRiddell, JM

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/15940

10.1111/mec.15022 Molecular Ecology Wiley

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

1	Phototactic tails: Evolution and molecular basis of a novel sensory trait in sea snakes
2	Running title: Phototactic tails in sea snakes
3	Jenna M. Crowe-Riddell1,9*, Bruno F. Simões1,2, Julian C. Partridge3, David M. Hunt3,8, Steven
4	Delean1, Julian G. Schwerdt1, James Breen4,5,6, Alastair Ludington5, David J. Gower7, Kate L.
5	Sanders1*
6	
7	School of Biological Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia2School of Earth
8	Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TG, United Kingdom
9	3School of Biological Sciences and Oceans Institute, University of Western Australia, Crawley WA
10	6009, Australia
11	4Robinson Research Institute, University of Adelaide, North Adelaide SA 5006, Australia
12	5Bioinformatics Hub, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia
13	6South Australian Health & Medical Research Institute (SAHMRI), North Terrace,
14	Adelaide SA 5000, Australia
15	7Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, United Kingdom
16	8Centre for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Lions Eye Institute, University of Western Australia,
17	Nedlands WA 6009, Australia
18	9Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-8525, USA
19	
20	
21	*Corresponding authors: jenna.crowe-riddell@adelaide.edu.au, kate.sanders@adelaide.edu.au

23 Abstract

24 Dermal phototaxis has been reported in a few aquatic vertebrate lineages spanning fish, amphibians 25 and reptiles. These taxa respond to light on the skin of their elongate hind-bodies and/or tails by 26 withdrawing under cover to avoid detection by predators. Here, we investigated tail phototaxis in sea 27 snakes (Hydrophiinae), the only reptiles reported to exhibit this sensory behaviour. We conducted 28 behavioural tests in 17 wild-caught sea snakes of eight species by illuminating the dorsal surface of 29 the tail and mid-body skin using cold white, violet, blue, green and red light. Our results confirmed 30 phototactic tail withdrawal in the previously studied Aipysurus laevis, revealed this trait for the first 31 time in A. duboisii and A. tenuis, and suggested that tail photoreceptors have peak spectral sensitivities 32 between blue and green light (457-514 nm). Based on these results, and an absence of photoresponses 33 in five Aipysurus and Hydrophis species, we tentatively infer that tail phototaxis evolved in the 34 ancestor of a clade of six Aipysurus species (comprising 10% of all sea snakes). Quantifying tail 35 damage, we found that the probability of sustaining tail injuries was not influenced by tail phototactic 36 ability in snakes. Gene profiling showed that transcriptomes of both tail skin and body skin lacked 37 visual opsins but contained melanopsin (opn4x) in addition to key genes of the retinal regeneration and 38 phototransduction cascades. This work suggests that a non-visual photoreceptor (e.g. Gq rhabdomeric) 39 signalling pathway underlies tail phototaxis, and provides candidate gene targets for future studies of 40 this unusual sensory innovation in reptiles.

41 Keywords: extraocular, dermal phototaxis, sea snakes, dermal photoreception, melanopsin
42

43 Introduction

Most organisms use non-visual light detection to regulate essential physiological and behavioural
functions (Wolken 1995). Prominent roles of non-visual photoreception include colour changes in the
skin that facilitate camouflage, communication or thermoregulation, phototactic orientation and
movement, and the circadian and seasonal timing of key biological events (Peirson et al. 2009; Foster
& Soni 1998). Various cephalic or 'extraocular' tissues have been linked to non-visual photoreception,

49 such as the parietal organ and pineal complex (Foster & Soni 1998). In organisms lacking fur or 50 feathers, the skin also provides a primary site for non-visual photoreception (Kelley & Davies 2016). 51 Dermal photoreception or the 'dermal light sense' mediates dermal phototaxis, defined here as the 52 movement, including the whole body or a body part of an organism, towards or away from light 53 (Kelley & Davies 2016; Steven 1963; Millott 1968). This sensory modality is best known among 54 marine invertebrates, of which many species migrate along vertical light gradients and show abrupt 55 withdrawal responses to sudden changes in light intensity (reviewed in Wolken 1988; Ramirez et al. 56 2011). Among vertebrates, dermal phototaxis have been described in lampreys (Young 1935; Steven 57 1950: Ronan & Bodznick 1991), hagfish (Patzner 1978; Steven 1955), aquatic salamanders, and a 58 single frog (Xenopus laevis tadpole) (Alder 1976; Baker et al. 2015; Pearse 1910; Reese 1906; Sayle 59 1916). Olive sea snakes (Aipysurus laevis) are the only reptiles reported to show dermal phototaxis 60 (Zimmerman & Heatwole 1990), but this species' phototactic behaviour is strikingly similar to that of 61 the other elongate, aquatic vertebrates.

Sea snakes, lampreys, hagfish and aquatic salamanders all exhibit dermal photosensitivity that is most pronounced at the dorsal tips of their tails and stimulates negative phototaxis. Lamprey larvae and hagfish respond to tail illumination by deflecting their tails, swimming and/or burrowing to conceal themselves in river and lake beds (Ullén et al. 1993; Steven 1955; Deliagina et al. 1995; Young 1935; Binder & McDonald 2008; Patzner 1978). Resting olive sea snakes and aquatic salamanders respond with localised tail movements, often retracting their tail-paddles under reef or rock overhangs.

The convergent innovation of phototactic tails in elongate aquatic taxa that diverged relatively early in the >400 million-year evolutionary history of vertebrates suggests similar selection for concealment from predators. These selection pressures may be particularly strong in animals with vulnerable hind-bodies and tail paddles that are anatomically remote from the concentration of sensory organs on the head. Sea snakes have various predators, such as sharks and marine mammals, and specimens often have bite injuries to their tails, sometimes resulting in partial loss of the paddle (Heatwole 1975; Masunaga et al. 2008). Tail paddles are vital to efficient underwater locomotion so

tail damage must impact feeding, mating success and vulnerability to predation (Aubret & Shine 2008). Zimmerman and Heatwole (1990) demonstrated that captive *A. laevis* sea snakes concealed their tails under artificial reef during daylight more often than night, when tails were more likely to be protruding while the rest of the body was concealed. Hence, phototactic responses are expected to provide protection during daytime (and possibly dim-light) resting periods.

81 The genetic and physiological mechanisms underlying dermal phototaxis remain largely unknown 82 for any vertebrate taxon (Kelley & Davies 2016). Hindering research progress is a conspicuous 83 absence of photoreceptive structures such as stacked membranes or lenses within photoreceptive skin 84 (Ramirez et al. 2011). However, gene expression studies have revealed visual opsins in colour-85 changing cells within the skin of cephalopods (Kingston et al. 2015; Ramirez & Oakley 2015; 86 Mäthger et al. 2010), teleosts (Schweikert et al. 2018; Ban et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2013) and gekkonid 87 lizards (Fulgione et al. 2014). This shows that the dermal photoreceptors involved in colour change 88 likely evolved by co-opting existing visual photoreceptor pathways of the eye, despite lacking 89 structures found in classical photoreceptors (Kingston & Cronin 2016; Ramirez et al. 2011). Other 90 studies in teleosts (Bertolesi & McFarlane 2018) and amphibians (Provencio et al. 1998; Moriva et al. 91 1996) have identified a role for non-visual opsins in colour change, implying that independent, non-92 visual photoreceptor pathways underlie dermal photoreception in these diverse taxa.

93 In this study, we sought to better understand the evolution and molecular basis of tail phototaxis in 94 sea snakes. We first used behavioural tests of tail (caudal) phototaxis in wild-caught sea snakes from 95 eight species with the aim to better resolve the evolutionary origin of the trait. We then screened for 96 candidate phototaxis genes expressed in the skin of two phototactic species. Because the dermal 97 photoreceptive structures and genes involved in phototaxis are entirely unknown, we comprehensively 98 profiled genes related to visual and non-visual photoreceptors in whole transcriptomes of tail and body 99 skin, eye and other available organs. Finally, we quantified injuries on the tails of species with and 100 without phototactic abilities, with the expectation that phototactic species might have lower bite rates 101 indicating greater protection from attacks or have increased bite rates due to an intrinsically higher 102 vulnerability to predation.

103 Materials and Methods

104 Specimens

105 Sea snakes are fully marine squamate reptiles that are phylogenetically nested within the Australo-106 Papuan terrestrial front fanged snakes (Elapidae: Hydrophiinae). Phototactic responses were measured 107 in 17 wild-caught, captive individuals of eight species that spanned all major lineages of sea snakes: 108 Aipysurus laevis (the only sea snake previously tested for phototactic behaviour), three other 109 Aipysurus species, three Hydrophis species and one semi-aquatic species Hydrelaps darwiniensis 110 (Supplementary materials; Table S1; ESM File 1). Tail injuries were recorded for a total of 111 111 museum specimens from two phototactic species, A. laevis (n = 39) and A. duboisii (n = 12), and two 112 non-phototactic species H. major (n = 45) and H. stokesii (n = 15) (ESM File 2). The examined 113 specimens were chosen from the same collection locality (Gulf of Carpentaria, Queensland, Australia) 114 to minimise the effect of geographic variation in predation pressures; specimen information (snout-115 vent length, weight, sex and age) was available from (Fry G, personal communication). 116 Experiments and euthanasia were conducted in accordance with the Animal Ethics 117 Committees of University of Adelaide (S-2015-119) and University of Florida (201502798) and 118 specimens were collected and transported in accordance with Department of Parks and Wildlife of 119 Western Australia licences to take fauna for scientific purposes (Permit #SF010002) and export fauna 120 interstate (Permit #EA007665), Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources of South 121 Australia import permit (Permit #I12978) and from the Area de Conservación Arenal Tempisque 122 (ACT) del Sistema Nacional de Areas de Conservación (SINAC), Costa Rica (No. ACT-OR-DR-055-123 17).

124 Behavioural experiments

125 Experimental set up

126 During experiments on A. duboisii, A. laevis, A. tenuis and H. major at the University of Adelaide, a

127 snake was transferred from the seawater holding tank (24-28°C, 450L volume, 35 ppm, 12 h:12 h day:

128 night) to a round, black plastic behavioural arena (60 cm diameter \times 60 cm height, 50 L volume) filled 129 with seawater (24-28°C, 35 L volume, 13 cm depth) and covered by a mesh net. The arena was housed 130 in a dark room lit by a single florescent red globe positioned 1 m above the arena (Figure S7). A lid 131 was placed over the arena for 1-2 h to allow the snakes to adapt to the arena before initiating trials. 132 Trials were recorded with a camera (GoPro Hero3+, Go Pro Inc., USA; 29.97 fps; 1920×1080) 133 positioned above the behavioural arena. During experiments on A. laevis, A. mosaicus, A. tenuis, H. 134 darwiniensis, H. major, H. stokesii and H. platurus at field sites, snakes were transferred to a 135 rectangular, black plastic behavioural arena (66 cm length \times 44 cm width \times 23 cm height, 60 L 136 volume) filled with freshwater (29 L, 10 cm depth) and covered by a mesh net. A lid was placed over 137 the arena as described in experiments at the University of Adelaide, and trials were recorded directly 138 by the observer and (where possible) with a camera (GoPro Hero3+, Go Pro Inc., USA; 29.97 fps; 139 1920×1080) positioned above the behavioural arena.

140 Light source

141 The light stimulus was delivered to localised areas of skin (Figure 4B) using a hand-held flashlight 142 (UltraFire SH98 3-mode white light zooming, WhaFat Technological, Hong Kong) that incorporated a 143 light-emitting diodes (LEDs) bulb that emitted white light with a spectral range of 300-900 nm. To test 144 phototactic responses to different wavelengths of light, a hand-held flashlight (UltraFire 4-in-1 1-mode 145 light) with interchangeable coloured LED bulbs was used to emit four colours: violet, blue, green and 146 red of wavelengths of 393 nm, 457 nm, 514 nm and 623 nm, respectively. The flashlights were 147 powered by two 7.4 volts rechargeable batteries (Fenix ARB-L3, Fenixlight, USA) that were re-148 charged after 6-12 trials to maintain a near-constant light output. At the start of each trial, the relative 149 flashlight irradiance was measured using a PM100 digital optical power meter (Thorlabs, USA) and 150 S210A UV-NIR thermal power head held 30 cm below the flashlight. Spectral and relative irradiance 151 measurements are in Supplementary Materials (Figure S1).

152 Behavioural trials

153 Trials commenced after the snake had been inactive for at least 2 min. Experiments consisted of two to 154 four sets of six trials, each trial being separated from the next by intervals of at least 1 h. Each snake 155 was subjected to a mean of 17 trials over the course of the experiment. White light was shone on the 156 dorsal surface of the tail skin ($T_{(s)}$) for duration of 5.3 s (± 1.30 s) at a distance of approximately 30cm. 157 To control for the possibility that snakes responded to scattered light reaching the eyes, or the sight or 158 sound of approaching experimenter, a white light was also shone on the dorsal surface of the mid-body 159 skin $(B_{(s)})$ (Figure 1; Figure S7). Presentation of light was alternated between $T_{(s)}$ and $B_{(s)}$, and the 160 order of presentation was reversed every set of six trials, for A. duboisii (n = 1), A. laevis (n = 4), A. 161 mosaicus (n = 1), A. tenuis (n = 2), H. major (n = 1) and H. platurus (n = 2). In separate experiments, 162 white light was presented only on $T_{(s)}$ in a single individual each of A. laevis, A. tenuis, A. mosaicus, 163 Hydrelaps darwiniensis, H. major and H. stokesii (Table S1). Apysurus tenuis (n = 2) appeared to be 164 responsive to illumination of the body in addition to the tail, thus a new experiment was performed to 165 test for phototactic response to body illumination in this species using an individual from A. laevis (n 166 = 1) as a control. Experiments consisted of six sets of five trials in which white light was shone on the 167 dorsal surface of the body at four locations (Table S2); light was presented sequentially along the body 168 and the order of presentation was reversed between each trial set. A final experiment was conducted to 169 test for sensitivity to different colours of light (violet, blue, green and red) in A. tenuis (n = 2) and A. 170 *laevis* (n = 1).

171 Consistent with previous behavioural testing (Zimmerman & Heatwole 1990), a response was 172 considered as 'negative phototaxis' if the part of the skin illuminated moved away from the light 173 within 10 s and no other part of the snake moved. Behavioural responses were converted to a 174 phototactic score to indicate whether a negative phototaxis occurred (Table 1) and mean response per 175 species was calculated as a percentage (%) of trials in which phototaxis was observed. Latency to 176 response was determined by viewing video footage of the phototactic response frame-by-frame (i.e. at 177 33.4 ms intervals) in GoPro Studio software v2.5.12 (CA, USA), and calculated as the difference 178 between the time at which the light stimulus was switched on and the time at which the first 179 phototactic movement of the snake occurred.

We mapped phototactic behaviour as a binary character (tail phototaxis absent or present) onto an existing phylogeny for sea snakes (Sherratt et al. 2018). Using these data, the most parsimonious interpretation of the origin of phototaxis in sea snake evolution was inferred by eye based on the assumption that gains and losses of this trait are rare and equally likely.

184 **Transcriptome profiling**

185 Tissue collection

186 Because the cellular structures responsible for light-sensing in the tails of sea snakes are unknown, we 187 were unable to target specific locations in the skin for differential gene expression. Instead, we 188 sampled the whole skin tissue (dermis, epidermis, beta layer) from three regions (two tail and one 189 body) in two phototactic species and used whole transcriptome profiling to identify phototaxis genes. 190 Seven skin samples were taken for RNA-sequencing: the photoreceptive tail tip of two A. laevis and 191 one A. tenuis, putatively non-photoreceptive anterior ventral surface of the tail of a single A. laevis and 192 A. tenuis, and the variably photoreceptive dorsal surface of the hind-body a short distance anterior of 193 the vent of a single A. laevis and one A. tenuis (Figure 4B). In addition to the skin samples, we 194 assessed the tissue-specificity of expression of genes related to photoreception by also sampling four 195 non-skin tissues available from other projects: whole eye of A. laevis, and heart, testis and liver of the 196 olive-headed sea snake H. major (Table S3).

197 Details of RNA extraction, sequencing, filtering and assembly

Tissues were homogenised using mortar and pestle in lysis buffer and grinder with liquid nitrogen
before extracting total RNA (Roche Tissue RNA extraction kit). Library preparation and transcriptome
sequencing for six skin tissues was performed by the Queensland Brain Institute Centre for Brain
Genomics (QBI, Brisbane, Australia), for the eye by Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen,
China), and for one skin, testis, heart and liver by Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF,
Adelaide, Australia). Following RNA extraction (Roche Tissue RNA Extraction Kit) and quality
control, dual indexed TruSeq libraries were generated and sequenced on an Illumnina HiSeq2000

machine (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) using V4 chemistry, producing 125 and 150 bp paired-end
 sequencing reads.

207 The quality of the raw reads was assessed using FastQC v0.11.4 (Andrews 2010), QUAST 208 v4.5. (Quality Assessment Tool for Genome Assemblies; Gurevich et al. 2013), and using ngsReports 209 v.0.99 (Ward et al. 2018) package in R. v3.4.2 (R Core Team 2017). Adapter sequences and low-210 quality reads were trimmed using AdapterRemoval v2.1.7 (Schubert et al. 2016) applying default 211 quality parameters and a minimum sequence length of 20 bp. To reconstruct transcriptomes, de 212 novo assembly was carried out the Trinity v2.5.1 pipeline (Grabherr et al. 2011; Haas et al. 2013) with 213 default settings and a minimum contig length of 200 bp. Following transcript assembly, protein-coding 214 regions were determined using TransDecoder v3.0.1. (Haas et al. 2013). Finally, assemblies were 215 assessed for completeness, both by assessing the RNA read representation of the assemblies by 216 aligning the trimmed reads back to their respective assemblies using Bowtie2 v2.2.9 (Langmead & 217 Salzberg 2012) and by examining the presence of full-length protein-coding genes in the assemblies 218 by searching against the SwissProt protein databases (The UniProt Consortium 2017) using BLAST+ 219 (Camacho et al. 2009).

Unsupervised clustering of tissue samples was carried out using multi-dimensional scaling plots in R using the *edgeR* package v3.20.1 (Robinson et al. 2009) and log counts per million, with gene selection set to 'pairwise' for the top 500 genes. The intersections of expression levels among tissue samples were explored using the *UpSetR* package v1.3.3 (Lex et al. 2016).

224 Abundance estimates of genes

Estimated transcript abundances were generated using Salmon v8.2 (Patro et al. 2017), a pseudo-

alignment program that quantifies gene expression without the need for direct genome alignments.

227 RNA reads were mapped to a pitviper (*Protobothrops mucrosquamatus*) transcriptome (Aird et al.

228 2017), which was the best-annotated and closely related transcriptome currently available, and

229 quantified reads were normalised using fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads

230 (FPKM). To compare transcript abundance of genes related to photoreception among tissue samples,

FPKM counts were filtered by reference sequence gene categories (O'Leary et al. 2016) for predicted mRNA that are known to be involved in phototransduction and retinoid metabolism pathways of squamate reptiles (Schott et al. 2017) (Table S4). FPKM counts (Table S5) for visual genes were then log-transformed and a heatmap generated in R using the *pheatmap* package v1.0.8 (Kolde 2012) (ESM File 3).

236 Verifying the presence of genes related to visual and non-visual photoreceptors

237 Many vertebrate visual genes are part of large gene families that have high sequence similarity but 238 include genes with non-visual functions (Porter et al. 2011). To verify the sequence identity of 239 quantified transcripts with putative visual functions, we assessed the phylogenetic position of 240 assembled sequences within maximum likelihood trees of visual genes from representative vertebrate 241 groups (Python molurus, P. mucrosquamatus, Thamnophis sirtalis, Pogona vitticeps, Gekko 242 japonicus, Anolis carolinensis, Homo sapiens). Transcripts nested within clades of vertebrate visual 243 genes were considered to be verified visual genes. Conversely, if transcripts were recovered inside a 244 clade of related genes with non-visual functions, these were considered to be erroneously mapped 245 reads and indicated as such on the FPKM heatmap. Briefly, putative visual transcripts were located by 246 custom nucleotide BLAST searches (Altschul et al. 1990) of assembled tissue transcriptomes (ESM 247 File 4) with visual genes from representative vertebrate groups: squamates (P. molarus, P. vitticeps, T. 248 sirtalis, G. japonicus), birds (Gallus gallus) and mammals (Homo sapiens), obtained from GenBank 249 (Coordinators 2016). Significant nucleotide BLAST search hits (E-value < 1e-02; bit score > 200) 250 were extracted from transcriptomes and aligned with representative vertebrate visual genes in 251 Geneious v9.1.8 (Kearse et al. 2012) using a MUSCLE translation alignment v3.4 (Edgar 2004). 252 Aligned sequences were checked for ambiguities and a maximum likelihood tree for each gene was 253 built using RAxML v7.2.8 (Stamatakis 2006). We used an unpartitioned GTR GAMMA substitution 254 model and the "rapid bootstrapping and search for best-scoring ML tree" algorithm with 1000 255 replicates. Trees were rooted by con-familial genes or, if tree was for a single gene only, a mammal 256 gene sequence.

257 Quantifying tail injuries

258 Tail condition was recorded in museum specimens of sea snake (Figure S8). To evaluate the 259 prevalence of tail injuries among the sampled species we used a hurdle model to examine 1) the 260 presence of tail damage, and conditional upon damage occurring, 2) the number of tail injuries. The 261 presence of damage was modelled assuming a binomial variance and logit link, and the count of tail 262 injuries component of the model assumed a truncated Poisson variance and log link. We included the 263 interaction between snout-vent length (cm) and species in our models because older (typically larger) 264 snakes are expected to have more tail injuries and this relationship may differ between species. Snout-265 vent length (svl) was mean-centred for analysis. Other explanatory variables (sex, weight) were 266 assessed using likelihood ratio tests. The likelihood of tail damage seen in non-phototactic species (H. 267 major and H. stokesii) was compared to that observed in phototactic species (A. laevis and A. duboisii) 268 using planned contrasts (Torsten et al. 2008). These analyses were conducted in R using additional 269 packages multcomp v1.4.8 (Bretz & Westfall 2014) and countreg v0.2 (Zeilies et al. 2008).

270 Results

271 Evidence for dermal phototaxis in eight species and evolutionary origin in sea snakes

272 Our behavioural tests provided evidence of negative tail phototaxis in all individuals of *Aipysurus*

273 laevis, A. tenuis and A. duboisii (tail withdrawals in response to white LEDs, 100%, 87% and 70% of

trials, respectively), but not in any of A. mosaicus (5%), Hydrophis major (3.2%), Hydrelaps

275 *darwiniensis*, *Hydrophis stokesii* or *H. platurus* (Figure 1A). Consistent with previous observations in

276 *A. laevis* (Zimmerman & Heatwole 1990), tail phototaxis in individuals of *A. laevis*, *A. duboisii* and *A.*

277 *tenuis* was a stereotyped movement of the tail towards the centre of body mass and away from the

- 278 light stimulus (Table 1; ESM File 5). Tail latencies recorded for the three phototactic species showed
- that tails moved within 7 seconds (s) of illumination. Mean response times were 2.1 s for A. tenuis, 2.5
- s for *A. duboisii* and 3.4 s for *A. laevis* (Figure 1B), and the shortest tail latencies recorded for each
- species were 0.25 s for *A. tenuis*, 0.55 s for *A. laevis* and 1.05 s for *A. duboisii* (Figure 1B). To control

for the effects of the experimenter and to test for phototactic responses to scattered light reaching the eyes, trials of tail response were alternated with trials of white light shone on the mid-body (instead of tail). This was done for 11 individuals of six species, and yielded no phototactic responses to midbody illumination in *A. duboisii, A. mosaicus, H. major* and *H. platurus*, and low response rates in *A. tenuis* (9% of 11 trials, n = 2) and *A. laevis* (2.8% of 36 trials, n = 5) (Figure 1A).

287 To test preliminary observations of phototactic responses to hind-body illumination in A. 288 *tenuis*, a separate experiment was performed on A. *tenuis* (n = 2) and A. *laevis* (n = 1). Here, phototaxis 289 was also recorded in response to white LED light shone on four dorsal regions along the body axis. 290 Aipysurus tenuis showed a stereotyped withdrawal (movement of the illuminated part of the body 291 towards the centre of body mass and away from the light stimulus; ESM File 6) in response to 292 illumination of the dorsal skin on the hind-body (pre-vent, 66.7% of 12 trials), posterior mid-body 293 (16.7% of 12 trials), anterior mid-body (16.7% of 12 trials) and neck region (22.1% of 13 trials), but at 294 a comparatively lower rate compared to tail illumination (100% of 12 trials; ESM File 6). In contrast, 295 no phototactic responses were recorded in any of the four regions of body skin in A. laevis.

For phototactic species *A. tenuis* and *A. laevis*, we compared latencies of responses to tail illumination from four different wavelengths of light produced by LEDs having approximately equal intensities. The results suggest peak sensitivities of tail photoreceptors between 457 and 514 nm (Figure 2); but this pilot experiment did not allow us to generate full response curves for spectral sensitivity or latency of tail movement because only four wavelengths were tested. Relative irradiance measurements for the white, violet, green, blue and red light are shown in Figure S1.

Based on the results of our behavioural tests, and an expectation that evolutionary gains and
losses of phototaxis are rare and equally likely, the most parsimonious inference is that this sensory
modality evolved in the ancestor of a clade of six *Aipysurus* species: *A. laevis, A. fuscus, A. tenuis, A. duboisii, A. foliosquama* and *A. apraefrontalis* (Figure 3). An alternative scenario under which
phototaxis evolved in the common ancestor of all *Aipysurus* and was lost on the lineage leading to *A. mosaicus* involves one additional step. Hence, pending future studies of additional *A. mosaicus*individuals and key taxa such as *Emydocephalus* and *Ephalophis-Parahydrophis* (indicated by

- 309 asterisks on Figure 3), we tentatively infer a single origin of phototaxis within *Aipysurus*, and an
- 310 absence of this trait in all other sea snakes (i.e. 90% of species, including the ~50 *Hydrophis* species).

311 Expression of genes related to visual and non-visual photoreceptors

312 Assembled transcriptomes for sea snake eye, heart, liver, testis and seven skin tissues were profiled for

313 genes relating to visual and non-visual photoreceptors (Table S3; S4). Five vertebrate

314 phototransduction genes were not detected in the eye transcriptome (*sws2*, *rho2*, *grk1*, *gnat1*, *gucy2F*,

315 *pde6a, pde6h*). This is consistent with previous genomic and transcriptomic studies that suggest these

316 genes are missing in snake genomes, hence they were not profiled in the remaining tissue

317 transcriptomes. Summary statistics for sequencing, assembly and transcript completeness are given in

318 Table 2, Table 3 and Supplementary Results (Figure S2). Multidimensional scaling plots and overall

319 expression profiles for tissue transcriptomes are also given in Supplementary Results (Figure S3; S4;

320 S5).

321 Opsins

322 Three genes encoding for visual opsins (*opn1sw*, *rho1*, *opn1lw*) were detected in A. *laevis* eye, and a

323 single visual opsin (*opn1sw*) was detected in *H. major* testis (Figure 4). Genes for two non-visual

324 opsins were also expressed: *xenopus-like* melanopsin (*opn4x*) was detected in A. *laevis* eye, H. *major*

325 testis and two skin transcriptomes each from A. laevis and A. tenuis. Neuropsin (opn5) was expressed

326 in *A. laevis* eye, *H. major* testis and a single skin transcriptome from *A. laevis* (Figure 4).

327 Phototransduction

328 A total of 24 genes related to phototransduction in visual photoreceptors of vertebrates (i.e. ciliary

329 genes) were detected in the *A. laevis* eye, 17 in *H. major* testis, nine in *H. major* heart, seven in *H.*

330 *major* liver and 13 across *Aipysurus* skin tissues (Figure 4). There was no discernible co-expression

- 331 pattern between putatively photoreceptive skin and variably or non-photoreceptive skin (Figure 4).
- 332 Phototransduction genes detected in the majority (four or more) of skin tissue samples were *arrb2*,
- 333 gna11, guca1b, pdc-like, pdc-likeb1, pdc-likeb3, pde6d, and pde6g (Figure 4). Genes grk7-like and

334 grk5 were detected in a single skin transcriptome each from A. laevis and A. tenuis, and sag in a single 335 skin transcriptome from A. tenuis (Figure 4). Phototransduction genes grk5, guca1a/c, pdc, gnat2, sag, 336 and *rcvrn* were detected in the skin using FPKM levels, but gene tree analyses indicated that these are 337 most likely homologous with grk5-like, guca1b, pdc-like2/3, gnai2/3, arrestin C-like, and hippocalcin-338 *like* (*hpcl-like*), respectively (ESM File 7). The following 11 phototransduction genes were not 339 detected in skin transcriptomes: cnga3, cngb1, cngb3, gnat2, guca1a, guca1c, gucy2d-like, pdc, pdc-340 like2, pde6b-like, pde6c and slc24a2 (Figure 4; ESM File 7). Genes related to phototransduction in 341 non-visual photoreceptors (e.g. intrinsically-photoreceptive retinal ganglion cells; ipRGCs) and 342 invertebrate visual photoreceptors (i.e. rhabdomeric genes), gna11, plcb1, plcb3, plcb4, were also 343 profiled and found to be widely expressed across all organs including skin (data not shown). 344 Retinoid regeneration 345 A total of 13 genes related to retinoid regeneration were detected in the A. laevis eye, eight in H.

346 major testis, nine in H. major heart, seven in H. major liver, and eight across Aipysurus skin tissues 347 (Figure 4). There was no discernible co-expression pattern between putatively photoreceptive skin and 348 variably or non-photoreceptive skin (Figure 4). Genes detected in the majority (more than four) skin 349 tissues were *lrat, rdh8-like, rdh10, rdh11-like, rdh12-like, rdh14,* and *rpe65,* and the gene *rgs9bp* was 350 detected in two skin tissues from A. laevis (Figure 4). The identity of some retinoid regeneration genes 351 that were detected in the skin tissues using FPKM levels (*rhd5* and *rgs9*) could not be verified by 352 custom nucleotide BLAST searches and phylogenetic analysis (ESM File 7). The following retinoid 353 regeneration genes were not detected in skin transcriptomes: abca4, rbp3, rdh5, rgr and rgs9.

354 The relationship between tail damage and phototactic ability

355 Phototactic species A. laevis and A. duboisii had slightly higher proportions of damaged tails (67% and

- 356 58 %, respectively) compared to non-phototactic species *H. major* (47%) and *H. stokesii* (40%) from
- 357 the same geographic location (Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia) (Table S6). We predicted that
- 358 phototactic ability (i.e. species) would explain differences in the likelihood of tail damage occurring.
- However, there was no effect of species on likelihood of tail damage ($\chi_2 = 2.5, P = 0.47$; Table S7).

360 There was a positive relationship between body length (measured from the snout to the vent: svl) and 361 the probability of tail damage; 10cm increases in svl nearly doubled the likelihood of tail damage 362 (1.97-fold increase, 95% confidence interval 1.26-3.27; $\chi_2 = 10.9$, P = 0.001; Table S7; Figure S6). 363 This relationship was consistent across all the species sampled (i.e., no species *svl interaction; $\gamma_2 =$ 364 1.4, P = 0.69). We therefore found no evidence for our *a priori* hypothesis of differences in the 365 likelihood of tail damage between non-phototactic (H. major and H. stokesii) and phototactic species 366 (A. laevis and A. duboisii; Table S8). Furthermore, conditional on damage occurring, there was no 367 evidence for differences in the number of injuries between species ($\gamma_2 = 5.4$, P = 0.14) or associated 368 with size (i.e., svl; $\chi_2 = 0.05$, P = 0.9; Table S7).

369 **Discussion**

370 Our study presents substantial new data on a novel sensory trait that is underexplored in vertebrates. 371 The difficulties inherent in collecting and housing live sea snakes meant that we were unable to 372 extensively replicate behavioural experiments. However, our tests of 17 individuals of eight species 373 yielded highly consistent results with low variability both within individuals and among individuals 374 within species. These results confirm the phototactic ability of the only previously studied species of 375 reptile (the olive sea snake, Aipysurus laevis) and reveal this trait for the first time in A. duboisii and 376 A. tenuis (Figure 1; ESM File 5). We recorded phototactic responses of the hind-body in A. tenuis that 377 have not previously been reported and may be linked to the elongate body form of this species (thus 378 increased distance between the hind-body and cephalic sensory organs). All other species tested 379 showed little or no response to light on the body skin, which suggests that photoreceptive regions are 380 primarily located in the tail skin.

We found that snakes were most responsive to blue and green light, and least responsive to violet and red. Considering the narrow bandwidth and the approximately balanced in light output (at least, in energy terms) of the coloured LEDs, we suggest that dermal photoreceptors have spectral sensitivities between 457 and 514 nm (the peaks of the blue and green LEDs). Such a spectral location is consistent with the spectral sensitivities of other dermal photoreceptors such as chromatophores in cephalopods

(470–480 nm; Ramirez and Oakley 2015) as well as that of our candidate non-visual opsins (e.g.
melanopsin; Díaz et al. 2016; Bertolesi and McFarlane 2018). However, our pilot experiment lacks the
necessary spectral resolution that would allow us to distinguish melanopsin-based photoreception,
with a peak sensitivity typically around 480nm, from that of rhodopsins (with peak sensitivities
generally around 500 nm). Latencies recorded for sea snake tails were comparable to hagfish and
lampreys, i.e. between one and six seconds (Newth & Ross 1954; Steven 1955).

392 Based on an expectation that losses and gains of phototaxis are rare, we offer a preliminary 393 hypothesis that this sensory modality originated in the ancestor of a clade of six Aipysurus species 394 (Figure 3). To better resolve the origin of phototaxis, future studies will be needed to increase 395 individual sampling (particularly of putatively non-phototactic Aipysurus, i.e. the A. mosaicus species 396 complex), and target key lineages such as *Emydocephalus* and *Ephalophis-Parahydrophis*. 397 Nevertheless, the absence of phototactic responses in six individuals from four species that are widely 398 distributed in the large *Hydrelaps-Hydrophis* clade suggests that most of the > 60 known sea snake 399 species lack phototactic tails, prompting the question of why only some sea snakes have evolved (or

400 retained) this sensory behaviour.

401 Numerous species traits must influence vulnerability to predation and/or the locomotory costs of 402 tail damage, including diel and spatial activity patterns, preferred habitat and depth, and size of body 403 and tail. Aipysurus species have smaller geographic ranges and stronger patterns of mitochondrial 404 geographic structure compared to Hydrophis (Nitschke et al. 2018); these observations indicate lower 405 dispersal propensities in *Aipysurus*, which might result in slower swimming speeds and thus stronger 406 selection for strategies for crypsis such as tail phototaxis. However, there is no particular trait, or 407 combination of traits, that solely characterizes the species shown (or inferred) in our study to have 408 phototactic tails. All sea snakes have paddle-shaped tails used for locomotion, and all species are 409 active foragers that rest at times during the day, often under coral or rocky overhangs (Rasmussen et 410 al. 2011). Furthermore, we found no difference in the likelihood of tail damage or in the total number 411 of injuries sustained by phototactic A. laevis and A. duboisii compared to the non-phototactic H.

412 stokesii and H. major, which suggests that there is no intrinsically higher (or lower) vulnerability to 413 predation in the phototactic populations sampled. The *Aipysurus-Emydocephalus* and *Hydrophis* 414 clades, however, show notable differences in their adaptations to marine habits, including use of 415 different tissues to seal the mouth and different vertebral processes to support their tail paddles 416 (Sanders et al. 2012). Hence, a recent origin of tail phototaxis in just the *Aipysurus-Emydocephalus* 417 clade might be best explained by historical contingency, rather than an absence of similar selection 418 pressures in other sea snakes.

419 Candidate genes underlying dermal phototaxis

420 The conspicuous absence of classical visual photoreceptor structures in the skin of phototactic sea 421 snakes, lampreys, hagfish and aquatic amphibians poses a significant challenge to research on 422 vertebrate dermal photoreception. Based on our expectation that tail phototaxis could be mediated by 423 independent or novel genetic pathways, we decided to screen whole skin transcriptomes for genes 424 related to visual and non-visual photoreceptors. This approach yielded genes of interest in the eye of 425 sea snakes and low expression abundance and variably non-specific patterns of expression across 426 tissue types (Figure 4; Table S5). Below we discuss a putative role for these candidate genes in a non-427 visual photoreceptor pathway in sea snake skin.

428 Light detection pathways begin with light-absorbing pigments such as the visual opsins that 429 are expressed in the classical retinal photoreceptors, rods and cones, and are also implicated in dermal 430 photoreception in cephalopods (Kingston & Cronin 2016; Ramirez & Oakley 2015), teleosts 431 (Schweikert et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2013) and gekkonid lizards (Fulgione et al. 2014). Absorption of 432 light by opsins initiates a complex phototransduction cascade in which the chromophore retinaldehyde 433 (vitamin A) bound with the opsin must photoisomerize from a cis to an all-trans conformation. In 434 visual opsin systems, photoisomerization then activates phosphodiesterase-6 (PDE6) through coupling 435 with a heterotrimer G protein 'transducin' (GNAT), producing a hyperpolarising current by the 436 opening of cyclic-nucleotide gated channels (CNG) in the photoreceptor membrane (Figure 5A).

437 As expected from transcriptomic and genomic studies of vision in snakes, several 438 phototransduction genes (grk1, gnat1, gucy2F, pde6a, pde6h) and two visual opsin genes (sws2 and 439 *rho2*) were absent in the *A. laevis* eye transcriptome (Bhattacharyya et al. 2017; Davies et al. 2009; 440 Hart et al. 2012; Hauzman et al. 2017; Schott et al. 2015; Schott et al. 2017; Simões et al. 2016). All 441 three of the visual opsins found in snakes (opn11w, opn1sw and rho1) were detected in the A. laevis 442 eye, but none were detected in the skin of A. laevis or A. tenuis (Figure 4). Consistent with the absence 443 of a visual opsin to absorb light, only a few vertebrate phototransduction genes were present in the 444 skin, and together these genes form an incomplete phototransduction cascade for image-forming 445 vision (Figure 5A). Importantly, we did not detect transcripts for GNAT (gnat2), PDE6 rod-specific 446 units (pde6b) and regulator of G-protein signalling 9 (rgs9). However, 13 visual phototransduction 447 genes were found to be expressed in the skin of sea snakes (Figure 4), providing to a shortlist of genes 448 that might be involved in independent, non-visual photoreceptor pathways (Figure 5).

449 We detected in the skin two candidate light-absorbing pigments for initiating tail phototaxis in 450 sea snakes: 'xenopus-like' melanopsin (opn4x) and neuropsin (opn5) (Figure 5C) are vertebrate genes 451 associated with a range of non-visual protein functions and patterns of tissue-specific expression. 452 Neuropsin is present in the brain and skin of vertebrates, and is thought to play a role in retinal 453 photoentrainment, changes of skin colour in fish (Buhr et al. 2015; Schweikert et al. 2018) and dermal 454 phototaxis in Xenopus tadpoles (Currie et al. 2016). The 'mammal-like' class of melanopsin (opn4m) 455 is present in the ipRGCs of the eye (Provencio & Warthen 2012; Bellingham et al. 2006) and some 456 cranial nerves (Matynia et al. 2016), and has a range of photosensory functions including 457 photoentrainment of molecular clocks, local pupil light reflex, DNA repair and melatonin synthesis 458 (reviewed in Peirson et al. 2009; Bertolesi and McFarlane 2018). The role of opn4x is understudied 459 but it is expressed in a wide range of tissues including the brain and eye of fish, amphibians, reptiles, 460 turtles and birds (reviewed in Davies et al. 2014). Because opn4x is expressed in dermal melanophores 461 of fish and amphibians (Oshima 2001; Bertolesi & McFarlane 2018; Provencio et al. 1998) and 462 neuromasts of the lateral line system in Xenopus tadpoles (Baker et al. 2015) it is a good candidate

463 pigment for non-visual light detection pathways in non-mammalian vertebrates such as sea snakes464 (Kelley & Davies 2016).

465 The pathways interacting with opn4x are incompletely known, but the gene is similar in DNA 466 sequence and function to opsins that use phototransduction pathways of invertebrate photoreceptors 467 (i.e. rhabdomeric) (Graham et al. 2008; Isoldi et al. 2005; Díaz et al. 2016). Following 468 photoisomerization, melanopsin is thought to activate a G-protein Gq/11 (GNAQ / GNA11) and 469 phospholipase C (PLC) second messenger cascade, producing depolarizing currents by the activation 470 of TRP-like channels (TRP) (Díaz et al. 2016). We detected genes that encode the primary proteins in 471 the putative melanopsin pathway, GNAQ (gnaq) and PLC beta (plcb1, plcb3, plcb4), across a range of 472 sea snake tissues including skin (data not shown), suggesting that some type of Gq rhabdomeric 473 signalling pathway is possible for melanopsin-based dermal photoreception in sea snakes. However, 474 these genes are also integral to a range of cellular pathways, so further molecular studies are needed to 475 confirm their role in tail phototaxis. If opn4x is indeed responsible for mediating phototaxis in sea 476 snakes, previous studies of opn4x expression (Baker et al., 2015; Davies et al., 2014; Provencio et al., 477 1998) would suggest three candidate cell types that may be associated with dermal photoreceptors: 1) 478 dermal melanophores involved in colour change, 2) dermal mechanoreceptors, and 3) peripheral nerve 479 endings in the epidermis that may or may not be associated with dermal mechanoreceptors. Given that 480 dermal phototaxis is not linked to colour change in sea snakes, we suggest that future studies are most 481 likely to find photoreceptive structures in either peripheral nerve endings in the epidermis or dermal 482 mechanoreceptors, or a combination of both.

The phototransduction cascade is completed with the regeneration of *all-trans*-retinaldehyde to supply new *cis*-retinaldehyde to the opsin, which involves retinal pigment epithelium 65 Da (RPE65), lecithin retinol acyltransferase (LRAT) and various retinol dehydrogenase (RDH) proteins (Figure 5B). We detected seven genes involved in retinal regeneration that were widely expressed across *Aipysurus* skin and *Hydrophis* tissues (Figure 5B), including *rpe65*, the expression of which is generally thought to be restricted to the retinal pigment epithelium and cone photoreceptors of the eye (Wright et al. 2015). *Rpe65*, in conjunction with *lrat* (also expressed in the tail skin), has a key role in

isomerization of the opsin chromophore (Wright et al. 2015; Saari 2012). Although the *opn4m* has an
intrinsically photoisomerizing (i.e. bistable) function, light stability in *opn4x* is variably monostable or
bistable depending on the isoform and/or taxon (Díaz et al. 2016; Tu et al. 2006). Significantly,
associated retinal regeneration proteins of the eye, *rlbp1* and *rgr*, are absent from *Aipysurus* skin
tissues. Although the operation and interaction of *opn4x* and/or *opn5* with *rpe65 and lrat* (and other
retinal regeneration genes) within the skin is not entirely clear, a role in the regeneration of opsin
chromophore in dermal photoreceptors would seem likely.

497 Conclusions

498 Our sea snake skin transcriptomes yielded non-visual opsins (and an absence of visual opsins), in 499 addition to several phototransduction and retinal cycle genes, providing preliminary evidence that tail 500 phototaxis may be mediated by genes related to non-visual photoreceptors that do not involve image-501 forming vision but rather provide information on overall light levels in the environment. Although 502 future studies are needed to confirm a functional role of our candidate genes in mediating tail 503 phototaxis, and uncover the precise location of photoreceptive structures in sea snake skin, these 504 findings highlight the utility of gene expression profiling as a first step in identifying the molecular 505 mechanisms underlying sensory evolution. Dermal phototaxis may be more prevalent in vertebrates 506 than currently recognised. We suggest that it is likely to be particularly important for aquatic or 507 burrowing taxa with elongate bodies and/or tails that are anatomically remote from the concentration 508 of sensory organs on their heads. Transcriptome profiling studies in other reptiles (including putatively 509 non-phototactic sea snakes) should target skin to identify patterns of taxon- and tissue-specific 510 expression of genes related to visual and non-visual photoreceptors.

511

512 Acknowledgements: This work was supported by a Hermon-Slade Foundation Grant

513 (HSF0001039517), The University of Adelaide Environment Institute Seed Grant, and Australian

514 Research Council (ARC) Future Fellowship (FT130101965) to K.L.S and ARC Discovery Project

515 (DP180101688) to B.F.S., D.M.H., J.B. and K.L.S.; a Leverhume Grant (RPG-342) to D.J.G., D.M.H.

516	and J.C.P.; an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship and Fulbright
517	Postgraduate Scholarship held by J.M.C-R.; and a European Union Marie Skłodowska-Curie Global
518	Fellowship (GA703438) to B.F.S. Specimen SVL, weight, and sex data used in analyses of tail
519	injuries were supplied by CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Brisbane. We are grateful to Kylie
520	Sherwood (Chelonia Broome), Harvey Lillywhite, Coleman Sheehy III, Mark Sandfoss, Ruchira
521	Somaweera, Mick and Kelly Woodley and crew (Absolute Ocean Charters, Broome) for assistance in
522	catching and transporting sea snakes. We thank Jack Jones, Dan Tucker, Lucille Chapuis, Caroline
523	Kerr and Ralph Foster for advice in aquaria set up. We thank Adrian Giffen and Murray Hamilton for
524	the use of a power meter and light sensor. For ac- cess to specimens and laboratories, we thank Mark
525	Hutchinson and Carolyn Kovach (South Australian Museum), Andrew Amey and Patrick Couper
526	(Queensland Museum), and Jodi Rowley and Stephen Mahony (Australian Museum).
527	
528	Data accessibility: Supplementary materials, results, tables and figures attached separately. Raw
529	RNA-reads are available at NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SUB4931382). Electronic supplementary
530	data are available at Figshare (DOI: 10.25909/5c1ade89814b0) including:
531	• ESM File 1: Beh-exp.xlsx containing raw and summary data for behavioural experiments of
532	17 individuals from eight species of sea snake.
533	• ESM File 2: Tail-beh-injuries.xlsx containing raw data of tail damage in museum specimens
534	of sea snakes.
535	• ESM File 3: FPKMheatmap.zip containing R script for generating MDS plot & FPKM
536	heatmap, TPM matrix for each sea snake transcriptome created using Salmon
537	• ESM File 4: TranscriptomeAssemblies-PhototacticTails-skin-heart-liver-eye-testis.zip
538	containing transcriptomes for sea snake tissues assembled using Trinity pipeline.
539	• ESM File 5: Video containing examples of tail phototaxis in sea snakes
540	• ESM File 6. Video containing examples of body phototaxis in sea snakes.

541	•	ESM File 7. RAXML-gene-trees.zip containing maximum likelihood gene trees in fasta and
542		nexus format shows relationship among putative phototaxis sea snake transcripts and
543		phototaxis genes from representative vertebrate lineages.

- 544 Author contributions: J.M.C.-R. and K.L.S conceived of the study. J.M.C.-R. and K.L.S conducted
- 545 field work and behavioural experiments. J.M.C.-R., K.L.S, B.F.S. and D.J.G. collected tissues. A.L.,
- 546 J.B. and J.G.S. generated summary statistics for mRNA reads. J.G.S. assembled transcriptomes. J.B.
- 547 conducted read quantification. J.M.C.-R. and A.L. conducted gene profiling with input from B.F.S.,
- 548 D.J.G. and K.L.S. Phylogenetic analyses for gene verification was performed by J.M.C.-R. with input
- 549 from B.F.S. and K.L.S. Tail injuries were quantified by J.M.C.-R. and analysed by S.D. The
- 550 manuscript was written by J.M.C.-R. and K.L.S. with significant input from all co-authors. The
- authors declare no competing interests.
- 552

553 Tables

Table 1: Categories of behavioural responses to light on the skin. Phototactic scores were negative
 phototaxis = 1 and no phototaxis = 0.

Category	Description of behaviour	Phototactic score
CW	Tail withdraws completely out of light within 10 s and no other part of snake moves	1
W	Tail withdraws away from light within 10 s and no other part of snake moves	1
TL	Tail tilts from dorsal plane to sagittal plane within 10 s and no other part of snake moves	0
TJ	Sudden movement of tail only	0
BJ	Sudden movement of body only	0
В	Body undulates as in swimming movement	0
HT	Head moves to location of tail, tail may or may not withdraw	0
BW	Body withdraws away from light within 10 s and no other part of snake moves	1
NR	No response, body and tail do not change position	0

556

557 **Table 2.** Statistical summary of sequencing.

5	5	8
~	~	\sim

			Paired end	%	Trimmed	Reads	%
Species	ID	Phototactic region	raw reads	Removed	reads	mapped	Alignment
Aipysurus							
laevis	KLS0459	Eye	41,960,313	0	41,960,313	37,485,594	89.3
		Skin photoreceptive					
		tail tip (dorsal)	30,343,697	37.9	18,839,010	18,377,480	97.6
		Skin photoreceptive					
	KLS0656	tail tip (dorsal)	16,098,369	43.6	9,082,453	8,060,311	88.7
		Skin non-					
		photoreceptive tail	17 (06 227	20.0	12 (01 077	11 042 010	04.9
		(anterior)	17,696,227	28.8	12,601,077	11,943,910	94.8
		SKIN NON-					
		body near yeart					
		(dorsal)	27 845 728	36.8	17 588 152	16 791 799	95.5
Ainysurus		Skin photoreceptive	27,043,720	50.0	17,500,152	10,771,777	75.5
tenuis	KLS0654	tail tip (dorsal)	20 397 990	52.0	9 798 407	8 841 047	90.2
lentitis	TED 000 1	Skin non-	20,377,770	52.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0,011,017	20.2
		photoreceptive tail					
		(anterior)	31,707,833	32.2	21,486,415	20,745,575	96.6
		Skin photoreceptive			, ,		
		body near vent					
		(dorsal)	16,828,408	32.1	11,428,795	10,375,249	90.8
Hydrophis							
major	KLS0460	Heart	31,189,072	41.0	18,392,676	17,928,884	97.5
		Liver	31,187,724	39.8	18,782,654	18,265,069	97.2
		Testis	27,457,192	40.4	16,371,706	15,700,688	95.9

560 561 562 Table 3. Summary statistics of Trinity assemblies.

Specim	211		Contias	Lonath			Contigs	>=	Contigs	s > =	Conti	as > -1	0000 hn
specime	^c n		No	Total	Lougost	N50 contia	1000 00	·	5000 0)	Conn	$g_{s} > -10$	<u> </u>
C	ID	T '	NO.	1 otal	Longest	NSU contig	37	0/	N	07	N	07	GC
<u>spp.</u>	ID IV a	Tissue	assembled	length (bp)	contig (bp)	length (bp)	NO.	%0	INO.	%0	NO.	%	%
А.	KLS	_											
laevis	0459	Eye	230,892	80,614,082	18,092	2,806	24,256	10.5	2,721	1.2	130	0.06	42.3
		Skin photoreceptive											
		tail tip (dorsal)	130,893	70,608,010	21,767	2,164	24,074	18.4	1,163	0.9	21	0.02	42.7
	KLS	Skin photoreceptive											
	0656	tail tip (dorsal)	49,779	5,155,121	8,160	814	1,223	2.5	11	0.0	0	0.00	43.6
		Skin non-			·								
		photoreceptive tail											
		(anterior)	90.353	23.528.511	10.860	1.121	7.786	8.6	50	0.1	2	0.00	44.7
		Skin non-	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-,	.,			0.12			,
		photorecentive body											
		near vent (dorsal)	150 / 156	63 237 606	14 787	1 784	21.086	13.8	708	0.4	20	0.01	11 1
4	VIC	Skin photorecentive	157,450	05,257,070	14,707	1,704	21,700	15.0	700	0.4	20	0.01	
A.	NLS 0654	skill pilotoreceptive	76 190	7 410 792	9 560	790	1 6 1 2	2.2	4	0.0	0	0.00	107
ienuis	0034	tan tip (dorsar)	/0,189	7,419,785	8,300	/80	1,045	2.2	4	0.0	0	0.00	42.7
		Skin non-											
		photoreceptive tail											
		(anterior)	118,552	44,671,944	10,895	1,478	16,550	14.0	144	0.1	4	0.00	44.4
		Skin photoreceptive											
		body near vent					4,120						
		(dorsal)	92,552	14,741,471	10,723	892		4.5	21	0.0	2	0.00	44.4
Н.	KLS												
major	0460	Heart	124,657	68,064,584	51,061	1,995	23,763	19.1	820	0.7	18	0.01	42.9
		Liver	125,968	49,361,792	19,451	1,595	18,496	14.7	178	0.1	8	0.01	42.8
		Testis	200.649	92,040,799	11,282	1,743	32,250	16.1	784	0.4	5	0.00	43.1









Figure 1. Negative phototaxis in response to white LED light on the dorsal surface of skin in sea snakes. 'Negative phototaxis' was recorded if the illuminated region moved away from the light within 10 s and no other part of the snake moved. A) Response (%) to light on tail skin and body skin in eight species; asterisks indicate species in which light was shone on the tail skin only. B) Tail latency in the phototactic species; box plots represent median (middle solid horizontal line), mean (black dots) and range (dotted line) of latencies across a mean of 6 trials per individual.





Figure 2. Negative tail phototaxis in response to four coloured LED lights, violet (392 nm), blue (347 nm), green (514 nm) and red (623 nm), in three captive individuals from two species, *Aipysurus laevis* (n = 1) and *A. tenuis* (n = 2), across a mean of 6 trials per individual. 'Negative phototaxis' was recorded if the illuminated region moved away from the light within 20 s and no other part of the snake moved.



588 589

590 Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of sea snakes showing distribution of tail phototaxis: red branches 591 represent species that showed phototactic responses to localised white light on the tail but not 592 the mid-body, blue branches represent species that were unresponsive to localised white light 593 on both the tail and mid-body, and untested species are shown as grey branches. Based on 594 these currently available data (17 individuals of 8 species), the most parsimonious inference is 595 that tail phototaxis evolved in the ancestor of a clade of six Aipysurus species (the node 596 marked with a red dot). The only previously studied species, Aipysurus laevis, is indicated by 597 red asterisk. Tree modified from Sherratt et al., (2018); legend is in millions of years ago 598 (MYA); image of Aipysurus tenuis shows regions that were tested for phototactic responses, 599 taken with permission from Mirtschin, Rasmussen, & Weinstein (2017).





603 Figure 4. Gene profiling of tissue transcriptomes from Aipysurus laevis, A. tenuis and 604 Hydrophis major sea snakes. A) Heatmaps show normalised expression levels of genes for 605 visual pigments (opsins), phototransduction cascades related to visual photoreceptors and 606 retinoid regeneration. RNA reads were quantified by pseudoalignment to a pitviper (Protobothrops mucrosquamatus) transcriptome; fragments per kilobase of transcript per 607 608 million mapped reads (FPKM) were log-transformed for visualising in heatmap; strikethrough 609 cells indicate transcripts whose visual function could not be verified by nucleotide BLAST 610 searching and phylogenetic analysis (ESM File 7). B) Schematic diagram of tail showing 611 where skin tissues were collected from phototactic species (A. laevis and A. tenuis). Putative 612 dermal light sensitivity is indicated by red circle (photoreceptive), green triangle (non-613 photoreceptive) and blue square (photoreceptive in A. tenuis only). Diagram modified from 614 Zimmerman and Heatwole (1990).





618 Figure 5. Visual and non-visual phototransduction pathways; highlighted genes are expressed 619 in the sea snake skin tissue. A) Vertebrate phototransduction pathways specific to rod 620 photoreceptors (black circles), cone photoreceptors (red circles) and both rods and cones (blue 621 circles). Genes absent in snake genomes are also indicated (dashed line) and the visual genes 622 present in eye but absent in skin transcriptomes are faded. B) The retinoid regeneration 623 pathway (green circles). C) Non-visual opsins found in both putative phototactic and non-624 phototactic sea snake skin (shaded purple). Diagrams modified from Fu (2015); Invergo, 625 Montanucci, Laayouni, & Bertranpetit (2013); Saari (2012).

626

References 627

- 628 Aird, S.D. et al., 2017. Population genomic analysis of a pitviper reveals microevolutionary 629 forces underlying venom chemistry. Genome Biology and Evolution, 9(10), pp.2640-630 2649.
- 631 Alder, K., 1976. Extraocular photoreception in amphibians. Photochemistry and 632 Photobiology, 23, pp.275–298.
- 633 Altschul, S.F. et al., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology, 634 215(3), pp.403–410. Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022283605803602. 635
- 636 Andrews, S., 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 637 Available at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc.
- 638 Aubret, F. & Shine, R., 2008. The origin of evolutionary innovations: locomotor 639 consequences of tail shape in aquatic snakes. Functional Ecology, 22(2), pp.317–322.

641 experimental biology, 218(18), pp.2826–2829. 642 Ban, E. et al., 2005. The signaling pathway in photoresponses that may be mediated by visual 643 pigments in erythrophores of Nile tilapia. *Pigment Cell Research*, 18(5), pp.360–369. 644 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0749.2005.00267.x. Bellingham, J. et al., 2006. Evolution of melanopsin photoreceptors: Discovery and 645 646 characterization of a new melanopsin in nonmammalian vertebrates. *PLoS Biology*, 4(8), 647 pp.1334-1343. 648 Bertolesi, G.E. & McFarlane, S., 2018. Seeing the light to change colour: An evolutionary 649 perspective on the role of melanopsin in neuroendocrine circuits regulating light-650 mediated skin pigmentation. Pigment Cell and Melanoma Research, 31(3), pp.354-373. 651 Bhattacharyya, N. et al., 2017. Cone-like rhodopsin expressed in the all-cone retina of the 652 colubrid pine snake as a potential adaptation to diurnality. Journal of Experimental 653 Biology, pp.2418-2425. 654 Binder, T.R. & McDonald, D.G., 2008. The role of dermal photoreceptors during the sea 655 lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) spawning migration. Journal of Comparative Physiology 656 A: Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology, 194(11), pp.921–928. 657 Bretz, F. & Westfall, T.H.P., 2014. Multiple Comparisons Using R, Available at: 658 https://books.google.de/books?id=U8Xc9zujgcsC. 659 Buhr, E.D. et al., 2015. Neuropsin (OPN5)-mediated photoentrainment of local circadian oscillators in mammalian retina and cornea. Proceedings of the National Academy of 660 661 Sciences, 112(42), pp.13093–13098. Available at: 662 http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1516259112. 663 Camacho, C. et al., 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics, 10, 664 p.421. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2803857/. 665 Chen, S.C., Robertson, R.M. & Hawryshyn, C.W., 2013. Possible involvement of cone opsins 666 in distinct photoresponses of intrinsically photosensitive dermal chromatophores in 667 Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. PLoS ONE, 8(8), pp.1–9. 668 Coordinators, N.R., 2016. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology 669 Information. Nucleic Acids Research, 44(D1), pp.D7–D19. Available at: 670 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1290. 671 Currie, S.P., Doherty, G.H. & Sillar, K.T., 2016. Deep-brain photoreception links luminance 672 detection to motor output in Xenopus frog tadpoles. Proceedings of the National 673 Academy of Sciences, 113(21), pp.6053–6058. Available at: 674 http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1515516113. 675 Davies, W.I.L. et al., 2009. Shedding light on serpent sight: the visual pigments of 676 Henophidian snakes. Journal of Neuroscience, 29(23), pp.7519–7525. Available at: 677 http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0517-09.2009. 678 Davies, W.I.L., Foster, R.G. & Hankins, M.W., 2014. The evolution and function of melanopsin in craniates. In D. M. Hunt et al., eds. Evolution of Visual and Non-visual 679 680 Pigments. Boston, MA: Springer US, pp. 23-63. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4355-1 2. 681 682 Deliagina, T. et al., 1995. Initiation of locomotion by lateral line photoreceptors in lamprey: 683 behavioural and neurophysiological studies. The Journal of Experimental Biology, 684 198(12), pp.2581–91. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9320511. 685 Díaz, N.M., Morera, L.P. & Guido, M.E., 2016. Melanopsin and the non-visual 686 photochemistry in the inner retina of vertebrates. Photochemistry and Photobiology,

Baker, G.E. et al., 2015. Light sensitivity in a vertebrate mechanoreceptor? The Journal of

- 687 92(1), pp.29–44.
- Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(5), pp.1792–1797. Available at:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- Foster, R.G. & Soni, B.G., 1998. Extraretinal photoreceptors and their regulation of temporal
 physiology. *Reviews of Reproduction*, 3(3), pp.145–150. Available at:
 http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=9829548&ret
 mode=ref&cmd=prlinks%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/276F1AAB-716D-45B286ED-B9BE5E6F773E.
- Fu, Y., 2015. Phototransduction in rods and cones. *Webvision*, pp.1–29. Available at:
 http://webvision.med.utah.edu/book/part-v-phototransduction-in-rods-and-cones/phototransduction-in-rods-and-cones/ [Accessed April 5, 2016].
- Fulgione, D. et al., 2014. Seeing through the skin: dermal light sensitivity provides cryptism
 in moorish gecko. *Journal of Zoology*, 294(2), pp.122–128. Available at:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12159.
- Grabherr, M.G. et al., 2011. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without
 a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(7), pp.644–652.
- Graham, D.M. et al., 2008. Melanopsin ganglion cells use a membrane-associated
 rhabdomeric phototransduction cascade. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 99(5), pp.2522–
 2532. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.01066.2007.
- Gurevich, A. et al., 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies.
 Bioinformatics, 29(8), pp.1072–1075. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- Haas, B.J. et al., 2013. De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the
 Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, 8(8), pp.1494–
 1512.
- Hart, N.S. et al., 2012. Photoreceptor types, visual pigments, and topographic specializations
 in the retinas of hydrophiid sea snakes. *J Comp Neurol*, 520(6), pp.1246–1261.
 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020556.
- Hauzman, E. et al., 2017. Daily activity patterns influence retinal morphology, signatures of
 selection, and spectral tuning of opsin genes in colubrid snakes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 17(1), pp.1–14.
- Heatwole, H., 1975. Predation on sea snakes. In W. A. Dunson, ed. *The biology of sea snakes*.
 Baltimore: University Park Press, pp. 233–249.
- Invergo, B. et al., 2013. A system-level, molecular evolutionary analysis of mammalian
 phototransduction. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 13(1), p.52. Available at:
 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/13/52.
- Isoldi, M.C. et al., 2005. Rhabdomeric phototransduction initiated by the vertebrate
 photopigment melanopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(4),
 pp.1217–1221. Available at: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0409252102.
- Kearse, M. et al., 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software
 platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(12),
 pp.1647–1649.
- Kelley, J.L. & Davies, W.I.L., 2016. The biological mechanisms and behavioral functions of
 opsin-based light detection by the skin. *Front. Ecol. Evol*, 4(4), pp.1063389–106.
- 732 Kingston, A.C.N. et al., 2015. Visual phototransduction components in cephalopod

733 734 735 736	chromatophores suggest dermal photoreception. <i>The Journal of Experimental Biology</i> , 218(10), pp.1596–1602. Available at: http://jeb.biologists.org/content/218/10/1596%5Cnhttp://jeb.biologists.org/content/218/1 0/1596.abstract?etoc%5Cnhttp://jeb.biologists.org/content/218/10/1596.full.pdf.
737 738 739	Kingston, A.C.N. & Cronin, T.W., 2016. Diverse distributions of extraocular opsins in crustaceans, cephalopods, and fish. <i>Integrative and Comparative Biology</i> , 56(5), pp.820–833.
740 741	Kolde, R., 2012. Pheatmap: pretty heatmaps. Available at: http://cran.r- project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/pheatmap.pdf.
742 743	Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L., 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. <i>Nature Methods</i> , 9, p.357. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
744 745	Lex, A., Gehlenborg, N. & Strobelt, H., 2016. UpSet: visualization of intersecting sets. <i>Europe PMC Funders Group</i> , 20(12), pp.1983–1992.
746 747 748	Masunaga, G. et al., 2008. Shark predation of sea snakes (Reptilia: Elapidae) in the shallow waters around the Yaeyama Islands of the southern Ryukyus, Japan. <i>Marine Biodiversity Records</i> , 1, p.e96.
749 750 751	Mäthger, L.M., Roberts, S.B. & Hanlon, R.T., 2010. Evidence for distributed light sensing in the skin of cuttlefish, Sepia officinalis. <i>Biology Letters</i> , 6(April), pp.600–603. Available at:
752 753	http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2936158&tool=pmcentrez& rendertype=abstract.
754 755 756	Matynia, A. et al., 2016. Peripheral sensory neurons expressing melanopsin respond to light. <i>Frontiers in Neural Circuits</i> , 10(August), pp.1–15. Available at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fncir.2016.00060/abstract.
757 758	Millott, N., 1968. The dermal light sense. <i>Symposia of the Zoological Society of London</i> , 23, pp.1–36.
759 760	Mirtschin, P., Rasmussen, A. & Weinstein, S., 2017. <i>Dangerous snakes of Australia:</i> <i>identification, biology and envenoming</i> 1st ed., Clayton, Victoria: CSIRO Publishing.
761 762 763	Moriya, T. et al., 1996. Light-sensitive response in melanophores of <i>Xenopus laevis</i> : I. Spectral characteristics of melanophore response in isolated tail fin of <i>Xenopus</i> tadpole. <i>Journal of Experimental Zoology</i> , 276(1), pp.11–18.
764 765	Newth, D.R. & Ross, D.M., 1954. On the reaction to light of <i>Myxine Clutinosa L. The Journal of Experimental Biology</i> , 32, pp.4–21.
766 767 768	Nitschke, C.R. et al., 2018. Rates of population differentiation and speciation are decoupled in sea snakes. <i>Biology letters</i> , 14(10), p.20180563. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30333264.
769 770 771	O'Leary, N.A. et al., 2016. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , 44(D1), pp.D733–D745. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189.
772 773	Oshima, N., 2001. Direct reception of light by chromatophores of lower vertebrates. <i>Pigment Cell Research</i> , 14(5), pp.312–319.
774 775 776	Patro, R. et al., 2017. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. <i>Nature Methods</i> , 14(4), pp.417–419. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197.
777 778	Patzner, R.A., 1978. Experimental studies on the light sense in the hagfish, <i>Eptatretus burgeri</i> and <i>Paramyxine atami</i> (Cyclostomata). <i>Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche</i>

780 781	http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0- 1542601226&partnerID=40&md5=cfdf0c4834a993560022de5a76f7a14f.
782 783	Pearse, A.S., 1910. The reactions of amphibians to light. <i>Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences</i> , 45(6), pp.161–208.
784 785 786 787	Peirson, S.N., Halford, S. & Foster, R.G., 2009. The evolution of irradiance detection: melanopsin and the non-visual opsins. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society</i> of London B: Biological Sciences, 364(1531), pp.2849–2865. Available at: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/364/1531/2849.abstract.
788 789	Porter, M.L. et al., 2011. Shedding new light on opsin evolution. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences</i> , 279, pp.3–14.
790 791 792 793	Provencio, I. et al., 1998. Melanopsin: An opsin in melanophores, brain, and eye. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> , 95(1), pp.340–345. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9419377%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC18217/pdf/pq000340.pdf.
794 795 796	Provencio, I. & Warthen, D.M., 2012. Melanopsin, the photopigment of intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells. <i>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Membrane</i> <i>Transport and Signaling</i> , 1(2), pp.228–237.
797 798	Ramirez, M.D. et al., 2011. Understanding the dermal light sense in the context of integrative photoreceptor cell biology. <i>Visual Neuroscience</i> , 28, pp.265–279.
799 800 801	Ramirez, M.D. & Oakley, T.H., 2015. Eye-independent, light-activated chromatophore expansion (LACE) and expression of phototransduction genes in the skin of Octopus bimaculoides. <i>The Journal of Experimental Biology</i> , 218, pp.1513–1520.
802	Rasmussen, A.R. et al., 2011. Marine reptiles. PLOS one, 6(11), p.e27373.
803 804	R Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Available at: https://www.r-project.org/.
805 806	Reese, A.M., 1906. Observation on the reactions of <i>Cryptobranchus</i> and <i>Necturus</i> to light and heat. <i>The Biological Bulletin</i> , 11, pp.93–99.
807 808 809	Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K., 2009. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , 26(1), pp.139–140.
810 811 812	Ronan, M. & Bodznick, D., 1991. Behavioral and neurophysiological demonstration of a lateralis skin photosensitivity in larval sea lampreys. <i>Journal of Experimental Biology</i> , 161, pp.97–117. Available at: http://jeb.biologists.org/content/161/1/97.abstract.
813 814	Saari, J.C., 2012. Vitamin A metabolism in rod and cone visual cycles. <i>Annual Review of Nutrition</i> , 32(1), pp.125–145.
815 816 817 818	Sanders, K.L., Rasmussen, A.R. & Elmberg, J., 2012. Independent innovation in the evolution of paddle-shaped tails in viviparous sea snakes (Elapidae: Hydrophiinae). <i>Integrative</i> and Comparative Biology, 52(2), pp.311–320. Available at: http://icb.oxfordjournals.org/content/52/2/311.abstract.
819 820	Sayle, M.H., 1916. The reactions of <i>Necturus</i> to stimuli received through the skin. <i>J Anim Behav</i> , 6(2), pp.81–102.
821 822	Schott, R. et al., 2017. Targeted capture of complete coding regions across divergent species. <i>bioRxiv</i> .
823 824	Schott, R.K. et al., 2015. Evolutionary transformation of rod photoreceptors in the all-cone retina of a diurnal garter snake. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the</i>

Meeresuntersuchungen, 31(1-2), pp.180-190. Available at:

- 825 United States of America, 113(2), pp.356–361. Available at:
- 826 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26715746.
- Schubert, M., Lindgreen, S. & Orlando, L., 2016. AdapterRemoval v2: Rapid adapter
 trimming, identification, and read merging. *BMC Research Notes*, 9(1), pp.1–7.
- Schweikert, L.E., Fitak, R.R. & Johnsen, S., 2018. De novo transcriptomics reveal distinct
 phototransduction signaling components in the retina and skin of a color-changing
 vertebrate, the hogfish (*Lachnolaimus maximus*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*,
 0(0), pp.1–11. Available at: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00359-018-1254-4.
- Sherratt, E., Rasmussen, A.R. & Sanders, K.L., 2018. Trophic specialization drives
 morphological evolution in sea snakes. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(3), p.172141.
- Simões, B.F. et al., 2016. Visual pigments, ocular filters and the evolution of snake vision.
 Molecular Biology and Evolution, 33(10), p.msw148. Available at: http://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msw148.
- 838 Stamatakis, A., 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses
 839 with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 22(21), pp.2688–2690.
 840 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446.
- 841 Steven, D.M., 1955. Experiments on the light sense of the hag, *Myxine glutinosa L. Journal of*842 *Experimental Biology*, 32(1), p.22. Available at:
 843 http://jeb.biologists.org/cgi/content/abstract/32/1/22.
- Steven, D.M., 1950. Some properties of the photoreceptors of the brook lamprey. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 27(3), p.350. Available at:
 http://jeb.biologists.org/cgi/content/abstract/27/3/350.
- 847 Steven, D.M., 1963. The dermal light sense. *Biological Reviews*, 38, pp.204–240.
- The UniProt Consortium, 2017. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45(D1), pp.D158–D169. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099.
- Torsten, H., Frank, B. & Peter, W., 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric
 models. *Biometrical Journal*, 50(3), pp.346–363. Available at:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200810425.
- Tu, D.C. et al., 2006. Inner retinal photoreception independent of the visual retinoid cycle.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(27), pp.10426–10431. Available
 at: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0600917103.
- Ullén, F. et al., 1993. Role of dermal photoreceptors and lateral eyes in initiation and
 orientation of locomotion in lamprey. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 54(1), pp.107–110.
- Ward, C.M., To, H. & Pederson, S.M., 2018. ngsReports: An R Package for managing
 FastQC reports and other NGS related log files. *bioRxiv*, p.313148. Available at:
 http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/05/02/313148.abstract.
- Wolken, J.J., 1995. Light that controls behavior: extraocular photoreception. In J. J. Wolken,
 ed. *Light detectors, photoreceptors, and imaging systems in nature*. New York: Oxford
 University Press, pp. 191–204.
- Wolken, J.J., 1988. Photobehavior of marine invertebrates: extraocular photoreception.
 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, 91C(1), pp.145–149.
- Wright, C.B., Redmond, T.M. & Nickerson, J.M., 2015. *A history of the classical visual cycle*1st ed., Elsevier Inc. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.06.009.
- Young, J.Z., 1935. The photoreceptors of lampreys 1. Light sensitive fibres in the lateral line
 nerves. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 12, pp.229–238.

- Zeilies, A., Kleiber, C. & Jackman, S., 2008. Regression models for count data in R. *Journal* of *Statistical Software*, 27(8), pp.1–25. Available at: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v27/i08.
- Zimmerman, K. & Heatwole, H., 1990. Cutaneous photoreception: a new sensory mechanism
 for reptiles. *Copeia*, 1990(3), pp.860–862.

874