Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Psychology

2020-05-19

Investigating putative depression-like states in the domestic dog: does greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home kennel co-vary with negative judgment of ambiguity?

Harvey, ND

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/15689

10.1016/j.applanim.2020.105025 Applied Animal Behaviour Science Elsevier BV

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

- 1 Investigating putative depression-like states in the domestic dog: does greater
- 2 time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home kennel co-vary with negative
- 3 judgment of ambiguity?

5

4

- 6 Naomi D. Harvey a*, Alexandra Moesta b 1, Chanakarn Wongsaengchan a,c, Hannah
- 7 Harris a,c, Peter J. Craigon a,c, Carole Fureix c

8

- 9 a School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, University of Nottingham, Sutton
- 10 Bonington LE12 5RD, UK; naomi.harvey@nottingham.ac.uk,
- 11 <u>peter.craigon4@nottingham.ac.uk</u>

12

- 13 b WALTHAM Centre for Pet Nutrition, Waltham-on-the-Wolds, Melton Mowbray, LE14
- 14 4 RT, UK; <u>alexandra.moesta@royalcanin.com</u>

15

- 16 °School of Biological and Marine Science, University of Plymouth, Plymouth PL4 8AA,
- 17 UK; <u>carole.fureix@plymouth.ac.uk,c.wongsaengchan.1@research.gla.ac.uk,</u>
- 18 <u>hrh05@hotmail.com</u>

19

20

21

* corresponding author: naomi.harvey@nottingham.ac.uk

22

¹ Present address: Royal Canin Research Centre, 30470 Aimargues. France

Abstract

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

23

Exposure to chronic stressors and/or traumatic events can trigger depression-like forms of waking inactivity in non-human species (mice, horses, primates) as well as clinical depression in humans. This study aimed to test the hypothesis that elevated levels of waking inactivity in the home environment, in tandem with exposure to chronic stress and/or traumatic events, could reflect a depression-like condition in the domestic dog. We tested this hypothesis in shelter dogs by investigating the association between greater time spent inactive 'awake but motionless' (ABM) in the home-pen and a core symptom of human clinical depression; low mood, using negative judgment of ambiguity as a proxy. Subjects were 20 dogs from across three shelters (10F:10M, aged 3.8 years ± SD 2.0; 45% seized as part of legal cases, 30% found as strays, 25% relinquished to the shelters). Time spent ABM was determined from 6hrs of video per dog (one daily 2-hour period recorded across three consecutive days, following a day and time period blocked design). To measure judgment of ambiguity, dogs were trained in a location discrimination task that a bowl either contained food (positive location) or was empty (negative location). Dogs were tested with one negative, one positive, and one ambiguous (equidistant to the two training positions) trial. Negative judgment of ambiguity manifests as longer latencies to reach the ambiguous bowl. We created a positive expectancy score by adjusting the latency in the ambiguous trial to the latency to approach the negative and positive locations (higher scores indicating bias towards expecting more positive outcomes). Time spent ABM was compared against positive expectancy scores using a multivariable GLM. Dogs were ABM for a median of 2.8% of the scans (Q1: 0.75%, Q3: 4.75), with clear inter-individual variation (0-20.4%). In the cognitive judgment bias, stray dogs reached the learning criterion faster than those of other origins (ANOVA: F₁₉ = 4.03, p=0.037; Kaplan-Meier survival analyses, Chi²₂ = 7.88, p=0.019). During the test trials, all latencies statistically differed

from each other (Negative > Ambiguous > Positive, Friedman test Chi-square₍₂₎ = 33.90, p=<0.001). Cognitive expectancy scores however exhibited minimal variation and an exaggerated right-skew distribution, showing a strong bias towards expecting a positive outcome at the ambiguous location in most of the dogs, and showed no association (p>0.05) with time spent ABM, therefore the hypothesis was not supported. We discuss reasons for observing such general 'optimistic' tendencies in this study, as well as further research directions.

Key words: shelter dog; affective state; depression-like state; waking inactivity;

59 cognitive judgment bias; stray dogs

1. Introduction

Captive and domestic animals are often described as inactive, with the implicit (e.g. Broom, 1998) or explicit implication that this reduced activity is a welfare problem (e.g. McPhee and Carlstead, 2010). On the other hand, compromised inactivity, such as sleep deprivation, is also a considerable welfare problem (Ferrara and De Gennaro, 2001). Inactivity has rarely been the focus of behavioural studies. Indeed, the affective states associated with greater (or suppressed inactivity largely remain un-investigated in non-human animals (Fureix and Meagher, 2015)). In this study, we focus on a specific form of inactivity; spending greater time awake but motionless in the home environment, which, following a translational 'from humans to animals' rationale, has been hypothesised to reflect depression-like conditions in affected individuals (including horses, mice, dogs, non-human primates: reviewed in Fureix and Meagher, 2015).

In humans, clinical depression² is a debilitating mood disorder diagnosed by the co-occurrence of affective, cognitive, behavioural and homeostatic symptoms, of which the two core features are a low, sad mood and anhedonia (World Health Organization WHO, 1994; American Psychiatric Association APA, 2013). Chronic stress plays a major role in triggering the disease, especially in vulnerable people with predisposing genetic factors and/or experience of aversive events during their early life (APA, 2013; Hammen *et al.*, 2009; Siegrist, 2008; Capsi *et al.*, 2003). Cognitive changes are theorised to be involved in both the aetiology and maintenance of the illness. One such change is negative cognitive bias in processing information; *e.g.* judging ambiguity more negatively, paying more attention to, and remembering, negative information better (Beck, 1967; MacLeod and Byrne, 1996; Gotlib and Krasnoperova, 1998; Disner

⁻

² Referring here to *major depressive disorder* in the DSM-V (APA 2013) or *depressive episodes* in the ICD-10 (WHO 1994)

et al., 2011). Another cognitive feature of clinical depression is 'learned helplessness', where an individual comes to believe that desired outcomes are improbable and aversive outcomes likely, and no action on his/her part can alter this, and as such stops acting (Maier and Seligman, 1976; Abramson, Seligman and Teasdale, 1978).

Importantly, clinically depressed people often show increased inactivity in various daily activities (Baker *et al.*, 1971; APA, 2013), which includes a reduced engagement with doing activities they once enjoyed and chores that have to be done (Knowles, 1981), as well as decreased social (APA, 2013; Baker *et al.*, 1971; Schelde, 1998) and physical activities (Lindwall, Larsman and Hagger, 2011; Seime and Vickers, 2006). There is evidence that spending greater time awake but motionless in the home environment is associated with signs of anhedonia in horses (Fureix *et al.*, 2015) and 'helpless' responses in laboratory mice (Fureix *et al.*, 2016), which reinforces the symptomatic similarities between human clinical depression and greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in animals. Moreover, exposure to chronic stress and/or traumatic events appears to trigger both waking inactivity in animals, at least in some individuals (*e.g.* mice: Tilly, Dallaire and Mason, 2010; Fureix *et al.*, 2016; dogs: Fox, 1968; Seligman and Altenor, 1980) and human clinical depression, particularly in vulnerable people (APA, 2013; Hammen *et al.*, 2009; Siegrist, 2008; Capsi *et al.*, 2003).

The current study aims to test the hypothesis that elevated levels of waking inactivity in the home environment, in tandem with exposure to chronic stress and/or traumatic events, could reflect a depression-like condition in the domestic dog. Pet dogs can indeed become highly inactive and unresponsive when exposed to traumatic events, such as when deprived of their owners or after the loss of a social companion (Fox, 1968). This state is commonly referred to as the dog being 'depressed' or showing 'depressive like behaviour' (e.g. Konok et al., 2015; Yeates, 2016; Gosling, Kwan and John, 2003), although this remains to be empirically confirmed. Learned helplessness, one of the cognitive features of clinical depression, has been shown in

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

dogs (Seligman and Altenor, 1980; Maier and Seligman, 1976), and is a phenomenon typically accompanied by an overall decrease in activity (e.g. Mineka and Hendersen, 1985). Moreover, kennelled dogs, such as those housed in research, working dog facilities or rescue shelters, can be exposed to an array of chronic stressors including minimal exercise, lack of positive social interactions, disrupted routines, high noise levels and a lack of control over their environment (Maier and Seligman, 1976; Part et al., 2014; Polgár, Blackwell and Rooney, 2019; Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019; Cobb et al., 2019). For shelter dogs in particular, such situations could be exacerbated by the potentially traumatic abrupt loss of their previous owners, with whom they may have formed strong attachments (Fox, 1968; Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019). Because the aetiology of human depression emphasises aversive life events and chronic stress as common triggers, and because of the chronic stressors and potential traumatic events dogs in rescue shelters are exposed to, we therefore propose that shelter dogs are a suitable model for testing the hypothesis that greater time spent displaying waking inactivity could reflect a depression-like condition in domestic dogs. We evaluated this hypothesis in shelter dogs by testing for an association between greater time spent inactive 'awake but motionless' in the home-pen and a core symptom of human clinical depression; a low, sad mood (APA, 2013), using negative judgment of ambiguity as a proxy. Negative cognitive biases in processing information can be displayed by people reporting negative affect; including clinical depression; e.g. in the form of making more negative judgment about ambiguous events and stimuli (reviewed in Paul, Harding and Mendl, 2005). Similar affect-related biases in judging ambiguity have also been evidenced in non-human animals (Mendl et al., 2009; Gygax, 2014; Paul, Harding and Mendl, 2005; Pryce and Seifritz, 2011; Mendl, Burman and Paul, 2010), including in dogs (Mendl et al., 2010), hence the use of this cognitive measure as a proxy of affective states. We used an established paradigm commonly used to assess judgment cognitive bias in dogs (Kis et al., 2015; Mendl et al., 2010),

and we predicted that the dogs spending greater time inactive 'awake but motionless' in their home-pen would display the least positive judgment of ambiguity.

2. Material and methods

145 2.1. Ethics

The University of Bristol Animal Welfare Ethical Review Board approved the study in January 2016 (UB/15/072), and the study complied with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, EU directive 2010/63/EU and UK Home Office code of practice. The Head of Companion Animals Department, the Chief Veterinary Officer and the Chief Scientific Officer granted permission to approach RSPCA shelters in July 2016. Dog husbandry and care were under the management of the shelter staff.

2.2. Subjects

Subjects were 20 dogs (10F:10M) from across three shelters respectively recruited in October, November and December 2017 (**Table 1**). Inclusion criteria for selecting dogs for the study were as follows: they must not have an existing health condition (based on veterinary examination at the shelter); they were aged between 1-10 years; were not on a calorie reduced diet; and must have been in the shelter for at least 1 week (dog behaviour becomes repeatable and stable after 1 week in a shelter, Goold and Newbury, 2017). The dogs had spent on average 7.6 ± 3.9 weeks in the shelter (ranging from 1.4 to 18.1 weeks) at the time of observation. Dogs were aged $3.8 \text{ years} \pm \text{SD } 2.0$ (ranging from 1 to 7 years). Forty percent (8 dogs) of the dogs were neutered. In total, 45% (9 dogs) were seized as part of legal cases, 30% (6 dogs) were

found as strays and 25% (5 dogs) were voluntarily relinquished to the shelters. There were 12 'breeds' including crosses (**Table 1**). Due to the small numbers involved, we grouped the breeds according to American Kennel Club groups (American Kennel Club, no date) as being bred for co-operative work with humans by combining the Working / Herding / Sporting groups (n = 9 dogs) or not, by combining the Terrier / Toy / None groups (n = 11 dogs). This was done to control for differences that might be present in cognitive problem-solving abilities according to breed type, which have been evidenced previously in cognitive bias tasks (Pogány *et al.*, 2018), although we acknowledge that breed assignation may be imprecise in this context. In all shelters, the dogs were individually housed in two-compartment kennels, entirely cleaned once a day, and walked twice a day (once for 10 and once for 20 minutes) by shelter staff and/or volunteers. All dogs were fed twice a day (around 8:30 am and 4/5 pm) and provided daily with a KongTM – a rubber toy stuffed with food (either around lunch time or around 4:30/5:00 pm). Water was provided *ad libitum*.

2.3. Home-pen activity budget

Methods related to home-pen recording activities are published in detail in Harvey et al. (2019). Briefly, each dog was recorded in its home-kennel using two GoPro Hero 3 cameras for one daily 2-hour period across three consecutive days, following a day (1, 2, 3) and time period (9:00-11:00; 11:30-13:30; 14:00-16:00) blocked design (totalling 6 hours of recording per dog). Behaviour was sampled from footage every 1.5-minutes via instantaneous sampling (Martin and Bateson, 2007), watching continuously 5 seconds either side of the scan point for the behaviours being characterised by either a lack of movement or repetition (e.g. pacing and abnormal repetitive behaviour) in order to best determine the correct action (sampling method and interval sample selection justified in Harvey et al., 2019). The behaviour we

hypothesised to reflect a depression-like condition in dogs, *i.e.* being awake but motionless 'ABM', was defined as 'the dog is completely motionless (no head, body or ear movements) with eyes open apparently staring (anywhere). Dog may be lying, sitting or standing but not vocalizing. If sitting, head may be in a 'drooped' position with head lower than or level with their spine. State must last for at least 5 seconds' (adapted from Fureix et al., 2015; Fureix et al., 2016, see also Harvey et al., 2019). H.H. and P.J.C., two trained observers with a >95% inter-observer agreement and blind to the dogs' scores in the cognitive judgement bias at the time of the observation, scored the videos using the Behavioral Observation Research Interactive Software (Friard and Gamba, 2016).

2.4. Cognitive judgment bias test

The cognitive bias procedure was a standard spatial test based upon that detailed by Kis and colleagues (2015). Piloting was conducted with four staff owned dogs at the University of Nottingham School of Veterinary Medicine and Science. Piloting enabled refinement of the procedure, decisions regarding which food to use as a training reward, training of the experimenters and, using a stopwatch and video recordings, testing of the standard deviation in the timing of latency to approach the bowls. The final procedure contained two parts; a training phase and a testing phase. During the training phase dogs were trained to distinguish a rewarded (positive) location (bowl with food in) from an unrewarded (negative) location (bowl without food in) (**Figure 1**). Training ceased when dogs reached a criterion (detailed in 2.4.1.) that indicated they had successfully learnt to discriminate the bowl positions. The testing phase consisted of one negative trial, one positive trial and one ambiguous trial where the bowl was placed equidistant to the two previously learned positions (**Figure 1**). Prior to training, experimenters ensured that the dogs had not eaten or experienced

an event (such as a walk, volunteer kennel visit, or kennel cleaning) in the 30 minutes prior to training. Each session began with a 10-minute habituation phase, where the dog was brought into the room, let off the lead and allowed to explore the room (and meet the testers if it chose to) and was offered a bowl of water. The water was removed for training/testing and offered again at the end of the session. During this phase all dogs were offered the food to be used to bait the bowls to check that they were willing to eat it from the bowl. The dogs were also observed for behavioural indicators of stress and if trembling, avoidance or hiding was exhibited in this period (considered to indicate extreme stress, Marder *et al.*, 2013) the dog was returned to its kennel and not included in the study sample.

2.4.1. Training phase

To start the training session, the dog was held by its collar by experimenter 1 (H.H. or P.J.C.) at the predetermined starting point 3 metres from the two potential bowl locations (**Figure 1**). Experimenter 2 (C.W.) turned her back on the dog to load the bowl with a reward, or to pretend to load the bowl (dropping food into the bowl and then removing it) in the unrewarded condition – this ensured that the dog always heard the experimenter accessing the food and dropping it in the bowl, making sure the bowl also smelled of the food, and making this information of no value for predicting whether it was rewarded or not. The identity of a location as positive ('P') or negative ('N') was counterbalanced between dogs. For the first presentation only (always P), the food reward was visually shown to the dog before being placed in the bowl to motivate them to search. Bowls were presented in a pseudo-random fixed order (PPPNPPNNPNNN) repeated until criterion was reached. After baiting the bowl, experimenter 2 called the dog's name and said "Look!" until the dog looked their way, then placed the bowl on the appropriate location marker (P or N). Experimenter 2 then positioned herself facing the dog, 0.5 metres behind the bowl she had placed to ensure consistency of

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

presentation for all bowls and wore dark sunglasses to minimise eye contact, standing still looking straight ahead after placing the bowl. Experimenter 2 came from the same central position to place the bowl at any of the locations, as Müller et al. (2012) observed that the generalisation process can be biased in some way by the starting point of the experimenter. At the moment the bowl was placed, experimenter 1 released their hold of the dog's collar, and experimenter 2 started a stopwatch held at chest level, stopping it when the dog had crossed a masking tape line placed 10cm in front of the bowl locations to record the dog's latency to approach it. No communication with the dogs was allowed (no dogs required any verbal encouragement to move once being released) until the end of the trial and the dog needed to be recalled to the start position by experimenter 1. Each dog was given a maximum of 30s to visit the bowl during a trial. If they had not visited the bowl after this time, a time of 30s was recorded. and the next trial begun. If that happened five times in a row the training was stopped and restarted the next day. There were no breaks in between trials and the entire procedure was carried out on the same day where possible with the training phase limited to a 1-hour maximum. If the dog reached criterion within the hour, they were tested immediately after criterion was reached. For dogs that took longer than 1-hour to reach criterion, training was continued on the following day, and dogs were tested once criterion was reached. Criterion was indicated when the longest latency for the previous 5 positive-P trials was shorter than the latency for each of the previous 5 negative-N trials, with a difference greater than 0.4 seconds (0.4s was the standard deviation in repeat timing latencies from video footage established during piloting). Latencies were recorded live by experimenter 1 and entered into an Excel spreadsheet, which was programmed to calculate when criterion had been reached.

The reward food used for half of the dogs (50%) was Scruffy Bites[™] by Misfits®, Mars Inc., as these were found to motivate the pilot dogs to continue training despite unrewarded trials, where standard balanced diet kibble did not. However, two dogs did

not eat the Scruffy Bites™ when offered in the pre-test phase, but did eat pieces of Bakers® Sizzlers® (Purina®, Nestlé Purina PetCare UK Ltd) so were trained and tested with these; three dogs needed hypoallergenic food so were trained and tested using Harringtons Hypoallergenic Grain-Free Rich in Salmon (Harringtons Pet Food) dry kibble; and five dogs in shelter 5 ate only wet food so were trained and tested with original Chappie®, (Mars Inc). To limit the calorie intake for the training sessions with differently sized dogs when using Scruffy Bites™, rewarded bowls were baited with ½ pieces of the treat for large/medium sized dogs, whilst for small/toy sized dogs they were baited with ½ sized pieces and for extra-large breeds whole pieces were used.

2.4.2. Test phase

Once criterion was reached, a camera (GoPro HERO 3, White edition) pointed towards the bowls (side on) was turned on and the dogs were presented with three trials ending with presentation of the ambiguous bowl, in the order N-P-A. The ambiguous bowl was not baited with a food reward. All conditions of the protocol remained the same as in the training phase. Latencies were timed live and were additionally recorded by C.W. from video footage in triplicate (from the moment the bowl touched the floor to the dog crossing the tape marker) and the mean latency was utilised for data analysis.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS v. 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were calculated to summarise the behavioural variables observed during the home-pen and cognitive judgment bias test. Time spent ABM and model residuals were not normally distributed (and two dogs were considered extreme

on a stem-and-leaf plot), so ABM was transformed into a logarithmic scale (after adding 1 to remove zeros). The logarithmically transformed variable is indicated with 'lgABM'. Bivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to investigate potential associations between time taken to reach the learning criterion in the cognitive judgment bias task (normally distributed dependent variable) and each independent variable: sex, neuter status, shelter, origin, and American Kennel Club working/herding/sporting breed (yes/no), whilst linear regression models (LM) were used to compare against age and the length of time each dog had been in the shelter (weeks).

To check that the dogs latency to approach the bowl differed according to the bowl type in the test phase, a Friedman test was used (for data that violates the assumptions of normality of residuals and sphericity for a within-subjects repeated measures ANOVA) followed by Wilcoxon signed rank post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction (Siegel and Castellan, 1988). In order to investigate the relationship between the time dogs spent ABM and their judgment of ambiguity, we calculated for each dog its Positive Expectancy Score (PES) according to Kis *et al.* (2015) as follows:

PES = 100 - Cognitive Bias Score, where

Higher PES indicate a bias towards expecting more positive outcomes; where the latency to reach the ambiguous location falls in-between the positive and negative the PES value will range from 0-100, and where the latency to reach the ambiguous location is faster than the positive the PES value will be above 100. One dog (ID 76 in **Table 1**) had to be excluded from calculating the PES because it ran faster to the negative location than it did the ambiguous, giving it a PES score of -505, which

violates the assumptions of the score. For the remaining 19 dogs, the PES scores were not normally distributed and PESs could not be logarithmically transformed, so it was recoded into a binary variable around the median to group dogs into low (n = 10) and high (n = 9) PES groups. Bivariate comparisons between PES group and (lg)ABM, sex, age, neuter status, breed type, length of time each dog has been in the shelter for, the dog's origin, the dog's weight and the shelter using Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous variables, Fisher's exact tests for binary variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables. All variables were then tested in multivariable logistic regression models against PES group, using both forwards and backwards selection methods in case any variables interacted with each other to stratify the data. Significance was set at p<0.05.

3. Results

- 3.1. Awake but Motionless (ABM) data
- Being awake but motionless was displayed for a median time of 2.8% of the scans (1st quartile: 0.75%, third quartile: 4.75%), with clear variation between individual dogs (from 0 to 20.4% of scans).

3.2 Number of trials required to reach learning criterion in the cognitive judgment bias assay (training phase)

The mean number of training trials taken to reach learning criterion in the cognitive judgment bias was 43 (SD \pm 18, median 43.5), with considerable individual variation (from 12 to 83 trials). There was a significant difference in time taken to reach learning criterion according to the origin of the dogs (ANOVA: F = 4.03, p = 0.037, df = 19). This finding was confirmed with a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, which showed that stray dogs reached criterion significantly faster than those that were relinquished or seized

(**Figure 2**, Chi² = 7.88, p = 0.019, df = 2). Dogs in the working/herding/sporting group appeared to reach criterion quicker than the others, however this difference did not reach statistical significance (ANOVA: F = 1.97, p = 0.177, df = 19), and no other variable predicted the number of trials taken to reach learning criterion (LM: age, t = 0.766, p = 0.456; the length of time each dog had been in the shelter, t = -0.32, p = 0.756; ANOVA: sex, F = 0.20, p = 0.660; neuter status, F = 2.24, p = 0.142 and shelter, F = 1.36, P = 0.283).

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

the dogs.

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

3.3 Latency to reach the test bowls and PES scores in the cognitive judgment bias assay (testing phase)

The median and interquartile range for the raw latencies to reach the positive, ambiguous and negative locations during the cognitive judgment bias test trials are shown in Figure 3 (see also Table 2). There was a statistically significant difference in the dogs' latencies to reach the different types of bowl during the test phase (Friedman Chi-square₍₂₎ = 33.90, p = <0.001). Post-hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed rank tests resulted in significant differences between latency for all three bowl types after Bonferroni correction resulting in a significance threshold of p<0.017. Latencies for the negative-N bowl were significantly longer than both the positive-P (Z = 3.92, p = <0.001) and the ambiguous-A latencies (Z = 3.58, p = <0.001), whilst the ambiguous-A latencies were significantly longer than the positive-P latencies (Z = 3.22, p = 0.001). Although the ambiguous-A latencies were significantly longer than the positive-P latencies, as shown in Figure 3 there was very little variation in the ambiguous-A latencies in general. As such, the PES scores calculated from these raw latencies exhibited minimal variation and an exaggerated right-skew distribution (Figure 4): 50% of the data fell between 81.8 and 99.6 and the median score was 96.7, showing a strong bias towards expecting positive outcome at the ambiguous location in most of

3.4 Comparisons between PES scores and time spent ABM

Bivariate comparisons revealed no associations between PES group and (lg)ABM (Mann-Whitney U: Z = 0.00, p = 1.000), sex (Fisher's exact test p = 0.586), age (Mann-Whitney U: Z = -0.22, p = 0.830), neuter status (Fisher's exact test p = 0.255), breed type (Fisher's exact test p = 0.414), the length of time each dog had been in the shelter (Mann-Whitney U: Z = -0.29, p = 0.775), the dog's origin (Chi-square = 2.82, p = 0.244), weight (Mann-Whitney U: Z = -0.08, p = 0.935) or the shelter they were tested at (Chi-square = 1.59, p = 0.451). All variables were tested together in multivariable logistic regression models against PES group, using both forwards and backwards stepwise selection methods in case of stratifying effects of interacting variables. However, no model could be found where any variable was significantly (p<0.05) associated with PES group.

3.5 Extreme scoring dogs

There was only one dog (ID 86 in Table 1) whose PES score could be considered to reflect a negative judgement bias, with a PES of 25. However, nothing of note stood out when their data was examined; they were in the shelter for only four weeks, were seized as part of a legal case along with four other dogs from the same property that were also in the study, but did not show different than average behaviour (i.e. their scores were not outside of the standard percentile range) for any behaviour measurements including ABM.

Dogs 80 and 69 in Table 1 had the highest ABM scores, spending 20.4% and 13.0% of scans ABM, respectively. These were considered extreme values on a stemand-leaf plot, with the rest of the dogs spending between 0% and 7.4% of their time ABM. Both dogs had high PES scores; 81.8 for dog 80 and 99.9 for dog 69. Dog 80, who spent the most time ABM, was a relinquished dog that had been in the shelter for

6 weeks. This dog also spent the most time whining (17.9% of scans compared to an interquartile range of 0 to 3.08%) out of all dogs and took the second longest number of trials to reach training criterion for the judgement bias test (75 trials, interquartile range 31 to 57), but did not stand out as different for any other behavioural variable. Dog 6 was a stray who had been at the shelter for 2.1 weeks and their behaviour did not stand out as distinctly different from the rest of the studied dogs for any other measured variable.

414

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

4. Discussion

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

415

This study aimed to test the hypothesis that greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home environment could reflect a depression-like condition in kennelled domestic dogs. We tested this hypothesis in shelter dogs by investigating the association between greater time spent inactive 'awake but motionless' in the home-pen (ABM) and negative judgment of ambiguity (a proxy for low mood, e.g. Mendl et al., 2009; Gygax, 2014; Paul, Harding and Mendl, 2005; Pryce and Seifritz, 2011). Results show that the dogs displayed ABM, with clear variation between individual dogs, although this variation was not predicted by the dog's sex, neuter status, age, breed type, weight, each dog's origin, the shelter they were tested at, or the length of time each dog had been in the shelter for. The dogs successfully learnt to discriminate between the positive and negative locations in the judgment cognitive bias task, with stray dogs reaching learning criterion significantly faster than dogs that were relinquished by their owners or seized as part of welfare cases. Stray dogs have been shown to differ cognitively from pet dogs in ways that characterise reduced ability to follow human gestural cues (Udell, Dorey and Wynne, 2008; Udell, Dorey and Wynne, 2010), so the fact that strays learnt the discrimination task faster than pet dogs in this non-social learning paradigm may indicate that stray dogs have a higher

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

propensity for independent learning, which likely favours survival under feral life conditions. Contrary to our prediction however, greater time spent ABM did not predict lower positive expectancy scores in the cognitive judgment bias test. In fact, most dogs scored very highly on their positive expectancy scores, resulting in very little variation between subjects. The results therefore do not support the study hypothesis. That the amount of time spent ABM overall appears relatively short (median 2.8%, third quartile 4.75%) seems unlikely to explain this lack of support. Indeed, this time varies between individuals, with some dogs spending considerably longer in this state. Moreover, in both horses and mice; in which greater time spent displaying putatively similar forms of waking inactivity have been shown to predict depression-like symptoms (Fureix et al. 2015, 2016); the average times spent displaying waking inactivity were not higher than 4% of the scans. On the other hand, the unexpected lack of variation between individual dog's responses in the cognitive judgment bias test might invalidate the performance in the test as a comparison measure. We thus discuss below tentative reasons for observing such a general 'optimistic' tendency in our study, as well as further research directions.

As discussed in Burman (2014), and confirmed by an updated literature screening (**Table 2**), very similar methodologies have been adopted in studies measuring dogs' judgment cognitive biases. In fact, most of these studies (including the current one) adapted the spatial go / no go version of the paradigm originally developed in rats (Burman *et al.*, 2008a), and first adjusted to dogs by Mendl *et al.* (2010). The values we observed, from the number of trials required to reach the learning criterion to the dogs' test latencies, fall within the range of reported values from similar studies (**Table 2**) [with the exception of Kis *et al.*, 2015 that reports shorter latencies overall]. The general 'optimistic' tendency observed in our study is therefore unlikely to be explained by dogs failing to discriminate locations overall.

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

A plausible explanation for the general 'optimistic' tendency we observed here might instead come from the limited stimulation and social contact with humans received by shelter dogs (Burman et al., 2011; Part et al., 2014; Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019; Müller et al., 2012). Research has shown that in some cases shelter dogs can be so impoverished of stimulation from human contact that they can form attachment bonds with unfamiliar humans in a matter of minutes (Gacsi et al., 2001) and even when they appear stressed by the presence of an unfamiliar human, they choose to remain in close proximity to them, where pet dogs do not (Barrera et al., 2010). It has also been shown that interaction with humans can have long lasting positive effects on the behaviour and physiology of shelter dogs (Bergamasco et al., 2010; Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019). Being handled by the experimenters throughout the training period, receiving not only food treats and cognitive stimulation from training but also time out of their home-pen and interaction with people, might thus have provided an important source of positive excitement, potentially elevating the dogs' affective state and overshadowing a more negative 'baseline mood' that may have been present in the comparatively more barren home kennel (Burman et al., 2011; Burman, 2014; Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019). Interestingly, the vast majority of the dogs tested here scored in a similar range to pet dogs that had been artificially dosed with oxytocin, a hormone inducing positive expectation biases in dogs (Kis et al., 2015). Elevated oxytocin levels might thus be a physiological mechanism at stake in the general 'optimistic' tendency we observed here, although this suggestion remains to be tested.

Such a high sensitivity to human contact and access to stimulation in shelter dogs (perhaps elevating their mood, perhaps *via* increased oxytocin levels) is however unlikely to be the sole explanation for the general 'optimistic' tendency we observed here. Indeed, several independent studies have been conducted using an analogous paradigm in comparable shelter dog populations, in which the authors did observe

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

inter-individual variation in the dogs' responses, irrespective of whether these differences supported the studies hypothesis or not (Willen, Schiml and Hennessy, 2019; Owczarczak-Garstecka and Burman, 2016; Titulaer *et al.*, 2013; Mendl *et al.*, 2010). We therefore discuss in the next paragraph some methodological aspects that differ between the task we applied here and the ones reported in the literature that could also partially explain our results, *i.e.* the number of probe test trials and of ambiguous location tested, as well as individual tailoring in the reward food used.

Following Kis et al. (2015), we conducted one ambiguous probe test trial (versus 3 or more) in the middle position only (versus also assessing 'near positive' and 'near negative' locations). We chose to do so to prevent the dogs from learning that the probe stimulus was unrewarded, or conversely that, in the absence of punishment, there was no real cost in approaching ambiguous locations (see e.g. Mendl et al., 2009 and Burman, 2014 for further discussion of these limitations). Practically speaking, it also allowed us to keep the test as short as possible due to time-related constraints (e.g. availability of the room at the shelter). However, conducting a single probe test trial at the middle location only comes with its own limitations. One may for instance wonder if the dogs' reaction to the probe test trial did reflect a generalisation effect (probe being perceived as closed enough to the positive location to approach it fast), or an excitement / curiosity response to a novel location; a potential confound that cannot be investigated with only one trial. Moreover, using only the intermediate position did not allow testing for finer predictions, such as depressed individuals being specifically less optimistic (i.e. effect specifically observed on the Near Positive location) while anxious individuals appeared to be more pessimistic (i.e. stronger effect observed at the Near Negative location) (Salmeto et al., 2011; although supports for this differential prediction are mixed: Müller et al., 2012; Burman, 2014).

Lastly, we tailored the reward used in the test for 50% of the dogs due to healthrelated constraints or to ensure the dogs were motivated to perform in the training. To

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

our best knowledge, no investigation has been performed so far to test whether training animals with their *preferred* reward in a judgment cognitive bias paradigm can induce more 'optimistic' response to ambiguity than when being tested with a 'given' reward (i.e. rewarding but not necessarily preferred). Using preferred vs less preferred rewards nonetheless appears to influence the animal's performance in cognitive tasks, e.g. piglets demonstrate greater level of impulse control in a delay-of-gratification paradigm to gain their highly preferred reward (Zebunke et al., 2018), and monkeys (Macaca fuscata) appear more motivated to perform in a working memory task to gain their preferred reward, while displaying neurobiological signs (increased in dopamine release in the prefrontal cortex) suggesting that performing the task for the less preferred reward could be 'mildly stressful' (Kodama et al., 2014). Although preference for used rewards was not empirically tested in our study, tailoring the reward might have strongly boosted our subjects' motivation for acquiring the treat (e.g. Riemer et al., 2018; see also Bentosela et al., 2009), which may partly explain the general 'optimistic' tendency observed here, since greater motivation to gain a food reward has been associated with trait 'optimism' in rats (Rygula et al., 2015).

Going back to the study predictions, our results do not support the hypothesis that greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home environment reflects a depression-like condition in kennelled dogs, although we believe that, in the unexpected absence of variation between individual dog's responses in the cognitive judgment bias measure, methodological refinements and complementary investigations are required before it is possible to safely reject this hypothesis. Such refinements would target the above-discussed aspects; e.g. conducting a judgment bias assay testing for more probe tests locations and with several trials per location while implementing e.g. variable reinforcement during training, and investigate whether using preferred rewards might induce greater 'optimism' than non-preferred rewards to take an informed decision on whether tailoring reward used would confound

the results. Other methodological refinement would include assessing different types of cognitive measurements as proxies for low mood, which might also be easier to perform within the dog's home kennel, in an automated way to reduce the impact of interacting with humans on the dogs' affective state at the time of the test. This could for example be done using an incentive contrast paradigm, since sensitivity to reward loss appears greater in clinically depressed people and in rats exposed to environmental enrichment removal (see e.g. Burman et al., 2008b), and the incentive contrast effect has been reported in domestic dogs (Bentosela et al., 2009, although see Riemer et al., 2016 for mixed support of these results). Enhanced sensitivity to negative feedback has also been shown in people with clinical depression and in rats displaying 'pessimistic' trait (e.g. Rygula et al., 2016). Probabilistic reversal-learning tasks allow for measuring propensity of the subject to shift behavioural response following (and hence sentitivity to) negative and positive feedback, and applying such a task to dogs could provide a complementary cognitive measurement as a proxy for low mood.

Should such future studies be performed with refined methodologies demonstrate that greater time spent awake but motionless predicts cognitive proxies for low mood (e.g. negative judgment of ambiguity, greater sensitivity to loss and to negative feedback), this would *support* the hypothesis that affected individuals could be in a depression-like state, but would not be sufficient to *fully demonstrate* it. Further investigations targeting several forms of validation are required as discussed in detail in Harvey *et al.* (2019), Fureix *et al.* (2015) and Ferdowsian *et al.* (2011), that include assessing the co-variation of ABM with other symptoms of depression, as well as its similarities with clinical depression in terms of risk and curative factors.

Concerning covariation of ABM with other depression-like symptoms, recent research tested the hypothesis that greater time spent ABM in shelter dogs would, if representing a depression-like state, predict a sign of anhedonia, using reduced

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

interest for, and consumption of, palatable 'treat' foods as a proxy for anhedonia (Harvey *et al.*, 2019). The hypothesis that ABM reflects a depression-like syndrome was not supported either, although as discussed in Harvey *et al.* (2019) methodological refinements and complementary investigations are also required before it is possible to safely reject this hypothesis. It is after all plausible that in the random samples of shelter dogs from both studies, no dogs (or too few dogs) were in a depression-like state for us to detect such an association statistically.

Risk factors for greater time spent displaying ABM also require further investigation. As per the study from Harvey et al. (2019) conducted on a bigger sample size, none of the following factors; the dog's age, breed type, weight, sex, neuter status, the shelter they were tested at, nor time spent in the shelter, were associated with time spent showing ABM behaviour. In the Harvey et al. (2019) study, only one measure from the anhedonia test (reflecting greater interest) was associated with more time spent ABM; but only when time spent in the shelter was included as a co-variate (very tentatively suggesting that greater time ABM could be associated with another negative aversive state: boredom, as discussed in the 2019 study). The length of time each dog has been in the shelter for did not however predict the time spent ABM directly in either study; neither was it associated with the cognitive bias results. Further research is therefore warranted on the role of length of time in the shelter as an influencer of affective state, as well as on other possible predictors of ABM that have not been investigated yet. These would include for instance assessing personality aspects related to abilities to cope with challenges, as well as previous experience of living with other dogs, which could add isolation from conspecifics to the stressors for the current dogs all housed singly.

Finally, complementary investigations focusing on refining definitions or measurement of inactive behaviours relevant to hypotheses under test; such as using continuous recording of the behaviour (allowing for the measurement of precise

durations and number of bouts) and refining postural aspects of its definition; would help in addressing cross-study and cross-species comparisons.

597

595

596

5. Conclusions

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

598

This study aimed to test the hypothesis that greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home environment could reflect a depression-like condition in domestic dogs. We tested this hypothesis in shelter dogs by investigating the association between greater time spent inactive 'awake but motionless' in the home-pen and negative judgment of ambiguity (a proxy for low mood, a core symptom of human clinical depression). Results incidentally demonstrated an effect of the dog's origin on learning abilities, with stray dogs reaching learning criterion significantly faster than dogs that were relinquished by their owners or seized as part of welfare cases. Results however do not support the study hypothesis, as positive expectancy scores from the judgement bias test showed no association with time spent ABM. Positive expectancy scores nevertheless exhibited minimal variation and an exaggerated right-skew distribution, showing a strong bias towards expecting positive outcome at the ambiguous location in most of the dogs, and such an unexpected lack of variation between individual dog's responses might invalidate the performance in this test as a comparison measure. Methodological refinements and complementary investigations are thus required before it is possible to safely reject the study hypothesis. Further research directions include refining the current cognitive assay and measurement of the inactive behaviour; assessing different types of cognitive measurements as proxies for low mood; assessing the co-variation of ABM with other symptoms of depression, and its similarities with clinical depression in terms of risk and curative factors; and investigating other potential affective states associated with ABM (e.g. boredom).

6. Acknowledgments

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

The authors are grateful to Sandra Vuillermet (and her invaluable patience) and Dr Giuliana Miguel-Pacheco for their help in extracting the data from footage; to Ilana Kelland for her help with data collection during the pilot study; to Olivier Friard and Marco Gamba for their free open-source BORIS software; and to one anonymous reviewer for his/her constructive comments on the manuscript. Naomi D. Harvey would also like to thank Professor Francoise Wemelsfelder for her time in training her in Qualitative Behaviour Assessment, which contributed to our understanding of ABM in the wider project. This project was funded by a Waltham Collaborative Behaviour and Welfare Award to Carole Fureix and Naomi D. Harvey. Carole Fureix was supported by a European Marie Curie FP7 IEF Fellowship (no. 626732) and internal funding from the Plymouth University School of Biological and Marine Sciences during the project period. Naomi D. Harvey was supported by funding from Guide Dogs, a Dogs Trust Canine Welfare grant and The University of Nottingham HERMES Fellowship through the period of the study. The authors declare no conflict of interest. The European Commission, Plymouth University, The University of Nottingham, Guide Dogs and Dogs Trust had no role in the study design, data collection and analyses, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. The Waltham Centre for Pet Nutrition contributed to the choice of research project, design of the study, in the writing of the manuscript, interpretation of data and in the decision to publish.

642

641

Table legends

644

645

646

647

648

643

Table 1: Individual characteristics of the 20 dogs included in this study. **MD** = Missing data. Breed classifications for analyses are based on American Kennel Club groups and further grouped into being a breed bred for co-operative work with humans (working/herding/sporting 'WHS') or not (Others). Shelters are numbered after Harvey

et al. (2019). Breed information was obtained from pedigrees (when available) or visual inspection (which for the latter might involve some overestimation of Staffordshire Bull Terrier crosses, see e.g. Gunter, Barber and Wynne, 2018).

Table 2: Number of trials required to reach learning criterion (training) and raw latencies to reach positive, ambiguous and negative locations (testing) observed in the current study and those reported from publications similarly assessing judgment cognitive bias in dogs. Both the number of trials and raw latencies observed in the current study fall into the range of reported values from the literature, with the exception of Kis *et al.* (2015) which reports shorter latencies overall. All figures and tables cited in this table refer to figures and tables numbers used within the cited publication. ≈: number visually approximated from figures provided in publications.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the cognitive judgment bias test set-up. The two training locations are shown as circles with a solid line, and the ambiguous test location as a circle with a dotted line. The dog's location at the start of the training is shown as a patterned circle. The side of the positive (rewarded) and negative (unrewarded) locations was counterbalanced across dogs.

Figure 2. Survival plot showing the distribution of time taken to reach training criterion between the three types of dogs based upon whether they were relinquished to the shelter (n = 5), seized as part of legal cases (n = 9) or found as strays (n = 6). The dotted line to the left of the plot shows that all the stray dogs reached learning criterion faster than the relinquished or seized case dogs.

Figure 3. Boxplot showing the distribution (median and interquartile ranges) of raw latencies during the test trials when the bowl was located at the positive (rewarded), negative (unrewarded) and ambiguous (equidistant to the two training positions) locations. N = 20 dogs. All latencies were statistically different from each other (Friedman test Chi-square₍₂₎ = 33.90, p=<0.001).

Figure 4. Histogram of Positive Expectancy Scores (PES) calculated from the raw latencies during the test trials. N = 19 dogs (dog ID 76 was excluded as it ran faster to the negative location than it did the ambiguous, see methods for details). The PES scores exhibited minimal variation and an exaggerated right-skew distribution, showing a strong bias towards expecting positive outcome at the ambiguous location in most of the dogs.

687 **Table 1** 688

000							
ID	Shelter	Sex	Neutered status	Age (years)	Breed (Classification according to American Kennel Club groups)	Weight (Kg)	Origin
64	5	Male	Neutered	2	German shepherd dog x Akita (WHS)	35.4	Stray
65	5	Male	Neutered	5	Mixed (Other)	16.85	Relinquished
66	5	Male	Neutered	7	Staffordshire bull terrier (Other)	17.45	Stray
67	5	Female	Neutered	2	Mixed (Other)	26.85	Relinquished
68	5	Male	Neutered	2	Akita (WHS)	27.3	Stray
69	5	Female	Intact	4	Akita (WHS)	33.85	Stray
70	5	Female	Neutered	4	Border Collie (WHS)	15.5	Stray
73	5	Male	Intact	6	German shepherd dog (WHS)	29.65	Stray
74	6	Female	Intact	2	Yorkshire Terrier (Other)	4.9	Case
75	6	Female	Intact	7	Yorkshire Terrier (Other)	5.1	Case
76	6	Female	Intact	1	Pug x Bichon frise (Other)	2.1	Case
77	6	Female	Intact	4	Chihuahua <i>(Other)</i>	2.4	Case
79	6	Female	Neutered	6	Staffordshire bull terrier (Other)	13.7	Relinquished
80	6	Female	Intact	4	Staffordshire bull terrier (Other)	21.6	Relinquished
81	6	Male	Intact	5	Labrador (WHS)	37.6	Case
82	6	Female	Intact	3	Shih Tzu cross (Other)	5.6	Case
83	7	Male	Intact	MD	Newfoundland (WHS)	44.55	Case
84	7	Male	Neutered	2	Staffordshire bull terrier (Other)	16.75	Relinquished
86	7	Male	Intact	MD	Newfoundland (WHS)	47.3	Case
87	7	Male	Intact	MD	Newfoundland (WHS)	45	Case

690 **Table 2.**

Publication Current study	Number of trials to reach learning criterion $12-83$ $(\overline{X} = 43 \pm 18)$	Raw latency to reach positive location during the test trials (s) 2 ± SD 0.6	Raw latency to reach middle location during test trials (s) 2.5 ± SD 1.3	Raw latency to reach negative location during test trials (s)
Mendl <i>et al.</i> , 2010	21-61 $(\overline{X} = 29.42 \pm 9)$	≈ 5 [Fig. 1B]	≈ 10 [Fig. 1B]	≈ 23 [Fig. 1B]
Müller <i>et al.</i> , 2012	$30-90$ $(\overline{X} = 42)$	Not found in publication	≈ 58 [Fig.1]	Not found in publication
Titulaer <i>et al.</i> , 2013	NF	\overline{X} = 3.75 ± 1.97	\overline{X} = 7.65 ± 6.34	\overline{X} = 20.34 ± 4.36
Kis <i>et al.</i> , 2015 [from control group in the communicative context, <i>i.e.</i> the closest group to the tested dogs in current study]	12-36 $(\overline{X} = 23 \pm SD$ 6)	\overline{X} = 1.83 ± SD 0.49 (1.0 – 2.8)	\overline{X} = 2.26 ± SD 0.57 (1.4-3.4)	\overline{X} = 2.68 ± SD 0.88 (1.6-4.4)
Owczarczak- Garstecka & Burman, 2016	16-51 (\overline{X} = 24.12 ± 8.95) [Table S2]	\overline{X} = 2.64 ± SE 0.64 [Table 6]	\overline{X} = 10.98 ± SE 2.66 [Table 6]	\overline{X} = 23.94 ± SE 5.81 [Table 6]
Wells <i>et al.</i> , 2017	\overline{X} = 24.10 (95% CI 21.23-27.43)	≈ 3 [Fig. 2, Table 1]	≈ 6 [Fig. 2, Table 1]	≈ 18 [Fig. 2, Table 1]
Willen <i>et al.</i> , 2019	30-50	≈ 5	≈ 13	≈ 27

[Fig. 2] [Fig. 3] [Fig. 3] [Fig. 3]				
	[Fig. 2]	[Fig. 3]	[Fig. 3]	[Fig. 3]

691

ce de Manuscillà

692 References

693

- 694 Abramson, L. Y., Seligman, M. E. P. and Teasdale, J. D. (1978) 'Learned helplessness
- in humans critique and reformulation', Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 87(1), pp.
- 696 49-74.
- 697 American Kennel Club (NoDate) American Kennel Club list of breeds by group.
- 698 https://www.akc.org/public-education/resources/general-tips-information/dog-breeds-
- 699 sorted-groups/ (Accessed: May 28th 2019).
- 700 American Psychiatric Association APA (2013) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of
- 701 Mental Disorders, fifth edition. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, p. 947.
- 702 Baker, M., Dorzab, J., Winokur, G. and Cadoret, R. J. (1971) 'Depressive disease -
- 703 Classification and clinical characteristics', Comprehensive Psychiatry, 12(4), pp. 354-
- 704 365.
- 705 Barrera, G., Jakovcevic, A., Elgier, A. M., Mustaca, A. and Bentosela, M. (2010)
- 706 'Responses of shelter and pet dogs to an unknown human', Journal of Veterinary
- 707 Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research, 5(6), pp. 339-344.
- 708 Beck, A. T. (1967) Depression: Clinical, experimental and theoretical aspects. New
- 709 York: Harper and Row.
- 710 Bentosela, M., A. Jakovcevic, A. M. Elgier, A. E. Mustaca and M. R. Papini (2009).
- 711 "Incentive Contrast in Domestic Dogs (Canis familiaris)." Journal of Comparative
- 712 *Psychology*, **123**(2), pp. 125-130.
- 713 Bergamasco, L., Osella, M. C., Savarino, P., Larosa, G., Ozella, L., Manassero, M.,
- Badino, P., Odore, R., Barbero, R. and Re, G. (2010) 'Heart rate variability and saliva
- 715 cortisol assessment in shelter dog: Human– animal interaction effects', Applied Animal
- 716 Behaviour Science, 125(1), pp. 56-68.
- 717 Broom, D. M. (1998) 'Welfare, stress, and the evolution of feelings', Stress and
- 718 Behavior Advances in the Study of Behavior, pp. 371-403.

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 719 Burman, O. (2014) 'Do dogs show an optimistic or pessimistic attitude to life?: A review
- of studies using the 'cognitive bias' paradigm to assess dog welfare', in Kaminski, J.
- and Marshall-Pescini, S. (eds.) The social dog: behavior and cognition. USA: Elsevier
- 722 Inc, pp. 347-372.
- Burman, O., McGowan, R., Mendl, M., Norling, Y., Paul, E., Rehn, T. and Keeling, L.
- 724 (2011) 'Using judgement bias to measure positive affective state in dogs', Applied
- 725 Animal Behaviour Science, 132(3), pp. 160-168.
- Burman, O. H. P., Parker, R., Paul, E. S. and Mendl, M. (2008a) 'A spatial judgement
- task to determine background emotional state in laboratory rats, Rattus norvegicus',
- 728 *Animal Behaviour,* 76, pp. 801-809.
- Burman, O., Parker, R., Paul, E., Mendl, M., (2008b) Sensitivity to reward loss as an
- 730 indicator of animal affect and welfare. *Biology Letter*, 4, pp. 330-333.
- Capsi, A., Sugden, K., Moffitt, T. E., Taylor, A., Craig, I. W., Harrington, H., McClay, J.,
- 732 Mill, J., Martin, J., Braithwaite, A. and Poulton, R. (2003) 'Influence of life stress on
- depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene', Science, 301(5631),
- 734 pp. 386-389.
- 735 Cobb, M., Carte, A., Lill, A. and Bennett, P. 'Advancing the welfare of working dogs:
- 736 What's preventing science helping the canines who help us?'. UFAW International
- 737 Animal Welfare Science Symposium Advancing Animal Welfare Science: How Do We
- 738 Get There? Who Is It Good For?, Bruges, Belgium.
- 739 Disner, S. G., Beevers, C. G., Haigh, E. A. P. and Beck, A. T. (2011) 'Neural
- 740 mechanisms of the cognitive model of depression', Nature Reviews Neuroscience,
- 741 12(8), pp. 467-477
- 742 Ferdowsian, H. R., Durham, D. L., Kimwele, C., Kranendonk, G., Otali, E., Akugizibwe,
- T., Mulcahy, J. B., Ajarova, L. and Johnson, C. M. (2011) 'Signs of Mood and Anxiety
- Disorders in Chimpanzees', *Plos One*, 6(6), pp. e19855

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 745 Ferrara, M. and De Gennaro, L. (2001) 'How much sleep do we need?', Sleep Medicine
- 746 Reviews, 5(2), pp. 155-179.
- 747 Fox, M. W. (1968) Abnormal behavior in animals. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders
- 748 Company.
- 749 Friard, O. and Gamba, M. (2016) 'BORIS: a free, versatile open source event ogging
- 750 software for video/audio coding and live observations', Methods in Ecology and
- 751 *Evolution*, 7(11), pp. 1325-1330.
- Fureix, C., Beaulieu, C., Argaud, S., Rochais, C., Quinton, M., Henry, S., Hausberger,
- 753 M. and Mason, G. (2015) 'Investigating anhedonia in a non-conventional species: do
- 754 some riding horses Equus caballus display symptoms of depression?', Applied Animal
- 755 Behaviour Science, 162, pp. 26-36.
- Fureix, C. and Meagher, R. (2015) 'What can inactivity reveal about affective states in
- 757 non-humans? A review', Applied Animal Behavioural Sciences, 171, pp. 8-24.
- 758 Fureix, C., Walker, M., Harper, L., Reynolds, K., Saldivia-Woo, A. and Mason, G.
- 759 (2016) 'Stereotypic behaviour in standard non-enriched cages is an alternative to
- depression-like responses in C57BL/6 mice', Behavioral Brain Research, 305, pp. 186-
- 761 190.
- Gacsi, M., Topal, J., Miklosi, A., Doka, A. and Csanyi, V. (2001) 'Attachment behavior
- of adult dogs (Canis familiaris) living at rescue centers: Forming new bonds', Journal
- 764 of Comparative Psychology, 115(4), pp. 423-431.
- 765 Goold, C. and Newberry, R.C. (2017) 'Modelling personality, plasticity and
- predictability in shelter dogs'. Royal Society Open Science, 4, 170618
- Gosling, S. D., Kwan, V. S. Y. and John, O. P. (2003) 'A Dog's Got Personality: A
- 768 Cross-Species Comparative Approach to Personality Judgments in Dogs and
- Humans', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85(6), pp. 1161-1169.
- 770 Gotlib, I. H. and Krasnoperova, E. (1998) 'Biased information processing as a
- vulnerability factor for depression', *Behavior Therapy*, 29(4), pp. 603-617.

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 772 Gunter, L. M., Barber, R. T. and Wynne, C. D. L. (2018) 'A canine identity crisis:
- Genetic breed heritage testing of shelter dogs', *PLOS ONE*, 13(8), pp. e0202633.
- 774 Gygax, L. (2014) 'The A to Z of statistics for testing cognitive judgement bias', *Animal*
- 775 Behaviour, 95, pp. 59-69.
- Hammen, C., Kim, E. Y., Eberhart, N. K. and Brennan, P. A. (2009) 'Chronic and acute
- 377 stress and the prediction of major depression in women', Depression and Anxiety,
- 778 26(8), pp. 718-723.
- Harvey, N., D., Moesta, A., Kappel, S., Wongsaengchan, C., Harris, H., Craigon, P.
- and Fureix, C. (2019) 'Could greater time spent displaying waking inactivity in the home
- 781 environment be a marker for a depression-like state in the domestic dog?', Animals,
- 782 9(7), pp. E420.
- 783 Kis, A., Hernádi, A., Kanizsár, O., Gácsi, M. and Topál, J. (2015) 'Oxytocin induces
- 784 positive expectations about ambivalent stimuli (cognitive bias) in dogs', Hormones and
- 785 *behavior*, 69, pp. 1-7.
- Knowles, R. D. (1981) 'Coping with lethargy', *American Journal of Nursing*, 81(8), pp.
- 787 1465-1465.
- 788 Kodama, T., Hikosaka, K., Honda, Y., Kojima, T. and Watanabe, M. (2014) 'Higher
- dopamine release induced by less rather than more preferred reward during a working
- memory task in the primate prefrontal cortex', Behavioural Brain Research, 266, pp.
- 791 104-107.
- 792 Konok, V., Kosztolányi, A., Rainer, W., Mutschler, B., Halsband, U., Miklósi, Á. and
- 793 Kaminski, J. (2015) 'Influence of Owners' Attachment Style and Personality on Their
- 794 Dogs' (Canis familiaris) Separation-Related Disorder', PLoS ONE, 10(2), pp.
- 795 e0118375
- Lindwall, M., Larsman, P. and Hagger, M. S. (2011) 'The Reciprocal Relationship
- 797 Between Physical Activity and Depression in Older European Adults: A Prospective

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 798 Cross-Lagged Panel Design Using SHARE Data', Health Psychology, 30(4), pp. 453-
- 799 462.
- 800 MacLeod, A. K. and Byrne, A. (1996) 'Anxiety, depression, and the anticipation of
- future positive and negative experiences', Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 105(2),
- 802 pp. 286-289.
- 803 Maier, S. F. and Seligman, M. E. P. (1976) 'Learned helplessness Theory and
- evidence', Journal of Experimental Psychology-General, 105(1), pp. 3-46.
- Marder, A. R., Shabelansky, A., Patronek, G. J., Dowling-Guyer, S. and D'Arpino, S.
- 806 S. (2013) 'Food-related aggression in shelter dogs: A comparison of behavior identified
- by a behavior evaluation in the shelter and owner reports after adoption', Applied
- 808 Animal Behaviour Science, 148(1-2), pp. 150-156.
- 809 Martin, P. and Bateson, P. (2007) Measuring behaviour: an introductory guide. Third
- 810 edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 811 McPhee, M. E. and Carlstead, K. (2010) 'The Importance of Maintaining Natural
- 812 Behaviors in Captive Mammals', in Kleiman, D.G., Thompson, K.V. and Baer, C.K.
- 813 (eds.) Wild Mammals in Captivity, Principles and techniques for zoo management,
- second edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 303-313.
- Mendl, M., Brooks, J., Basse, C., Burman, O., Paul, E., Blackwell, E. and Casey, R.
- 816 2010. Dogs showing separation-related behaviour exhibit a 'pessimistic' cognitive bias,
- 817 *Current Biology*, 20(19), pp. R839-840.
- 818 Mendl, M., Burman, O. H. P., Parker, R. M. A. and Paul, E. S. (2009) 'Cognitive bias
- as an indicator of animal emotion and welfare: Emerging evidence and underlying
- 820 mechanisms', Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 118(3-4), pp. 161-181.
- Mendl, M., Burman, O. H. P. and Paul, E. S. (2010) 'An integrative and functional
- 822 framework for the study of animal emotion and mood', Proceedings of the Royal
- 823 Society B-Biological Sciences, 277(1696), pp. 2895-2904.

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- Mineka, S. and Hendersen, R. W. (1985) 'Controllability and predictability in acquired
- motivation', *Annual Review of Psychology*, 36, pp. 495-529.
- Müller, C., Riemer, S., Rosam, C., Schößwender, J., Range, F. and Huber, L. (2012)
- 827 'Brief owner absence does not induce negative judgement bias in pet dogs', Animal
- 828 *Cognition*, 15(5), pp. 1031-1035.
- 829 Owczarczak-Garstecka, S. C. and Burman, O. H. P. (2016) 'Can Sleep and Resting
- 830 Behaviours Be Used as Indicators of Welfare in Shelter Dogs (Canis lupus
- 831 *familiaris*)?', *Plos One*, 11(10), pp. e0163620.
- Part, C. E., Kiddie, J. L., Hayes, W. A., Mills, D. S., Neville, R. F., Morton, D. B. and
- 833 Collins, L. M. (2014) 'Physiological, physical and behavioural changes in dogs (Canis
- 834 familiaris) when kennelled: Testing the validity of stress parameters', Physiology &
- 835 Behavior, 133, pp. 260-271.
- Paul, E. S., Harding, E. J. and Mendl, M. (2005) Measuring emotional processes in
- animals: The utility of a cognitive approach', Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews,
- 838 29(3), pp. 469-491.
- Pogány, Á., Torda, O., Marinelli, L., Lenkei, R., Junó, V. and Pongrácz, P. (2018) 'The
- 840 behaviour of overweight dogs shows similarity with personality traits of overweight
- humans', Royal Society Open Science, 5(6), pp. 172398.
- Polgár, Z., Blackwell, E. J. and Rooney, N. J. (2019) 'Assessing the welfare of
- 843 kennelled dogs—A review of animal-based measures', Applied Animal Behaviour
- 844 Science, 213, pp. 1-13.
- Pryce, C. R. and Seifritz, E. (2011) 'A translational research framework for enhanced
- 846 validity of mouse models of psychopathological states in depression',
- 847 Psychoneuroendocrinology, 36(3), pp. 308-329.
- Riemer, S., Ellis, S. L. H., Thompson, H. and Burman, O. H. P. (2018) 'Reinforcer
- 849 effectiveness in dogs -The influence of quantity and quality', Applied Animal Behaviour
- 850 *Science*, 206, pp. 87-93.

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 851 Riemer, S., Ellis, S. L. H., Ryan, S., Thompson, H. and Burman, O. H. P. (2016). 'A
- 852 reappraisal of successive negative contrast in two populations of domestic dogs.'
- 853 *Animal Cognition*, 19(3), pp. 471-481.
- 854 Rygula, R., Golebiowska, J., Kregiel, J., Kubik, J. and Popik, P. (2015). 'Effects of
- optimism on motivation in rats.' Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 9, 32.
- 856 Salmeto, A. L., Hymel, K. A., Carpenter, E. C., Brilot, B. O., Bateson, M. and Sufka, K.
- 357 J. (2011) 'Cognitive bias in the chick anxiety-depression model', Brain Research,
- 858 1373, pp. 124-130.
- 859 Schelde, J. T. M. (1998) 'Major depression: Behavioral markers of depression and
- recovery', Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 186(3), pp. 133-140.
- 861 Seime, R. J. and Vickers, K. S. (2006) 'The challenges of treating depression with
- 862 exercise: From evidence to practice', Clinical Psychology-Science and Practice, 13(2),
- 863 pp. 194-197.
- 864 Seligman, M. E. P. and Altenor, A. (1980) 'Part II: Learned helplessness', *Behaviour*
- 865 Research and Therapy, 18(5), pp. 462-473.
- 866 Siegel, S. and Castellan, J. (1988) Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral
- 867 sciences, second edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 868 Siegrist, J. (2008) 'Chronic psychosocial stress at work and risk of depression:
- 869 evidence from prospective studies', European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical
- 870 *Neuroscience*, 258, pp. 115-119.
- Tilly, S. L. C., Dallaire, J. and Mason, G. J. (2010) 'Middle-aged mice with enrichment-
- 872 resistant stereotypic behaviour show reduced motivation for enrichment', Animal
- 873 *Behaviour*, 80(3), pp. 363-373.
- Titulaer, M., Blackwell, E. J., Mendl, M. and Casey, R. A. (2013) 'Cross sectional study
- comparing behavioural, cognitive and physiological indicators of welfare between short
- and long term kennelled domestic dogs', Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 147(1-2),
- 877 pp. 149-158.

- © 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- Udell, M. A. R., Dorey, N. R. and Wynne, C. D. L. (2008) 'Wolves outperform dogs in
- following human social cues', *Animal Behaviour*, 76(6), pp. 1767-1773.
- Udell, M. A. R., Dorey, N. R. and Wynne, C. D. L. (2010) 'The performance of stray
- dogs (Canis familiaris) living in a shelter on human-guided object-choice tasks', Animal
- 882 Behaviour, 79(3), pp. 717-725.
- Wells, D. L., Hepper, P. G., Milligan, A. D. S. and Barnard, S. (2017) 'Cognitive Bias
- and Paw Preference in the Domestic Dog (Canis familiaris)', Journal of Comparative
- 885 *Psychology,* 131(4), pp. 317-325.
- Willen, R. M., Schiml, P. A. and Hennessy, M. B. (2019) 'Enrichment centered on
- 887 human interaction moderates fear-induced aggression and increases positive
- 888 expectancy in fearful shelter dogs', *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 270, pp. 57-62
- World Health Organisation, W. H. O. (1994) International Statistical Classification of
- 890 Diseases and Related Health Problems. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- 891 Yeates, J. (2016) 'Quality of life and animal behaviour', Applied Animal Behaviour
- 892 *Science,* 181, pp. 19-26.
- Zebunke, M., Kreiser, M., Melzer, N., Langbein, J. and Puppe, B. (2018) 'Better, Not
- 894 Just More—Contrast in Qualitative Aspects of Reward Facilitates Impulse Control in
- 895 Pigs', Frontiers in Psychology, 9, pp. 2099