01 University of Plymouth Research Outputs

University of Plymouth Research Outputs

2020-11-25

## The association between residential arrangements and academic performance in UK university students

Webb, OJ

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/15237

10.1080/0309877x.2019.1684461

Journal of Further and Higher Education
Informa UK Limited

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Table 1. Descriptives

Predictor	dictor Categories		%	
Gender	Male	7033	48.3	
	Female	7520	51.7	
Age	<21 years	10803	74.2	
	≥21 years	3750	25.8	
Residential status	Commuter Students	3137	21.6	
	Living at Home Students	1231	8.5	
	Relocated Students	10185	70.0	
Parental	Managerial and professional	5646	38.8	
occupation	Intermediate	1576	10.8	
	Routine and manual	3200	22.0	
	Unknown	4131	28.4	
Year of programme	0 (Foundation)	455	3.1	
	1	4678	32.1	
	2	4137	28.4	
	3	3111	21.4	
	4	2039	14.0	
	5	133	0.9	
Academic	At or below the departmental median	6248	42.9	
performance at	Above the median	5609	38.5	
entry	Missing or atypical	2696	18.5	

Table 2. Logistic regression analyses of the proportion of students with a 100% Pass Rate (stratified by age and gender)

Predictor	Reference category			All students ≥21 years (n=3750)		Male students <21 years (n=5565)		Female students <21 years (n=5238)	
	outogory			OR	95% CIs	OR	95% CIs	OR	95% CIs
Gender	Male	VS	Female	1.03	0.89 – 1.19	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Residential status	Commuter Students	VS	Living at Home Students	0.95	0.79 – 1.15	0.88	0.63 – 1.23	1.12	0.79 – 1.59
			Relocated Students	0.93	0.79 – 1.09	1.07	0.88 – 1.30	1.19	0.96 – 1.47
Academic performance at entry	Departmental or below	median vs	Above median	1.75***	1.34 – 2.30	1.47***	1.29 – 1.67	1.51***	1.30 – 1.75
			Missing/atypical	0.89	0.75 – 1.07	0.78	0.53 – 1.14	0.57**	0.38 - 0.84
Parental occupation	Managerial/ professional	VS	Intermediate	1.17	0.90 – 1.55	0.87	0.70 – 1.08	0.88	0.68 – 1.12
			Routine/manual	0.87	0.70 – 1.09	0.74***	0.63 – 0.87	0.73**	0.60 – 0.87
			Unknown	0.80*	0.65 - 0.98	0.75***	0.64 - 0.88	0.80*	0.66 - 0.97
Year of programme	0-1	VS	2-3	1.00	0.86 – 1.16	1.45***	1.26 – 1.66	1.37***	1.17 – 1.60
			4-5	1.34*	1.04 – 1.72	1.50***	1.25 – 1.79	1.64***	1.28 – 2.10

<sup>\*</sup>p <.05

<sup>\*\*</sup>p <.01

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p <.001

Table 3. Logistic regression analyses of the proportion of students whose performance was consistent with Good Degree Performance (stratified by age and gender)

Predictor	Reference category			All students ≥21 years (n=2247)		Male students <21 years (n=3828)		Female students <21 years (n=3884)	
				OR	95% CIs	OR	95% CIs	OR	95% CIs
Gender	Male	VS	Female	1.10	0.90 – 1.35	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Residential status	Commuter Students	VS	Living at Home Students	1.29	0.99 – 1.68	1.90**	1.22 – 2.96	1.48*	1.01 – 2.15
			Relocated Students	1.14	0.91 – 1.43	1.21	0.95 – 1.53	1.71***	1.34 – 2.17
Academic performance at entry	Departmental or below	median vs	Above median	1.23	0.87 – 1.74	1.67***	1.44 – 1.93	1.83***	1.56 – 2.15
Donomial	N4		Missing/atypical	0.87	0.68 – 1.10	0.83	0.52 – 1.31	0.94	0.58 – 1.53
Parental occupation	Managerial/ professional	VS	Intermediate	0.99	0.67 – 1.46	0.81	0.64 – 1.02	1.23	0.91 – 1.64
			Routine/manual	0.74	0.54 – 1.03	0.93	0.76 – 1.12	0.72**	0.59 – 0.88
			Unknown	0.59**	0.44 - 0.80	1.01	0.84 – 1.22	0.71***	0.58 – 0.87
Year of programme	0-1	VS	2-3	0.80*	0.64 - 0.99	0.74***	0.63 – 0.87	0.80*	0.67 – 0.96
			4-5	0.91	0.67 – 1.25	0.86	0.71 – 1.04	0.88	0.69 – 1.12