

2019-11-28

Nutrition-related symptoms in adult survivors of critical illness when eating orally: a scoping review protocol

Albrich, L

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/15220>

10.11124/jbisir-d-19-00128

JBIR Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports

Ovid Technologies (Wolters Kluwer Health)

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Nutrition-related symptoms in adult survivors of critical illness when eating orally: a scoping review protocol.

Abstract

Objective: To explore and map the current literature on the nutrition impact of symptoms reported by adult survivors of critical illness who are eating orally after intensive care unit (ICU) discharge.

Introduction: Survivors of critical care often experience CU-acquired weakness and poor functional recovery. It is plausible that nutrition interventions throughout their recovery could improve outcomes for these patients. While a growing number of studies aim to explore the effect of nutrition delivered in the early phases of critical illness, this is also important post ICU, particularly in already nutritionally compromised patients presenting with muscle loss and fatigue. Therefore, a comprehensive insight into the physiological, physical or psychological difficulties that critically ill patients experience after ICU discharge which may impede oral intake will inform the development of targeted nutrition interventions.

Inclusion criteria: Original research studies in adult patients 18 years and older, who are in the recovery phase after being critically ill, and eating orally. Studies must report on any symptoms related to the ability to eat, or represent nutrition inadequacy or utilization.

Methods: A scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology using a three-step search strategy of MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, AMED, Web of Science, Cochrane and Joanna Briggs Institute Database of Systematic Reviews to obtain original research studies that meet the inclusion criteria. Duplicates will be removed and study selection and data extraction will be conducted in duplicate. Data synthesis will involve quantitative and qualitative methods.

Introduction

The definition of critical illness varies internationally, but is commonly described as the provision of specialized, multidisciplinary care for patients in a life-threatening, but treatable, condition.¹ With increased critical care survival comes acquired and enduring difficulties for recovering patients.² These effects are largely due to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) acquired weakness (ICU-AW) which, alongside other physical, psychological and cognitive impairments, represents 'post intensive care syndrome' (PICS).³ The emphasis of clinical care and research has therefore now shifted towards addressing the long-term effects of critical illness in survivors, including identifying factors which increase the risk of PICS such as prolonged ventilation, degree of muscle wasting and extended ICU length of stay (LOS).⁴

The inflammatory state caused by critical illness leads to catabolism, where energy stores are mobilized to fuel hyper-metabolism with consequential muscle wasting.⁵ This further compounds the risk of malnutrition,

estimated at 43% in patients admitted to the ICU.⁶ Malnutrition has been independently associated with increased hospital LOS, infectious complications and mortality.⁷ Nutrition therapy is proposed as one aspect of medical care that may help attenuate muscle wasting and reduce ICU-acquired weakness, so nutrition support is therefore normally initiated early after ICU admission, either enterally (through a gastrointestinal tube) or parenterally (into the veins).^{8,9}

Nutrition research to date has focused predominantly on the acute phase of illness with varying results.¹⁰ This phase of illness however represents just a small fraction of a patients' inpatient journey of recovery.¹¹ The importance of specialist nutrition intervention as part of aftercare (post-ICU) due to altered physiology, was highlighted as an important care aspect over two decades ago.¹² There is however a renewed interest on whether nutrition interventions in the recovery phase may be more effective in improving outcomes.¹³ While oral intake is reduced, the influence that has on patient outcome has not been reported but is likely to exacerbate malnutrition.¹¹

When patients are awake and liberated from their breathing support (called extubation), oral nutrition support can be re-established if their swallow is deemed safe.^{8,9} Several studies have however reported inadequate nutrition support immediately following extubation, with patients meeting just ~50% their prescribed energy and ~25% of their protein targets orally; this is substantially lower than in patients that continue to receive nutrition artificially.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ While a number of strategies, such as the provision of oral nutritional supplementation (ONS) and fortified diets, have been implemented in recovery, patients' requirements are often not met for multifactorial reasons.¹⁷ It is plausible that many physiological, physical, or psychological symptoms that form part of PICS are associated with malnutrition.^{12,18}

Critical illness or its treatment can alter homeostatic control through inflammatory or neutral processes.¹⁹ Physiological effects may include reduced appetite, early satiety, changes in sensory perception, and gastro-intestinal upset.^{18,19} These could potentially impede oral dietary intake and increase patients' risk for critical care related morbidities.²⁰⁻²³ Physical effects include weakness and fatigue, alongside an altered eating pattern, or swallow dysfunction, and these also could further reduce opportunities for nourishment.^{22,23} In addition, psychological effects such as, alertness, anxiety, pain, poor sleep quality, low mood and reduced motivation may also play a significant role.²⁴

Current research lacks comprehensive insight into the difficulties or symptoms that post critical care patients experience when commencing oral intake. A preliminary search conducted on 18 March 2019 performed in PubMed, the Joanna Briggs Institute Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR), international database of prospectively registered systematic reviews (PROSPERO), Campbell Systematic Reviews, and Database of Abstracts of Reviews and Effects (DARE) indicated that no existing systematic or scoping reviews on this topic is available or under development.

We therefore propose a scoping review to enable a broad review of the nutrition related symptoms which adult survivors of critical care patients experience when eating orally after ICU discharge, using Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodology.²⁵ A scoping review allows for searching, selecting and synthesizing current knowledge on a research question by mapping essential concepts, categories evidence and source any gaps in the research.^{26,27}

This review will complement the increasing evidence base on the multifactorial interventions required for post-ICU recovery, through providing insight into the required considerations for patient-centered and effective nutritional plans.¹¹ It will add to growing evidence on nutritional rehabilitation and reveal gaps for further exploratory research.

Review question

The objective of this scoping review is explore and map the current literature on the nutrition impact of symptoms, reported by adult survivors of critical illness who are eating orally after ICU discharge.

The specific research questions are:

- What nutrition-related physiological, physical or psychological symptoms are reported in the literature in adult survivors of critical illness who consume oral intake that may impact intake after ICU discharge?
- What nutritional factors or aspects of eating are reported alongside these symptoms?
- What tools are used to assess or measure, and report these symptoms?
- Are there differences between nutrition symptoms reported prior to and after hospital discharge?

Keywords (5 max)

critical care; nutrition; oral intake; recovery; symptoms

Inclusion criteria

Participants

This scoping review will consider studies in adult patients 18 years and older, who are in the recovery phase after an admission to an intensive care or high-dependency unit, and eating orally.¹ The recovery phase is defined as any point after discharge from ICU until a year later.¹⁸ Study population will either have no respiratory support, non-invasive or invasive ventilation. Symptoms reported up until hospital discharge on

the ward outside the ICU setting and then after hospital discharge will be recorded. Eating orally is defined as any oral food or drink intake including patients supported, but not fed exclusively, by artificial feeding.

Concept

This scoping review will consider data sources that report the presence of nutrition-related symptoms in recovering critically ill patients who are eating. This review will map these symptoms in relation to oral dietary intake, with particular attention to physiological symptoms (such as changes in sensory perception, appetite, gastrointestinal symptoms, or swallowing problems), physical symptoms (such as muscle loss, weakness, pain, hair loss, or nail changes) and psychological symptoms (such as low mood, anxiety, insomnia). Nutrition-related symptoms are any reported symptom that may be the consequence of inadequate nutrition, may influence the ability to eat and drink, or indicate difficulties in the digestion and/or absorption of food. Data will be collated on how symptoms are assessed, or measured, and reported.

Context

This scoping review will consider data from care settings including post-ICU acute care (ward-based), community care facilities, and patients at home. Studies that explore oral intake for patients in the ICU setting alone will be excluded, as this represents an acute phase of illness as opposed to recovery. All geographic areas, both national and international will be included.

Types of Sources

Evidence from all original research methodologies will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review providing the article meets the inclusion criteria and they have a study population of more than one patient. Systematic reviews will be used to source original papers that are either included or excluded based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Other review and protocol papers will not be included as this is not original work.

Text and opinion papers, letters, short communications, and conference abstracts will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review. In addition, grey literature including guidelines or protocols produced by hospital institutions or critical care associations as published on websites or retrieved from experts in the field will be searched to seek the original work referenced.^{25,26}

Methods

The proposed systematic review will be conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology for scoping reviews.²⁵

Search strategy

The search strategy aims to find both published and unpublished studies. A three-step search strategy will be utilized in this scoping review.²⁶ A stage one search has been completed as part of preparing this protocol. This involved an initial limited search of MEDLINE undertaken to identify core articles on this topic, followed by analysis of the text words and index terms contained in the titles and abstracts.²⁶ This informed the development of a stage two search strategy for databases using identified keywords and index term on 18 March 2019, which will be tailored for each information source (Appendix I).²⁶ This search strategy was developed in consultation with information specialists with expertise in the field of critical care reviews, and with consideration of the principles of the Peer Review of Electronic Search strategies (PRESS) Checklist.²⁸ Stage three of searching will involve screening the reference list of all the relevant studies to identify further citations.²⁶

Information sources

A wide range of databases and information sources will be searched to minimize publication bias.²⁹ The databases to be searched will include: MEDLINE (using Ovid host), EMBASE - Excerpta Medica (using Ovid host), CINAHL Plus with Full Text (using EBSCO host), and The Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED) (using EBSCO host), as well as Web of Science, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) and Joanna Briggs Institute Database of Systematic Reviews (JBI). Searches will be limited to the year 2000 or later as this is when nutrition and physiological symptoms as part of ICU aftercare was first mentioned.¹² Although not applied as limits within the search, abstract screening will exclude studies with a population of adults under 18 years of age, and full text screening will exclude studies not available in English as this is not thought to significantly bias findings.²⁹ Trial registers will not be searched in this review. The search for unpublished studies and grey literature will include: Opengrey, Scopus and ProQuest using search terms similar to those used in database searches.²⁶

Study selection

Following the search, all identified citations will be collated and uploaded to the reference manager Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics, PA, USA) and duplicates removed.²⁵ Due to scoping reviews having broader eligibility criteria and the inclusion of not just the best evidence, a degree of subjectivity is unavoidable.^{26,29} Therefore, to minimize inter and intra reviewer variation, the Institute of Medicine Standard's guidance on screening and selecting studies will be observed, which includes a dual review process, a two stage study selection, as well as training and pilot testing.²⁹ Firstly, titles and abstracts will be screened against the inclusion criteria by two independent reviewers, followed by a full text retrieval and review of selected studies.²⁶

The first stage of screening, no reasoning of exclusion shall be included, however the number of articles included and excluded will be recorded on the PRISMA diagram.³⁰ The second stage of screening will have decisions and reasons for inclusion and exclusion documented, with the full text review being performed using a coding system (Appendix II).²⁹ Prior to commencing study selection, reviewers will be trained and the selection process will be piloted on 10% of the search results, including recording the K-statistics on the level of agreement.²⁵ Once study selection is completed, citations of eligible studies will be retrieved in full and will then be imported and managed by Covidence software.²⁹ Critical review of included studies will not be performed, as this is not a requirement of a scoping review.²⁶ The final scoping review report will include an appendix with details of all the full text studies excluded together with the reasons.²⁶ Results from grey literature searching and guidelines or protocols will be reviewed for its relevance by one reviewer using a checklist duplicated from the reference manager coding framework (Appendix II), and cross-checked by the second.²⁹ The results of the search will be reported in full in the final report and presented in a PRISMA flow diagram.²⁶ Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer.²⁶

Data extraction

Data will be extracted by two independent reviewers who will undergo training and trial the draft data extraction tool prior to commencing the scoping review.^{26,29} Data extracted will include specific details about the population, concept, context, study methods and key findings relevant to the scoping review objectives using the data extraction tool (Appendix III).^{26,29} However, the tool will continue to be modified as necessary during extraction in the spirit of the iterative nature of a scoping review.²⁷ Modifications will be detailed in the full scoping review report. Results will be cross checked between the two reviewers and any disagreements will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer.²⁶

Data presentation

Extracted data will be presented in diagrammatic form, mapping the results in a manner that aligns with the objective of this scoping review.²⁹ The tables and charts will reflect the data extraction tool (Appendix II) and report on: authors, year of publication, country of origin, research methods or data type, aim of the study, population, critical care details, time point in recovery, physiological symptoms reported, physical symptoms reported, psychological symptoms reported, measurement tools used, and nutritional or oral dietary intake details. A narrative summary will accompany the results and will describe how the results relate to the review's objectives.²⁷

Funding

No funding has been obtained to perform this scoping review.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. They aim to maintain intellectual neutrality on this topic, no professional affiliations bias their position, and there is no intended financial gain through conducting this scoping review.²⁹ Reviewers will complete a conflict of interest disclosure form before commencing the review.

References

1. Intensive Care Society. Levels of critical care for adult patients. Intensive Care Society Standards; 2009. Available from: [file:///C:/Users/louise.albrich/Downloads/Levels%20of%20Critical%20Care%20for%20Adult%20Patients%20\(revise\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/louise.albrich/Downloads/Levels%20of%20Critical%20Care%20for%20Adult%20Patients%20(revise).pdf)
2. Harvey MA, Davidson JE. Post intensive care syndrome: right care, right now...and later. Crit Care Med. 2016;44(2):381-5.
3. Rawal G, Yadav S, Kumar R. Post-intensive care syndrome: an overview. J Translat Intern Med. 2017;5(2):90-92.
4. Latronico N, Herridge M, Hopkins RO, Angus D, Hart N, Hermans G, et al. The ICM research agenda on intensive care unit-acquired weakness. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(9):1270-81.
5. Griffith DM, Lewis S, Rossi AG, Rennie J, Salisbury L, Merriweather JL, et al. Systemic inflammation after critical illness: relationship with physical recovery and exploration of potential mechanisms. Thorax. 2016;71(9):820-9.
6. Giner M, Laviano A, Meguid MM, Gleason JR. In 1995 a correlation between malnutrition and poor outcome in critically ill patients still exists. Nutrition. 1996;12(1):23-9.
7. Lew CCH, Yandell R, Fraser RJL, Chua AP, Chong MFF, Miller M. Association between malnutrition and clinical outcomes in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. JPEN. 2017;41(5):744-58.
8. Singer P, Blaser AR, Berger MM, Alhazzani W, Calder PC, Casaer MP, et al. ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition in the intensive care unit. Clin Nutr. 2019;38(1):48-79.
9. McClave SA, Taylor BE, Martindale RG, Warren MM, Johnson DR, Braunschweig C, et al. Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N.). JPEN. 2016;40(2):159-211.
10. Bear DE, Griffith D, Puthuchery ZA. Emerging outcome measures for nutrition trials in the critically ill. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2018;21(6):417-22.
11. Bear DE, Wandrag L, Merriweather JL, Connolly B, Hart N, Grocott MPW. The role of nutritional support in the physical and functional recovery of critically ill patients: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2017;21(1):226.

12. Griffiths R, Jones C. Intensive care aftercare. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2002.
13. Wischmeyer PE. Tailoring nutrition therapy to illness and recovery. *Crit Care*. 2017;21(Suppl 3):316.
14. Ridley EJ, Parke RL, Davies AR, Bailey M, Hodgson C, Deane AM, et al. What happens to nutrition intake in the post-intensive care unit hospitalization period? an observational cohort study in critically ill adults. *JPEN*. 2018;43(1):88-95.
15. Peterson SJ, Tsai AA, Scala CM, Sowa DC, Sheean PM, Braunschweig CL. Adequacy of oral intake in critically ill patients 1 week after extubation. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2010;110(3):427-33.
16. Chapple LS, Deane AM, Heyland DK, Lange K, Kranz AJ, Williams LT, et al. Energy and protein deficits throughout hospitalization in patients admitted with a traumatic brain injury. *Clin Nutr*. 2016;35(6):1315-22.
17. Merriweather J, Smith P, Walsh T. Nutritional rehabilitation after ICU – does it happen: a qualitative interview and observational study. *J Clin Nurs*. 2013;23(5-6):654-62.
18. Massanet PL, Petit L, Louart B, Corne P, Richard C, Preiser JC. Nutrition rehabilitation in the intensive care unit. *JPEN*. 2015;39(4):391-400.
19. Merriweather JL, Salisbury LG, Walsh TS, Smith P. Nutritional care after critical illness: a qualitative study of patients' experiences. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2016;29(2):127-36.
20. Merriweather JL, Griffith DM, Walsh TS. Appetite during the recovery phase of critical illness: a cohort study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2018; 72(7):986-992.
21. Chapple LS, Weinel LM, Abdelhamid YA, Summers MJ, Nguyen T, Kar P, et al. Observed appetite and nutrient intake three months after ICU discharge. *Clin Nutr*. 2018; S0261-5614(18)30174-2.
22. Nelson JE, Meier DE, Litke A, Natale DA, Siegel RE, Morrison RS. The symptom burden of chronic critical illness. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32(7):1527-34.
23. Choi J, Hoffman LA, Schulz R, Tate JA, Donahoe MP, Ren D, et al. Self-reported physical symptoms in intensive care unit (ICU) survivors: pilot exploration over four months post-ICU discharge. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2014;47(2):257-70.
24. Simmons WK, Burrows K, Avery JA, Kerr KL, Bodurka J, Savage CR, et al. Depression-related increases and decreases in appetite: dissociable patterns of aberrant activity in reward and interoceptive neurocircuitry. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(4):418-28.
25. Peters MG, Christina. McInerney, Patricia. Soares, Cassia. Khalil, Hanan. Parker, Deborah. The Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual 2015: methodology for JBI scoping reviews. Adelaide, SA Australia: The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2015;13(11):43-51.
26. Booth A. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. 2nd edition. 2016.
27. Colquhoun HL, Levac D, Amp, Apos, Brien KK, Straus S, et al. Scoping reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;67(12):1291-4.

- 256 28. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS Peer Review
257 of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 guideline statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016;75:40-6.
- 258 29. Nelson H. Systematic reviews to answer health care questions. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams
259 & Wilkins, a Wolters Kluwer business.; 2014.
- 260 30. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
261 meta- analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.

Appendix I. Search strategy

Medline Ovid (11/03/2019)

S1 "intensive or critical*" adj3 "care or unit* or ill*" or ICU or ITU or artificial* adj2 "respirat* or ventilat*" or mechanical* adj4 ventilat* or "care respiration" (Results = 409,959)

SH: Intensive care units/ critical illness / critical care outcomes / critical care/ respiratory, artificial (Results = 168,698)

S2 surviv* or discharge* or recover* or transfer* or rehabilit* or follow up or post icu (Results = 3,501,605)

SH: patient discharge/ rehabilitation / aftercare/ (Results = 52,568)

S3 nutrition* diet* or food adj2 intake or oral intake or eat* or appetite or dysphagia (Results = 968,494)

SH: feeding behaviour / diet/ eating/ food/ nutritional assessment/ appetite/ (Results = 328,180)

S4 S1 AND S2 AND S3 (Results = 2930)

S5 with applying the limit of year 2000 or after (Results = 2320)

Appendix II. Screening tool and coding framework

Stages	Population	Concept	Context
Stage 1	<p>Is the article about adults (18+) who have been in ICU, now post ICU, and eating orally?</p> <p>If unclear include.</p> <p>Specifically looking for information regarding patients who are over 18 years, have been critically ill in an intensive care unit, and are now eating post ICU. Patients in ICU may be mentioned in papers and will need to clarify status.</p>	<p>Has the article reported any symptoms when eating orally and how these are measured, assessed or reported?</p> <p>If unclear include.</p> <p>Specifically looking for studies that mention symptoms when eating orally again, how this affects their ability to eat and how these are measured, assessed or reported. Patients may have artificial feeding as well as eating orally, but not exclusively.</p>	<p>Has the article mentioned the patient having survived critical care, been extubated, discharged from ICU, in recovery?</p> <p>If unclear include.</p> <p>Patients need to be liberated from invasive respiratory support, and in recovery from critical illness as an inpatient or in the community until 1 year post-ICU.</p>
Stage 2 – Abstract Code	<p>R = Retrieve as possibly meets eligibility criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude as does not meet inclusion criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Not 18+ years 	<p>R = Retrieve as possibly meets eligibility criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude as does not meet inclusion criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Not 18+ years 	<p>R = Retrieve as possibly meets eligibility criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude as does not meet inclusion criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Not 18+ years
Stage 3 – Full text inclusion/exclusion criteria code	<p>I = Include as meets inclusion criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Wrong population · No symptoms reported · Not eating orally · Not English · Outside limits 	<p>I = Include as meets inclusion criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Wrong population · No symptoms reported · Not eating orally · Not English · Outside limits 	<p>I = Include as meets inclusion criteria</p> <p>E = Exclude because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Wrong population · No symptoms reported · Not eating orally · Not English · Outside limits
What year was it published?	Exclude if published before 2000	Exclude if published before 2000	Exclude if published before 2000

Appendix III. Data extraction tool

Publication Data	Response
Author(s)	
Year of Publication	
Country of Origin	
Brief aim of study	
The research objective this paper addresses	
Study design (i.e. RCT, observational) If interventional, include summary of intervention and control arms	
Patient population (number of patients, age, sex percentage, severity of critical illness, critical illness diagnoses, nutritional status, length of stay in ICU, length of stay in hospital, duration of artificial feeding, percentage of patients receiving mechanical ventilation, duration of mechanical ventilation)	
Time point of study conduct (e.g. time after ICU admission, time since extubation, time since ICU discharge, time since hospital discharge)	
Nutrition: (route: oral/ tube / parenteral)	
Nutritional status	
Physiological symptoms: (e.g. appetite, satiety, dysphagia, taste changes, nausea, bloating, etc.)	
Physical symptoms: (e.g. weakness, sleepy, pain, short of breath, weight loss, etc.)	
Psychological symptoms: (e.g. low mood/depression, anxiety, sleeping, etc.)	
Measurement tools used for physiological symptoms (type, scale, validation, subjective/ objective, self-reported/other)	
Measurement tools used for physical symptoms (type, scale, validation, subjective or objective, self-reported/other)	
Measurement tools used for psychological symptoms (type, scale, validation, subjective or objective, self-reported/other)	