

2019-04-11

Cryptic diversity in *Lithobates warszewitschii* (Amphibia, Anura, Ranidae)

Cryer, J

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/13414>

10.3897/zookeys.838.29635

ZooKeys

Pensoft Publishers

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

1
2 Cryptic diversity in *Lithobates warszewitschii* (Amphibia,
3 Anura, Ranidae)
4

5 James Cryer ¹, Felicity Wynne ¹, Stephen J. Price ², Robert
6 Puschendorf ^{1,3}
7

8 ¹ School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA, UK

9 ² UCL Genetics Institute, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

10 Institute of Zoology, ZSL, Regents Park, London NW1 4RY, UK.

11 ³ Corresponding author email: robert.puschendorf@plymouth.ac.uk
12

13 **Abstract**

14 *Lithobates warszewitschii* is a species of ranid frog distributed from southern Honduras to
15 Panama. This species suffered severe population declines at higher elevations (above 500 m
16 asl) from the 1980s to early 1990s, but there is more recent evidence of recovery in parts of
17 its range. Here we advocate for the status of *Lithobates warszewitschii* as a candidate cryptic
18 species complex based on sequence data from mitochondrial genes CO1 and 16S. Using
19 concatenated phylogenies, nucleotide diversity (K2P- π), net between group mean distance
20 (NBGMD) (π_{net}) and species delimitation methods, we further elucidate cryptic diversity
21 within this species. All phylogenies display polyphyletic lineages within Costa Rica and
22 Panama. At both loci, observed genetic polymorphism (K2P- π) is also high within and
23 between geographic populations, surpassing proposed species threshold values for
24 amphibians. Additionally, patterns of phylogeographic structure are complicated for this
25 species, and do not appear to be explained by geographic barriers or isolation by distance.
26 These preliminary findings suggest *L. warszewitschii* is a wide-ranging species complex.
27 Therefore, we propose further investigation within its wider range, and recommend
28 integrative taxonomic assessment is merited to assess species status.
29

30 **Keywords:** Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG); barcoding; biodiversity; CO1;
31 phylogenetics; phylogeography; 16S; *Lithobates warszewitschii*
32

33 **Introduction**

34 Cryptic species are poorly defined and highly heterogeneous. Identification of potential
35 singular, nominal species may be masked when morphological traits are shared within and
36 between sister taxa (Bickford et al. 2007). Evolutionary mechanisms that produce cryptic
37 species are also diverse and may best be explained by recent divergence, niche conservatism
38 and morphological convergence (Fisher et al. 2018). Although considered evidence of
39 incomplete species inventories, or potential sources of bias within biodiversity research (Fisher
40 et al. 2018), crypticism is evidently common (Adams et al. 2014) and extensive among
41 animal phyla (Perez-Ponce de León and Poulin 2016). Species concepts have been a topic of
42 debate since Darwin's *Origin of Species* (Mallet 2008), yet most contemporary biologists
43 conceptually envisage separately evolving segments of metapopulation-level evolutionary
44 lineages (Mayden 1997, de Queiroz 1998, 1999, Hey et al. 2003, Bock 2004, Hey 2006).
45

46 Given that the majority of species remain undescribed, endeavours to explain and catalogue
47 biodiversity are inevitable to both understanding and preventing extinctions (Pimm et al.
48 2014). For amphibians especially, being the most threatened group of vertebrates (Stuart et al.
49 2004), identifying cryptic diversity is fundamental to their conservation. Habitat loss,
50 fragmentation, climate change and disease epidemics have produced a global decline in
51 amphibian populations (Baillie et al. 2004, Stuart et al. 2004). Losses reflect patterns of
52 ecological preference, range and taxonomic association, with montane stream dwelling
53 species most affected (Stuart et al. 2004). It is also probable that the number of amphibian
54 species is highly underestimated (Fouquet et al. 2007a, Vieites et al. 2009).
55 Whereas some species are presumed to be widely distributed, those within a cryptic complex
56 may have smaller ranges or different ecological requirements (Stuart et al. 2006), meaning
57 failure to recognize these taxa can leave them susceptible to mismanagement. However, when
58 genetic differentiation is established, it can unveil previously unknown units of diversity and
59 endemism (Bickford et al. 2007) that may subsequently warrant protection or species status
60 (Whitfield et al. 2016).

61
62
63 High levels of genetic diversity in Costa Rican and Panamanian frog populations is well
64 recognized (Crawford 2003), as are cryptic species (Wang et al. 2008). *Lithobates*
65 *warszewitschii* (Ranidae) (Schmidt, 1857) is a proposed candidate species - a provisional
66 designation pending further systematic investigation (Vieites et al. 2009). Crawford et al.
67 (2010) (Supporting information) showed that within the amphibian community at El Copé
68 (Omar Torrijos National Park), Panama, *L. warszewitschii* displayed 14.7% pairwise
69 divergence between conspecifics at the CO1 locus. This is an unusually high degree of
70 polymorphism for a single species in sympatry (Crawford 2003, Vences et al. 2005),
71 providing additional evidence this taxon likely contains candidate cryptic lineages (Mallet
72 2008). Paz et al. (2015) compared El Copé with allopatric populations from Brewster
73 (Chagres National Park), revealing 11% pairwise divergence. Consequently, breeding
74 strategy, dispersal and landscape resistance may help explain this variation between both
75 sites.

76
77 *Lithobates warszewitschii* occurs from Honduras to Panama and has been recorded at
78 elevations up to 1740 meters above sea level (m asl). They are fairly common, diurnal and
79 generally abundant frogs in forests near streams where they breed (Savage 2002). In Costa
80 Rica, population declines occurred in montane areas such as Tapantí, Montverde and Braulio
81 Carrillo (Bolaños 2002, Puschendorf et al. 2006). Post-decline it was found to be rare in San
82 Vito (Santos-Barrera et al. 2007) and vanished but found again at San Ramón (IUCN 2015).
83 *Lithobates warszewitschii* was also found to be abundant at mid-elevation sites in Guayacan
84 (Kubicki 2008), Corcovado, Ciudad Colón and Tinamastes (IUCN 2015). A population
85 decline also occurred at lowland site La Selva (Whitfield et al. 2007), however, it is not
86 generally abundant at lower elevations (IUCN 2015). Pre-decline it was one of the most
87 abundant tadpoles encountered in streams at El Copé, Panama, (Ranvestel et al. 2004), but
88 was later extirpated following the emergence of a virulent pathogen (Crawford et al. 2010). In
89 Nicaragua, it was found to be abundant in Rio San Juan (Sunyer et al. 2009) and numbers
90 were increasing at Quebracho (Barquero et al. 2010) post decline, although Nicaragua's
91 decline history is much more nebulous than Costa Rica's. No data was found for Honduras,
92 and additional research is needed to ascertain population sizes, distributions, trends and
93 threats throughout its full range (IUCN 2015).

94
95 In this study we expand the research on cryptic diversity within *L. warszewitschii*, based on
96 published sequence data from two localities in Panama (Crawford et al. 2010, Paz et al. 2015)
97 and samples collected from the Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG) in northwestern

98 Costa Rica. Using phylogenetic data, species delimitation methods and nucleotide diversity
99 within CO1 and 16S loci we make inferences about phylogeographic structure and proposed
100 candidate status across its wider range.
101

102 Methods

103 Field sampling

104 *Lithobates warszewitschii* were sampled from five field sites within the Área de
105 Conservación Guanacaste (ACG), Costa Rica: Pitilla, San Gerardo, Maritza, Cacao and
106 Caribe (Figure 1) between June 2015 – August 2017 (Table 1). Streams and surrounding
107 forest are preferred habitat for *L. warszewitschii* (Savage 2002), and sampling was conducted
108 within these habitats. Each individual was captured, housed separately in moist bags
109 (Beaupre et al. 2004), identified based on morphology (Savage et al. 2002, Leenders 2016),
110 and toe-clipped (Perry et al. 2011). Individuals were then released back at the point of
111 capture.
112

113
114 A total of 34 samples were collected from ACG and obtained from genbank, but only 29 had
115 both CO1 and 16S available and therefore used in this analysis. All data for *L. warszewitschii*
116 samples collected in Panamanian sites El Copé and Brewster were obtained from other
117 studies (Crawford et al. 2010, Paz et al. 2015).
118

119 Lab work

120 In order to extract DNA from tissue samples a standard ammonium acetate protocol was used
121 (Nicholls et al. 2000). The Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (CO1) and 16S ribosomal RNA
122 (16S) mitochondrial genes were targeted for amplification by PCR. 16S primers (16Sar-L
123 +16Sbr-H) and reaction protocols were adapted from (Kessing et al 2004). Multiple primers
124 were used in the CO1 reactions to maximize the number of successful PCR products. CO1
125 primers (dgLCO-1490 + dgHCO-2198) and reaction protocols were adapted from Meyer et
126 al. (2005) and CO1 primers (Chmf4 + Chmr4; Che et al. 2012) followed reaction protocols
127 by Ivanova et al. (2008).
128

129 Extracted DNA from a subset of samples was sent to the Canadian Centre of DNA barcoding
130 for PCR amplification and sequencing. These samples used CO1 primers (C_VF1LFt1 +
131 C_VF1LRt1) in PCR reactions (Ivanova et al. 2007). The remaining samples were amplified
132 in-house. Thermocycler (*Techne Prime Gradient*) programmes differed depending on the
133 primer and reaction used. CO1 (dgLCO-1490 + dgHCO-2198) and 16S (16Sar-L + 16Sbr-H)
134 reactions were run using the protocol outlined by Crawford et al. (2010). Primer set (COI,
135 Chmf4 + Chmr4) followed thermocycler profiles by (Ivanova et al. 2008). Two percent agar
136 gels were used for electrophoresis with 1% TAE (Smith et al. 2008). Gels were visualized
137 using an *ImageQuant LAS4000* and *Nanodrop 2000* quantification was performed on each
138 successful PCR product visualized at the correct length, prior to dilution.
139

140 Bioinformatics

141 Concatenated gene alignments were used in the phylogenetic analyses. GENEIOUS v11.0.5
142 (Kearse et al. 2012) bioinformatics software was used to assemble forward and reverse
143 sequences from returned CO1 and 16S chromatographs. Forward and reverse (compliment)
144 sequences were aligned using Geneious' alignment (Global alignment with free end Gaps;
145 Cost matrix = 65% similarity (5.0/-4.0); Gap open penalty = 12; Extension penalty = 3).
146 Sequences were trimmed at the 3' and 5' ends where low quality base calls were present.
147 Consensus sequences were produced for each sample, ranging from 609-658 base pairs (bp)
148 in length for CO1 and 578-601bp for 16S. For both CO1 and 16S, a BLAST search (Altschul
149

150 et al. 1990) was conducted using a consensus sequence derived from all Costa Rican
151 sequences. Additional *Lithobates* species sequence data were downloaded to represent an
152 ingroup for *L. warszewitschii* based on previous phylogenetic studies (e.g., Hillis and Wilcox
153 2005, Frost et al. 2006, Che et al. 2007, Huang et al. 2016): *Lithobates clamitans* (Latreille,
154 1801), *Lithobates catesbeiana* (Shaw, 1802), *Lithobates maculata* (Brocchi, 1877),
155 *Lithobates palmipes* (Spix, 1824), *Lithobates septentrionalis* (Baird, 1854), *Lithobates*
156 *sylvatica* (LeConte, 1825), *Lithobates vaillanti* (Brocchi, 1877), *Rana maoershanensis* (Lu et
157 al. 2007) was used as an outgroup (Zhou et al. 2017). All sequences were archived in
158 Genbank (Benson et al. 2012; Table 2). All relevant sequences for each gene were then
159 Geneious aligned (Maddison 1997). Only individuals which had sequence data for both genes
160 were included in the concatenated alignment for the phylogenetic analyses. *Lithobates*
161 *clamitans*, *L. maculata*, *L. septentrionalis* and *L. vaillanti* were represented by different
162 individuals on 16S and CO1 phylogenetic analyses.

163
164 Separate Bayesian consensus trees for the CO1 and 16S alignments were estimated
165 independently using MR BAYES v3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2013) to ensure they do not conflict
166 with each other. After establishing that there were no conflicts, columns with gaps were
167 removed from the two individual alignments, which were then concatenated end to end with
168 PhyUtility v.2.7.1 (Smith et al., 2008). This concatenated alignment was then used to
169 construct trees using a Bayesian framework (Mr. Bayes with default settings used for Markov
170 chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis—1,000,000 generations, 4 chains, 2 runs, a sample
171 frequency of 500, and a 25% burn-in) and a maximum likelihood framework (RAxML;
172 Stamatakis 2014); 20 maximum-likelihood trees generated on distinct starting trees, 1000
173 bootstrap replicates calculated and annotated on the best maximum-likelihood tree). The
174 alignment was partitioned by gene, meaning model parameters were unlinked across the
175 partition, to account for the different evolutionary histories of the COI and 16S genes. The
176 General Time Reversible (GTR) model of substitution (Tavaré 1986) was used for all trees in
177 order to be consistent between the Bayesian and maximum likelihood approaches since GTR
178 is the model implemented in RAxML. Rate variation among sites was modelled as a discrete
179 gamma distribution with four rate categories. Trees were rooted on the outgroup (*R.*
180 *maoershanensis*) and visualised in FigTree v1. 4. 2 (Rambaut 2014).

181
182 Species boundaries were assessed in two ways. The first using the GENEIOUS plugin
183 SPECIES DELIMITATION (Masters et al. 2011), which calculates the probability of
184 reciprocal monophyly against the null model of random coalescence (Rosenberg 2007) for
185 single panmictic populations (Rodrigo et al. 2008) and presents the probability for correct
186 identification for putative species, given the data (Ross et al. 2008). Groups with P
187 (Randomly Distinct) values of 0.05 – 1, represent branching events that would be expected
188 under a coalescent model in a Wright-Fisher population and a strict molecular clock (Rodrigo
189 et al. 2008, Masters et al. 2011). The second method used the Automatic Barcode Gap
190 Discovery for primary species delimitation (ABGD; Puillandre et al. 2012) via a web
191 interface (<http://www.wabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/>). A maximum of ten, and minimum of
192 two samples per geographic locality of the focal species were used as required for the
193 minimum estimation of genetic divergence (Hickerson et al. 2007), a minimum of one sample
194 was considered adequate for interspecific analysis (Aliabadian et al. 2009). Where possible,
195 the same individuals were used in the analyses of both genes. Intraspecific and interspecific
196 genetic distances were also calculated and analysed. Average, K2P-corrected (Kimura 1980)
197 pairwise distance (K2P- π) and net between group mean distance (NBGM) (π_{net}) (Nei and Li
198 1979) were calculated in MEGA v6 (Tamura et al. 2013) to assess nucleotide diversity (π)
199 and cryptic speciation within and between sites.

200

201

202 Results

203 Phylogenetic comparison

204 Concatenated phylogenetic trees reconstructed using Bayesian inference (Figure 2) and
205 Maximum likelihood (Figure 3) methods, show similar topology of three major clades within
206 the focal species. Geographic samples from ACG and Brewster formed well-supported
207 independent monophyletic groups. However, samples from El Copé presented a polyphyletic
208 structure. Four out of five individuals (KRL 1496, KRL 1508, KRL 1540, KRL 1567) formed
209 an independent clade, sister to the ACG clade, whereas sample KRL 0823 formed a clade
210 with samples from Brewster – revealing the presence of two taxa at El Copé. Subsequently,
211 three clades are recognized: ACG and El Copé, containing samples exclusively from these
212 areas, and Brewster (including sample KRL 0823 from El Copé). Single gene trees showed a
213 similar topology to the concatenated ones (Supplementary figures 1 and 2).
214

215 COI operational taxonomic units (OTUs) delimitation results

216 COI species delimitation in GENEIOUS yielded three OTUs (Table 3). Focal clades ACG,
217 Brewster (+KRL0823), and El Copé (KRL 1496, KRL 1508, KRL 1540, KRL 1567) had P
218 values <0.05, indicating they are not conforming to the expected Wright-Fisher criteria.
219 According to this assumption and the data present, all clades were taxonomically distinct.
220 ABGD analysis supported these three distinct OTUs as well (p= 0.0359, supplementary table
221 1).
222

223 COI and 16S nucleotide diversity

224 K2P- π at the COI and 16S loci showed a mean value of 7.2% and 3.4%, respectively, within
225 all *L. warszewitschii* samples (Table 4). Samples from El Copé had the highest intra-group
226 mean distance at 6.3% and 3.2%, respectively, whereas samples from ACG had 0.4% and
227 0.3% and within Brewster 0.1% and 0.2%, respectively. Mean intraspecific distances between
228 ACG and Brewster samples (COI/16S) were the highest at 15.7%/7.2% (Supplementary
229 Table 2). Samples from ACG and El Copé shared the lowest distance at 10.7%/6.2%, and the
230 intermediate distance was 13.8%/6.7% between Brewster and El Copé samples. Interspecific
231 comparisons within the genus resulted in lower interspecific distances among recognized
232 species (COI/16S), such as: *L. clamitans* and *L. catesbeiana* (5.7%/2%), *L. septentrionalis*
233 and *L. clamitans* (8.3%/3.1%), *L. septentrionalis* and *L. catesbeiana* (8.6%/2.2%).
234
235
236
237

238 COI and 16S Net between group mean distance (NBGM) (π_{net})

239 At the COI and 16S loci the largest NBGM (π_{net}) was 15.4% and 6.9%, respectively,
240 between ACG and Brewster samples (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Samples from ACG
241 and El Copé shared the lowest distance at 7.3% and 4.5%, respectively, and the intermediate
242 distance was 10.6% and 5%, respectively, between El Copé and Brewster samples. Most
243 intraspecific distances between the geographic groups within *L. warszewitschii*, surpassed the
244 interspecific values between recognized species within the genus (COI/16S), such as: *L.*
245 *catesbeiana* and *L. clamitans* (5.7%/2%), *L. clamitans* and *L. septentrionalis* (8.3%/3.1%), *L.*
246 *catesbeiana* and *L. septentrionalis* (8.6%/2.2%).
247
248

249 Discussion

250
251 The concatenated phylogenetic trees consistently outlined three distinct clades within
252 *Lithobates warszewitschii* supported by high posterior probabilities, bootstrap values and

253 taxonomic distinctness at the CO1 locus. No field sites within the ACG exhibited any well-
254 defined cladistic structure, indicating it is a larger panmictic population. The individuals from
255 El Copé were polyphyletic, revealing the presence of two OTUs at this site. Geographic
256 groups within *L. warszewitschii* also exhibited greater genetic distances than many other
257 recognized species pairs within the genus, suggesting cryptic species may be present.
258

259 In the analyses of nucleotide diversity and NBGM, isolation by distance (IBD) (Wright
260 1943) does not explain all patterns of genetic variation, as samples from ACG and El Copé
261 are most closely related in all scenarios. Additionally, the range of 16S (K2P- π) distance
262 values within El Copé reached the highest for any geographic group at both loci. Thus, there
263 is evidence that IBD contributes towards greater polymorphism in the most isolated allopatric
264 populations, but other intrinsic (dispersal capability) and extrinsic (environmental and
265 ecological) factors may explain large variation within and between finer geographic scales.
266

267 Isolation by distance may be the main driver of divergence or speciation among conspecific
268 populations (Slatkin 1993) in allopatry (Vences and Wake 2007), other drivers include, low
269 vagility due to limitations of physiology (Balinsky 1981, Navas and Otani 2007) and
270 dispersal (Blaustein et al. 1994). However, recurrent hybridization, secondary contact or
271 overlap with sister species can decrease this genetic distance correlation (Fouquet et al.
272 2007b). If populations follow a simple pattern of IBD, they may be considered with some
273 probability, conspecific (Fouquet et al. 2007a). Conversely, where large variations in genetic
274 distance cannot be explained by this concept, it is likely that cryptic speciation is present.
275

276 *Lithobates warszewitschii* is widely distributed throughout Central America, and the
277 possibility of vicariance may explain mechanisms for genetic divergence. The Talamanca
278 mountain range divides the Pacific and Atlantic versants at ~2000m altitude (Savage 1982).
279 Many of the Isthmian fauna disperse through the Caribbean lowlands but have disjunct
280 distribution along Costa Rica's Pacific southwest (McDiarmid and Savage 2005) that
281 historically contained more dry forest. Crawford et al. (2007) hypothesized that the presence
282 of a filter barrier (Remington 1968), caused by extreme topography and narrowing of the
283 rainforest corridor in Panama's Bocas del Toro province induced the deepest
284 phylogeographical split between northern and southern populations of *Craugastor* rainforest
285 species. For *Craugastor fitzingeri* (Schmidt, 1857), a generalist species, these effects were
286 much less accentuated and its phylogenetic structure may be attributed to a more recent range
287 expansion. For *L. warszewitschii*, gene flow is still possible, even if regional dry forests were
288 transformed into savannah during the Pleistocene glacial maxima (Piperno and Pearsall,
289 1998), patches of gallery forest that allowed reproduction in freshwater could permit
290 dispersal westward into Costa Rica.
291

292 Although vicariance does divide sister species (Avice et al. 1987), it fails to form a general
293 explanation for divergence in the tropics (Antonelli et al. 2010). Barriers such as mountains
294 do not impede gene flow directly, but promote ecological gradients (Janzen 1967). An
295 alternative explanation for the phylogeographic structure within *L. warszewitschii* could be
296 peripatric (Mayr 1954) or dichopatric (Bush 1994) speciation – a common mode of evolution
297 in amphibians (Vences and Wake, 2007).
298

299 Paz et al. (2015) used a trait-based phylogeographic approach to model environmental and
300 ecological variables in Panamanian frog populations. Indirect development encouraged
301 greater dispersal and species with large ranges had lower genetic divergence - a characteristic
302 associated with generalists (Duminil et al. 2007). Despite being oviparous and wide-ranging,
303 *L. warszewitschii* scored highest when modelling landscape resistance (resistance to dispersal
304 caused by environmental conditions) and was highly divergent between Brewster and El

305 Copé, with large genetic distances in proportion to their geographical distance. A possible
306 explanation for this pattern could be a secondary contact during the post glacial maxima
307 (Schneider 1993) or selection for different ecological roles, such as within habitat or resource
308 use (Alizon et al. 2008). It is true that *L. warszewitschii*'s colouration, habitat use, elevation
309 range and distribution vary (Savage 2002, Leenders 2016). Thus, high intraspecific diversity
310 may be attributed to ecological specialization (Schluter 2000) in allopatry or coexistence of
311 sister species in sympatry, such as in El Copé. For example, even if broad colouration of this
312 species is genuine, frogs use non-morphological signals such as advertisement calls, cuticular
313 hydrocarbons and other pheromones in mating systems and species recognition (Bickford et
314 al. 2007), meaning they often remain inconspicuous. Divergent or cryptic species should
315 therefore be considered a hypothesis of separately evolving entities (Hey et al. 2003, de
316 Quieroz 2007, Fiser et al. 2018) and species status further scrutinized through integrative
317 taxonomic methods (Padial et al. 2010).

318
319 Polyphyly can be used as indication of undescribed species in a lineage (Fouquet et al.
320 2007a). However, its presence complicates the classification of species in phylogenies as it
321 may represent transitional stages in the evolution of taxa (Hörandl and Stuessy 2010, Xiang
322 et al. 2012). Cryptic species often show morphological, ecological or genetic differentiation
323 and usually a degree of reproductive isolation, which may occur through phenotypic
324 plasticity or single locus polymorphisms. Hybridization may persist, leaving traces of
325 introgression, speciation or hybrid vigour. Alternatively, fusion may be resisted by
326 disruptive/divergent selection or postzygotic isolation (Sasa et al. 1998). This continuum is
327 evident across large geographic ranges to highly localized, providing explanations for the
328 evolutionary transitions of ecological races to species (Mallet 2008). Consequently, in *L.*
329 *warszewitschii*, patterns of polyphyly, relatedness between ACG and El Copé samples, or
330 large pairwise ranges in sympatry may reflect occasional or historical gene flow from
331 migrants, hybridization, introgression, retention of ancestral polymorphisms or incomplete
332 lineage sorting when using mitochondrial genes (Moritz and Cicero 2004). Alternatively, the
333 presence of two sympatric OTUs at El Copé, may reflect human-induced introduction.
334 Because of these scenarios, nuclear DNA is also recommended in subsequent evolutionary
335 and taxonomic studies (Vences et al. 2005).

336
337 At both CO1 and 16S loci, K2P- π mean (Meyer and Paulay 2005) intraspecific ingroup
338 values overlapped with interspecific species values, surpassing proposed general thresholds:
339 8% at CO1 and 2% 16S (Crawford et al. 2010), 10% CO1, 5% 16S (Vences et al. 2005) and
340 for neotropical amphibians at 16S (>3%) (Fouquet et al. 2007a). This indicates a wider
341 ranging cryptic complex is present, and advocates for the use of both genes in comparative
342 amphibian phylogenetics (Vences et al. 2005). Ultimately, concatenated genes may yield the
343 best phylogenies (Gadagkar et al. 2005), however, interspecific comparisons are limited in
344 this study due to having one individual representing each congeneric species, and an
345 incomplete taxonomy that can hamper results (Meyer and Paulay 2005).

346

347 Conclusion

348

349 The type specimen of *Lithobates warszewitschii* originated from Volcán Chiriqui, western
350 Panama (Schmidt 1857, Savage 1970), a locality near the Costa Rican border at almost equal
351 distance between ACG and Brewster. Whilst the topotype locality was not sampled, all clades
352 in this study may represent cryptic species. We have extended the research on cryptic
353 diversity within *L. warszewitschii* by revealing an additional clade from ACG, and propose
354 this clade is a candidate cryptic species that warrants further taxonomic investigation.
355 Determination of evolutionary mechanisms are beyond the scope of this study, but an
356 additional paraphyletic lineage from Costa Rica suggests it is probably a wide-ranging

357 species complex, a likely scenario for many neotropical amphibians. Population trends in
358 Costa Rica and Panama reflect both historical factors and recent habitat destruction, declines
359 and introduced disease. Further sampling within Costa Rica, Nicaragua and Honduras is
360 likely to yield more cryptic diversity, and extirpation of a candidate lineage within El Copé
361 (Crawford et al. 2010) highlights the importance of DNA barcoding in rapid, preliminary
362 species identification. Such assessments are necessary to inform biodiversity estimates,
363 taxonomic progress and conservation of amphibian species. Phylogeographic structure in *L.*
364 *warszewitschii* highlights the difficulty in explaining mechanisms of speciation in
365 Mesoamerican amphibian fauna. Evolutionary theory, supported by morphological,
366 ecological, physiological and multiple genetic methods are necessary to evaluate divergent
367 processes in this group, and in achieving species status of sister taxa in this complex.

368 Acknowledgements

369
370
371 Thank you to Roger Blanco, Maria Marta Chavarría, Felipe Chavarría, Alejandro Masis,
372 Daniel Janzen, Winnie Hallwachs and the parataxonomists across ACG for help with
373 fieldwork logistics and your continued commitment to conserving these habitats into
374 perpetuity. Thank you to Ian Gardiner for all his help and support in the field, and for
375 Benjamin and Sofia Puschendorf for spending endless hours driving around ACG and always
376 being cheerful and helpful when working on this project. All samples were collected under
377 permit No. ACG-PI-022-2017 and R-037-2017-OT-CONAGEBIO.

378
379

380 References

- 381
382
383 Adams M, Raadik TA, Burridge CP, Georges A (2014) Global biodiversity assessment and
384 hyper-cryptic species complexes: more than one species of elephant in the room? *Systematic*
385 *Biology* 63(4): 518-533. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syu017>
386
387 Aliabadian M, Kaboli M, Nijman V, Vences M (2009) Molecular identification of birds:
388 performance of distance-based DNA barcoding in three genes to delimit parapatric species.
389 *PLoS One* 4(1): e4119. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004119>
390
391 Alizon S, Kucera M, Jansen VA (2008) Competition between cryptic species explains
392 variations in rates of lineage evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
393 105(34): 12382-12386. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805039105>
394
395 Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search
396 tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215(3): 403-410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
397 [2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
398
399 Antonelli A, Quijada-Mascareñas A, Crawford AJ, Bates JM, Velazco PM, Wüster W (2010)
400 Molecular studies and phylogeography of Amazonian tetrapods and their relation to
401 geological and climatic models. *Amazonia, landscape and species evolution: a look into the*
402 *past*, 386-404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444306408ch24>
403
404 Avise JC, Arnold J, Ball RM, Bermingham E, Lamb T, Neigel JE, Reeb CA, Saunders NC
405 (1987) Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge between population
406 genetics and systematics. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 18(1): 489-522.
407 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.002421>

408
409 Baillie J, Hilton-Taylor C, Stuart SN (2004) 2004 IUCN red list of threatened species: a
410 global species assessment. IUCN, Cambridge.
411 <https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/rl-2004-001.pdf>
412
413 Balinsky JB, (1981) Adaptation of nitrogen metabolism to hyperosmotic environment in
414 Amphibia. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology*
415 215(3): 335-350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402150311>
416
417 Barquero MD, Salazar-Saavedra M, Sandoval L, Brenes D, Martínez F, Figueroa A (2010)
418 Composition and species richness of herpetofauna in two isolated regions of southern
419 Nicaragua. *Herpetology Notes* 3: 341-352. <https://www.herokuapp.org>
420
421 Baird SF (1854) Descriptions of new genera and species of North American frogs.
422 *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 7: 59–62.
423
424 Beaupre SJ, Jacobson ER, Lillywhite HB, Zamudio K (2004) Guidelines for the use of live
425 amphibians and reptiles in field and laboratory research. American Society of Ichthyologists
426 and Herpetologists. Miami, Florida.
427
428 Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW
429 (2012) GenBank. *Nucleic Acids eResearch* 4: 36-42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195>
430
431 Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PK, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK, Das I (2007)
432 Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*
433 22(3): 148-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004>
434
435 Blaustein AR, Wake DB, Sousa WP (1994) Amphibian declines: judging stability,
436 persistence, and susceptibility of populations to local and global extinctions. *Conservation*
437 *Biology* 8(1): 60-71. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1994.08010060.x>
438
439 Bock WJ (2004) Species: the concept, category and taxon. *Journal of Zoological Systematics*
440 *and Evolutionary Research* 42(3): 178-190. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00276.x)
441 [0469.2004.00276.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00276.x)
442
443 Bolaños F (2002) Anfíbios en retirada. *Ambientico* 107: 12-3.
444
445 Brocchi P (1877) Sur quelques batraciens raniformes et bufoniformes de l'Amérique
446 Centrale. *Bulletin de la Société Philomathique de Paris*. 7(1): 175–197.
447
448 Bush GL (1994) Sympatric speciation in animals: new wine in old bottles. *Trends in Ecology*
449 *& Evolution* 9(8): 285-288. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(94\)90031-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90031-0).
450
451 Chakrabarty P, Warren M, Page L (2013) GenSeq: An updated nomenclature and ranking for
452 genetic sequences from type and non-type sources. *ZooKeys* 346: 29-41.
453 <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.346.5753>
454
455 Chambers EA, Hebert PD (2016) Assessing DNA barcodes for species identification in North
456 American reptiles and amphibians in natural history collections. *Plos One* 11(4): e0154363.
457 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154363>

458

459 Che J, Chen HM, Yang JX, Jin JQ, Jiang KE, Yuan ZY, Murphy RW, Zhang YP (2012)
460 Universal COI primers for DNA barcoding amphibians. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 12(2):
461 247-258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03090.x>

462

463 Che J, Pang J, Zhao H, Wu GF, Zhao EM, Zhang YP (2007) Phylogeny of Raninae (Anura:
464 Ranidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
465 *Evolution* 43(1): 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.11.032>

466

467 Cope ED (1894) Third addition to a knowledge of the Batrachia and Reptilia of Costa Rica.
468 *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 46: 194–206.

469

470 Crawford AJ (2003) Huge populations and old species of Costa Rican and Panamanian dirt
471 frogs inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. *Molecular Ecology* 12(10):
472 2525-2540. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01910.x>

473

474 Crawford AJ, Bermingham E, Carolina PS (2007) The role of tropical dry forest as a long-
475 term barrier to dispersal: a comparative phylogeographical analysis of dry forest tolerant and
476 intolerant frogs. *Molecular Ecology* 16(22): 4789-4807. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03524.x>

477

479 Crawford AJ, Lips KR, Bermingham E (2010) Epidemic disease decimates amphibian
480 abundance, species diversity, and evolutionary history in the highlands of central Panama.
481 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107(31): 13777-13782.
482 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914115107>

483

484 de Queiroz K (1998) The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process
485 of speciation. In: Howard DJ, Berlocher SH (Eds), *Endless Forms: Species and Speciation*.
486 Oxford University Press, Oxford, 57-75.

487

488 de Queiroz K (1999) The general lineage concept of species and the defining properties of the
489 species category. Wilson RA (Eds) *Species: New Interdisciplinary Essays*. MIT Press,
490 Cambridge, 49-49.

491

492 de Queiroz K (2007) Species concepts and species delimitation. *Systematic biology* 56(6):
493 879-886.

494

495 Duminil J, Fineschi S, Hampe A, Jordano P, Salvini D, Vendramin GG, Petit RJ (2007) Can
496 population genetic structure be predicted from life-history traits? *The American*
497 *Naturalist* 169(5): 662-672. <https://doi.org/10.1086/513490>

498

499 Fišer C, Robinson CT, Malard F (2018) Cryptic species as a window into the paradigm shift
500 of the species concept. *Molecular Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14486>

501

502 Fouquet A, Gilles A, Vences M, Marty C, Blanc M, Gemmill NJ (2007a) Underestimation of
503 species richness in Neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses. *PLoS One* 2(10): e1109.
504 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001109>

505

506 Fouquet A, Vences M, Salducci MD, Meyer A, Marty C, Blanc M, Gilles A (2007b)

507 Revealing cryptic diversity using molecular phylogenetics and phylogeography in frogs of
508 the *Scinax ruber* and *Rhinella margaritifera* species groups. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
509 *Evolution* 43(2): 567-582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.12.006>
510

511 Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CF, De Sa RO, Channing A,
512 Wilkinson M, Donnellan SC, Raxworthy CJ (2006) The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the*
513 *American Museum of Natural History*: 1-291. [https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-](https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090(2006)297[0001:TATOL]2.0.CO;2)
514 [0090\(2006\)297\[0001:TATOL\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090(2006)297[0001:TATOL]2.0.CO;2)
515

516 Gadagkar SR, Rosenberg MS, Kumar S (2005) Inferring species phylogenies from multiple
517 genes: concatenated sequence tree versus consensus gene tree. *Journal of Experimental*
518 *Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 304(1): 64-74.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.21026>
520

521 Hammond SA, Warren RL, Vandervalk BP, Kucuk E, Khan H, Gibb EA, Pandoh P, Kirk H,
522 Zhao Y, Jones M, Mungall AJ (2017) The North American bullfrog draft genome provides
523 insight into hormonal regulation of long noncoding RNA. *Nature Communications* 8(1):
524 1433. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01316-7>.
525

526 Hey J (2006) On the failure of modern species concepts. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
527 21(8): 447-450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.05.011>
528

529 Hey J, Waples RS, Arnold ML, Butlin RK, Harrison RG (2003) Understanding and
530 confronting species uncertainty in biology and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
531 18(11): 597-603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.08.014>
532

533 Hickerson MJ, Stahl E, Takebayashi N (2007) msBayes: pipeline for testing comparative
534 phylogeographic histories using hierarchical approximate Bayesian computation. *BMC*
535 *Bioinformatics* 8(1): 268. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-268>
536

537 Hilje B, Aide TM (2012) Recovery of amphibian species richness and composition in a
538 chronosequence of secondary forests, northeastern Costa Rica. *Biological Conservation*
539 146(1): 170-176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.12.007>
540

541 Hillis DM, Wilcox TP (2005) Phylogeny of the New World true frogs (*Rana*). *Molecular*
542 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 34(2): 299-314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.10.007>
543

544 Hörandl E, Stuessy TF (2010) Paraphyletic groups as natural units of biological
545 classification. *Taxon* 59(6): 1641-1653. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41059863>
546

547 Huang Z, Yang C, Ke D (2016) DNA barcoding and molecular phylogeny in Ranidae.
548 *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(6): 4003-4007.
549 <https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2014.989522>
550

551 Isidoro-Ayza M, Lorch JM, Grear DA, Winzeler M, Calhoun DL, Barichivich WJ (2017)
552 Pathogenic lineage of *Perkinsea* associated with mass mortality of frogs across the United
553 States. *Scientific Reports* 7(1): 10288. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10456-1>
554

555 IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group (2015) *Lithobates warszewitschii*. The IUCN Red
556 List of Threatened Species 2015:
557 e.T58749A54353071. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T58749A54353071.en)
558 [4.RLTS.T58749A54353071.en](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T58749A54353071.en).
559

560 Ivanova NV, Fazekas AJ, Hebert PD (2008) Semi-automated, membrane-based protocol for
561 DNA isolation from plants. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 26(3): 186.
562 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-008-0029-4>

563
564 Ivanova NV, Zemlak T, Hanner R, Hebert PD (2007) Universal primer cocktails for fish
565 DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 7: 544-548.
566 <https://doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01748.x>

567
568 Janzen DH (1967) Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. *The American Naturalist*
569 101(919): 233-249. <https://doi.org/10.1086/282487>
570

571 Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,
572 Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable
573 desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*
574 28(12): 1647-1649. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>.
575

576 Kessing B, Croom H, Martin A, McIntosh C, Mcmillan WO, Palumbi S (2004) *The Simple
577 Fool's Guide to PCR*, version 1.0. Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu,
578 24 pp
579

580 Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
581 through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16(2):
582 111-120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581>
583

584 Kubicki B (2008) Amphibian diversity in Guayacán, Limón province, Costa Rica. *Brenesia*
585 69: 35-42. <https://crarc5.files.wordpress.com/2012/07/amphib-of-guayacan.pdf>
586

587 Latreille PA (1801) *Histoire Naturelle des Reptiles, avec Figures dessinées d'après Nature*.
588 Volume 2. Paris: Deterville.
589

590 Le Conte JE (1825) Remarks on the American species of the genera *Hyla* and *Rana*. *Annals
591 of the Lyceum of Natural History of New-York* 1: 278–282.
592

593 Leenders T (2016) *Amphibians of Costa Rica: A Field Guide*. Cornell University Press, 484-
594 485 pp.
595

596 Lu YY, Li PP, Jiang DB (2007) A new species of *Rana* (Anura, Ranidae) from China. *Acta
597 Zootaxonomica Sinica* 32(4): 792-801. <http://bionames.org/>
598
599

600 Lyra ML, Haddad CF, Azeredo-Espin AML (2017) Meeting the challenge of DNA barcoding
601 Neotropical amphibians: polymerase chain reaction optimization and new COI primers.
602 *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17(5): 966-980. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12648>
603

604 Maddison WP (1997) Gene trees in species trees. *Systematic Biology* 46(3): 523-536.
605 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/46.3.523>
606

607 Mallet J (2008) Hybridization, ecological races and the nature of species: empirical evidence
608 for the ease of speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*
609 *Sciences* 363(1506): 2971-2986. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0081>
610

611 Masters BC, Fan V, Ross HA (2011) Species delimitation—a geneious plugin for the
612 exploration of species boundaries. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11(1): 154-157.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02896.x>
614

615 Mayden RL (1997) A hierarchy of species concepts: the denouement in the saga of the
616 species problem. In: Claridge MF, Dawah HA, Wilson MR (Eds) *Species: The units of*
617 *diversity*. Chapman & Hall, London, 381-423.
618

619 Mayr E (1942) *Systematics and the origin of species, from the viewpoint of a zoologist*.
620 Harvard University Press, New York.
621

622 Mayr E (1954) Change of genetic environment and evolution. In: Huxley J, Hardy AC, Ford
623 EB (Eds) *Evolution as a Process*, Unwin Brothers, London. 157–180.
624 <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/bitstream/1/22987/1/IVRI%20OB%201897.pdf>
625

626 McDiarmid RW, Savage JM (2005) The herpetofauna of the Rincón area, Península de Osa,
627 Costa Rica, a Central American lowland evergreen forest site. In: Donnelly MA, Crother BI,
628 Guyer C, Wake MH, White ME (Eds) *Ecology and Evolution in the Tropics*. University of
629 Chicago Press, Chicago, 366-427.
630

631 Meyer CP, Geller J, Paulay G (2005) Fine scale endemism on coral reefs: archipelagic
632 differentiation in turbinid gastropods. *Evolution* 59: 133. <https://doi:10.1554/04-194>
633

634 Meyer CP, Paulay G (2005) DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling.
635 *PLoS Biology* 3(12): e422. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030422>
636

637 Moritz C, Cicero C (2004) DNA barcoding: promise and pitfalls. *PLoS Biology* 2(10): e354.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020354>
639

640 Mulder KP, Cortazar-Chinarro M, Harris DJ, Crottini A, Grant EHC, Fleischer RC, Savage
641 AE (2017) Evolutionary dynamics of an expressed MHC class II β locus in the Ranidae
642 (Anura) uncovered by genome walking and high-throughput amplicon sequencing.
643 *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* 76: 177-188.
644 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.05.022>
645

646 Navas CA, Otani L (2007) Physiology, environmental change, and anuran
647 conservation. *Phyllomedusa. Journal of Herpetology* 6(2): 83-103.
648 <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v6i2p83-103>
649

650 Nei M, Li WH (1979) Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of
651 restriction endonucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 76(10): 5269-
652 5273. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.10.5269>

653

654 Ni N, Yu D, Storey KB, Zheng R, Zhang J (2016) The complete mitochondrial genome of
655 *Lithobates sylvaticus* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(4): 2460-2461.
656 <https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2015.1033697>

657

658 Nicholls JA, Double MC, Rowell DM, Magrath RD (2000) The evolution of cooperative and
659 pair breeding in thornbills *Acanthiza* (Pardalotidae). *Journal of Avian Biology* 31(2): 165-
660 176. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-048X.2000.310208.x>

661

662 Padiál JM, Miralles A, De la Riva I, Vences M (2010) The integrative future of taxonomy.
663 *Frontiers in Zoology* 7(1):16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-7-16>

664

665 Paz A, Ibáñez R, Lips KR, Crawford AJ (2015) Testing the role of ecology and life history in
666 structuring genetic variation across a landscape: a trait-based phylogeographic approach.
667 *Molecular Ecology* 24(14): 3723-3737. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13275>

668

669 Perez – Ponce de León GPP, Poulin R (2016) Taxonomic distribution of cryptic diversity
670 among metazoans: not so homogeneous after all. *Biology Letters* 12(8): 20160371.
671 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0371>

672

673 Perry G, Wallace MC, Perry D, Curzer H, Muhlberger P (2011) Toe clipping of amphibians
674 and reptiles: science, ethics, and the law. *Journal of Herpetology* 45(4): 547-555.
675 <https://doi.org/10.1670/11-037.1>

676

677 Pimm SL, Jenkins CN, Abell R, Brooks TM, Gittleman JL, Joppa LN, Raven PH, Roberts
678 CM, Sexton JO (2014) The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution,
679 and protection. *Science* 344(6187): 1246752. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246752>

680

681 Piperno DR, Pearsall DM (1998) *The origins of agriculture in the lowland Neotropics*.
682 Academic Press, San Diego.

683

684 Puillandre, N, A. Lambert, S. Brouillet, S G. Achaz. (2012), ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap
685 Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, 21: 1864-1877.
686 [doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x)

687

688 Puschendorf R, Bolaños F, Chaves G (2006) The amphibian chytrid fungus along an
689 altitudinal transect before the first reported declines in Costa Rica. *Biological Conservation*
690 132(1): 136-142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.03.010>

691

692 Rambaut A (2014) FigTree-v1.4.2. <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>

693

694 Ranvestel AW, KR Lips, CM Pringle, MR Whiles, RJ Bixby (2004) Neotropical tadpoles
695 influence stream benthos: evidence for the ecological consequences of decline in amphibian
696 populations. *Freshwater Biology* 49(3): 274-285. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2004.01184.x>

697

698
699 Remington CL (1968) Suture-zones of hybrid interaction between recently joined biotas. In:
700 Dobzhansky T, Hecht MK, Steere WC (Eds) *Evolutionary biology*. Springer, Boston, 321-
701 428.

702
703 Rodrigo A, Bertels F, Heled J, Noder R, Shearman H, Tsai P (2008) The perils of plenty:
704 what are we going to do with all these genes?. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
705 *Society B. Biological Sciences* 363(1512): 3893-3902. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0173>
706
707 Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres D, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L,
708 Suchard MHuelsenbeck J (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference
709 and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539-542. doi:
710 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
711
712 Rosenberg NA (2007) Statistical tests for taxonomic distinctiveness from observations of
713 monophyly. *Evolution* 61(2): 317-323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00023.x>
714
715 Ross HA, Murugan S, Sibon Li WL (2008) Testing the reliability of genetic methods of
716 species identification via simulation. *Systematic Biology* 57(2): 216-230.
717 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802032990>
718
719 Santos-Barrera G, Pacheco J, Mendoza-Quijano F, Bolaños F, Chaves G, Daily GC, Ehrlich
720 PR, Ceballos G (2008) Diversity, natural history and conservation of amphibians and reptiles
721 from the San Vito Region, southwestern Costa Rica. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 56(2): 755-
722 778. <https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v56i2.5622>
723
724 Sasa MM, Chippindale PT, Johnson NA (1998) Patterns of postzygotic isolation in
725 frogs. *Evolution* 52(6): 1811-1820. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb02258.x>.
726
727 Savage JM (1982) The enigma of the Central American herpetofauna: dispersals or
728 vicariance? *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 69(3): 464-547.
729 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2399082>
730
731 Savage JM (1970) On the trail of the golden frog: with Warszewicz and Gabb in Central
732 America. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 38(4): 273-288.
733
734 Savage JM (2002) *The amphibians and reptiles of Costa Rica: a herpetofauna between two*
735 *continents, between two seas*. University of Chicago press. Chicago, 404-405 pp.
736
737 Schluter D (2000) Ecological character displacement in adaptive radiation. *The American*
738 *Naturalist* 156(S4): S4-S16. <https://doi.org/10.1086/303412>
739
740 Schmidt O (1857) Diagnosen neuer Frösche des zoologischen Cabinets zu Krakau.
741 *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-*
742 *Naturwissenschaftliche Classe* 24: 10-15.
743
744 Shaw G (1802) *General Zoology or Systematic Natural History*. Volume 3, Part 1. Amphibia.
745 London: Thomas Davison.
746
747 Slatkin M (1993) Isolation by distance in equilibrium and non-equilibrium populations.
748 *Evolution* 47(1): 264-279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1993.tb01215.x>
749

750 Smith M, Poyarkarkov NA, Hebert PD (2008) DNA barcoding: CO1 DNA barcoding
751 amphibians: take the chance, meet the challenge. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8(2): 235-
752 246. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01964.x>

753 Smith SA, Dunn CW. Phyutility: a phyloinformatics tool for trees, alignments and molecular
754 data. *Bioinformatics*. 2008; 24: 715–716. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm619>
755 [PMID: 18227120](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18227120/)
756

757 Spix JB (1824) *Animalia nova sive Species novae Testudinum et Ranarum quas in itinere per*
758 *Brasiliam annis MDCCCXVII–MDCCCXX jussu et auspiciis Maximiliani Josephi I.*
759 *Bavariae Regis.* München: F. S. Hübschmann.
760

761 Stuart BL, Inger RF, Voris HK (2006) High level of cryptic species diversity revealed by
762 sympatric lineages of Southeast Asian forest frogs. *Biology Letters* 2(3): 470-474.
763 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0505>
764

765 Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
766 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. 2014 May 1;30(9):1312-3.
767 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
768

769 Stuart SN, Chanson JS, Cox NA, Young BE, Rodrigues AS, Fischman DL, Waller RW
770 (2004) Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions
771 worldwide. *Science* 306(5702): 1783-1786. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103538>
772

773 Sunyer J, Páiz G, Dehling DM, Köhler G (2009) A collection of amphibians from Río San
774 Juan, southeastern Nicaragua. *Herpetology Notes* 2: 189-202.
775 <http://www.herpetologynotes.seh-herpetology.org/>
776

777 Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular
778 evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(12): 2725-
779 2729. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197>
780

781 Tavaré S (1986) Some probabilistic and statistical problems in the analysis of DNA
782 sequences. *Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences* 17(2): 57-86.
783

784 Vences M, Wake DB (2007) Speciation, species boundaries and phylogeography of
785 amphibians. *Amphibian Biology* 7: 2613-2671. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.694.4387>
786

787 Vences M, Thomas M, Bonett RM, Vieites DR (2005). Deciphering amphibian diversity
788 through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
789 *Society B* 360(1462): 1859-1868. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1717>
790

791 Vieites DR, Wollenberg KC, Andreone F, Köhler J, Glaw F, Vences M (2009) Vast
792 underestimation of Madagascar's biodiversity evidenced by an integrative amphibian
793 inventory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(20): 8267-8272.
794 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810821106>
795

796 Wang IJ, Crawford AJ, Bermingham E (2008) Phylogeography of the Pygmy Rain Frog
797 (*Pristimantis ridens*) across the lowland wet forests of isthmian Central America. *Molecular*
798 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 47(3): 992-1004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.02.021>

799

800 Whitfield SM, Bell KE, Philippi T, Sasa M, Bolaños F, Chaves G, Savage JM, Donnelly MA
801 (2007) Amphibian and reptile declines over 35 years at La Selva, Costa Rica. Proceedings of
802 the National Academy of Sciences 104(20): 8352-8356.

803 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611256104>

804

805 Whitfield SM, Lips KR, Donnelly MA (2016) Amphibian decline and conservation in Central
806 America. Copeia 104(2): 351-379. <https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-15-300>

807

808 Wright S (1943) Isolation by distance. Genetics 28(2): 114-138.

809 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17247074>

810

811

812

813

814

Figures legends and Tables.




Figure 1. Study sites included in phylogenetic analysis of *L. warszewitschii*. Sites: Cacao, Caribe, Maritza and San Gerardo are within the Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG), Costa Rica. Sites El Cope and Brewster are within Panama.




Figure 2. Phylogenetic reconstruction of *Lithobates warszewitschii* relationships between Costa Rican and Panamanian populations using concatenated alignments of CO1 and 16S. Node support values (posterior probabilities) and percentages calculated from 1000 bootstrap

replicates are annotated at nodes. Samples collected in different localities are represented by different colours: individuals from Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG; Cacao, Caribe, Maritza, Pitilla and San Gerardo) highlighted in red, individuals from Brewster highlighted in purple, and individuals from El Copé highlighted in orange. Sample information can be found in Table 2. Separate trees were constructed in Mr. Bayes and RAxML using a GTR model of molecular evolution, both with similar topologies, therefore node supports were included in a single tree. Scale of branch lengths is in nucleotide substitutions per site.

Table 1. Information on study sites

Sites	Collection dates	No. tissue samples	Habitat	Longitude	Latitude	Elevation (m)	Reference
Pitilla	August, 2016	1	Rainforest	10.989	-85.426	650-750	Field data - this study
	June, 2017	1					
San Gerardo	August, 2017	2	Rainforest/pasture land	10.881	-85.389	470-640	Field data - this study
Maritza	June, 2015	7	Dry/wet forest	10.956	-85.495	570-610	Field data - this study
	August, 2015	7					
	November, 2016	6					
	July, 2017	3					
	August, 2017	5					
Cacao	November, 2016	4	Rain/cloud forest	10.923	-85.468	980-1130	Field data - this study
	August, 2017	3					
Caribe	June, 2015	4	Rainforest	10.902	-85.275	370	Field data - this study
El Copé	July, 2010	NA	Rainforest	8.667	-80.592	700-750	(KRL 0823) Paz et al. 2015
Brewster	June, 2015	NA	Rainforest	9.265	-79.508	130-810	(CH 6868) Paz et al. 2015

Table 1. Description of sites where populations of *Lithobates warszewitschii* were sampled. Habitat type, georeferences and information sources (field data GPS coordinates, or external sources e.g. other researchers, ACG website or literature) are included.

Table 2. Genbank (NCBI) Voucher ID & Accession numbers

<i>Species</i>	Study Site	Voucher ID	COI Genbank Accession #	16S Genbank Accession #
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP 388	MH559513	MH603380
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP 389	MH559517	MH603379
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Pitilla	RP 435	NA	MH603378
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	San Gerardo	RP 466	MH559519	MH603377
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	San Gerardo	RP 475	MH559514	MH603376
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP 496	MH559518	MH603375
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP 500	MH559515	MH724925
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP 878	NA	MH724926
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP 885	MH559516	MH724927
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP 887	NA	MH724928
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Caribe	RP Fw142	MH559500	MH603393
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Caribe	RP Fw144	MH559501	MH603392
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Caribe	RP Fw147	MH559502	NA
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw455	MH559503	MH603391
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw457	MH559504	MH603390
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Pitilla	RP Fw570	MH559505	MH603389
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP Fw591	MH559506	MH603388
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP Fw597	MH559507	MH603387
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP Fw601	MH559508	MH603386
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Cacao	RP Fw616	NA	MH603385
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw618	MH559509	MH603384
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw619	MH559510	MH603383
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw620	MH559511	MH603382
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Maritza	RP Fw635	MH559512	MH603381
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Brewster	CH 6868	KR863019	KR863275
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Brewster	AJC 1794	KR863021	KR863277
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Brewster	AJC 1798	KR863026	KR863282
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Brewster	CH 6658	KR863027	KR863283
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	Brewster	CH6659	KR863028	KR863284
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	El Copé	KRL 0823	FJ766749	FJ84384
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	El Copé	KRL 1540	FJ766751	FJ84552
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	El Copé	KRL 1508	KR911913	KR911916
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	El Copé	KRL 1496	KR911914	KR911917
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	El Copé	KRL 1567	KR911915	KR911918
<i>L. catesbeiana</i>	NA	-	KX686108*	KX686108*
<i>L. clamitans</i>	NA	-	EF525879	KY677813
<i>L. maculata</i>	NA	-	NA	AY779207
<i>L. palmipes</i>	NA	CFBHT12435	KU494586	KU495379
<i>L. septentrionalis</i>	NA	-	EF525896	AY779200
<i>L. sylvatica</i>	NA	-	KP222281*	KP222281*
<i>L. vaillanti</i>	NA	-	KY587190	AY779214
<i>R. maoershanensis</i>	NA	SYNU08030061	KX1397728	KX1397722

Table 2. Voucher ID and GenBank accession numbers for all individuals and sequences of *Lithobates warszewitschii* used in this study. (*) indicates that gene sequences derived from a whole mitochondrial genome sequence.

Table 3. CO1 Species delimitation results

OTU	Closest OTU	Monophyletic?	Intra Dist	Inter Dist - Closest	Intra/Inter	P ID(Strict)	P ID(Liberal)	Av(MRCA-tips)	P(Randomly Distinct)	Rosenberg's P(AB)
1: ACG	2: El Cope	yes	0.01	0.109	0.08	0.97 (0.91, 1.0)	0.99 (0.96, 1.0)	0.0076	0.05	8.10E-06
2: El Cope	1: ACG	yes	0.01	0.109	0.06	0.83 (0.69, 0.97)	0.97 (0.86, 1.0)	0.0047	0.05	8.10E-06
3: Brewster & KRL 0823	2: El Cope	yes	0.02	0.197	0.08	0.88 (0.75, 1.0)	0.97 (0.87, 1.0)	0.0211	0.05	1.10E-07
4: <i>palmipes</i>	5: <i>R. vaillanti</i>	yes	0	0.114	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	1
5: <i>R. vaillanti</i>	4: <i>palmipes</i>	yes	0	0.114	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	1
6: <i>R. catesbeiana</i>	7: <i>R. clamitans</i>	yes	0	0.057	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	1
7: <i>R. clamitans</i>	<i>catesbeiana</i>	yes	0	0.057	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	1
8: <i>R. septentrion</i>	7: <i>R. clamitans</i>	yes	0	0.092	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	0.33
9: <i>R. sylvatica</i>	8: <i>R. septentrion</i>	yes	0	0.238	0	0	0.96 (0.83, 1.0)	0	NA	0.17

Table 3. Species delimitation results of *Lithobates warszewitschii* in Costa Rica and Panama using partial sequences of the CO1 gene. Analysis conducted in Geneious using the Species Delimitation plugin (Masters et al. 2011). Clades defined in phylogenetic analysis: ACG, Brewster (+ sample KRL 0823) and El Cope are all represented as putative species. The table also includes ingroup and outgroup species.

Table 4. Intraspecific nucleotide diversity (π) within geographic groups of *L. warszewitschii*

Population	Mean (π)	Range (π)
CO1		
ACG	0.004	0 - 0.008
El Copé	0.063	0.002 - 0.154
Brewster	0.001	0 - 0.002
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	0.072	0 - 0.166
16S		
ACG	0.003	0 - 0.009
El Copé	0.032	0 - 0.076
Brewster	0.002	0 - 0.006
<i>L. warszewitschii</i>	0.034	0 - 0.079

Table 4. Nucleotide diversity (π) within *Lithobates warszewitschii* for the geographic groups ACG, Brewster and El Cope based on pairwise values for CO1 and 16S sequences. Analyses were conducted using the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura 1980). The rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 4).

Supplementary Section

Supplementary Table 1. ABGD analysis from CO1 using all species presented in table 2.

Partition	No. of partitions	Gap width (X)	Prior Intraspecific divergence								
			0.059948	0.035938	0.021544	0.012915	0.007743	0.004642	0.002783	0.001668	0.001
Initial partition	10	1	6	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Recursive	10	1	7	11	11	11	11	11	11	21	21

nbr

Supplementary Table 1. The parameters include Gap width (X) = 1, (min) DIST = 0.001 - 0.1 (Max) DIST for P (P = maximum value for intraspecific divergence). Generated through the ABGD user interface website (<http://www.abi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/>).

Supplementary Table 2. Estimates of evolutionary divergence (π), and net evolutionary divergence (π_{net}) over CO1 sequence pairs between groups

		CO1 (K2P- π)								
ACG		0.018	0.012	0.024	0.023	0.025	0.025	0.023	0.023	0.026
Brewster	0.157		0.015	0.024	0.024	0.022	0.025	0.021	0.022	0.027
El Cope	0.107	0.138		0.023	0.022	0.023	0.024	0.021	0.021	0.025
<i>L. catesbeiana</i>	0.264	0.256	0.250		0.010	0.022	0.012	0.021	0.022	0.021
<i>L. clamitans</i>	0.247	0.263	0.251	0.057		0.022	0.012	0.020	0.021	0.020
<i>L. palmipes</i>	0.265	0.224	0.245	0.218	0.219		0.025	0.023	0.014	0.023
<i>L. septentrionalis</i>	0.264	0.267	0.259	0.086	0.083	0.246		0.019	0.022	0.021
<i>L. sylvatica</i>	0.234	0.220	0.228	0.218	0.194	0.239	0.181		0.021	0.022
<i>L. vaillanti</i>	0.234	0.227	0.220	0.230	0.205	0.106	0.226	0.211		0.024
<i>R. maoershanensis</i>	0.239	0.274	0.255	0.199	0.183	0.228	0.199	0.208	0.241	
		CO1 (π_{net})								
ACG		0.018	0.010	0.026	0.024	0.025	0.026	0.024	0.023	0.025
Brewster	0.154		0.013	0.025	0.026	0.022	0.026	0.021	0.022	0.027
El Cope	0.073	0.106		0.023	0.023	0.022	0.024	0.020	0.019	0.023
<i>L. catesbeiana</i>	0.262	0.256	0.218		0.010	0.023	0.012	0.023	0.024	0.021
<i>L. clamitans</i>	0.245	0.262	0.219	0.057		0.023	0.012	0.021	0.022	0.020
<i>L. palmipes</i>	0.262	0.224	0.213	0.218	0.219		0.026	0.024	0.015	0.024
<i>L. septentrionalis</i>	0.261	0.267	0.227	0.086	0.083	0.246		0.020	0.024	0.022
<i>L. sylvatica</i>	0.232	0.220	0.196	0.218	0.194	0.239	0.181		0.021	0.022
<i>L. vaillanti</i>	0.232	0.227	0.189	0.230	0.205	0.106	0.226	0.211		0.025
<i>R. maoershanensis</i>	0.237	0.274	0.224	0.199	0.183	0.228	0.199	0.208	0.241	


Supplementary Table 2. The number of base substitutions per site from averaging over all CO1 sequence pairs between groups are shown above (π). The number of base substitutions per site from estimation of net average between groups of CO1 sequences are shown below (NBGMD / π_{net}). Standard error estimate(s) are shown above the diagonal. Analyses were conducted using the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura 1980). The rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 4). The analysis involved 37 nucleotide sequences. There were a total of 658 positions in the final dataset.

Supplementary Table 3. Estimates of evolutionary divergence (π), and net evolutionary divergence (π_{net}) over 16S sequence pairs between groups

		16S (K2P- π)										
ACG		0.012	0.009	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.018	0.016	0.016	0.024	0.014	0.016
Brewster	0.072		0.010	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.016	0.017	0.028	0.014	0.019
El Cope	0.062	0.067		0.015	0.015	0.015	0.017	0.015	0.017	0.026	0.013	0.017
<i>L. catesbeian</i>	0.109	0.118	0.122		0.006	0.011	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.019	0.014	0.012
<i>L. clamitans</i>	0.117	0.126	0.131	0.020		0.010	0.013	0.008	0.007	0.020	0.016	0.013
<i>L. maculata</i>	0.124	0.133	0.123	0.061	0.059		0.013	0.011	0.012	0.018	0.016	0.015
<i>L. palmipes</i>	0.136	0.146	0.143	0.081	0.086	0.081		0.015	0.014	0.018	0.018	0.016
<i>L. septentrionalis</i>	0.120	0.119	0.124	0.022	0.031	0.063	0.091		0.009	0.019	0.015	0.014
<i>L. sylvatica</i>	0.127	0.139	0.139	0.033	0.031	0.071	0.093	0.041		0.021	0.016	0.012
<i>L. vaillanti</i>	0.219	0.258	0.242	0.154	0.174	0.151	0.128	0.156	0.174		0.024	0.020
<i>L. vibicaria</i>	0.094	0.091	0.095	0.104	0.115	0.109	0.126	0.113	0.117	0.210		0.018
<i>R. maoershar</i>	0.145	0.167	0.156	0.079	0.086	0.115	0.113	0.097	0.074	0.193	0.150	
		16S (π_{net})										
ACG		0.011	0.008	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.018	0.015	0.016	0.024	0.014	0.017
Brewster	0.069		0.008	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.015	0.017	0.026	0.014	0.019
El Cope	0.045	0.050		0.014	0.015	0.014	0.017	0.015	0.016	0.025	0.012	0.016
<i>L. catesbeian</i>	0.108	0.117	0.106		0.006	0.011	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.018	0.015	0.012
<i>L. clamitans</i>	0.115	0.124	0.116	0.020		0.010	0.013	0.008	0.007	0.019	0.016	0.013
<i>L. maculata</i>	0.123	0.132	0.108	0.061	0.059		0.014	0.011	0.012	0.018	0.016	0.015
<i>L. palmipes</i>	0.135	0.145	0.128	0.081	0.086	0.081		0.014	0.014	0.018	0.018	0.015
<i>L. septentrionalis</i>	0.118	0.118	0.109	0.022	0.031	0.063	0.091		0.008	0.018	0.015	0.013
<i>L. sylvatica</i>	0.126	0.138	0.123	0.033	0.031	0.071	0.093	0.041		0.020	0.016	0.011
<i>L. vaillanti</i>	0.217	0.257	0.226	0.154	0.174	0.151	0.128	0.156	0.174		0.023	0.020
<i>L. vibicaria</i>	0.093	0.090	0.079	0.104	0.115	0.109	0.126	0.113	0.117	0.210		0.019
<i>R. maoershar</i>	0.144	0.166	0.140	0.079	0.086	0.115	0.113	0.097	0.074	0.193	0.150	


Supplementary Table 3. The number of base substitutions per site from averaging over all 16S sequence pairs between groups are shown above (π). The number of base substitutions per site from estimation of net average between groups of 16S sequences are shown below (NBGM / π_{net}). Standard error estimate(s) are shown above the diagonal. Analyses were conducted using the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura 1980).

The rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 4). The analysis involved 42 nucleotide sequences. There were a total of 601 positions in the final dataset.



1. CO1 Phylogenetic tree

Supplementary figure 1. CO1 phylogenetic tree. Geographic populations ACG (red), Brewster (orange), El Cope (purple) of *L. warszewitschii* are represented. Samples include Genbank voucher ID, NCBI database information for other ingroup/outgroup species can be found in Table. 4. Posterior probability/branch support is also shown.



2. 16S Phylogenetic tree

Supplementary figure 2. 16S phylogenetic tree. Geographic populations ACG (red), Brewster (orange), El Cope (purple) of *L. warszewitschii* are represented. Samples include Genbank voucher ID, NCBI database information for other ingroup/outgroup species can be found in Table. 4. Posterior probability/branch support is also shown.