2009-12-17

Design of Marine Protected Areas on high seas and territorial waters of Rockall Bank

Hall-Spencer, Jason

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/1340

10.3354/meps08235
Marine Ecology Progress Series
Inter-Research Science Center

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.
Design of Marine Protected Areas on high seas and territorial waters of Rockall Bank

Jason M. Hall-Spencer1,6,*, Mark Tasker2,6, Marta Soffker1, Sabine Christiansen3,6, Stuart Rogers4, Maria Campbell1, Kjartan Hoydal5

1Marine Institute, Marine Biology and Ecology Research Centre, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK
2Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Dunnet House, 7 Thistle Place, Aberdeen, AB10 1UZ, UK
3WWF North-East Atlantic Programme, Magdeburger Str. 17, 20457 Hamburg, Germany
4The Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk, NR33 0HT, UK
5North East Atlantic Fisheries Commission, 22 Berners Street, London, W1T 3DY, UK
6ICES Working Group on Deep-water Ecology

ABSTRACT: Fisheries closures are rapidly being developed to protect vulnerable marine ecosystems worldwide. Satellite monitoring of fishing vessel activity indicates that these closures can work effectively with good compliance by international fleets even in remote areas. Here we summarise how remote fisheries closures were designed to protect Lophelia pertusa habitat in a region of the NE Atlantic that straddles the EU fishing zone and the high seas. We show how scientific records, fishers’ knowledge and surveillance data on fishing activity can be combined to provide a powerful tool for the design of Marine Protected Areas.
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INTRODUCTION

The past few years have seen rapid development in the use of fisheries closures to protect deep-water coral habitats from destructive fishing practices throughout the North Atlantic. The world’s first deep-water coral protected area came into effect in 1984, but did not prevent trawling damage to Oculina varicosa reefs off the Atlantic coast of Florida due to lack of enforcement (Reed et al. 2005). Surveys in the late 1990s revealed that bottom trawling and long-lining was also causing widespread and long-term damage to more northern coral communities on both sides of the Atlantic (Jones & Willison 2001, Hall-Spencer et al. 2002). This met with rapid responses by the authorities, with the establishment of cold-water coral protected areas first in Scandinavia and Canada (Fosså et al. 2002, Mortensen et al. 2005) followed by closures in EU waters, the USA and Iceland. The first areas in the Atlantic high seas to be closed to protect deep-water habitats entered into force on 1 January 2005 and were recommended by the North East Atlantic Fisheries Commission (NEAFC), followed by closures in the NW Atlantic by the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) from 1 January 2007 (Table 1). Satellite monitoring of fishing vessel activity has indicated that these closures can work effectively with good compliance by international fleets even in remote areas such as the Darwin Mounds, a coral-rich area 180 km off the NW coast of Scotland (Davies et al. 2007).

Here we summarise how deep-water Lophelia pertusa habitats were selected for closure on Rockall Bank (see ICES 2002, 2006, 2007a). The Bank lies to the west of Scotland in the NE Atlantic and straddles the boundary between the fishing zone managed by the EU and the high seas, where fishing is managed by NEAFC. The Bank supports important fisheries for haddock and other shallower water species on the upper slopes and top of the bank, together with deeper-water species such as monkfish and blue ling on the lower slopes.
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Based on evidence presented by the World Wildlife Foundation, the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment in the North Atlantic (OSPAR) communicated to NEAFC its concern about the conservation of Lophelia pertusa reefs on the Bank. NEAFC then requested the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) to indicate appropriate boundaries of any closure of areas where cold-water corals are affected by fishing activities. Three sources of information were used by the ICES Working Group on Deep Water Ecosystems (WGDEC) to identify such boundaries. These were: (1) records in the scientific literature and elsewhere of the occurrence of L. pertusa; (2) the knowledge of fishers using the Bank on the distribution of Lophelia; and (3) records of fishing activity derived from satellite-based vessel monitoring systems (VMS).

Each of these sources had their advantages and disadvantages. Scientific records may be very old, and historical records of coral occurrence may now be inaccurate, as may be the geographical locations of records due to poor position fixing or if the data are from long dredge hauls (Hall-Spencer et al. 2007). In contrast, fishers’ knowledge is recent and therefore may be more ‘believable’ to the fishing industry, but may not be complete or fully accurate. VMS records showing the location of trawling activity are generally comprehensive and unbiased, but there are several reasons for an area of the seabed to remain unfished; notwithstanding the presence of net-damaging corals, other seabed obstructions may exist or it may be too deep for trawling to take place. These sources could not necessarily be relied upon individually to identify suitable closure areas, but when used together provided a powerful tool indicating where such closed areas should be established.

VMS positions were provided by NEAFC, the Irish Navy and the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs for 2005, the most recent year of comprehensive available information on the distribution of fishing fleets in the area. VMS data were filtered to remove non-trawling activity by only including vessels travelling between 1.5 and 4.5 knots. Note that this filter did not remove all pelagic trawling tracks from the plot and may include some vessels travelling slowly in the area, but not fishing. These records were combined with data on coral distribution provided by WGDEC reports, and new information on Lophelia distribution from surveys carried out by the UK Government in 2005 and 2006 (Davies et al. 2006, Howell et al. 2009), a Fisheries Research Services (FRS) monkfish survey in November 2006 (FRS unpubl. data) and data from the EU HERMES programme (van Duyl & Duineveld 2005). The final analysis (Fig. 1) combined information on the spatial distribution of coral records and data describing fleet distribution to select potential sites for
closure where the conservation benefit of closures was maximal and the displacement effect on fishing was minimal.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Following ICES advice, areas of Rockall Bank in NEAFC- and EU-controlled waters were closed to fishing effective from March 2007 (ICES 2007a). Fig. 1 shows the North West Rockall, Logachev Mounds and West Rockall Mounds areas that were closed to protect coral habitat in 2007, in addition to an area that was closed to protect haddock stocks in 2001 which has the additional benefit of helping protect benthic habitats.

The process of designing and enforcing a network of offshore Marine Protected Areas is ongoing and has made significant progress in recent years. A new tranche of deep-water coral closures has been proposed within the Irish EEZ, also based on a combination of scientific surveys, fishers’ knowledge and VMS (ICES 2007b). Further challenges to designing an ecologically robust network of closures remain, such as the need for an increased understanding of cold-water coral food webs and of larval transport to and from proposed closures, which could be tackled using molecular approaches (Le Goff-Vitry et al. 2004, van Oevelen et al. 2009). Effective surveillance and enforcement are critical to the protection of coral in these areas, which will be helped by VMS records which include data on the gear in use and vessel activity. Boundaries will also need to be designed to account for gears used and the frequency of transit.
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