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**Abstract:**

This paper compares changes in vegetation structure and composition (using synthetic fossil pollen data) with proxy data for population levels (including settlements and radiocarbon dates) over the course of the last ten millennia in Tyrrhenian central Italy. These data show generalised patterns of clearance of woodland in response both to early agriculturalists and urbanism, as well as the specific adoption of tree crops and variations in stock grazing. The results provide a comprehensive understanding of the development of the
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Abstract
This paper compares changes in vegetation structure and composition (using synthetic fossil pollen data) with proxy data for population levels (including settlements and radiocarbon dates) over the course of the last ten millennia in Tyrrhenian central Italy. These data show generalised patterns of clearance of woodland in response both to early agriculturalists and urbanism, as well as the specific adoption of tree crops and variations in stock grazing. The results provide a comprehensive understanding of the development of the anthropogenised landscape of one of the most important early centres of European civilisation, showing regional trends as well as local variations.
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Introduction

This paper investigates the potential effect of changing demography and consequent land use on vegetation cover in the region of Tyrrhenian central Italy between the early Holocene and the post-Roman period. To achieve this end, it combines high resolution pollen data as a proxy for vegetation and frequency of radiocarbon dates and frequency/size of settlement sites as a proxy for demography. It critically examines the advantages and difficulties of the large data sets that have been assembled by deploying appropriate statistical techniques. Nevertheless, recognition is given to the complexities of the relationship between numbers of sites and population levels and to the challenge of integrating independent climatic data at this stage of research.

The specific aims of this paper are to compare synthetic pollen data with reconstructed human population levels since the early Holocene for a defined and spatially-congruent region within Tyrrhenian central Italy (Fig. 1). More precisely, archaeological radiocarbon date and site frequencies are proposed as proxies of population change and compared with substantial changes in vegetation and land cover across this region. Significant steps have been taken to harmonise the comparison in a number of ways, most notably by using common 200-year time intervals, a level of precision that can be accommodated by both the archaeological and palaeoecological data. The data have been addressed at three spatial scales: 1) Tyrrhenian central Italy as a whole, 2) the scale of three sub-regions and 3) the local human community level from examples of site catchments of excavated archaeological sites that are sufficiently coeval with neighbouring pollen catchments of lake deposits. The region of Tyrrhenian central Italy has enough sites to produce regional trends and forms the main body of this paper. The data from sub-regions between the Arno and the Albegna rivers (later North Etruria), between the Albegna and the Tiber (later South Etruria) and between the Tiber and the Garigliano river (later Latium vetus), possess enough data to produce indicative rather than statistically significant trends. Finally, a small number of pollen sites associated with local settlement have been analysed for local developments. A number of important, sufficiently large, data sets have been assembled in this tightly defined region, both by archaeologists and palaeoecologists, to make a powerful interdisciplinary comparison of how and why population levels and vegetation assemblages changed over the last 10,000 years, at least until the end of the Roman period (see Table 1 for the chronological scheme). Thereafter, historical geography is required to bring the knowledge of settlement patterns up to the modern era (e.g. Bertacchi & Onnis 2004).

A distinctive feature of Tyrrhenian central Italy is that this was the region where two rival urban civilisations, the Etruscans and the Latins, developed over the course of the third millennium BP, with important implications for anthropogenic land use. The growth of population from c. 2900 BP, often concentrated in nucleated centres (Pacciarelli 2000; Fulminante 2014; Stoddart 2016; in press), would have required intensified exploitation of a surrounding landscape. A further distinctive feature is that this anthropogenised landscape emerged out of a setting less affected by human action during the preceding Holocene than some other parts of the Mediterranean: e.g. the Eastern Mediterranean or, for that matter, southern Italy, eastern Sicily or even Adriatic central Italy. Individual high-resolution pollen records from central Italy show intensive land use well before 2900 BP and development of disturbance-adapted vegetation at the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition, but this was often a very localized effect that was less visible at regional scale. As elsewhere in the
Mediterranean basin (Mercuri et al. this volume), once initiated, this trend of intensification of land use became more pronounced and generalised, first during the Etruscan period (perhaps in response to the demands of metallurgical production (Mariotti Lippi et al. 2000)) and then in the Roman period, perhaps in a more spatially extensive mode that included both lowlands and uplands. After a decline, another cycle of exploitation began in the Medieval period, and, in the lowlands, in the modern historical past.

One undoubted influence on the patterns detected here is climatic change. The study area of central Italy lies between the latitude of 42° and 44° North, just to the south of the Holocene climatic boundary at 45° North hypothesised by Peyron et al. (2017), but to the north of 40° North, the boundary originally defined by Magny et al. (2013). As remarked by these authors, this hypothesised boundary is strongly affected by the location of the restricted sample sites (Peyron et al. 2017: 256) where proxies independent of pollen have been deployed for calculating precipitation and temperature. In fact, a synthesis of Mediterranean non-palynological hydroclimate datasets by Finné et al. (this volume) fails to show any clear latitudinal boundary between the Holocene climate trajectory of northern and southern Italy. In spite of these difficulties, it has to be acknowledged that a contributing factor towards the change in vegetation outlined in this article may be a climatic switch during the mid-Holocene, between 7500 and 4000 Cal yr BP (Magny et al. 2012; Roberts et al., 2011). It is difficult at this stage to quantify in detail the changes in vegetation in response to this climatic change, which was governed by considerable regional variation within the broader global pattern (Mayewski et al. 2004), although an outline for key tree taxa across the whole of the Italian peninsula is provided by Magri et al. (2015).

In spite of these reservations, the Mediterranean hydroclimate data synthesis by Finné et al. (this volume) has revealed that records from cave and lake sites located in Italy indicate dry conditions from 10,000 until 8700 cal. yrs. BP. This was followed by a rapid switch toward wetter conditions at some sites (e.g. Lago di Pergusa, Sicily) that persisted until 6900 cal. yrs. BP. However, during this period the Italian pollen records mostly show development of dense forest formations (Magri et al. 2015). A more stable climate seems to have followed, which was then succeeded by a period of increased variation between records suggesting greater sub-regional climatic variability. Two periods when climate may have played a significant role in cultural change were firstly at and after 4200 Cal yr BP, and secondly around 3000 Cal yr BP. The former, the well-known 4.2 ka abrupt climate event, is very clearly marked as a period of aridity in the Grotta di Renella speleothem record from northern Tuscany (Drysdale et al. 2006), and coincides with the transition between the Late Copper Age and the Early Bronze Age. This event is marked by a clear drop in forest cover in southern and central Italy, but is not found in other areas of the Mediterranean Basin (Di Rita et al. 2018). A different pattern can be identified around 3000 Cal yr BP, when most Italian hydro-climatic records indicate relatively wet conditions, at a time when the eastern Mediterranean was notably dry (Finné et al. this volume). This may be relevant to the rapid proto-urbanisation that occurred at the end of the Bronze Age in Italy (see discussion below), although the regional response of vegetation to this climate instability was rather diverse (Di Rita et al. 2018).

Scalar data source criticism
Tyrrhenian central Italy is defined for the purposes of this paper as bounded by the Arno catchment to the north, the Tiber to the east, as far south as the latitude of Rome, and then by the foothills of the Apennines, until a point at the same latitude of Monte Circeo meeting the sea south of the Pontine marshes along the course of the Garigliano river (Fig. 1).

The region of Tyrrhenian central Italy under consideration divides into three regions on geographical grounds. These same divisions were then consolidated on geopolitical grounds during the formative pre-Roman period, with consequences for all subsequent periods. Latium vetus (Fulminante 2014), the distinct political zone of the Latins (and the off-centre central place of Rome that gave its name to the later Roman empire) was placed to the south of the Tiber, and comprised an important volcanic province to the north with significant lowlands around the Pontine marshes to the south, collectively bordering the Apennine foothills to the east. Etruria itself (Stoddart 2016) to the north of the Tiber is geopolitically divided at this scale into two zones, separated by the Albegna river. To the south, South Etruria is distinguished by primate cities in another volcanic province, which, even if they had distinctive political trajectories and territorial sizes, broadly shared an intensity of urbanisation. To the north, North Etruria had generally a less packed, more dispersed, political landscape in a more varied geography of Plio-Pleistocene deposits, limestones, sandstones and intermontane tectonic valleys. Ideally, it would be prudent to examine these three regions separately as part of the analysis, but the size of the pollen data set does not permit a sub-division into Etruria and Latium Vetus. Cognisant of these limitations in the data, it is also prudent to note that the vegetational impact of the political process of South Etruria may have had more similarity with Latium vetus than with North Etruria, since the first two were the politically and economically most developed areas in the third millennium BP.

At a micro-scale, at least three locations of pollen sites can be interpreted as close to known concentrated settlement activity with excavated detail. In the north, Lago Massaciuccoli (Colombaroli et al. 2007; Mariotti Lippi et al. 2007a; Bellini et al. 2009) is located close to the small Etruscan site of S. Rocchino (Massarosa) (Fornaciari 1978). In the Maremma, Lago di Accesa (Colombaroli et al. 2008; Drescher-Schneider et al. 2007) was very close to the village sized Etruscan settlement of the same name (Camporeale 1997). The newly published Mezzano core (Sadori 2018) was also affected by local settlement activity but was “off-site” in the Etruscan period, illustrating the complexity of vegetational development in the landscape (see further discussion below). In the south, the Alban hills contained both the volcanic lakes of Nemi and Albano (Mercuri et al. 2002) and nucleated Latin sites, such as Alba Longa, Tusculum and Gabii (Guaitoli 1982; Becker et al. 2009). The proximity of such sites to pollen cores allows us to capture not only the general picture of the landscape, but also some of the details of variation in clearance of vegetation in the neighbourhood of concentrations of population.

Methodological source criticism

Pollen data set

Pollen data sets from across the Mediterranean region were extracted from the European modern and fossil pollen databases (Davis et al. 2013; Leydet 2007-2017), filtered for quality (including appropriate temporal depth) and enriched by invited contributors (including those provided by authors of this paper). Cluster-based analysis (described below) was used to identify the major spatio-temporal patterns of vegetation change across the entire Mediterranean datasets.
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(Woodbridge et al. in press; Fyfe et al. 2018), using complete datasets that have been carefully
taxonomically harmonised, amalgamated, chronologically-synthesised and normalised. Taxa below
1% with fewer than 50 occurrences have been removed in the analysis. We present here the results
from 17 fossil pollen sites in our study region, grouped into 55 time windows (11000 BP to modern)
(Fig. 1). Three of these original pollen sites (Padule, Colfiorito and Ospitale (Watson 1996)) were
subsequently excluded because of their mountainous altitude (above 500 m), which also excludes
them from a strict definition of Tyrrenian central Italy. Lago Lungo was also excluded from the
regional synthesis because of the dissimilar landscape in which this site is located. The inclusion of
these three high altitude sites in the dataset would have resulted in patterns highly influenced by
high altitude vegetation, which is not typical of the lower elevation region; therefore combining
these dissimilar landscapes together in a regional synthesis was not appropriate. The full Holocene
pollen record from Lago di Mezzano (Sadori 2018) was published too late for inclusion in our
regional data synthesis, but is discussed in the text below.

Modern and fossil pollen datasets

Descriptions of the methodological approaches developed and applied to the pollen datasets are
provided in Woodbridge et al. (in press) and Fyfe et al. (2018). Pollen sequences with relatively
reliable chronologies (Giesecke et al. 2013) were selected for analysis and new sediment core
chronologies were constructed for additional records using the ‘BACON’ R package (Blaauw &
Christen 2011). The pollen count data from each site were summed into 200-year time windows and
analyses were applied to the entire Mediterranean region in order to identify key vegetation types.
Analyses for a sub-set of 14 fossil pollen sequences (from 11 sites) and 37 modern pollen sites are
presented in this paper for central Italy (Table 2).

Pollen data analysis

An unsupervised data-driven approach was used to assign pollen samples to vegetation cluster
groups based on the similarity of their taxa assemblages using Ward’s hierarchical agglomerative
clustering method (Ward 1963) within the ‘rioja’ R package (Juggins 2015; see Woodbridge et al. in
press and Fyfe et al. 2018) for a detailed description of the cluster analysis approach developed). A
phytosociological classification approach was used to identify the frequent and abundant pollen taxa
within each cluster group based on their median and interquartile range (IQR). Interpretive name
descriptors were given to each vegetation cluster using phytosociological classification tables along
with comparisons with other classification systems, land cover types defined by remote sensing and
the results of previous studies (see Woodbridge et al. in press). In order to explore major patterns in
the pollen datasets, non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) was applied to the data using the R
‘vegan’ package (Oksanen et al., 2016) and Simpson’s diversity index (Simpson 1949) was calculated
for each pollen sample. This index, which is frequently used to explore diversity change in pollen
datasets (e.g. Morris et al. 2014) was selected because it takes both species richness and evenness
into account (Simpson, 1949). Pollen indicator groups were also used to summarise key changes in
the datasets. This included calculating the average arboreal pollen sum (%AP), a sum of tree crop
indicators OJC (Olea, Juglans, Castanea) (Mercuri et al. 2013a) (also combined with Vitis: OJCV),
calculation of an anthropogenic pollen index (API: Artemisia, Centaurea, Cichorieae and Plantago,
cereals, Urtica and Trifolium type) (Mercuri et al. 2013b), and a sum of pastoral indicators
(Asteroidae, Cichorioideae, Cirsium-type, Galium-type, Ranunculaceae and Potentilla-type pollen)
(Mazier et al. 2006). Vegetation cluster group changes were calculated as an average for all sites in the central Italy study region and plotted stratigraphically. Oleaceae was grouped with *Olea* in the OJC index; within the datasets used in this study occurrences of Oleaceae are thought to represent poorly-preserved *Olea*. Other taxa in the Oleaceae family are identified separately (e.g. *Fraxinus*, *Phillyrea* or *Jasminum*).

**Archaeological radiocarbon dataset**

A total of 697 uncalibrated radiocarbon dates spanning from Late Mesolithic (10,000 BP) to the fall of the Roman Empire (1500 BP) have been identified from 170 sites and either harmonised from online sources and extant databases (e.g. BANADORA (Galate (2011), RADON (Hintz et al. 2012), University of Oxford's ORAU (ORAU 2016), EUROEVOL (Manning et al. 2015)) or, manually input from a wide range of publications such as published reports, journal articles, etc. (see Fig. 1a). All of these radiocarbon dates are from archaeological contexts, with the majority being samples of bone, charcoal and wood (see Palmisano et al. 2018; see also Supplemental material 1 for a full list of original sources). Radiocarbon dates obtained from marine samples such as shell have been removed (and are not part of the above total) to avoid the complicated issues arising from unknown or poorly understood marine reservoir offsets.

The first archaeological proxy for population levels, the summed probability distribution (SPD) of radiocarbon dates, is effective only for the period up to ~2500 BP. After that, the radiocarbon time series becomes a very unreliable proxy, because classical, medieval and modern archaeologists (and to a lesser extent protohistoric archaeologists covering 3500-2700 BP) have been very reluctant to adopt radiocarbon as a dating technique (e.g starting as far back as Ridgway 1979: 415; Peroni et al 1980, but continuing as a practice). The reasons are historical, educational, financial and practical. Material culture rules the interpretative record of these later periods and time has been ordered into precisely defined relative chronologies tied into historical dates by the cross-dating of imports. Furthermore, scientific analysis has not been part of the educational system for an arts-based archaeology. Radiocarbon dating has, until recently, been costly and perceived to be less accurate. Only since the turn of the millennium, has a combination of dendrochronology (largely external to the area) and increasingly precise AMS dating begun to transform the picture in the period centred around 3000 BP. In addition, the period affected by the ‘Hallstatt plateau’ in the radiocarbon correction curve (c. 2750-2350 BP) has been very little dated by radiocarbon in central Italy for the sensible reason that samples from this period are not good chronological value for money since the resulting dates on the plateau have larger timespans. In northern Europe, Bayesian approaches have begun to make an impact on the same period (Hamilton et al. 2015), and remain useful because of the relative ‘fuzziness’ of dating from material culture, but this revolution has yet to hit central Italy or to be perceived as advantageous. For these reasons, the SPD of radiocarbon dates is to be considered reliable for the period between 10,000 and ~2500 BP where the broad trends appear to be effective and may even provide a sounder understanding of population levels for the Neolithic (8,000 – 6,000 BP), than the more difficult interpretation of fragile, broadly dated, pottery from surface survey.
The probabilities from each calibrated date are combined to produce a summed probability distribution (SPD)\(^1\). The potential bias of oversampling particular site-phases has been reduced by aggregating multiple uncalibrated radiocarbon dates from the same site that are within 100 years of each other and dividing by the number of dates that fall within this bin (Timpson et al. 2014). Then, the probabilities of each bin are summed: in our case, 697 radiocarbon dates have been grouped into 364 bins. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that this approach is conservative because it is based on the assumption that a higher number of radiocarbon dates for specific site phases derives from research intensity rather than from a larger area of the site in that phase. Following previous works (Williams 2012; Weninger et al. 2015) showing that normalised calibrated dates emphasise narrow artificial peaks in SPDs due to steepening portions of the radiocarbon calibration curve, we opted to use unnormalised dates prior to summation and calibrated via IntCal13 curve (Reimer et al. 2013; see former applications of calibrated unnormalised radiocarbon dates in Bevan et al. 2017; Palmisano et al. 2017; Roberts et al. 2018).

Finally, a logistic null model representing expected population increase has been fitted to the observed SPD in order to produce a 95% confidence envelope (composed of 1,000 random SPDs) and statistically test if the observed pattern significantly departs from this model (for the general approach, Shennan et al 2013; Timpson et al 2014; as specifically implemented in Bevan and Crema 2018: modelTest, ‘uncalsample’for). This theoretical null model of population change builds on the assumption that a population’s per capita decreases to zero as population size approaches a maximum imposed by limited resources in the environment as there might be an upper bound to pre-Iron Age population growth. This model was preferred given the observed distributional shape of the SPD of radiocarbon dates in central Italy (see Fig. 2). However, it is important to bear in mind that a logistic model cannot be considered strictly as a realistic model for population growth, but rather as an elementary model useful for quantitatively testing population fluctuations (cf. Turchin 2001). In this case, we preferred a logistic model to other possible null-models (e.g. uniform, exponential) given the observed shape of SPD of radiocarbon dates in our study area (see Fig. 2). Deviations above and below the 95% confidence limits of the envelope respectively indicate periods of population growth and decline greater than expected according a logistic model of population growth. Finally, a global p-value has been calculated in order to assess the significance of the total area of the observed SPD outside the confidence envelope.

Figure 2 shows the (unnormalised) SPD of radiocarbon dates compared with a 95% envelope for a logistic null model. Deviations above (in red bands) and below (in blue bands) the null model respectively represent population growth or decline beyond that expected under a long-term logistic trend. The results show a significant overall departure of the SPD of observed data (black solid line) from the envelope of the logistic model (p = 0.001), which indicates that population did not grow exponentially from 10,000 to 1,500 BP in central Italy. The population is greater than predicted by the logistic model in the Late Mesolithic between 9.9 – 9.5 ka BP. Nevertheless, the level of population during the late Mesolithic is low and started increasing after the onset of the Neolithic (~8000 BP). Population growth (and decline) occurred periodically during the Late Neolithic/Chalcolithic (growth ~ 5.2 ka – 4.8 ka BP; decline 4.8-4.6 ka BP; growth 4.6 – 4.4 ka BP) and

\(^1\)The analysis has been performed in R v. 3.3.3 by using the package rcarbon developed by Bevan and Crema (2018).
decreased significantly between 4.4 – 3.7 ka BP. An apparent crash in population occurred between 3.7 and 3.5 ka BP (indicated by the vertical blue band). This dramatic change may question some current interpretations of continued growth (Bietti Sestieri 2010; Minniti 2012), and needs further research to confirm the pattern. Population then grew dramatically during the later Bronze Age (3.5 – 2.8 ka BP). This measure of population may be more robust than site numbers (Fig 3b) where fluctuations in site numbers may reflect the difficulty in recognizing specific dated handle types from surface survey particularly during the Recent Bronze Age (Barker & Stoddart 1994). After this period, the population fluctuated and decreased until the fall of the Roman Empire. This decrease of population during the Roman period indicated by the SPD should be considered unreliable because it will be biased by the fact that archaeologists rely mostly on pottery types and coins to date Roman layers rather than using radiocarbon dating (see above).

Archaeological site data set

Archaeological sites have been collected via a comprehensive review, standardisation, and synthesis of settlement data from reports and gazetteers of 59 archaeological surveys of differing intensities covering circa 10,000 square km (Palmisano et al. 2018; see also Supplemental material 1; Fig. 1b). A total of 7,074 archaeological sites were divided into 10,758 occupation phases and in what follows they are explored via a set of complementary presentational statistics. For comparison with pollen data, these were then placed into two hundred year time-slices, representing a suitable matching between the estimated occupation history of the archaeological sites and resolution of the pollen chronologies. Estimated settlement size has been recorded per each time-slice in cases where sites have been extensively excavated and/or surveyed methodically. Given the need for reliable data sets only systematic survey data that covered prehistory until the full classical period were selected where available. The analysis with this dataset cannot be continued into the medieval and modern period, since most surveys have a cut off with the classical period (with the notable exception of work by the University of Siena which was initiated by a School of Medieval Archaeology). Historical geography information would be required to take the picture up to the present day, but was not collected for this paper. In addition, certain higher quality survey datasets were also unavailable, notably the British School at Rome South Etruria survey (Patterson et al 2004), the Tuscania survey (Barker and Rasmussen 1988) and the Val Cecina survey (Terrenato 1996). Tuscania had already been considered more extensively by a Forma Italiae study (included here), but the loss of the South Etruria survey is a more pronounced gap. A further issue is that the Bronze Age sites have frequently been collected by a topographically targeted strategy that is distinct from systematic survey (e.g. Barbaro 2010), that probably over-represents the Final Bronze Age when compared with the earlier phases of the Bronze Age. The contributing surveys to the settlement database considered here individually have very particular characteristics which need to be acknowledged even if collectively they do not profoundly affect the overall patterns. One major source of data is the Forma Italiae (e.g. Morselli & Tortorici 1982) undertaken by classical archaeologists in a series of individual (square) map sheets, often centred on an ancient urban centre. In common with the work of the British School at Rome (di Gennaro & Stoddart 1982) an acknowledged bias is most probably an under-estimation of prehistoric sites in survey results based on both ceramics and lithics. Another important data source is the work of prehistorians in the suburbs of Rome (Arnoldus Huyzendveld et al. 1993). The Agro Pontino has been well covered by Dutch scholars (Attema 1993). One major
Etruscan city, Cerveteri, has been explicitly studied, but only in the southeastern approaches (Enei 2001). The Siena school of archaeology has undertaken extensive work in the Scarlino area (Cucini 1985) and in the Chianti region (e.g. Campana 2001), with the most extensive chronological coverage. All the above approaches generally deployed a methodology of continuous coverage. One of the largest surveys was of the boundary area of the Ager Cosanus, and in this case a series of transects were implemented across the Albegna valley (Carandini & Cambi 2002). The dataset could be criticised for the diversity of these approaches, but the relatively large numbers of sites recovered permits us to hazard the conclusion that the main data trends are trustworthy, since only systematic surveys have been included. Nevertheless, the only periods that can be compared in detail are the classical periods (Etruscan to early Roman), because of the high recognition of central classical material by all surveyors, whereas prehistoric and later Antique material require a particular specialised focus on behalf of the survey teams which was not always present.

In our analysis, we have broken up the settlement evidence into 43 time-slices each lasting 200 years starting with period 1 (10,000 - 9,800 Cal yr BP) and ending with period 43 (1,600 - 1,400 Cal yr BP). Then, we have (a) calculated sites count per time-slice, and (b) have summed the estimated site sizes for each time step in order to assess how the population changes across time every 200 years. Bearing in mind the temporal uncertainty associated with many archaeological sites, particularly sites only found by survey which result in larger or shorter time spans according to the dating precision provided by recovered archaeological artefacts, we have applied a probabilistic approach known as aoristic analysis (see former applications in Crema et al. 2010, 1118-1121; Crema 2012, 446-448; Kolář et al. 2016; Orton at al. 2017; Palmisano et al. 2017, 63-65). In addition, to mitigate the discrepancy between wide chronological uncertainties and narrower likely site durations, we applied Monte Carlo methods to generate randomised start of occupation periods for sites with low-resolution information (cf. Crema 2012; Palmisano et al. 2017: 63-64).

Figure 3 shows the frequency per 200 year time-block of 10,758 site occupation phases. In this analysis, four different proxies derived from archaeological settlement data are presented together to assess demographic trends over the long run: raw site counts, summed settlement areas, aoristic weights, and randomised site occupations. The results for all four proxies show an increase of population at the beginning of the Neolithic (~ 8000 BP) and peaks during the Late Neolithic (~ 5.5 ka BP), the Chalcolithic (~ 5.3 – 5.1 ka BP), in the early Bronze Age (c. 4300 Cal yr BP), the Middle Bronze Age (3.6 – 3.3 ka BP), and the Early Iron Age (3.1 -2.7 ka BP), and the Late Republican/Early Imperial Roman period (2100 – 1900 Cal yr BP). These peaks are punctuated by deep population declines between 5.0 – 4.5 ka BP and 4.1 – 3.8 ka BP. The first of these broadly agrees with the radiocarbon evidence (Fig. 2), but the second occurs several hundred years earlier than a similar trough indicated by the radiocarbon evidence (Fig. 2); the second trough may have been affected by the topographically targeted survey strategy which has most probably promoted the importance of the Final Bronze Age (seen in Barbaro 2010), compared with the earlier phases of the Bronze Age. We recognise the considerable debate on the relationship between archaeological record, settlement numbers, settlement size and absolute population numbers (Stoddart 1999; Drennan et al. 2015), but have taken statistical precautions to reduce the uncertainty.

2 For a comprehensive list of archaeological surveys carried out in the study area see Palmisano et al. 2018 and Supplemental material 1.
Statistical comparison of population and vegetation change

The demographic proxies (SPD of radiocarbon dates, aoristic sum, and raw count, estimated total size) are binned into 200-year time slices to match the time windows used in the analysis of pollen sequences. We also calculated the median of the envelope of the randomised start date of sites, which is the result of 1,000 randomised runs, and binned this into 200-year time slices. This step provides a measurement comparable with the other demographic and environmental proxies. A pairwise Spearman’s Rank correlation matrix between pollen indicators and archaeological demographic proxies for the period from 10,000 to 1400 Cal yr BP is given on Table 3. Spearman’s correlations between all demographic proxies indicate strong positive correlation (p-value < 0.001) and suggest that the archaeological data depict similar population dynamics over the long run. Pollen indicators such as *Olea*, OJC, OJCV, API and regional grazing are strongly positively correlated with the demographic proxies, indicating that cultivated trees and pastoral activities increase with a higher population. The percentage of Arboreal pollen (AP) is negatively correlated with population, which means that woodland clearance occurs when population grows. The results here described provide us with a *longue durée* picture during the Holocene. In order to have a better understanding of the human impact on the landscape, we adopted a moving window approach.

The advantage of this approach is that it does not just provide a single global correlation statistic, but instead allows for the identification of particular periods of correspondence and divergence between human population size and land cover from the Late Mesolithic to the fall of the Roman Empire (10,000 – 1400 Cal yr BP). A 2000 year-time moving window Spearman’s correlation has been employed, with ten 200-year bins in each time window. This analysis has been performed for all archaeological proxies versus six pollen indicator groups (see Supplemental Material 2: Tables S1-S6). In addition, cross correlation analysis has been performed in order to assess if one time-series “causes” changes in another and if they occur with a defined time-lag between each other (in this case a lag unit of 200 years). Cross-correlation values have been indicated in the Supplemental Material 2 (Tables S1-S6) only for those 2000-year time windows showing significant Spearman’s correlations.

The results in Supplemental Material 2 (Table S1) show that population is negatively correlated with arboreal (tree) pollen, especially during the Neolithic when population starts increasing, but tree cover appears to be decreasing, after the introduction of farming. Other strong negative correlations occur in the later periods (LBA, IA and Roman). One archaeological proxy (estimated total area) shows a cross correlation with negative lags (-1) in those time windows encompassing the Neolithic, indicating that the increase of population precedes the decrease of arboreal pollen by 200 years. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that most of the correlations have a lag equal to 0 indicating contemporaneity between demographic trends and vegetation change. This is also due to the fact that our 200-year resolution is quite coarse for assessing successfully if there are time lags between demographic proxies and pollen indicators.

The results in Supplemental Material 2 (Tables S2 and S3) show a strong positive correlation between demographic trends and OJC pollen from the Chalcolithic onwards (~4600 Cal yr BP and after). In some archaeological proxies, the increase of population delayed by 200 years the increase of OJC pollen. Lesser correlations occur between our population proxy and the Anthropogenic Pollen Index (Supplemental Material 2: Table S5). The SPD of radiocarbon dates show negative
correlations with the API (Anthropogenic Pollen Index) in the Late Mesolithic and Early Neolithic as well as during a period encompassing the Late Neolithic and the Early Bronze Age (~5500 – 3500 Cal yr BP). Only one archaeological proxy (Total area) shows a strong positive correlation with the API within a time window encompassing a time period from the Chalcolithic to the Iron Age (4800 – 2800 Cal yr BP). Supplemental Material 2 (Table S6) shows strong positive correlations between demographic proxies and regional pastoral indicators in the Neolithic and in the later periods (from Chalcolithic to Early Bronze Age).

**Comparative Regional Analysis for Tyrrhenian central Italy (Fig. 4).**

Over the period up to 3000 Cal yr BP, some useful and credible trends can be noted. Population levels were very low until the advent of farming at ~ 8000 Cal yr BP. Hunter-gatherer activity is broadly associated with low population levels, except in zones of rich biomass (e.g. NW coast of North America) although hunter-gatherers are known to have engaged in deliberate management of local vegetation (e.g. California) (Kelly 2013). In this period, it can, therefore, be readily assumed that climate rather than human impact was the main external operative factor on the vegetation patterns (Orain et al. 2013). In the subsequent Neolithic period, the pollen record may indicate the presence of human populations when archaeological data do not register the same density. In other contexts, the transition to farming is generally assumed to bring a more incisive impact on vegetation. However, the advent of farming was generally lower in its impact on Tyrrhenian central Italy and later than in the rest of the peninsula (Skeates 1994; Radi Petrinelli Pannocchia 2018). In other parts of the peninsula, there were lighter soils and reliable rain fed agriculture with the construction of major ditched enclosures in zones such as the Tavoliere and the Catania plain (Malone 2003). In Tyrrhenian central Italy, sites tended to be smaller and adopt a broad spectrum exploitation of the landscape close to perennial water sources, particularly lakes and water courses (Malone 2003: 267-8; Fugazzola Delpino et al. 1993; Malone et al. 1992; Bellini et al. 2008; 2009). Emmer wheat and barley were often supplemented by collected foods and sheep/goat, cattle and pig, supplemented by wild boar and red deer hunting. There does appear to have been greater intensity of production in the Later Neolithic and the extension of settlement onto heavier soils, although much of this evidence currently derives from the Adriatic seaboard of central Italy (Malone 2003). Additionally, the patterns detectable in the radiocarbon data allow us tentatively to detect a boom-bust pattern of rising and falling populations which has been identified elsewhere in Europe (Shennan et al. 2013). A similar observation has been made in recent studies of the island of Malta (French et al. in preparation).

The broad trends traced from the archaeological data ring true in terms of wider archaeological understanding: a flat demographic curve until the take-off of urbanism at the end of the second millennium BC. The more detailed analysis (Fig. 4) shows a drop in inferred population at the beginning of the Bronze Age (~4000 Cal yr BP) and again this matches more general expectations. Nevertheless, the low inferred population of this period contrasts with the situation registered in the Po Plain with the Terramara culture (Cremaschi et al. 2016), and may also be an effect of the selection of sites based on the presence of radiocarbon dates. However, these demographic trends had a less evident impact on the vegetational landscape than the longer term trends responding to the clearance for agriculture. Some of the preference for wet conditions for agriculture, accompanied by a more wide-ranging use of the landscape, may have continued even into the Bronze Age in areas such as the Arno catchment (Mariotti Lippi et al. 2010; Giachi et al. 2010). Most
importantly, the main increase in tree crops in this region occurred after 2.8 ka BP (i.e. in the Etruscan/Latin period) coincident with a further decline in woodland cover, and this can be related to key changes in olive oil and wine production, detectable in material culture practices and trade, largely from the many tombs of the elite (e.g. Naso 2000; see results in Tables S1-S3). This is clearly reflected by the pollen-derived OJC index for cultivated trees (Fig. 5; Supplemental Material 2: Table S3). The direct evidence is tantalisingly scarce because of the lack of systematic flotation of archaeological deposits, but carbonised seeds of grape and olive have been found from both urban and rural sites (Stevens 2000; Perkins & Attolini 1992; Mariotti Lippi et al. 2002; Bowes et al. 2015; Malone et al. 2014). Another expansion of tree crops, related to chestnut, is known historically in the more upland parts of Tyrrhenian Italy during the Medieval period. The peaks in pastoral indicators that occurred at 5000 and 2500 Cal yr BP in the later Neolithic and mid Etruscan periods respectively, were more episodic and more difficult to compare with the archaeological record. However, some Late Neolithic and early Chalcolithic communities have been associated with an increase in mobility and pastoralism and the vegetational record may support this interpretation. There has been much discussion in the archaeological literature over the chronological depth of transhumance (Barker 2005) beyond the clear historical evidence from the medieval period. It is difficult to contribute in detail to this debate from the evidence presented here. However, we can note that by the late Bronze Age (c. 3000 BP), the dominance of ovicaprids had become a long-term trend which only became more balanced with cattle and pig in the full urban period (De Grossi Mazzorin 2006). The vegetational change in the mid Etruscan period may thus relate to a new relationship between city and countryside, where, for a short period, extensive stock raising became more important. The short-lived expansion of pastoral activity shown by the pollen may thus suggest a multi-faceted approach to agricultural intensification at the peak of Etruscan power in the middle of the third millennium BP (Supplemental material 2: Table S6).

In the later periods, the pastoralism appears to have expanded and this is matched by historical and ethnographic studies of transhumance in historical times (Barker 1989). More generally, at a broader scale, archaeo-demographic data show clear boom-and-bust cycles. There seem to have been two main long cycles (~6000-4000 and ~3500-1500 Cal yr BP) prior to Medieval times, presumably followed by a third cycle in the last 1200 years, for which archaeo-demographic data are not available, because of the nature of the field survey evidence, but which can be noted in the documentary records (e.g. Wickham 1988). A fourth boom has taken place in lowland areas in the post war period. Within the regional synthesis of records from Italy, there are no clear patterns evident between the average palaeoclimate z-scores, pollen-inferred vegetation change and the archaeo-demographic trends (Fig. 5). However, the amalgamation of datasets across the region leads to loss of information related to sub-regional climatic trends. Records from the north of Italy suggest that conditions became drier during the last 4000 years (Finné et al. this volume; see also discussion above). This coincides with periods of population expansion and increased agricultural activity, and suggests agricultural practices may have persisted throughout phases of drier climatic conditions. However, the complexity of interactions between human activity and natural environmental changes are highlighted within studies from individual sites located in Italy (e.g. Allen et al. 2002) and other studies highlight climate as having important impacts on vegetation change (e.g. Finsinger et al. 2010).

The most striking general regional trends relate to woodland. The cluster group ‘fir forest’, which represents an Abies-dominated mixed woodland, declined at 9000 Cal yr BP, except at altitude,
perhaps driven by data drawn from the pollen and charcoal records at Massaciuccoli and Accesa in northern Tyrrenian Italy. The results are also supported by simulation approaches (Tinner et al. 2013, Henne et al. 2015) where slash and burn probably took place. The pollen-derived cluster groups comprise combinations of taxa that may represent opening of the landscape in response to human disturbance. Although beech woods are not well represented in Fig. 4, Fagus (beech) is also represented in other cluster groups. Fagus has a median value of >30% in cluster 8.3 (beech woods) but is also represented in cluster 7.0 (fir forest) with a median of 6% and cluster 8.1 (alder woods) with a median of 3%. Furthermore, the pasture/wetland cluster (3.0) is actually dominated by sedge (Cyperaceae) and although parkland/grassland (1.4) has a median value >30% for Poaceae, this is accompanied by evergreen Quercus (8%) and other minor taxa. Deciduous oak woodlands dominated the early record until 7500-7000 Cal yr BP when they were replaced by a more open landscape that retains some oaks as well as an increase in Alnus. These changes may be connected with the start of farming that led to the increase in oak parkland and also represents woody-steppe vegetation, which after 6700 BP was potentially related to woodland management by early farming communities (cf. Supplemental Material 2: Table S1). Pine woods only occur very late in the record and intermittently earlier (represented by clusters 8.2 (coniferous forest) and 4.0 (pine forest)). Alder wood communities (based on Alnus Incana) increased in prominence from 7000 Cal yr BP and are known to respond positively to disturbances (Colombaroli et al. 2007). Non deciduous oak arboreal communities were more episodic, appearing and disappearing in frequency in the pollen record.

**Comparative Pollen Site Analysis**

Plots of individual pollen records (Figs. 6 and 7) demonstrate which sites are driving key changes in the region synthesis plots (Figs. 4 and 5), such as the early part of Massaciuccoli, which shows the presence of fir forest, while the API represents natural landscapes in the early part of some records, such as Lago dell’Accesa. In the Massaciuccoli area (Colombaroli et al. 2007; Mariotti Lippi et al. 2007a; Bellini et al. 2009) in the lower Arno valley, near the Etruscan site of S. Rochino (Massarossa), wetlands were present in the valley bottom and on the coast (shown by hydrophilous species), and the current open landscape on the hillslopes (with dominance of low maquis or garrigue, i.e. Phillyrea, Erica sp., Juniperus) was only established in the Roman period, even if anthropogenic fire disturbance is recorded as early as 6000 Cal yr BP. In the Accesa area (Drescher-Schneider et al. 2007), some slight clearance was noticed as early as the Mesolithic (~8900 Cal yr BP), followed by a more notable clearance in the early and Middle Neolithic (~8000-6600 Cal yr BP), with replacement of the former evergreen oak vegetation by deciduous oak forest and open shrublands (Colombaroli et al. 2008; 2009, Vanniere et al. 2008,) following slash and burn activities. The impact in the subsequent Bronze Age appears to have been reduced. The amount of open land does not appear to change drastically during the Etruscan period, and it was only with the foundation of a settlement near to Accesa in ~2550 Cal yr BP that a clearing can be interpreted locally. At Lago di Mezzano (not shown in Figs 6 and 7), a first anthropogenic clearance phase occurred during Bronze Age times (3700-3000 Cal yr BP), followed by woodland regeneration, with more permanent forest clearance and cultivation of tree crops only during Medieval and post-Medieval times (Sadori 2018). This pattern appears to reflect local as much as regional land cover changes, as confirmed by the presence of archaeological sites and finds inside the lake at a time when its water level was lower than today. None the less, other inland sites, such as Lago Lungo
(Mensing et al. 2015) also highlight the importance of the early Medieval period in human land cover transformation away from the coast.

The southern Latial lakes of Albano and Nemi appear to show major changes in human-caused vegetation shifts (Mercuri et al. 2002) and cereals that start with the Neolithic (c. 7000 Cal yr BP), register a new increase from ~3000 Cal yr BP with the introduction of tree crops and show the highest impact in the early Roman period, although there are earlier noticeable effects and fluctuations. These lakes were relatively close to urban centres such as Tusculum, Alba Longa and Gabii. The record from Lake Vico in South Etruria appears only to show appreciable woodland clearance and cereals from about 2.6 ka BP (Magri & Sadori 1999), perhaps responding to its more marginal location with respect to settlement and greater protection from the surrounding agricultural landscape. However, this is not detected in the pollen-inferred vegetation clusters, which highlights the importance of understanding site level characteristics. The record from the Lake Lagaccione shows some clearance at about 3.7 ka BP (Magri 1999) but is unfortunately truncated in its upper part when the area might have been influenced by the development of the relatively short lived urban centre of Bisenzio (Babbi 2016). Stracciacappa is unfortunately another truncated sequence that offers no information after 7200 Cal yr BP when it was still heavily wooded (Giardini 2007). Fortunately, Lago di Mezzano is located close to Lagaccione and extends the pollen record up to modern times (Sadori 2018). The pollen record from Stagno di Maccarese (Di Rita et al. 2010; 2015) shows a clear human impact on the landscape, documented by a peak of micro-charcoal concentrations and cereal-type pollen, contemporary with the nearby middle Chalcolithic settlement of Le Cerquete-Fianello, dated between 5.4 and 4.9 ka BP. After a period of human abandonment of the area, an increase around 2.6 ka BP in pollen of the Chenopodiaceae family that contains species adapted to growing in saline environments marks the usage of the basin as salt works by the local Etruscan populations. After 2.4 ka BP, when the Romans took control of the salt works, and especially in Imperial time, signs of human activities are documented by high values of API and OJCV.

Conclusions

The current paper corroborates some interpretations and challenges others. The impact of the classical period (Etruscan and early to early imperial Roman) on the human and natural landscape has been both confirmed and given extra nuance. The Etruscan impact on the landscape was noteworthy, but highly varied, both between South and North Etruria and in the vicinity of known sites. The Roman impact was more pervasive. On the other hand, the apparently low level of activity in the Neolithic and Bronze Age from purely archaeological evidence may need to be challenged by the vegetational evidence, as changes can be noted in woodland composition and density, as well as in the SPD data, that were not apparent from the site counts.

This analysis of Tyrrhenian central Italy exhibits some general trends that appear to combine human and climatic effects: on the one hand a warm climatic period in Roman times was preceded in the third millennium BP and succeeded in the first and second millennia BP by periods of cooler climate (according to Benvenuti et al. 2006 and Büntgen et al. 2016), and there is further evidence of drier conditions from 5500 BP (Marchetto et al. 2008); on the other hand, there was substantial local variation that would have been a response to the local intensification of human intervention, depending on the level of settlement size and urbanisation. Some river regimes persistently suffered from flood risk (Benvenuti et al. 2010; 2006). More open woodland increased over time,
starting in the Neolithic, which may initially have largely taken the form of small clearings often in well-watered locations such as San Marco (Valley of Gubbio) and La Marmotta (Lago di Bracciano). Pastoralism was probably an increasing feature of the Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age, although the degree of long distance transhumance cannot be assessed from the data presented here. Urbanisation would have led to more intensive exploitation of the landscape, but, in its initial stages, this would have encompassed considerable local variation, both at a regional scale (less developed North Etruria vs intensive South Etruria vs intensive Latium vetus), and between individual cities as shown by the variation in the relationship between the urban centre and the degree of rural settlement (Stoddart 2016). Tree crops accompanied the establishment of the first larger towns or cities after 3000 Cal yr BP, when, for the first time, fixed food resources tied to the land could have been more easily protected. As already emphasised, these broad trends conceal substantial local differences. In northern Tyrrhenian Italy, in the context of less developed rural settlement, the open landscape was probably only established in the Roman period. In the southern Tyrrhenian region, in the context of long-established and intense urbanism, the impact on the local landscape was felt much earlier, in the third millennium BP. In the Roman period, many of these effects would have become more generalised, declined with the reduction of political power in late antiquity, and then revived with the rise of the independent city states of the Medieval and Renaissance period. We know from other evidence not considered here that the uplands have been extensively abandoned in the late modern period, but the lowlands have recently been intensely farmed, in response to the political economy of the European Union.
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Figures

**Fig. 1.** Map showing the a) distribution of archaeological radiocarbon samples and b) archaeological sites and pollen archive sites (the yellow polygons indicate the boundary of the archaeological surveys).

**Fig. 2.** Summed Probability Distribution (SPD) of unnormalised calibrated radiocarbon dates (solid line) vs. a fitted logistic model (95% Monte-Carlo confidence grey envelope) of population growth.

**Fig. 3.** a) Comparison of raw site count (solid line), summed settlement areas (red line), aoristic sum (dashed line), and randomised site start dates (grey envelope) from 10 to 1.5. ka BP; b) Inset of population change between 10 and 2.8 ka BP.

**Fig. 4.** Pollen-inferred vegetation cluster groups (11,000 BP – modern) for Tyrrhenian central Italy compared with proxy archaeological data.

**Fig. 5.** Pollen indicator groups: arboreal pollen (%AP), sum of *Olea, Juglans, Castanea* and *Vitis* (O/OJC/OJCV), anthropogenic pollen index (API), and pastoral indicators averaged for all sites in the study area (11,000 BP to modern) compared with proxy archaeological data. Regional average z-scores are presented for 14 palaeoclimate records from across Italy (for further information about the palaeoclimate datasets see Finné et al., this volume).

**Fig. 6.** Arboreal Pollen % (AP%) plotted in the x-axis for each pollen site plotted with cluster analysis derived vegetation cluster (symbols) (11000 BP – present) for sites in the case study region and 4
additional sites from dissimilar landscapes (Lago Lungo, Ospitale, Lago Padule and Lago Pratignano) excluded from the regional synthesis.

Fig. 7 a) Anthropogenic Pollen Index (API) and b) OJC (Olea, Castanea and Juglans) index plotted for individual pollen sites (11000 BP – present) for sites in the case study region and 4 additional sites from dissimilar landscapes (Lago Lungo, Ospitale, Lago Padule and Lago Pratignano) excluded from the regional synthesis.
Fig. 1. Map showing the a) distribution of archaeological radiocarbon samples and b) archaeological sites and pollen archive sites (the yellow polygons indicate the boundary of the archaeological surveys).
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Fig. 2. Summed Probability Distribution (SPD) of unnormalised calibrated radiocarbon dates (solid line) vs. a fitted logistic model (95% Monte-Carlo confidence grey envelope) of population growth.
Fig. 3. a) Comparison of raw site count (solid line), summed settlement areas (red line), aoristic sum (dashed line), and randomised site start dates (grey envelope) from 10 to 1.5 ka BP; b) Inset of population change between 10 and 2.8 ka BP.
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Fig. 4 Pollen-inferred vegetation cluster groups (11,000 BP – modern) for Tyrrhenian central Italy compared with proxy archaeological data.
Fig. 5 Pollen indicator groups: arboreal pollen (%AP), sum of Olea, Juglans, Castanea and Vitis (O/OJC/OJCV), anthropogenic pollen index (API), and pastoral indicators averaged for all sites in the study area (11,000 BP to modern) compared with proxy archaeological data. Regional average z-scores are presented for 14 palaeoclimate records from across Italy (for further information about the palaeoclimate datasets see Finné et al., this volume).
Fig. 6 Arboreal Pollen % (AP%) plotted in the x-axis for each pollen site plotted with cluster analysis derived vegetation cluster (symbols) (11000 BP – present) for sites in the case study region and 4 additional sites from dissimilar landscapes (Lago Lungo, Ospitale, Lago Padule and Lago Pratignano) excluded from the regional synthesis.
Fig. 7 a) Anthropogenic Pollen Index (API) and b) OJC (Olea, Juglans and Castanea) index plotted for individual pollen sites (11000 BP – present) for sites in the case study region and 4 additional sites from dissimilar landscapes (Lago Lungo, Ospitale, Lago Padule and Lago Pratignano) excluded from the regional synthesis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Absolute dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesolithic</td>
<td>10,000/9,500 – 6000/5800 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Neolithic</td>
<td>6000/5800 – 4500 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Neolithic</td>
<td>4500 – 3500 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Neolithic</td>
<td>3500 – 3000 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcolithic</td>
<td>3000 – 2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Bronze Age</td>
<td>2300 – 1700 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Bronze Age</td>
<td>1700 – 1325/1300 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent Bronze Age</td>
<td>1325/1300 – 1175/1150 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Bronze Age</td>
<td>1175/1150 – 1020/950 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Iron Age</td>
<td>1020/950 – 750/725 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Iron Age</td>
<td>750/725 – 580 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaic Period</td>
<td>580 – 480 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Archaic Period</td>
<td>480 – 350 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican Period</td>
<td>350 – 30 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Imperial Period</td>
<td>30 BC – 100 AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Imperial Period</td>
<td>100 – 300 AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Imperial Period</td>
<td>300 – 500 AD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. A chronological scheme for central Italy (after Guidi and Piperno 1993, Plate VI and X; Malone 2003, Table I; Attema et al. 2010, Table 2.1; Rajala 2013, Table 1; Fulminante 2014, Table 7; Alessandri 2016, Fig.2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Contributor</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC4HOLO</td>
<td>Lago dell’Accesa</td>
<td>42.98667</td>
<td>10.89167</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>EPD</td>
<td>lake</td>
<td>Drescher-Schneider RE et al. (2007) Vegetation history, climate and human impact over the last 15,000 years at Lago dell’Accesa (Tuscany, Central Italy). Vegetation History and Archaeobotany: 16, 279-299.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC4LATE</td>
<td>Lago dell’Accesa</td>
<td>42.98667</td>
<td>10.89167</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>EPD</td>
<td>lake</td>
<td>Drescher-Schneider RE (2007) Vegetation history, climate and human impact over the last 15,000 years at Lago dell’Accesa (Tuscany, Central Italy). Vegetation History and Archaeobotany: 16, 279-299.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSAC</td>
<td>Lago</td>
<td>43.83</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mariotti</td>
<td>lake</td>
<td>Menozzi BI et al. (2003) Lineamenti paleoambientali del bacino del Lago di</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/holocene
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Table 3. Spearman’s Rank Correlation Coefficient (R-values) value matrix for the period 10000-1400 cal BP. In bold values significant correlations (p-value <0.05).
Supplemental material 1

Radiocarbon dates

Radiocarbon dates from archaeological sites were compiled from existing online databases and electronic and print. A total of 697 uncalibrated radiocarbon dates from 170 sites have been collected. Below the sources from which the radiocarbon dates have been collected.

Databases/Datasets

BANADORA. Banque Nationale de Données Radiocarbonne pour l'Europe et le Proche Orient, Centre de Datation par le Radiocarbone, CNRS Lyon: http://www.arar.mom.fr/banadora/


EUROEVOL. Manning, K; Timpson, A; Colledge, S; Crema, E; Shennan, S; (2015) The Cultural Evolution of Neolithic Europe. EUROEVOL Dataset: http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1469811/


ORAU. Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit online database: https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/databases.html
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**Archaeological Settlement Data**
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Archaeological settlement data sites were compiled from existing published archaeological survey reports. A total of 7,074 archaeological sites and 10,758 occupation phases have been collected. Below the sources from which the archaeological sites have been collected.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time start BP</th>
<th>Time end BP</th>
<th>SPD of radiocarbon dates</th>
<th>Raw count</th>
<th>Total area</th>
<th>Aoristic weight</th>
<th>Randomised duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9400</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9200</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8800</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8600</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7400</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6600</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6400</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6200</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5800</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5600</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1. Spearman's correlations between all archaeological proxies and arboreal (tree) pollen. Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year moving time windows with time series shown in Figure S1: 1-5. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).
Table S2. Spearman's correlations between all archaeological proxies and Oleaceae pollen. Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year moving time windows with time series shown in Figure S1: 11-15. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time start BP</th>
<th>Time end BP</th>
<th>SPD of radiocarbon dates</th>
<th>Raw count</th>
<th>Total area</th>
<th>Aoristic weight</th>
<th>Randomised duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9400</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9200</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8800</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8600</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7400</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6600</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6400</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6200</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5800</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5600</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td><strong>0.65</strong></td>
<td>***0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>***0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>***0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>***0.73</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>***0.64</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S3.** Spearman’s correlations between all archaeological proxies and OJC (*Olea, Juglans, Castanea*) pollen. Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year mowing time windows with time series shown in Figure S1: 15-20. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).
Table S4. Spearman's correlations between all archaeological proxies and OJCV (Olea, Juglans, Castanea, Vitis) pollen. Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year moving time windows with time series shown in Figure S2: 1-5. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time start BP</th>
<th>Time end BP</th>
<th>SPD of radiocarbon dates</th>
<th>Raw count</th>
<th>Total area</th>
<th>Aoristic weight</th>
<th>Randomised duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9400</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9200</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8800</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8600</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7400</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6600</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6400</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6200</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5800</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5600</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>***-0.76</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>***-0.78</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S5. Spearman's correlations between all archaeological proxies and API (Anthropogenic Pollen Index). Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year moving time windows with time series shown in Figure S2: 6-10. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).
Table S6. Spearman's correlations between all archaeological proxies and regional pastoral indicators. Moving window results for central Italy for 200 year subsets of data in 2000-year moving time windows with time series shown in Figure S2: 11-15. The orange-blue scale values represent the statistical significance of correlation values, with orange representing p<0.05, red p<0.01, and blue p<0.001. Strongest cross-correlation (*lag -1; **lag -2; ***lag +1; no asterisk lag 0).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time start BP</th>
<th>Time end BP</th>
<th>SPD of radiocarbon dates</th>
<th>Raw count</th>
<th>Total area</th>
<th>Aoristic weight</th>
<th>Randomised duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9400</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9200</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8800</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8600</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7400</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6800</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6600</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6400</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6200</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5800</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5600</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4800</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>