Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences

2018-12

# Processes of distribution of pharmaceuticals in surface freshwaters: implications for risk assessment

Comber, Sean

http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/11559

10.1007/s10311-018-0742-7 Environmental Chemistry Letters Springer Verlag

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Post-print version DOI: 10.1007/s10311-018-0742-7 Accepted: 20<sup>th</sup> April 2018 Embargo period: 12 months

## PROCESSES OF DISTRIBUTION OF PHARMACEUTICALS IN SURFACE FRESHWATERS: IMPLICATIONS FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

Simone Bagnis<sup>1</sup>, Mark F Fitzsimons<sup>1</sup>, Jason Snape<sup>2, 3</sup>, Alan Tappin<sup>1</sup>, Sean Comber<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biogeochemistry Research Centre, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK

<sup>2</sup> AstraZeneca UK, Global Safety, Health and Environment, Macclesfield, UK

<sup>3</sup>School of Life Sciences, Gibbet Hill Campus, the University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

\*Corresponding author: Sean Comber (<u>sean.comber@plymouth.ac.uk</u>)

## Abstract

The global consumption and production of pharmaceuticals is increasing concomitantly with concern regarding their environmental fate and effects. Active pharmaceutical ingredients are mainly released into the aquatic environment through wastewater effluent discharge. Once in the environment, pharmaceuticals can undergo processes of natural attenuation, i.e. dilution, sorption, transformation, depending on physico-chemical properties of the compound, such as water solubility, lipophilicity, vapour pressure, and environmental conditions, such as pH, temperature and ionic strength. A major natural attenuation process is the sorption on dissolved organic matter, suspended solids and sediments, which in turn control colloids. pharmaceuticals distribution, residence time and persistence in aquatic systems. Here we review studies of sorption capacity of natural sorbents to pharmaceuticals. These report on the importance of several environmental and sorbent-specific properties, such as the composition, quality, and amount of the sorbent, and the environmental pH, which determines the speciation of both the sorbent and compound. The importance of accounting for distribution processes on freshwater sorbents for any determination of environmental concentrations of pharmaceuticals is apparent, while the reliability of surrogate standards for measuring dissolved organic matter (DOM) distribution is evaluated in the context of the need for robust environmental risk assessment protocols.

### Keywords

Pharmaceuticals; sediments; sorption; dissolved organic matter; suspended solids;

environmental risk assessment.

### 1. Introduction

The global pharmaceuticals market is expanding year on year, leading to their presence in sewage effluent (Gardner et al. 2012, 2013), and subsequent concerns regarding the ecosystem impact of these emerging contaminants. Active pharmaceutical ingredients have received attention, since reports of endocrine disruption in fish from  $17\alpha$ ethinylestradiol in the ng L<sup>-1</sup> range (Purdom et al. 1994; Sumpter and Jobling 1995), secondary poisoning of Indian vulture populations caused by the non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug diclofenac (Swan et al. 2006) and antibiotic resistance (Kookana et al. 2014). Improvements in analytical technologies mean that many new compounds can be detected in environmental matrices at very low concentrations (< 1 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) and the increased number of studies demonstrating the presence of pharmaceuticals in surface and groundwater worldwide have been undertaken alongside evidence of ecotoxicological effects (Nikolaou et al. 2007; Brausch et al. 2012).

Pharmaceuticals comprise a large and diverse class of compounds used for the prevention, cure or treatment of diseases in humans and animals, and include about 1450 molecules differing in physicochemical and biological properties and mode of action (Kinch et al. 2014). Although clinical testing ensures that human biological effects are well known (Ågerstrand et al. 2015), uncertainties exist concerning the environmental risk posed by pharmaceuticals. This is due to limited knowledge concerning their fate and behaviour in wastewaters and the environment, their uptake, metabolism and excretion, pharmacokinetics, in wildlife, and their target affinity and functional effects, pharmacodynamics, in non-target species (Arnold et al. 2014; Verbruggen et al. 2018). Pharmaceuticals which are persistent, bioavailable, toxic and mobile, i.e. high solubility, are of greatest concern. Furthermore, complexation, or conjugation, of pharmaceuticals

and the generation of metabolites during sewage treatment, or in the environment, create further uncertainty regarding environmental exposure and ecotoxicological effects (Boxall et al. 2012).

The principal source of human pharmaceuticals to the environment is via discharge of treated and untreated wastewater (Daughton and Ternes 1999; Kookana et al. 2014; Malik et al. 2015). Despite the high degree of degradability of some pharmaceuticals, continuous inputs can result in pseudo-persistent behaviour (Grenni et al. 2013). Industrial manufacturing plants are also considered important point sources of pharmaceuticals to the environment (Cardoso et al. 2014; Larsson 2014), as well as livestock farming and aquaculture, which are significant sources of veterinary pharmaceuticals (Carmosini and Lee 2009; Shimizu et al. 2013; Song and Guo 2014). Fig 1 depicts the known environmental pathways of pharmaceuticals (Heberer 2002; Benotti et al. 2009).



**Fig 1.** Sources and pathways of pharmaceuticals to the environment and the intermediate steps encountered between the point source and the receiving environmental compartment (Heberer 2002). Yellow boxes depict the main point sources to the environment; green boxes represent the receiving environmental compartments; the red box indicates a direct source of human exposure.

Once in the environment, pharmaceutical concentrations may be reduced by physical, biological or chemical processes. These processes, summarised in Fig 2, include dilution, transformation such as photo-degradation, bio-degradation, and hydrolysis, and sorption (Gurr and Reinhard 2006). Natural attenuation may reduce environmental concentrations, and therefore potential toxicity of chemicals (Tappin et al. 2012, 2014; West and Rowland 2012). Its extent determines the fate of contaminants in the environment, namely occurrence, distribution, and bioavailability (Lin et al. 2010).



**Fig 2.** Pathways representing the environmental fate of pharmaceuticals. The red box refers to pharmaceutical characteristics; the blue box depicts the environmental receiving compartment; the yellow boxes serve as subdivision of the three main attenuation mechanisms; the orange box indicates the desorption factors; the pale blue coloured arrows are dissolution pathways; DOM is Dissolved Organic Matter.

Attenuation of pharmaceuticals through partitioning onto sorbents in surface waters can be significant in controlling distribution, residence time and persistence in aquatic systems (Lützhøft et al. 2000); as a result ecotoxicological effects might be either reduced, as the pharmaceutical is less bioavailable, or increased through bioconcentration (Ra et al. 2008). The extent and reversibility of partitioning depends on both the pharmaceutical and sorbent surface characteristics (Delle Site 2001). The degree of partitioning is expressed by the partition coefficient (K), which is the particulate : solution concentration ratio of the pharmaceutical. The pharmaceutical functionality; basic, acidic, neutral or zwitterion, is critical in controlling its fate. For ionisable compounds, where the degree of ionization is pH-dependent, expressed by the acid dissociation constant,  $pK_a$ . Fig 3 demonstrates the ionisation behaviour of molecules representing basic, acidic and zwitterion pharmaceuticals at pH 5 – 9. Basic compounds are fully ionised at the lower pH and the neutral fraction abundance increases above pH 7 (Fig 3A), whilst acidic pharmaceuticals demonstrate converse behaviour (Fig 3B). The zwitterion, ofloxacin, contains at least one charge over the pH range (Fig 3C). These pH-related changes in the abundance of each species affect their distribution in aquatic environments.



**Fig 3.** pH-dependent ionization for A. two basic compounds, amitriptyline (AMI) (pKa 9.4) and acetobutol (ACB) (pKa 9.2) B. two acidic compounds, diclofenac (DCF)(pKa 4.0) and valsartan (VLS)(pKa 4.7). C. the zwitterion ofloxacin (OFX) (pKa basic 8.3, acidic 6.0). All the functionalities show an unionised fraction environmental pH range (red lines).

The main sorbents in aquatic environments are dissolved organic matter (DOM), colloids,

suspended solids and sediments (Fig 2).

### 1.1 Dissolved organic matter

DOM is a biogeochemical product which interacts with organic pollutants in water (Leenheer and Croué 2003). It can be derived from natural or anthropogenic sources and is present in natural waters at concentrations ranging from 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in groundwater to above 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in wastewater (Leenheer and Croué 2003; Tchobanoglous et al. 2003). DOM has not been completely characterized but is regarded as a mixture of aliphatic and aromatic compounds with some predominant chemical functionality, including hydroxyl, carboxyl, amido and keto groups (Leenheer and Croué 2003). For experimental purposes, environmental DOM is physically filtrated from water, typically 0.45 or 0.2 µm membranes, and chemically fractionated (e.g. via sorption and elution from resins) in order to obtain operationally-defined DOM fractions, namely hydrophobic or hydrophilic, and the acidic, basic or neutral sub-classes (Filella 2009; Maoz and Chefetz 2010). Further classification is based on fluorescence excitation-emission matrix patterns, which show distinct "protein-like" and "humic-like" peaks (Hudson et al. 2007). DOM in aquatic environments can be derived from *in situ* autochthonous sources by bacterio-plankton activity, or introduced via carbon recycling (Michael-Kordatou et al. 2015).

### 1.2 Colloids

Colloids are often defined as particles in the size range between 1nm and 1  $\mu$ m; they include clays such as layered silicates, metal oxides such as Fe- and -Al- hydroxides, organic material such as humic acids, proteins, and bio-colloids such as bacteria, viruses, and are ubiquitous in the environment (Zhou et al. 2007). Colloids are formed and transported to surface waters by weathering and biological processes. Their large specific surface area and sorption capacity play a crucial role in the speciation, bioavailability and transport of substances in the aquatic environment (Zhou et al. 2007; Xing et al. 2015).

### 1.3 Suspended solids and sediments

Suspended solids are a source of material that, in the case of contaminant loads, becomes a medium for accumulation in the sediment compartment (Lahti and Oikari 2011). Sediments are defined as settled material derived from weathering, erosion of minerals, and decay of organic matter, and transported by the action of the wind, water or ice, and the force of gravity acting on the suspended solids. Sediments provide an important environmental surface for partitioning processes; and therefore, are of importance in determining the fate of chemicals in the environment.

### 1.4 Importance of sorption in risk assessments

processes are important in determining predicted environmental Sorption concentrations for environmental risk assessments. For example, the OSPAR convention states that compounds with distribution coefficient (log K<sub>ow</sub>) above 4.5 are screened for persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity, following European Technical Guidance on Risk Assessment (European Comission Joint Research Centre, 2003). The European Medicines Agency guidelines for the environmental risk assessment of pharmaceutical compounds proposes a Phased approach. Phase 1, a pre-screening worst-case scenario, considers the parent compound only, irrespective of mode of administration, metabolism and excretion (EMA 2006). If the predicted environmental concentration is above 0.01 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, Phase 2 entails analysis of the environmental fate and effects, although a tailored environmental risk assessment could be triggered below this threshold if the pharmaceutical is shown to affect reproduction. A PBT assessment is required at Phase 1 for APIs that have a Log  $K_{0W} > 4.5$ . Phase 2 is a tiered phase, comprising Tiers A and B. Tier A involves the ready biodegradability test (OECD 1992) followed by a watersediment distribution study if the analyte is shown to be persistent (OECD 2000). Toxicity tests are also performed to allow for a Predicted No Effect Concentration to be estimated.

If the "Predicted Environmental Concentration" : "Predicted No Effect Concentration" ratio indicates a risk, a Tier B assessment should be conducted where the predicted environmental concentration for surface water is refined to include information from wastewater treatment plant modelling using the SimpleTreat model (EMA 2006), where a factor is applied to allow for the partitioning of the chemical.

A terrestrial environmental risk assessment is triggered at Tier B if the  $K_{oc}$  of the active pharmaceutical ingredient is >10,000. Fish bioconcentration studies at Tier B are required at Log  $K_{ow}$  > 3 and a sediment toxicity assessment is required for pharmaceuticals where 10 % of the applied radioactivity adsorbs to sediment in the water-sediment transformation study conducted at Tier A (EMA, 2006).

The distribution of a pharmaceutical between the particulate and dissolved phases is therefore critical in determining its environmental fate, including mobility, persistence, bioavailability and subsequent toxicity. It is also critical for defining whether a terrestrial- or sediment-based environmental risk assessment is required as part of the marketing application in Europe. There is currently no study that compiles the available partitioning data for pharmaceuticals, nor identifies the significant data gaps and relates it to pharmaceutical environmental fate. This review evaluates, for the first time, published information regarding the partitioning of pharmaceuticals to DOM, colloids, suspended solids and sediments in natural surface aquatic environments and relates this to risk assessment processes.

### 2. Meta-analysis

### 2.1. Data collection

Published studies on the distribution of pharmaceuticals in freshwater environments were screened for the collection of data, documenting the source and concentration of the sorbents used in the experiments, pH, and pharmaceutical partition coefficients along with physicochemical parameters such as  $pK_a$  and  $\log K_{ow}$ . Data sources included the open chemistry database PubChem (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), available scientific literature and environmental fate estimation program software (EPI Suite<sup>TM</sup>, Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4).

Fig 4 shows a graphical comparison of the number of articles, pharmaceuticals, metabolites and transformation products, and compounds per functionality investigated for each sorbent. Nine articles about sorption processes of pharmaceuticals to DOM, mostly reference materials but also some wastewater-derived DOM (Table 1), were identified (Lützhøft et al. 2000; Yamamoto et al. 2003; Bai et al. 2008; Carmosini and Lee 2009; Kim et al. 2010; Maoz and Chefetz 2010; Ding et al. 2013; Martínez-Hernández et al. 2014; Peng et al. 2014).

The total number of pharmaceuticals studied was 20, comprising 1 neutral species, 3 acidic, 3 basic, 13 zwitterions, and 3 metabolites. The experimental pH ranged from 3 to 8, which is a critical parameter regarding the charge present on the pharmaceutical molecule and its subsequent fate.

Four articles related to the partitioning of pharmaceuticals to colloids; extracted from samples of river water, groundwater, seawater and wastewater (Table 2) (Holbrook et al. 2004; Maskaoui et al. 2007; J. L. Zhou et al. 2007; Maskaoui and Zhou 2010). Here, 10

compounds were studied comprising 2 neutral, 3 acidic, and 5 basic species, with experimental pH ranging from 6.4 to 8.5.

Fourteen articles referring to suspended solids and sediment partitioning were identified, with 70 compounds studied (Löffler et al. 2005; Stein et al. 2008; Ra et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2010; Maskaoui and Zhou 2010; Githinji et al. 2011; Lahti and Oikari 2011; Zhou and Broodbank 2014; Martínez-Hernández et al. 2014; Paul et al. 2014; Svahn and Bjorklund 2015; Li et al. 2015; Al-Khazrajy and Boxall 2016). Of the compounds studied, 4 were metabolites and 8 were transformation products; 20 were acidic, 38 basic, 7 neutral, and 3 were zwitterions across a pH range of 3.5 to 10 (Table 3, Table 4).

Suspended solids and sediments dominated the studies on pharmaceuticals (70), where a number of metabolites and transformation products were also investigated. Basic compounds were most studied in suspended solids and sediments (38), followed by the acidic (20), neutral compounds (7), and zwitterions (3). The distribution to colloids was investigated for 5 basic, 3 acidic and 2 neutral compounds. The DOM distribution studies included the largest amount of zwitterion compounds (13), followed by acidic (3), basic (3) and neutral (2).



**Fig 4.** A comparison of published articles on pharmaceuticals partitioning to DOM (green), colloids (red), suspended solids and sediments (blue). The columns, from left to right, refer to the number of articles, the number of studied active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), metabolites identified, transformation products identified, classification according to pharmaceuticals' functionality: acidic, basic, neutral and zwitterion.

The measured organic carbon-water distribution values ( $K_{oc}$ ) of each compound were plotted against the corresponding octanol-water distribution coefficient values ( $K_{ow}$ ) for the three sorbents; i.e. DOM, colloids, and suspended solids and sediments. No relationship was apparent which deviates from correlations for non-polar organics. However, selecting only the neutral pharmaceuticals and plotting organic carbon normalised log  $K_{oc}$  against corresponding log  $K_{ow}$  for each sorbent did show a linear relationship for DOM ( $R^2$ =0.846), albeit for a limited number of data (n=5). No significant relationship was observed for other sorbents (Fig 5).



**Fig 5.** Organic carbon-water ( $K_{oc}$ ) distribution values of the neutral compounds plotted against the correspondent octanol-water ( $K_{ow}$ ) distribution coefficient value for each sorbent, namely dissolved organic matter (DOM) (black dots)  $R^2$ =0.846, colloids (red dots)  $R^2$ =0.055, suspended solids and sediments (green triangles)  $R^2$ =0.450; Showing a significant relationship only for DOM, though for a very limited number of data (5).

2.2. Partitioning to dissolved organic matter

Yang et al. (2011) reported a weak positive linear relationship (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.509) between the freshwater total concentration of five groups of antibiotics and DOM. Furthermore, Lützhøft et al. (2000) demonstrated an inverse relationship between the concentration of "free" pharmaceuticals in solution and DOM concentration, limiting bioavailability and possibly toxicity (Urrestarazu Ramos et al. 1998; Rowett et al. 2016), but increasing environmental persistence. These two studies highlight both the lack of available data as well as a potentially significant role for DOM in determining environmental exposure to pharmaceuticals.

### 2.2.1 Dissolved organic matter composition

The composition of DOM is source-dependent. For example, anthropogenic wastewater is mainly composed of proteinaceous matter, whilst freshwater DOM is dominated by humic substances (Hudson et al. 2007; Hernandez-Ruiz et al. 2012). The partitioning of the β-blocker propranolol on DOM derived from river and lake surface water generated log K<sub>dom</sub> values ranging from 3.9 - 5.2 L kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1), with protein-like DOM associated with lower values and humic-like substances exhibiting highest log K<sub>dom</sub> (Peng et al., 2014). Similar results were obtained for the anti-epileptic drug, carbamazepine, which showed most extensive partitioning to humic substances, including fulvic acids from landfill leachate, river water and Amherst humic acid, with log K<sub>dom</sub> between 3.41 and 5.04 L kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1)(Hernandez-Ruiz et al. 2012). However, complexation of carbamazepine with tryptophan-like DOM was also significant, suggesting an important role for anthropogenic organic matter in the transport and transformation of such pharmaceuticals (Wang et al. 2016). Since carbamazepine is a neutral compound, hydrophobic sorption mechanisms to humic acid were proposed (Bai et al. 2008).

## 2.2.2 Organic matter reference standards as a proxy for partitioning of pharmaceuticals The use of reference standards of humic DOM as a proxy for measuring partitioning of pharmaceuticals to DOM may result in an overestimation of the binding capacity. Carmosini and Lee (2009) and Peng et al. (2014) investigated the partitioning of the zwitterion, ciprofloxacin, to contrasting DOM types at different pH and ionic strengths. The DOM included Leonardite standard humic acid, Pahokee Peat humic acid, Pahokee Peat II standard fulvic acid and Elliott Soil II standard fulvic acid. Other DOM types were obtained from digested and undigested municipal biosolids, municipal wastewater effluent, and beef industry lagoon wastewater. The wastewater-derived DOM showed much less affinity for ciprofloxacin than the reference humic standards. Furthermore, the former did not show a pH-sorption correlation as in the latter DOM type, which suggests that a mechanism other than ion exchange was controlling the sorption process. These interactions are likely driven by the more aliphatic protein composition of the

wastewater-derived DOM than the aromatic humic DOM of the reference standards, exhibiting a different sorption characteristic and cation exchange capacity. Such variation in partitioning has also been observed for pharmaceuticals, carbadox, tetracycline, and lincomycin, tested using reference standards such as Leonardite humic acid and Aldrich humic acid, where the former showed greatest sorption capacity, probably as a result of more interaction sites being available (Table 1) (Ding et al., 2013).

## **Table 1** Dissolved organic matter (DOM) partition coefficient (Log K<sub>DOM</sub>) for pharmaceuticals, sources and type of organic matter, experimental concentration and pH. Legend: \* metabolites; N.A. not available.

Compound	Туре	рКа	LogKow	Source of DOM	DOM type	Concentration [mg/L]	рН	Log K <sub>DOM</sub> [L/kg]	Reference	Year
17α - ethynylestradiol	acidic	10.21	3.67	Reference standards; river;	Aldrich humic acid	2, 10	7	4.78	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Suwannee river humic acid	2, 10	7	4.80	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Suwannee river fulvic acid	2, 10	7	4.55	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Nordic fulvic acid	2, 10	7	4.63	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Alginic acid	2, 10	7	3.23	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Dextran	2, 10	7	3.04	Yamamoto et al.	2003
					Tannic acid	2, 10	7	5.22	Yamamoto et al.	2003
Albendazole	zwitterion	3.37, 9.93	3.07	Reference standards; synthesis;	Aldrich humic acid	5000-15000	7	3.52	Kim et al.	2010
					hydroxypropyl-b- cyclodeytrin	20000	7	2.96	Kim et al.	2010
					POPC liposome	480-1700	7	3.77	Kim et al.	2010
					sodium dodecyl sulfate	10000-25000	7	3.02	Kim et al.	2010
Amino-fenbendazole	Zwitterion	6.94, 10.65	3.17	Reference standards; synthesis	Aldrich humic acid; hydroxypropyl- b-cyclodextrin; sodium dodecyl sulfate; 1-palmitoyl-2- oleoyl-sn-glycero- 3-phosphocholine;	5000-15000	7	n. a.	Kim et al.	2010
Carbadox	Basic	1.98	n. a.	Reference standards;	Leonardite	46.4	8	3.52	Ding et al.	2013
					Humic acids	79.4	8	3.26	Ding et al.	2013
Carbamazepine	Neutral	13.9	2.93	Landfill leachate	FA	25	7	3.41 to 5.04	Bai et al.	2008
				Amherst humic acid	HA	25	7	4.58 - 4.82	Bai et al.	2008
				Wastewater and Suwannee river.	n. a.	8	n. a.	n. a.	Hernandez-Ruiz et al.	2012
				Sewage sludge	Bulk DOM	1000	8	2.64	Maoz and Chefetz	2010
Ciprofloxacin	Zwitterion	5.9; 8.9	0.4	Digested and undigested biosolids; treated wastewater;	≤1000 Da;≥1000 Da fraction;	25	n. a.	n. a.	Carmosini and Lee	2009

				beef lagoon wastewater; humic acids reference standards;						
Fenbendazole	Zwitterion	5.12, 12.72	3.85	Reference standards; synthesis;	Aldrich humic acid	5000-15000	7	3.06	Kim et al.	2010
					hydroxypropyl-b-	20000	7	3.25	Kim et al.	2010
					POPC liposome	480-1701	7	3.23	Kim et al.	2010
					sodium dodecyl sulfate	10000-25000	7	2.92	Kim et al.	2010
Fenbendazole sulfone*	Zwitterion	3.41, 11.12	2.17	Reference standards; synthesis;	Aldrich humic acid; hydroxypropyl- b-cyclodextrin; sodium dodecyl sulfate; 1-palmitoyl-2- oleoyl-sn-glycero- 3-phosphocholine; lynosome:	5000-15000	7	n. a.	Kim et al.	2010
Flubendazole	Zwitterion	3.6, 9.6	2.91	Reference standards; synthesis;	Aldrich humic acid	5000-15000	7	2.60	Kim et al.	2010
					hydroxypropyl-b-	20000	7	2.08	Kim et al.	2010
					POPC liposome	480-1702	7	n.a.	Kim et al.	2010
					sodium dodecyl sulfate	10000-25000	7	2.04	Kim et al.	2010
Flumequine	Zwitterion	6.4	1.72	Reference standard;	Aldrich Humic acid	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	3	3.44	Lutzhoft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	4	3.65	Lutzhoft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	5	4.23	Lutzhoft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	6	4.39	Lutzhoft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 60	7	4.23	Lützhøft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	8	4.36	Lützhøft et al.	2000
Ibuprofen	Acidic	4.41	3.5	Wastewater and Suwannee	n. a.	8	n. a.	n. a.	Hernandez-Ruiz et	2012
Lincomycin	Basic	7.6	0.2	Reference standard	Leonardite humic acid	46.4	8	3.96	Ding et al.	2013
					Aldrich humic acid	79.4	8	3.20	Ding et al.	2013
Naproxen	Acidic	4.2	3.18	Sewage sludge	Hydrophobic acid, basic, and neutral fractions; hydrophilic acid, basic, and neutral fractions.	1000	8	negligible	Maoz and Chefetz	2010
Oxfendazole*	Zwitterion	4.13, 11.79	1.63	Reference standards; synthesis;	n.a.	5000-15000	7	n. a.	Kim et al.	2010
Oxolinic acid	Zwitterion	6.9	0.68	Aldrich humic acids;	Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	3	3.87	Lützhøft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	4	4.00	Lützhøft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	5	4.37	Lützhøft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	6	4.50	Lützhøft et al.	2000
						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	7	4.48	Lützhøft et al.	2000

						1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	8	4.37	Lützhøft et al.	2000
p-hydroxyfenbendazole*	Zwitterion	5.49, 9.48, 11.38	3.37	Reference standards; synthesis;	n. a.	5000-15000	7	n. a.	Kim et al.,	2010
Propranolol	Basic	9.7	n. a.	River and lake surface–water filtered DOM; sediment- extracted DOM;	Humic acids; Fulvic acids; pony lake fulvic acid;	10	7	3.90/5.20	Peng et al.	2014
					Suwannee river fulvic acid;	10	7	4.70	Peng et al.	2014
Sarafloxacin	Zwitterion	n.a.	n. a.	Aldrich humic acids;	Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	3	4.77	Lützhøft et al	2000
					Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	4	4.86	Lützhøft et al.	2000
					Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	5	5.19	Lützhøft et al.	2000
					Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	6	4.98	Lützhøft et al.	2000
					Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	7	4,74	Lützhøft et al.	2000
					Humic acids;	1, 5, 12.5, 25, 50	8	4.52	Lützhøft et al.	2000
Tetracycline	Zwitterion	3.3; 7.7; 7.9	n. a.	Reference standards	Leonardite humic acid	46.4	8	4.60	Ding et al.	2013
					Aldrich humic acid	79.4	8	3.27	Ding et al.	2013
Thiabendazole	Zwitterion	4.7, 12	2.47	Reference standards; synthesis;	Aldrich humic acid hydroxypropyl-b- cyclodextrin	5000-15000 20000	7 7	2.58 2.39	Kim et al. Kim et al.	2010 2010
					POPC liposome sodium dodecyl sulfate	480-1703 10000-25003	7 7	n. a. 2.01	Kim et al. Kim et al.	2010 2010

#### 5 2.2.3 Hydrophobicity of dissolved organic matter and its impact on partitioning

6 The role of the different DOM fractions, namely hydrophobic or hydrophilic, and the sub-7 classes acidic, basic or neutral, on partitioning of pharmaceuticals depends on their 8 chemical functionalities and degree of ionisation (Lützhøft et al. 2000; Michael-Kordatou 9 et al. 2015). For example, hydrophobic DOM fractions dominate the distribution of the acidic naproxen and the neutral carbamazepine at low pH (4), whilst at more 10 environmentally relevant pH (6.5 - 8), the hydrophilic DOM fractions were more 11 influential (Maoz and Chefetz 2010). In fact, despite the predominance of the acidic 12 fraction of the hydrophilic DOM, which is expected to show little affinity for the 13 negatively-charged naproxen, there is evidence that the neutral and basic moieties play 14 an important role in the binding of the neutral carbamazepine and the acidic ibuprofen 15 (Hernandez-Ruiz et al. 2013). Notwithstanding, the contribution to binding of each 16 17 fraction is determined by its relative abundance in DOM mixtures (Maoz and Chefetz 2010). The calculated values regarding sorption of pharmaceuticals to bulk DOM are 18 19 based on experimentally discrete fractional sorption values, and differ from bulk experimental measurements (Maoz and Chefetz 2010). On this basis, it is hypothesised 20 21 that DOM fractions interact with each other at the molecular level and do not behave as independent entities in the binding processes. Thus, studying DOM fractions as discrete 22 23 entities may lead to incorrect estimates of pharmaceuticals partitioning to the bulk DOM (Maoz and Chefetz 2010). 24

### 25 2.3. Partitioning to colloids

The partitioning of pharmaceuticals to colloids is poorly understood, with little supporting research available. The available data show that pharmaceuticals partition to colloids to a greater degree than other sorbents (Fig 6) (Table 2). The sorption capacity 29 of colloids is determined by the type, influenced by its source and environmental compartment, such as freshwater, wastewater, or seawater. Such a variation can lead to 30 large differences in partition coefficient values for the same pharmaceutical (Zhou et al., 31 2007). Examples include data for colloids from river water, treated effluent, and seawater 32 33 showing a distribution coefficient variation of a factor of 6 - 12 for the synthetic oestrogen 17- $\alpha$  ethynylestradiol. In addition, despite a higher amount of colloidal fraction in the 34 35 wastewater effluent, no major partitioning variation was observed between wastewater effluents and freshwater colloids, whilst higher K<sub>coc</sub> values were observed for the lower 36 37 concentrations of seawater colloids. This data confirms that the physical-chemical properties of the colloids have much more influence than their actual concentration on 38 39 the distribution of pharmaceuticals (Zhou et al., 2007).



- 41 **Fig 6.** Comparison of pharmaceuticals partition coefficients for different sorbents,
- 42 namely dissolved organic matter (log K<sub>dom</sub>), colloids (log K<sub>coc</sub>), suspended solids (log

43 K<sub>ss</sub>), and sediments -bulk (log  $K_{d \text{ sed}}$ ) and -normalized per organic matter fraction (log

44 Koc sed)).

45	Table 2 Colloids partition coefficients (Log K <sub>coc</sub> ) for pharmaceuticals, source and size fraction, experimental concentration and pH
46	Legend: * metabolites; N.A. not available.

Compound	Туре	рка	LogKow	Source	Size fraction	Concentration (mg/L)	рН	Log K <sub>coc</sub> [L/kg]	Reference	Year
17α-Ethynilestradiol	neutral	10.2	3.67	Biological wastewater treatment system	<30kD	6.0	7.1	5.2	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<30kD	5.3	7.1	3.0	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<30kD	4.9	7.1	5.0	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<30kD	5.1	7.1	5.2	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<100kD	5.9	7.1	4.5	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<100kD	5.4	7.1	4.7	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<0.22 µm	7.7	7.1	4.5	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<0.22 µm	7.5	7.1	19	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<0.22 µm	5.5	7.1	5.0	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<0.22 µm	7.1	7.1	5.0	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<1.5µm	8.9	7.1	4.8	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<1.5µm	7.7	7.1	5.0	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<1.5µm	6.2	7.1	4.9	Holbrook et al.	2004
				Biological wastewater treatment system	<1.5µm	7.8	7.1	5.2	Holbrook et al.	2004
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m$ ;	2.3	64	4.57	Zhou et al.	2007
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m$ ;	2.5	73	3.8	Zhou et al.	2007
				STWs effluents	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	9.2	7.5	3.72	Zhou et al.	2007
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m$ ;	2.9	85	3.84	Zhou et al.	2007
				Sea water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m$ ;	0.4	8	4 47	Zhou et al.	2007
				STWs effluents	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	7 5	69	4.62	Zhou et al.	2007
Carbamazepine	neutral	13.94	2.93	River water; STWs effluents; groundwate;		7.5	n. a.	6.66	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m$ ;	0-30	n.a.	4.74	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Diclofenac	acidic	4	4.51	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n. a.	6.89	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	0-30	n. a.	5.29	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Indomethacin	acidic	3.96	4.27	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n. a.	7.06	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	0-30	n. a.	5.5	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Mebeverine	basic	8.2	5.12	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n. a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	0-30	n.a.	5.88	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007

Meclofenamic acid	acidic	3.73	5	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n.a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu m;$	0-30	n. a.	5.29	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Propranolol	basic	9.7	1.2	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n. a.	7.1	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu$ m;	0-30	n. a.	5.25	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Sulfamethoxazole	basic	6.2	0.48	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n. a.	7.17	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				River water	between >1 kDa and <0.7 $\mu$ m;	0-30	n. a.	4.95	Maskaoui, Hibberd, & Zhou	2007
Tamoxifen	basic	8.87	6.3	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
Thioridazine	basic	9.5	5.9	River water; STWs effluents; groundwater;			n.a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010

Published data show no relationship between K<sub>oc</sub> and K<sub>ow</sub> for a series of pharmaceuticals 49 (Yamamoto et al. 2003; Holbrook et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2005; Maskaoui et al. 2007), which 50 has been related to mechanisms of sorption dictated chiefly by the charge on the 51 pharmaceuticals rather than hydrophobicity(Yamamoto et al. 2003; Holbrook et al. 52 2004). Nevertheless, it should be noted that Maskaoui et al. (2007) showed a positive 53 relationship between K<sub>coc</sub> and K<sub>ow</sub>, and postulated a role for hydrophobicity in controlling 54 55 the partitioning processes of pharmaceuticals on colloids. However, the study did not present the experimental pH and the variability of the charge of the compounds studied. 56 57 The  $pK_as$  suggest that most of the acidic and basic compounds would have been dissociated at environmental pH, which would strongly influence the relationship 58 between Kow and Kcoc. 59

60 2.4. Partitioning to suspended solids & sediments

Preferential partitioning of some pharmaceuticals to suspended solids, and their absence in surface water solution in river catchments, highlights the significance of such sorbents (Ferreira Da Silva et al. 2011). Both the characteristics of the pharmaceutical and the suspended solids are important in determining observed behaviour; in this case, sorption was driven by the positively-charged functionality of the pharmaceutical (Ferreira Da Silva et al. 2011) (Table 3). Table 3 Suspended solids partition coefficients (Log Kd) and normalized to organic matter content (log Koc) for pharmaceuticals, source,
 sorbent, experimental concentration and pH. Legend: \* metabolites; N.A. not available.

Compound	Туре	рКа	LogKow	Source	Sorbent	Concentration (mg/L)	рН	Log K <sub>d</sub> [L/Kg]	Log K <sub>oc</sub> [L/Kg]	Reference	Year
$17\alpha$ -Ethynilestradiol	neutral	10.2	3.67	Synthetic	Artificial alumina suspended particles coated with humic acid;	1	7.8	1.77	3.93	Ra et al.	2008
Amoxicillin	Zwitterion	2.8; 7.2	0.87	Synthetic wastewater	Suspended solids	160	3.5	0.41	n.a.	Githinji et	2011
							5.5	0.55	n. a.	Githinji et	2011
							6.6	0.64	n. a.	ai. Githinji et al	2011
							7.5	0.80	n. a.	Githinji et al.	2011
							8.5	1.08	n. a.	Githinji et al	2011
Ciprofloxacin	Zwitterion	5.9; 8.9	0.28	Synthetic wastewater	Suspended solids	160	3.5	-0.17	n.a.	Githinji et	2011
							5.5	-0.05	n. a.	Githinji et al.	2011
							6.6	-0.07	n. a.	Githinji et al.	2011
							7.5	-0.21	n. a.	Githinji et al.	2011
							8.5	-0.36	n. a.	Githinji et	2011
Diclofenac	acidic	4	4.51	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	0.95	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
				Synthetic	Artificial alumina suspended particles	1	7.8	2.13	4.29	Ra et al.	2008
Gemfibrozil	acidic	4.5	4.7	Synthetic	Artificial alumina suspended particles	1	7.8	2.39	4.55	Ra et al.	2008
Ibuprofen	acidic	4.41	3.5	Synthetic wastewater	Suspended solids	n. a.	3.5	-2.77	n. a.	Paul et al.	2013
				Synthetic wastewater	Suspended solids	n. a.	6.5	-2.96	n. a.	Paul et al.	2013
				Synthetic	Alumina suspended particles coated with	1	7.8	1.59	3.76	Ra et al.	2008
Indomethacine	acidic	3.96	15	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	1.17	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
Mebeverine	neutral	8.2	5.12	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	n.a.	Maskaoui	2010
Meclofenamic acid	acidic	3.73	5	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
Naproxen	acidic	4.2	3.18	Synthetic wastewater	Suspended solids	n. a.	3.5	-4.52	n. a.	Paul et al.	2013
						n. a.	6.5	-3.14	n. a.	Paul et al.	2013
Propranolol	basic	9.7	1.2	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	1.44	n.a.	Maskaoui	2010
Sulfamethoxazole	basic	9.14; 13.84	0.48	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	1.36	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
Tamoxifen	basic	8.87	6.3	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010
Thioridazine	basic	9.5	5.9	River water; STWs effluents;	Suspended solids	n. a.	n.a.	n. a.	n. a.	Maskaoui and Zhou	2010

Tolfenamic acid	acidic	4.3	5.17	Synthetic	Artificial alumina suspended particles 1	7.8	2.79	4.95	Ra et al.	2008
					coated with humic acid;					

There are limited data on pharmaceutical sediment sorption, accumulation, and formation of transformation products (Bu et al. 2013; Savci 2013) (Table 4). One study reported concentrations 10 – 32 times higher in sediments than in water (Agunbiade and Moodley 2015) with other studies confirming the importance of sediments as sorbents (Stein et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2009; Varga et al. 2010; Martínez-Hernández et al. 2014; Svahn and Bjorklund 2015; Li et al. 2015). For acidic pharmaceuticals, however, the charge repulsion limits pharmaceutical - sediment interactions (Koumaki et al. 2016).

## **Table 4** Sediments partition coefficients (Log K<sub>d</sub>) and normalized to organic matter content (log K<sub>oc</sub>) for pharmaceuticals, source, and pH. Legend: \* metabolites; N.A. not available.

Compound	Туре	рКа	logKow	Source	рН	Log K <sub>d</sub> (L/Kg)	Log K <sub>oc</sub> (L/Kg)	Author	Year
10,11-dihydro-10,11- dihydroxycarbamazepine*	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	River	7.7	-0.52	1.46	Loffler et al.	2005
				River	n.a.	-0.8-0.25	1.3-1.6	Stein et al.	2008
10,11-dihydrocarbamazepine*	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				River	n. a.	0.08-0.94	2.2-2.3	Stein et al.	2008
1-Naphthol+	neutral	9.6	2.7	Lake	6.8-8	n. a.	n.a.	Li et al.	2015
2-Hydroxyibuprofen+	acidic	4.6	2.4	Lake River	6.8-9 7.7	n. a. n. a.	n. a. n. a.	Li et al. Loffler et al.	2015 2005
4-Amino-6-chloro-1,3- benzenedisulfonamide+	basic	9.2	-1	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n.a.	Li et al.	2015
4-chlorobenzoic acid+	acidic	4.1	2.2	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
4'-Hydroxydiclofenac+	acidic	3.8	4	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Acebutolol	basic	9.2	1.71	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n.a.	0.5-1.0	2.35-2.47	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				River	60.40	3.28	5.05	Lin et al.	2010
Acetaminophen	neutral	9.5	0.91	Lake	6.8-10	n.a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
				River	n. a.	-0.3	0.75	Martinez-Hernandez et al.	2014
				River River	n.a.	0.41-1-0.44 0.7	4.11-2.43-5.4 2.47	Yamamoto et al. Lin et al.	2009 2010
Alprenolol	basic	n. a.	n. a.	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	2011
Amitryptyline	basic	9.4	4.92	River	7	0.94-2.39	2.95-4.10	Al-Khazrajy and Boxall	2016
Atenolol	basic	9.6	0.16	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	0.05-0.5	1.85-2.05	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				River	n. a.	0.9	-0.25	Martínez-Hernández et al.	2014
				River, lake River	n. a.	n. a. 0.11-0.9-0.72	n. a. 3.23-2.92-2.5	Svahn and Bjorklund Yamamoto et al.	2015 2009
Bondyoflumothiogido	hooig			River Biron Jako	7	0.34-1.31	1.93-2.7	Al-Khazrajy and Boxall	2016
Bezafibrate	acidic	11. a. 3.6	4.25	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	-0.5	1.41	Lahti and Oikari	2013
				effluents Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Bicalutamide	basic	12	2.7	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Bisoprolol hemifumarate	basic	9.5	1.87	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	0.3-0.8	2.17-2.3	Lahti and Oikari	2011
Caffeine	basic	6.1	<0	River		1.25	-0.8 4 16	Martínez-Hernández et al. Lin et al	2014 2010
Carbamazepine	neutral	13.9	2.45	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n.a.	0.2-2.3	2.00-3.42	Lahti and Oikari	2010
				Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
				River River	7.7	0.11 -0.39	1.92 1.8	Loffler et al. Martínez-Hernández et al.	2005 2014

				River lake		n. a.	na	Syahn and Biorklund	
				hiver, lake				Svann and Djorkiund	203
				River		-1.07-0.14-0.25	1.04-2.14-2	Yamamoto et al.	200
				River		n. a.	2.6-3.7	Zhou and Broodbank	20
arhamazenine-10.11-enoxide+	neutral	16	2	Lake	68-10	na	n a	Lietal	20
arbawihunrafan	acidic	4	20	Lake	6 9 10	n.a.	n.a.	Liotal	20
blovothiagide	hagia	4	2.0	Lake	6.0.10	11. d.	n.a.	Li et al	20
niorotniazide	Dasic	9.1	-0.44	Lake	6.8-10	n.a.	n.a.	Li et al.	20
hlortalidone	basic	8.6	1.6	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n.a.	Li et al.	20
imetidine	basic	6.8	0.40	River	7	0.35-1.20	2.00-2.63	Al-Khazrajy and Boxall	20
iprofloxacin	zwitterion	5.9-8.9	0.4	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n. a.	2.6	4.79	Lahti and Oikari	20
italopram	basic	9.6	1.39	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	3.9-4.6	5.32-6.02	Lahti and Oikari	20
lofibric acid	acidic	3.4	2.9	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	20
				River	7.7	-0.52	1.41	Loffler et al.	20
odeine	basic	8.2	1.2	River		0.32-1.15	2.4-2.5	Stein et al.	20
3-ibuprofen	acidic	n. a.	n. a.	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	21
5-fluoxetine	hasic	na	na	effluents Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	na	na	na	Lahti and Oikari	21
, nuoxeent	Jasic	11. a.	11. a.	effluents	11. a.	11. a.	11. a.		20
emeclocycline	basic	n. a.	n. a.	Setteable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	20
iazepam	basic	3.4	2.85	River	7.7	0.48	2.28	Loffler et al.	2
				River	na	0.28-1.4	24-28	Stein et al	2
iclofenac	acidic	4.2	4 5 1	Settleable narticulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n a	47	2 45-3 74	Lahti and Oikari	2
ciorenac	aciuic	7.2	4.51	offluents	11. a.	4.7	2.45-5.74	Lanti and Orkari	2
				Lake	6010	n 2	<b>n</b> 2	Listal	2
				Lake Biyon Jalea	0.0-10	n.a.	n.a.	Li et di. Sucha and Biowldund	2
				Rivel, lake		11. d. 1 50 to 2 71	II. d.	Zhave and Dura adhamla	21
ihydrocodeine*	basic	8.8	-1.5	River	n. a.	0.15-0.81	n. a. 2.3-2.2	Stein et al.	20
iltiazem	hasic	8.06	2.8	River	7	1 34-3 00	2 90-4 12	Al-Khazraiy and Boxall	20
nroflovacin	acidic	0.00 n o	2.0	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	, n a	n.51 5.00	2.90 1.12 n o	Lahti and Qikari	21
monoxaciii	aciuic	II. d.	II. d.	offluenta	II. d.	II. d.	п. а.	Lanti and Olkan	2
	, ·	10	0.54	entuents	60.40			T 1	2
luconazole	basic	13	0.56	Lake	6.8-10	n.a.	n.a.	Li et al.	2
uoxetine	basic	10.1	1.22	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	2.9-4.1	4.09-5.49	Lahti and Oikari	2
				River		1.25-2.7-3.63	4.38-4.7-5.4	Yamamoto et al.	2
ırosemide	acidic	4.2	1.8	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2
				River, lake		n. a.	n. a.	Svahn and Bjorklund	2
limepiride	basic	4.3	3.1	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2
vdrochlorothiazide	hasic	91	-0.58	Lake	68-10	na	na	Lietal	2
unrofen	acidic	4.9	3 97	Settleable narticulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n a	17	2 14-2 21	Lahti and Oikari	2
uprotein	actuic	1.7	5.57	effluents	11. a.	1.7	2.17-2.21		-
				Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2
				River		7.7	n. a.	Loffler et al.	2
				River		-1.030.04	2.07- 1.97 -	Yamamoto et al.	2
enprodil	basic	9.34.	n.a.	River		1.5 - 2.66 - 3.14	4.61 - 4.67 -	Yamamoto et al.	2
		9.99					4.91		
ndomethacin	acidic	3.96	n. a.	River		-0.92 - 0.17 - 0.83	2.20 - 2.20 - 2.60	Yamamoto et al.	20
promide	basic	n. a.	-2.33	River		7.7	n. a.	Loffler et al.	20
vermectin	neutral	n. a.	3.22	River		7.7	3.07	Loffler et al.	20
etoprofen	acidic	4.5	3.12	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	2
•				effluents					

Mofonamicacid	acidic	2 72	2 4 2	Divor		1 20 0 74 1 09	1 1 2 0 20	Vamamoto et al	2000
Melenanne aciu	actuic	3.73	2.42	NVEI		1.30-0.74-1.08	2.85	Tamamoto et al.	2009
				River	7	0.26-1.27	1.88-2.52	Al-Khazrajy and Boxall	2016
Metoprolol	basic	9.7	1.69	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	0.2-0.9	2.22-2.24	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				effluents					
				Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Metoprolol acid+	acidic	3.5	-1.2	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Morphine	basic	8	-0.1	River		0.5-1.33	12.6-2.7	Stein et al.	2008
N4-acetyl-sulfamethoxazole*	basic	n. a.	n. a.	River		-1.880.15	0.24-1.2	Stein et al.	2008
Naproxen	acidic	4.2	3.18	Settleable particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
				River		0.27	0.21	Martínez-Hernández et al.	2014
Ofloxacin	zwitterion	6.0, 8.3	0.35	Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	n. a.	2.5	4.64	Lahti and Oikari	2011
Oxazepam	basic	1.7	2.4	Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works effluents	7.7	0.34	2.18	Loffler et al.	2005
				River		0.30-1.37	2.4-2.7	Stein et al.	2008
				River, lake		n. a.	n. a.	Svahn and Biorklund	2015
Oxytetracycline	basic	3.3.	-1.22	Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	2.5	4.64	Lahti and Oikari	2011
		7.3. 9.1		effluents					
Paracetamol	basic	9.4	0.46	Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	n. a.	n. a.	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				effluents					
				Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	7.7	n. a.	n. a.	Loffler et al.	2005
				effluents					
Propranolol	basic	9.7	2.6	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
				River sediment		0.34-2-2.20	3.46-4-3.97	Yamamoto et al.	2009
				River		2.43	4.2	Yu-Chen Lin et al.	2010
				River		1.89 to 2.9	3.11-3.95	Zhou and Broodbank	2014
Saluamine	acidic	4.4	0.66	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Sotalol	basic	9.6	0.24	Suspended particulate matter from wastewater treatment works	n.a.	0.1-0.6	1.94-2.15	Lahti and Oikari	2011
				effluents					
				Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
Sulfamethoxazole	acidic	6.2	0.9	Lake	6.8-10	n.a.	n.a.	Li et al.	2015
				Aquifer		0.63	-0.17	Martínez-Hernández et al.	2014
				River		-0.70.05	1.4-1.3	Stein et al.	2008
				River		1.41 to 2.67	n. a.	Zhou and Broodbank	2014
				River		0.75-1.44	2.19-2.8	Stein et al.	2008
Tramadol	basic	2.4	9.2	Lake	6.8-10	n. a.	n. a.	Li et al.	2015
	busic			Biver	0.0 10	0 38-0 89	3 01-2 5	Stein et al	2008
a-Hydroxymetoprolol+	hasic	0.84	97	Lake		n a	n a	Lietal	2015

### 2.4.1. Influence of compound speciation

The degree of pharmaceuticals ionisation influences partitioning on suspended solids (Table 3), with strong pH dependence for zwitterions, such as ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin, controlling partitioning to suspended solids in wastewater (Githinij et al. 2011). Acidic ibuprofen and naproxen were adsorbed in larger amounts at pH lower than their  $pK_a$  (4.4 and 4.2, respectively), where they were mainly in unionized form (Paul et al., 2014). In contrast, for zwitterions, an increase in Kd was observed at lower pH due to protonation of the amine group. As might be expected, higher K<sub>d</sub> values were observed for amine-containing pharmaceuticals than for neutral or carboxylic compounds (Stein et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2009; Martínez-Hernández et al. 2014; Svahn and Bjorklund 2015) (Table 4). For example, ibuprofen showed a significant increase in K<sub>d</sub> as pH decreased from 7 to 4, the latter pH value being below the  $pK_a$  of the acidic compound (4.5), meaning that the neutral species dominated (0h et al. 2016). Stein et al. (2008) showed that compounds with structures similar to carbamazepine and its metabolites, and some opiates and tranquilizers, had a similar distribution trend. Furthermore, the dominance of ionization over hydrophobicity as a sorption mechanism to suspended solids and sediments was confirmed by poor correlation of K<sub>d</sub> and K<sub>ow</sub> (Stein et al. 2008; Yamamoto et al. 2009).

#### 2.4.2. Sediment composition

The importance of the sediment composition to sorption was demonstrated by a large variation in partition coefficients (log K<sub>d</sub>) using field data from varying sampling locations (Zhou & Broodbank 2014). The organic carbon content of the sediment correlated with the degree of pharmaceutical sorption (Stein et al. 2008; Varga et al. 2010; Martínez-Hernández et al. 2014; Svahn and Bjorklund 2015), which is consistent with the large K<sub>oc</sub> values reported (Table 4). Despite the important role played by the organic carbon

fraction, variability in cation exchange capacity and sediment texture can also influence sorption of pharmaceuticals (Al-Khazrajy and Boxall 2016; Le Guet et al. 2018). Furthermore, the molecular weight of pharmaceuticals was found to be positively correlated with K<sub>oc</sub>, showing that the partitioning processes tended to favour relatively large molecules, similar to hydrophobic contaminants (Zhou and Broodbank 2014). Resuspension of sediment leads to a decrease in K<sub>d</sub> (Zhou and Broodbank 2014). This is likely due the larger specific surface area of suspended solids compared with sediments, leading to a shift in equilibrium towards the more readily available exchange sites of the suspended solids.

### 2.4.3. Accumulation in sediments

The sorption of pharmaceuticals onto sediments and resuspendable suspended solids may lead to accumulation. pharmaceuticals contamination of the benthic environment in areas close to urban wastewater treatment works, with concentrations up to 200 ng g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight, was reported (Lahti and Oikari 2011). Once in the sediment, pharmaceuticals may undergo transformation processes and release lower molecular weight, more soluble transformation products into the dissolved phase which, in turn, partition according to the physico-chemical properties of the newly-formed moieties. Thus, sediments have been considered a potential secondary source of pharmaceutical contamination, likely to occur over a wide area downstream of discharges and therefore should be recognized as part of the environmental risk caused by pharmaceuticals in the environment (Li et al. 2015).

### 2.4.5 Metabolite sorption

In addition to the parent compounds, sorption of metabolites is little understood, with only three studies having included metabolic products (Löffler et al. 2005; Stein et al.

2008; Lahti and Oikari 2011). Löffler et al. (2005) compared the sorption to sediments of 10 pharmaceuticals and metabolites, including diazepam and its metabolite oxazepam; ibuprofen and its metabolite 2-hydroxyibuprofen; carbamazepine and its metabolite 10, 11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxycarbamazepine, metabolite and the active form of clofibrate of clofibric acid, iopromide, paracetamol, and ivermectin. Binding to sediments was ascribed by the authors as the main reason for rapid elimination of paracetamol from solution. Carbamazepine showed a moderate affinity for sediments, whilst the accumulation of 10,11-dihydro-10,11-dihydroxycarbamazepine was insignificant, most likely because of its high solubility and hydrophilicity (log  $K_{ow} = 0.13$ ) (Miao et al. 2005). The lipid regulator, clofibric acid, showed a very low affinity for sediments at pH close to its  $pK_a$  of 7.7, suggesting low sorption under most environmental conditions. Due to its moderate hydrophobicity, oxazepam was rapidly and extensively partitioned to sediments (Löffler et al. 2005). The high hydrophobicity of ivermectin was reported as the main cause of rapid and extensive sorption to sediments. Ibuprofen partitioned to sediments only moderately, as did its metabolite 2-hydroxyibuprofen. In summary, sorption to sediments was elevated for ivermectin, diazepam, oxazepam, and carbamazepine with K<sub>oc</sub> ranging from 1172 L kg<sup>-1</sup> for ivermectin to 83 L kg<sup>-1</sup> for carbamazepine (Löffler et al. 2005), consistent with their respective physico-chemical properties (Table 4).

### 2.5. Implication for environmental risk assessments

In the environmental risk assessment for `down-the-drain chemicals', such as pharmaceuticals, water is the main environmental compartment of concern (EMA 2006). As such, the distribution to DOM, colloids, suspended solids and sediments in surface fresh water are of importance in determining the environmental exposure of these contaminants. The sorption to freshwater sorbents is accounted for in the predicted

environmental concentration refinement of Tier B of the environmental risk assessment for pharmaceuticals by the calculation of a factor, as shown in Equation 1 (European Comission Joint Research Centre 2003; EMA 2006):

$$FACTOR = (1 + Kp_{susp} * SUSP_{water} * 10^{-6})$$
(1)

Where  $Kp_{susp}$  is the distribution coefficient to suspended solids; and  $SUSP_{water}$  the concentration of suspended solids.

However, this calculation considers sorption on suspended solids but not specifically on DOM and/or colloidal fractions. As DOM and colloidal matter are responsible for most sorption (Fig 6), their omission could lead to overestimation of exposure. Additionally, as evidenced from this study, the source and quality of the sorbent is a key factor in determining the partitioning extent of pharmaceuticals. This is particularly apparent when reference standards are used to assess the degree of sorption to DOM, and results in a high degree of uncertainty of the distribution capacity. These aspects should be addressed in site-specific risk assessment as they could profoundly affect the exposure estimate. The importance of the pH dissociation of the pharmaceuticals is also clearly demonstrable, which impacts significantly on the extent of sorption to freshwater sorbents.

### 3. Conclusions

As a general conclusion, there is a paucity of information on processes by which pharmaceuticals sorb to surfaces in aquatic environments. Nevertheless, reports show that the sorption of pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment strongly depends on their chemical functionality and the sorbent properties, with basic compounds more readily adsorbed than neutral or acidic ones. Therefore, sorption increases at pH below  $pK_a$  for all functionalities. The comparison of the partition coefficients of the different sorbents (Fig 6) shows a net predominance of sorption onto colloids, and the following general trend of sorption: colloids > DOM > sediments > suspended solids. The K<sub>ow</sub> does not demonstrate a relationship with the distribution coefficients (K) of the polar and ionizable pharmaceuticals and the analyzed sorbents, which is most likely due to additional polar interactions between ionized pharmaceutical functional groups and the sorbent. Also, the adjustment of K to pH has not established a relationship between the adjusted partition coefficient (D) and K<sub>ow</sub>.

With regard to DOM composition, humic substances generally show more affinity for pharmaceuticals than protein-like DOM, while a poor correlation was found between sorption of pharmaceuticals reference and to environmental DOM. Furthermore, it is important to note that the investigation of the role of different fractions of DOM in isolation does not represent an environmentally-realistic estimate of pharmaceutical sorption. Partitioning to colloids is significantly under-researched, with the limited available information suggesting they are a major physico-chemical variable controlling pharmaceutical partitioning in water. Concerning other identified sorbents, suspended solids interactions with pharmaceuticals show dependence on the degree of pharmaceutical ionization. Again, no relationship between Kow and Kdss was demonstrated. Sediments can be considered both as a sink for pharmaceuticals and as a secondary source of pharmaceutical contamination in the form of transformation products. Differences in sediment K<sub>d</sub> from separate studies are most likely influenced by differences in sediment composition, e.g. organic carbon content, grain size, clay fraction, cation exchange capacity, experimental conditions, and the concentration of pharmaceutical used, which can vary from mg L<sup>-1</sup> in experimental conditions to ng L<sup>-1</sup>.

### 4. Acknowledgments:

There are no conflicts of interest regarding the content of this manuscript, authors, or

financial relationship. The support of AstraZeneca through a studentship awarded to SB

is gratefully acknowledged.

### 5. References

Ågerstrand M, Berg C, Björlenius B et al (2015) Improving environmental risk assessment of human pharmaceuticals. Environ Sci Technol 49:5336–5345. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00302</u>

Agunbiade FO, Moodley B (2015) Occurrence and distribution pattern of acidic pharmaceuticals in surface water, wastewater and sediment of the Msunduzi River, Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. Environ Toxicol Chem. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3144</u>

Al-Khazrajy OSA, Boxall ABA (2016) Impacts of compound properties and sediment characteristics on the sorption behaviour of pharmaceuticals in aquatic systems. J Hazard Mater. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.05.065

Arnold KE, Brown AR, Ankley GT et al (2014) Medicating the environment: assessing risks of pharmaceuticals to wildlife and ecosystems. Philos Trans R Soc B 369:20130569. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0569</u>

Bai Y, Wu F, Liu C et al (2008) Interaction between carbamazepine and humic substances: a fluorescence spectroscopy study. Environ Toxicol Chem 27:95–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1897/07-013.1</u>

Benotti MJ, Trenholm RA, Vanderford BJ et al (2009) Pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in U.S. drinking water. Environ Sci Technol 43:597–603. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es801845a</u>

Boxall AB, Rudd MA, Brooks BW et al (2012) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: what are the big questions? Environ Health Perspect 120:1221–1229

Brausch JM, Connors KA, Brooks BW, Rand GM (2012) Human pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment: a review of recent toxicological studies and considerations for toxicity testing. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 218:1–99 Bu Q, Wang B, Huang J et al (2013) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the aquatic environment in China: a review. J Hazard Mater 262:189–211. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.040</u>

Cardoso O, Porcher J-M, Sanchez W (2014) Factory-discharged pharmaceuticals could be a relevant source of aquatic environment contamination: review of evidence and need for knowledge. Chemosphere 115:20–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.004</u>

Carmosini N, Lee LS (2009) Ciprofloxacin sorption by dissolved organic carbon from reference and bio-waste materials. Chemosphere 77:813–820. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.08.003</u>

Daughton C, Ternes T (1999) Special report: pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: agents of subtle change? Environ Health Perspect. <u>https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.99107s6907</u>

Delle Site A (2001) Factors affecting sorption of organic compounds in natural sorbent/water systems and sorption coefficients for selected pollutants. A review. J Phys Chem Ref Data 30:187–439. <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1347984</u>

Ding Y, Teppen BJ, Boyd SA, Li H (2013) Measurement of associations of pharmaceuticals with dissolved humic substances using solid phase extraction. Chemosphere 91:314–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.11.039

EMA (2006) Guideline on the environmental risk assessment of medicinal, pp 1–12<u>Google Scholar</u>

European Comission Joint Research Centre (2003) Technical guidance document on risk assessment in support of Commission Directive 93/67/EEC on risk assessment for new notified substances and Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1488/94 on risk assessment for existing substances. Part II. EUR 20418 EN/2. Eur Chem Bur Part II, pp 7– 179<u>Google Scholar</u>

Ferreira Da Silva B, Jelic A, López-Serna R et al (2011) Occurrence and distribution of pharmaceuticals in surface water, suspended solids and sediments of the Ebro river basin, Spain. Chemosphere 85:1331–1339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.07.051</u>

Filella M (2009) Freshwaters: which NOM matters? Environ Chem Lett 7:21–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-008-0158-x</u>

Gardner M, Comber S, Scrimshaw MD et al (2012) The significance of hazardous chemicals in wastewater treatment works effluents. Sci Total Environ 437:363–372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.086</u>

Gardner M, Jones V, Comber S et al (2013) Performance of UK wastewater treatment works with respect to trace contaminants. Sci Total Environ 456–457:359– 369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.088</u>

Githinji LJM, Musey MK, Ankumah RO (2011) Evaluation of the fate of ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin in domestic wastewater. Water Air Soil Pollut 219:191–201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0697-1</u>

Grenni P, Patrolecco L, Ademollo N et al (2013) Degradation of gemfibrozil and naproxen in a river water ecosystem. Microchem J 107:158–164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2012.06.008</u>

Gurr CJ, Reinhard M (2006) Harnessing natural attenuation of pharmaceuticals and hormones in rivers. Environ Sci Technol 40:2872–2876. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es062677d</u>

Heberer T (2002) Tracking persistent pharmaceutical residues from municipal sewage to drinking water. J Hydrol 266:175–189. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00165-8</u>

Hernandez-Ruiz S, Abrell L, Wickramasekara S et al (2012) Quantifying PPCP interaction with dissolved organic matter in aqueous solution: combined use of fluorescence quenching and tandem mass spectrometry. Water Res 46:943–954. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.061</u>

Hernandez-Ruiz S, Wickramasekara S, Abrell L et al (2013) Complexation of trace organic contaminants with fractionated dissolved organic matter: implications for mass spectrometric quantification. Chemosphere 91:344–350. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.11.059</u>

Holbrook RD, Love NG, Novak JT (2004) Sorption of 17-beta-estradiol and 17 alpha-ethinylestradiol by colloidal organic carbon derived from biological wastewater treatment systems. Environ Sci Technol 38:3322– 3329

Hudson N, Baker A, Reynolds D (2007) Fluorescence analysis of dissolved organic matter in natural, waste, and polluted waters-a review. River Res Appl 23:631–649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1005</u>

Kim HJ, Lee DS, Kwon JH (2010) Sorption of benzimidazole anthelmintics to dissolved organic matter surrogates and sewage sludge. Chemosphere 80 : 256-262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.04.029</u>

Kinch MS, Haynesworth A, Kinch SL, Hoyer D (2014) An overview of FDA-approved new molecular entities: 1827–2013. Drug Discov Today 19:1033–1039. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.03.018</u>

Kookana RS, Williams M, Boxall ABA et al (2014) Potential ecological footprints of active pharmaceutical ingredients: an examination of risk factors in low-, middle-and high-income countries. Philos Trans R Soc B 369:20130586

Koumaki E, Mamais D, Noutsopoulos C (2016) Environmental fate of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in river water/sediment systems. J Hazard Mater. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2004.08.001</u>

Lahti M, Oikari A (2011) Pharmaceuticals in settleable particulate material in urban and non-urban waters. Chemosphere 85:826–831. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.084</u>

Larsson DGJ (2014) Pollution from drug manufacturing: review and perspectives. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 369:20130571. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0571</u>

Le Guet T, Hsini I, Labanowski J, Mondamert L (2018) Sorption of selected pharmaceuticals by a river sediment: role and mechanisms of sediment or Aldrich humic substances. Environ Sci Pollut Res. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1684-0</u>

Leenheer JA, Croué JP (2003) Peer reviewed: characterizing aquatic dissolved organic matter. Environ Sci Technol 37:18A– 26A. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es032333c</u>

Li Z, Sobek A, Radke M (2015) Flume experiments to investigate the environmental fate of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products in streams. Environ Sci Technol 49:6009– 6017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00273</u>

Lin AYC, Lin CA, Tung HH, Chary NS (2010) Potential for biodegradation and sorption of acetaminophen, caffeine, propranolol and acebutolol in lab-scale aqueous environments. J Hazard Mater 183:242– 250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.07.017</u>

Liu R, Wilding A, Hibberd A, Zhou JL (2005) Partition of endocrinedisrupting chemicals between colloids and dissolved phase as determined by cross-flow ultrafiltration. Environ Sci Technol 39:2753– 2761. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0484404</u>

Löffler D, Römbke J, Meller M, Ternes TA (2005) Environmental fate of pharmaceuticals in water/sediment systems. Environ Sci Technol 39:5209–5218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0484146</u>

Lützhøft HCH, Vaes WHJ, Freidig AP et al (2000) Influence of pH and other modifying factors on the distribution behavior of 4-quinolones to solid phases and humic acids studied by "negligible-depletion" SPME- HPLC. Environ Sci Technol 34:4989– 4994. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es000917y</u>

Malik OA, Hsu A, Johnson LA, de Sherbinin A (2015) A global indicator of wastewater treatment to inform the sustainable development goals (SDGs). Environ Sci Policy 48:172–185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.01.005</u>

Maoz A, Chefetz B (2010) Sorption of the pharmaceuticals carbamazepine and naproxen to dissolved organic matter: role of structural fractions. Water Res 44:981–989. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.10.019</u>

Martínez-Hernández V, Meffe R, Herrera S et al (2014) Sorption/desorption of non-hydrophobic and ionisable pharmaceutical and personal care products from reclaimed water onto/from a natural sediment. Sci Total Environ 472:273– 281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.036

Maskaoui K, Zhou JL (2010) Colloids as a sink for certain pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment. Environ Sci Pollut Res 17:898–907. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-009-0279-1</u>

Maskaoui K, Hibberd A, Zhou JL (2007) Assessment of the interaction between aquatic colloids and pharmaceuticals facilitated by cross-flow ultrafiltration. Environ Sci Technol 41:8038– 8043. https://doi.org/10.1021/es071507d

Miao XS, Yang JJ, Metcalfe CD (2005) Carbamazepine and its metabolites in wastewater and in biosolids in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. Environ Sci Technol 39:7469–7475. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es050261e</u>

Michael-Kordatou I, Michael C, Duan X et al (2015) Dissolved effluent organic matter: characteristics and potential implications in wastewater treatment and reuse applications. Water Res 77:213– 248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.03.011</u>

Nikolaou A, Meric S, Fatta D (2007) Occurrence patterns of pharmaceuticals in water and wastewater environments. Anal Bioanal Chem 387:1225–1234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-1035-8</u>

OECD (1992) OECD 301—guideline for testing of chemicals, pp 1–13

OECD (2000) OECD 106 adsorption—desorption using a batch equilibrium method. OECD Guidel Test Chem. <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069602-en</u>

Oh S, Shin WS, Kim HT (2016) Effects of pH, dissolved organic matter, and salinity on ibuprofen sorption on sediment. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:22882–22889. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7503-6</u>

Paul SC, Githinji LJM, Ankumah RO et al (2014) Sorption behavior of ibuprofen and naproxen in simulated domestic wastewater. Water Air Soil Pollut. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1821-9</u>

Peng N, Wang K, Liu G et al (2014) Quantifying interactions between propranolol and dissolved organic matter (DOM) from different sources using fluorescence spectroscopy. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21:5217–5226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2436-9</u>

Ra JS, Oh SY, Lee BC, Kim SD (2008) The effect of suspended particles coated by humic acid on the toxicity of pharmaceuticals, estrogens, and phenolic compounds. Environ Int 34:184–192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2007.08.001</u>

Rowett CJ, Hutchinson TH, Comber SDW (2016) The impact of natural and anthropogenic dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and pH on the toxicity of triclosan to the crustacean *Gammarus pulex* (L.). Sci Total Environ 565:222–231

Savci S (2013) A review of occurrence of pharmaceuticals in sediments. Afr J Biotechnol 12:4539–4541. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB2013.12030</u>

Shimizu A, Takada H, Koike T et al (2013) Ubiquitous occurrence of sulfonamides in tropical Asian waters. Sci Total Environ 452–453:108–115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.027</u>

Song W, Guo M (2014) Applied manure and nutrient chemistry for sustainable agriculture and environment

Stein K, Ramil M, Fink G et al (2008) Analysis and sorption of psychoactive drugs onto sediment. Environ Sci Technol 42:6415–6423. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es702959a</u>

Sumpter JP, Jobling S (1995) Vitellogenesis as a biomarker for estrogenic contamination of the aquatic environment. Environ Health Perspect 103(Suppl 7):173–178

Svahn O, Bjorklund E (2015) Describing sorption of pharmaceuticals to lake and river sediments, and sewage sludge from UNESCO Biosphere Reserve Kristianstads Vattenrike by chromatographic asymmetry factors and recovery measurements. J Chromatogr A 1415:73– 82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.08.061</u>

Swan GE, Cuthbert R, Quevedo M et al (2006) Toxicity of diclofenac to Gyps vultures. Biol Lett 2:279– 282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2005.0425</u> Tappin AD, Loughnane JP, McCarthy AJ, Fitzsimons MF (2012) Removal of atrazine from river waters by indigenous microorganisms. Environ Chem Lett 10:89–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-011-0332-4</u>

Tappin AD, Loughnane JP, McCarthy AJ, Fitzsimons MF (2014) Bacterioplankton transformation of diazepam and 2-amino-5chlorobenzophenone in river waters. Environ Sci Process Impacts 16:2227–2236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c4em00306c</u>

Tchobanoglous G, Burton F, Stensel HD, Eddy M (2003) Wastewater engineering: treatment and reuse. McGraw-Hill, Boston

Urrestarazu Ramos EU, Meijer SN, Vaes WHJ et al (1998) Using solidphase microextraction to determine partition coefficients to humic acids and bioavailable concentrations of hydrophobic chemicals. Environ Sci Technol 32:3430–3435. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es980274a</u>

Varga M, Dobor J, Helenkár A et al (2010) Investigation of acidic pharmaceuticals in river water and sediment by microwave-assisted extraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Microchem J 95:353–358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2010.02.010</u>

Verbruggen B, Gunnarsson L, Kristiansson E et al (2018) ECOdrug: a database connecting drugs and conservation of their targets across species. Nucleic Acids Res 46: D930 D936. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1024</u>

Wang Y, Zhang M, Fu J et al (2016) Insights into the interaction between carbamazepine and natural dissolved organic matter in the Yangtze Estuary using fluorescence excitation–emission matrix spectra coupled with parallel factor analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7203-2

West CE, Rowland SJ (2012) Aqueous phototransformation of diazepam and related human metabolites under simulated sunlight. Environ Sci Technol 46:4749–4756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es203529z</u>

Xing Y, Chen X, Zhuang J, Chen X (2015) What happens when pharmaceuticals meet colloids. Ecotoxicology 24:2100–2114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-015-1557-y</u>

Yamamoto H, Liljestrand HM, Shimizu Y, Morita M (2003) Effects of physical–chemical characteristics on the sorption of selected endocrine disruptors by dissolved organic matter surrogates. Environ Sci Technol 37:2646–2657. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es026405w</u>

Yamamoto H, Nakamura Y, Moriguchi S et al (2009) Persistence and partitioning of eight selected pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment:

laboratory photolysis, biodegradation, and sorption experiments. Water Res 43:351–362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.10.039</u>

Yang S-F, Lin C-F, Yu-Chen LA, Andy Hong P-K (2011) Sorption and biodegradation of sulfonamide antibiotics by activated sludge: Experimental assessment using batch data obtained under aerobic conditions. Water Res 45(11):3389–3397

Zhou J, Broodbank N (2014) Sediment–water interactions of pharmaceutical residues in the river environment. Water Res 48:61–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.09.026</u>

Zhou JL, Liu R, Wilding A, Hibberd A (2007) Sorption of selected endocrine disrupting chemicals to different aquatic colloids. Environ Sci Technol 41:206–213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0619298</u>