We present results concerning the light and strange quark contents of the nucleon using \( N_f = 2 + 1 + 1 \) flavours of maximally twisted mass fermions. The corresponding \( \sigma \)-terms are casting light on the origin of the nucleon mass and their values are important to interpret experimental data from direct dark matter searches. We discuss our strategy to estimate systematic uncertainties arising in our computations. Our preliminary results for the \( \sigma \)-terms read \( \sigma_{\pi N} = 37(2.6)(24.7)\,\text{MeV} \) and \( \sigma_s = 28(8)(10)\,\text{MeV} \). We present our recent final analysis of the \( y_N \) parameter and found \( y_N = 0.135(46) \) including systematics.\[1\].
1. Introduction

The various evidences for the existence of dark matter have led to the development of experiments dedicated to detect dark matter directly. The detection relies on the measurements of the recoil of atoms hit by a dark matter candidate. One popular class of dark matter models involve an interaction between a WIMP and a nucleon mediated by a Higgs exchange. Therefore, the scalar quark contents of the nucleon are fundamental ingredients in the WIMP-nucleon cross section. In this way, the uncertainties of the scalar quark contents translate directly into the accuracy of the constraints on beyond the standard model physics. Since the coupling of the Higgs to quarks is, through the Yukawa interaction, proportional to the quark masses, it is important to know how large the scalar quark matrix elements of the nucleon are, in particular for the strange and charm quarks.

One common way to write the parameters entering the relevant cross section are the so-called $\sigma$-terms of the nucleon:

$$\sigma_{\pi N} \equiv m \langle N | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | N \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_s \equiv m_s \langle N | \bar{s}s | N \rangle,$$

where $m$ denotes the light quark mass and $m_s$ the strange quark mass. To quantify the scalar strangeness content of the nucleon a parameter $y_N$ is introduced,

$$y_N \equiv \frac{2 \langle N | \bar{s}s | N \rangle}{\langle N | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | N \rangle},$$

which can be also related to the $\sigma$ terms of the nucleon in eq. (1.1).

The direct computation of the above matrix elements is known to be challenging on the lattice for several reasons. First, it involves the computation of "singlet" or "disconnected" diagrams that are very noisy. Second, discretisations that break chiral symmetry generally suffer from a mixing under renormalization between the light and strange sector, which is difficult to treat in a fully non-perturbative way.

However, as has been shown in [2], twisted mass fermions offer two advantages here: they provide both an efficient variance noise reduction for disconnected diagrams [3] and avoid the chirally violating contributions that are responsible for the mixing under renormalization in our setup. Note that a great effort has been spent developing techniques to estimate efficiently the relevant disconnected contribution (see for instance [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]).

In this work we present a preliminary analysis of the systematic errors occurring in the computation of the $\sigma$-terms defined in Eq. (1.1). More precisely we study the uncertainties associated to the excited states contamination, lattice cut-off effects and the chiral extrapolation. We also summarise our final results including all systematics for the $y_N$ parameter as obtained in [1].

2. Results

2.1 Lattice techniques

In this study we use gauge configuration generated by the ETM collaboration. We use $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ ensembles with a number of light quark masses corresponding to pseudoscalar meson masses ranging from 220 MeV to 490 MeV and two lattice spacing, $a = 0.082$ fm and $a = 0.064$ fm, to examine lattice cut-off effects.
We refer to [3] for details on the gauge ensemble used in this work. In order to compute matrix elements involving strange quarks, we work within a mixed action setup introducing an additional doublet of mass degenerate twisted mass quarks of mass \( m_s \) in the valence sector.

The scalar quark matrix elements involved in Eq. (1.1) can then be computed using the asymptotic behaviour of a suitable ratio of three and two-point functions defined as

\[
\mathcal{R}_{O_q}(t_s, t_{\text{op}}) = \frac{C_{2\text{pts}}(t_s, t_{\text{op}})}{C_{3\text{pts}}(t_s)} = \langle N|O_q|N\rangle^{(\text{bare})} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\tilde{m}t_{\text{op}}}) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\delta m(t_s-t_{\text{op}})}),
\]

where \( O_q \) refers to the operator in which we are interested in, namely \( O_l \equiv \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d \) and \( O_s \equiv \bar{ss} \). In eq. (2.1), \( t_s \) refers to the source-sink separation and \( t_{\text{op}} \) to the source-operator separation.

In addition, \( \delta m \) stands for the mass gap between the nucleon and its first excited state. From eq. (2.1) it is clear that large times \( t_{\text{op}} \) and \( t_s \) are needed to suppress the so-called excited state contributions. However, due to the exponential decrease of the signal-over-noise ratio at large times, it is numerically very expensive to obtain a good signal for increasing \( t_s \) or \( t_{\text{op}} \).

The nucleon states themselves are created using smeared interpolating fields, which have already been optimized themselves to suppress excited state contaminations in the two point function.

For the precise expression of the operators \( O_q \), their (solely) multiplicative renormalization properties and our computational techniques we refer the reader to [2]. In the following we discuss our analysis strategy to obtain results extrapolated to the physical pion mass and to estimate the systematic errors.

### 2.2 \( \sigma \)-terms

As discussed in [10], contributions from the excited states in Eq. (2.1) are not negligible both in \( R_{O_l} \) and \( R_{O_s} \). We illustrate this in Fig. 1 and 3, where we show the dependence of the ratio Eq. (2.1) when \( t_s \) is increased for \( R_{O_l} \) (left) and \( R_{O_s} \) (right). In the light sector we observe a shift of \( \sim 22\% \) in the value of \( R_{O_l}(t_s, t_s/2) \) when increasing \( t_s \) from 0.98 fm to 1.48 fm. In the strange sector, increasing \( t_s \) from 0.98 fm to 1.6 fm, changes \( R_{O_s}(t_s, t_s/2) \) by about \( \sim 80\% \). Therefore, with the improved statistics employed here, it is not clear that the (time) asymptotic regime of Eq. (2.1) is reached. In order to nevertheless estimate the asymptotic values of the matrix elements, we performed extrapolations of the lattice data to infinite source-sink separations. This step requires extrapolation that leads to an additional systematic error previously neglected in other computations.

We first describe our strategy to extrapolate the lattice data of \( R_{O_q} \) to \( t_s \to \infty \) to infinite source-sink separation. Since we have data for every source-sink separation \( t_s \), we choose to perform fits to the following function :

\[
f(t_s, t_{\text{op}}) \equiv A(t_{\text{op}}) + B(t_{\text{op}})e^{-\tilde{m}t_s}
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) depend on the operator source-operator separation \( t_{\text{op}} \) of Eq. (2.1). Note that we assume that only one excited state contributes in our fitting range. For large enough \( t_{\text{op}} \), \( A \) will become time independent and the corresponding constant value provides the desired bare matrix element. In order to have stable fits we fix \( t_{\text{op}} \) and perform fits for a range of \( t_s \in [t_s^{\text{min}}, t_s^{\text{max}}] \) and for a fixed value of \( \tilde{m} \). Each choice of \( \{t_{\text{op}}, [t_s^{\text{min}}, t_s^{\text{max}}], \tilde{m}\} \) will lead to a result for the extrapolated data. In order to estimate the systematic error due to the excited states contamination we thus vary
as can be seen Table 1. Note that the values of $t_{op}$ used in the table are smaller than the one considered in Fig. 3 in order to have stable fits on all ensembles. For the type I extrapolation we show in Fig. 4 the chiral behaviour of $\sigma_s$ for all our ensembles employing two different lattice spacings. As can be seen comparing the green ($a = 0.064$ fm) and blue triangles ($a = 0.082$ fm), lattice cut-off effects are not visible at the present level of accuracy. The extrapolations to the physical pion mass are carried through using two linear fits in $m_{ps}^2$ excluding or not data obtained for $m_{ps} > 400$ MeV. The spread of the results at the physical pion mass, using type I, II, III, IV allow us to estimate the systematic error coming from excited states contamination. The difference between the two chirally extrapolated values provides an estimate of the systematic uncertainty associated with the chiral extrapolation. Our preliminary result reads $\sigma_s = 28(8)(10)$ MeV where the first error is statistical and the second is the systematic error stemming from both excited states and chiral extrapolation. This value is fully compatible with the bound on $\sigma_s$ computed in ref. [1].
as the one used in Fig. 1 first excited state\textsuperscript{N}. The gauge ensemble is the same as the one used in Fig. 3.

Figure 3: Extrapolation of $R_{O_i}(t_s, t_{\text{op}})$ to large times $t_s$ for two values of $t_{\text{op}}$ and for $\delta m$ fixed to the experimental mass splitting between the nucleon $N$ and the first excited state $N^*$. The gauge ensemble is the same as the one used in Fig. 3.

In the case of the light $\sigma$-term, $\sigma_{\pi N}$, we have performed the extrapolation in $t_s$ of type I to IV only for the disconnected part. For the connected part, which is the dominant contribution, we do not have access to the full source-sink time dependence of $R_{O_i}$. Instead, we consider two ways to estimate the systematic error due to the excited states contamination in the connected part. In the case denoted $C$ we use the results obtained with $t_s = 0.98$ fm and can not resolve the contribution of the excited states in the connected part. In the case $D$, we used the only ensemble for which data at several source-sink separation are available ( $a = 0.082$ fm and $m_{\text{PS}} \approx 355$ MeV ) to estimate the excited states contamination in the connected part by comparing results obtained at $t_s = 0.98$ fm and $t_s = 1.47$ fm. We deduce that the size of the excited states contribution is 18%. Assuming that this effect does not depend on the value of the pseudoscalar mass, we shift the lattice data on all the other ensembles by 18%. We then perform chiral extrapolations using the results of cases $C$ and $D$. Combining theses results with the combinations methods I to IV for the disconnected part allows us to at least estimate the systematic error due to the excited states contamination.

In the case of $\sigma_{\pi N}$ the dependence as a function of $m_{\text{PS}}^2$ is not negligible. In Fig. 4 we show our results for $\sigma_{\pi N}$ as a function of $m_{\text{PS}}^2$ and for two lattice spacings using type I extrapolations for the disconnected parts and a fixed source-sink separation of $t_s = 0.98$ fm for the connected part (previously referred as case $C$). We furthermore indicate the physical point by a vertical dashed line. Using the well known baryon chiral perturbation theory result $m_N = m_N^0 + c_0 m_{\text{PS}}^2 + c_1 m_{\text{PS}}^4$ with $c_1 = -\frac{3g^2}{16\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2}$ and using the relation (true in chiral limit) $\sigma_{\pi N} = m_{\text{PS}}^2 \frac{\partial m_N}{\partial m_{\text{PS}}}$, we show by a blue dotted line a fit of the data given by

$$\sigma_i = m_{\text{PS}}^2 (c_0 + \frac{3}{2} c_1 m_{\text{PS}})$$

where the coefficient $c_0$ is the only fitting parameter and $c_1$ is fixed and deduced from the chiral expansion in HB\textsuperscript{2}PT of the nucleon mass. Inspecting Fig. 4, when performing such a fit, even restricting ourselves to $m_{\text{PS}} < 400$ MeV, the fit does not describe the data. In order to obtain a
description of the data, we add a term $m_{PS}^4$ to Eq. (2.3) with a free coefficient that is to be fitted. This fit describes the data indeed satisfactory as shown in Fig. 5. Also, in this case including or not data with pseudoscalar meson masses larger than 400 MeV gives consistent results (see the red dashed line and the full black line in Fig. 5). We estimate the systematic error coming from the chiral extrapolation by comparing the extrapolation of a linear (obtained from Eq. (2.3) setting $c_1 = 0$) and quartic fit. We find $\sigma_{\pi N} = 37(2.6)(24.7)$ MeV where the first error is statistical and the second is our estimate of the systematic errors due to the chiral extrapolation and to the excited states contamination.

2.3 $\gamma_N$ parameter

We summarize here briefly our analysis of the $\gamma_N$ parameter. Contrary to the $\sigma$-terms, $\gamma_N$ can be obtained directly by a ratio of three point function. We show in Fig. 6 our results for $\gamma_N$ as a function of $m_{PS}^2$. Data for several source-sink separation are shown as well as for two lattice spacings. We observe that data obtained at different source-sink separations (filled and empty triangle) indicate that the excited states contamination is non negligible and about 32%. The excited states contamination is thus small compare to the excited states contamination in the determination of $\sigma_0$ which must partly cancel in the ratio used to compute $\gamma_N$. We also show the result obtained for a different lattice spacing in the same range of pseudoscalar mass (empty circle) to indicate that lattice cut-off effects are not a dominant source of systematic errors. We then perform a leading order (linear in $m_{PS}^2$) and an extrapolation adding a $m_{PS}^4$ term to the fit formula to estimate the error introduced by the chiral extrapolation. Note that the empty symbols are not included in the fits and are only shown to estimate systematic errors. Our final result is (including systematic errors) $\gamma_N = 0.135(46)$. We refer to [1] for more details on this analysis.

Figure 5: Chiral behaviour of $\sigma_y$ as a function of $m_{PS}^2$ after extrapolation of type I-C. We show data at two lattice spacings. As explained in the text we show cubic and quartic fits. The physical point is denoted by a vertical dotted line.

Figure 6: Our results for $\gamma_N$ as a function of $m_{PS}^2$, for two values of the lattice spacing for several fixed source-sink separation $t_s$ as given in the legend. We extrapolate to the physical value of the pion mass using linear and quadratic fits in $m_{PS}^2$. For the quadratic fit, we also show the corresponding error band.
3. Conclusion

In this proceedings contribution we have presented our results concerning the nucleon light and strange $\sigma$–terms. We discussed in detail the analysis of the systematic effects in a direct computation of these matrix elements. In particular, we showed that a large excited states contamination is present in the determination of the scalar quark matrix elements of the nucleon. This is the first time that a careful assessment of excited states contributions to the $\sigma$ – terms has been carried out resulting into a systematic which is larger than the statistical error and must thus be considered in the evaluation of these quantities. We have also shown that lattice cut-off effects do not affect our results at the present level of accuracy. Another source of systematic effects in the case of $\sigma_{\pi N}$, which we have taken into account, is the chiral extrapolation. Our results for the $\sigma$-terms read $\sigma_{\pi N} = 37(2.6)(24.7)$ MeV and $\sigma_s = 28(8)(10)$ MeV. We summarised our recent analysis of the $y_N$ parameter and found $y_N = 0.135(46)$ including systematic errors. This estimate provides a reliable input for dark matter models and experimental searches.
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