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The scale of the problem of obstructive lung disease in Africa becomes clearer, but where are the solutions?

If you breathe in toxic air, lung damage will result. In much of sub-Saharan Africa as well as Asia, massive exposures to a range of toxic air from household air pollution, ambient air pollution and occupational exposures occur with consequent rising morbidity and mortality. The headline statistics are extraordinary: globally in 2015 2·8 people million died from household air pollution and 4·2 million people from ambient air pollution.[1] COPD is now the third leading cause of death with 3.2 million deaths worldwide and the same number dying from lower respiratory tract infections.[2] The prevalence of COPD is rising inexorably in Africa[3] but do we really understand the roots of rising tide of COPD and its relationship to air pollution?

In their carefully conducted survey in rural Tanzania, the prevalence of spirometrically defined COPD was 17% (22% in men, 13% in women). (Maquitta et al ERJ 2017 in press) This figure was strikingly similar to a similar survey in neighbouring Uganda which found a prevalence of 16%.[4] This study is welcome because previous estimates in Africa are variable and lack of harmonisation of definitions.[3, 5]

Spirometrically defined COPD is not a disease but a physiological marker- the diagnosis of COPD as per GOLD guidelines includes clinical features and symptoms are a key component.[6] In the study in Tanzania, cough and sputum was equally prevalent in COPD and non-COPD groups, but markers of breathlessness were much higher in the COPD group. While those with spirometrically diagnosed COPD patients were largely symptomatic, so too were many with normal spirometry, for example 44% had cough and sputum, perhaps from household air pollution. Thus the danger of detecting abnormal physiology and creating a disease which does not exist was small, but not without implications. Asymptomatic airflow obstruction in GOLD stage 1 is not associated with progressive disease.[7] No-one knows if people in Africa with airflow obstruction detected in a survey have a progressive and serious disorder and longitudinal studies are urgently needed.

Accepting the limits of the methods of detecting cases, why are relatively young people with developing COPD? Only 5% of the surveyed population were current smokers, in contrast 99.7% of participants were exposed to biomass smoke. The answer may be that biomass smoke causes impaired lung growth as well as adult lung damage. Lung growth as a determinant of adult lung disease was considered in a study in three large cohorts from USA and Denmark: of adults diagnosed with COPD in their sixties, half reached this point from accelerated lung decline and half from impaired lung growth.[8] Surely the major exposures at high intensity and for long duration in children raised in Africa are likely to make a major contribution to impaired lung growth and reduced adult lung function. Babies are kept with their mothers during the long hours of cooking African staple diets. Poor lung growth may also be exacerbated by poor nutrition in utero and in early years[9] and thus reduce adult lung function.
There are also complex interactions at work in the genesis of the lung damage described as COPD in Africa. Chronic damage may arise from respiratory infections such as tuberculosis and from asthma, and biomass smoke exposure predisposes to both. Damaged lungs are more prone to infections so a vicious cycle arises. Susceptibility to infection and inflammatory lung disease may also be affected by poor nutrition, reduced immunity and poverty.[10] Biomass fuels are used by the poorest people and epidemiology studies showing association between biomass smoke and COPD may be confounded by poverty. [11] Globally poverty is important in COPD as COPD mortality rates relate to poverty whereas COPD prevalence rates are more closely related to tobacco smoking.[12]

While defining the problem of COPD and its risk factors is critical, what Africa needs is not more problems- the search now should turn to solutions.[13] Is biomass smoke a preventable cause of COPD? While neither of the Ugandan nor Tanzanian studies were powered to detect biomass smoke as a cause of COPD, the WHO unequivocally states that “Over one-third of premature deaths from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adults in low- and middle-income countries are due to exposure to household air pollution.”[14] These estimates are important but are based on some very broad assumptions. The underpinning epidemiological studies in fact provide mixed results.[12, 15, 16] Many studies contrast current exposures with obstructive lung disease. However, this is not entirely logical- current tobacco exposure is poorly related to COPD- what matters is lifelong dose calculated from duration and intensity of exposure, analogous to pack years. Epidemiological studies need to be supplemented by intervention studies and here evidence is lacking.[17]

Intervention studies reducing household air pollution tend to focus on a single control facet such as installing chimneys in houses in Guatemala[18] or energy efficient cookstoves in the CAPS study in Malawi. [19] These studies used childhood pneumonia as the primary endpoint, data on COPD is a long way off. The Guatemala studies show that reducing household air pollution was associated with a reduction is symptoms such as cough and sore eyes, but not with a significant reduction in childhood pneumonia.[18] In the CAPS study a significant reduction in childhood pneumonia was not attained, probably because the intervention did not effectively reduce biomass smoke exposure. [19]

A pragmatic community wide approach was adopted in a lung health awareness raising programme in Uganda.[20] The project involved an education and awareness raising approach through the local health service, local government, in the villages and on mass media. To protect the most vulnerable, emphasis was placed on protecting children by working with all grades of health professionals including midwives to keep unborn babies and infants away from smoke. Potential benefits of reducing smoke exposure include reducing obstetric complications, neonatal deaths and respiratory infections in infants.[21, 22] In the longer term early life exposure to household air pollution may reduce lung function in adults[23] and increase the chance of COPD as an adult.[22] While such pragmatic interventions are needed, their value is hard to estimate in controlled trials for obvious reasons of time, money and ethics.

Even as research grapples with the problems of indoor air pollution, the complexity of outdoor air born lung toxicity is growing. Household air pollution now includes in increasing
array of chemicals from burning plastic and rubbish as well as bacterial endotoxins and a huge range of chemicals from plant materials.[24] The Lancet commission on pollution and health finds that house air pollution is now overshadowed by the rise of ambient air pollution and the range of different toxins in air pollution are vast.[25] Thus the complexity of chemical insults to the lungs is becoming hard to fathom.

Thanks to papers such as by Maqitta et al in this journal, the scale and long term implications of COPD in Africa are beginning to be seen more clearly, but there are so many questions still remaining about the causes of COPD and how best to address it. Research is needed on a scale which matches the problem and that is not forthcoming.[26] COPD is a silent but not acceptable epidemic. It is time to clear the air.
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