Developing Closed Loop Supply Chains for Environmental Sustainability: Insights from a UK clothing case study
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Abstract

**Purpose** - forward and reverse supply chains form a ‘closed loop’ when managed in a coordinated way and this ‘cradle to cradle’ responsibility has strong relevance to addressing environmental sustainability in global supply chains. The extensive outsourcing of manufacturing has created highly fragmented supply chains, which is strongly evidenced within the UK clothing industry, and it presents major environmental challenges, particularly around waste and resource use. The paper investigates how a Closed Loop Supply Chain (CLSC) can be successfully developed to address environmental sustainability.

**Design/methodology/approach** - the Natural Resource Based View (NRBV) acknowledges the importance of a firm’s tangible and intangible resources, as well as socially complex relationships, and provides 3 path dependent strategies for achieving environmentally-based competitive advantage. Via an in-depth case study of a UK-based clothing firm the NRBV is employed as a framework for understanding the processes that a focal firm needs to engage in to develop a CLSC, and the contribution that is made by its resources and supplier relationships.

**Findings** - the findings illustrate the key importance of strategic resources and shared vision and principles between the focal firm and its suppliers, in order to progress from a more reactive pollution prevention strategy to a fully embedded CLSC response to environmental sustainability. The case study highlights the need to extend the current CLSC model to integrate the design function and end customer; the design function ensures that appropriate environmental practices can be implemented, and customers represent a key stakeholder as they enable the reverse flows required to maximise value and minimise waste.

**Originality/value** – the NRBV and its 3 path-dependent strategies is an established framework for understanding environmentally-based competitive advantage, but has not previously been explicitly employed to investigate CLSCs. This research therefore provides valuable insight into the applicability of this model in the supply chain field, and the key role of tangible and intangible resources and socially complex supplier relationships in developing and achieving a CLSC.
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Introduction

Globalisation and economic trends have created highly complex supply chains (Varma et al., 2006), and there has been a significant shift to firms outsourcing non-core activities to overseas suppliers (Darnall et al., 2008). This has been especially evident in the UK clothing industry, where cost pressures, competition and quota removal have forced firms to outsource the manufacturing function, and focus on customer-facing and design activities (Allwood et al., 2006). It is also an industry that has received significant negative press regarding its environmental impacts, particularly in relation to the responsible use of natural resources and chemicals, and the waste and pollution it generates (Fletcher & Grose, 2012). It therefore represents a highly appropriate focus for this paper, as successfully addressing such major environmental issues in this complex industry has implications for global supply chain practice.

Waste and emissions caused by production processes throughout the global supply chain are major sources of environmental problems, and a focus on pollution prevention is seen as one of the most immediate ways to tackle these issues (Min & Galle, 1997). Resource reduction through recycling, re-use and waste elimination extends this responsibility further (Carter & Ellram, 1998), and can lead to cost savings and enhanced competitiveness (Rao & Holt, 2005). Developing the right kind of supply chain can be key to addressing environmental sustainability, with firms recognising the systemic and strategic implications of managing the numerous flows in the supply chain (Defee et al., 2009). Firms which apply a systems approach can facilitate the adoption and diffusion of environmentally-focused practices (Florida, 1996), and move towards a Closed Loop Supply Chain (CLSC). Forward and reverse supply chains form a ‘closed loop’ when managed in a coordinated way (Kleindorfer et al., 2005), and a ‘cradle to cradle’ responsibility, which acknowledges all stages in a product’s lifecycle, is increasingly considered a competitive necessity (Das & Posinasetti, 2015; Elia & Gnoni, 2015).

CLSC research has evolved from a focus on remanufacturing in the 1990s; remanufacturing is a key closed loop practice as it replaces worn, broken or obsolete parts from a product (Pun, 2006), and contributes to reducing a company’s environmental burden (Jena & Sarmah, 2014). As a result of diminishing life cycles, the commoditisation of products, and decreasing profit margins closed loops have become increasingly important in industrial practice for the systematic management of resources and waste. It represents a rich and significant area for research development, with integrative methodological approaches required to provide practical insights and solutions to the design, control, and operation of CLSCs (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009). There is an acknowledged need to move to more practical and values-based views of CLSCs, with academics becoming familiar with industry practice and the challenges it creates (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009). Through an in-depth case study of a focal firm the goal of this paper is to apply a theoretical lens that enables a rich, multi-faceted analysis of environmental practices and challenges in a specific industry, and to understand the role that suppliers have in the successful implementation and coordination of a CLSC.

The theoretical framework of the Natural Resource Based View of the firm (NRBV), which evolved from the RBV in response to environmental sustainability is employed. It recognises the importance of tangible and intangible resources at the firm and supply chain levels, and presents 3 path-dependent strategies that progress from pollution prevention to sustainability. It offers significant potential to understand the development of CLSCs, and how supplier relationships and the resources they generate contribute to a value and values-driven supply chain. The paper reviews relevant environmental sustainability and CLSC literature in relation to the NRBV, and then presents a case study of a UK clothing SME which has strong environmental principles and a CLSC ethos. The findings are discussed and provide valuable insight into the development of a CLSC against the NRBV framework, and the role
that strategic resources and supplier relationships play in its achievement. The paper therefore contributes to both CLSC research and practice; its use of the NRBV allows a more holistic understanding of how environmental sustainability integrates with intangible, embedded social components such as trust and principles, and provides practitioners an appropriate structure for decision-making on the design, implementation and management of a CLSC. Suggestions for future research directions are also made.

**Literature Review**

A set of search criteria related to environmental sustainability and closed loop supply chains was applied to identify the most relevant papers for review; as well as using these specific search terms the keywords/phrases of green supply chains, environmental supply chains, environmental responsibility and sustainable/responsible supply chains were employed. The search was limited to journals produced in English; for quality purposes searches were limited to journals rated from 2 – 4* in the ABS journal rankings (2016). The search databases used were Science Direct, EBSCO and Emerald Fulltext, and as each search was completed the abstracts were reviewed to ensure the relevance of the results. Recognising the interdisciplinary nature of the subject areas, and the fact that environmental sustainability and CLSCs are still evolving concepts, it was subsequently deemed important to include certain journals that fell outside this scope, to ensure that the most current and relevant research in the field was included.

**Closed Loop Supply Chains**

Every product generated, transported, used and discarded within a supply chain has some degree of impact on the environment, and is a function of the material and energy consumed, and wastes released in its lifecycle (Tsoulfas & Pappis, 2006). Increasing environmental consciousness across multiple industries together with stricter legislation (Neto et al., 2010) has made product disposal a critical issue for firms (Jena & Sarmah, 2014). Recovery and recycling are practical and value-maximising alternatives to landfill disposal (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009), and there is growing recognition that with increased global consumption of goods, the quantity and availability of raw materials will deplete significantly (Kumar & Putnam, 2008), so resources need to be used (and re-used). To manage the environmental performance of a supply chain all stages need to be addressed (Tsoulfas & Pappis, 2006), as any activity may have a negative impact. Environmental issues cannot be managed in isolation from other supply chain activities (Vachon & Klassen, 2008), and companies must design, produce, distribute, and dispose of products in a way that minimises the associated environmental impacts (O’Brien, 1999).

Closed loops consider all stages of the supply chain, and are defined as the ‘design, control, and operation of a system to maximise value creation over the entire life cycle of a product with dynamic recovery of value from different types and volumes of returns over time’ (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009, p.10). The CLSC as illustrated in Figure 1 recovers added value by reusing products and/or their components (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009). It is characterised by the firm’s active involvement in the recovery process in order to extend a product’s life or manage final disposal (Klassen and Johnson in New & Westbrook, 2004). The key goal is to keep all materials within the lifecycle and minimise any flow into the external environment (Sarkis, 1995). The CLSC concept is therefore of key importance in addressing the key environmental concerns of waste and hazardous materials through pollution prevention activities, as well as generating economic value through extending product life and enabling the reuse, remanufacturing and recycling of products (Blumberg, 2005).
A key way to improve environmental sustainability within the CLSC is to lengthen the life of materials and products, and recycling can generate additional revenue streams while also reducing the level and cost of waste disposal (Sarkis et al., 2010b). By incorporating repair, reuse and remanufacturing as well as recycling the CLSC model reduces waste, and makes efficient and effective use of resources at all stages (Das & Posinasetti, 2015). Closed loops increasingly represent a critical activity for firms, with economic and environmental impacts pushing the need to integrate forward and reverse product flows, rather than just ‘greening’ individual production processes. (Elia & Gnoni, 2015). The CLSC is becoming a preferred model for more progressive companies due to its potential for value recovery, positive response to environmental sustainability and growing consumer awareness of environmental issues (Das & Posinasetti, 2015). There are specific environmental concepts and practices that form an explicit part of or actively contribute to achieving the CLSC illustrated in Figure 1, and they are evaluated and discussed in the following sections. However, it is recognised that most current environmental activity is in pollution prevention rather than fully coordinated supply chains, and despite its recognised importance in addressing sustainability the CLSC is still far from being an industry norm.

**CLSC Environmental Concepts and Practices**

**Design for the Environment and Design for Remanufacturing**

The design function is key to the CLSC, as it sits at the start of the supply chain and enables specific environmental practices to be implemented and achieved effectively. Design for the Environment (DfE) systematically considers design performance with respect to environmental objectives over the full product and process life cycle (Mascl & Zhao, 2008). It enables firms to address the environment (Preuss, 2005b), and develop recoverable products which are durable, reusable, harmlessly recoverable and environmentally compatible in disposal (Tsoulfas & Pappis, 2006). Three key DfE objectives are maximisation of profit over a product’s life span, maximisation of the number of parts reused, and minimisation of landfill waste (Mascl & Zhao, 2008). Difficulties associated with DfE are designers’ unfamiliarity with the process and lack of integration with other design tools (Albino et al., 2009), which lead to issues in coordinating the process with manufacturing. It is an emerging tool, which requires refinement to be effective and depends on material availability and the technical capability of the supply chain (Preuss, 2005b).

Design for Remanufacturing (DfRem) aims to enhance remanufacturability, and requires the design function to actively consider each remanufacturing step, and how the design of the product will affect them (Hatcher et al, 2011). It can be seen as part of the concurrent engineering process, but research also indicates that DfRem is actually a collection of many tasks or considerations whose prioritisation will differ depending on the processing needs of the product (Sundin, 2005). Specific product properties may have a positive or negative effect upon particular remanufacturing process steps, such as disassembly or cleaning, and improving remanufacturing efficiency through design may make it a more viable and lucrative product end-of-life strategy (Hatcher et al, 2011). Further dimensions of integrating environmental concern in the design stage which contribute to ‘closing the loop’ include Design for Recycling (DFR) and Design for Disassembly (DFD) (Gupta, 1995). These complementary approaches allow for more efficient and profitable reuse/disposal of product components (Kumar & Putnam, 2008).

**Product Stewardship**

The concept of product stewardship incorporates these design-related responses to the environment, and explicitly considers the environmental impact of products from the purchase of raw materials to how the
product is disposed at the end-of-life, and aims to reduce the environmental burden of products (Wong et al., 2012). It is representative of a cradle to grave (or cradle) responsibility for the lifecycle of a product (Angell and Klassen, 1999), and is focused on ‘product-based green supply’ (Seuring, 2008). The goal of product stewardship is to keep all materials within the lifecycle and minimise any flow into the external environment (Sarkis, 1995). It therefore considers the environmental impact in of a product in its design, packaging, and materials used, and promotes the recycling, remanufacturing and reuse of materials/components, and using recyclable parts (Wong et al., 2012).

Product stewardship extends the environmental perspective to the entire value chain to include internal and external stakeholders such as R&D, designers and suppliers, and is therefore crucial to the integration and coordination required in the CLSC. Examples include redesigning products and processes, which further emphasises the key role of the design function, using renewable resources and working with suppliers to prevent pollution (Rusinko, 2007). It represents one of 3 capability–based strategies that form the Natural Resource Based View of the Firm (NRBV), and progresses a firm from reactive pollution prevention activities to a more proactive and integrated response to environmental sustainability.

**Reverse Logistics**

Traditional logistics manages the supply of goods from the producer to the end consumer (Lippman, 2001), while Reverse Logistics (RL) relates to products returned by the customer to the focal firm. In line with the presented CLSC model it has the purpose of recovering and potentially generating value (Blumberg, 2005) or properly disposing of products (Lippman, 2001), and is a ‘process whereby companies can become more environmentally efficient through recycling, reusing and reducing the amount of materials used’ (Carter & Ellram, 1998, p.85). RL provides the maximum utilisation of used products, where every output is returned to natural systems or becomes an input for manufacturing another product (Tsoulfas & Pappis, 2006). Products, parts, subassemblies and materials represent growing values and economic opportunities at the end of the supply chain (Blumberg, 2005), and the holistic nature of reverse distribution actively aims to reduce resources in the forward system so that fewer materials flow back, reuse and remanufacturing is possible and recycling facilitated (Carter & Ellram, 1998). RL is therefore the function that allows the supply chain loop to be closed, and enables the implementation of the environment-focused activities of recycling, reuse, remanufacturing and repair.

**Recycling, Reuse, Remanufacturing and Repair**

Waste products and emissions can be recycled as a raw material for use in the same or different production process; processed to be reused; and used for a different useful application. Reclaimed material can also be sold to another company (Gupta, 1995). Recycling and remanufacturing work in parallel, with the major distinction between material recovery (recycling) and added value recovery (remanufacturing) (Kenne et al., 2012). Recycling requires disassembly of the waste or returned product, separation of parts and material reprocessing, and denotes material recovery without conserving any of the original product’s features (Field and Sroufe, 2007). Remanufacturing replaces worn, broken or obsolete parts from a product, with the aim of returning it to new or better than new condition (Pun, 2006). Both approaches can benefit firms economically as costs will be lower than using ‘virgin’ materials; environmental consequences are generally higher for the initial processing of virgin material, as well as regulations and associated costs (Field & Sroufe, 2007).

Remanufacturing not only helps in reducing a company’s environmental burden, but can also reduce production costs (Jena & Sarmah, 2014). It is the process of returning a used product to at least original equipment manufacturer (OEM) original performance, and includes sorting, inspection, disassembly,
cleaning, reprocessing and reassembly, and the replacement of parts which cannot be brought back to original quality (Hatcher et al., 2011). It is an important aspect of CLSCs because it extends products’ lives and integrates back into the production chain used components that would otherwise have entered landfill (Sundin et al., 2009, Hatcher et al., 2011). By providing customers with remanufactured products, companies can provide the same level of service using fewer resources, thereby reducing the resource intensity and increase the eco-efficiency of product systems (Kerr et al., 2001).

Reuse represents a form of recycling where the recycled product retains all the properties of the original (Sarkis, 1995), and makes use of good components from retired assemblies, while repair brings damaged components back to a functional condition (Kumar & Putnam, 2008). Repair activities are a growing area, and a repair network can itself be considered as a closed loop with products flowing between the manufacturer and its customers (Kusumastuti et al., 2008). It is less represented in the current CLSC literature, but is a key way to reduce disposal until a product’s true end-of-life.

Managing Supplier Relationships in the CLSC

A key challenge in the CLSC ‘is not just how to manage irregular reverse flows, but how to obtain them in the first place’ (Seitz & Peattie, 2004). This highlights the importance of RL to closed loops and the practical issues associated with incentivising and enabling products to be returned to source; these issues are exaggerated by the complex and global nature of today’s supply chains. It also indicates the importance of managing both supplier and consumer relationships to ensure the CLSC operates effectively. Research to understand global supply chains is progressively moving away from conventional economic, technological and tangible mechanisms towards more relational, inter-organisational approaches (Pilbeam et al. 2012), which focus on the interaction between actors in a supply network and how they cooperate, stimulate and influence each other (van Bommel 2011).

Transactional relationships focus on increasing the number of suppliers or frequently switching suppliers to economise costs, whereas relational approaches focus on the sharing of information (Power 2005; Preuss 2005b). Spekman et al. (1998) consider cooperation as the threshold level of supply chain interaction where firms exchange some essential information and engage some suppliers in long-term relationships, while in coordination workflow and information is exchanged to allow more seamless linkages. Collaboration represents the optimum level and occurs when two or more independent firms work in partnership to plan and execute supply chain operations (Nyaga et al., 2010). Collaborative relationships are characterised by information sharing, a long-term approach and mutual advantage (Preuss, 2005a) with joint efforts creating value that cannot be achieved independently (Nyaga et al., 2010). They require the development of trust and commitment between buyers and suppliers (Attaran & Attaran, 2007), with trust seen as a prerequisite to success (Varma et al., 2006). Individual relationships and close-knit social relations (van Bommel 2011) can nurture trust and informal networks.

Supply chain research and practice tends to focus on tangible processes, and this extends to addressing environmental sustainability (Ashby et al., 2012). There is little in the current literature that explicitly recognises the importance of relationships in developing CLSCs (Jena & Sarmah, 2014), and to address this research gap there is a need to apply theoretical frameworks that can fully address both the tangible and intangible dimensions of CLSCs (Ostlin et al., 2008). This paper therefore employs the Natural Resource Based View (NRBV) as it incorporates different dimensions of environmental responsibility, and recognises the role of tangible and intangible resources in achieving sustainability. There is increasing awareness of the importance of resources which develop from relationships with suppliers and network structures. The relational view of supply chains (van Bommel, 2011) emphasises the benefits of common resources that partners cannot generate independently; relational resources stem from the focal
firm’s network ties and their emergence is viewed as a context and path-dependent process. As these resources are difficult to imitate, they can form a significant basis for sustainable competitive advantage, and can generate extensive value for interconnected organisations (Barrutia et al., 2015). Trust is considered a key relational resource and its prominence in current sustainability research stresses its highly beneficial effects in relational contexts (Simpson and Power 2005, Barrutia et al., 2015).

The Natural Resource Based View

The RBV suggests that firms are able to create and sustain competitive advantages through the collection and integration of strategic resources that are rare, valuable, inimitable, and non-substitutable (Barney & Hesterley, 2008). It has become important in OM and supply chain research as it can be used to deconstruct the sources of competitive advantage both internally and across cooperative partnerships, and therefore provides a unique means of supply chain analysis. Each supply chain activity requires particular resources and capabilities, but it is important, and more challenging, to integrate the existing capabilities across the supply chain, and leverage them effectively (Hitt et al., 2016). Firms that are able to build their supply chain capabilities can use them to gain competitive advantages (Barney, 2012), and create socially complex resources through ongoing interactions with their supplier network.

The NRBV (Hart, 1995) is an evolution of the Resource Based View of the firm (RBV), which entails that resources and capabilities that are valuable, rare, and inimitable determine the competitive position of firms with environmental considerations (Barney, 1991). A firm can achieve superior performance if it has the capability to exploit as well as preserve natural resources in its operating environment, and firms embracing the product-related environmental practices detailed above and utilising them intensively in their operations and supply chain stand a higher chance for preserving the natural resources in their environment (Wong et al., 2012). The socially complex capability of environmentally-focused firms is developed where partner firms are engaged in coordinated organisational actions; such capability allows firms to access the resources of their suppliers, and the inherent complexity in supplier coordination and collaboration are difficult to imitate (Wong et al., 2012).

The NRBV recognises the key role of tacit and socially complex resources as well as cultural embeddedness, shared visions and strong moral leadership in addressing the environment, and building on these principles firms need to develop environmentally sustainable capabilities to remain competitive. Hart (1995) proposes 3 interconnected strategies: pollution prevention, product stewardship and sustainability. The 3 strategies (Table 1) are interconnected through path-dependency and embeddedness, and offer a framework for understanding how to coordinate the different CLSC components documented in the literature. They follow a sequence beginning with pollution prevention through to sustainability, and each strategy builds on the prior capability. The pollution prevention strategy links to the core capability of continuous improvement (CI); most research to date has focused on the application of this strategic capability, and how to prevent pollution in a cost-effective way through the minimization of waste and emissions (Mena et al., 2014). While it is a better response to environmental issues than pollution control, it is still considered reactive in nature (Vachon & Klassen, 2006).

TABLE 1 HERE

Following the NRBV framework the focal firm then extends from internal pollution prevention practices towards cooperation with external stakeholders, including suppliers. The core capability of the product stewardship strategy is the integration of stakeholders’ environmental interests, and requires information exchange and collaboration on environmental impact reduction (Grekova et al., 2014), emphasizing the need for relational resources (Barrutia et al., 2015), and inter-organisational relationships.
which generate socially complex resources (Mena et al., 2014). Research is beginning to address the concept of product stewardship, and improved relationships across supply networks can lead to improved and more proactive environmental outcomes. Firms depend on suppliers to provide environmentally friendly materials, and closer relationships can lead to greater supplier involvement in environmental initiatives, such as recycling and reuse (Mena et al., 2014).

Table 1 indicates the importance of shared vision as a key resource for moving from reactive environmental approaches, illustrated by pollution prevention to the proactive, future-focused and value-seeking responses to sustainability advocated in CLSC research. The NRBV aligns with currently documented responses to environmental responsibility in supply chains, from a short-term, transactional approach where specific processes are simply ‘greened’ (Ashby et al., 2012), to taking a product lifecycle view, through to a long-term and proactive commitment to minimise the firm’s environmental burden as it grows and develops (New & Westbrook, 2004). This final position aligns directly with the CLSC concept, as to succeed it needs to take full account of the environment throughout the firm (Das & Posinasetti, 2015; Elia & Gnoni, 2015), and requires a proactive, networked supply chain, but it is currently under-developed within the field and represents a key research gap.

The embeddedness of environmental principles in a firm’s culture and supply chain can represent an inimitable resource (Barney et al., 2001), and the NRBV’s emphasis on shared vision as the key resource for a sustainability strategy offers potential for understanding how supplier relationships contribute to the achievement of a CLSC. Supply chain collaboration can be a source of sustained competitive advantage because it grows historically and involves socially complex interactions (Mena et al., 2014), and the need for a shared vision to achieve sustainability implies the collaborative involvement of suppliers. The research on the NRBV capabilities of product stewardship and sustainability is nascent, and this paper aims to address this key gap through a focus on the role of suppliers in progressing from one strategy to another, and the resulting impact on the development of the CLSC. The literature review has indicated that pollution prevention and product stewardship are key, but potentially isolated components of the CLSC, while a sustainability strategy can represent a complete closed loop response.

The Research Framework
The NRBV provides an appropriate structure for understanding the different CLSC practices that have been presented and discussed in the literature review, to include the potential sequence or priority of their implementation, the nature and extent of their interaction, and how this then combines to achieving the fully coordinated CLSC illustrated in Figure 1. Its implicit recognition of strategic resources, both tangible and intangible, and the need for stakeholder integration to achieve specific strategic capabilities enables the role of supplier relationships to be evaluated as the CLSC develops. Figure 2 presents the research framework that will be applied to address the following research questions:

RQ1. How does a focal firm implement and develop a CLSC response to environmental sustainability?

RQ2. How do supplier relationships and resources contribute to the focal firm achieving a CLSC response?

FIGURE 2 HERE

The framework consolidates the key environmental and resource-based concepts presented in the literature review; it is used to analyse a firm’s progress from each NRBV strategic capability, and how it can use this path dependent approach to achieve a fully coordinated CLSC. The role of different forms of resources associated with the NRBV are used to evaluate the contribution made by the firm and its
supplier relationships in achieving each stage.

**Research Methodology**

The clothing industry has been heavily researched in relation to global supply chains and therefore has an important contribution to make to the developing CLSC research field. It can be seen as an extreme case for managing environmental sustainability due to frequent shifts in product portfolio and its internationally organized product chains that substantially influence and extend the stages where environmental impacts can occur. Production processes in the clothing supply chain make intense use of chemical products and natural resources, creating emissions and waste and generating a high environmental impact (Fletcher, 2008). There is also a ‘throwaway’ attitude to clothing, due to increased purchase frequency and substantial pricing reductions (Birtwistle & Moore, 2007), which has resulted in an increased rate of garment disposal (Allwood et al., 2006), a key consideration within the CLSC.

Given its complexity and global nature translating the CLSC model into supply chains is a key challenge for the UK clothing industry, but there are signs that re-use and recycling are becoming sources of added value in supply chains (Fletcher, 2008). Recycling and reuse are proactive responses and have a positive impact on a product’s lifecycle as well as addressing resource availability, especially important as virgin resources become scarcer (Sarkis et al., 2010a). The literature has indicated the importance of collaborative relationships in the CLSC, but the UK clothing industry has traditionally been highly transactional in nature, and focused on achieving lowest unit cost, primarily through sourcing from developing countries where labour is cheaper, even if this has negative environmental and social implications (Bruce et al., 2004). The industry therefore has specific relevance for this research study as it enables insight and understanding of how the focal firm can progress from an established and ingrained transactional model to one that enables a coordinated, collaborative and environmentally sustainable supply chain.

The presented case study is representative of exemplar UK clothing firms who operate responsibly and have owner-managers with strong environmental principles (Tilley, 1999). Such case study observations are well suited to relatively new research topics, especially where the phenomena are poorly understood (McCarthy et al., 2006); in-depth qualitative case studies are deeply embedded in rich empirical descriptions, and typically address ‘how’ and ‘why’ questions (Eisenhardt & Graebner, 2007). The methodology is particularly strong in theory building and appropriate to researching a dynamic, evolving phenomenon such as CLSCs (Eisenhardt & Graebner, 2007). Case studies offer high validity with practitioners (Karlsson, 2009) and the strategy provides powerful tools for capturing both the hard and soft elements of an organisation (Voss et al. 2002). While a single case offers limited generalizability, it can richly describe the existence of a phenomenon (Siggelkow, 2007), and provide the opportunity to develop a deep understanding of its nature and complexity in a specific setting (Barratt & Barratt, 2011).

**Data Collection and Analysis**

The main instrumentation for the data collection was the semi-structured interview (Miles & Huberman, 1994) with the key informants being the firm owner and the supply chain manager. An interview protocol was provided (Appendix 1) and a set of standard questions established that were adapted in response to any new or interesting facets that arose during the interview process (Reuter et al., 2010). Six visits were conducted over a 15-month period, as illustrated in Table 2, and 2 interviews had already been conducted with the firm a year previously. On-site interviews were also conducted with a key UK supplier and their Japan supplier. For purposes of internal validity, evidence was obtained from multiple sources: field notes
were recorded during each visit, and written supporting data obtained including Company Accounts, marketing material and policies (Poba-Nzao et al., 2014). The secondary data collection extended beyond the interview period (Table 2), and together with the interview transcripts this data formed a clear narrative for the case (Yin, 2009).

**TABLE 2 HERE**

Qualitative data is full, earthy, holistic and real, but because the context is part of the study there will always be many variables and a high volume of rich data (Yin, 2009). Cross interview analysis allowed common patterns to be identified (Reuter et al., 2010), and a coding scheme (Charmaz, 2006; Strauss & Corbin, 2008) was implemented to relate interview content to specific themes. It involved the systematic and iterative review of each transcript and the extraction of recurrent data, which was then assigned to an initial theme. The analysis process was guided by the reviewed literature, but new recurrent themes were also identified - see Appendix 2 for the themes that resulted from the analysis and coding process.

Supporting information was used to verify, triangulate and enhance the data analysis (Karlsson, 2009), and the longitudinal nature of the study enabled the development of the focal firm’s supply chain to be evaluated over a specific period of time. There is a recognized lack of longitudinal studies in the supply chain literature, which typically looks at networks at a point in time rather than as a dynamic cycle (Pilbeam et al., 2012). There is also recognition that the roles and responsibilities of a focal firm’s suppliers can change over time and as relationships evolve (Slepniov et al., 2010), which is key to this paper in understanding the importance of socially complex resources in achieving CLSCs. The longitudinal study was conducted over a total period of just over 2 years, which was sufficient time to build a rapport with the focal firm and interviewees, and to observe key developments in the firm’s CLSC and its relationships with suppliers (Aloini et al, 2015; Done et al., 2011, Eltantawy et al., 2015).

The case study is a surfing lifestyle brand based in the South West of the UK, and since being established in 2005 it has grown in both size and turnover, as shown in Table 3). It has 3 explicit points of commitment - People, Product and Planet. It specialises in making a select range of performance clothing from recycled or natural fibres, and at the heart of the company ethos is a desire to make the best technical apparel with minimal environmental impact, backed by a sustainable design philosophy.

**TABLE 3 HERE**

It will not manufacture products that cause more of a problem than they solve, and strives to communicate the firm’s honesty and trustworthiness to its suppliers, as well as its customers. The firm has a strong and distinctive brand identity that directly aligns with its environmental principles, and a loyal customer base; it aims to tell a story about its products and ‘hope that our honesty comes out in our marketing and people will learn to trust that’ (Owner). The firm sources environmentally responsible materials, processes and suppliers, and as illustrated in Table 3 all products are designed in-house, along with all customer facing activities.

**Research Findings**

**Principles**

Coming from a marine science and surfing background the owner has emotional connections to the environment, which inform the principles that are applied to the firm and its supply chain. Strong beliefs and values are likely to be associated with a founder (Pedersen, 2009), with business not just seen as an income stream, but as a vehicle for change (Rodgers, 2010). This highlights the importance of
embeddedness, which directly relates to an organization’s principles and culture; the studied firm was established on the specific principles of People, Product and Planet, and these commitments permeate their supply chain.

They aim to work with suppliers that share their principles, as this makes it easier to make the appropriate environmental decisions. This was reflected in the supplier interviews; the Japan supplier is the industry leader in recycled polyester and was established to address the environmental issues associated with this oil-based fibre, while the UK supplier has a shared commitment to localized supply of natural resources. The latter relationship indicates how their principles have evolved to inform a desire for more local supply chains, as a mechanism to support UK/European producers and their local community, reduce environmental impacts associated with global transport, and provide more supply chain visibility. The firm also extends beyond traditional supply chain boundaries to align with customers that share their values; loyalty to the firm’s brand identity supports a strong supply chain and is a key factor to closing the loop as it incentivizes RL. This theme reflects both the stakeholder integration and shared vision dimensions of the NRBV (Table 1), and indicates how fundamental shared principles are as a foundation to a coordinated CLSC.

**Pollution Prevention**

The studied firm has always looked to minimise the environmental impact of the products they design and produce in their supply chain. They engage in environmentally-responsible manufacturing processes, which requires working with suppliers that can meet these standards, and actively maximise the use of their materials and components to ensure that minimal waste is generated and stays within the supply chain. An example of this is the use of fabric offcuts from the production of their clothing products to create a range of bags, and also as a source of material for the repair of returned products.

The longitudinal analysis indicates that this approach has always been integral to how they operate their business and supply chain rather than a distinct strategy for environmental sustainability. This is in contrast to the reviewed literature, which suggests internal pollution prevention activities such as waste management are the dominant response to the environment in industry practice. This finding also challenges the path dependent nature of the NRBV framework where firms engage in pollution prevention as a starting point for environmental sustainability.

**Product Design**

The design process starts at the fibre stage and the firm flexes creative control through to how the finished product can be maintained, repaired, reused, recycled, and disposed. It is in full control of the design function and applies a DfE approach; for the principle of Planet this translates into sourcing and developing raw materials derived from recycled, animal-friendly, or easily renewable origins; this includes recycled polyester (an oil-based fibre) and Merino wool, which is a specific technical requirement for its core base layers. These decisions enable them to create a Product which is durable, repeatedly usable, harmlessly recoverable and environmentally compatible in disposal (Tsoulfas & Pappis, 2006).

The firm is directly engaged with the raw material purchasing stage and this extends to developing their own performance fabrics; they explicitly look to improve their products, working collaboratively with their key suppliers to develop the most environmentally responsible materials. This innovative use of physical capital i.e. materials, and the harnessing of the tacit skills and expertise of its supply chain partners creates a ‘distinctive visibility’ and competitive advantage that cannot be easily replicated by competitors. The reviewed literature emphasised the role of the design function in the CLSC in enabling
key practices such as recycling and remanufacturing, and it clearly underpins the firm’s strong product stewardship approach.

Product Stewardship

The firm’s Product principle translates into how it addresses each stage of a product’s manufacture and disposal. It believes that clothing firms have a responsibility for a product along its entire lifecycle; this translates into their explicit ‘cradle to cradle’ approach which enables their customers to return garments for repair, reuse and recycling. They consider that garments should be kept and maintained for as long as possible, and product design and manufacture need to contribute to this. The firm applies a strong focus on product performance and longevity that aligns with their environmental principles, and also represents a key component of the CLSC;

"We want to go back to making the kind of jacket your dad still has after 30 years... We don't want that cycle where you get rid of stuff quickly. We want people to keep our stuff for a long time." (Owner).

Their aim is to minimize their environmental burden, in line with the third strategic capability of the NRBV, and also educate the consumer to do the same, so they provide care and disposal information via the products themselves and online channels. They innovate wherever possible throughout their supply chain, and ‘have a thirst for knowledge to improve our garments’; this suggests a drive for continuous improvement in all aspects of the supply chain, rather than just pollution prevention as presented in the NRBV framework. For them environmental sustainability is about change, adaptability and survival, and its active engagement with suppliers, customers and community evidences the stakeholder integration required by the NRBV to achieve a product stewardship capability.

Closing the Loop

The firm applies the CLSC model as illustrated in Figure 3; it incorporates all key clothing supply chain stages, but also explicitly recognises the design function and the consumer’s role in closing the loop, stages that are excluded in the current CLSC model presented in Figure 1. While recycling and reuse close the manufacturing loop, the design function is key to making responsible and sustainable decisions before the process begins, and enabling effective closed loop practices such as recycling. The consumer’s role is multi-faceted as they are responsible for the product use, its care and disposal; responsible product disposal is recognised as often the biggest contribution that can be made to environmental sustainability (Das & Posinasetti, 2015), but is the aspect over which firms typically have the least control.

FIGURE 3 HERE

The longitudinal analysis indicated that the firm has always aimed to solve the challenge of product disposal within its supply chain, and it actively addresses the issue through its repair and return service, which has been available since it was established. The materials and components of returned products which are at the end of their use can be recycled back into raw materials; recycled fibre represents a low-impact alternative to other sources, with reduced energy and resource consumption as well as chemical consumption if it is not over-dyed (Fletcher, 2008). The findings show that the studied firm has always produced their outerwear from recycled polyester, for both performance and environmental purposes, working with the leading industry fibre supplier; based in Japan they developed the closed loop technology that enables 100% polyester garments, materials or components to be recycled into fibre.

While only polyester products can currently be returned to the Japan supplier for recycling into fibre, the firm offers a repair service for their entire product range, which forms its own closed loop within the
supply chain (Kusumastuti et al., 2008). The repair service is performed in-house and aligns with the firm’s belief in product longevity through ensuring the longest possible life for their garments, but also offers a mechanism for gaining insight into their products’ performance and durability in the field, which in turn enhances and develops their product stewardship approach. The literature review highlighted that this CLSC practice is currently underrepresented in both research and practice, but represents a highly relevant component of product stewardship. This finding reflects how the firm has successfully integrated a key stakeholder into the supply chain, and the positive impact that customer loyalty and shared principles has in closing the loop.

**Relationships and Resources**

The firm has always acknowledged the importance of good relationships and explicitly recognises the need for the relational resource of trust in their supplier relationships; ‘It’s not a case of relying on them, but trusting them. It’s about having really good relationships with whoever we’re working with, that there’s transparency and we understand what is required from each other’ (Supply Chain Manager). The NRBV emphasises the need for shared principles and visions with key stakeholders to progress from pollution prevention to a future-facing sustainability strategy. The firm’s embedded and active engagement with its suppliers, customers and community indicates that it has always been well-positioned to reach this stage of the NRBV, and that suppliers are key in fully achieving a CLSC through supporting and connecting each stage.

The long-term perspective that the firm applies brings additional benefits, with some of their suppliers willing to accept lower profit margins because they trust, believe and share in the firm’s sustainability vision. The shared commitment is also evidenced in supplier flexibility and reciprocity with a desire to provide solutions and solve problems for the firm. ‘I know they haven’t made any money on a certain product because of the amount of development and delivery costs... they don’t whinge about it because they see it as a long-term relationship’ (Owner). This further emphasises the role of trust and reciprocity as socially complex resources, and illustrates the strategic benefits of collaborative relationships. The UK supplier interview also presented the related relational theme of ‘brotherhood’, indicating that despite the growth in outsourcing to overseas suppliers there is a strong textile heritage and passion in the UK industry that can harnessed and developed in the CLSC.

While they currently source key materials from overseas suppliers (Table 3) the longitudinal analysis revealed there has always been a desire for the supply chain to be closer to home, to more fully respond to their environmental commitments, and minimise their environmental burden. A key example is the innovative and inimitable Merino wool initiative; in 2008 the firm identified a UK farmer with the necessary connections, knowledge and expertise, which could combine with its own technical and design skills, and then embarked on a very long-term collaborative partnership. The farmer located the only remaining breed of sheep in the UK that could produce the required high quality of wool, and developed a breeding programme to establish production-level numbers, a stage that was achieved during the longitudinal study. It highlights how the firm continuously strives to make their products and what they do better, further illustrating that CI is not limited to pollution prevention, and how they collaboratively engage their suppliers in the process to harness both physical and tacit resources.

**Discussion**

This paper has provided unique and rich insight into the environmental principles, practices, strategic resources and relationships of an exemplar UK clothing firm, and how these different dimensions have informed and developed their CLSC as a response to environmental sustainability. The application of the
NRBV research framework (Figure 3) has shown that the case study’s supply chain relies strongly on supplier collaboration and embedded environmental principles, and it has always taken a proactive, product stewardship-based approach to environmental sustainability. The UK clothing industry is a very relevant area for study, often representing an extreme example of poor environmental and social responsibility, and has historically operated highly transactional and poorly coordinated supply chains. This exemplar case study illustrates that these barriers and challenges can be addressed in the clothing industry through the implementation of coordinated environmental practices and collaborative supplier relationships, and has implications for CLSC design and practice in similarly complex and cost-driven industries and where environmental sustainability is of growing importance.

RQ1. How does a focal firm implement and develop a CLSC response to environmental sustainability?

The reviewed literature highlighted the growing imperative for addressing the environment in supply chains, and presented the recognized mechanisms to do so (Das & Posinasetti, 2015) to include emissions reduction, waste management, recycling, reuse and remanufacturing. The CLSC is of key importance to environmental sustainability as it aims to integrate these activities to minimize environmental impact and maximize value creation (Guide & Van Wassenhove, 2009), and the NRBV provides a 3 stage framework for understanding how a focal firm can progress from internal pollution prevention activities to a sustainability strategy. The findings indicate that the studied clothing firm’s key motivations for implementing a CLSC came from the founder’s strong environmental principles and People, Product and Planet sustainability vision. Clear, well-communicated environmental commitments therefore translated into a strong and embedded organizational culture and brand identity that helped to prevent any significant compromises in developing their CLSC.

As illustrated in the literature DfE represents a key starting point for developing a CLSC as it ensures that environmental responsibility is built into the product itself, and subsequently encourages the right decisions and practices to address environmental sustainability within the clothing supply chain. It is not explicitly incorporated in the current CLSC model, but as the case study has indicated it has a vital role in achieving a product stewardship strategy, and ensuring the effective implementation of the documented recycling practices; without this product design consideration, the extent of the firm’s response to environmental issues will be constrained. The case study firm applies many of the key environmental practices outlined in the literature review, including the innovative use of waste materials to create new clothing products, use of recycled materials in product design and manufacture, and the repair, reuse and recycling of returned products. It reflects its strong and continued product stewardship approach, and its strong environmental principles underpin this, but the findings also show the importance of engaging and integrating key stakeholders to achieve a CLSC. Suppliers could be considered the most important stakeholder, and the case study evidences how effectively the firm has integrated them, but it also indicates the key role of customers in ensuring that clothing products are returned into the loop for recycling, reuse and repair.

The NRBV provides 3 path dependent strategies to guide a firm’s progress from pollution prevention to sustainability, and there is evidence of alignment between these strategies and the studied firm’s supply chain practices. However, the findings suggest that these strategies are not necessarily as sequential as indicated in the current model, and challenges the role and relevance of pollution prevention as an explicit stage in the CLSC. Pollution prevention, through its minimization of waste and use of ‘green’ production processes is a core component of the case study’s product stewardship approach, and the findings have
also indicated that the CI resource associated with pollution prevention applies to all aspects of the firm’s CLSC. This therefore suggests that there are aspects of the 3 strategies that can develop concurrently and that pollution prevention is not necessarily the most appropriate starting point for developing a CLSC, as it is more reactive in nature and the extent of its impact on environmental sustainability is constrained.

RQ2. How do supplier relationships and different resources contribute to a focal firm achieving a CLSC response?

The presented findings illustrate the importance of strong, long-term, and trustful supplier relationships in achieving sustainability principles and commitments, as articulated in the reviewed literature (Ostlin et al., 2008). A collaborative rather than the more transactional approach typically associated with clothing supply chains means that different supply chain stages are coordinated efficiently and effectively, and appropriate environmental practices developed and implemented. Information, tacit skills, expertise and understanding are also shared, contributing to the firm’s strategic resources, and the trust and shared principles with suppliers translates into flexibility and reciprocity that supports their commitment to CI in all aspects, and the ability to dynamically evolve the supply chain. These relationships in turn contribute to the socially complex resources that a firm can harness in its supply chain, and provide the sustainable competitive advantage advocated by the NRBV.

**TABLE 4 HERE**

Table 4 summarises the firm’s physical, tacit and socially complex resources, resources that have enabled the firm to be proactive and value-seeking in its supply chain decisions. These resources have been integral to its product stewardship philosophy, initially in enabling the right materials, products and processes to be developed, and then ensuring that tacit skills and expertise can develop over time and that a sustainability culture and strategy is embedded in the CLSC. Further emphasising the importance of the design function for CLSCs, the firm has been involved in product development from the start, and this has evolved into the creation of proprietary performance fabrics. This control of a key supply chain stage develops strategic resources; the fabrics they have developed are core to their brand and product performance, and difficult for competitors to replicate, while the Merino-quality wool collaboration represents a very rare, valuable and inimitable resource. This is due to the limited availability of the material, but also the more tacit shared skills, vision and commitment of the supply partner.

The firm currently has control of 95% of their textiles, garment development and manufacturing. This level of control and visibility has enabled them to ask important questions in line with their points of commitment, and illuminate all areas of the supply chain. Its strong, trustful, collaborative and often personal supplier relationships allow them to track their tangible environmental achievements and share information and visibility of the whole supply chain with its customers; as the findings have illustrated these represent key stakeholders and should be integrated into the CLSC. The studied firm does have strong connections with its customer base, but could more fully harness them to achieve the same level of control it has within the design and manufacturing functions, and therefore ensure a reliable and manageable flow of returned products. This could be achieved by developing their business model to become a service rather than product provider, engaging in contractual relationships with their customers that would require on-going monitoring and modification of the product (Sundin & Bras, 2005).

Shared environmental principles between firm and supplier, and also customers, make product stewardship possible in the immediate term, but more importantly it is this strong foundation and the relationships that evolve as a result that enable them to progress further; the extent to which a shared vision and commitment becomes embedded over time ensures that a sustainability strategy and fully
coordinated CLSC can be achieved.

**Conclusion, limitations and future research**

This paper builds on CLSC research that is taking a more integrated approach to understanding environmental principles, value creation and relationships in global supply chains (Miemczyk et al., 2016), and applies an NRBV framework to the UK clothing industry where environmental sustainability is of critical importance. CLSCs are recognised as a significantly important mechanism to address environmental sustainability (Crandall, 2006), but have not been broadly researched or developed to date (Kumar and Putnam, 2008). There is an acknowledged need for practitioner tools in the field (Das and Posinasetti, 2015), and the global and complex nature of the clothing industry strongly reflects the key challenges of CLSC implementation. The ‘greening’ of products and processes is a dominant metaphor in current research and practice (Vachon & Klassen, 2006), but this focus on pollution prevention limits the CLSC response, and lacks the embeddedness required for achieving a sustainability strategy. Pollution prevention is a reactive approach to environmental sustainability, but the case study has shown that it does not have to be the initial response to environmental sustainability, and more importantly that CLSCs are not just the tangible implementation of environmentally responsible practices.

The paper illustrates the applicability of the NRBV framework for developing CLSC research from this dominant practice-based response to a much-needed holistic perspective, that more fully integrates environmental and social considerations in the supply chain (Ashby et al., 2012), and highlights the role of tacit resources, shared principles/visions, and socially complex relationships in enabling sustainability principles to be embedded. As demonstrated by the studied firm this embeddedness and a long-term, perspective means the environment is incorporated into every supply chain stage, from design to disposal, and enables the most appropriate CLSC decisions to be made. The findings evidence that the CLSC requires strong environmental foundations, but that it is also a dynamic and evolving entity; the longitudinal analysis revealed the firm’s progressive change from its Japan polyester supplier to one in Europe, and how its embedded and shared principles minimised its impact on the supply chain.

The findings indicated a need to extend the CLSC model to explicitly integrate the design function and the end customer, as these will ensure that appropriate environmental activities can be implemented effectively. The studied firm’s strong and committed focus on product design and performance provides practitioners with a more appropriate starting point for the CLSC, as it ensures that the environment is ‘built in’ to the product and subsequent supply chain stages, rather than just implementing pollution prevention activities. This product stewardship approach ensures that practitioners recognise the importance of integrating key stakeholders in the CLSC, and how these relationships can develop to help minimise the environmental burden of the supply chain. The case study illustrates it is more straightforward to take control of the design function, but that a successful strategy will rely on developing connections with the end customer. A strong brand, product identity and shared principles will form the foundation of these connections, and could enable more functional sales mechanisms such as a customer contract based on service (Sundin & Bras, 2005). This would enable the focal firm to monitor and develop product performance and ensure the maximum possible return of products.

The path dependent process proposed by the NRBV, while aligned to the CLSC model is not necessarily the most effective approach, and for researchers this offers the opportunity to develop the NRBV framework further within the CLSC field, and in multi-disciplinary and integrative methodological directions (Ashby et al., 2012). Conceptually this requires a less linear framework where pollution prevention is not considered strategic, as in the NRBV, but rather the operational norm. This would remove the cost dimension, recognised as skewing sustainability responses (Seuring, 2008), and
emphasise tacit and socially complex resources. Strategies would then evolve from strong organisational principles rather than progression along a specific path, providing an individualised, embedded and proactive response to environmental sustainability. There is therefore a need to apply multiple theoretical lenses that can fully capture the organisational, intangible resources and relational aspects of this framework; Institutional, (Social) Network, Stakeholder and Social Capital theories offer this potential, but while employed in sustainability research they are typically used independent of each other.

The limitations of the paper are through its focus on a single case study, albeit one that has strong environmental principles that have driven its commitment to and development of a CLSC. The findings offer unique insights into the successful implementation of a CLSC, and the role played by strategic resources and stakeholder relationships, but they are not representative of the average clothing firm and therefore not generalizable to the clothing industry as a whole. There is therefore a need for multiple case studies of firms in the process of developing a CLSC to address environmental sustainability; to improve the generalisability of the findings CLSC practice in other firms and industries should be evaluated against the NRBV to develop a more dynamically interactive model than is currently presented.
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### Appendix 1 – Interview Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context Area</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Field Procedures/ Sources of Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company Ethos</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>What is your background? (each interviewee)</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What are the firm’s sustainability principles?</td>
<td>Company literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How are these communicated?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Product</td>
<td>Who is involved with the design of your products and why?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How are design requirements communicated to the supply chain?</td>
<td>Product specs/brochures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How do you develop/evolve existing products?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Materials</td>
<td>Product</td>
<td>What raw materials do you use and why?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Where do you source your raw materials and why?</td>
<td>Product specs/brochures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garment Production</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Where are your finished products manufactured and why?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How do you monitor and manage this stage of the process?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier relationships</td>
<td>Organisation/suppliers</td>
<td>How do you manage your supplier relationships?</td>
<td>Interviews with firm and its suppliers where feasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How long have you been working with each supplier?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you have policies/codes of practice in place with your suppliers and if so how do you ensure they are achieved?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How important are your supply chain relationships to achieving your business and sustainability goals?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How frequently do you change or source new suppliers?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Organisation/suppliers</td>
<td>How do you communicate with your suppliers?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How frequently?</td>
<td>Marketing material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Who has direct communication with your suppliers and why?</td>
<td>Direct observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Who is involved in business decisions and why?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How do you align your decisions with your firm ethos/principles?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Life</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Do you have any mechanisms to allow customers to return products to you for repair/reuse/recycling?</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do your suppliers provide any end of life options?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Performance</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>How many staff do you employ?</td>
<td>Annual reports/financial data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What is your annual turnover?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are you profitable?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 – Themes from Analysis and Coding Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross Interview Themes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SME Characteristics</strong></td>
<td>Home originated business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High eco literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply chain practice</strong></td>
<td>SCM understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High levels of measurement (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unique processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply chain configuration</strong></td>
<td>European manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creation of new industry/supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UK produced, processed &amp; manufactured (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local not necessarily ethical (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply chain relationships</strong></td>
<td>Personal relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust &amp; transparency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Innovation, adaptability, evolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterity &amp; heritage (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply chain boundaries</strong></td>
<td>Product lifecycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed loops (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product</strong></td>
<td>Longevity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functionality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer informs product development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principles</strong></td>
<td>Integrity &amp; honesty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product, planet, people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telling a story</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No compromise on quality (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cannot hurry nature (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial/operational</strong></td>
<td>Commerciality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buyer priorities - price over expertise (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High street uncaring &amp; unaware (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social responsibility</strong></td>
<td>Local charity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Textile brotherhood (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: 1 = UK supplier, 2 = Japan supplier
Figure 1: Closed Loop Supply Chain (CLSC) (Sarkis, 1995)
Figure 2: The Research Framework
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Figure 3: The Closed Loop Model
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Capability</th>
<th>Environmental Driving Force</th>
<th>Key Resource</th>
<th>Competitive Advantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Prevention</td>
<td>Minimise emissions &amp; waste</td>
<td>Continuous improvement</td>
<td>Lower costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Stewardship</td>
<td>Minimise lifecycle cost of products</td>
<td>Stakeholder integration</td>
<td>Pre-empt competitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Minimise environmental burden of firm growth &amp; development</td>
<td>Shared vision</td>
<td>Future position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Natural Resource Based View of the Firm (Hart, 1995)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Interviewee</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13/4/10</td>
<td>41 mins</td>
<td>Firm Owner</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/4/10</td>
<td>38 mins</td>
<td>Supply Chain Manager</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/1/11</td>
<td>48 mins</td>
<td>Firm Owner</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3/11</td>
<td>1 hour 21 mins</td>
<td>Design Director</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/6/11</td>
<td>59 mins</td>
<td>Supply Chain Manager</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/10/11</td>
<td>45 mins</td>
<td>Japan Supplier – UK Manager</td>
<td>Head Office, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/11/11</td>
<td>1 hour 15 mins</td>
<td>UK Supplier - Owner</td>
<td>Supplier premises, Devon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/11</td>
<td>27 mins</td>
<td>Firm Owner</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/3/12</td>
<td>34 mins</td>
<td>Supply Chain Manager</td>
<td>Head Office, Cornwall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Interview Details
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Established</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turnover 2011/12</td>
<td>£668,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover 2014/15</td>
<td>£1.48M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Employees</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation</td>
<td>Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Mechanisms</td>
<td>Independent retailers, own retail outlets, online sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier Locations</td>
<td>Australia, China, Japan, Portugal, Italy, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Products</td>
<td>Knitted base layers, waterproofs, insulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-house activities</td>
<td>Product design, marketing, warehousing &amp; despatch, customer service, product repair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Key Company Information
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Resources</th>
<th>Tacit Resources</th>
<th>Socially Complex Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>Skills and expertise</td>
<td>Personal relationships/friendship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own performance fabrics</td>
<td>Brand identity/story/loyalty</td>
<td>Shared vision and principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative developments</td>
<td>Principles</td>
<td>Trust and commitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product longevity</td>
<td>Reputation</td>
<td>Supply chain culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product recycling and</td>
<td>Firm culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Case Study Firm’s Strategic Resources