Exploring foot and ankle impairments affecting mobility and balance in stroke (FAiMiS study)
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Purpose: Foot posture abnormalities have been reported in 30% of people with stroke and are suggested to occur more frequently in people who walk indoors only. Stroke survivors also report that foot and ankle impairments are problematic and impact on everyday function. This project aimed to compare foot and ankle sensori-motor function of people with stroke with controls and to examine foot and ankle impairments as predictors of mobility and balance outcomes.

Methods: This cross-sectional observational study involved 180 people with stroke, >3 months post onset and mobilising independently, recruited from NHS stroke services and support groups at two UK sites, and 46 age- and gender-matched controls. Foot and ankle impairments assessed included foot posture, muscle strength, ankle and hallux joint dorsiflexion ROM, spasticity, sensation, foot pain, and dynamic foot loading. Mobility assessments included fast paced timed 10 m walk, and the forward functional reach was used as an indicator of balance. Descriptive statistics and data distribution was examined to determine the use of appropriate parametric and nonparametric analysis using SPSS version 22.0. Key variables were examined for co-variance and included in a multiple regression analysis.

Results: Foot and ankle impairment measures in stroke and control participants demonstrated significant differences between both groups in several variables including pain, spasticity, sensory loss and strength on the paretic side (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences in non-paretic muscle strength or in ankle and hallux dorsiflexion range. Multiple regression analysis on complete cases (n = 112; 12 variables) identified that walking velocity could be predicted by strength, range of motion, peak pressure and sway (R² = 0.55). Pooled analysis with imputed data found peak foot pressure of the paretic limb was an additional significant predictor. Balance, assessed using the Forward Functional Reach Test, produced a multiple regression analysis of R² of 0.42, with increased strength, range of motion, paretic foot peak pressure and reduced sway associated with greater forward reach. Pooled analysis with imputed data also indicated that peak foot pressure of the paretic limb was a significant predictor in the regression model. Whilst 18% of stroke participants reported a history of falls logistic regression analysis did not identify significant predictors of a falls history.

Conclusion(s): This work focused on foot and ankle impairments and as expected, found significant differences in key impairments between stroke and control participants. Notably, it has found that impairments such as strength and reduced ROM accompanied by measures of dynamic foot loading can, to some extent, predict overall mobility and balance outcomes following a stroke.

Implications: The findings indicate that foot interaction with the ground is an influential factor for mobility and balance and should be given due consideration in clinical practice. Foot and ankle muscle strength and ankle dorsiflexion joint range were key predictors of walking velocity and forward reach. Measures of dynamic foot loading, namely sway velocity and peak foot pressure for the paretic limb, were also consistent predictors. These impairments can be considered target areas for rehabilitation.
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