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Abstract

The occurrence, fate and behaviour of carbon nanomaterials in the aquatic environment are dominated by their functionalization, association with organic material and aggregation behaviour. In particular, the degradation of fullerene aggregates in the aquatic environment is a primary influence on their mobility, sorption potential and toxicity. However, the degradation and kinetics of water suspensions of fullerenes remain poorly understood.

In the present work, first, an analytical method based on liquid chromatography and high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS) for the determination of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene and their environmental transformation products was developed. Secondly, a series of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene water suspensions were degraded under relevant environmental conditions, controlling the salinity, the humic substances content, the pH and the sunlight irradiation. Up to ten transformation products were tentatively identified, including epoxides and dimers with two C\textsubscript{60} units linked via one or two adjacent furane-like rings. Fullerenols were not observed under these environmentally relevant conditions.

The kinetics of generation of each transformation product were studied with and without simulated sunlight conditions. The ionic strength of the media, its pH and the humic substances content were observed to modulate the kinetics of generation.
1. Introduction

Since its discovery [1], C$_{60}$ fullerene stands as one of the most deeply studied carbon-based nanomaterial. Nowadays, C$_{60}$ fullerene and its derivatives have been proposed for use in medical applications [2, 3], in analytical chemistry [4, 5], in microelectronics components [6, 7], in solar cells’ bulk hetero-junctions [8, 9] and as a component of a wide diversity of nanocomposites [10, 11]. In addition, fullerenes, together with other carbon nanomaterials, may be generated in highly energetic events of either natural or anthropogenic nature, including lightning discharges [12], meteorite (chondrite) impacts [13, 14], forest fires [15, 16], candles [17] and car engines [18]. The last consequence of all of these processes is the emission of fullerenes into the environment [19-26], where they are distributed amongst its different compartments.

The analysis of fullerenes in the environment is a relatively recent topic. From an analytical perspective, their analysis is challenging because of their extremely low environmental levels [27], the need for specific instrumentation, and their hydrophobicity. Besides, mass spectrometric analysis of fullerenes has some unique challenges: low ionization efficiencies are obtained with both electrospray ionisation (ESI) and atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) sources and, in addition, the fragmentation of fullerenes by tandem mass spectrometers is virtually non-existent. Therefore, methods based on atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) sources and high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) are preferred [19, 21, 28]. Recent analytical approaches have allowed lower detection limits [29], and the occurrence of C$_{60}$S has been reported in rivers and ponds at low ng l$^{-1}$ and sub-ng l$^{-1}$ concentrations [21-24, 28]. Despite the extreme hydrophobicity of this molecule (log K$_{OW}$=6.67 [30]), C$_{60}$ fullerene can be stabilized in water because (1) C$_{60}$ is a strong sorbent material that is readily attached to suspended matter [31] and (2) fullerene molecules aggregate creating clusters [32] (termed herein as nC$_{60}$), the surfaces of which are surrounded by a “stable spherical shell of interconnected water molecules” [33].

It is well known that the colloidal behaviour of nC$_{60}$ responds to the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) model [34-36]. The stabilization of nC$_{60}$ is attributed to repulsive van der Waals forces, but it is unclear to what extent the chemical functionalization of the aggregates’ surface plays a role in the stability of nC$_{60}$ in the real environment. For instance, it is known that UV irradiation induces chemical changes in
nC\textsubscript{60} surfaces and enhances the stability of nC\textsubscript{60} in water [37, 38]. This can be attributed to the excitation of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene to singlet C\textsubscript{60} (1\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}}), which in turn is converted into triplet C\textsubscript{60} (3\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}}) via intersystem crossing (ISC), as described in equation 1.

\[ \text{1}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{1}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}}^* \xrightarrow{\text{ISC}} \text{3}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}}^* \] equation 1

This mechanism was initially studied in benzene suspension by Arbogast et al. (1991) [39] and was later observed in aqueous suspension [40, 41]. Once generated, triple excited C\textsubscript{60} undergoes several reactions, including self-quenching with ambient oxygen, which generates singlet oxygen and singlet C\textsubscript{60} (equation 2); C\textsubscript{60} oligomerization, via [2+2] cycloaddition (equation 3); and C\textsubscript{60} functionalization, such as the formation of a variety of epoxides (equation 4) [42, 43].

\[ \text{3}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}} + \text{3}\textsuperscript{O_2} \rightarrow \text{1}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}} + \text{3}\textsuperscript{O_2} \] equation 2

\[ m\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}} \rightarrow \text{(C\textsubscript{60})}_m \] equation 3

\[ \text{3}\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{60}} + \frac{x}{2} \text{1}\textsuperscript{O_2} \rightarrow \text{C\textsubscript{60}O}_x, \ x=1–5 \] equation 4

The production of these transformation products is dependent on two main factors: the type of irradiation and to the presence of ozone. With regards to the former, under strong irradiation conditions, reactions occur relatively quickly, whilst under natural sunlight they happen during weeks/months [44]. In addition, under harsh irradiation conditions, other transformation products have been observed, such as the C\textsubscript{60} dicarbonyl [45], and even the breakdown of the fullerene carbon cage [46]. With regards to the presence of ozone, Fortner et al. (2007) observed the high reactivity of nC\textsubscript{60}, which resulted in the formation of oxidised transformation products with up to 29 oxygen atoms. Heymann et al. described the generation of the relatively stable [6,6]-closed C\textsubscript{60} epoxide, upon ozonolysis, via decomposition of the intermediate [6,6]-closed C\textsubscript{60} ozonide (C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{3}) [47]. Murdianti et al. (2012) detected significant concentrations of the same [6,6]-closed C\textsubscript{60} epoxide after the exposure to common ozone concentrations, under ambient air exposure [48].

The wide variety of (photo)transformation products and the influence of ambient factors on their generation underpin the need to faithfully reproduce realistic environmental conditions in order to unambiguously identify which C\textsubscript{60} fullerene transformation products are generated in the real environment, to study their kinetics and, ultimately, to
study their occurrence in real samples. This topic has recently been addressed in air [49] and soil [50] matrices, but has not been systematically assessed in waters with different physicochemical properties.

In the present study, an analytical method based on high performance liquid chromatography coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry (HPLC-HRMS) was developed for the analysis of C₆₀ transformation products. C₆₀ was dispersed by long term stirring in artificial water media (containing environmentally relevant pH values, salt compositions and humic acid contents) and nC₆₀ were exposed, under atmospheric condition, to controlled sunlight or dark conditions. The presence of environmentally relevant transformation products and their kinetics of formation were assessed.
2. Experimental section

2.1. Reagents and chemicals

A standard of C$_{60}$ fullerene (sublimed, 99.9 % purity; ref. 572500) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). $^{13}$C-enriched C$_{60}$ fullerene (>99 % purity; ref. MRL613), containing 45–55 % of $^{13}$C atoms in each C$_{60}$ molecule ($^{13}$C$_{27}$C$_{33}$–$^{13}$C$_{33}$C$_{12}$C$_{27}$) was purchased from MER Corporation (Tucson, AZ, USA). The molecule $^{13}$C$_{30}$C$_{30}$ (m/z of its molecular radical anion, 750.1012) was used as an internal standard. Standards of humic acids (technical grade, reference 53680) and salts (including CaCl$_2$, CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, H$_3$BO$_3$, KBr, KCl, MgCl$_2$, MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, NaCl, NaF, NaHCO$_3$, Na$_2$SO$_4$, and SrCl$_2$·6H$_2$O) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany).

With respect to the solvents used in the extraction: methanol (LiChrosolv®) was supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); dichloromethane, toluene and ethyl acetate (“for organic residue analysis” grade) were purchased from J.T.Baker (Deventer, The Netherlands); and 1,2-dichlorobenzene (anhydrous, 99 %) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate ([BMIM][PF$_6$], ≥97.0 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Concerning solvents for chromatography: methanol, ultrapure water (UPW) and acetonitrile (Optima® LC/MS grade) were purchased from Fischer Chemical (Loughborough, UK), while toluene (Chromasolv®) and $n$-hexane (SupraSolv®) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Preparation of the fullerene suspensions

1.0 ± 0.05 mg of C$_{60}$ fullerene powder was weighed in tared quartz vials. 20.0 ± 0.02 ml of the corresponding water medium were pipetted inside each vial, obtaining a suspension with a concentration 50 ± 2.5 mg 1$^{-1}$ (~69 ± 3.5 µM). Vials were loosely capped with previously slitted aluminium foil, allowing free ambient air exchange while preventing potential contamination from dust deposition.

Nine different media were prepared, simulating different environmental scenarios (see Table 1). Suspensions 1, 2 and 3 allowed the study of the effects of salinity; suspensions 1, 4 and 5 allowed the study of the effects of pH; and suspensions 1, 6 and 7 allowed the study of the effects of humic substances content. These three parameters are known to control the colloidal chemistry of nC$_{60}$ [32, 51, 52] and, subsequently, the number of C$_{60}$ molecules located in the surface of the aggregates. In addition, experiment 8, reproducing artificial freshwater (AFW), and experiment 9, artificial seawater (ASW), were also
conducted. The salinity, the pH and the concentration of humic acids were studied because they have been shown to regulate the size of nC$_{60}$ aggregates [32, 51, 52], which can be a relevant factor if we assume that functionalization of fullerenes is a surface reaction.

Media with a high ionic strength (46,000 µS cm$^{-1}$, suspensions 3 and 9) were prepared in order to simulate the salinity of seawater [53] by dissolving NaCl (23.93 g l$^{-1}$), MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O (10.80 g l$^{-1}$), Na$_2$SO$_4$ (4.01 g l$^{-1}$), CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (1.50 g l$^{-1}$), KCl (0.677 g l$^{-1}$), NaHCO$_3$ (196.0 mg l$^{-1}$), KBr (98.0 mg l$^{-1}$), H$_3$BO$_3$ (26.0 mg l$^{-1}$), SrCl$_2$·6H$_2$O (24.0 mg l$^{-1}$), and NaF (3.0 mg l$^{-1}$) in UPW. Media with an intermediate ionic strength (970 µS/cm, suspensions 2 and 8) were prepared according to Lipschitz and Michel (2002) [54] by dissolving NaCl (175.3 mg l$^{-1}$), CaCl$_2$ (22.2 mg l$^{-1}$), MgCl$_2$ (19.0 mg l$^{-1}$) and KCl (14.9 mg l$^{-1}$) in UPW. The remaining suspensions were prepared with UPW (5.8 µS/cm), adjusting the humic acid contents and the pH with NaOH(aq) and HCl(aq) when necessary.

2.3. Weathering, sampling and extraction Two series of experiments were performed: one with sunlight irradiation and another in dark conditions. Quartz vials for irradiated experiments were gently vortexed during 1.0 min and placed in a SunTest apparatus from Heraeus (Hanau, Germany), equipped with a Xenon arc lamp that provided an irradiance of 600 W m$^{-2}$ (E$_{\lambda=365}$ nm = 3.180 mW cm$^{-2}$ and E$_{\lambda=312}$ nm = 2.283 mW cm$^{-2}$). Quartz vials for non-irradiated experiments were covered with aluminium foil, placed in a 10-position magnetic stirrer and agitated with PTFE-coated magnetic bars.

Aliquots were taken from each vial periodically until the experiments were finalized, after 48 and 96 hours for the irradiated and the non-irradiated series, respectively. The optimization of the extraction method is described in the Supplementary Information (Text S1). Briefly, 500 µL of sample were placed in a 3 ml glass vial containing 1.00 ml of toluene spiked with $^{13}$C-enriched C$_{60}$ fullerene at a concentration of 10 ng mL$^{-1}$. The vial was capped and vortexed during 1 min. After separation of phases, an aliquot of ~1 ml of toluene extract was transferred with a Pasteur pipette into a 1.5 ml amber LC vial for its instrumental analysis.

2.4. Instrumental analysis The HPLC-HRMS method was based on a previously published one [21]. Some modifications were introduced in order to optimize the separation and detection of C$_{60}$ transformation products.
The optimization of the HPLC-HRMS method is described in the Supplementary Information (Texts S2 and S3). HPLC separation was achieved by non-aqueous LC, using an Acquity UPLC System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a COSMOSIL™ Buckyprep column (150 × 2.0 mm; particle size, 5 μm; pore size, ~120 Å) from Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan). The mobile phase consisted of an isocratic flow of toluene and methanol, at a 9 to 1 ratio, flowing at 0.4 ml.min⁻¹ during 20 min. 20 μl of extract was injected in each run.

The system was coupled to a Q Exactive™ mass spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) with a hybrid atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation / atmospheric pressure photoionisation (APCI/APPI) source Ion Max (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) working in APPI mode. Ionisation was carried out in negative polarity and source parameters were set as follows: sheath gas, 40 a.u.; auxiliary gas, 25 a.u.; spare gas, 1 a.u.; spray voltage, 5.0 kV; capillary and probe heater temperatures, 300 °C and 400 °C, respectively; and S-lens RF, 90 %. Acquisition was performed in full scan from m/z = 300 to 1,600 with a resolution of 140,000 full width at half maximum (FWHM).

2.5. Precautions and safety considerations Precautions and safety considerations are summarised in the Text S4 of the Supplementary Information.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Identification of transformation products Up to ten transformation products of C₆₀ fullerene were detected (see Table 2). All the experimental m/z signals could be tentatively assigned to empirical formulae with mass accuracies <1.5 ppm (<0.5 ppm, in those molecules smaller than 1,000 Da). The identified compounds also presented the isotopic patterns of C₆₀ (with a predominant [¹²C₆₀]⁻ signal, followed by the signals [¹²C₅₉⁻¹³C]⁻ and [¹²C₅₈¹³C₂]⁻, with relative intensities of ~0.65 and ~0.22, respectively) or C₁₂₀ (with a predominant [¹²C₁₁₉¹³C]⁻ signal, and the signals [¹²C₁₂₀]⁻ and [¹²C₁₁₈¹³C₂]⁻ with relative intensities of ~0.77 and ~0.64).

The tentatively identified compounds included several oxidized fullerenes, one monooxidized dimer of C₆₀ fullerene and three signals corresponding to dioxidized dimers of C₆₀ fullerenes. Standards of these compounds were not commercially available.
A single peak of mono-oxidised fullerene was observed with a retention time of 3.50 min (see Figure 1b). The structural isomers that can be assigned to the formula C\textsubscript{60}O are the C\textsubscript{60} epoxide (abbreviated [6,6]C\textsubscript{60}O) and the C\textsubscript{60} oxido-annulene (abbreviated [5,6]C\textsubscript{60}O) [55], both represented in the Figure S5 of the Supporting Information. Both molecules can be generated by oxidation, under harsh oxidative conditions, i.e. with dimethyldioxirane [56], or by reaction with ozone, as reported before [57]. While the thermolysis of the ozonide intermediate produces [6,6]C\textsubscript{60}O, its photolytic scission produces [5,6]C\textsubscript{60}O. Therefore, [6,6]C\textsubscript{60}O is likely to be predominant in dark experiments, while [5,6]C\textsubscript{60}O would be formed by irradiation but the simultaneous generation of both products cannot be excluded.

The other two peaks in Figure 1b, with retention times (t\textsubscript{R}) of ~2 and 3.07 min corresponded to a matrix interference and to an APPI-adduct of the C\textsubscript{60} peak, respectively.

Three C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{2} signals were observed: one peak at t\textsubscript{R}=3.73 (k’=3.0), another at t\textsubscript{R}=6.92 (k’=6.2) and a third signal at t\textsubscript{R}=8.71. While the third peak is believed to be a chromatographic artefact (attributed to the in-source fragmentation of C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{3}) the first two peaks can be assigned to transformation products, either C\textsubscript{60} dicarbonyl and to diepoxidized C\textsubscript{60}. The C\textsubscript{60} dicarbonyl is generated by decomposition of the C\textsubscript{60} dioxetane, a highly unstable intermediate produced by the addition of a \text{O}_2 to a C\textsubscript{60}’s [6,6] bond [45]. Nevertheless, the C\textsubscript{60} dicarbonyl is unlikely to be generated in the present experiments since its precursor, the C\textsubscript{60} dioxetane, is only generated under harsh irradiation conditions. The presence of epoxy groups instead of carbonyl groups on mono-, di- and tri-oxidised fullerenes was also confirmed by FTIR and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR after light exposure in solution [58]. More likely, the two peaks belong to any of the eight regioisomers of diepoxidized C\textsubscript{60} fullerene that can exist [59] (see Figure 2). In previous works two chromatographic peaks have been also reported corresponding to diepoxides of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene, after reaction with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid [59, 60] or with ozone [61]. While the predominant peak has been previously identified as the isomer cis1, which is also the most stable diepoxide according to computational calculations [60, 62], the less intense peak has been assigned to an unresolved mixture of diepoxidized fullerenes [59], to the equatorial isomer [60], and to the isomer cis2 [61]. Therefore, the peak at k’=3.0, which was the predominant one in all the experiments, was assigned to the cis1 regioisomer, while the peak at k’=6.2 may be either the cis2 or the equatorial isomer. To further characterize both diepoxides, the toluene extract was fractionated and the fractions
corresponding to the first and second peaks were analysed by infrared (IR) spectroscopy. However, due to the low concentrations and to the interference from column bleed, non-conclusive results were obtained.

As can be seen in Figure 1d, four signals were detected with the m/z of trioxidized C\textsubscript{60} fullerenes. The signals at t\textsubscript{R}=3.73 and t\textsubscript{R}=6.92 min are APPI-adducts of C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{2} isomers, which have already been described. In contrast, the other two signals belong to new transformation products (k'=3.8 and k'=6.4, the second one being the most intense). The presence of the ozonide C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{3} was discarded because of its instability \cite{63}. Instead, according to previous theoretical and experimental works \cite{60, 62, 64}, the presence of different isomers of the trioxidized C\textsubscript{60} fullerene was considered. Among the 43 possible regioisomers of trioxidized C\textsubscript{60} fullerene, the most abundant was the one that contains the three oxygen atoms in the [6,6] bonds of the same benzene ring (C\textsubscript{3v} symmetry, see Figure S6a), which is thermodynamically favoured \cite{65}. The least abundant detected compounds were likely to present the three epoxide groups in adjacent [6,6] bonds (see Figures S6b and S6c): two groups in the same benzene ring and the third group in the most nearby [6,6] bond of an adjacent benzene ring.

Similarly, a single tetraoxidized transformation product was detected (see Figure S7). To our knowledge, the stability and relative predominance of the C\textsubscript{60} tetraepoxide regioisomers has not been addressed to date in any previous work. According to the stability criteria that have been employed for the triepoxides discussion, the most stable regioisomer of the tetraepoxides family should be the one with three functional groups in the same benzene ring and the fourth epoxide group in an adjacent [6,6] bond. Epoxides with formula C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{5} or C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{6} have been observed elsewhere after 1-3 minutes of ozonolysis \cite{66}, but were short-lived. IR evidence of cage rupture was found for high degree of oxygenation \cite{67}. The softer oxidation conditions employed in our work could also account for their nondetection.

The formation of four fullerene dimers was also observed (Figure 3), corresponding to a compound with formula (C\textsubscript{60})\textsubscript{2}O and three compounds with formula (C\textsubscript{60})\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}. The signal of (C\textsubscript{60})\textsubscript{2}O (k'=7.2) was already elucidated \cite{68} (see chromatogram and proposed structure in Figure 4). This compound is generated by [2+2] reaction of [6,6]C\textsubscript{60}O with the [6,6] bond of a C\textsubscript{60} fullerene, originating a molecule with two C\textsubscript{60} units linked by a furane-like ring.
Regarding \((\text{C}_{60})_2\text{O}_2\), three different chromatographic peaks were observed (I, II and III in Figure 3). The peak I \((k' = 8.2)\) appeared as a well-resolved chromatographic peak while the peaks II \((k' = 15.5)\) and III \((k' = 19.2)\) corresponded to mixtures of chromatographically unresolved isomers. Two different types of structures could be assigned to these three peaks: an epoxidized \((\text{C}_{60})_2\text{O}\), which may be produced either by the [2+2] reaction of two [6,6]C\(_{60}\)O molecules or by epoxidation of a \((\text{C}_{60})_2\text{O}\) molecule; or a bis-linked compound, consisting in two \(\text{C}_{60}\) units fused via two adjacent furane-like rings. The furane-like rings links may be separated by [6,6] bonds or by [5,6] bonds, as described elsewhere [69]. In this case, the assignment of a structure to each peak was less clear. On the basis of the retention of each peak and the polarity of the compounds, peak I may be the bis-linked compound, while peaks II and III were most likely related to a mixture of regioisomers, as described in Figure 3.

In a previous work, \((\text{C}_{60})_2\text{O}\) and \((\text{C}_{60})_2\text{O}_2\) have been identified as degradation products of \(\text{C}_{60}\) fullerene in solid state [70]. Also, the presence of dimerized fullerenes were suspected after UV-spectroscopy analyses in aerosol samples degraded with ozone [69]. However, according to our knowledge, this is the first time that fullerene dimers are unambiguously proven to be a component of n\(\text{C}_{60}\) produced under environmentally relevant conditions. Neither \((\text{C}_{60})_2\) nor any molecule containing more than two sub-units of \(\text{C}_{60}\) fullerene were detected in the present work.

Finally, hydroxylated fullerenes, or fullerenols, were not detected in any degradation experiment, including the analysis of the toluene extracts, the analysis of extracts by other organic solvents (see Text S1) and the analysis of water suspensions by direct injection inside the mass spectrometer. The use of an electrospray source, which has been used elsewhere for analysing these compounds [71], was also fruitless. In the literature, fullerenols were recently reported in soils incubated under ultraviolet irradiation [50] and in water suspensions prepared by direct sonication without light exposure [72, 73]. Therefore, in a complementary experiment, a \(\text{C}_{60}\) suspension was prepared in ultrapure water at pH 12 and was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 1 hour. After extraction with toluene, the analysis of the extract revealed a series of prominent peaks with molecular ions \([\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_x\text{H}_{(x-1)}]^-\) \((x=1-6)\), presumably belonging to deprotonated fullerenols. The intensities of these signals were \(\sim 10^4\) times lower than that of the precursor, \(\text{C}_{60}\) fullerene, and, as can be seen in Figure S8, all the fullerenols eluted at a lower retention time than \(\text{C}_{60}\) \((\text{selectivity} \alpha \leq 0.55)\), in contrast to the other compounds listed in Table 2. It can be
concluded that fullerenols are not produced in water suspension in normal environmental conditions, as it was not observed previously in ultrapure water [48].

3.2. Kinetics of degradation of C_{60} fullerene in ultrapure water Table 3 summarizes the results obtained when plotting the concentration of fullerene epoxides against the time of experiment. The concentrations of the degradation products were normalized by the concentration of precursor C_{60}, as justified in the Supplementary Text S5 and Figure S9. The presence or absence of sunlight affected decisively the generation of epoxides. Higher concentrations of transformation products were observed in experiments with sunlight in comparison to experiments in the dark. E.g., concentrations of C_{60}O_4, C_{60}O_3 (k' = 3.8) and C_{60}O_2 (k' = 6.4) were 19±11, 7.3±5.5 and 2.9±1.3 times higher in the presence of sunlight than in the dark.

In irradiated experiments, during the initial hours, the concentration of epoxides increased rapidly and more or less linearly; then, it reached a maximum concentration, which in experiments performed in ultrapure water was observed at ~1.5 h); and, during the following 46.5 hours the concentration of epoxides stabilized or decreased (see Figures S10 and S11). In contrast, in experiments without simulated sunlight irradiation, the concentration of epoxides increased steadily and linearly during the 96 hours of the experiment. This general behaviour was observed for all the detected epoxides except for C_{60}O_3 (k' = 3.8), which reached a peak concentration after only 24 hours of agitation and stabilized.

As can be seen in Table 3, the generation of epoxides was much slower in the dark (the slopes of the linear regressions are two orders of magnitude lower than in the irradiated experiment). Therefore, the epoxidation of fullerenes was catalysed by light, but light exposure itself was responsible for the disappearance of these compounds after 72 hours. This suggests that the stability of fullerene epoxides is limited, but the identity of the next generation of transformation products remains unknown. The generation of higher epoxides, or even open-cage transformation products, can be hypothesized.

With regards to dimers with one and two furane-like rings, their generation in ultrapure water experiments, with and without sunlight irradiation, can be seen in Figure S10. As can be seen (Figure S10.a), C_{120}O was not found in experiments without light irradiation. It’s generation may be too slow to be observed under these conditions. When simulated
sunlight was applied, C\textsubscript{120}O was detected after 6 hours and its concentration increased linearly (in ultrapure water, r=0.95, p=0.013) with an apparent constant of \(2.62 \times 10^{-7} \text{ h}^{-1}\). This slope was the flattest of all the compounds, 20 times smaller than that of C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{3} (\(k' = 3.8\)), which was the least abundant epoxide detected. C\textsubscript{120}O was not only the least abundant degradation product of C\textsubscript{60} but it also was the only one that increased monotonically through time. This could potentially become a useful tool for dating the presence of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene in the environment.

Finally, C\textsubscript{120}O\textsubscript{2} (\(k' = 8.2\)) was detected in both types of experiments, when suspended in the dark and with light exposure, but a different behaviour was observed in each case. The dimer was generated by solvation and, in the dark, its concentration remained stable during the first 48 hours, after which it increased significantly (see Figure S10.b). In contrast, when irradiated, the concentration of C\textsubscript{120}O\textsubscript{2} decreased significantly (see Figure S10.d), indicating the lability of this compound.

3.3. Role of salinity, humic acids and pH
As detailed in Table 1, degradation experiments were performed at three conductivities (5.8, 970 and 46,000 \(\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}\)), at three pHs (6.00, 7.00 and 8.15), and with varying concentrations of humic acids (0, 0.3 and 2.25 mg l\(^{-1}\)). Overall, drastic differences were not observed when modifying these parameters, since the profile of transformation products and their general behaviour remained unchanged, and these physicochemical properties just modulated the results obtained.

Regarding salinity, in experiments without light exposure, higher ionic strengths resulted in higher concentrations of epoxides and dimers (Figure S11). This trend cannot be satisfactorily explained by the DLVO theory. According to this model, an increase in the salinity of the medium leads to the suppression of the electric double barrier of the aggregates, which favours the dominance of the attractive van der Waals forces among nC\textsubscript{60}. In this scenario, larger aggregates are stabilized, with smaller surface-to-volume ratio. Assuming that functionalization is a surface reaction, larger aggregates would generate less TPs. However, the opposite was observed in the present work. Our hypothesis is that a higher ionic strength leads to a less negative \(\zeta\)-potential [74]. In this scenario, the water molecules surrounding the aggregates would be orientated in a more flexible manner, enhancing the diffusion potential of the reactive species as dissolved ozone and \(^1\text{O}_2\).
In contrast to all this, irradiated experiments were not affected by changes in the medium salinity.

Regarding pH, in experiments without irradiation it was inversely related to the concentration of transformation products. Slightly lower concentrations of transformation products were identified at pH=8.15 than at pH=6.00 (Figure S12). Again, irradiated experiments were not significantly affected by changes of the pH.

Finally, the presence of humic acids, which are known to modulate the size and shape of nC₆₀ aggregates [38, 75], had an impact on the formation of TPs when irradiated by simulated sunlight: The concentration of epoxides increased with higher concentrations of humic acids and decreased after 24 h of irradiation, while the concentrations of dimers were barely affected (Figure S13). This can be attributed to the fact that humic substances, when irradiated with ultraviolet light (especially from UV-A and UV-B regions) can produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as $^{1}\text{O}_2$, $\text{O}_2$, or $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ [76]. Irradiated humic acids generate radicals that enhance the epoxidation of fullerenes, as observed in the present work. In absence of light, the concentration of humic fullerenes did not present any effect in the generation of TPs.

### 3.4. Environmental implications

The presence of salts and organic matter in natural waters favours the presence of fullerene transformation products (epoxides and dimers). This was corroborated in the experiments performed in AFW (suspension 8: 970 µS/cm, pH=7.00±0.01 and [HA]=2.25 mg l⁻¹) and ASW (suspension 9: 46,000 µS/cm, pH=8.15±0.01 and [HA]=0.3 mg l⁻¹), as can be seen in Figure 4.

The presence of fullerene epoxides and dimers in the real environment is of relevance, given the different properties and behaviour of these transformation products. It is known that functionalised carbon nanotubes and fullerenes adsorb pollutants onto their surfaces with stronger binding than bare nanotubes [77, 78]. Therefore, the potential of fullerenes of immobilizing and transporting other co-contaminants in the aquatic environment is likely to increase progressively after their disposal and weathering [79, 80]. This may affect the ecosystem indirectly, by changing the bioavailability of these other co-contaminants, and also directly, because of the different toxicity of fullerenes and fullerene epoxides. In this regard, recent studies have found relevant differences in soil microbial communities when exposed to unaltered nC₆₀ and photodegraded nC₆₀ [81]. Also, the presence of functional groups on the surface of the aggregates may enhance
their stability in water. This would have implications in the transport potential and water-sediment partition coefficients of fullerenes, as studied elsewhere [21].

It is also important to study toxicity, behaviour and sorption capabilities of fullerene dimers to fully understand the implications of their photo-transformation in the real environment.

However, in the environment the occurrence of transformation products may be limited, since C$_{60}$ fullerene seems to be comparatively stable (see the relative abundances of epoxides in Table 2). Moreover, sunlight showed an ambivalent effect on the generation of epoxides: whilst it accelerated their generation at first, it also enhanced their elimination after ~24 hours. Therefore the persistence of these transformation products in the environment is likely to be limited. This excludes surface waters located in shady areas, deeper waters, groundwater and closed wells, where the epoxidation of fullerenes would be lower and increase linearly as suggested in our simulations without light exposure.

With respect to the persistence of these compounds, it may also be important to consider the role of hetero-aggregation, i.e. their persistence/degradability in presence of inorganic particulate material. Particulate matter/turbidity in a water column may scatter incident light, greatly reducing penetration of light beneath the surface [82]. Therefore, fullerene epoxides may be photodegraded more slowly when they are attached to particles than when they are freely suspended as fullerene homo-aggregates.

Moreover, the concentrations of fullerene C$_{60}$ transformation products may be significantly higher close to the disposal point of wastewater treatment plants, where they may act as pseudo-persistent pollutants. This point was confirmed in a real sample from the Besòs River (close to Barcelona) taken near a wastewater disposal point. 35 l of surface water were spiked with $^{13}$C$_{60}$ and extracted by LLE with toluene in a 10:1 ratio. The extract was concentrated to 1.0 ml by rotatory evaporation and injected. C$_{60}$O was detected and determined semi-quantitatively, resulting in an approximate concentration of ~2 ng l$^{-1}$ (see chromatogram in Figure S14). The environmental effects of fullerene transformation products, if confirmed, may be more pronounced on a local-scale in hotspots like this.
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Table 1. Experimental design showing the salinity, pH and humic acid concentration (c_{HA}) of the aqueous dispersant media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Conductivity (µS/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>c_{HA} (mg l^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 1</td>
<td>Reference pure water</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2</td>
<td>Intermediate salinity</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 3</td>
<td>High salinity</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 4</td>
<td>Acidic pH</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 5</td>
<td>Basic pH</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>8.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 6</td>
<td>High c_{HA}</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 7</td>
<td>Intermediate c_{HA}</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 8</td>
<td>Artificial freshwater</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 9</td>
<td>Artificial seawater</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>8.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** Summary of transformation products and their tentatively proposed structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>$k'$</th>
<th>Relative intensity*</th>
<th>Proposed compound</th>
<th>Proposed formula</th>
<th>Molar mass (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Exp. m/z*</th>
<th>Exp. abundance*</th>
<th>Proposed ion</th>
<th>Theoretical m/z</th>
<th>Theoretical abundance</th>
<th>m/z error (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.4×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>C₆₀ epoxide ([$6,6]$C₆₀O)</td>
<td>C₆₀O</td>
<td>736.6</td>
<td>735.9953</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}]^-$</td>
<td>735.9955</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.6×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Diepoxidized C₆₀ (cis-I isomer)</td>
<td>C₆₀O₂</td>
<td>752.6</td>
<td>751.9905</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_2]^-$</td>
<td>751.9904</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Diepoxidized C₆₀</td>
<td>C₆₀O₂</td>
<td>752.6</td>
<td>751.9905</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_2]^-$</td>
<td>751.9904</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>&lt;1.0×10⁻⁷</td>
<td>Triepoxidized C₆₀</td>
<td>C₆₀O₃</td>
<td>768.6</td>
<td>767.9856</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_3]^-$</td>
<td>767.9853</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.5×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Triepoxidized C₆₀ (cis sym.)</td>
<td>C₆₀O₃</td>
<td>768.6</td>
<td>767.9850</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_3]^-$</td>
<td>767.9853</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.4×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Tetraepoxidized C₆₀</td>
<td>C₆₀O₄</td>
<td>784.6</td>
<td>783.9800</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{60}\text{O}_4]^-$</td>
<td>783.9802</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>C₆₀ dimer linked by 1 furane-like ring</td>
<td>(C₆₀)₂O</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>1455.9958</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{120}\text{O}]^-$</td>
<td>1455.9955</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.7×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>C₆₀ dimer linked by 2 furane-like rings</td>
<td>(C₆₀)₂O₂</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>1471.9888</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{120}\text{O}_2]^-$</td>
<td>1471.9904</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.8×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>Mixture of other unresolved dimers</td>
<td>(C₆₀)₂O₂</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>1471.9890</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{120}\text{O}_2]^-$</td>
<td>1471.9904</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.9×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Mixture of other unresolved dimers</td>
<td>(C₆₀)₂O₂</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>1471.9888</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$[^{12}\text{C}_{120}\text{O}_2]^-$</td>
<td>1471.9904</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Defined as the ratio between the areas of each transformation product peak and that of C₆₀ fullerene after 48 h of agitation in ultrapure water with irradiation.

** Isotopic pattern, defined as the average intensity of all the spectra acquired in the chromatographic peak with an intensity >10% of the maximum height.
Table 3. Behaviour of the fullerene epoxides in experiments suspended in ultrapure water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Experiment with light exposure</th>
<th>Experiment without light exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k'$</td>
<td>Linear range$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0 h to 1.0 h (r=0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_2$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0 h to 1.5 h (r=0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_2$</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0 h to 1.5 h (r=0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_3$</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0 h to 1.5 h (r=0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_3$</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0 h to 1.5 h (r=0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_4$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0 h to 1.5 h (r=1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Defined as the interval of time in which the normalized concentration of epoxide evolved linearly, this is, with a Pearson Index >0.90.

$^2$Calculated for comparison purposes as the slope of the linear regression obtained when representing the concentration of epoxide, normalized by the concentration of $C_{60}$, against the time of exposure.
Figure 1. Extracted Ion Chromatograms of $m/z=720.0005\pm0.0010\ (a)$, $735.9954\pm0.0010\ (b)$, $m/z=751.9905\pm0.0010\ (c)$, $m/z=767.9856\pm0.0010\ (d)$ and $783.9800\pm0.0010\ (e)$. 
Figure 2. Relative positions of the epoxide functional groups in the eight isomers of diepoxidized fullerene C$_{60}$. All the regioisomers are produced by the addition of oxygen atoms in [6,6] bonds.
Figure 3. Extracted Ion Chromatogram of m/z=1455.9958 (top) and m/z=1471.9888 (bottom), corresponding to oxidized and dioxidized fullerene dimers, and their proposed structures.
Figure 4. Occurrence of degradation products of C\(_{60}\) fullerene after 48 hours of simulated sunlight irradiation in ultrapure water (black bars), in artificial freshwater (grey bars) and in artificial seawater (dashed bars). The concentrations of degradation products were estimated semi-quantitatively, because of the absence of commercially available analytical standards, considering that they had the same response factor than C\(_{60}\) fullerene.
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Text S1. Extraction optimization

Optimization: A simple one-step liquid-liquid extraction method was optimized. Three C₆₀ suspensions were prepared at ~1.0 mg l⁻¹ in three different water matrices (UPW, AFW and ASW) and weathered under ambient sunlight irradiation during 24 h with constant stirring. Five extraction solvents were tested: toluene, o-dichlorobenzene, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane and the water-insoluble ionic liquid [BMIM][PF₆].

Results: Based on the amount of C₆₀ and C₆₀O₂ recovered, the performance of the tested solvents ranked as follows: toluene > o-dichlorobenzene > dichloromethane > ethyl acetate > [BMIM][PF₆] (see Figure S1). Toluene was the optimal extraction solvent. The three non-aromatic compounds (the ionic liquid, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate) showed the lowest recovery yields, always lower than 4 %. In addition, the peak shape worsened significantly when injecting dichloromethane and [BMIM][PF₆] extracts, probably because of the low diffusion of these solvents into the mobile phase. On the contrary, o-dichlorobenzene and, especially, toluene, offered good recoveries.

In addition, as can be seen in Figure S1, the extraction recovery was slightly dependent on the ionic strength of the matrix, as it has been reported in other works which recommend salting out the water sample to optimize the extraction of fullerenes [1].
The formation of fullerene adducts was first reported by van Wezel et al. (2011) [2]. The simultaneous analysis of fullerene C\textsubscript{60} and their transformation products is challenging because oxygenated adducts produce signals with the same m/z than the molecular ions of degradation products generated under environmental conditions. In addition, C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{x} molecules are fragmented in the ionisation source losing oxygen atoms. Therefore, C\textsubscript{60} and their oxidized transformation products have a common series of isobaric signals that can potentially interfere with each other if they are not correctly separated by the chromatographic step (see Table S1).

A toluene extract of C\textsubscript{60}, suspended in UPW and weathered as described in Text S1, was used for the chromatographic optimisation. The separation between the parent C\textsubscript{60} fullerene and the generated transformation products C\textsubscript{60}O and C\textsubscript{60}O\textsubscript{2} was optimised.

Four LC columns were tested:

- A Luna® C18 column (length, 15.0 cm; diameter, 2 mm; particle size, 5 µm; pore size, 100 Å) from Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA;
- a HILIC column (length, 15.0 cm; diameter, 2 mm; particle size, 3 µm; pore size, 200 Å) from Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA;
- a Cosmosil Buckyprep D column (length, 15.0 cm; diameter, 2 mm; particle size, 5 µm; pore size, ~120 Å) from Nacalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan; and
- a Cosmosil Buckyprep column (length, 15.0 cm; diameter, 2 mm; particle size, 5 µm; pore size, ~120 Å) from Nacalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan.

Tests with C18 columns using isocratic mobile phases consisting in toluene:methanol (1:1) and toluene:acetonitrile (1:1) showed no separation of these three peaks. Therefore, other columns were tested. A Luna® HILIC column was also tested. Early tests with H\textsubscript{2}O:MeOH and H\textsubscript{2}O:ACN gradients were inadequate, since toluene is mandatory for the elution of fullerenes and their oxidized derivatives. Toluene was introduced in tertiary mobile phases of methanol:water:toluene (ratios of 80:15:5, 80:10:10 and 80:5:15). Poor results were obtained because of the high retention of fullerene to the column in these conditions.

Non-aqueous HILIC chromatography was employed using methanol and toluene as mobile phases at ratios close to 1:1. Although these conditions offered gaussian peaks with adequate
retention factors ($k'\approx3$, similarly than with conventional non-aqueous reverse phase chromatography with C18 columns), the three compounds, $C_{60}$, $C_{60}O$ and $C_{60}O_2$, could not be separated.

The performance of two columns especially designed for the separation of fullerenes was assessed: A Cosmosil Buckyprep D and a Cosmosil Buckyprep column, with nitrocarbazoyl and pyrenylpropyl groups respectively.

The Cosmosil Buckyprep D column contains a stationary phases end-capped with nitrocarbazoyl groups, especially indicated for the retention of fullerenes with engineered functional group. Isocratic chromatographic programs were tested with toluene:methanol and toluene:hexane at solvent ratios 100:0, 90:10, 80:20, 70:30 and 60:40. In tests with toluene:methanol, when increasing the amount of methanol, the retention time of the compounds decreased and so did their retention factor, from $k'(C_{60})=0.29$ and $k'(C_{60}O_2)=0.99$ at 100 % of toluene to $k'(C_{60})=0.01$ and $k'(C_{60}O_2)=0.15$ at 40 % of methanol. In tests with toluene:hexane, the opposite trend was observed and the retention increased when increasing the percentage of hexane. The retention of the analytes increased up to $k'(C_{60})=0.96$ and $k'(C_{60}O_2)=2.9$ at 40 % of hexane.

Despite of the good retention and of the Gaussian shape of the peaks, poor chromatographic selectivity was obtained for $C_{60}$ and $C_{60}O$. Both peaks overlapped in all the tested conditions, with an optimal resolution of only 0.12. In tests with toluene:hexane, $C_{60}O_2$ and $C_{60}O$ showed a better selectivity than in tests with toluene:methanol, but the width of the peaks was too large to ensure good chromatographic resolution ($Rs$): $Rs(C_{60}O,C_{60}O_2)=0.56$ at 20 % of hexane and $Rs(C_{60}O,C_{60}O_2)=0.68$ at 30 % of hexane.

Therefore, it was concluded that Buckyprep-D was not an adequate column for the determination of the oxygenated photodegradation products of $C_{60}$.

In contrast, the Buckyprep column showed a good performance and it was finally selected as the optimal column. The best chromatographic separation was observed with 10 % of methanol in the mobile phase (see Figure S2). At higher percentages of methanol, higher retention factors ($k'$) were observed for all the compounds and the chromatographic selectivity of $C_{60}$, $C_{60}O$ and $C_{60}O_2$ improved accordingly, but the widening of the peaks and the subsequent loss of sensitivity prevented the use of methanol percentages higher than 10 %. The loss of
sensitivity was related to the widening of the chromatographic peak and to the reduction in ionisation efficiency in the APPI source, as toluene acts as a dopant.

The pH of the mobile phase was modified by adding formic acid contents of 0.01 % and 0.1 % in the methanol. No significant changes were observed in the chromatographic performance, so the mobile phase was not acidified.

Finally, the temperature of the column oven was optimized with better results obtained at T=30 ºC (see Figure S3).
Text S3: Mass spectrometry optimization

Preliminary experiments with a heated electrospray (H-ESI) source, an atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) source and an APPI source showed that APPI system is the optimal, not only for the analysis of pristine fullerenes (as previously reported [3-5]), but also for ionising the transformation products that were studied in the present work.

High S-lens values favoured the sensitivity of the method, so it was set at 90 % (see Figure S4). High temperatures did not favour the ionisation of the compounds, so the capillary temperature was kept at 300 ºC and the probe was adjusted at 400 C. Finally, intermediate gas flows, i.e. sheath gas=40 a.u. and auxiliary gas=25 a.u, were the optimal ones. The spare gas was maintained at 1 a.u. in all the experiments.

In these conditions, the ionisation of C₆₀ fullerene resulted in several relevant source adducts. Their identity and relative intensities are listed in Table S2.
Text S4: Precautions and safety considerations

During samples manipulation and extraction their exposure to ambient air and light was minimized to avoid undesired and uncontrolled degradation of nC$_{60}$. Toluene extracts were analysed immediately when possible or stored in amber glass vials at -80 ºC until their instrumental analysis, within 12 hours.

Plastic material was avoided during the whole experimental work in order to avoid adsorption of fullerene aggregates. Instead, glass, quartz and steel instrumentation was employed. Potential carry-over and cross-contamination were circumvented by rinsing glass and quartz material, before and after using it, with toluene, ethanol and acetone. Glass and quartz material was heated at 400 ºC overnight.

In order to minimize health risks, samples extraction and manipulation of organic solvents was carried out under extracting fume. The LC-MS system was installed inside a home-made extracting cabin in order to avoid analysts’ exposure to toluene vapour from the chromatographic system and the ionisation source.
Text S5. Data treatment: necessity to normalize by the concentration of C$_{60}$ in each time.

A general scheme of all the processes that happened simultaneously during the stirring and weathering of the aggregates is presented in Figure S9, considering (i) those non-suspended aggregates that are present in the air-water interphase, referred to as (C$_{60}$)$_{\text{suspended}}$ and (TP)$_{\text{suspended}}$; (ii) the truly suspended aggregates that are present in the bulk solution, referred to as (C$_{60}$)$_{\text{suspended}}$ and (TP)$_{\text{suspended}}$; and (iii) those aggregates that have precipitated or were stuck on the glass walls, referred to as (C$_{60}$)$_{\text{precip}}$ and (TP)$_{\text{precip}}$. The following assumptions were made:

- Since the degradation experiments were under a constant agitation, $k_{\text{prep,1}}$ and $k_{\text{prep,2}}$ were considered negligible. Subsequently, $k_3$ was irrelevant and [(C$_{60}$)$_{\text{precip}}$] and [(TP)$_{\text{precip}}$] could be considered negligible.

- Since the concentration of the other species involved in the reactions (presumably $^1$O$_2$, O$_3$, and H$_2$O) was significantly higher than that of C$_{60}$ and their degradation products, their concentrations could be considered constant and $k_1$ and $k_2$ could be considered equivalent ($k_{\text{degrad}}$).

- Since C$_{60}$ fullerene and their transformation products were expected to aggregate together, $k_{\text{susp,1}}$ and $k_{\text{susp,2}}$ were assumed to be equivalent ($k_{\text{susp}}$). $k_{\text{susp}}$ was not necessarily constant through the reaction, since the solubility of the aggregate increases accordingly with the concentration of oxidized transformation products.

The aliquots analysed by LC-MS were taken from the bulk solution, so only (C$_{60}$)$_{\text{suspended}}$ and (TP)$_{\text{suspended}}$ were analysed. Both were decisively affected by $k_{\text{susp}}$, so in order to study the kinetics of degradation circumventing the interference of the kinetic of solubilisation, the concentrations of transformation products were normalised by the concentration of C$_{60}$ fullerene at each sampling point. This concentration of C$_{60}$ in the bulk solution evolved through time in a non-trivial way and the maximum concentration of C$_{60}$ was usually obtained after 12 h~24 h.
Table S1. Intensity of oxygen adducts and “fullerene-like” in-source fragments, normalised by the intensity of the molecular radical ion (in bold).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[C₆₀]⁻</th>
<th>[C₆₀O]⁻</th>
<th>[C₆₀O₂]⁻</th>
<th>[C₆₀O₃]⁻</th>
<th>[C₆₀O₄]⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m/z=720.0005</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/z=735.9954</td>
<td><img src="k'=3.0" alt="C₆₀O" /></td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td><img src="k'=3.0" alt="C₆₀O" /></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/z=751.9904</td>
<td><img src="k'=3.8" alt="C₆₀O₂" /></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td><img src="k'=3.8" alt="C₆₀O₂" /></td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. List of adducts and their relative intensities, obtained when analysing a fresh standard of C\textsubscript{60} fullerene in the optimal MS conditions. Experimental m/z presented an error smaller than 1.5 ppm in all the cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Adduct</th>
<th>Intensity (%)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} H]\cdot</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Proton adduct. Has overlapping with the ([^{12}\text{C}_{59}^{13}\text{C}]\cdot) signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} O]\cdot</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Monoxidized adduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} OH]\cdot</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>Hydroxyl adduct. Has overlapping with the ([^{12}\text{C}_{59}^{13}\text{C} \text{O}]\cdot) signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} OH\textsubscript{2}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>Water adduct (or C\textsubscript{60}+OH+H adduct). Has overlapping with the ([^{12}\text{C}_{59}^{13}\text{C} \text{OH}]\cdot) signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} O\textsubscript{2}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>Dioxidized adduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} O\textsubscript{2}H]\cdot</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Overlapping with the ([^{12}\text{C}_{59}^{13}\text{C} \text{O}_2]\cdot) signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} O\textsubscript{3}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Three-fold-oxidized adduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{60} \text{CH}_3OH]\cdot</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Toluene adduct (from the mobile phase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} OCH\textsubscript{3}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>Methanol adduct (from the mobile phase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} CH\textsubscript{3}OH]\cdot</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Toluene and methanol adduct (from the mobile phase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{60} \text{C}_{60}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>Dimer, only observed with double charge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{60} C\textsubscript{60}]\cdot</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>Trimer, only observed with triple charge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1. Extraction of $C_{60}$ and $C_{60}O_2$ with the different tested solvents in the three studied matrixes: ultrapure water, artificial freshwater and artificial seawater.
Figure S2. Separation of C$_{60}$, C$_{60}$O and C$_{60}$O$_2$ in a Buckyprep column, using isocratic mobile phases containing 0 %, 10 % and 20 % of methanol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Toluene: methanol 90:10</th>
<th>Toluene: methanol 80:20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[C$_{60}$]$^-$</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m/z=720.0005±0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C$_{60}$O]$^-$</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m/z=735.9954±0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C$_{60}$O$_2$]$^-$</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m/z=751.9904±0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S3. Extracted ion chromatograms (m/z=720.0005±0.0001) showing the chromatographic peaks of C\textsubscript{60} and its transformation product C\textsubscript{60}O in a toluene extract. Chromatographic separation was achieved in a Buckyprep column, using toluene:methanol (90:10) as mobile phase at different temperatures (25 ºC, 30 ºC, 35 ºC and 40 ºC).
Figure S4. Normalised intensities of the \([\text{C}_{60}]^-\) radical ion when working at different APPI conditions. Relatively low source temperatures, intermediate gas flows and high S-lens RF levels resulted in the optimal performance.
Figure S5. Structures of the two molecules with formula C₆₀O. 

a: C₆₀ epoxide ([6,6]C₆₀O); b: C₆₀ oxidoannulene ([5,6]C₆₀O).
Figure S6. Structures of selected regioisomers of triepoxidized C\textsubscript{60} fullerenes (a, b and c).
Figure S7. Structure of the most stable tetraepoxidized C$_{60}$ fullerene.
**Figure S8.** Signals of fullerenols observed in suspensions after sonication. Figure a shows a total ion chromatogram with three principal groups of signals: the peak of C$_{60}$ fullerene (t$_R$=3.00), a peak of overlapped fullerenols at (t$_R$=1.84) and unretained peaks near the dead volume (t$_R$=1.01). The extracted ion chromatograms of [C$_{60}$O]$^-$, [C$_{60}$O$_2$H]$^-$ and [C$_{60}$O$_6$H$_5$]$^-$ are shown in Figure b, c and d.
Figure S9. General scheme of reactions happening in the system.
**Figure S10.** Generation and degradation of C$_{120}$O and C$_{120}$O$_2$ in ultrapure water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C$_{120}$O</th>
<th>C$_{120}$O$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without light</strong></td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With simulated sunlight</strong></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **C$_{120}$O**: Plotted as a function of time in ultrapure water without light and with simulated sunlight.
- **C$_{120}$O$_2$**: Plotted as a function of time in ultrapure water without light and with simulated sunlight.
Figure S11. Impact of salinity (● = 5.8 µS cm\(^{-1}\); □ = 970 µS cm\(^{-1}\); ■ = 46,000 µS cm\(^{-1}\)) on the generation of selected C\(_{60}\)O\(_x\).
**Figure S11 (cont).** Impact of salinity (● = 5.8 µS cm$^{-1}$; ● = 970 µS cm$^{-1}$; ● = 46,000 µS cm$^{-1}$) on the generation of selected C$_{120}$O$_x$. 
Figure S12. Impact of the pH (●: pH=6.00; ●: pH=7.00; ●: pH=8.15) on the generation of selected C$_{60}$O$_x$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without light</th>
<th>With simulated sunlight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_2$ ($k'=6.2$)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_3$ ($k'=6.4$)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{60}O_4$ ($k'=10.5$)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without light

With simulated sunlight
**Figure S12**(cont). Impact of the pH (●: pH=6.00; ○: pH=7.00; ●: pH=8.15) on the generation of selected $\text{C}_{120}\text{O}_x$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\text{C}_{120}\text{O}$</th>
<th>$\text{C}_{120}\text{O}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without light</strong></td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With simulated sunlight</strong></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S13.** Impact of the humic acids content (●: [HA] = 0 mg l\(^{-1}\); ○: [HA] = 2.25 mg l\(^{-1}\); ●: [HA] = 0.30 mg l\(^{-1}\)) on the generation of selected \(C_{60}O_x\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(C_{60}O_2 (k' = 6.2))</th>
<th>(C_{60}O_3 (k' = 6.4))</th>
<th>(C_{60}O_4 (k' = 10.5))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without light</strong></td>
<td><img src="graph1.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="graph2.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="graph3.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With simulated sunlight</strong></td>
<td><img src="graph4.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="graph5.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="graph6.png" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S13 (cont). Impact of the humic acids content (●: [HA] = 0 mg l\(^{-1}\); ○: [HA] = 2.25 mg l\(^{-1}\); ●: [HA] = 0.30 mg l\(^{-1}\)) on the generation of selected C\(_{120}\)O{x}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C(_{120})O</th>
<th>C(_{120})O(_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without light</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With simulated sunlight</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S14. $\text{C}_{60}\text{O}$ signal in the extract of freshwater from the Besòs River (Barcelona). The first peak belongs to the oxidized adduct of the $\text{C}_{60}$ molecule, while the second peak belongs to the tentatively identified transformation product.
References