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**Abstract:**

The research explored the experience and understandings expressed by parents of children with autism concerning ‘meltdowns’, which are commonly described as distressing, escalating episodes of conflicts. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with six parents of children with a diagnosis of autism regarding their experience of ‘meltdowns’. Parents were asked to track the process of the meltdowns as well as to describe their experiences. Three over-arching themes emerged which encapsulated their experience: Circularity of Meltdowns, Parents’ Adverse Childhood Experiences with Corrective Scripts, and Condemnation. The findings suggested that the meltdowns were perceived as having an escalating and predictable process, that parents anticipated meltdowns with anxiety, experienced feeling of helplessness and felt condemned by others. Importantly, it also appeared that parents’ responses were influenced by their own childhood experiences of parenting and that they attempted to ‘correct’ these to be better parents. A model of the meltdowns is suggested along with a discussion of clinical implications for early intervention with families.
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Abstract

The research explored the experience and understandings expressed by parents of children with autism concerning ‘meltdowns’, which are commonly described as distressing, escalating episodes of conflicts. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with six parents of children with a diagnosis of autism regarding their experience of ‘meltdowns’. Parents were asked to track the process of the meltdowns as well as to describe their experiences. Three over-arching themes emerged which encapsulated their experience: Circularity of Meltdowns, Parents’ Adverse Childhood Experiences with Corrective Scripts, and Condemnation. The findings suggested that the meltdowns were perceived as having an escalating and predictable process, that parents anticipated meltdowns with anxiety, experienced feeling of helplessness and felt condemned by others. Importantly, it also appeared that parents’ responses were influenced by their own childhood experiences of parenting and that they attempted to ‘correct’ these to be better parents. A model of the meltdowns is suggested along with a discussion of clinical implications for early intervention with families.
Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) refers to a neurodevelopmental disorder (DSM (5), APA 2013,) involving two main impairments: difficulties in socio-communicative interactions and restricted and repetitive patterns of behaviours. It is regarded as a spectrum condition with wide ranging individual presentations. However, homogeneous traits and patterns are apparent in individuals at the upper end of the spectrum (Kamp-Becker et al., 2010). In this research, the focus will be on parents of children with ‘High Functioning Autism’.

**Parental Stress and ‘Meltdowns’**

Parenting stress is consistently reported as being higher in families of a child with ASD, than families of children with other conditions (Mugno, Ruta, D’Arrigo, & Mazzone, 2007). Elevated levels of stress in these families may be a consequence of the difficulties in parenting a child with ASD (Estes et al., 2014). There are many detrimental outcomes of parenting stress within this group, ranging from physical burnout (Weiss, 2002) to mental health issues, such as affect or anxiety disorders (Benson & Karlof, 2009).

Behavioural problems associated with ASD are often referred to as ‘meltdowns’, which are intense explosions of difficult behaviours which resemble ‘tantrums’ in younger children, but are more intense, protracted and potentially physically and emotionally dangerous to both the child and parents (Mazefsky, 2012). Parents explain these problems in various ways. Some understand them as related to the egocentric nature of ASD traits, explaining that their child’s difficult behaviour governs and controls their life choices to meet the child’s demands (Myers, Mackintosh, & Goin-Kochel, 2009). Although the meltdowns can be seen to cause stress for the parents, arguably it is a more complex process than simple cause-
effect (Hastings et al., 2005). A transactional process may emerge where parental stress and distress interact with and possibly exacerbate the child’s difficult behaviours (Baker et al., 2003). Over time this reciprocal pattern is prone to escalation and leads to characteristic sequences of highly emotional interactions between parents and child (Neece, Green, & Baker, 2012).

Meltdowns share similarities with temper tantrums, but differ with regards to antecedent, function and presentation, as they do not have a set agenda dependent on another individual and can be uncontrollable (Lipsky, 2011). Reports suggest that 94% of individuals with ASD exhibit a minimum of one challenging behaviour (Matson, Wilkins, & Macken, 2008). The typical behaviours, such as kicking, screaming, destruction of property and withdrawing are disruptive and destructive for the individual with ASD and intervening persons, particularly caregivers (Larson, 2006). It has been proposed that meltdown experiences are a circular process, which implies that behaviours of parent and child are perpetuating (Colvin & Sheehan, 2012).

Research from a behavioural perspective suggests that meltdowns can be understood in terms of a sequence of predisposing, precipitating and perpetuating factors (Mazefsky & Handen, 2011). Predisposing factors include an increased sensitivity to sensory stimulation, difficulties in understanding social relationships and anxiety (Lipsky, 2011). Precipitating events can be frustration of needs and criticism from parents (Matson et al 2008), which are perpetuated by anger from the parents due to the behaviours and passivity in intervention (Baker et al., 2003). Parents are required to be mindful of their child’s and others’ safety due to the display of meltdowns, especially when out in public (Ryan, 2010). However, there is relatively
little qualitative research, which explores the experience of meltdowns from a
caregiver’s perspective.

Stigma is generally attached to an ASD child’s difficult behaviours, particularly
in public settings (Gill & Liamputtong, 2011). Parents describe feeling misunderstood
by onlookers, who they see as making negative judgements regarding their
parenting, which leads to embarrassment, anxiety and a desire to remain secluded
(Fleischmann, 2004). Research findings suggest that parents of children with ASD
are less likely to attend public events, even compared to parents of children with
conduct disorders, who exhibit similar behaviours (Lee, Harrington, Louie, &
Newschaffer, 2008). Qualitative accounts from mothers of children with ASD indicate
that they feel a persistent, subjective feeling of stigmatisation from other individuals
and organisations (Gill & Liamputtong, 2011).

Interestingly, when the influence of behaviour problems on parenting stress is
accounted for, the child’s developmental delays and other difficulties do not
contribute to other additional variance in stress experienced by parents (Baker,
Blacher, Crnic, & Edelbrock, 2002). This indicates that the outcome of stress may be
the direct result of a parent’s experience of the child’s problem behaviour. However,
similar behavioural difficulties associated with other disorders are not predictive of
the same level of stress experienced by ASD parents (Lee et al., 2008). Therefore,
perhaps the parent’s role in the transactional model (Baker et al., 2003) may belie
the crucial differentiation that contributes to the pronounced disparity in stress, rather
than the child’s behaviour itself.
The Relationship between Meltdowns and a Parent's Adverse Developmental History

Parent's intervention in their child’s maladaptive behaviour requires a parenting stance, which varies between individuals, depending on parenting style and the child (Osborne, McHugh, Saunders, & Reed, 2008). A number of environmental and biological factors can influence parenting style, and one of the most influential contributors is an individual’s past experience of being parented (Lomanowska, Boivin, Hertzman, & Fleming, in press). If mothers report positive experiences of being parented, this predicts later positive traits, such as warmth and stimulating maternal behaviour towards their own child. Conversely, if a mother’s early parented experiences comprise adversity; this has been associated with later difficulties parenting in the next generation (Moehler, Biringen, & Poustka, 2007). This intergenerational transmission of parenting style outlines that a negative or positive past will influence present parenting behaviours (Belsky et al., 2005).

The medium for translation of past adversity and inconsistent care experiences to the present may be due to an insecure attachment relationship during childhood (Sitko, Bentall, Shevlin, & Sellwood, 2014). Where parents of children with autism have insecure attachment models, this has been shown to negatively affect their relational skills with respect to their child (Seskin et al., 2010). Roberts, Lyall, Rich-Edwards, Ascherio and Weisskopf (2013) also identified past childhood abuse and adversity in parents raising a child with ASD. The researchers furthered this, by suggesting that there is an intergenerational causal process, which links mother’s negative childhood experiences and increased risk for ASD in the next generation.

Overall, it may be inferred that within this parent group, insecure attachment models and experience of trauma is likely to impact on managing and understanding their child’s difficult behaviour. A transactional approach may provide a systemic
explanation for these interacting factors (Baker et al., 2003) within the family unit and wider social systems (Kinnear et al., 2015). Assessment of the overall psychological functioning of the family unit raising a child with ASD requires consideration of the reciprocal nature of these processes (Hastings et al., 2005) and implicates the influence of societal factors, such as stigmatisation, in the outcome.

**Rationale and Aims of the Present Study**

Meltdowns may be an inevitable accompaniment of an ASD diagnosis (Attwood, Evans, & Lesko, 2014). Research has investigated the nature of these behaviours for the individual with ASD (Colvin & Sheehan, 2012), but has neglected how parents experience meltdowns (Bedrossian, 2015). Furthermore, based on literature which reports childhood adversity among these parents (Roberts et al., 2013; Seskin et al., 2010) this research also aimed to explore the influence of parent’s developmental history on meltdowns and the interventions parent’s employ.

The two specific aims of the research were to explore:

a. Parents’ accounts of meltdowns and how they try to manage their child’s difficult behaviours.
   a) Their understanding of the influence of their own developmental histories on their parenting strategies

**Method**

Semi-structured interviews were carried out with parents. Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) was used to guide the interviews and analysis to capture the experience of ‘meltdowns’ of six parents. IPA was chosen to move
beyond participant’s descriptions, by actively engaging in interpreting their experiences, including their attempts to make sense of their world.

A semi-structured interview schedule was used to guide the format of the session, comprising three broad areas: Understanding of ASD, Nature of Meltdowns and Developmental History. Questions were formulated based on the aims of the research, facilitated by past evidence in the area. Other queries emerged from the interview, which were unique, based on the participant’s responses, and a reflection of their personal lived experience.

Participants

Full approval for the study was gained from the relevant University Ethics Committee in line with British Psychological Society research ethics guidelines. The parents engaging in the study were part of a support network for families with a child with autism. A detailed information sheet for the study was produced and parents signed a consent form to participate and to allow their data to be used for publication.

Six participants were recruited as a purposive sample, having a child who had received a diagnosis from a multi-disciplinary team of ASD and who would be considered ‘High-Functioning’ (See Table 1).

Table1. Participant information (pseudonyms used)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Family composition</th>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jenny</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>White British University student</td>
<td>Married, 3 children (8,3 and 1), all live at home</td>
<td>Eldest child (8) ASD diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pru</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>White British Tutor</td>
<td>Co-habiting, one child</td>
<td>Child (9) ASD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>White British Volunteer ASD</td>
<td>Married, one child</td>
<td>Child (12) ASD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trish</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>White British</td>
<td>Married, 2 children; 20 (left home) and 18</td>
<td>Child 18 ASD at home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>White British Manager</td>
<td>Married to Trish. As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>White British</td>
<td>Cohabiting, 2 children (8, 6)</td>
<td>Child (6) on ASD assessment pathway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis

All interviews were transcribed verbatim, in accordance with IPA transcription template guidelines. Transcripts were first anonymised and then descriptively coded. Preliminary codes were then re-analysed to cluster them into preliminary themes. This interpretative analysis was repeated and resulted in the eventual emergence of subordinate themes. Finally, these were examined across the six transcripts to develop the superordinate themes. As part of the internal validity enhancement procedure, an independent analysis of one of the transcripts was carried out by the investigator (RD), which produced a good agreement and high qualitative inter-rater agreement in terms of similar themes being derived.

Findings

Three superordinate themes emerged in the analysis; Living in Dread: Anxiety and fear of escalating patterns, Parents Attempting to Correct for Negative Childhood Experiences and Condemnation from the Self and Others. The quotes offered represent general themes shared by the participants.

Living in Dread: Anxiety and fear of escalating patterns

The meltdowns took many forms, but were commonly experienced as a complex, recurring process, with many facets and contributions from both the parent and the child. They were widely experienced as unpredictable in what triggered them and in how they would progress and possibly escalate out of control. Overall this theme captures the experience of unpredictability, helplessness, anticipatory high anxiety and dread of the recurrence of the meltdowns:
he has very fixed ideas with what he wants and if something isn’t exactly how he wants it he can have huge meltdowns just the slightest thing like the internet going off for a couple of minutes can produce […] huge you know violent outbursts (Pru)

Parents appeared to have a view of their child as having fixed and rigid psychological states and hence searched for explanations that were not related to the child’s mental states or possibly relational factors. Instead the focus was on external factors:

my parents had come round sort of unexpectedly which was okay but my daughter doesn’t really like people turning up unexpectedly […]..... she didn’t realise until we got out of the car that we weren’t at this place that’s five minutes away so then that was another thing cos […] we weren’t where she thought she was going […] then she said there were too many people and we were also walking along the river and the river was suddenly too loud (Nancy)

This suggests that parents are making sense of their child by focusing on general factors related to an ASD diagnosis rather than specific mental states which could offer an understanding specific to their child. Viewing their child in such a general way involved trying to foresee, control or avoid external triggers. Remaining in this state of constant vigilance was described as exhausting and frustrating.

The parents’ accounts suggested that they frequently felt a need to oversee all their child’s behaviour and activities, which results in constant anxiety and hypervigilance. These feelings indicate a starting point for the circular nature of a
meltdown situation, rather than the child’s display of difficult behaviour, or even the preceding events:

Yeah it’s huge [the anxiety] it’s there all the time you know erm (.) and […] it’s very difficult because sometimes you just want to desperately avoid meltdowns but at the same time it isn’t always good to do everything to avoid them because […] you’d make the world an artificial place for them where they get everything they want so they don’t have a meltdown (Pru)

A strong thread in this theme was a sense that the parents felt helpless, as they could not influence their child’s emotions and actions. They also described how this in turn resulted in ineffective intervention, such as attempts to stifle, suppress or pacify the meltdown:

when my son would have a meltdown you’d have to get his father stomping around and saying ‘I can’t cope with this’ […] but it just makes it worse (Pru)

The parents also appeared to consider that their own negative feelings could become counterproductive to the intervention, resulting in a battle between the parent and the child:

if I manage to hold it [frustration] in but I think there have been times when […] I do tend to have to physically manhandle her (Nancy)
The accounts also indicated that there were significant emotional consequences for the parents. They described that their child eventually returns to calm, but the parents are left with negative feelings of being ‘emotionally drained’:

[after a meltdown I feel] tired drained frustrated annoyed erm because everything just seems to have just gone back to normal often you know there’s no residual
(Peter)

This emotional state for the parents was also seen as necessitating their continued hyper-vigilance in attempting to anticipate and prevent the possibility of the next meltdown:

because he needs constant supervision one of us is always on duty and so we can’t just relax (Pru)

Thus the ‘end’ of a meltdown was also seen as the possible starting point for anticipating the next meltdown. Meltdowns were, therefore, experienced as controlling and pervasive throughout family life:

we’re all trying to work round my daughter all of the time (Nancy)

it’s not healthy erm for me not healthy for him it’s exhausting and it interferes with everything else […] (Florence)

However, the picture was not all negative and parents also described positive factors following a meltdown, including empathy from the child or affection seeking
behaviours. Parents describe taking solace in these moments, but not enough to combat the anxiety in anticipation of further meltdowns. This perpetuating process contextualises the meltdowns within the family system (Kinnear et al., 2015), and may indicate the maintenance of the child’s behaviours by the parent’s emotions.

**Parents Attempting to Correct for Negative Childhood Experiences**

Parents expressed a variety of adverse childhood experiences, with disclosures including death of a key caregiver, inconsistent care, controlling parented style and domestic violence. Running through their accounts was also a theme of wanting to do things better for their children. The negative experiences were described as frightening and dangerous:

*My father was a very violent man to my mum over a long period (Peter)*

*I was severely emotionally abused as a child [...] and I was screamed and screamed at for years on end and thrown out of home at a very young age (Pru)*

Aside from the danger, the parents also described a lack of clarity and structure in their experience of being parented:

*as children they [parents] didn’t really discipline us I don’t think (Jenny)*

The parents felt that these experiences had damaging consequences for the resulting attachment relationship with their child. Though not overtly seen to be connected to the causes of meltdowns, the parents indicated that these early experiences left them vulnerable:
the reason I suffer from stress and anxiety is because my mother was schizophrenic and I was severely emotionally abused (Pru)

The parents also described that their childhood experiences gave a confusing model of parenting, for example experiencing role reversals and being relied upon for support:

I was a latch key by the age of nine by the time I was ten I was quite sufficient in self-care cooking cleaning whatever (Trish)

Participants experienced violent, degrading acts inflicted upon them as children. The majority of preceding events are not recalled in great detail, but feelings associated with this, are held onto throughout adult life. These experiences are reflected on with resentment, bitterness and confusion, especially when messages are conflicting and contradictory:

I can remember was being shut in the cold cupboard for what felt like a lifetime (Trish)

The accounts suggested that parents felt that their attachment relationship to their own child was influenced negatively by these experiences and that they transferred aspects of these experiences in seeing their child as persecuting them:

I was quite resentful for a while toward him (her child) it’s like ‘why are you doing this’ (Florence)
I remember thinking ‘ohh just stop […] I’m thinking why don’t you (her child) just not do it’ (Jenny)

Running through this theme was a thread of the parents wanting to do things better with their own children. Some described wanting to do the opposite of their experience of being parented:

Every decision I’ve made I’ve always asked myself ‘what would my mum do’ answer it and then do the opposite that’s been my philosophy (Trish)

I’ve always had this in my mind that what my mother did has to stop here it can’t continue into the next generation (Florence)

However, their accounts also indicated attempts to apply positive aspects (replicative scripts) from their childhoods in relation to their parenting:

from my childhood I’ve tried to take the nice stuff and keep that and […] be different where I feel (.) where I can […] I can see that my parents weren’t necessarily going about it the right way (Peter)

To overcome their negative childhood experiences the parents described searching for ways to gain competence as parents, for example searching for information to advise parents on management of meltdowns:

I was desperate not to be like that [own parent] and that I was going to be a fantastic parent and I got all these parenting books ….I was gunna do it right and I was gunna
be a constant predictable parent … and I was really confident that with proper parenting my child would turn out alright and that I could provide what was never provided for me (Pru)

It appeared that responses to the meltdowns were influenced by attempts at correcting their script by pursuing knowledge in parenting and ASD. Sometimes parents described employing passive responses to meltdowns so as not to resemble their parents and prevent replication of adverse childhood experiences. In these accounts parents also sometimes indicated a merging of the impact of their own childhood experiences with what they had been taught to do in, for example, parenting classes:

meltdowns (.) are distressing for the child they’re exhausting you know he needed reassuring and loving and cuddling and not punishment erm but he also needed to understand the process […] so there was no way I was gunna (.) reinforce that behaviour (Florence)

This appeared to lead to contradictory feelings for the parents, in that they perceived that they could not be both an expert in meltdown management as well as being the loving parent they desired to be. Hence, the mediation of meltdowns became entangled with a wish to provide love and security. The parents described how, despite their best efforts, some negative factors that they experienced were transmitted through the generations:
it’s quite complex [my childhood] and not very nice and actually has absolutely no bearing on what I do with my son well I say that (.) maybe the certain amount of controlling element carries over because I’m trying to control what happens to him but I’m not I’m trying to equip him that’s the difference I’m very aware that […] I don’t wanna do what she did to me (Florence)

Florence illustrates the dilemmas here in seeing her parenting as both not related to her childhood, but acknowledging that at times she replicates aspects of her experience.

For Pru, her beliefs that her child’s meltdowns are a link to her difficult childhood directly influences how she intervenes. The prompting of negative memories during meltdowns influences her attempts to suppress and stifle, rather than actively problem-solve. This is an attempt to repress the memories that accompany the onset of meltdown behaviours:

when my son starts screaming it triggers those feelings of stress that I had when my mother used to scream […] and there’s behaviours that he does that erm (.) that that kind of bring it all back it sort of like triggering which is why I think that I find it particularly stressful […] there you know there’s a link between the past and the present (Pru)

This association captures the unwanted and terrifying nature of meltdowns in her life, which brings with it a host of negative issues from the past. The meltdowns may challenge a parent’s own insecure attachment style and pressures to correct their script. This is summarised again by Pru,
it feels like something difficult is coming back to haunt me […] it’s not just about the meltdowns it’s about a whole load of other things as well that you know tend to get stressed a lot and […] anything to do with er (. ) confrontation or criticism or things tends to stress me which […] because of erm issues that I’d had in the past so having a son that’s very oppositional and confrontational […] that’s quite difficult (Pru)

Overall, this theme encompassed parents’ acknowledgement of association between meltdowns and their past, and despite the difficulty of executing correction, they persevere with their active attempt to correct this script, and remain resilient in their meltdown management approach.

Condemnation from Self and Others

The theme of condemnation emerged from parents’ experience of judgement from others and themselves. It featured their feelings of responsibility for the meltdowns and condemnation and criticism by others. More broadly the parents even condemned themselves for having passed on ‘faulty’ genes to their child. Reports of disapproval and censure from strangers and family members, especially when out in public were frequent and clearly painful:

so my daughter went into full meltdown in a shoe shop I was out with my mum and I was like ‘we’re going home’ I got up picked her up lifted her out we went in a taxi and got home and when I went got home my mum went absolutely crazy at me for ruining her shopping trip (Jenny)
Sometimes censure from others is silent, but often, strangers vocalise their criticisms, and even take action on the child’s meltdowns:

*people don’t actually say anything but I get a feeling that people just think that we’re hopeless parents* (Nancy)

Participants recall being upset by these events and as a result, their intervention in the meltdown was not reflective and considered, but emotionally driven to prevent the negative consequences anticipated from public meltdowns.

*it does change the way I intervene cos I would just do anything I can to get out of the situation (.) even if it’s just taking my daughter away so that nobody else know she’s having the meltdown* (Nancy)

These experiences appeared to engender a sense of isolation for the families and a belief that withdrawing was the only solution:

*We can’t meet up with other families cos he won’t he doesn’t get on with the children and (.) erm (.) and most of your friends a lot of them the people that you think are your friends will stop contacting you because they don’t want your child being with their child and er (.) so it’s socially very isolating* (Pru)

This further reinforced any negative feelings and beliefs associated with blaming the self. These negative judgements appeared to place parents in a dilemma of feeling a need to be seen to be able to control and manage meltdowns, to receive acceptance
from others, but feeling anxious and helpless regarding their ability to do so. In addition, this seems to conflict with their corrective scripts around not wanting to be controlling. However, parents also described some support from others who were educated in ASD:

*meeting other parents in the same boat and stuff is really helping [...] and just having things explained a different way you just get more and more detail* (Nancy)

Although positive, these exceptions appeared to fuel a sense of ‘us’ and ‘them’, people who understand and those who do not. A second layer of this theme consisted of criticism from the inside, including a sense of failure as parents, but an even deeper sense of responsibility for passing on ‘faulty genes’:

*I think it [ASD] probably comes from my mother’s side* (Trish)

*My family the only member I could possibly put it [ASD] down to would be my brother* (Peter)

Within this frame parents appeared to engage in self-confirming re-appraisal of their family and started to retrospectively reflect on ASD traits within other family members. Pru’s self-blame reflects beliefs that she personally has transmitted her child’s diagnosis. She saw her mother’s schizophrenia and her child’s ASD as genetically overlapping.
there you know there’s a link between the past and the present erm but obviously the
two conditions are related they’re genetically related conditions (.) so sort of that
knowledge that I’ve kind of passed something on is quite difficult (Pru)

Overall their acceptance of blame appeared to shape their attempts to make sense
of meltdowns, in that they attributed the child’s difficult behaviour to their parenting
faults. Their accounts in turn indicated that they were mindful of wanting to provide
better experiences, but felt defeated by the meltdowns:

back then I kept thinking what if it is cos I’m weak what if it i- what if it is me (Jenny)
my role was I had to stand back and watch this happen while the therapist and
everybody else dealt with my son and I never felt so inept (Florence)

Engaging in self-blame for the child’s condition and behaviour appeared to engender
a sense of hopelessness. Condemnation from the self and other, acted to perpetuate
the predisposed anxiety from childhood, and contributed to the initial stage of the
circular process of meltdowns. Accepting their biased beliefs of responsibility also
appeared to lead to delays in seeking help, as participants believed meltdowns
reflected poor parenting:

I just got to the point where I was just like I can’t do this but again I don’t know why
now I d- didn’t ask for any extra help I think it’s probably because I was erm probably
pride really because I worked at the nursery [...] (laughs) thinking back on it- and
embarrassment as well it’s both (Jenny)
Parents, therefore, indicated that they were often reluctant to seek advice due to pride, their beliefs about autism and dissatisfaction with their own parenting ability.

**Discussion**

The aim of the research was to explore parents' experience of meltdowns and how they regarded their own developmental history as related to their experience of meltdowns.

Three super-ordinate themes emerged in parents’ accounts of meltdowns: *Living in Dread: Anxiety and fear of escalating patterns*, *Attempting to Correct for Negative Childhood Experiences and Condemnation from the Self and Others*. Surprisingly most of the parents described extremely negative, even harrowing childhood experiences in their own families. This appeared to fuel a vulnerability and self-doubt about their own parenting. We do not know what proportions of parents of a child with autism have had such severely difficult childhood experiences. However, the literature (Roberts, et al., 2013) and our current work with families suggests that many of these parents do report extremely difficult family experiences and a wish to provide better experiences for their children.

The parents’ attempts to ‘correct’ their own experiences seemed to be challenged by their lack of a positive working model and by a sense of condemnation from self and others. Their accounts indicated that meltdowns are not simply triggered by external, concrete factors, but occur as a circular process shaped by the parents’ underlying thoughts, feelings and anxieties. Their reports indicated that these feelings might also maintain the process of meltdowns and their negative consequences. Although a somewhat adaptive response to assist preparation for a
difficult scenario, the anxiety in anticipation of a meltdown appears to be pervasive and dictatorial in parents’ lives (Schaaf et al., 2011).

Meltdowns were not seen as isolated events, but as aggravated by issues specific to a parent’s adverse childhood and anxiety related to their developmental insecure attachment relationship. This seems to promote and contribute to the experience of hypervigilance in anticipation of the next meltdown, and may provide an explanation for the increased levels of stress within this parent group (Rivard, Terroux, Parent-Boursier, & Mercier, 2014). The significance of the proposed circular model (See Figure 1) is an indication of a process, which does not have an end point, as associated predisposing factors are constantly perpetuated. This process may require therapeutic mediation to break the cycle. These findings develop understanding of the nature of ASD meltdowns from the parent’s perspective, as being affected by the negative consequences of the child’s behaviour (Silva & Schalock, 2012), but also influencing the child’s behaviour (Baker et al., 2003).
The majority of research has focused on the experience of stress involved in raising a child with ASD (Baker et al., 2003; Davis & Carter, 2008), but the findings of this research allude to anxiety and hypervigilance regarding meltdowns and difficult behaviour preceding the onset of stress. The findings also question the cause-effect notion of parental stress as an outcome of the child’s meltdowns, emphasising the reciprocal nature of the process. However, this research did not explore mediators of...
the anxiety, such as self-efficacy, or detail the positive factors following a meltdown. Future research into these factors could be vital in guiding intervention.

The main findings of this research indicate that anxiety experienced by these parents is influenced by difficult childhood experiences and insecure attachment, manifesting in anticipation of their child’s meltdowns. Most frequently this featured an attachment orientation involving dismissing feelings and attempting to avoid emotional conflicts and confrontations. These findings supplement research, which highlights the negative impact of insecure attachment and consequent internal working models in relating to a child with ASD (Seskin et al., 2010). The disclosure of a variety of adverse childhood experiences by all participants is consistent with past research (Roberts et al., 2013), and may identify this population group as being at risk of having a child with ASD. Along with Roberts et al. we call for more research in this area.

In addition, the parents’ responses to meltdowns appear to be related to self-inflicted pressure and desire to correct negative scripts and provide positive, structured experiences, which they feel they were denied in their own childhood. Meltdowns challenge the execution of this corrective script and create self-doubt about parenting competency. Such doubts and reflection on negative memories make positive responses difficult (May, 2005). Intervention may need to focus on amending parenting behaviours, where this is not available or is ineffective; over-correction could result in parents being passive and helpless.

The findings indicate that parents condemn themselves for causing ASD, which suggests the need for professionals and clinicians to discuss aetiology at the diagnosis stage, to discourage parents from engaging in self-blame (Harrington, Patrick, Edwards, & Brand, 2006). The child’s difficult behaviour was found to elicit
judgement and condemnation from family members and strangers, adding to parents’ anxiety, (Kinnear et al., 2015) and highlighting the influence of societal judgement on meltdowns and parents’ interventions. This emphasises the difficulties for parents in maintaining informal support, which often dissolves after a diagnosis (Woodgate, Ateah, & Secco, 2008) and the lack of therapeutic input despite the potential benefits for parents (Keen, Couzens, Muspratt, & Rodger, 2010).

In conclusion, our findings suggest that meltdowns are shaped by a complex interplay of factors. Parents and professionals may find it more tolerable to attribute young people’s behaviour to concrete factors like heat, noise, busyness and pain rather than thoughts or feelings. The lived experience of these young people can be very hard to understand and tolerate and our study suggests that parents are very vulnerable to feeling blamed. This makes it all the harder for them to be able to reflect on the meltdowns in terms of relational processes. All of the parents in our study had tried very hard to overcome their own difficult childhood attachment experiences in their own families. They need their efforts to develop corrective scripts to be acknowledged to help them to be able to reflect on their role in the meltdown processes. Our study indicates that the alternative is to risk seeing the children predominantly through a diagnostic label, rather like robots or just sets of behaviours and the meaning of their experience is missed. Importantly this can result in a form of mirroring of the children’s preference for concrete, predictable experiences and avoidance of feeling.

A further eight families seen in a clinical family therapy context provided corroborating background data in that this interview was employed to explore their experience of meltdowns. This supported the findings of this study and also suggested that the format of this interview has useful clinical applications. All of the
families reported that an exploration of the circular process maintaining meltdowns was helpful in assisting them to develop alternative coping strategies.
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