

2017-09-23

Abnormal repetitive behaviours in captive birds: a Tinbergian review

Mellor, E

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/10137>

10.1016/j.applanim.2017.09.011

Applied Animal Behaviour Science

Elsevier

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

Abnormal repetitive behaviours in captive birds: a Tinbergian review

Emma Mellor*¹, Ben Brilot², Sarah Collins²

¹School of Veterinary Sciences, University of Bristol, Langford, Bristol. BS40 5DU UK

²School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drakes Circus, Plymouth. PL4 8AA UK

*Corresponding author email address: em15953@bristol.ac.uk

Sarah Collins: sarah.collins@plymouth.ac.uk

Ben Brilot: ben.brilot@plymouth.ac.uk

Keywords: bird welfare; abnormal repetitive behaviour; causation; development; evolution; function.

16 **Abstract**

17 Abnormal repetitive behaviours (ARBs) are associated with past, or present, welfare
18 problems and are common elements of the behavioural repertoire of some captive animals,
19 including birds. Millions of birds from thousands of species are held in various captive
20 settings, yet most avian ARB research to-date focuses on just a handful of these. Therefore,
21 our knowledge of ARBs and, by implication, welfare, of a taxonomically diverse range of
22 avian species is poorly understood. The purpose of this review is to begin to address this by
23 providing a useful overview of ARBs across captive avian species. Taking advantage of the
24 research effort on well-studied species, we pool current findings relating to avian ARBs into
25 a coherent framework, highlight gaps in understanding, and use this to give a reference point
26 for future research in both these and other species. We adopt Tinbergen's 'Four Questions'
27 approach to comprehensively consider ARBs from each of his four perspectives. We begin
28 with presenting studies on ARB development, describe how physiological predispositions
29 and early-life housing and experiences impact ARB risk in later life. Next we outline internal
30 causal triggers for ARBs, such as the effects of neurotransmitters, hormones, and dietary
31 deficiencies, and discuss external, environmental triggers for ARBs. In the evolution section,
32 we detail the influence of species' evolutionary history on ARB, and use findings from early
33 molecular studies on laying hens to discuss heritability and genes associated with ARB. The
34 benefits of using cross-species studies to determine underlying evolutionary drivers of ARBs
35 are also illustrated with an example from Psittaciformes. In discussing ARB 'function', we
36 make two tentative suggestions for potential examples of ARB performance allowing a bird
37 to cope, and also consider situations where ARB may be functionless. We then summarise,
38 and discuss, these four interacting perspectives on avian ARBs. To finish, the benefits of
39 Tinbergen's approach are shown in a worked example of an ARB in one species,
40 demonstrating how this valuable framework leads to the most complete understanding of
41 ARB. Thus, by utilising Tinbergen's Four Questions, our review provides a platform for future

42 research on avian ARBs, to lead to a better understanding of ARBs in both well- and poorly-
43 studied species, and to solutions/preventive measures to improve avian welfare.

44

45 1. Introduction

46 Abnormal repetitive behaviours (ARBs) are a common element of the behavioural repertoire
47 of some captive animals (Mason, 1991b; Garner and Mason, 2002). Here, we use 'ARB' to
48 encompass highly repetitive and invariant 'stereotypies' (Ödberg, 1978; Mason, 1991b) and
49 more variable compulsive/impulsive behaviours like feather-pecking/plucking (reviewed by:
50 Garner, 2006). ARBs are associated with past or present welfare problems (Mason, 2006b),
51 such as the experience of impoverished environments (Hediger, 1950; Morris, 1964;
52 Carlstead, 1998), or when highly motivated behaviours cannot be performed (e.g. in mice,
53 *Mus musculus*: Würbel, Stauffacher & von Holst, 1996). Performance of ARB can both
54 reflect and exacerbate poor welfare situations; for example, pacing in captive Carnivora
55 sometimes causes abrasions, sores and abscesses (Morris, 1964; Meyer-Holzappel, 1968;
56 Mason, 1991a). ARBs also cause problems for animal-human interactions: ARB-
57 performance is generally negatively perceived (e.g. in zoo animals: Miller, 2012), reduces
58 the desirability of companion animals (ARB can result in pet relinquishment: Marston et al.,
59 2004; Gaskins, 2011), and can reduce the monetary value of animals (e.g. horses, *Equus*
60 *caballus*, with ARB: McBride and Long, 2001).

61 ARBs in mammals have been well documented and reviewed (e.g. Bashaw, Tarou, Maki &
62 Maple, 2001; Benhajali, Exxaouia, Lunel, Charfi, & Hausberger, 2014; Clubb & Mason 2007;
63 Mason, 1991; Sahakian, Robbins, Morgan, & Iverson, 1975), but the same is not true for
64 most avian species (but see: van Hoek and Ten Cate, 1998). Millions of captive birds from
65 thousands of species are housed in zoos (Conde et al., 2011), used for research purposes
66 (annually, approximately 151,000 - 215,000 passerines: Bateson and Feenders, 2010),
67 farmed for food (worldwide, an estimated 9.5 billion laying hens, *Gallus gallus domesticus*,
68 and 71 billion broilers were produced in 2014: FAO, 2014), and as pets (e.g. in North
69 America there are around 10 million pet parrots: Tweti, 2008). Despite this, the vast majority

70 of published literature is biased towards two species, domestic chickens, and European
71 starlings, *Sturnus vulgaris*, and one order: Psittaciformes (parrots). Knowledge surrounding
72 avian ARBs and, implicitly, welfare across this taxonomically diverse range of species
73 remains currently limited to issues specific to these species. To address these issues, a
74 holistic consideration of avian ARBs, permitting clear, broad predictions about susceptibility,
75 amelioration and impact, across species and situations is now required.

76 The purpose of this review, therefore, is to provide a useful overview of ARBs across captive
77 birds, taking advantage of the research effort on well-studied species, to give a starting point
78 for future research. Tinbergen (1963) advocated that a comprehensive understanding of
79 behaviour is only possible via a framework of posing 'Four Questions' (see also: Bateson
80 and Laland, 2013). Thus, this review will follow his framework. Firstly, we address the
81 proximate questions: development (how has the individual's ARB developed over time?),
82 and causation (what are the internal and/or external mechanisms that trigger ARB?) (Mayr,
83 1961; Klopfer and Hailman, 1972). Next, we consider the ultimate questions: evolution (how
84 can evolutionary history and genetics shape ARB?), and function (what is the value, if any,
85 of ARB to the individual?) (Mayr, 1961; Klopfer and Hailman, 1972).

86 **2. ARBs in birds**

87 Table 1 summarises avian ARBs reported in the literature, loosely grouped based on
88 similarities in outward form. Whether the superficial resemblance of some ARBs across
89 different species is underpinned by shared causality is an important question that should be
90 addressed in the future. Presently, however, there is not sufficient information to take an
91 informed approach, an issue which further emphasises the need for this review. Therefore,
92 our groupings are based on physical similarity and, importantly, do not imply similarity of
93 development, causation, evolution or function (though we do not discount that future work
94 might demonstrate such homologies).

Table 1. Table summarising ARBs performed by various species of captive bird. Note that we have loosely grouped ARBs by the outward physical appearance of the ARB itself for convenience only. Our schema should not be taken to imply between-species similarity in causation, development, evolution or function: we encourage others to refute or provide future evidence for the existence of such similarities. Additionally, we anticipate that ARB is not restricted to these species and forms we give here, but until such reports are published we are limited to the examples we provide below.

Specific definitions or descriptions of ARB	Affected species reported
<i>Feather-directed ARBs</i>	
<p><i>FDB</i>: bird repetitively chews, bites, and/or plucks out its feathers, usually self-directed, but occasionally aimed at cage-mates (van Zeeland et al., 2009)</p>	<p>Psittaciformes (Meehan et al., 2003; van Zeeland et al., 2009; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015)</p>
<p><i>Feather-pecking</i>: can be divided into two subtypes. <i>Gentle feather pecks</i>: nibbling and pecking without removal of the feather (Dixon et al., 2008; Dalton et al., 2013), suggested to relate to normal exploratory pecking of conspecifics (Riedstra and Groothuis, 2002). Can be 'stereotyped' in form when performed a rapid rate repeatedly at one location of the recipient (Newberry et al., 2007; Rodenburg et</p>	<p>Domestic chickens, <i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>, layers and broiler breeders (Savory, 1995; De Jong and Guémené, 2011)</p> <p>Red jungle fowl, <i>Gallus gallus</i> (Jensen et al., 2005)</p> <p>Pheasants, <i>Phasianus colchicus</i> (Butler and Davis, 2010)</p> <p>Turkeys, <i>Meleagris gallopavo</i> (Dalton et al., 2013)</p> <p>Domestic ducks, <i>Anas platyrhynchos domesticus</i> (Rodenburg et al.,</p>

al., 2013). *Severe feather pecks*: painful pulling or removal of the feather (McAdie and Keeling, 2002; Newberry et al., 2007; Dixon et al., 2008; Rodenburg et al., 2013). Clearly feather-pecking, notably the severe form, compromises the welfare of the recipient, but it also indicates that the welfare of the performer has been compromised, either currently and/or previously (e.g. Bolhuis et al., 2009; Brunberg et al., 2016).

2005; Gustafson et al., 2007)

Muscovy ducks, *Cairina moschata* (Rodenburg et al., 2005; Gustafson et al., 2007)

'Excessive' feather-plucking (Harvey et al., 2002)

Hawaiian crows, *Corvus hawaiiensis* (Harvey et al., 2002)

Feather-picking: bird aggressively pecks at the feathers from the back and tail areas of pen-mates (Samson, 1996)

Ostriches, *Struthio camelus* (Samson, 1996)

Locomotor ARBs: repetitive patterns of identical locomotion

Route-tracing: repetitive tracing of a route within the cage. May include the perch, floor, cage walls, as well as airborne elements (Keiper, 1969; Garner et al., 2003; Meehan et al., 2004; Feenders and Bateson, 2012)

Psittaciformes (Meehan et al., 2004; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015)

Laying hens (Duncan and Wood-Gush, 1972)

Blue tits, *Cyanistes caeruleus* (Garner et al., 2003)

Marsh tits, *Poecile palustris* (Garner et al., 2003)

and/or

Pacing: moving forwards and back linearly along the ground, usually next to a cage wall or fence (Duncan and Wood-Gush, 1972; Degen et al., 1989; Glatz, 2000; Meehan et al., 2004)

European starlings, *Sturnus vulgaris* (Feenders and Bateson, 2012)

Canaries, *Serinus canaria domestica* (Keiper, 1969)

Green singing finches, *Serinus mozambicus* (Keiper, 1969)

Gray singing finches, *Serinus leucopygius* (Keiper, 1969)

Blue jays, *Cyanocitta cristata* (Keiper, 1969)

Slate coloured juncos, *Junco hyemalis* (Keiper, 1969)

Tree sparrows, *Spizella arborea* (Keiper, 1969)

Zebra finches, *Taeniopygia guttata* (Jacobs et al., 1995)

Emus, *Dromaius novaehollandiae* (Glatz, 2000)

Ostriches (Degen et al., 1989)

Japanese quails, *Coturnix japonica* (Saint-Dizier et al., 2008;

Laurence et al., 2015)

Somersaulting: repeated completion of a backward aerial flip, with the legs passing above the bird's head (Feenders and Bateson, 2012) *

European starlings (Feenders and Bateson, 2012)

Other locomotor ARBs: repetitive patterns of identical partial or whole-body locomotor movements

Spinning, rocking, twirling movements of the body or head (Meehan

Psittaciformes (Meehan et al., 2004; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015)

et al., 2004; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015)

Behavioural star-gazing: bird continually lifts the head up and back towards the spine (seemingly unrelated to a similar action induced by thiamine deficiency in poultry) (Samson, 1996) Ostriches (Samson, 1996)

Oral ARBs: repetitive patterns of identical oral movements

Wire-chewing, sham-chewing, food manipulation, dribbling (Meehan et al., 2004; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015; Polverino et al., 2015) Psittaciformes (Meehan et al., 2004; McDonald Kinkaid, 2015; Polverino et al., 2015)

Spot-picking/pecking: bird repeatedly touches the tip or the side of its beak, or pecks, either itself or objects such as empty feeders, walls and drinkers (Keiper, 1969; D'Eath et al., 2009) Broiler breeders (D'Eath et al., 2009)
Canaries (Keiper, 1969)
Green singing finches (Keiper, 1969)
Gray singing finches (Keiper, 1969)
Blue jays (Keiper, 1969)
Japanese quails (Castagna et al., 1997)

*The following ARBs appear to be functionally related to somersaulting in European starlings. *Head tilt*: the bird tilts its head back and the beak breaks the vertical plane (Brilot et al., 2009). *Loop*: very similar to a somersault, but the bird briefly clings to the cage ceiling, wall or perch with its feet. *Fall*: bird 'falls' backwards off its perch. *Back-flip*: movement on the floor resembling a somersault but minus the loop component (Feenders and Bateson, 2012).

95 Regarding feather-pecking (FP) in chickens, there are two forms commonly reported in the
96 literature: severe and gentle (see Table 1). Herein, we indicate specific form if it has been
97 reported, though this has not been always possible as authors do not always discriminate
98 between the two (practically, this can be difficult for large flocks of birds). As will be seen, the
99 two forms of FP have different welfare implications (e.g. McAdie and Keeling, 2000), and
100 cases in which the two are pooled complicates the understanding of the basis for each. For
101 this reason, we strongly encourage researchers during future studies to discriminate
102 between the two forms of FP wherever possible.

103 ***TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE, PLEASE***

104 **2.1 Development**

105 Development examines behavioural development over an individual's lifetime. Past
106 experiences, life-stage (*i.e.* chick, juvenile, and adult), and hormonal and physiological
107 profile all shape behaviour and susceptibility to ARB development.

108 **2.1.1 Developmental trajectory of ARB**

109 Several longitudinal studies have demonstrated the developmental trajectory of FP in laying
110 hens (Huber-Eicher and Sebö, 2001; Lambton et al., 2010; Gilani et al., 2013). There is
111 generally good agreement across studies that the prevalence and rates of the more
112 damaging, severe FP increases as hens age, e.g. from 27% and 0.4 bouts per bird per hour
113 during rear, to 65% and 1.9 bouts per bird per hour during lay (Gilani et al., 2013). The
114 developmental trajectory of gentle FP is different, however, and either does not change or
115 declines (e.g. Lambton et al., 2010; Gilani et al., 2013); supporting the argument that gentle
116 and severe FP are different behaviours, with different welfare outcomes.

117 Although FP development is perhaps the best studied avian ARB, two longitudinal studies on
118 orange-winged Amazon parrots, *Amazona amazonica* examined the development of several

119 ARBs in this species (Meehan et al., 2004). Two groups of birds, raised identically (captive-
120 bred and parent-reared), were observed for 48 weeks from the age of 18-weeks. Whilst all
121 were housed individually, 'enriched' parrots received foraging and physical enrichments,
122 whereas 'control' parrots did not (Meehan et al., 2004). Control parrots developed oral and
123 locomotor ARBs significantly sooner and more severely than enriched parrots, especially
124 during the first 16 weeks (Meehan et al., 2004). While it is expected that ARBs increase
125 during development if conditions remain unchanged, improving the environment, as
126 demonstrated in this study, can slow their development. Hence, control parrots' ARBs were
127 significantly reduced when the enrichments were later provided (Meehan et al., 2004). Using
128 the same experimental set up as above, this research group also reported a similar
129 reduction in feather-damaging behaviour (FDB, see Table 1 for a description), again though
130 enrichment provision (Meehan et al., 2003b).

131 **2.1.2 Physiological development and ARBs**

132 **2.1.2.1 Sex**

133 In some species, female birds are at increased risk of feather-directed behaviours, such as
134 FP and FDB (Jensen et al., 2005; Garner et al., 2006; Mellor, 2014). For instance, 18% of
135 females *versus* 7.9% of males feather-pecked in a red-jungle fowl, *Gallus gallus*, X white
136 leghorn (a laying strain) population (Jensen et al., 2005), and female parrots are more FDB
137 prone (McDonald Kinkaid et al., 2013; Mellor, 2014). Causal factors behind this female-bias
138 are detailed in Section 2.2.1.3, but briefly the onset of lay (and associated rises in
139 progesterone and oestrogen) is a key time in FP development (Hughes, 1973). The same is
140 suggested to be true in FDB (Wedel, 1999), though experimental evidence for this is
141 currently lacking.

142 2.1.2.2 Personality and stress response style

143 Personality, defined as consistent behavioural differences between individuals of the same
144 species (Nettle and Penke, 2010), also relates to ARB. Orange-winged Amazon parrots with
145 FDB showed more neuroticism-type personality traits, such as 'fearfulness' and 'excitability',
146 whereas extraversion-type traits were negatively associated with locomotor ARBs (Cussen
147 and Mench, 2015). The authors suggested personality influences an individual's
148 susceptibility to environmental stressors, here during enrichment deprivation, and thus ARB
149 (Cussen and Mench, 2015).

150 Stress response style, or 'coping' style, a set of consistent behavioural and physiological
151 responses to stress (Koolhaas et al., 1999), also affects susceptibility to ARB development.
152 Two response styles are recognised, each with distinctive behaviours and physiological
153 characteristics. 'Proactive' individuals have an active behavioural stress response, are 'bold'
154 (e.g. will approach unfamiliar objects, *sensu*: Wilson et al., 1994), aggressive, impulsive, and
155 are inclined towards routine formation (Koolhaas et al., 1999). 'Reactive' individuals have a
156 passive behavioural stress response, are 'shy' (e.g. unlikely to approach unfamiliar objects,
157 *sensu*: Wilson et al., 1994), are less aggressive, avoid risks, and behave flexibly (Koolhaas
158 et al., 1999). Proactive individuals are characterised by low hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal
159 axis responsiveness and high sympathetic reactivity, whereas the opposite occurs in
160 reactive individuals (Koolhaas et al., 1999). These differences in response style are
161 suggested to predispose proactive individuals to ARB development (Koolhaas et al., 1999;
162 Ijichi et al., 2013) with neurobiological differences being particularly influential (detailed in
163 Section 2.2.1.2).

164 There are two avian examples of proactive susceptibility to ARB. Two strains of white
165 leghorn chicken with contrasting propensity to FP also show differential response styles:
166 high FPs tend to be proactive, and low FPs reactive (Blokhuis and Beutler, 1992; van
167 Hierden et al., 2002a; van Hierden et al., 2002b). In African grey parrots, *Psittacus erithacus*,

168 van Zeeland et al. (2013b) found some indication that parrots with FDB may have a
169 proactive response style, but this was not consistent across different experiments. FDB
170 status did not predict response style during a stressful event (manual restraint), but during
171 novel object and open field tests parrots with FDB adopted a proactive style (van Zeeland et
172 al., 2013b), *i.e.* they were bolder, and more likely to approach a novel object and explore
173 during an open field test than birds without FDB.

174 **2.1.3 Past experiences and ARBs**

175 *2.1.3.1 Rearing conditions*

176 Rearing conditions experienced during early life influence ARB development. In laying hens,
177 brooded (hen-reared) chicks are at less risk of developing FP when older (Perré et al., 2002)
178 and are less likely to suffer FP and cannibalism-related mortality (Riber et al., 2007). Hand-
179 reared African grey parrots (from 5 weeks old or less) are at increased risk of ARB as adults
180 and are also more aggressive towards humans (Schmid et al., 2006). Contrastingly, though
181 not directly comparable as all parent-reared birds were also wild-caught, hand-reared
182 European starlings are less likely to perform somersaulting and other ARBs (Feenders and
183 Bateson, 2012; but see: Coulon et al., 2014), are less fearful of humans (Feenders and
184 Bateson, 2011), and do not show any indications of compromised cognitive function
185 (Feenders and Bateson, 2013). As European starlings do not readily breed in captivity
186 (Bateson and Feenders, 2010), whether this effect is one of hand-rearing or origin (wild
187 *versus* captive) is unknown.

188 While not totally clear-cut in some cases, wild-caught birds may be more likely to develop
189 ARBs than captive-bred. Wild-caught African grey parrots are more likely to display FDB
190 than captive-bred individuals, regardless of captive-rearing technique (Schmid et al., 2006).
191 Similarly, Keiper (1969) found his wild-caught blue jays, *Cyanocitta cristata*, performed more
192 route-tracing but less spot-picking than captive-raised counterparts. This blue jay example

193 suffers from the same confound as with the starlings, as captive-raised birds were all hand-
194 reared, leaving it impossible to judge the most influential developmental factor.

195 Aside from parental contact, other appropriate conspecific social experiences can be
196 important to young birds. Solitary- and hand-reared captive Hawaiian crows, *Corvus*
197 *hawaiiensis*, show more ARB as adults compared with group-raised birds. They also display
198 other behavioural problems such as impaired social skills, particularly towards mates
199 (Harvey et al., 2002). Similarly, significant between-group behavioural differences were
200 reported in young orange-winged Amazon parrots housed for a year in enriched cages,
201 either individually or with a same-sex partner (Meehan et al., 2003a). None of the pair-
202 housed parrots developed ARBs, yet 57% of the single-housed birds developed oral and/or
203 locomotor ARBs, had a more restricted behavioural repertoire, were less active and more
204 fearful: clearly demonstrating the importance of a cage-mate to the welfare of these birds
205 (Meehan et al., 2003a). From these examples, it seems clear that parental/maternal and/or
206 conspecific social contact whilst young is influential in avian behavioural development,
207 including that of ARB.

208 *2.1.3.2 Previous housing conditions*

209 The experience of pecking or foraging opportunities during early life influences FP
210 development in adult laying hens. For example, housing chicks on litter has been repeatedly
211 shown to reduce FP or its effects at adulthood (Blokhuys and van der Haar, 1989; Bestman
212 et al., 2009; Tahamtani et al., 2016), with even a short experience of litter protective against
213 FP development to some degree (10 days: Nicol et al., 2001). Scattering grain in the litter
214 (Blokhuys and van der Haar, 1992) and providing straw and objects to encourage pecking
215 during rear can result in less FP when birds are older (notably, severe FP in turkeys,
216 *Meleagris gallopavo*: Martrenchar et al., 2001). In these examples, lack of appropriate
217 foraging and exploratory opportunities and/or other stimuli during rear may result in

218 redirection of pecks towards flock-mates' feathers (e.g. Martrenchar et al., 2001; Bestman et
219 al., 2009).

220 Experiencing and consuming loose feathers in the rearing environment may be a key risk
221 factor for severe FP in laying hens. Hens moult three times during rear, so loose feathers are
222 plentiful (Appleby et al., 1992). However, a relative shortage of loose feathers is then
223 experienced during lay, resulting in pecking attention being redirected towards feathers still
224 attached to flock-mates (McKeegan and Savory, 1999; Ramadan and von Borell, 2008). By
225 regularly removing feathers from the rearing environment, Ramadan and von Borell (2008)
226 demonstrated that hens who do not experience plentiful loose feathers during development
227 are less likely to develop severe FP. Therefore, for laying hens 'learning' to peck at feathers
228 when young seems a key developmental step for FP at later life.

229 **2.2 Causation**

230 The causation of behaviour or, as preferred by some, mechanism of control (Bateson and
231 Laland, 2013) refers to internal and external stimuli triggering its performance. For internal
232 triggers, we include the effects of neurotransmitters, hormones, brain function, and
233 comorbidity with medical conditions. The current environment can be an external trigger for
234 ARB, but separating out the effects of current and previous environments is problematic,
235 though not a problem unique to ARB (Tinbergen, 1963; Bateson and Laland, 2013). To this
236 end, ARB can be a 'scar' of welfare problems past and not necessarily indicative of the
237 animal's current environment and welfare (*sensu*: Mason and Latham, 2004). To deal with
238 this, we have limited ourselves in all but one example (Section 2.2.4.1) to discussing clear
239 current environmental triggers for ARB.

240 **2.2.1 Internal triggers for ARB**

241 *2.2.1.1 Neurotransmitters*

242 The neurotransmitters dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT), act in the
243 direct and indirect neural pathways responsible for behaviour modulation (reviewed by:
244 Garner, 2006), and are linked with ARB. Generally, ARBs are reduced through activation of
245 the indirect or suppression of the direct pathway, demonstrated experimentally by
246 administration of dopaminergic and serotonergic drugs (Langen et al., 2011). For instance,
247 apomorphine, a D₁ and D₂ receptor agonist (van Hierden et al., 2005) induced object-pecking
248 in male Japanese quail, *Coturnix japonica* (Castagna et al., 1997), and male laying chicks
249 (Machlis, 1980), while Kjaer et al. (2004) reduced FP in laying hens with haloperidol, a D₂
250 receptor antagonist. Similarly, a 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist that reduces 5-HT synthesis
251 increased severe FP in high FP line laying chicks (also see Section 2.2.1.2: van Hierden et
252 al., 2004a), whereas dietary supplementation of a 5-HT precursor, tryptophan, stimulated
253 serotonergic neurotransmission and reduced FP in young laying chickens (Savory, 1998;
254 Savory et al., 1999; van Hierden et al., 2004b). Finally, dependent upon the drug used and
255 receptor affected, oral ARBs in broiler breeders – the parent stock of meat chickens – were
256 either suppressed or induced with various 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT₂ and 5-HT₃ receptor agonists and
257 antagonists (Košťál and Savory, 1995). Altogether, increased DA activity is associated with
258 increased ARB, but the relationship between ARBs and 5-HT function seems complex and
259 receptor-specific, perhaps reflective of interactions between the two systems (*sensu*: Langen
260 et al., 2011).

261 Neurotransmitter deficiencies are also suggested to be causal in FDB in parrots (van
262 Zeeland et al., 2009), but experimental studies confirming this are lacking. Limited reduction
263 in FDB using DA and 5-HT antagonist drugs do provide some cautious, anecdotal support
264 for this (though sample sizes were small, two and 12 birds, respectively: Iglauer and Rasim,

265 1993; Mertens, 1997). Rigorous experimental research is therefore now required to
266 investigate relationships between DA, 5-HT and FDB.

267 *2.2.1.2 Neurotransmitters and interaction with stress response style*

268 As mentioned in Section 2.1.2.2, proactive animals are suggested to be predisposed to ARB
269 development due to response style-specific differences in 5-HT and DA activity (Koolhaas et
270 al., 1999; Ijichi et al., 2013) and sensitivity to manipulations of these systems (van Hierden,
271 2003). In line with this, proactive high FP laying chicks were more sensitive to the effects of
272 a D₁ and D₂ receptor agonist (measured by increased hyperactive locomotion) (van Hierden
273 et al., 2005). More support comes from a study that increased severe FP in high FP laying
274 chicks by reducing 5-HT synthesis with a 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist, yet observed no effect in
275 low FP chicks (van Hierden et al., 2004a). Similarly, a significant reduction of gentle FP via
276 dietary tryptophan supplementation was only found in high FP laying chicks (van Hierden et
277 al., 2004b). The predicted relationship between response style (based on FP) and 5-HT and
278 DA activity is not unequivocal, however. Variation is observed across life-stages (Buitenhuis
279 et al., 2006; Kops, et al., 2017) and across different lines (*i.e.* whether purposefully
280 selectively bred based on FP (Kops et al., 2017), or not (van Hierden et al., 2002b)), leaving
281 the response style – ARB relationship a little unclear.

282 *2.2.1.3 Hormones*

283 The onset of lay in laying hens is a key time in FP; experimental manipulation of
284 progesterone and oestrogen demonstrates the influence of these hormones (Hughes, 1973).
285 12-week old pullets received sex hormone releasing implants with un-implanted pullets used
286 as controls (Hughes, 1973). By 18 weeks, progesterone and oestrogen together resulted in a
287 large increase in FP, progesterone alone induced a lesser, but still significant, increase, and
288 the usual dramatic onset of lay increase in FP was suppressed with testosterone
289 administration from 18-24 weeks (Hughes, 1973). Given similarities in outward forms of FP

290 and FDB and the female-bias (Garner et al., 2006; Mellor, 2014) it is reasonable to predict
291 FDB may be similarly affected by hormonal control, a testable hypothesis.

292 2.2.1.4 Nutrient deficiencies and hunger

293 In laying hens, deficiencies in certain nutrients trigger exploratory behaviour, including
294 pecking. These deficiencies are therefore implicated in FP (Kjaer and Bessei, 2013). This
295 has been extensively reviewed elsewhere (e.g. van Krimpen et al., 2005; Kjaer and Bessei,
296 2013) so, aside from fibre, only a brief overview is provided here. Deficiencies in crude
297 protein (e.g. Ambrosen and Petersen, 1997) and specific amino acids such as methionine
298 (e.g. Harms and Russell, 1996; Elwinger et al., 2008), arginine (e.g. Sirén, 1963), and lysine
299 (e.g. Quentin et al., 2005) have been linked to FP, which is quickly and effectively reduced
300 when appropriate diets are fed (Kjaer and Bessei, 2013). Fibre positively affects gut motility,
301 and increases satiety levels (Hetland et al., 2004; Rodenburg et al., 2013); inadequate
302 provision of fibre is associated with severe FP (van Krimpen et al., 2009; Rodenburg et al.,
303 2013). Ingested feathers act on the gut in a similar fashion to fibre, thus by consuming
304 feathers birds may increase satiety (Harlander-Matauschek et al., 2006b). Interestingly, the
305 morphology of severe FPs (though not gentle FPs) is similar to foraging pecks, indicating the
306 likely motivational basis of severe FP (Dixon et al., 2008). FP followed by feather-eating,
307 therefore, may allow the birds to meet a dietary and digestive need not provided by the diet
308 (Rodenburg et al., 2013).

309 While FP is more commonly reported in laying strains, it is also reported in broiler breeders
310 (de Jong and Guémené, 2011) and relates to hunger (Morrissey et al., 2014a). Strong
311 artificial selection in broilers means birds can effectively reach slaughter weight through
312 rapid weight gain; this weight gain and subsequent obesity damages long-term health and
313 productivity of broiler breeders, especially females (Richards et al., 2010). To avoid this,
314 broiler breeders are usually restricted to 25-50% of *ad libitum* intake (Savory et al., 1993;
315 Renema et al., 2007), show signs of chronic hunger (Mench, 2002), and physiological

316 indications of stress, indicating that such restriction is detrimental to welfare (e.g. Hocking et
317 al., 1993; de Jong et al., 2002). Furthermore, feed-restriction and hunger are associated with
318 FP and oral ARBs such as spot-pecking (Sandilands et al., 2006; D'Eath et al., 2009;
319 Nielsen et al., 2011; Morrissey et al., 2014a; Morrissey et al., 2014b). To reduce hunger and
320 improve welfare, studies have investigated the effects of 'alternative' diets. Alternative diets
321 are typically qualitative-restrictive (high volume, low quality and energy) diets, prolonging
322 feeding times, and/or contain appetite suppressants (e.g. calcium propionate) to reduce
323 feeding motivation (e.g. Sandilands et al., 2006). These alternative diets are often, though
324 not always, associated with positive behavioural indicators of welfare such as a reduction in
325 FP and its effects, and more 'normal' foraging behaviour overall (reviewed by: Tolkamp and
326 D'Eath, 2016). For example, Morrissey et al., 2014a; 2014b found feather condition was
327 better in hens fed alternative compared with control diets. However, alternative diets are
328 controversial due to uncertainties surrounding their welfare effects and whether underlying
329 'metabolic hunger' for nutrients and energy is actually satisfied (see: Tolkamp and D'Eath,
330 2016).

331 **2.2.2 Medical conditions and ARBs**

332 ARB is co-morbid with some avian medical conditions. *Escherichia coli* infections (Brunberg
333 et al., 2016), intestinal worm related mortality rates, infectious bronchitis, and egg peritonitis
334 are linked with FP in laying hens (Pötzsch et al., 2001). As damaged feathers can be visual
335 cues for FP (Hughes, 1985; McAdie and Keeling, 2000), perhaps in some of these examples
336 the altered appearance (including feathers) and behaviour of ill birds has a likewise effect. In
337 parrots, FDB may coincide with endoparasite (e.g. *Giardia psittaci*, an intestinal protozoan:
338 Clyde and Patton, 1996; Doneley, 2009) and ectoparasite infections (e.g. quill mites,
339 *Syringophilus* spp. Doneley, 2009), presumably irritating the skin and/or feathers, causing
340 the bird to chew at or remove them. This is also the case with painful and/or irritating
341 diseases (renal and liver disease: Jaensch, 2000; Burgos-Rodríguez, 2010; van Zeeland

342 and Schoemaker, 2014). In these instances, FDB may be localised to the painful area (e.g.
343 in the synsacrum in parrots with renal disease: Burgos-Rodríguez, 2010), or generalised
344 (van Zeeland and Schoemaker, 2014).

345 It should be noted that the examples given here are correlative and, in some cases,
346 anecdotal only, *i.e.* causation cannot be inferred. There could be causal mechanism linking
347 ill-health and ARB, or poor environmental conditions may be the underlying causal factor for
348 both. Experimental manipulations may aide this distinction. By experimentally administering
349 young laying hens with an immune challenge, Parmentier et al., (2009) showed a link
350 between feather condition and immune response. Poorer plumage condition, and thus
351 increased FP, was found in hens given a higher dose of human serum albumin, showing that
352 an immune response alone is sufficient to trigger FP. While the precise causal link between
353 immune response and FP was unclear, it neatly demonstrated the immune challenge itself
354 was the influencing factor, providing clear direction for further work. In all these cases,
355 however, if an ARB is just a symptom of disease, we would predict that successful disease
356 treatment would also eliminate the ARB.

357 **2.2.3 CNS dysfunction and ARBs**

358 Altered brain function and physiology is associated with ARBs in various mammalian
359 species, being best studied in humans (reviewed by: Garner, 2006), and is likewise
360 suggested to be influential in some avian ARBs. ARB-related CNS dysfunction in birds can
361 be assumed by analogy with known CNS dysfunction-induced behaviour problems in
362 humans. Similarities between FDB and trichotillomania (plucking of hair) in humans for
363 instance implies, as per trichotillomania, that CNS dysfunction underlies FDB (Moon-Fanelli
364 et al., 1999; Garner et al., 2006). CNS dysfunction is also inferred experimentally, typically,
365 by measuring perseveration. Perseveration – the inappropriate repetition of an ineffectual
366 response – is used as a proxy for CNS dysfunction, because it may indicate problems with

367 basal ganglia and other brain regions responsible for behaviour selection and control
368 (Norman and Shallice, 1986; Garner, 2006). Perseveration positively correlated with ARB in
369 orange-winged Amazon parrots (Garner et al., 2003b), blue tits, *Cyanistes caeruleus*, and
370 marsh tits, *Poecile palustris*, (Garner et al., 2003a), and, although less definitively, in high FP
371 laying hens (during an extinction learning task: Harlander-Matauschek et al., 2006a, but see:
372 Kjaer et al., 2015).

373 Thus when perseveration is measured, overall, it does covary with ARB in birds, *i.e.* those
374 performing the most ARB are the most perseverative. However, perseveration or
375 perseverative tendencies are also linked to stress response style (*sensu*: Koolhaas et al.,
376 1999; Coppens et al., 2010). The routine formation tendency of proactive individuals is due
377 to non-pathological individual differences in brain structure (Coppens et al., 2010). Given
378 that high FP laying hens tend to be proactive (Blokhuis and Beutler, 1992; van Hierden et al.,
379 2002a; van Hierden et al., 2002b), if these hens are more perseverative than low FP hens, is
380 this just a by-product of their response style? Or a sign of a dysfunctional CNS? More
381 damningly, in humans at least, perseveration is also seen in 'normal' individuals without
382 ARBs (*e.g.* Ramage et al., 1999). Therefore, it is unclear whether perseveration is a valid
383 proxy of brain dysfunction in avian species.

384 **2.2.4 Environmental triggers for ARB**

385 Many environmental risk-factors have been identified for avian ARBs, but the causal
386 connection between the trigger and the ARB is generally unknown.

387 Firstly, ARB may be triggered by discrete events. Pacing, for example, was induced in
388 Japanese quail when novel objects were placed into the cage (Saint-Dizier et al., 2008).
389 Likewise, and unexpectedly as prior to this only wild-caught birds had been reported to
390 somersault, somersaulting was triggered in hand-reared starlings during an experiment
391 investigating the potential enriching effects of videos of landscapes (Coulon et al., 2014).

392 Similarly, the removal of a preferred environment can trigger ARB. For instance, a move
393 from large, group-housed aviaries into small single-occupancy cages quickly led to
394 somersaulting in starlings and mild FDB in an African grey parrot (Feenders and Bateson,
395 2012; van Zeeland et al., 2013a). Negative contrast effects may play a role here too (*sensu*:
396 Burman et al., 2008); for example, layer pullets switched from wood-shavings to slatted
397 floors performed more FP than those housed on slatted floors throughout (Blokhuis and
398 Arkes, 1984). It seems likely, given that ARBs were triggered so quickly in these examples,
399 that the birds found these events aversive.

400 Frustrated motivation of species-specific behaviours can lead to ARB. Here, when the
401 opportunity to perform the frustrated behaviour is provided, ARB should be reduced or even
402 eliminated (Mason and Latham, 2004). For example, water for bathing is important to duck
403 welfare (Jones et al., 2009), as illustrated by Riber and Mench (2008) who found FP was
404 higher in farmed Muscovy ducklings, *Cairina moschata*, without water access than those
405 with. Frustrated foraging motivation is often proposed to underlie oral ARBs and FDB
406 (Meehan et al., 2003b; van Zeeland et al., 2009). Supporting this are reports of increased
407 foraging times and reduced oral ARBs and FDB in canaries, *Serinus canaria domestica*, and
408 African grey parrots, respectively, using foraging enrichments (Keiper, 1969; Lumeij and
409 Hommers, 2008; van Zeeland et al., 2013a). More evidence comes from orange-winged
410 Amazon parrots, as enrichment provision reduced the amount of ARB performed
411 (unenriched birds performed more), but also changed the primary *form* of ARB (Meehan et
412 al., 2004). Enriched birds performed predominantly locomotor ARBs, whereas the mix of
413 locomotor and oral ARBs was more equal in unenriched birds (Meehan et al., 2004).
414 Interestingly, in the latter group this changed when enrichment was later provided; locomotor
415 ARBs became predominant in these birds too, implying the parrots' foraging 'needs' were
416 almost completely satisfied by the added enrichments (Meehan et al., 2004). Note that while
417 frustration would appear the most likely trigger in these examples, as ever, without careful
418 experimentation we cannot state that other causal factors were not influential.

419 Finally, housing animals – including humans – in impoverished conditions can impair CNS
420 development, causing dysfunction (Leipoldt, 1992; Lewis et al., 2006) and ARB (Hediger,
421 1950; Morris, 1964; Carlstead, 1998). Such conditions are regularly cited as causal in avian
422 ARBs (Keiper, 1969; 1970; Jacobs et al., 1995; El-Lethey et al., 2000). Small and/or
423 unenriched cages across numerous species are associated with increased ARBs, such as
424 hopping in zebra finch, *Taeniopygia guttata* (Jacobs et al., 1995), oral ARBs in budgerigars,
425 *Melopsittacus undulates* (Polverino et al., 2015), and route-tracing in canaries, (Keiper,
426 1969). Similarly, in young orange-winged Amazon parrots barren, unenriched cages reliably
427 trigger FDB and other ARBs, which are successfully reduced with provision of physical and
428 foraging enrichments (Meehan et al., 2003b; Meehan et al., 2004; Cussen and Mench,
429 2015). As the orange-winged Amazon parrots' ARBs were so easily reduced it seems
430 unlikely that CNS dysfunction was an important causal factor; a hallmark of ARB driven by
431 CNS dysfunction is that changing to more appropriate environmental conditions is unlikely to
432 alter ARB (and may even make it worse) (reviewed by: Mason and Latham, 2004). Indeed,
433 to the best of our knowledge just one study to date on laying hens has investigated the
434 effects of different housing conditions on brain development. Patzke et al., (2009) reported
435 only minor differences in key brain areas believed to be sensitive to environmental
436 stimulation: hippocampal structures and the nidopallium caudolaterale. This somewhat
437 unexpected result could indicate the adult avian brain may be less responsive to effects of
438 environmental conditions than is that of young birds (e.g. Leitner and Catchpole, 2007;
439 Patzke et al., 2009). However, as all the hens shared similar, possibly traumatic, pre-study
440 rearing conditions, *i.e.* non-brooded, conditions known to affect behaviour (Edgar et al.,
441 2016) and brain development (Nordquist et al., 2014) this early experience may have
442 influenced early brain development across all hens and thus explain their results (Patzke et
443 al., 2009). Therefore, this leaves plenty of scope to thoroughly investigate the effects of
444 impoverished housing conditions on CNS development and ARB in birds across life-stages.

445 2.2.4.1 *Flight restriction and ARB*

446 Here, we detail one example where causation has not been adequately established, yet, we
447 feel its implications for avian welfare warrants discussion. Two studies using survey data
448 found various psittacine species to be at increased risk of FDB if unable to fly (pet African
449 grey parrots (Schmid et al. 2006); preliminary results from 23 pet psittacine species (Mellor,
450 2014)). Causation cannot be inferred from these examples, but the results are intriguing as
451 captive flight-adapted species often have their flight restricted or entirely prevented in
452 captivity (van Hoek and Ten Cate, 1998; Hesterman et al., 2001). Indeed, partial wing-
453 amputations did not diminish great-mynahs, *Acridotheres grandis*, preferences for large
454 spaces with vertical height, implying the birds were still motivated to fly, even if not physically
455 able (Peng et al., 2013). Preventing flight in captive birds may, therefore, represent a
456 significant area of avian welfare concern.

457 **2.3 Evolution**

458 To understand the evolution of behaviour, Tinbergen (1963) advocated comparing the
459 behaviour across a group related of species, and investigating the genetic control of species-
460 specific behaviours. In this section, therefore, interspecific differences in ARB and heritability
461 and genetics of ARB will be discussed.

462 **2.3.1 Inter-specific differences in ARB**

463 Phylogenetic comparative methods (PCMs) are useful in studying the evolution of behaviour,
464 examining how it differs across a group of species and its co-evolution with other aspects of
465 species biology and behaviour (Blumstein and Fernández-Juricic, 2010). In captive wild
466 animal welfare studies, PCMs can be used to identify species-level risk-factors (*i.e.* species-
467 typical traits) for poor captive welfare, including ARB (Clubb and Mason, 2003; Clubb and

468 Mason, 2007; Müller et al., 2011; Pomerantz et al., 2013; Kroshko et al., 2016; reviewed by:
469 Mason, 2010).

470 Currently, McDonald Kinkaid (2015) has performed the only avian welfare PCM study,
471 uncovering two risk-factors for poor welfare in parrots. Species with naturally effortful
472 foraging modes, e.g. Ducorp's corella, *Cacatua ducorpsii*, were at increased risk of FDB
473 (McDonald Kinkaid, 2015). The second risk-factor was relative brain volume; species with
474 relatively large brains, e.g. red-shouldered macaws, *Diopsittaca nobilis*, were at increased
475 risk of oral and locomotor ARBs (McDonald Kinkaid, 2015).

476 **2.3.2. Intra-specific differences in ARB**

477 *2.3.2.1 Heritability*

478 Selective breeding in laying hens demonstrates a possible heritable component to FP (e.g.
479 Kjaer et al., 2001). FP heritability estimates (h^2) range from 0.07 – 0.54 (Cuthbertson, 1980;
480 Bessei, 1984; Kjaer and Sørensen, 1997), and vary further between different breeds and
481 lines (Faure et al., 2003). These estimates are somewhat confounded by the fact that social
482 environment, i.e. flock mates, may also play a role in accurately estimating heritability for FP
483 damage (Brinker et al., 2014). In addition, many studies aggregate data on *both* types of FP
484 in their heritability estimates. This could be an important distinction to make, for Rodenburg
485 et al. (2003) found while gentle FP was heritable in their study (h^2 at 5 weeks of age = 0.12;
486 at 30 weeks = 0.15), severe FP was not. Therefore, assuming the two forms of FP really do
487 represent two distinct behaviours, establishing the heritability of each and how this varies
488 between life-stages is important.

489 Within a laboratory colony of orange-winged Amazon parrots, the h^2 estimate for FDB was
490 1.14 ± 0.27 (S.E.) (Garner et al., 2006). The estimate is greater than 1 as the small sample
491 size featured full-siblings, limiting its generalisability; replication is now required with a larger,
492 less related sample (van Zeeland et al., 2009). However, this does suggest, as with laying

493 hens, it might be possible to select against FDB (Garner et al., 2006). Heritability of
494 locomotor and oral ARBs in this study was low: $h^2 = -0.08 \pm 0.14$, though it is possible that
495 the close relatedness, and thus little genetic variability, within their study group may explain
496 this (Garner et al., 2006).

497 2.3.2.2 *Genes*

498 Molecular research has identified several genomic regions associated with FP. In adult
499 laying hens possible quantitative trait loci (QTL), and clues of the likely location of genes for
500 performing gentle and severe FP, were found on chromosome GGA2, and a potential one
501 for receiving gentle FP on GGA5 (Buitenhuis et al., 2003a; 2003b). QTL locations for FP
502 differed in 6-week old chicks though, performing gentle FP was located on GGA10, receiving
503 gentle FP on GGA1, alongside further suggestive QTL on GGA2, 6, and 7 (Buitenhuis et al.,
504 2003a). Biscarini et al. (2010) found good agreement with these QTL mapping studies,
505 uncovering associations between giving and receiving FPs and single-nucleotide
506 polymorphisms (SNPs) on many of the same chromosomes (see: Buitenhuis et al., 2003a;
507 2003b).

508 Specific gene-expression relates to FP. By comparing the genetic profiles of high and low FP
509 laying hens, Flisikowski et al., (2009) uncovered two candidate genes associated with FP:
510 DRD4 and DEAF1. These respectively encode for DA receptor D₄ and a 5-HT regulatory
511 factor. Emerging evidence also suggests that some high FP laying hens show hyperactivity-
512 type behaviour (Kjaer, 2009; Labouriau et al., 2009), perhaps underpinned by higher DA
513 activity and thus a more active motor-system output overall (Kops et al., 2017). While the
514 sample size was very small, Labouriau et al. (2009) reported differences in gene expression
515 between the hyperactive high FPs ($N = 4$) and more 'normal' birds ($N = 56$), notably OPRD1
516 and OPRK, which relate to the opioid system (Labouriau et al., 2009). As well as these links
517 to DA and 5-HT systems, FP is associated with genes directly and indirectly involved in
518 absorption of nutrients from the intestine, glucose homeostasis, and the immune system

519 (Biscarini et al., 2010; Brunberg et al., 2011). Causal links between these genes and FP
520 cannot be inferred from these studies, but they do further strengthen proposed relationships
521 between FP and feeding motivation, and the DA and 5-HT systems.

522 Finally, research into the genetic profile of FP *victims* using white leghorn and red jungle fowl
523 crosses, revealed a gene for feather pigmentation, PMEL17, predicted the severity of feather
524 damage (Keeling et al., 2004). The white leghorn's dominant white allele (I) inhibits feather
525 pigmentation, the wild-type recessive allele (i) results in some degree of feather
526 pigmentation. Thus, recessive homozygotes (i/i) received the most FP damage, dominant
527 homozygotes (I/I) the least, with heterozygotes (I/i) intermediate (Keeling et al., 2004).
528 Wood-shavings in the litter were suggested to be more conspicuous on the feathers of
529 pigmented birds, serving as a pecking stimulus (Keeling et al., 2004).

530 **2.4 Function**

531 In this section, the function of behaviour is considered. Generally speaking, behaviours
532 increase the fitness of the individual, be it through increasing immediate survivorship, or
533 reproductive output (*sensu*: Tinbergen, 1963). Where do ARBs fit into this? Common
534 definitions of ARB emphasise its seemingly 'functionless' nature (Ödberg, 1978; Wiepkema,
535 1983); in some cases it is very difficult to see how ARBs serve to benefit the animal, *e.g.*
536 self-damaging ARBs such as FDB leading to skin damage and infection (Meehan et al.,
537 2003b; van Zeeland et al., 2009). However, combining evidence from better-studied taxa
538 with a limited quantity of avian research, here we discuss potential functions of some specific
539 cases of ARB, as well as considering cases where ARB may be functionless.

540 **2.4.1 ARB and coping**

541 ARB may calm an animal or facilitate coping with stressors. Firstly, ARB may reduce
542 physiological stress (*e.g.* self-injurious biting in primates reduces heart rate: Novak, 2003), or

543 alleviate signs of pain (e.g. crib-biting provides gastric irritation relief to horses: Wickens and
544 Heleski, 2010), and is implied in FDB in parrots with renal disease (Burgos-Rodríguez,
545 2010). Additionally, ARB can be self-reinforcing ('DIY enrichments') and, as reported by
546 humans, repetition itself is stress-relieving ('mantra effects') (Mason and Latham, 2004),
547 allowing a regain of control and reduction in anxiety, especially after a stressful event (Eilam
548 et al., 2011; Lang et al., 2015). When an ARB allows an animal to cope, in the Tinbergian
549 sense "... the animal would be worse off if deprived of this attribute." (Tinbergen, 1963), ARB
550 is thus associated with an improvement in welfare when the behaviour is performed (*sensu*:
551 Mason and Latham, 2004).

552 Though 'coping' is widely suggested to underlie several avian ARBs (e.g. in conures,
553 *Pyrrhura perlata perlata*: van Hoek and King, 1997), to the best of our knowledge, this has
554 never been formally tested. We do, however, have two tentative potential avian coping
555 examples. The first relates to FP, and eating, caused by low fibre diets in laying hens (van
556 Krimpen et al., 2009), whereby birds could be coping by managing a dietary and digestive
557 need not provided by the diet (see Section 2.2.1.4). Our second 'coping' example is FDB
558 associated with a painful disease: e.g. in parrots with renal disease (see Section 2.2.2)
559 (Burgos-Rodríguez, 2010). In humans, stimulating certain areas of the body reduces pain via
560 endorphin release (termed acupuncture analgesia), in itself a reinforcing act (Wang et al.,
561 2008). Self-harming humans and self-biting rhesus macaques target many of the same
562 body areas (Wisely et al., 2002; Polanco, 2016). It is not implausible that FDB in this
563 instance could be a do-it-yourself pain relief to alleviate disease-related pain.

564 Clearly, these hypotheses require testing, and the multi-factorial nature of FP and FDB
565 means they may be coping mechanisms only under these very specific circumstances. We
566 would predict, however, if ARB does allow the animal to cope, that indicators of improved
567 welfare would be seen, such as decreased indications of hunger post-feather consumption
568 by laying hens, and reductions in arousal, such as heart rate, during and immediately after a

569 bout of FDB (based on: Novak, 2003), and that prevention of the ARB would negatively
570 impact welfare (Mason and Latham, 2004).

571 **2.4.2 ARB and CNS dysfunction**

572 Finally, ARB may be indicative of an animal and its brain having been permanently altered
573 by captivity. If perseveration is indicative of CNS dysfunction (but see Section 2.2.3) then in
574 the perseverative orange-winged Amazon parrots, blue tits and marsh tits we may have
575 evidence of CNS dysfunction (Garner et al., 2003a; Garner et al., 2003b). Logically we might
576 also expect CNS changes to affect overall behaviour (*sensu*: Mason and Latham, 2004).
577 Hawaiian crows may demonstrate such pervasive behavioural changes: as well as more
578 ARB, adult crows raised in social isolation as chicks showed inappropriate play and social
579 behaviour, especially towards mates, directly reducing reproductive output (Harvey et al.,
580 2002). Associations between ARB and reduced reproductive success are reported in other
581 species (American mink, *Neovison vison*: Díez-León et al., 2013). It therefore seems likely
582 that signs of behavioural abnormality alongside ARB are indicative of an animal altered by
583 captivity, with CNS pathology implicit in this. As such, for a bird with its behavioural
584 repertoire shaped and affected by such conditions, it is most unlikely that ARB serves it any
585 function.

586 **3. Discussion and conclusions**

587 This review has considered avian ARBs from Tinbergen's four interactive perspectives:
588 development, causation, evolution, and function. Throughout, we have been limited to
589 discussing a minority of representatives from this diverse taxonomic group. This does not
590 mean absence of ARB evidence in other species equates to evidence of absence; more
591 likely under- or non-reporting is the case. Given that thousands of avian species are held in
592 captivity, and that the commonest captive bird species (domestic chicken) numbers in the
593 billions, the extent of this could be far-reaching, leaving plentiful opportunities for future

594 research. By reviewing different ARBs in the species discussed here, we hope this review
595 provides a good starting point for researchers to go forward and investigate avian ARBs
596 further, both in well-studied species and others. Below we summarise the four perspectives
597 of avian ARBs, indicating current gaps in knowledge and directions for research.

598 In relation to development, female laying hens, jungle fowl, and parrots are at higher risk of
599 FP (Jensen et al., 2005) and FDB (Garner et al., 2006; Mellor, 2014), with the onset of lay a
600 crucial time in FP (Hughes, 1973). As evidenced in high FP laying hens (*e.g.* Blokhuis and
601 Beutler, 1992) and, to a lesser extent, African grey parrots with FDB (van Zeeland et al.,
602 2013b), birds with a proactive stress response style are more susceptible to ARB, though
603 this now needs replication in other species. Studies on causation should be informed by
604 knowledge of these developmental risk-factors for ARB, *e.g.* given the female-bias future
605 studies might ask how sex hormones affect FDB. Additionally, the developmental trajectory
606 of ARB is poorly described and understood in all but two species, and biased towards
607 younger birds (*e.g.* Huber-Eicher and Sebö, 2001; Meehan et al., 2004). Therefore, this is a
608 research area requiring attention, to unpick whether certain developmental windows are key
609 to ARB at different life-stages, ARB ‘treatability’, and what this implies about the root
610 cause(s) of ARB.

611 The rearing environment clearly influences ARB development. Providing opportunities to
612 develop and perform highly motivated behaviours, such as foraging and environmental
613 exploration, offers at least some protection against ARBs when older (FP: Blokhuis and van
614 der Haar, 1989; Martrenchar et al., 2001; Nicol et al., 2001; Bestman et al., 2009; Tahamtani
615 et al., 2016). Appropriate parental and social contact whilst young also shapes behavioural
616 development, including ARB, in both precocial and altricial species (Harvey et al., 2002;
617 Perré et al., 2002; Meehan et al., 2003a). However, early maternal separation and hand-
618 rearing seem to be influential in ARB development in some (Schmid et al., 2006), but not all
619 species (Feenders and Bateson, 2011; 2012; 2013). As an example, Riber et al., (2007)

620 found that brooded layer chicks pecked the ground sooner and more often than non-brooded
621 chicks. When older, brooded chicks also performed fewer severe FPs and suffered less
622 cannibalism-related mortality than non-brooded (Riber et al., 2007).

623 Experience of wild conditions may predispose some species such as European starlings
624 (Feenders and Bateson, 2012) and blue jays (Keiper, 1969) to ARB (with the caveat of
625 rearing method confounds). Typically, the opposite is reported for wild-caught mammals,
626 believed to be protected from ARB by their natural, complex upbringing (Mason, 2006a).
627 Captivity can very quickly alter the behaviour of wild-caught birds. Butler et al. (2006) found
628 time in captivity predicted behavioural responses of wild-caught chaffinches, *Fringilla*
629 *coelebs*, during a foraging task, with chaffinches held the longest least likely to forage
630 despite having lost the most weight. Furthermore, wild-caught parrots have a greater stress
631 response to an acute stressor than parent-reared and captive-bred birds (Cabezas et al.,
632 2013), and are those at highest risk of FDB (Schmid et al., 2006). This latter finding is
633 especially important, as unlike the starlings and blue jays, the origin and ARB-risk
634 relationship is not confounded by rearing technique, *i.e.* there are parent-reared captive-bred
635 parrots for comparison. Perhaps therefore for some birds, in contrast to wild-caught
636 mammals, the seemingly protective nature of a wild upbringing is outweighed by the acts of
637 removal from the wild and introduction into captivity (with confinement being especially acute
638 for an animal that usually inhabits a significant three-dimensional space). So much so, that
639 behavioural indicators of compromised welfare, including ARB and other behavioural
640 changes, quickly emerge.

641 Studies on internal causal triggers for ARB (principally in laying hens) implicate the roles of
642 neurotransmitters, especially DA (*e.g.* Kjaer et al., 2004), sex hormones (Hughes, 1973),
643 and dietary deficiencies (*e.g.* van Krimpen et al., 2007) on FP and other ARBs (*e.g.*
644 Sandilands et al., 2006). Elevated DA activity is fairly clearly related to increased ARBs, but
645 the relationship is less convincingly established between 5-HT and ARBs. Further

646 examination of the variation in neurotransmitter–ARB relationships across life-stages (*e.g.*
647 Kops et al., 2017) is another potentially fruitful area for future studies. The relationship
648 between FP and sex hormones might be explained by changing nutritional needs of laying
649 hens – potentially in female parrots with FDB too – due to the cost of egg production during
650 lay. Energetic requirements increase at this time, and increased pecking may indicate
651 increased feeding motivation, or hunger for nutrients which feathers may provide (Jensen et
652 al., 2005). This could explain why FP is more commonly reported in laying strains of chicken,
653 with their higher levels of egg production, than it is in broiler breeders.

654 CNS dysfunction is an important cause of ARB in other species (reviewed by: Garner, 2006),
655 but it is not yet well-understood in birds. ARBs are reportedly extremely commonplace in
656 some laboratory birds (up to 84.7% of active time in orange-winged Amazon parrots: Garner
657 et al., 2006), with some evidence for CNS dysfunction (Garner et al., 2003b). Since
658 laboratory-held birds are commonly used in behavioural research (Bateson and Feenders,
659 2010), a prevalence of CNS dysfunction and ARB would undermine the scientific validity of
660 these models of ‘normal’ function, a matter of significant scientific concern (Garner et al.,
661 2003b).

662 The environment can trigger ARB, though it is unclear *how* ARB is caused in most cases.
663 Discrete, potentially aversive events such as moving cage (*e.g.* Feenders and Bateson,
664 2012) or removal of a preferred environment (*e.g.* Blokhuis and Arkes, 1984) can almost
665 immediately trigger ARB, as can long-term housing in impoverished conditions (*e.g.* Meehan
666 et al., 2004), and frustration of highly motivated behaviours (*e.g.* Riber and Mench, 2008). In
667 order to efficiently address ARB, it is essential to first know how environmental conditions
668 have caused the ARB. For instance, we might unnecessarily dismiss an environmental
669 change or enrichment’s ability to improve welfare in birds in which irreversible CNS
670 dysfunction has already developed (reviewed by: Mason and Latham, 2004). Establishing
671 causation is therefore fundamental in effectively improving welfare.

672 Species' evolutionary history can result in mismatches between how the species has
673 evolved to behave and how the captive environment permits individuals to behave, resulting
674 in ARB. Broiler chickens have been artificially selected for rapid weight gain driven by high
675 feeding motivation, indeed, if permitted they eat far beyond what they require for energetic
676 homeostasis (Richards et al., 2010). Broiler breeders are feed-restricted for health reasons
677 (Richards et al., 2010), but with their high feeding motivation show signs of chronic hunger
678 (Mench, 2002), triggering ARBs (e.g. FP: Morrissey et al., 2014a; oral ARBs: Sandilands et
679 al. 2006; Nielsen et al., 2011). Likewise, wild parrots invest heavily in feeding and foraging
680 (Snyder et al., 1987) and their captive counterparts are likely to be similarly motivated. It is
681 perhaps unsurprising that naturally effortful foraging modes emerged as a risk-factor for FDB
682 in parrots (McDonald Kinkaid, 2015), making it clear that for some, foraging behavioural
683 needs are hard to facilitate in captivity. Thus, these evolutionary history mismatches, be it
684 through natural or artificial selection, are influential in ARB and if understood can inform how
685 best to improve welfare. To illustrate, tailoring enrichments to facilitate species-specific
686 foraging modes that are known risk-factors for FDB may help protect against FDB
687 development.

688 Flight, in species capable of flight, is one of the most constrained natural behaviours in
689 captive birds, with restricted flight associated with ARB, as detailed in Section 2.2.4.1 (e.g.
690 Schmid et al., 2006; Mellor, 2014). Whether negative welfare effects of flight restriction have
691 exact parallels with spatial restrictions in mammals, or if there is something special about
692 flight *per se* which when restricted in captivity compromises welfare, is unclear. To better
693 understand this, future studies could make good use of PCMs to ask whether heavily flight-
694 dependent species are at increased risk of poor captive welfare compared with those that fly
695 less or not at all.

696 There is evidence for heritability in FP in laying hens and, perhaps, FDB in parrots (e.g.
697 Kjaer et al., 2001; Garner et al., 2006). Based on this, it may seem logical to selectively

698 breed against ARB, however, this may be costly. To start, other traits could be inadvertently
699 selected for when selecting against ARB (for example, FP may have been accidentally
700 selected for whilst breeding for improved egg-production: Nicol, 2015). In divergent lines of
701 mink based on ARB, the low ARB line were more fearful (Hansen and Jeppesen, 2006;
702 Svendsen et al., 2007). Here, selection against ARB may have resulted in selection for
703 apathy, another indication of poor welfare in itself (Fureix and Meagher, 2015). Therefore,
704 purposefully selecting against ARB based on ARB alone is unwise. Early molecular work on
705 genes associated with FP (e.g. Flisikowski et al., 2009; Biscarini et al., 2010; Brunberg et al.,
706 2011), should now be developed further. Ideally future studies should examine other species
707 (e.g. FDB and parrots) and incorporate evidence from causation studies, e.g. examining
708 relationships between ARB and DA and 5-HT expression.

709 Lastly, we proposed two cautious suggestions of where ARBs may provide function to a bird
710 by facilitating coping: FP and subsequent feather-eating in laying hens (e.g. van Krimpen et
711 al., 2009), and FDB performance associated with disease-related pain (Burgos-Rodríguez,
712 2010). However, there is also some evidence of instances where ARB may result from a
713 dysfunctional CNS (Garner et al., 2003a; Garner et al., 2003b), and is therefore unlikely to
714 be functional. As implicit in this aetiology of ARB are negative welfare effects of
715 impoverished living conditions (e.g. Hediger, 1950), we need to better understand how a
716 bird's environment affects CNS development.

717 Many, if not all, of the avian ARBs described here are multi-factorial behaviours with
718 complex aetiologies. Any approach taken to effectively understand and address them,
719 therefore, needs to reflect this. For example, consider FP in laying hens. In severe FP
720 *development*, the behaviour becomes more prevalent and frequent with age, particularly
721 around the onset of lay, with proactive individuals especially predisposed to FP. Chicks'
722 rearing conditions, such as 'learning' to eat loose feathers, also affect severe FP propensity
723 in later life. In terms of *causation*, manipulations of neurotransmitters and sex hormones

724 demonstrate the internal causal roles they have on FP, while dietary deficiencies and hunger
725 are also important triggers. Considering the *evolutionary history* of FP, early artificial
726 selection for increased egg-production may have inadvertently also selected for FP, and
727 there are indications that FP may be heritable and under some degree of genetic influence.
728 The *function* of severe FP, especially if birds consume the feathers they peck, may be to
729 allow the hen to manage a nutritional need not provided by the diet. At present, severe FP is
730 often managed commercially by routine beak-trimming (though it is banned or strictly
731 controlled in some countries: van Horne and Achterbosch, 2008). However, beak-trimming is
732 painful and addresses only the immediate outcome of the behaviour. The alternative
733 approach, as evidenced in the review, is a comprehensive and multi-faceted study of the
734 ARB. For instance: how does a rearing and adult environment with plentiful foraging
735 opportunities interact with chicks being brooded to mitigate severe FP development? Can we
736 select for personality or production traits, such as low mortality rate (see: Nordquist et al.,
737 2011), that interact with these improved conditions in order to reduce FP? It is surely more
738 effective and ethical to comprehensively consider ARB from every perspective, to
739 understand and resolve the problem coherently rather than just addressing the immediate
740 outcome. One important caveat in our above example is our use of evidence from research
741 on severe FP and overall FP (*i.e.* severe and gentle FP is pooled). Unfortunately FP form is
742 not always specified in scientific papers, though they are very likely distinct behaviours with
743 differing welfare outcomes. We would therefore strongly encourage researchers to
744 discriminate between the two forms of FP wherever possible.

745 To conclude, a diverse range of ARBs are performed by birds. Thousands of avian species
746 are held in different captive settings, as pets, in zoos, as research animals, and extensively
747 in food production, so the scale of ARB and the associated poor welfare is potentially huge.
748 Employing Tinbergen's approach in investigating ARB highlights extensive gaps in our
749 current knowledge. Key areas now requiring attention are: how ARB develops and changes
750 over the course of an individual's lifetime; precisely how the environment causes ARB; a

751 comprehensive investigation on a wide range of taxa to better understand evolutionary
752 factors; and, lastly, directed studies to determine whether or not specific ARBs provide
753 function to the performer. Finally, Tinbergen's approach emphasises the complex, multi-
754 factorial nature of ARB and, importantly, indicates how best to address them.

755 **Conflicts of interest**

756 None.

757 **Acknowledgements**

758 An earlier version of this review formed part of EM's MSc, during which she was kindly part-
759 funded by a Universities Federation for Animal Welfare Small Project and Travel Award
760 (grant number: 62-13/14). We wish to thank Andrea Polanco, Georgia Mason, and three
761 anonymous reviewers for their helpful thoughts and suggestions on previous drafts of this
762 paper, whose comments much improved it.

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771 **References**

- 772 Ambrosen, T., Petersen, V., 1997. The influence of protein level in the diet on cannibalism
773 and quality of plumage of layers. *Poultry Sci.* 76, 559-563.
- 774 Appleby, M.C., Hughes, B.O., Elson, H.A., 1992. Poultry production systems. Behaviour,
775 management and welfare. CAB international.
- 776 Bashaw, M.J., Tarou, L.R., Maki, T.S., Maple, T.L., 2001. A survey assessment of variables
777 related to stereotypy in captive giraffe and okapi. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 73, 235-247.
- 778 Bateson, M., Feenders, G., 2010. The use of passerine bird species in laboratory research:
779 implications of basic biology for husbandry and welfare. *ILAR J.* 51, 394-408.
- 780 Bateson, P., Laland, K.N., 2013. Tinbergen's four questions: an appreciation and an update.
781 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 712-718.
- 782 Benhajali, H., Ezzaouia, M., Lunel, C., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M., 2014. Stereotypic
783 behaviours and mating success in domestic mares. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 153, 36-42.
- 784 Bessei, W., 1984. Untersuchungen zur heritabilität des Federpickverhaltens bei
785 Junghennen. I. Mitteilung. *Arch. Geflügelk* 48, 224-231.
- 786 Bestman, M., Koene, P., Wagenaar, J.-P., 2009. Influence of farm factors on the occurrence
787 of feather pecking in organic reared hens and their predictability for feather pecking in the
788 laying period. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 121, 120-125.
- 789 Biscarini, F., Bovenhuis, H., van der Poel, J., Rodenburg, T.B., Jungerius, A.P., van
790 Arendonk, J.A.M., 2010. Across-Line SNP Association Study for Direct and Associative
791 Effects on Feather Damage in Laying Hens. *Behav. Genet.* 40, 715-727.

792 Blokhuis, H., van der Haar, J., 1992. Effects of pecking incentives during rearing on feather
793 pecking of laying hens. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 33, 17-24.

794 Blokhuis, H.J., Arkes, J.G., 1984. Some observations on the development of feather-pecking
795 in poultry. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 12, 145-157.

796 Blokhuis, H.J., Beutler, A., 1992. Feather pecking damage and tonic immobility response in
797 two lines of White Leghorn hens. *J. Anim. Sci.* 70, 170.

798 Blokhuis, H.J., van der Haar, J.W., 1989. Effects of floor type during rearing and of beak
799 trimming on ground pecking and feather pecking in laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 22,
800 359-369.

801 Blumstein, D.T., Fernández-Juricic, E., 2010. The Evolution of Behavior and Comparative
802 Studies, in: Blumstein, D.T., Fernández-Juricic, E. (Eds.), *A Primer of Conservation*
803 *Behavior*, Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers, Sunderland, Massachusetts, pp. 31-41.

804 Bolhuis, J.E., Ellen, E.D., Van Reenen, C.G., De Groot, J., Napel, J.T., Koopmanschap,
805 R.E., De Vries Reilingh, G., Uitdehaag, K.A., Kemp, B., Rodenburg, T.B., 2009. Effects of
806 genetic group selection against mortality on behavior and peripheral serotonin in domestic
807 laying hens with trimmed and intact beaks. *Physiol. Behav.* 97, 470-475.

808 Brilot, B.O., Asher, L., Feenders, G., Bateson, M., 2009. Quantification of abnormal repetitive
809 behaviour in captive European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Behav. Process.* 82, 256-264.

810 Brunberg, E., Jensen, P., Isaksson, A., Keeling, L., 2011. Feather pecking behavior in laying
811 hens: Hypothalamic gene expression in birds performing and receiving pecks. *Poult. Sci.* 90,
812 1145-1152.

813 Brunberg, E.I., Rodenburg, T.B., Rydhmer, L., Kjaer, J.B., Jensen, P., Keeling, L.J., 2016.
814 Omnivores Going Astray: A Review and New Synthesis of Abnormal Behavior in Pigs and
815 Laying Hens. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 3, 57.

816 Buitenhuis, A., Rodenburg, T., Siwek, M., Cornelissen, S., Nieuwland, M., Crooijmans, R.,
817 Groenen, M., Koene, P., Bovenhuis, H., van der Poel, J., 2003a. Identification of quantitative
818 trait loci for receiving pecks in young and adult laying hens. *Poultry Sci.* 82, 1661-1667.

819 Buitenhuis, A., Rodenburg, T., van Hierden, Y., Siwek, M., Cornelissen, S., Nieuwland, M.,
820 Crooijmans, R., Groenen, M., Koene, P., Korte, S., 2003b. Mapping quantitative trait loci
821 affecting feather pecking behavior and stress response in laying hens. *Poultry Sci.* 82, 1215-
822 1222.

823 Buitenhuis, A.J., Kjaer, J.B., Labouriau, R., Juul-Madsen, H.R., 2006. Altered circulating
824 levels of serotonin and immunological changes in laying hens divergently selected for
825 feather pecking behavior. *Poultry Sci.* 85, 1722-1728.

826 Burgos-Rodríguez, A.G., 2010. Avian Renal System: Clinical Implications. *Vet. Clin. N. Am-
827 Exotic* 13, 393-411.

828 Burman, O.H.P., Parker, R.M.A., Paul, E.S., Mendl, M., 2008. Sensitivity to reward loss as
829 an indicator of animal emotion and welfare. *Biol. Lett.* 4, 330-333.

830 Butler, D., Davis, C., 2010. Effects of plastic bits on the condition and behaviour of captive-
831 reared pheasants. *Vet. Rec.* 166, 398-401.

832 Butler, S., Whittingham, M., Quinn, J., Cresswell, W., 2006. Time in captivity, individual
833 differences and foraging behaviour in wild-caught chaffinches. *Behaviour* 143, 535-548.

834 Cabezas, S., Carrete, M., Tella, J.L., Marchant, T.A., Bortolotti, G.R., 2013. Differences in
835 acute stress responses between wild-caught and captive-bred birds: a physiological
836 mechanism contributing to current avian invasions? *Biol. Invasions* 15, 521-527.

837 Carlstead, K., 1998. Determining the causes of stereotypic behaviours in zoo carnivores:
838 towards developing appropriate enrichment., in: Shepherdson, D.J., Mellen, J., Hutchines,
839 M. (Eds.), *Second Nature: Environmental Enrichment for Captive Mammals.*, Smithsonian
840 Institution Press, Washington DC, pp. 172-183.

841 Castagna, C., Ball, G.F., Balthazart, J., 1997. Effects of Dopamine Agonists on Appetitive
842 and Consummatory Male Sexual Behavior in Japanese Quail. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Be.* 58,
843 403-414.

844 Clubb, R., Mason, G., 2003. Animal Welfare: Captivity effects on wide-ranging carnivores.
845 *Nature* 425, 473-474.

846 Clubb, R., Mason, G.J., 2007. Natural behavioural biology as a risk factor in carnivore
847 welfare: How analysing species differences could help zoos improve enclosures. *Appl. Anim.*
848 *Behav. Sci.* 102, 303-328.

849 Clyde, V.L., Patton, S., 1996. Diagnosis, treatment, and control of common parasites in
850 companion and aviary birds, *Seminars in Avian and Exotic Pet Medicine*, Elsevier, pp. 75-84.

851 Conde, D.A., Flesness, N., Colchero, F., Jones, O.R., Scheuerlein, A., 2011. An Emerging
852 Role of Zoos to Conserve Biodiversity. *Science* 331, 1390-1391.

853 Coppens, C.M., de Boer, S.F., Koolhaas, J.M., 2010. Coping styles and behavioural
854 flexibility: towards underlying mechanisms. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B* 365, 4021-4028.

855 Coulon, M., Henry, L., Perret, A., Cousillas, H., Hausberger, M., George, I., 2014. Assessing
856 Video Presentations as Environmental Enrichment for Laboratory Birds. *PLoS ONE* 9.

857 Cussen, V.A., Mench, J.A., 2015. The relationship between personality dimensions and
858 resiliency to environmental stress in orange-winged amazon parrots (*Amazona amazonica*),
859 as indicated by the development of abnormal behaviors. PLoS One 10, e0126170.

860 Cuthbertson, G.J., 1980. Genetic variation in feather-pecking behaviour. Brit. Poultry Sci. 21,
861 447-450.

862 Dalton, H., Wood, B., Torrey, S., 2013. Injurious pecking in domestic turkeys: development,
863 causes, and potential solutions. World's Poultry Sci. J. 69, 865-876.

864 Degen, A.A., Kam, M., Rosenstrauch, A., 1989. Time-activity budget of ostriches (*Struthio*
865 *camelus*) offered concentrate feed and maintained in outdoor pens. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
866 22, 347-358.

867 D'Eath, R.B., Tolkamp, B.J., Kyriazakis, I., Lawrence, A.B., 2009. 'Freedom from hunger'
868 and preventing obesity: the animal welfare implications of reducing food quantity or quality.
869 Anim. Behav. 77, 275-288.

870 de Jong, I.C., Guémené, D., 2011. Major welfare issues in broiler breeders. World's Poultry
871 Sci. J. 67, 73-82.

872 de Jong, I.C., Voorst, S.V., Ehlhardt, D.A., Blokhuis, H.J., 2002. Effects of restricted feeding
873 on physiological stress parameters in growing broiler breeders. Brit. Poultry Sci. 43, 157-
874 168.

875 Díez-León, M., Bowman, J., Bursian, S., Fillion, H., Galicia, D., Kanefsky, J., Napolitano, A.,
876 Palme, R., Schulte-Hostedde, A., Scribner, K., 2013. Environmentally enriched male mink
877 gain more copulations than stereotypic, barren-reared competitors. PLoS One 8, e80494.

878 Dixon, L.M., Duncan, I.J.H., Mason, G., 2008. What's in a peck? Using fixed action pattern
879 morphology to identify the motivational basis of abnormal feather-pecking behaviour. Anim.
880 Behav. 76, 1035-1042.

881 Doneley, R.J., 2009. Bacterial and parasitic diseases of parrots. Vet. Clin. N. Am.-Exotic 12,
882 417-432.

883 Duncan, I.J.H., Wood-Gush, D.G.M., 1972. Thwarting of feeding behaviour in the domestic
884 fowl. Anim. Behav. 20, 444-451.

885 Edgar, J., Held, S., Jones, C., Troisi, C., 2016. Influences of Maternal Care on Chicken
886 Welfare. Animals 6, 2.

887 Eilam, D., Izhar, R., Mort, J., 2011. Threat detection: Behavioral practices in animals and
888 humans. Neurosci. Biobehav. R. 35, 999-1006.

889 El-Lethey, H., Aerni, V., Jungi, T., Wechsler, B., 2000. Stress and feather pecking in laying
890 hens in relation to housing conditions. Brit. Poultry Sci. 41, 22-28.

891 Elwinger, K., Tufvesson, M., Lagerkvist, G., Tauson, R., 2008. Feeding layers of different
892 genotypes in organic feed environments. Brit. Poultry Sci. 49, 654-665.

893 FAO, 2014. FAOSTAT: Number of layer hens and broilers produced worldwide in 2014.
894 <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QL> accessed 16/08/2017

895 Faure, J., Bessei, W., Jones, R., 2003. Direct Selection for Improvement of Animal Well-
896 being. Poultry Genetics, Breeding, and Biotechnology, pp. 221-245.

897 Feenders, G., Bateson, M., 2011. Hand-rearing reduces fear of humans in European
898 starlings, *Sturnus vulgaris*. PLoS One 6, e17466.

899 Feenders, G., Bateson, M., 2012. The development of stereotypic behavior in caged
900 European starlings, *Sturnus vulgaris*. Dev. Psychobiol. 54, 773-784.

901 Feenders, G., Bateson, M., 2013. Hand rearing affects emotional responses but not basic
902 cognitive performance in European starlings. Anim. Behav. 86, 127-138.

903 Flisikowski, K., Schwarzenbacher, H., Wysocki, M., Weigend, S., Preisinger, R., Kjaer, J.B.,
904 Fries, R., 2009. Variation in neighbouring genes of the dopaminergic and serotonergic
905 systems affects feather pecking behaviour of laying hens. Anim. Genet. 40, 192-199.

906 Fureix, C., Meagher, R.K., 2015. What can inactivity (in its various forms) reveal about
907 affective states in non-human animals? A review. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 171, 8-24.

908 Garner, J.P., 2006. Perseveration and Stereotypy - Systems-level Insights from Clinical
909 Psychology, in: Mason, G., Rushen, J. (Eds.), Stereotypic Animal Behaviour: Fundamentals
910 and Applications to Welfare, CABI, Oxfordshire, UK, pp. 121-152.

911 Garner, J.P., Mason, G.J., 2002. Evidence for a relationship between cage stereotypies and
912 behavioural disinhibition in laboratory rodents. Behav. Brain Res. 136, 83-92.

913 Garner, J.P., Mason, G.J., Smith, R., 2003a. Stereotypic route-tracing in experimentally
914 caged songbirds correlates with general behavioural disinhibition. Anim. Behav. 66, 711-727.

915 Garner, J.P., Meehan, C.L., Famula, T.R., Mench, J.A., 2006. Genetic, environmental, and
916 neighbor effects on the severity of stereotypies and feather picking in Orange-winged
917 Amazon parrots (*Amazona amazonica*): An epidemiological study. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
918 96, 153-168.

919 Garner, J.P., Meehan, C.L., Mench, J.A., 2003b. Stereotypies in caged parrots,
920 schizophrenia and autism: evidence for a common mechanism. Behav. Brain Res. 145, 125-
921 134.

- 922 Gaskins, L.A., Bergman, L. , 2011. Surveys of avian practitioners and pet owners regarding
923 common behavior problems in psittacine birds. *J. Avian Med. Surg.* 25, 111-118.
- 924 Gentle, M.J., Waddington, D., Hunter, L.N., Jones, R.B., 1990. Behavioural evidence for
925 persistent pain following partial beak amputation in chickens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 27,
926 149-157.
- 927 Gilani, A.-M., Knowles, T.G., Nicol, C.J., 2013. The effect of rearing environment on feather
928 pecking in young and adult laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 148, 54-63.
- 929 Glatz, P., 2000. Effect of declawing on behavior of farmed emus. *Asian Austral J. Anim.* 14,
930 288-296.
- 931 Glatz, P.C., Murphy, L., Reston, A., 1992. Analgesic therapy of beak-trimmed chickens.
932 *Aust. Vet. J.* 69, 18-18.
- 933 Gustafson, L.A., Cheng, H.-W., Garner, J.P., Pajor, E.A., Mench, J.A., 2007. Effects of bill-
934 trimming Muscovy ducks on behavior, body weight gain, and bill morphopathology. *Appl.*
935 *Anim. Behav. Sci.* 103, 59-74.
- 936 Hansen, S.W., Jeppesen, L.L., 2006. Temperament, stereotypies and anticipatory behaviour
937 as measures of welfare in mink. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 99, 172-182.
- 938 Harlander-Matauschek, A., Baes, C., Bessei, W., 2006a. The demand of laying hens for
939 feathers and wood shavings. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 101, 102-110.
- 940 Harlander-Matauschek, A., Piepho, H., Bessei, W., 2006b. The effect of feather eating on
941 feed passage in laying hens. *Poultry Sci.* 85, 21-25.
- 942 Harms, R., Russell, G., 1996. A re-evaluation of the methionine requirement of the
943 commercial layer. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 9, 141-151.

- 944 Harvey, N.C., Farabaugh, S.M., Druker, B.B., 2002. Effects of Early Rearing Experience on
945 Adult Behavior and Nesting in Captive Hawaiian Crows (*Corvus hawaiiensis*). Zoo Biol. 21,
946 59-75.
- 947 Hediger, H., 1950. Wild Animals in Captivity. Butterworths, London.
- 948 Hesterman, H., Gregroy, N.G., Boardman, W.S.J., 2001. Deflighting procedures and their
949 welfare implications in captive birds. Anim. Welf. 10, 405-419.
- 950 Hetland, H., Choct, M., Svihus, B., 2004. Role of insoluble non-starch polysaccharides in
951 poultry nutrition. World's Poultry Sci. J. 60, 415-422.
- 952 Hocking, P.M., Maxwell, M.H., Mitchell, M.A., 1993. Welfare assessment of broiler breeder
953 and layer females subjected to food restriction and limited access to water during rearing.
954 Brit. Poultry Sci. 34, 443-458.
- 955 Huber-Eicher, B., Sebö, F., 2001. The prevalence of feather pecking and development in
956 commercial flocks of laying hens. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 74, 223-231.
- 957 Hughes, B., 1973. The effect of implanted gonadal hormones on feather pecking and
958 cannibalism in pullets. Brit. Poultry Sci. 14, 341-348.
- 959 Hughes, B., 1985. Feather loss—how does it occur, Proceedings of the 2nd European
960 Poultry Welfare Symposium, Edinburgh, pp. 178-188.
- 961 Iglauer, F., Rasim, R., 1993. Treatment of psychogenic feather picking in psittacine birds
962 with a dopamine antagonist. J. Small Anim. Pract. 34, 564-566.
- 963 Ijichi, C.L., Collins, L.M., Elwood, R.W., 2013. Evidence for the role of personality in
964 stereotypy predisposition. Anim. Behav. 85, 1145-1151.

- 965 Jacobs, H., Smith, N., Smith, P., Smyth, L., Yew, P., Saibaba, P., Hau, J., 1995. Zebra finch
966 behaviour and effect of modest enrichment of standard cages. *Anim. Welf.* 4, 3-9.
- 967 Jaensch, S., 2000. Diagnosis of avian hepatic disease, *Seminars in Avian and Exotic Pet*
968 *Medicine*, Elsevier, pp. 126-135.
- 969 Jensen, P., Keeling, L., Schütz, K., Andersson, L., Mormède, P., Brändström, H., Forkman,
970 B., Kerje, S., Fredriksson, R., Ohlsson, C., Larsson, S., Mallmin, H., Kindmark, A., 2005.
971 Feather pecking in chickens is genetically related to behavioural and developmental traits.
972 *Physiol. Behav.* 86, 52-60.
- 973 Jones, T.A., Waite, C.D., Dawkins, M.S., 2009. Water off a duck's back: showers and troughs
974 match ponds for improving duck welfare. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 116, 52-57.
- 975 Keeling, L., Andersson, L., Schütz, K.E., Kerje, S., Fredriksson, R., Carlborg, Ö., Cornwallis,
976 C.K., Pizzari, T., Jensen, P., 2004. Chicken genomics: feather-pecking and victim
977 pigmentation. *Nature* 431, 645-646.
- 978 Keiper, R.R., 1969. Causal factors of stereotypies in caged birds. *Anim. Behav.* 17, 114-119.
- 979 Keiper, R.R., 1970. Studies of stereotypy function in the canary (*Serinus canarius*). *Anim.*
980 *Behav.* 18, 353-357.
- 981 Kjaer, J., Bessei, W., 2013. The interrelationships of nutrition and feather pecking in the
982 domestic fowl. *Arch Geflügelk* 77, 1-9.
- 983 Kjaer, J., Sørensen, P., 1997. Feather pecking behaviour in White Leghorns, a genetic
984 study. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 38, 333-341.
- 985 Kjaer, J.B., 2009. Feather Pecking in Domestic Fowl is Genetically Related to Locomotor
986 Activity Levels: Implications for a Hyperactivity Disorder Model of Feather Pecking. *Behav.*
987 *Genet.* 39, 564-570.

- 988 Kjaer, J.B., Hjarvard, B.M., Jensen, K.H., Hansen-Møller, J., Naesbye Larsen, O., 2004.
989 Effects of haloperidol, a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist, on feather pecking behaviour in
990 laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 86, 77-91.
- 991 Kjaer, J.B., Sørensen, P., Su, G., 2001. Divergent selection on feather pecking behaviour in
992 laying hens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 71, 229-239.
- 993 Kjaer, J.B., Würbel, H., Schrader, L., 2015. Perseveration in a guessing task by laying hens
994 selected for high or low levels of feather pecking does not support classification of feather
995 pecking as a stereotypy. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 168, 56-60.
- 996 Klopfer, P.H., Hailman, J.P., 1972. *Function and Evolution of Behavior*. Addison-Wesley,
997 United States.
- 998 Koolhaas, J., Korte, S., De Boer, S., van der Vegt, B., van Reenen, C., Hopster, H., de Jong,
999 I., Ruis, M., Blokhuis, H., 1999. Coping styles in animals: current status in behavior and
1000 stress-physiology. *Neurosci. Biobehav. R.* 23, 925-935.
- 1001 Kops, M.S., Kjaer, J.B., Güntürkün, O., Westphal, K.G.C., Korte-Bouws, G.A.H., Olivier, B.,
1002 Korte, S.M., Bolhuis, J.E., 2017. Brain monoamine levels and behaviour of young and adult
1003 chickens genetically selected on feather pecking. *Behav. Brain Res.* 327, 11-20.
- 1004 Košťál, L., Savory, C., 1995. Serotonergic mechanisms in control of stereotypies in fowls.
1005 *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 44, 267.
- 1006 Kroshko, J., Clubb, R., Harper, L., Mellor, E., Moehrenschrage, A., Mason, G., 2016.
1007 Stereotypic route-tracing in captive Carnivora is predicted by species-typical home range
1008 sizes and hunting styles. *Anim. Behav.* 117, 197-209.
- 1009 Labouriau, R., Kjaer, J., Abreu, G., Hedegaard, J., Buitenhuis, A., 2009. Analysis of severe
1010 feather pecking behavior in a high feather pecking selection line. *Poultry Sci.* 88, 2052-2062.

- 1011 Lambton, S.L., Knowles, T.G., Yorke, C., Nicol, C.J., 2010. The risk factors affecting the
1012 development of gentle and severe feather pecking in loose housed laying hens. *Appl. Anim.*
1013 *Behav. Sci.* 123, 32-42.
- 1014 Lang, M., Krátký, J., Shaver, J.H., Jerotijević, D., Xygalatas, D., 2015. Effects of anxiety on
1015 spontaneous ritualized behavior. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 1892-1897.
- 1016 Langen, M., Kas, M.J.H., Staal, W.G., van Engeland, H., Durston, S., 2011. The
1017 neurobiology of repetitive behavior: Of mice.... *Neurosci. Biobehav. R.* 35, 345-355.
- 1018 Laurence, A., Houdelier, C., Calandreau, L., Arnould, C., Favreau-Peigné, A., Leterrier, C.,
1019 Boissy, A., Lumineau, S., 2015. Environmental enrichment reduces behavioural alterations
1020 induced by chronic stress in Japanese quail. *Animal* 9, 331-338.
- 1021 Leipoldt, A.L., 1992. Gedrag van pekingeenden met variatie in drinkwatersysteem en
1022 bodembedekking. *Praktijkonderzoek voor de Pluimveehouderij*, PP-uitgave no. 03.
- 1023 Leitner, S., Catchpole, C.K., 2007. Song and brain development in canaries raised under
1024 different conditions of acoustic and social isolation over two years. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 67, 1478-
1025 1487.
- 1026 Lewis, M.H., Presti, M.F., Lewis, J.B., Turner, C.A., 2006. The Neurobiology of Stereotypy I:
1027 Environmental Complexity, in: Mason, G., Rushen, J. (Eds.), *Stereotypic Animal Behaviour:*
1028 *Fundamentals and Applications to Welfare*, CABI Oxfordshire, UK, pp. 190-226.
- 1029 Lumeij, J.T., Hommers, C.J., 2008. Foraging 'enrichment' as treatment for pterotillomania.
1030 *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 111, 85-94.
- 1031 Machlis, L.E., 1980. Apomorphine: Effects on the timing and sequencing of pecking behavior
1032 in chicks. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Be.* 13, 331-336.

- 1033 Marston, L.C., Bennett, P.C., Coleman, G.J., 2004. What Happens to Shelter Dogs? An
1034 Analysis of Data for 1 Year From Three Australian Shelters. *J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci.* 7, 27-
1035 47
- 1036 Martrenchar, A., Huonnic, D., Cotte, J., 2001. Influence of environmental enrichment on
1037 injurious pecking and perching behaviour in young turkeys. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 42, 161-170.
- 1038 Mason, G., 2006a. Box 7.1 Are Wild-Born Animals 'Protected' from Stereotypy When Placed
1039 in Captivity?, in: Mason, G., Rushen, J. (Eds.), *Stereotypic Animal Behaviour. Fundamentals*
1040 *and Applications to Welfare*, CABI, Oxfordshire, UK, p. 196.
- 1041 Mason, G., 2006b. Stereotypic Behaviour in Captive Animals: Fundamentals and
1042 Implications for Welfare and Beyond, in: Mason, G., Rushen, J. (Eds.), *Stereotypic Animal*
1043 *Behaviour: Fundamentals and Applications to Welfare*, CAB International, Wallingford, pp.
1044 325-367.
- 1045 Mason, G.J., 1991a. Stereotypies and suffering. *Behav. Process.* 25, 103-115.
- 1046 Mason, G.J., 1991b. Stereotypies: a critical review. *Anim. Behav.* 41, 1015-1037.
- 1047 Mason, G.J., 2010. Species differences in responses to captivity: stress, welfare and the
1048 comparative method. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 713-721.
- 1049 Mason, G.J., Latham, N.R., 2004. Can't stop, won't stop: is stereotypy a reliable animal
1050 welfare indicator? *Anim. Welf.* 13, 57-69.
- 1051 Mayr, E., 1961. Cause and effect in biology. *Science* 134, 1501-1506.
- 1052 McAdie, T.M., Keeling, L., 2000. Effect of manipulating feathers of laying hens on the
1053 incidence of feather pecking and cannibalism. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 68, 215-229.

- 1054 McBride, S.D., Long, L., 2001. Management of horses showing stereotypic behaviour, owner
1055 perception and the implications for welfare. *Vet. Rec.* 148, 799-802.
- 1056 McDonald Kinkaid, H.Y., 2015. Species-Level Determinants of Stereotypic Behaviour,
1057 Reproductive Success, and Lifespan in Captive Parrots (Psittaciformes), *Animal and Poultry*
1058 *Science*, University of Guelph, Canada.
- 1059 McKeegan, D.E., Savory, C., 1999. Feather eating in layer pullets and its possible role in the
1060 aetiology of feather pecking damage. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 65, 73-85.
- 1061 Meehan, C.L., Garner, J.P., Mench, J.A., 2003a. Isosexual pair housing improves the
1062 welfare of young Amazon parrots. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 81, 73-88.
- 1063 Meehan, C.L., Garner, J.P., Mench, J.A., 2004. Environmental enrichment and development
1064 of cage stereotypy in Orange-winged Amazon parrots (*Amazona amazonica*). *Dev.*
1065 *Psychobiol.* 44, 209-218.
- 1066 Meehan, C.L., Millam, J.R., Mench, J.A., 2003b. Foraging opportunity and increased
1067 physical complexity both prevent and reduce psychogenic feather picking by young Amazon
1068 parrots. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 80, 71-85.
- 1069 Mellor, E., 2014. Can we use biological risk factors for stereotypic behaviour in parrots to
1070 predict husbandry risk factors? School of Biological Sciences, Plymouth University,
1071 Plymouth, UK.
- 1072 Mench, J.A., 2002. Broiler breeders: feed restriction and welfare. *World's Poultry Sci.J.* 58,
1073 23-29.
- 1074 Mertens, P.A., 1997. Pharmacological treatment of feather picking in pet birds. , In: Mills,
1075 D.S., Heath, S.E. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Veterinary*
1076 *Behavioral Medicine*, UFAW, Potters Bar, UK., pp. 209-213.

1077 Meyer-Holzappel, M., 1968. Abnormal behaviour in zoo animals, in: Fox, M.W. (Ed.),
1078 Abnormal Behaviour in Animals, Saunders, London, pp. 476-503.

1079 Miller, L.J., 2012. Visitor reaction to pacing behavior: influence on the perception of animal
1080 care and interest in supporting zoological institutions. *Zoo Biol.* 31, 242- 248.

1081 Moon-Fanelli, A.A., Dodman, N.H., O'Sullivan, R.L., 1999. Veterinary models of compulsive
1082 self-grooming: parallels with trichotillomania, in: Stein, D.J., Christenson, G.A., Hollander, E.
1083 (Eds.), *Trichotillomania*, American Psychiatric Press, Washington DC, USA, pp. 63-92.

1084 Morris, D., 1964. The response of animals to a restricted environment, Symposium of the
1085 Zoological Society., London, pp. 99-120.

1086 Morrissey, K.L.H., Widowski, T., Leeson, S., Sandilands, V., Arnone, A., Torres, J., 2014a.
1087 The effect of dietary alterations during rearing on growth, productivity, and behavior in broiler
1088 breeder females. *Poultry Sci.* 93, 285-295.

1089 Morrissey, K.L.H., Widowski, T., Leeson, S., Sandilands, V., Arnone, A., Torrey, S., 2014b.
1090 The effect of dietary alterations during rearing on feather condition in broiler breeder
1091 females. *Poultry Sci.* 93, 1636-1643.

1092 Müller, D.W., Lackey, L.B., Streich, W.J., Fickel, J., Hatt, J.M., Clauss, M., 2011. Mating
1093 system, feeding type and ex situ conservation effort determine life expectancy in captive
1094 ruminants. *P. R. Soc. B* 278, 2076-2080.

1095 Nettle, D., Penke, L., 2010. Personality: bridging the literatures from human psychology and
1096 behavioural ecology. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.* 365, 4043-4050.

1097 Newberry, R.C., Keeling, L.J., Estevez, I., Bilčík, B., 2007. Behaviour when young as a
1098 predictor of severe feather pecking in adult laying hens: The redirected foraging hypothesis
1099 revisited. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 107, 262-274.

- 1100 Nicol, C.J., 2015. Genetics and Domestication, The Behavioural Biology of Chickens, CABI,
1101 Oxfordshire, UK, pp. 1-14.
- 1102 Nicol, C.J., Lindberg, A.C., Phillips, A.J., Pope, S.J., Wilkins, L.J., Green, L.E., 2001.
1103 Influence of prior exposure to wood shavings on feather pecking, dustbathing and foraging in
1104 adult laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 73, 141-155.
- 1105 Nielsen, B.L., Thodberg, K., Malmkvist, J., Steinfeldt, S., 2011. Proportion of insoluble fibre
1106 in the diet affects behaviour and hunger in broiler breeders growing at similar rates. *Animal*
1107 5, 1247-1258.
- 1108 Nordquist, R.E., Heerkens, J.L.T., Rodenburg, T.B., Boks, S., Ellen, E.D., van der Staay,
1109 F.J., 2011. Laying hens selected for low mortality: Behaviour in tests of fearfulness, anxiety
1110 and cognition. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 131, 110-122.
- 1111 Nordquist, R.E., Zeinstra, E.C., Rodenburg, T.B., van der Staay, F.J., 2013. Effects of
1112 maternal care and selection for low mortality on tyrosine hydroxylase concentrations and cell
1113 soma size in hippocampus and nidopallium caudolaterale in adult laying hen. *J. Anim. Sci.*
1114 91, 137-146
- 1115 Norman, D.A., Shallice, T., 1986. Attention to action: willed and automatic control of
1116 behaviour., in: Davidson, R.J., Schwartz, G.E., Shapiro, D. (Eds.), *Consciousness and self-*
1117 *regulation: advances in research and theory.*, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 1-18.
- 1118 Novak, M., 2003. Self-injurious behavior in rhesus monkeys: New insights into its etiology,
1119 physiology, and treatment. *Am. J. Primatol.* 59, 3–19.
- 1120 Ödberg, F., 1978. Abnormal behaviours: stereotypies., In: Garsi, E. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the*
1121 *1st World Congress on Ethology Applied to Zootechnics*, Industrias Graficas Espana,
1122 Madrid, pp. 475-480.

- 1123 Parmentier, H.K., Rodenburg, T.B., De Vries Reilingh, G., Beerda, B., Kemp, B., 2009. Does
1124 enhancement of specific immune responses predispose laying hens for feather pecking?
1125 Poul. Sci. 88, 536-542.
- 1126 Patzke, N., Ocklenburg, S., van der Staay, F.J., Güntürkün, O., Manns, M., 2009.
1127 Consequences of different housing conditions on brain morphology in laying hens. J. Chem.
1128 Neuroanat. 37, 141-148.
- 1129 Peng, S.J.-L., Chang, F.-C., Sheng-Ting, J.I., Fei, A.C.-Y., 2013. Welfare assessment of
1130 flight-restrained captive birds: effects of inhibition of locomotion. Thai J. Vet. Med. 43, 235.
- 1131 Perré, Y., Wauters, A.-M., Richard-Yris, M.-A., 2002. Influence of mothering on emotional
1132 and social reactivity of domestic pullets. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 75, 133-146.
- 1133 Polanco, A., 2016. A Tinbergian review of self-injurious behaviors in laboratory rhesus
1134 macaques. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 179, 1-10.
- 1135 Polverino, G., Manciocco, A., Vitale, A., Alleva, E., 2015. Stereotypic behaviours in
1136 *Melopsittacus undulatus*: Behavioural consequences of social and spatial limitations. Appl.
1137 Anim. Behav. Sci. 165, 143-155.
- 1138 Pomerantz, O., Meiri, S., Terkel, J., 2013. Socio-ecological factors correlate with levels of
1139 stereotypic behavior in zoo-housed primates. Behav. Process. 98, 85-91.
- 1140 Pöttsch, C.J., Lewis, K., Nicol, C.J., Green, L.E., 2001. A cross-sectional study of the
1141 prevalence of vent pecking in laying hens in alternative systems and its associations with
1142 feather pecking, management and disease. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 74, 259-272.
- 1143 Quentin, M., Bouvarel, I., Picard, M., 2005. Effects of crude protein and lysine contents of
1144 the diet on growth and body composition of slow-growing commercial broilers from 42 to 77
1145 days of age. Anim. Res. 54, 113-122.

- 1146 Ramadan, S., von Borell, E., 2008. Role of loose feathers on the development of feather
1147 pecking in laying hens. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 49, 250-256.
- 1148 Ramage, A., Bayles, K., Helm-Estabrooks, N., Cruz, R., 1999. Frequency of perseveration in
1149 normal subjects. *Brain Lang.* 66, 329-340.
- 1150 Riedstra B, Groothuis TGG. 2002. Early feather pecking as a form of social exploration: the
1151 effect of group stability on feather pecking and tonic immobility in domestic chicks. *Appl.*
1152 *Anim. Behav. Sci.* 77:127-138.
- 1153 Renema, R.A., Rustad, M.E., Robinson, F.E., 2007. Implications of changes to commercial
1154 broiler and broiler breeder body weight targets over the past 30 years. *World's Poultry Sci. J.*
1155 63, 457-472.
- 1156 Riber, A.B., Mench, J.A., 2008. Effects of feed-and water-based enrichment on activity and
1157 cannibalism in Muscovy ducklings. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 114, 429-440.
- 1158 Riber, A.B., Wichman, A., Braastad, B.O., Forkman, B., 2007. Effects of broody hens on
1159 perch use, ground pecking, feather pecking and cannibalism in domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus*
1160 *domesticus*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 106, 39-51.
- 1161 Richards, M., Rosebrough, R., Coon, C., McMurtry, J., 2010. Feed intake regulation for the
1162 female broiler breeder: In theory and in practice. *J. Appl. Poultry Res.* 19, 182-193.
- 1163 Rodenburg, T., Bracke, M., Berk, J., Cooper, J., Faure, J., Guemene, D., Guy, G.,
1164 Harlander, A., Jones, T., Knierim, U., 2005. Welfare of ducks in European duck husbandry
1165 systems. *World's Poultry Sci. J.* 61, 633-646.
- 1166 Rodenburg, T., Buitenhuis, A., Ask, B., Uitdehaag, K., Koene, P., van der Poel, J.,
1167 Bovenhuis, H., 2003. Heritability of feather pecking and open-field response of laying hens at
1168 two different ages. *Poultry Sci.* 82, 861-867.

1169 Rodenburg, T., van Krimpen, M., de Jong, I., De Haas, E., Kops, M., Riedstra, B., Nordquist,
1170 R., Wagenaar, J., Bestman, M., Nicol, C., 2013. The prevention and control of feather
1171 pecking in laying hens: identifying the underlying principles. *World's Poultry Sci. J.* 69, 361-
1172 374.

1173 Sahakian, B.J., Robbins, T.W., Morgan, M.J., Iversen, S.D., 1975. The effects of
1174 psychomotor stimulants on stereotypy and locomotor activity in socially-deprived and control
1175 rats. *Brain Res.* 84, 195-205.

1176 Saint-Dizier, H., Leterrier, C., Lévy, F., Richard, S., 2008. Selection for tonic immobility
1177 duration does not affect the response to novelty in quail. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 112, 297-
1178 306.

1179 Samson, J., 1996. Behavioral problems of farmed ostriches in Canada. *Canadian Vet. J.* 37,
1180 412-414.

1181 Sandilands, V., Tolkamp, B.J., Savory, C.J., Kyriazakis, I., 2006. Behaviour and welfare of
1182 broiler breeders fed qualitatively restricted diets during rearing: Are there viable alternatives
1183 to quantitative restriction? *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 96, 53-67.

1184 Savory, C.J., 1995. Feather pecking and cannibalism. *World's Poultry Sci. J.* 51, 215-219.

1185 Savory, C., 1998. Feather pecking damage in growing bantams is influenced by dietary
1186 tryptophan concentration but not dietary protein source. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 39, 17-18.

1187 Savory, C., Mann, J., Macleod, M., 1999. Incidence of pecking damage in growing bantams
1188 in relation to food form, group size, stocking density, dietary tryptophan concentration and
1189 dietary protein source. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 40, 579-584.

- 1190 Savory, C.J., Maros, K., Rutter, S.M., 1993. Assessment of Hunger in Growing Broiler
1191 Breeders in Relation to a Commercial Restricted Feeding Programme. *Anim. Welf.* 2, 131-
1192 152.
- 1193 Schmid, R., Doherr, M.G., Steiger, A., 2006. The influence of the breeding method on the
1194 behaviour of adult African grey parrots (*Psittacus erithacus*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 98,
1195 293-307.
- 1196 Sirén, M.J., 1963. A factor preventing cannibalism in cockerels. *Life Sci.* 2, 120-124.
- 1197 Snyder, N., Wieley, J., Kepler, C., 1987. The parrots of Luquillo: natural history and
1198 conservation of the Puerto Rican parrot. Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology. USA.
- 1199 Stokes, A.W., 1971. Parental and Courtship Feeding in Red Jungle Fowl. *The Auk* 88, 21-
1200 29.
- 1201 Svendsen, P.M., Hansen, B.K., Malmkvist, J., Hansen, S.W., Palme, R., Jeppesen, L.L.,
1202 2007. Selection against stereotypic behaviour may have contradictory consequences for the
1203 welfare of farm mink (*Mustela vison*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 107, 110-119.
- 1204 Tahamtani, F.M., Brantsæter, M., Nordgreen, J., Sandberg, E., Hansen, T.B., Nødtvedt, A.,
1205 Rodenburg, T.B., Moe, R.O., Janczak, A.M., 2016. Effects of litter provision during early
1206 rearing and environmental enrichment during the production phase on feather pecking and
1207 feather damage in laying hens. *Poultry Sci.*
- 1208 Tinbergen, N., 1963. On aims and methods of ethology. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 20,
1209 410-433.
- 1210 Tolkamp, B.J., D'Eath, R.B., 2016. Hunger Associated with Restricted Feeding Systems, in:
1211 Phillips, C.J.C. (Ed.), *Nutrition and the Welfare of Farm Animals* Springer, Switzerland.

1212 Tweti, M., 2008. Of parrots and people: the sometimes funny, always fascinating, and often
1213 catastrophic collision of two intelligent species. Viking, New York.

1214 van Hierden, Y., 2003. Behavioural neurobiology of feather pecking, University of Groningen,
1215 The Netherlands.

1216 van Hierden, Y.M., de Boer, S.F., Koolhaas, J.M., Korte, S.M., 2004a. The control of feather
1217 pecking by serotonin. *Behav. Neurosci.* 118, 575.

1218 van Hierden, Y.M., Koolhaas, J.M., Korte, S.M., 2004b. Chronic increase of dietary l-
1219 tryptophan decreases gentle feather pecking behaviour. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 89, 71-84.

1220 van Hierden, Y.M., Koolhaas, J.M., Košťál, L.u., Výboh, P., Sedláčková, M., Rajman, M.,
1221 Juráni, M., Mechiel Korte, S., 2005. Chicks from a high and low feather pecking line of laying
1222 hens differ in apomorphine sensitivity. *Physiol. Behav.* 84, 471-477.

1223 van Hierden, Y.M., Korte, S.M., Ruesink, E.W., van Reenen, C.G., Engel, B., Koolhaas,
1224 J.M., Blokhuis, H.J., 2002a. The development of feather pecking behaviour and targeting of
1225 pecking in chicks from a high and low feather pecking line of laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav.*
1226 *Sci.* 77, 183-196.

1227 van Hierden, Y.M., Korte, S.M., Ruesink, E.W., van Reenen, C.G., Engel, B., Korte-Bouws,
1228 G.A.H., Koolhaas, J.M., Blokhuis, H.J., 2002b. Adrenocortical reactivity and central serotonin
1229 and dopamine turnover in young chicks from a high and low feather-pecking line of laying
1230 hens. *Physiol. Behav.* 75, 653-659.

1231 van Hoek, C.S., King, C.E., 1997. Causation and influence of environmental enrichment on
1232 feather picking of the crimson-bellied conure (*Pyrrhura perlata perlata*). *Zoo Biol.* 16, 161-
1233 172.

- 1234 van Hoek, C.S., Ten Cate, C., 1998. Abnormal behaviour in cages birds kept as pets. J.
1235 Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 1, 51-64.
- 1236 van Horne, P., Achterbosch, T., 2008. Animal welfare in poultry production systems: impact
1237 of EU standards on world trade. World's Poultry Sci. J. 64, 40-52.
- 1238 van Krimpen, M., Kwakkel, R., André, G., van der Peet-Schwering, C., den Hartog, L.,
1239 Verstegen, M., 2007. Impact of nutritional factors on feather pecking behaviour of laying
1240 hens in non-cage housing systems, World Poultry Science Association, Proceedings of the
1241 16th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Strasbourg, France, 26-30 August, 2007,
1242 World's Poultry Science Association (WPSA), pp. 415-422.
- 1243 van Krimpen, M., Kwakkel, R., van der Peet-Schwering, C., Den Hartog, L., Verstegen, M.,
1244 2009. Effects of nutrient dilution and nonstarch polysaccharide concentration in rearing and
1245 laying diets on eating behavior and feather damage of rearing and laying hens. Poultry Sci.
1246 88, 759-773.
- 1247 van Zeeland, Y.R., Schoemaker, N.J., 2014. Plumage disorders in psittacine birds-part 2:
1248 feather damaging behaviour. Eur. J. Companion Anim. Pract. 24, 24-36.
- 1249 van Zeeland, Y.R.A., Schoemaker, N.J., Ravesteijn, M.M., Mol, M., Lumeij, J.T., 2013a.
1250 Efficacy of foraging enrichments to increase foraging time in Grey parrots (*Psittacus*
1251 *erithacus erithacus*). Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 149, 87-102.
- 1252 van Zeeland, Y.R.A., Spruit, B.M., Rodenburg, T.B., Riedstra, B., van Hierden, Y.M.,
1253 Buitenhuis, B., Korte, S.M., Lumeij, J.T., 2009. Feather damaging behaviour in parrots: A
1254 review with consideration of comparative aspects. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 121, 75-95.
- 1255 van Zeeland, Y.R.A., van der Aa, M.M.J.A., Vinke, C.M., Lumeij, J.T., Schoemaker, N.J.,
1256 2013b. Behavioural testing to determine differences between coping styles in Grey parrots

- 1257 (*Psittacus erithacus erithacus*) with and without feather damaging behaviour. Appl. Anim.
1258 Behav. Sci. 148, 218-231.
- 1259 Wang, S.-M., Kain, Z.N., White, P., 2008. Acupuncture analgesia: I. The scientific basis.
1260 Anesth. Analg. 106, 602-610.
- 1261 Wedel, A., 1999. Verhaltensstörungen, in: Wedel, A. (Ed.), Ziervogel–Erkrankungen,
1262 Haltung, Fütterung, Parey Verlag im Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag,, Wien, pp. 283-286.
- 1263 Wickens, C.L., Heleski, C.R., 2010. Crib-biting behavior in horses: A review. Appl. Anim.
1264 Behav. Sci. 128, 1-9.
- 1265 Wiepkema, P.R., 1983. On the significance of ethological criteria for the assessment of
1266 animal welfare., In: Schmidt, D. (Ed.), Indicators Relevant to Farm Animal Welfare, Martinus
1267 Nijhoff, The Hague, pp. 71-79.
- 1268 Wilson, D.A., Clark, A.B., Coleman, K., Dearstyne, T., 1994. Shyness and boldness in
1269 humans and other animals. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 442-446.
- 1270 Wisely, J., Hare, D.J., Fernandez-Ford, L., 2002. A study of the topography and nature of
1271 self-injurious behaviour in people with learning disabilities. J. Intellect. Disabil. 6, 61-71.
- 1272 Würbel, H., Stauffacher, M., von Holst, D., 1996. Stereotypies in laboratory mice -
1273 quantitative and qualitative description of the ontogeny of 'wire-gnawing' and 'jumping' in ICR
1274 and ICR nu - mice. Ethology 102, 371-385.
- 1275
- 1276